

CH: Women Would Rather Be Miserable Than Bored | “I am finally dating someone who is kind, and smart, and likeable and attractive, and great, and the sex is awesome.” But.... “He’s emotionally available...and actually likes me.”

May 7, 2019 | 446 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

<https://heartiste.wordpress.com/2019/05/03/women-would-rather-be-miserable-than-bored/>

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

bsutansalt[M] [score hidden] 7 May, 2019 03:04 PM stickied comment

Related: <http://archive.is/e4oxQ>

AtIRP • 152 points • 7 May, 2019 02:22 PM

Beware the Alpha Widow, boys. Perfect example of the importance of vetting out a potential LTR before you commit. Dollars to doughnuts this broad displayed enough red flags to make VI Lenin sport a hard-on but her dotting White Knight failed to perform his due diligence. Sadly he won't realize his mistake until much, much too late.

frankzanzibar • 239 points • 7 May, 2019 04:35 PM*

When I was 22 I was interested in a woman who had dated a typical alpha jackass, 6'2", jacked, looked like a movie star, and treated her like dirt. She mentioned him by name to her friend the night I met her in a bar, and I mentioned that I knew him. She didn't believe me (different universities, same city), but then I described him and she was convinced. I knew that he was vastly more attractive than me, but that I was getting some tingle of interest from her, so I mentioned that he worked for me sometimes (which was true) but that I'd cut back his hours b/c he kept screwing up (not entirely untrue but not the whole story). Got digits (this is back when we got digits) and had her in bed within a week. I out-alpha'd him, at least for a little while. From time to time she would still mention the alpha, though, and I wasn't so dumb that I didn't know what that meant.

A year later (1994) she broke up with me. I was profoundly sad, took a couple years to get over it, boo-hoo-hoo, woe-is-me. Six or seven years later (2000?) I ran into her in New York and we got a drink, and she admitted that the only reason she stayed with me for a year was **her therapist** encouraged her to stick with the relationship because I treated her better than anyone else ever had. But she was **desperate** to get out.

DESPERATE TO LEAVE SOMEONE WHO TREATED HER BETTER THAN ANYONE EVER HAD.

That was a hard lesson.

Cloak_and_Rose • 30 points • 7 May, 2019 06:14 PM

I have a similar story, she "dated" (I realized later he was just fucking her without committing) a dancer who was kind of a local celebrity.

I was basically the rebound, she never loved me the same way, and she was basically fighting herself, not understanding why the hell she didn't, despite me being everything she wanted, in her words.

I ended up getting some dignity back and dumped her, later found out she was planning to meet a guy the same week I did it.

A harsh lesson indeed.

frankzanzibar • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 06:16 PM

Yeah, I think my story involves that kind of "dating" as well.

Aggressive_Beta • 62 points • 7 May, 2019 05:03 PM

I already posted this in another thread but I'll post it again because it's relevant.

In my blue pill high school days, this girl had a massive crush on me for a year or two. She was younger

than me and a late bloomer, so I wasn't attracted to her and was an asshole to her because I thought she was annoying.

She became attractive out of nowhere and I decided to fuck her and then LTR her. My reasoning - quite logically - was that if I was an asshole to her before, she would be even more attracted to me if I was nice to her. Well, I'm sure you can guess how that relationship ended up. LOL!

frankzanzibar • 45 points • 7 May, 2019 05:22 PM

Facebook has been illuminating for me, because attractive women I knew when I was young have confessed earlier interest or asked me straight out why I never asked them out. They saw me as aloof, which excited them. The truth is I was scared shitless and thought I wasn't good enough for them, but kept cool about it.

I was doing some things right but mostly for the wrong reasons, also doing a lot wrong and missed out on a lot of good things. I started figuring this stuff out right around the time Neil Straus was writing about PUAs for the New York Times, by which time I was in my mid-30s and a veteran of three LTRs in which I tried to do everything right but invariably wound up failing.

I won't say everything's great since I learned this stuff but everything's comprehensible, now -- I am not mystified or confused about women. I do well and I haven't been in a LTR since 2009. Life's much better when you understand the rules.

strikethrough123 • 15 points • 7 May, 2019 08:56 PM

Oh boy. I missed so much opportunities in highschool that I lost count

Aggressive_Beta • 17 points • 7 May, 2019 05:24 PM

It also makes kids' lives even easier than that when they're raised by someone who understands the rules

ThePillIsRed • 4 points • 8 May, 2019 07:38 PM

Hah. My latest fling has been a girl i've known for a couple of years but didnt give a shit about. She's older than me, hot, has money and always dated rich guys 10 years older than me, so i just tought i had no chance. I made fun of her when we were out with friends and that was about it.

One night she was drunk and we started talking about my ex. I opened up a bit because why the fuck not. Then i was touching her ass and she asked me why. I told her i thought she was hot.

She.absolutely.melted. Been fucking her ever since.

I was a dick and never gave a shit. Lolwomen

ryandiy • 9 points • 8 May, 2019 01:29 AM

Gotta treat her mean to keep her keen.

vullnet123 • 6 points • 7 May, 2019 05:24 PM

Did the same thing with my ex. Huge asshole to her then became a sweetheart when we got together.

quarthomon • -5 points • 7 May, 2019 05:49 PM

We're not in the guessing game. Do you have something to say, or don't you?

whenuwork • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 06:34 PM

Press F to say "WTF, ladies ?!"

RedPilledGodEmperor • 15 points • 7 May, 2019 08:13 PM*

I had a female coworker who was 100% alpha widowed by an ex boyfriend. Anybody who has spent enough time with her can tell. I used to talk to her a bunch (never wanted to fuck her, but was one of the more chill female coworkers) and heard a lot about him. It really confirmed these RP principles. This dude, despite being a "loser" in many ways, would constantly break up with her and she would go back to him. She was in love with him, despite him not really caring about her as much. Despite her badmouthing him, myself and other coworkers really knew that she would keep going back to him. I even joked to her that "I knew exactly what he was doing." (implying that he was keeping her on the side for sex)

She hit up the dating apps and wasn't really into the guys on there. I even told her that she would never be able to date someone else unless she got over him. She would say that she was over him, but guess who she would still hang out with whenever he felt like it (most like pumping and dumping her). It's pretty pathetic, as she's in her mid 30s and still pines for him. A lot of what I have heard from her with regards to this guy and probably other guys she has dated really confirms what you read on here.

A woman who actually was probably good looking in her 20s has been pumped and dumped by a "chad" and probably others, now in her 30s as a single woman, worn out with no kids and probably isn't that happy with how her life has turned out. It's kind of funny to be honest and I feel bad for her in some ways. She got alpha widowed hard.

notdoreen • 24 points • 7 May, 2019 02:49 PM

What are some good red flags to look out for?

