

# **One man drops a nuke on a female crab basket - using only a spiral notebook (but first, observations on female solipsism).**

June 30, 2013 | 54 upvotes | by [HumanSockPuppet](#)

---

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

[deleted] • 26 points • 30 June, 2013 09:49 PM

This reminds me of the woman in the office whose pet project is the company website. She did some thing where the URL links were kind of goofy. When her assistant came and asked me for help on how to fix it, I got the IT guy in on it and we came up with a solution. Ok no problem. We didn't care about fault or blame. It was just a problem needing to be solved. Well fuck me if that didn't cause a huge shit storm. The topic had sort of already been mentioned around management and this woman was upset because it made her look bad (in her head mostly), and she had been trying to cover it up. She must have really laid into her assistant as well because she had a hamster moment and came and yelled at me for finding a solution to the problem. It wasn't about solving problems, it was about female reputations. Fuck off. Her boss then made them change everything back because who the fuck knows why. Fast forward a month of pointless deliberation and now they are going to revert it back to my previous solution. What a wonderful allocation of resources. Women shouldn't be in management.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 July, 2013 01:23 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 July, 2013 03:15 PM

Ya, fine. But there isn't an equal split between genders.

RedditBlueit • 18 points • 30 June, 2013 06:42 PM

One of my favorite stories.

I also like Dalrock's Rebuilding The Mound analogy.

Once you understand the nature of the fire ant colony you can provoke them in relative safety. The fierce response to any disturbance of the mound is entirely predictable. The ants simply have no choice in the matter. Poke the mound and they come storming out, furiously stinging and attempting to restore the mound to its previous shape.

You can witness the same thing whenever discussing issues which offend the feminine imperative. Much of what we do in the manosphere is knock down the false frame of feminism. In fact, we generally need to focus more on the frame than the facts because the facts are indisputably on our side. However, even when the focus is on the facts, the response from the feminine imperative is the same; out boil the ants to rebuild the frame.

[deleted] • 1 points • 6 July, 2013 01:24 PM

[permanently deleted]

RedditBlueit • 2 points • 6 July, 2013 02:56 PM

I took it more literally, as the ant hill. Women see themselves as the queen, ruler of the domestic, ordained to set and enforce standards. If you doubt it, try "helping" with chores. You will invariably be told you're doing it wrong.

[deleted] • 7 points • 30 June, 2013 08:30 PM

[permanently deleted]

Nutz76 • 2 points • 1 July, 2013 12:17 PM

Link in case anyone was interested:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1hbfrw/anyone\\_out\\_there\\_work\\_in\\_femaledominated\\_jobs/catlrtt](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1hbfrw/anyone_out_there_work_in_femaledominated_jobs/catlrtt)

r\_rships\_account • 4 points • 1 July, 2013 03:58 AM

This is insightful, funny and a beautiful piece of writing.

Ironically perhaps, although it wasn't in the notebook he did end up writing about them.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 July, 2013 03:34 PM

I find the distinction between solipsism and selfishness very important: the modern world tends to look down on selfishness and not see that solipsism is a problem, the ancient world saw it the other way around.

To this day, I prefer someone who buys a motorbike for fun to someone who donates that money to some grand charity: at least the first guy understands that he is no big deal, the second one often thinks is the messiah to save the world.

But. This observation tends to fit that recent manosphere pattern of correctly recognizing how modern people suck, but then mistaking it as a woman-only thing. Yes, a real man supposed to be non-solipsistic, but very few men today are of that type anymore.

The "don't take it personally, just business" it is not merely a man's credo but an **alpha** mans credo. Very, very few men are like that. For example the average funny t-shirt and sandals IT guy I know takes every question as a critique, so starts the answer by first qualifying how he is doing everything right and nothing can be his fault ever, and then goes on to explain.

I mean there is a certain amount of wishful thinking it taking the great alpha man qualities, and then kind of saying men are like this women not. I think this author makes this mistake. Non-solipsist is alpha. Most men today are not.

thataccountabout • 0 points • 30 June, 2013 09:23 PM

TIL: I suffer from solipsism.

DesiAntiFeminist • 6 points • 30 June, 2013 10:37 PM

That one sentence is so perfect an example of solipsism. Thanks!

