

Manipulative container words and you

39 upvotes | 29 April, 2019 | by RStonePT

[removed]

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

[deleted] • 17 points • 29 April, 2019 06:45 PM

TI;dr (as I understand it) people use symbolic language to encapsulate a collection of ideas and feelings about the ideas.

Blue pill stuffs their symbolic language with more emotion than fact. They use symbolic language as vehicles for moral outrage by stuffing “outraging” facts and feelings in them.

Red pill packs facts more than emotions. We argue about what should and should not be symbolized in any given word.

Feminine thinking is about invalidating all the meanings behind symbolic language because one or two things associated with that word offend them. This is blue pill and manipulative.

For red pill men this type of thinking and arguing is ridiculous. If one thing underlying a symbolic word is offensive, we don't invalidate everything just because it is associated with the same word.

More or less.

RStonePT • 9 points • 29 April, 2019 06:56 PM*

deleted

ImNotSue • 6 points • 29 April, 2019 08:38 PM

Took a philosophy of language course in college. It covered this stuff too. Communication intent versus received intent, words as just a means of communication or ideas, different consideration of approaches to language, etc. It's not just red pill stuff technically. But it's a great topic for learning.

RedForEducation • 2 points • 1 May, 2019 08:46 PM

it keeps you from being unattractive by arguing with chicks.

Being attractive is easy, lift weights and eat better

whatdidshewrite • 6 points • 29 April, 2019 04:47 PM

Could you elaborate a bit more on “container words”? I don't quite grasp the concept

RedForEducation • 4 points • 1 May, 2019 08:52 PM

Think of a 'real man'. what does that mean to you?

Now imagine a pastor who wants you to man up and marry those repentant hoes in the church (read manosphere by ian ironwood or dalrock if you don't know this bp church phenomenon)

the take real man to mean sacrifice your own best interests to take care of a single mom who loves jesus. You take it to mean something else.

And if you're insecure about being a 'real man' (as I put it, can you fuck and can you fight, danger and play,

sex and violence etc) they will shame you with their definition of real man until you do what they say to get their validation.

So by not being aware of what they used to alter your definition to meet theirs, you can end up fucked for life.

that make more sense?

whatdidshewrite • 2 points • 1 May, 2019 08:55 PM

Yes thank you. RIP that one guy for sassing an EC

RedForEducation • 2 points • 2 May, 2019 10:21 AM

Don't, he made room for you.

[deleted] • 1 point • 12 May, 2019 02:48 AM

This is really good. Deep thinking. Thank you

RStonePT • 1 point • 29 April, 2019 05:09 PM*

deleted

FRECRACK6 • 2 points • 30 April, 2019 09:37 AM

“Create an archetype, persona, or life script, which I condense into the term deep narrative; “

Can anyone point me to an article/video/anything that goes deeper into this?

RStonePT • 4 points • 30 April, 2019 12:12 PM*

deleted

FRECRACK6 • 1 point • 30 April, 2019 03:54 PM

I don't got no girlfriend, what are you talking about? Lmao

Thanks tho

EdmondDaunts • 2 points • 30 April, 2019 12:24 PM

I used to do a lot of rock climbing outside. I've also had the opportunity to sail on an ocean in a small boat. One thing you learn quickly is Nature doesn't give a fuck about your ego. That route you created on the rock face. Your planned route sailing by GPS.

But you also learn you need some sort of plan and discipline otherwise you have nothing.

Concentrating on the container rather than the bigger picture, the rough ballpark, always leads to disappointment and bitchiness.

As with all things build contingency and adapt.

Because like I said Nature could give two fucks about your semantics.

RStonePT • 2 points • 30 April, 2019 12:28 PM*

deleted

EdmondDaunts • 4 points • 30 April, 2019 02:28 PM

Across the Atlantic. 40 foot yacht

RStonePT • 3 points • 30 April, 2019 03:33 PM*

deleted

BurnoutRS • 1 point • 30 April, 2019 08:40 PM

Without knowing the contents of someone else's mind, how does one come to understand the contents of their box?

What I gather from your post:

"People will compare you against an archetype to manipulate you into doing something"

Is this not an implicit comparison?

If you fail to take out the trash, and you're told that you're "irresponsible" and "not a real man" by a person who is attempting to get you to take out the trash... You are responding to the meaning you inferred from their words.

What is a real man? It's an archetype, an ideal that can't truly be reached. People who tell you you aren't a real man aren't actually concerned with what a real man is or isn't, they just know that you are, and they can control you by implying you aren't a real man.