AtIRP • 129 points • 7 May, 2019 03:09 PM

Not an exhaustive list, but here are some that are generally accepted within TRP:

- High N count and/or serial monogamy
- Poor or non-existent relationship with her father/paternal figure
- Substance abuse issues/addictive personality
- Maintains contact with ex's
- Self-victimization
- Social circle full of "party girl" types (sluts of a feather flock together)
- High sense of entitlement
- Staunch feminist

critcendo 1 points 7 May, 2019 03:33 PM **[recovered]**

Holy shit I know one of these chicks, meeting her was what turned me onto the red pill. What the fuck.

Xexitar • 18 points • 7 May, 2019 03:24 PM

When you say serial monogamy, do you mean relationship hopping or just being faithful for long periods of time. That bit is confusing.

riot2100 • 41 points • 7 May, 2019 03:40 PM

I'm assuming it's hopping, like getting into a new relationship right after an old one.

mightyman101 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 03:48 PM

What does hopping into a new relationship right after the old one ended mean?

AshyLarry27 • 18 points • 7 May, 2019 08:16 PM

A couple of things. To begin: if she was dating you, and you guys broke up, then shes dating someone else the next day, there is a strong chance she had been around this new guy WAY longer than that 1 day. So she is always looking at other options, always combating FOMO and making sure she has someone with her until she finds the next best thing.

mrbuddy101 • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 10:50 PM

My ex was like that she fucked her supervisor who earns more money than me a few days after we broke up. LOL

Theemulators 1 points 7 May, 2019 04:01 PM* [recovered]

That she islooks never single for long

riot2100 • 5 points • 7 May, 2019 11:36 PM

She had already swung branches before the breakup

Proto_Sigma • 2 points • 9 May, 2019 04:08 AM

It could mean that she always keeps at least one in the tank and has backup options, which honestly is just a smart play that men should also do. Or it means she has a tendency to plan break ups and possibly cheat before formally branch-swinging. The first one alters the power dynamic of the relationship- the second is dangerous.

sizzlingseveral • 2 points • 9 May, 2019 03:29 AM

serial monogamy usually refers to relationship hopping. The length isn't the important part, the important piece is as soon as one relationship starts to get boring, she immediately finds a new guy and doesn't break up with her first one until she's sure she's got the second guy.

MCATL2244 • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 07:28 PM

What's a high n-count for a 22 year old girl? (in your opinion)

Marketing_Baboon • 15 points • 7 May, 2019 08:06 PM

If it's over 5 she's practically damaged goods IMO. Unfortunately that eliminates most of them if an exclusive LTR is what you're after (hint: unless you want children it shouldn't be, you can get just as much intimacy spinning plates). For women there's a trend between n-count before marriage and likelihood of divorcing in the future. Lowest is 0-1 unsurprisingly, around 5% I believe. By the time it reaches 10+ it's something like 40%. So essentially, 90% of women who are sexually active nowadays are a complete coinflip.

lonelyredsheep • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 11:05 PM

What do you think of girls that have 0 n-count since they're genuinely waiting for marriage because of religion/values?

Marketing_Baboon • 12 points • 8 May, 2019 12:11 AM

It means she's incredibly disciplined and doesn't easily give way to hedonistic temptations or our feminized societal brainwashing, both of which are good signs for an LTR. Aside

from that they're more likely to form strong pair-bonds because they haven't ruined their oxytocin neurochemistry by taking miles of dick. Look into some of the research on oxytocin release in women. The effect is much stronger the lower the n-count and decreases after more and more partners. It's kind of like how you develop a tolerance to a drug. They develop an oxytocin tolerance and can never really get that first ultra-intimate bonding experience back. The same isn't true of men because we're adapted to different sexual strategies. Dopamine plays a larger role and instead the signal decreases not with n-count but with lack of novelty. Hypergamy vs. Polygamy, even our neurochemistry is optimized for it.

MCATL2244 • 2 points • 8 May, 2019 01:48 AM

"Miles of dick" - a girl who had one previous ex boyfriend (N1) for 5 years prolly banged 3000 times including anal, raw, cum shots all the shit that comes with a LTR vs a a N3/4 girl who banged maybe 20-40 times as she had several flings and never committed to a LTR (assuming both girls are around age 22).

Honest question marketing-Baboon, which girl is more sexually experienced? I believe it's the N1 girl who knows all her kinks and fetishes, while the N3/4 girl likely had vanilla condom sex with the lights off in a college dorm.

N3/4 girl is more "free" and values sex less. More spontaneous and likely to leave you out of nowhere.

N1 girl is no longer innocent and has her favorite fetishes and kinks. Likely more alpha widowed.

Both girls come with inherited risks. There is no right answer. Stating N1 is better than N3/4 is not looking at the whole picture.

Marketing_Baboon • 9 points • 8 May, 2019 02:49 AM

If you look at the data, then on average you're wrong. Girls with higher n-count are more likely to get divorced. Period. All you're essentially doing is highlighting the difference between observed population level differences and individuals. Sure, individual mileage may vary, always. What I'm talking about is statistical trends and probability, nothing more.

MCATL2244 • 2 points • 8 May, 2019 03:02 AM

Very aware of the study. N-1 is obviously the best because of religious pressure. And why is N4 more likely to divorce than N8 based on the same study? Double the partners but less likely to divorce? This study has its flaws.

Again, I'm not defending double digit notches. I personally wouldn't LTR with a girl more than 5/6 partners. My point is N4 isn't always worse than N1.

In my example the N1 girl (soon to be N2) fucked ex boyfriend's brains out 5 times a week for 5 years. Her ass is 100% alpha widowed. You'd really wanna follow that? Vs the 4 flings girl? Sex is 90% mental for girls. Few flings girl has her flaws, but you are never living up to 5 year ex N1 girl

dankmonty • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 11:44 PM

Falls into 0-1 category like he says. 5% divorce rate

lonelyredsheep • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 11:58 PM

I mean personal thoughts on them, I saw the statistic earlier but thanks anyway

bsutansalt • 9 points • 7 May, 2019 08:12 PM

More than 3 is a good ballpark depending on when she started fucking. If she started any earlier than 16-17, that alone is a big red flag

thatzombieoverthere • 2 points • 8 May, 2019 10:12 AM

>2

1 partner in high school

1 partner in college

Any more and she's a thot.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 07:58 PM

Ah this list reminds me of how much of an idiot I was when I was in love with my ex

party_dragon • 2 points • 8 May, 2019 10:17 PM

Student debt (although I hear that's a very hard requirement to satisfy in the US, despite the effort men put into screening for it)

sizzlingseveral • 2 points • 9 May, 2019 03:28 AM

my first GF ticks all of those. She was what drove me to the redpill in the first place. I found out she was fucking some other guy behind my back for a large part of our short relationship and that our breakup was just BS so she could date this guy. That hits hard when you don't have experience with girls.

okuli • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 05:06 PM

what's wrong with serial monogamy?

and what are the alternatives? FWB and CC?