QuietlyLearning • 3 points • 1 July, 2013 01:33 AM

Solipsism and other behaviors we associate with women on this site can be applied to men just as easily.

thataccountabout • 2 points • 1 July, 2013 04:03 AM

Agreed. We just don't get away with them nearly as much as women do. I'm starting CBT this week in an attempt to rid myself of this kind of thinking.

But wow, this post just really hits the nail on the head. Anyone have any other reading recommendations that are helpful when it comes to changing ones thinking away from solipsism?

Serres • 2 points • 2 July, 2013 10:36 PM\*

Solipsism and idealism (self-indulgent wishful thinking in which you are self-exalted where you put yourself first at all costs) go hand in hand. If you live in a world of shoulds then you are probably solipsistic. If you go looking for a cure you will find many people thinking you are

referring to "I am the only person alive" instead of "I am special" which is what this is about. Avoid the philosophical discussions. What you are concerned about is increasing your own personal advantages, where thinking things are about yourself, taking things personally is less useful than being detached.

Value reality and get to the facts first. Don't start with answers and try to find data which backs up what the invented answers claim. Do not assume, do not take things as truth without evidence. In many cases it's useful to assume, but as far as your own personal life goes if it is something which could impact your life unfavorably you should not assume. What society tells you is not in your own best interest - it is always in the interest of the whole. Ask questions, and then test to see what is really real. What society tells you what will make you happy, what is worth happy, what is ingrained into you is likely not real truth. Be personally outcome independent. In more primal life it was more useful, but not today. Detach, be logical, be cold and ruthless with your decisions. Avoid referring to yourself in your sentences out of habit. In most cases sentences which begin with "I" are social value sentences which do not need to be included in conversations. Talk about the topic - not yourself. Recognize when you are getting emotional and stop. Emotions hurt the processes going on in your pre-frontal cortex - when you get emotional your long term thinking goes away, which leads to more primal thinking in situations. Life or death - fight or flight situations this is useful, but for modern life it's not. Unfortunately for modern society many people value being the better at being emotional.

Put yourself first. Practice rational thought.

rebuildingMyself • 3 points • 1 July, 2013 05:40 AM

You're all talking about *me* and I know it. STOP IT GUYS

thataccountabout • 2 points • 1 July, 2013 12:44 AM

Haha, welcome. Posts like OP's are the reason I keep coming to this sub.

cooledcannon • 1 point • 1 July, 2013 12:24 AM

wow that article was so funny. OP mustve been laughing so hard

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 July, 2013 03:54 PM

I have a bit of a problem believing the story at the end. It sounds too much straight out of an Ayn Rand book.

Serres • 2 points • 2 July, 2013 10:46 PM\*

Women's gossip causing drama is unbelievable?

Women are respected more for the amount of information they know about the lives of those around them. That is what gives them status in their social echelon, and they are encouraged to act to get more information by feedback loops of added value. Those people who are the best backstabbers, cheaters, and gossipers float to the top. Thus chaotic drama follows women and they love it because it gives them attention.

Men suppress emotions and do not talk about the chaos and drama in their life. If a guy attempts to bring up something women would be more likely to talk about his buddies will tell him to stfu about it already.

This goes back to how women tell stories which have no point. The telling of the story is the point, and they will keep talking of inanities as long as they have an audience. Men tell stories to get to a point.

The story might be anecdotal but to me it mirrors my experience working with women at least as far as drama goes.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 July, 2013 08:11 AM

The part that seems unbelievable and Ayn Randish to me is the insane high work ethic the guy shows esp. for a situation that does not reward it. OK I know American culture has a certain tendency to be almost religious about the veneration of "hard work", but still this sounds insane to me.

Nobody ever got promoted by being an extremely quick slave - it is showing leadership skills and a confident personality, having good ideas how to do things different, or schmoozing with the boss that gets people promoted not this extremely simplistic "hard work" like being lightning fast at data entry and not taking lunch break.

So I really don't think people actually do this.

Serres • 1 point • 3 July, 2013 02:28 PM

He first was doing his job normally as he wanted to get it done sooner than later. Then after that he did it harder because it was entertaining for him. Doesn't say he was doing it to go up on the ladder.