I had a friend who started lifting and asked me for guidance. I gave him some pointers, wrote up a bit of quick reference info. I got a bit overzealous and started talking about supplementation and preworkouts a bit more in depth than I should have. Point being my friend started taking ephedrine before he even knew how to squat properly. When I questioned why he was taking ephedrine he got really defensive. Saying that it was mentioned in the sheets I gave him etc.

By your explanation my friend was "filling his box" with the notion that he was a bodybuilder now, bodybuilders take ephedrine, "this is my identity" and when I questioned his actions I undermined his confidence, threw my box at his...

Well yeah, ultimately my intention was to make him question why he was using a supplement that carries with it some risk, and as such shouldn't be used by complete beginners. To manipulate him into questioning and inevitably reversing his decision.

If my friend were not so dependant on looking to outside sources for validation, my questioning his using ephedrine would have been irrelevant. It is only because he was acting in accordance with the wishes of others, that he was dissatisfied when he perceived his actions to fall short of that which would please those around him.

If you know what you are doing is what you need to be doing, you will not let anyone bring you to question it. If someone has brought you to question it perhaps it is not what you need to be doing.

You must own what you do. If someone else calls you lazy, and you get up and go do something just to prove them wrong... you still aren't doing anything for yourself. Vice versa if someone calls you lazy and they're right, be grateful the world came and told you instead of leaving you to figure it out on your own.

If someone tells you that you aren't "x" ask them to define "x" if they can't, obviously that person has no business telling you whether or not you are "x" as they haven't any concept of what "x" is. If they can define it, and the list of descriptors ensues, then tell them that since they know so clearly what they're looking for, they should have an easy time finding it.

RStonePT • 3 points • 30 April, 2019 09:03 PM*

deleted

BurnoutRS • 1 point • 1 May, 2019 01:45 PM

"You dont compare their definitions to yours"

why not?

A person decides they want something done, and that they can get you to do it. "You havent done X, you're lazy"

"lazy" is the container word correct?

The person has "taken their feelings, emotions, ego, and placed them in this box"

Which is part of what would come to constitute their definition. The person is defining you as lazy on the basis that you arent doing something they want to see done. By their definition, laziness constitutes anyone who isnt currently working to please them.

So you expose this. Get the person to question their own logic and realize that not only do they not know what they want, even if they did they arent capable of articulating it.

A BP is constantly looking outside himself for validation. He has internal questions like "am I good enough?" which he cant answer himself, because he knows he isnt good enough, so therefore his answers are shit and he must rely on those who are more knowledgable.

Someone tells a BP "you're lazy" and they think "omg that person just told me im a bad thing, sometimes I suspect im a bad thing, I better do something to remedy this (usually get manipulated)"

The RP mindset should be "oh, this person is trying to get me to define myself based on their terms, not my own, in order to coerce me into behaving a certain way"

Get the person to question their own logic, their logic breaks down and they become someone you can manipulate. You were smart enough to break their old way of thinking, they'll accept a new and better means if you can provide it

RStonePT • 1 point • 1 May, 2019 02:02 PM*

deleted

BurnoutRS • 1 point • 1 May, 2019 02:32 PM

It doesnt work? Or you've never personally employed it?

I even outlined how it works with the example I gave about my friend taking ephedrine unnecessarily. I simply queried "why are you taking ephedrine?" Which lead to him questioning his own logic, exposing the hole in it. then he stopped taking ephedrine.

The ideal result, what I am looking to achieve, is that when a person is made to question their logic and it falls apart, they begin looking for a new answer. You, being the breaker of their logic are also likely to be the provider of this new logic.

Person tries to manipulate you with their frame, reality etc. and then you break that frame and substitute it with your own. The manipulator becomes the manipulatee

RStonePT • 2 points • 1 May, 2019 04:41 PM*

deleted

BurnoutRS • 1 point • 1 May, 2019 11:48 PM

You state directly "anyone using your own box to achieve their ends is manipulating you"

I used my friends ego constructed notion of what a bodybuilder was against him. When I questioned his logic, or rather exposed to him that on a level deeper than "durr bodybuilders take supplements, I heard this one works" he realized that he was taking a drug he didnt understand in order to fit into a role. I believe you called this a "deep narrative"

"you try telling a woman that her hypergamous way are bad because you read xxx from rollo"

At what point did I suggest, even encroach, on implying you should say something like this?

RStonePT • 1 point • 2 May, 2019 09:42 AM*

deleted

iamanalterror_ • -1 points • 29 April, 2019 06:22 PM

Stupid post titles, and you.