Marketing_Baboon • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 08:11 PM

Serial monogamy indicates an inability to pair-bond with your partner. It's not as simple as just 'having been in lots of relationships = bad', it's that being in so many relationships seems to damage a woman's ability to take any of them seriously enough to go the distance, or at least that's what the data shows.

<https://ifstudies.org/blog/counterintuitive-trends-in-the-link-between-premarital-sex-and-marital-stability>

DARTH_GALL • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 04:19 PM

Sean Smith. Tactical guide to women

recov3r • 2 points • 9 May, 2019 04:39 PM

Dr. Shawn T. Smith is the actual name.

OneRedSock • 23 points • 7 May, 2019 04:42 PM*

Wishes she was a mermaid
Loves all things Disney
Idolizes Marilyn Monroe
You know, basic white girl shit.
Edit: FYI - Red Flags don't matter.

_do_not_read_this_ • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 05:34 PM

<http://www.returnofkings.com/135469/i-do-not-date-woman-who-have-any-of-these-15-red-flags>

<http://www.returnofkings.com/97863/13-signs-that-a-woman-is-toxic>

mryoudontknowme368 • 9 points • 7 May, 2019 05:43 PM*

Oof..that first list hurt me. Some stuff on there about my soon to be ex-wife. Three of the items listed are exactly things we fought about constantly and/or reasons we are ending.

_do_not_read_this_ • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 05:44 PM

I was dating a chick at the time I found that list and she bulls-eyed on all 15. Lasted about two months. I'm glad we did it, and I was glad when it was over.

notdoreen • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 06:12 PM

Both lists are great. However I did not get :2. A woman who falls for “black guy” game.

Does this mean she fucked a Black guy? Some of the most RP men I know are Black. I don't understand this part.

_do_not_read_this_ • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 07:18 PM

I suspect, but don't know for a fact, that some of the ROK articles were written by dudes who got burned in one way or another. Probably the author's gf ditched him for a black dude? Grain of salt on these lists.

empatheticapathetic • -1 points • 7 May, 2019 07:48 PM

That website is very pro White pride supposedly.

Thinkingard • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 09:15 PM

When I was early twenties I went after a girl who was pretty damn broken but she was the most attractive girl in my social circle. I worked so damn hard as a blue pill for her only for her to end it quickly because I treated her so well and she had no idea how to process it. In retrospect it's good for a guy to learn that lesson and actually understand what happened as early in his life as possible.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 11:26 PM*

Listen to this man and beware of the goddamn Alpha widow like the plague boys. Thoroughly vet her. Be a detective without her knowing it. Probe into her past by seeming non-judgemental and figure out the full BitchFax to give yourself a true accounting of who she actually is. Every word that comes out of her mouth is useless, judge her past and her current actions to get an accurate view. If you find red flags, bail.

Especially beware of the n=1 gals who say they were in an "abusive" relationship or who say "I didnt know what love was before in my last relationship", in womenz-talk 9/10 this means she was plowed by an Alpha

who wasn't abusing her, he just didnt care. She knew he didnt care, but still wanted him badly enough to give her body up over and over to him. He most likely didnt have to go on any expensive dates, buy gifts etc. Hell she probably bought him shit and he still left. That kind of n=1 turns into a lifelong widow.

These days you have to do this because of all the fake, bloodsucking and dreamkilling women out there. Wasn't so 50-100 years ago when you had a higher chance of finding decent quality women at every level of society.

KeffirLime • 207 points • 7 May, 2019 02:56 PM*

A women who has been endlessly pumped and dumped, passed around like a bowl of soup at a tribal gathering is well aware she's worthless to high value men. She's been aspiring to them her entire fertile years, met with rash, consistent disposability.

When a man comes along and instantly offers up his loyalty, love and devotion without a price, no matter how good looking, wealthy or social he appears to be, he's signalling the ultimate sexual and emotional repulsion, that he is a low value man, with few options even more worthless than her.

The tension, the uncertainty and the unattainable is the very core to female attraction, which is why in every study and female reported attraction survey they'll tell you they love guys who are respectful, treat them like queens and constantly express their love. They are expressing their desire for a man who relieves this tension.

The female arousal dichotomy however, is that they are blissfully unaware that the relief from this tension is the relief from attraction. Comfort kills attraction. They are emotional creatures that fold at the absence of the forces that drive emotion. Comfort, predictability and certainty are the surefire quickest way to abolish all emotional spikes.

This is a standard western women's progression. Chase the unattainable Chad, feel the tension, desire the relief from this tension, tell the world about how you just want these Chad's to be nice loving and respectful, get nice guys listening to these women who then giving them this. Then having the women being miserable, stuck in an endless rut of predictability, longing for those glory days of uncertain emotional fire.

This is how men get anchored into Blue Pill thinking. Society says women should be listened to, when in reality they have no idea what they want.

HarringtonHouseGuy • 71 points • 7 May, 2019 03:08 PM*

It's sad, but fuck do I agree with this. I've been with 40+ women (as have most of my bros) and it's fairly often that we talk about how much we'd love to just find an emotionally supportive female who won't bail at the first sign of her man being a person (instead of being some kinda stoic figure meant to carry her father projections)

One of us dropped and married an ugo, and tbh he's happier than the rest of us combined. Food for thought.

[deleted] • 37 points • 7 May, 2019 03:33 PM

Yup I've seen it too, but now you know none of them are special so naturally you shouldn't look for that in any of them or feel like they are "the one".

Your male friends provide the support you need, she provides sex.

Unfortunately that's about it these days. She used to provide a lot more.

RIP the West.

HarringtonHouseGuy 1 points 7 May, 2019 03:37 PM* [recovered]

Some are more special than others,haha. But I understand the sentiment behind your words. Sadly, I

now see women as being more of a past time now than anything, but I do hope to be proven wrong one day.

[deleted] • 17 points • 7 May, 2019 03:41 PM

I just wouldn't expect anything from them. Be responsible for your own fulfillment and happiness and if one of them wants to tag along let them, but don't be surprised if they leave when they see the next shiny object.

HarringtonHouseGuy 1 points 10 May, 2019 01:12 PM **[recovered]**

That's a sound philosophy. There's a part of me that just wants to grow with someone though. To experience that. Guess I'm just a romantic

KeffirLime • 49 points • 7 May, 2019 03:47 PM

The best LTR partners are always going to be young pre-alpha widowed women or your uggos who haven't cracked the alpha nod. Both have their downsides though.

Young pre-alpha widow will be lured and corrupted by friends and a society that characterizes young female loyalty as a "wasted youth", particularly if she's in college while uggos are well...uggos, which doesn't do much for male sexual arousal.

Then there's the ultimate issue of male monogamy, which if you're a man with options is particularly difficult to sacrifice.

Personally, LTR's are only for periods of stability, when you've experienced enough variety, want to focus on your own personal growth and have a woman who is particularly supportive of your goals. Having a beautiful, undamaged, young woman who fits this criteria is a massive rarity.

This needs to be coupled with somewhat of an outcome independent mentality, where you enjoy it for what it is, while it lasts and move on if/when it no longer serves that purpose.

EastCoastCobra • 11 points • 7 May, 2019 04:04 PM

As one of the best ECs on here, do you find it possible to LTR the pre-alpha widowed woman as long as the IDGAF attitude is maintained and outcome independence stays true on your end? Or are you of the mindset that it's impossible to have a successful LTR, period? Having stayed away from LTRs for years now I'm just curious if the current girl who seems to fit all of the green flags can actually be LTR'd.

KeffirLime • 28 points • 7 May, 2019 06:07 PM

I think you can comfortably have a successful LTR so long as expectations are consistently managed and you have a sufficient bank of experience going into it.

You need to have the skill set to be able to recognize a LTR worthy girl from an unworthy one, you need to be able to manage women, their behavior and their tendencies. You need to be comfortable leading, taking charge and control and you need to be able to play that fine line between comfort and dread that makes a quality LTR. This only comes with experience, being with many women and understanding their nature.

The next biggest factor is managing expectations. Not going into it with ideals of "forever" or this is the one, it simply needs to be a woman who gets's measured. The better she performs the longer she gets to be a part of your journey, the worse she performs the the quicker you need to fall back on your decision to LTR her. There are hundreds of other women who can replace her and there is

no reason to put up with sub standard LTR's.

Human Biology doesn't necessarily lend itself towards excessively long pair bonding between two people and for this reason the only rational thing to do is extract value from it while it has value to give and have the awareness to recognize when it doesn't. When that happens you need to be willing to walk.

EastCoastCobra • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 07:58 PM

Thanks for your well thought out response. This is helpful and I appreciate it.

AshyLarry27 • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 08:39 PM

No matter how much of this material people read, most can't help themselves when they feel they are with a "good girl" and can't help but ask the age old question of LTR's.

Pardon the negativity but I don't believe it is truly possible to maintain a long lasting LTR, it is simply not "natural." What Keffir gets right is that on your end, you must essentially have the experience in classic alpha male mentality/personality (leader, charismatic, confident), while being excellent at holding frame and maintaining IDGAF mentality. Then you have to have enough experience to properly vet girls, along with weeding out the ones you know are walking red flags.

As difficult as it already sounds, you also cannot predict or account for what goes on in the girl's life. There are always men trying their luck with her, at her job, at girls night out, when she's out getting lunch, ect. Most importantly in all of this, "its just your turn" is such a classic statement here for a reason. At some point you have to acknowledge we all get tired of something that has been around for too long. No one eats just one type of food for the rest of their life and swears off everything else, no one listens to just one band for the rest of their life and just swears off everything else. Do you see where I am going with this yet . . .

MCATL2244 • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 08:30 PM

“Human biology and pairbonding” comment. Are you saying it’s not healthy to pairbond with a women for an EXTENDED amount of time (say years) if it’s not a good relationship? As natural instincts kick in and you will pairbond regardless?

KeffirLime • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 09:21 PM

It's the way we are biologically wired.

Women have an in built hypergamy mechanism to branchswing from one alpha to another, while men have an in built desire to spread their seed to multiple women.

The forces that drive our attraction are not centered around building successful long term pair bond between two exclusive parties.

Without religious and cultural barriers enforcing monogamy our biological inclination is likely to pull us away from our partners at some point.

unknownknowledge • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 10:07 AM

And able, a la Rollo’s safety net

MCATL2244 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 08:26 PM

“Young pre-alpha widowed” is this 2-3 partners? A certain age as well?

KeffirLime • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 09:27 PM

Under 25, max n-count around 3.

The important variable is that she wasn't alpha widowed, which is becoming increasingly rare amongst young women because their youth is their prime alpha hunting years.

If you're looking for a good looking women that fits this bill, the pool is small.

MCATL2244 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 09:47 PM*

See my post, bang vs notch count. A girl who banged 1 dude for 5 years seems like a hard act to follow and she's likely alpha widowed. (if your cut off is age 25). N1 isn't always better than N3 or N4 if this girl didn't invest her whole life into you, who likely had vanilla sex in a college dorm a few times, vs the N1 girl who's done all the kinky shit you do in a LTR anal, raw, facials and proolly plowed 2000 times, while the N3/4 girl only banged 20-40 times with flings

KeffirLime • 5 points • 8 May, 2019 08:22 AM

This is binary thinking, there's far too many factors to consider when LTR'ing a girl, micro'ing it down to this one particular variable is not going to tell you much.

It's simply **one of the many** general indicators, the higher it goes the worse her chances of being a good pair bond, the lower it is the better her chances.

That's all it really is.

GoyInTheStripedPJs • 14 points • 7 May, 2019 05:10 PM*

Man, I also really wish it wasn't like this. This is why my first reaction upon exposure to TRP & it's various concepts was a sort of reflexive rejection. I didn't want to believe that this is how things really are. Eventually though, as my experience with women increased, it became absolutely undeniable. I suspect I am not alone in this.

I feel incredibly grateful that I found the truth, but it is a truth that is nonetheless painful to accept. Even now, I sometimes feel that irrational desire to find a unicorn, some circumstance in which these principles might not apply... It is easy to accept AWALT in principle but a bit harder to accept in practice. In the end though, only a coward or a fool runs from the truth.

I have a long way to go before I will truly understand & accept TRP principles, but I take solace in the fact that I won't be condemned to a life of frustration caused by playing the game with the wrong set of rules. TRP allows us to shed all of the delusional fantasies modern culture tells us about relationships and what it means to be a good partner, and this is a gift we have to accept no matter how painful it is at first.

ozenmacher • 13 points • 7 May, 2019 08:52 PM*

One of the men I had more respect for than any other during the turbulent times of my low 20s (my first boss, who became a friend to a lot of the young men in our company), who was single, well-off financially, smart as a whip, confident, etc. Basically perfect guy for a long-term monogamous relationship. All of us young 20 something guys wondered why the hell he was single, living in a one bedroom apartment with his cat. Well, lo and behold, he was purposely avoiding all the attractive women who wanted him while saving his money and investing (we didn't know that at the time). He eventually settled on a new girl who came to the company, married her, and now they have a couple kids and are

very happy 10 years later, now living in a pretty nice mountain state in their mountain home. At the time, my friend and I said, "how can he MARRY this homely women!?" (she was a legitimate 4-5, on a good day, slightly overweight, and dorky looking). Now I get it. Not alpha-widowed. Didn't party it up. He is definitely 2 points if not more higher on the SMV AND RMV ladder. She loves her father who is a strong male, and the family together is very strongly rooted in Christianity and chasteness. It makes sense now...but looking back he was red-pilled more than I ever could have understood at the time.

MAdonna/Whore definitely still exists in the mind of men. You don't marry a whore, you marry a plain-Jane who cultivates importance in her life existence much like a man does (developing skills and maturing as a human, learning to be a home-maker, not existing off her beauty, etc.). The beauties are fun to have fun with, but don't settle with them ever. They are likely broken souls and will chase their tingles with the remainder of their existence. "Alpha widow" is the perfect summation of their life.

INNASKILLZ2K18 • 3 points • 8 May, 2019 11:25 AM

Find your emotional support somewhere else. She's not a therapist. You can have emotions, just show her you can handle them. We should be self sufficient anyway.

PierceTheEye • 5 points • 7 May, 2019 03:18 PM

Ugo?

HarringtonHouseGuy 1 points 7 May, 2019 03:44 PM **[recovered]**

A female who isn't considered traditionally attractive

THE_EVIL_EYE_IS_REAL • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 03:44 PM

Wow this is spot on, dropping red pill bombs

omega_dawg93 • 5 points • 7 May, 2019 04:50 PM

homerun keffir... good write up.

Greaterbird • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 05:33 PM

Best outcome for a girl like that is proably ending up with a daddy type.

awakenedspirit1 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 06:10 PM

Fantastic description of the blue pill.

taway987123456 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 08:38 PM

The knowledge in this post, spot on.

Protocol_Apollo • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 06:49 AM

This lowkey confirms the truth that all women have to offer is sex, children and companionship and they know it

INNASKILLZ2K18 • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 11:22 AM

u/Keffirlime nailed it. One of the key red pill truths, stated clearly and concisely.

To me, it's about emotional intelligence and awareness. Many people (men included) think there are certain emotional states they desire, but when they have them realise it's not what they want at all.

Overall emotional resolution, long lasting calm and 'peace' being one. Many people experience emotional conflicts, ups and downs, emotional challenge and find it difficult. They naturally assume the opposite must be what they want. 'Society' tells them the opposite is what they want.

However, conflict, challenge, emotional obstacles is what keeps us alive, forces us to grow and push ourselves. I believe those who buy into the bluepill illusion of 'happy happy, calm calm', end up fucked. Women chose safe, boring men and feel unfulfilled. Men sit on the couch and watch netflix, hamstering new ways to deny they are perpetual losers.

Masculine men want their emotional challenge from life. Women want their emotional challenge from men.

Most women aren't going to say 'Cool, I have an emotionally unchallenging man, so now I can turn my battles towards life'. Most of them can't handle life.

The trick, in my opinion, is how to offer her some emotional challenges whilst still being a healthy dude and not falling into manipulation and bullshit.

olinvomibo123 • 1 point • 9 May, 2019 09:32 AM

This comment is a barrage of truth bombs, thanks

TwentyEighteen • 44 points • 7 May, 2019 03:04 PM*

This reminds me of an analysis done on a billion google searches. From the analysis they found that the typical erotic female fantasy is where a dominant, powerful male is seduced and tamed by a young, innocent female. Of course the details vary but most follow this general plot. Source: a billion wicked thoughts

So apply this to her post, she has already seduced and tamed a man. The fantasy is over and so she is bored. The fun is in the chase or journey not in the destination. Meanwhile In her past relationships, she talks about how she played guessing games about a man's thinking or needs. Which indicates she was continuously trying to tame the man. And so the fantasy never ended and the fun continued

Edit: this also speaks to the hypergamous nature of women. If a man is always just out of reach, well you're probably dating up. If a man is easily available, well he's likely at your SMV or lower

BurnoutRS • 18 points • 7 May, 2019 05:08 PM

I love the part where she lies to herself about her beta, especially the "the sex is great" line

You can see the inner turmoil. She doesn't know who to please. There's the overarching notion of equality and kindness, and there's the inignorable ball of lead in her gut.

"If I don't talk more about how great he is then it will become obvious that I'm lying to hide my true nature. The reason I'm lying to hide my true nature is because I've been conditioned to believe that it's oppressive. I'm oppressed by my false desire not to be an oppressor"

If she doesn't fuck him, she's a "broken woman who can only get off on asshole dick" but if she does she has to fuck him.

It's the equivalent of someone telling me I have to fuck the homely hambeast as a courtesy. "She's a nice girl! We're all equal! why don't you like her? You're so judgmental"

Metallurg2 • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 05:48 PM

Noticed that too, a nice guy wouldn't be able to deliver a good sex because he's always fucks up the natural order and "puts her first", she's also not attracted to him

throwlaca • 17 points • 7 May, 2019 07:54 PM*

I'm in a rather weird situation. I dated a girl for a year. I already knew about TRP so I treated her like dirt. She went crazy for me. Then some fucked up shit happened, I went too hard on the dread and she dumped me. That exact moment I got oneitis for her. Yea, TRP and everything I fell. She on the other hand, couldn't stop talking about me to everybody for months. I believe she was effectively alpha-widowed.

Anyway a year later she came back, even with my rampant oneitis I managed to attract her again using TRP tactics. I'm fucking her brains out for months BUT now I realize, Im much nicer. I actually love her now. She's starting to display clear signs that shes not that attracted anymore. I will apply dread and try to hide my oneitis but I know this relationship is doomed. But then again, who's not.

mountainbiker178 • 13 points • 7 May, 2019 09:16 PM

That's fucked up. It really sucks that you (any man) can't relax. You've got to game women your entire life. It never ends.

TheGweatandTewwible • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 10:17 PM

You know the right answer to this, my dude. Let her go. If a girl is bringing you this much turmoil the best thing you can do is rip that band-aid out and let it heal in its own time.

[deleted] • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 04:23 PM

Guys, I just got banned from r/sex because of my redpill comments! I don't understand reddit anymore!

okuli • 13 points • 7 May, 2019 05:13 PM

The fact that this sub is quarantined should give you some clue. We are outcasts here on Reddit.

acidaus • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 11:49 PM

I got banned from posting on askmenover30 for similar reasons

GodOfDinosaurs • 47 points • 7 May, 2019 02:20 PM

Everybody knows this deep down; or at least they should if they reflected for a moment. It can happen to men too, although to a lesser degree. I dated a BP girl in college and a sick part of me misses the anxiety inducing insanity they bring to the table.

Sidenote - Why does CH always have to include a racist tirade in his posts? Decent RP observations and then drops a ridiculous comparison to immigration. I'm pretty sure the pro-refugee argument doesn't boil down to women's secret innate desire to be fucked by arabs lmao.

anylegtypes 1 points 7 May, 2019 02:45 PM* **[recovered]**

Man I first ran into CH maybe... 8 years ago? Idk exactly but a *long* time ago

I kinda grew out of the whole PUA blog thing, went out, lived life, only happened to stay in touch with TRP through Reddit which I was using anyway...

Checked back into CH for the first time in years, just the other day...

And holy *shit* did his blog radicalise him

Now you can barely go a post without seeing references to our "Globohomo culture" and betas swallowing Allah jizz and... I think Obama is called homomulatto or gaymulatto or something like that more often than not? The comments calling for killing Jews and Muslims aren't even *alluding* to it in many cases, they outright state "all white men have a duty to sh00t the invaders on sight" and shit like that

It's pretty nuts to see how internet communities, unless they are careful to *keep looking outwards and reminding themselves of the virtues of other belief systems, even those they disagree with*, can radicalise and polarise so heavily in a single direction and completely lose sight of moderation

Hjalmbere • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 03:00 PM

Read or heard somewhere that the guy who started the blog handed it over to someone else.

Top_Ozone • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 03:34 PM

Yea, this. He sold it off to a group and it swerved down some alternate paths pretty quickly.

Hjalmbere • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 03:19 AM

A group with Swastika Tourette's apparently. Sad.

chaseemall • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 03:28 PM

The seeds of it were always there.

Diversity+Proximity = War was one of the first things the blog ever wrote.

And here's the thing, how people on that blog think is how most people have thought and acted throughout human history. To think that we're better than 99% of human history is only true when we actually *are*. Ethnic warfare and genocide have been the norm for most of human history. We haven't evolved past it quite yet, so places like the Chateau are useful to keep our thinking in line with the evolutionary biological reality underpinning our current political situation, even if we (preferably) manage to quell the ethnic/national conflicts and have some kind of peaceful, harmonious order.

ring2ding 1 points 7 May, 2019 02:49 PM [recovered]

Wow I wasn't expecting a comment this thoughtful and wise in redpill of all places.

BazingaBen • 8 points • 7 May, 2019 03:07 PM

My experience is the opposite tbh. I generally find well thought out comments here that are often intelligently written and articulate. My personal feeling has been that the general intelligence here must be higher than average as I don't find this to be the case on the rest of reddit in general unless you go into subs such as r/science.

anylegtypes 1 points 7 May, 2019 03:05 PM* [recovered]

TRP contains a lot of wisdom, in my mind, and it's only *somewhat* from the social dynamics discussed here

Surprisingly for a community that advises being massively, even unjustifiably confident... TRP has a pretty solid sense of humility about it. The rules actively prohibit moralising, and the posting guidelines tell you to make specific cases ("Strategy X can help with Y") instead of imperatives ("Stop doing X").

So what you get here is a whole bunch of people treating this forum as a *toolkit* that they can take from when needed, which I think is really cool.

That means when TRP is helping you get laid and keep your girlfriend happy and enjoy life, use it! But if it starts making you paranoid, or viewing your relationship in terms of an unhealthy competition, or otherwise causing you harm... you can leave it behind for a bit and that's ok because TRP is built on a basis of *providing ideas so you can adapt them into your own framework*, rather than *providing ideas so you can (subconsciously) buy into them more and more in order to progress*

up the social hierarchy in the forum. It's just UNDERSTOOD that there's no shared morality or higher belief here to buy into, just bits and pieces we might have in common and a few interesting ways to link them together.

That intra-community openness is really thematically consistent with the point I was making, that inter-community openness is important. I think that if you buy TRP's premise (this is all just a toolkit to help you succeed), then there's no reason you shouldn't be applying that to other communities on a grander scale.

I sub to really left wing and right wing forums, TRP and TwoXChromosomes, subs about adultery and subs about those recovering from being cheated on... because no matter which of them I align with *more*, they all have something to offer, and the sheer fact that I'm checking in with so many belief networks helps keep me grounded

It's one of the great virtues of Reddit, as opposed to another blog network or news hub — you can deliberately cultivate that kind of information and belief diversity

chaseemall • 6 points • 7 May, 2019 03:30 PM

I sub to really left and right wing subs

Getting there as well. I'm starting to get to the bottom of the horseshoe, and it ain't so bad down here.

redpillschool[S] • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 03:37 PM

Well put.

flipwater • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 05:16 PM

I sub to really left wing and right wing forums, TRP and TwoXChromosomes, subs about adultery and subs about those recovering from being cheated on... because no matter which of them I align with *more*, they all have something to offer, and the sheer fact that I'm checking in with so many belief networks helps keep me grounded

Would love to see a list of varied subs like that that you recommend.

1029341238 • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 10:17 PM

"It is the mark of an educated mind to be able to entertain a thought without accepting it." -- Aristotle

ring2ding • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 04:47 PM*

> It's just UNDERSTOOD that there's no shared morality or higher belief here to buy into

Interesting because I didn't always get that impression. In fact, I took a break from redpill for years after I went deep enough into the rabbit hole trying to find a unifying redpill theory (motivated partially from shame propagated around here that I wasn't "fully redpilled" yet). It seems like the community of redpill's ideas around marriage have changed quite a bit (scroll through my comment history and you'll see me heavily advocating for marriage and getting lots of upvotes), but I remember even a year ago when anybody advocating for marriage would be downvoted to hell and told that they're still "bluepilled". So, I went on a quest to find out why redpill is so against marriage. I found this:

TheRedPill/comments/4nsl0r/who_killed_marriage_10_trigger_warning_dry_theory

Which connected a lot of dots as to why people around here often spout to "enjoy the decline". Once I finally saw how dark and twisted the foundation of Redpill is, I determined that I don't want any part in it. To be honest, a big reason why I still occasionally troll around here is to try and save others from the narcissism and nihilism so often being spouted around here.

anylegtypes 1 points 7 May, 2019 04:55 PM* [recovered]

I feel the same way at times.

The idea that I'm building my case on, though, is that **the most visible markers of consensus on Reddit are not *really* the best indicators of what people actually believe and understand about the community.**

What are some visible markers of consensus? Well:

Upvotes

Comments agreeing with people

Endorsed contributors

Field reports referencing certain ideas

Constant reminders of AWALT

Etc.

So from these markers, we might tend to form a view that TRP... well, has a certain dark ideology. One highly critical of women, Machiavellian in morality, and cynical of society's future prospects.

But are these markers really the *best* indicators of what people believe about TRP?

I'd argue no — a stray upvote thrown to a post for being entertaining, or a quick 30 second comment made late at night about how dread game will solve the issue, is actually probably not very indicative of how people are integrating TRP into their life overall.

I submit that *a much better indicator* of how people view TRP is comments they make about THEIR life and how they PERSONALLY act. And when you look at threads high in those comments, you start to get a lot more variance.

You'll still get some guys here who (claim to) juggle half a dozen plates and not care about women at all because they're too busy clubbing 2x a week with their male pals who all lift. Nice, they're killing life!

But when people comment about themselves, you also see a lot more men... just navigating relationships like everyone else, questioning to what extent AWALT applies when they seem to have found a pretty sweet girl, commenting that good game is "30% beta" and giving credence to other points of view, stating that they've had chances to cheat but didn't because they don't want to be that sort of guy.

I think the way people *actually* view TRP is **mostly** as a toolkit to be applied in moderation and integrated into their life. The fact that 90% of their comments might seem to have a shared ideology is less relevant to me if I accept that they're likely just a different person online, and that shared ideology actually probably isn't all that pervasive (or *coherent*) in person.

ring2ding 1 points 7 May, 2019 05:14 PM* [recovered]

You'll still get some guys here who (claim to) juggle half a dozen plates and not care

about women at all because they're too busy clubbing 2x a week with their male pals who all lift. Nice, they're killing life!

This is funny. If you were to ask me to describe redpill in a nutshell it would be this.

lepies_pegao • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 06:06 PM

Thank you. These past two weeks I've been thinking about the coolness/success of others. I'm not happy with my professional life at this moment, I've been trying to get a more demanding and rewarding job for the past 6 months. Things haven't gone my way (yet), and some time I've started to feel impatient and frustrated, in part because I'm comparing my career achievements with those of others.

It might be the case that I Might not be good enough, but I have set a new goal for my self - be grateful for what I do have and keep getting better (Which is good enough for me)

ring2ding • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 06:08 PM

I'm glad somebody found my ramblings useful. Cheers.

swampbastard69 • 4 points • 7 May, 2019 04:21 PM

Why is 'moderation' some kind of mandatory virtue? If he thinks society is crumbling, what is wrong with a radical view? Moderation is what got us here, give someone an inch, they take a mile. Also if you are RP then there can be no separating this stuff from modern politics. The modern left is an outright war on masculinity and men. The men who support it are weak. CH knows that these two things are inseparable. You have mainstream media saying things like 'being white isnt ok'...where is the push back? Where is the other side represented? I dont agree with a lot of what he says but at least he is calling this shit out.

RStonePT • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 03:59 PM*

deleted

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 04:03 PM

Says who?

GodOfDinosaurs • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 03:42 PM

Yeah, I read through the comments sometimes when RPSchool shares a CH blog. It's always an "ah, these people are actually real" moment.

I'd say the same about some TRP posters, but CH is on another level.

bringbricks • 5 points • 7 May, 2019 02:31 PM

"I wish I liked America more. But I don't. America's emotionally available and actually likes me."

I actually laughed hard at that. Can't believe some people...

[deleted] • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 03:20 PM

I've always suspected that formative sexual experiences stamp themselves onto our brains. The first two girls I seriously dated had wavy hair and I still like it to this day. France's president imprinted on the teacher who

molested him. Any kind of sexual abuse puts women into a tailspin 99% won't recover from.

I'm pretty sure the pro-refugee argument doesn't boil down to women's secret innate desire to be fucked by arabs lmao.

I'd say it's mostly typical frustrated maternal instincts and performative compassion(EG, that Swedish girl throwing a huge tantrum on a plane containing a deportee). Maybe subconsciously many DO like the idea of importing men from more alpha cultures, though. Or they're hoping the competition/strife will create stronger men, since they *hate* the betamaxes their ideology is creating.

NorthEasternNomad • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 04:00 PM

That's the funniest thing: they're creating what they hate, because THEY have made it socially unacceptable to admit what they really want.

_lock_down_[] • 16 points • 7 May, 2019 03:12 PM

Strong immigration laws do not equal racism, no matter how many times CNN does their best to convince people otherwise. If you do not want uninvited guests in your house, it does not make you xenophobic or imply that you dislike people of a different color or nationality.

NorthEasternNomad • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 03:58 PM

I put it this way:

Your neighbor has 10 dogs. He asks you to dog sit for 10 days while he is away.

Nine of the dogs are sweet, loving and just happy to be cared for. One dog is hand biting, child terrorizing, aggressive murder machine whose activities the owner has seen the leftovers of countless times, but whom he can never catch in the act.

All the dogs look roughly the same. Happy dog sitting.

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 9 points • 7 May, 2019 04:05 PM*

Yeah, that's why the majority of "refugees" that have been let into Western Europe have committed numerous crimes making crime skyrocket, created rape gangs, etc.

GodOfDinosaurs • -1 points • 7 May, 2019 03:39 PM

From the last paragraph of the blog:

Unfortunately, I think I'd rather be miserable than bored, so I'm welcoming as many filthy, depraved, rapey, aggressively stupid and unpredictable refugees into my country as I can to make my life exciting again.

That's not merely wanting strong immigration laws, that's fucking racist. To think otherwise is delusional.

PS2Errol • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 10:57 PM

How is it racist? I don't think you understand what you are talking about.

GodOfDinosaurs • 5 points • 8 May, 2019 01:56 PM

Ah, yes. Referring to immigrants who are of a specific subset of ethnicities and similar skin colors as "filthy, depraved, rapey, aggressive stupid and unpredictable" isn't racist. That's right. I'm sure he's just talking about Icelanders on holiday.

FieldLine • 3 points • 10 May, 2019 03:20 AM

That's not merely wanting strong immigration laws, that's fucking racist.

So?

GodOfDinosaurs • 1 point • 10 May, 2019 01:58 PM

What do you mean "so"? He tried to argue that the post didn't end on a racist note, so I showed why it did.

If you don't think racism is wrong that's your own problem.

TheRedPike[M] • 1 point • 10 May, 2019 05:12 PM

Refugee isn't a race, Copernicus. The Irish and Italians caught the same shit coming here 150 years ago. I'm growing tired of this constant stream of dick waving from you.

/u/Fieldline, your call.

GodOfDinosaurs • 2 points • 10 May, 2019 05:15 PM

Yeah and guess what? The Irish used to be considered a race separate from the 'white' race. Much of the anti-Irish sentiment in those days was literally racist. Everyone knows exactly what CH is talking about.

TheRedPike • 1 point • 10 May, 2019 05:20 PM

No, they were not. The Irish manned the Royal Navy just like the dirty Scots and drunk pressed English. They were treated just the same until they tried to move their Catholocism into Protestant neighborhoods. The same goes for the Italians. The only reason the French didn't get the same treatment is they went to Canada instead, whereas the Spanish laundered their identity either through the old empire or south america.

GodOfDinosaurs • 2 points • 10 May, 2019 05:26 PM

This phenomenon is well documented. I can source it if you would like. The Irish were considered a racially separate category from 'Caucasian'. Racial categories shift over time to accommodate social/political trends. So yes, much of the vitriol against the Irish was explicitly racist.

TheRedPike • 0 points • 10 May, 2019 07:13 PM

Technically, they aren't caucasian. Germanics are caucasians. Irish are either celt or norse. That doesn't mean this was why Irish were treated poorly. I explicitly told you why already.

FieldLine • 1 point • 10 May, 2019 06:35 PM

Let's take this to its logical conclusion.

Suppose you're right. Suppose CH is a racist, what he wrote here is racist, and he is more generally completely unambiguous about his racist feelings towards minorities. You have successfully classified his opinion here as "racist".

Now what?

GodOfDinosaurs • 2 points • 10 May, 2019 06:47 PM

Then nothing. That part of my comment was a musing; it's got nothing to do with RP principles. Someone chose to respond to it in the the comment thread so I'm responding in-kind. I'm not making a 'moral' argument here about whether CH should be linked to.

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 4 points • 7 May, 2019 04:06 PM

Refugee isn't a race.

GodOfDinosaurs 1 points 7 May, 2019 05:39 PM [recovered]

Dude, don't act stupid. We all know what he's talking about.

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 4 points • 7 May, 2019 05:43 PM

Is this one of those dog whistles you have to listen for, cause I'm not hearing it, "Dewd".

GodOfDinosaurs 1 points 7 May, 2019 06:08 PM [recovered]

There's a refugee crisis in Europe The refugees are primarily from arab nations including Syria It's a common trope to say they are dirty, stupid, rapists, etc

If you can't hear the dogwhistle then you're fucking stupid lol

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 0 points • 7 May, 2019 06:16 PM

| primarily

Wrong.

| dog whistle

You're the only one hearing it, soo..

GodOfDinosaurs 1 points 7 May, 2019 06:18 PM [recovered]

It was literally labeled the "Syrian refugee crisis".

| You're the only one hearing it, soo..

You are an absolute retard

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 4 points • 7 May, 2019 06:19 PM

Oh, so there aren't any african migrants? Okay, dewd.

AAThrowaway5 • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 06:36 PM

No joke.... The girl who treated me the worst was the toughest to get over.

HotelMohelHolidayInn • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 03:05 PM

| doesn't boil down

The hell it doesn't.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Behavioral_sink

quarthomon • 2 points • 7 May, 2019 05:52 PM

He said refugees; you said Arabs. Who's the racist?

Also they are foreign because of their behavior and religion, not their race.

twofones • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 02:20 AM

It does boil down to that.

It always has.

Women are stuck in a perpetual state of possible invasion.

So are men.

Men evolved to protect their culture, pride, and fight the invaders.

Women evolved to fuck the invaders if they win.

The women who learned to LIKE being invaded are the ones we are left with today.

The women who were loyal to their dead husbands, scratched and kicked, screamed, bit invader dicks off... the good ones - they got their throats cut and thrown out in the cold for being a pain in the ass.

The women who submitted to the invaders survived.

And the ones who GOT OFF on the invasion and destruction thrived. Those women got to stay in the tribe, get promoted to wife instead of slave, and make many babies. That's all we have left now.

The naturally loyal women were killed.

Civilization used to enforce loyalty because it was common boring knowledge that women are not NATURALLY loyal (naturally loyal women died off, remember that)

I appreciate the open dialogue, but you gotta pick up the pace with your Red Pill journey. With (post) Western politics as they stand, there isn't much time.

[deleted] • 5 points • 8 May, 2019 12:49 AM

Broken women don't want fixed dudes

largepaycheckaddict • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 04:24 PM

This is what a mentally ill damaged woman who refuses to take responsibility for herself looks like.

AutoModerator[M] • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 02:07 PM

Why are we quarantined? The admin don't want you to know.

Register on our backup site: <https://www.trp.red> and reserve your reddit name today.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

KingOfPomerania • 3 points • 8 May, 2019 12:58 AM

The inherent paradox in the dating "strategy" of most women is that they do want a kindness and appreciation but only from guys who are emotionally distant and unappreciative. However, once said guy becomes kind and appreciative then he becomes "boring" and she starts to miss the excitement and uncertainty!

anrii188 • 7 points • 7 May, 2019 05:28 PM

Not all women are like this one. When women have no values and fuck randomly in their past, this is what you get.

clon3man • 9 points • 7 May, 2019 07:00 PM

Female hypergamous behavior is on a spectrum but don't say it too loud, the AWALT concerto is lurking on the corners ready to drop the bass

ratpoison987 • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 05:50 PM

With this one. Her head is broken. Fuck sex/ltr's/nonsense. She's just fucked in the head.

Some people are only happy when they're miserable.

Avoid these people. Men and women alike.

OutToAllenBANG • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 03:52 PM

While I've seen this before, what about men who are athletes, singers, actors that are renowned as being good husbands? They're loving, caring, emotionally available...and their wives are smoking and carry their kids. Is this the exception to the rule?

hereforgains1986 • 3 points • 8 May, 2019 12:04 AM

Athletes / singers / actors are famous. Fame is a major major turn on for women. If they stay in a relationship with a famous person she will increase her value by being known as that famous person's wife/ gf. There are other aspects but this is the number one reason in my opinion. Edit: Fame is good. Fame + money is better

Metallurg2 • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 05:44 PM

They probably found quality women who appreciate a masculine men being interested in them, not BP AW

redbossman123 • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 11:50 PM

Blue Pill Alpha Widow? Or does BP mean something else? I'm on mobile and can't find the sidebar easily.

Flying_Wingback • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 09:27 AM

Borderline Personality (BPD = borderline personality disorder = stay the fuck away!!)

TimGuoRen • 1 point • 7 May, 2019 02:23 PM

She's almost red pill'd herself.

So much that she even knows Red Pill keywords like "emotional availability". The story is so perfect that it is likely fabricated.

[deleted] • 27 points • 7 May, 2019 02:34 PM*

deleted

TimGuoRen • -9 points • 7 May, 2019 02:51 PM

The point is that people who talk about their feelings don't use scientific meta terms to describe themselves.

Cholo4Trump • 1 point • 9 May, 2019 12:30 AM

type in "guys emotionally available" on twitter search bar and youll see hundreds of girls talking about it.. ive even experimented by typing in "attracted guys emotionally unavailable" and theres hundreds of tweets from lil girls on there lmfao.

TwentyEighteen • 10 points • 7 May, 2019 02:45 PM

Mate RP might be your only source of literature if you think emotional availability is a RP term lol

hereforgains1986 • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 03:04 PM

“Emotionally available” is a term as old as Oprah Winfrey Edit: spelling

Cavannah • 3 points • 7 May, 2019 02:39 PM

That’s not anything approaching an exclusively-RP term at all.

Protocol_Apollo • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 06:40 AM

I am reminded of two comments that I saw recently on trp:

“Whoever made women was a sadist”

“A man would rather be neglected than be abused but a woman would rather be abused than neglected” (neglect being equivalent of boredom)

universalabundance1 • 1 point • 8 May, 2019 04:08 PM

Because, it's not about you - it's about her ego, which she wants validated.

foxhound525 • 0 points • 9 May, 2019 06:38 AM*

Haha desperately tried to shoehorn in his immigration agenda at the end. So dumb and contrived, so much hamstering to try and squeeze in a politicised analogy that doesn't work. I wouldn't be surprised if some rightwing idiots actually think that makes perfect sense. The best thing about that is the irony of Americans (immigrants) complaining about immigration! Absolute comedy gold