

With women all over the world seeking there right to have an abortion or keep the child shouldn't men also have the right to choose if there going to be a father or devote any resources to said child?

June 12, 2019 | 183 upvotes | by [dirtymerks5](#)

Here me out. It's open box thinking but I keep hearing "my body my choice" chants shouldn't there also be "my wallet my choice" to mirror that rhetoric. I agree with the choice of abortion or motherhood. In an ideal world wouldn't men get a similar choice?

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

GirTheRobot 1 points 12 June, 2019 11:03 PM* [\[recovered\]](#)

Bill Burr had a rant about this recently that's pretty funny.

Woman: "My body, my choice."

Man: "But I want to keep the kid."

Judge: "Well you're kinda SOL there buddy."

Woman: "I feel like having this baby."

Man: "Well I wanted no part of that to begin with and I made that clear so leave me out of it."

Judge: "Tough shit bud. It takes two to tango. It's your responsibility now. Man up."

But yes OP, you're right. The only people saying what you're saying are men's rights activists...Which is why we need the MRA movement (among other reasons)

Mescalean • 7 points • 13 June, 2019 11:39 AM

Good to hear burr is still at it. Damn lol

giproflip • 112 points • 12 June, 2019 10:11 PM

I betcha all the guys here agree with you, but it's never going to be this way. You best bet is using condoms and pulling out and not bringing this up in public or in conversation

dirtymerks5 • 19 points • 12 June, 2019 10:20 PM*

Lolll that's why it's being mentioned here, flushing it out of my system. And evidently not all agree.

Apparently it's irresponsible according to one of the members post. And to mention using a condom does not equate to there being no pregnancy. Women can pinprick a condom.

And why are we to be so silent regarding something that is logically correct?

maandswim11 1 points 13 June, 2019 12:24 AM [\[recovered\]](#)

Lol who used condoms given to them by the person they're about to fuck? Bring your own... or pull out WAY before your about to cum. Jerk off on/in their mouth.

Heizenbrg • 6 points • 13 June, 2019 05:53 AM

She can take the cum from her mouth / body and stick it in. Safer to cum in condom and safely disposing it.

WannabeAndroid • 6 points • 13 June, 2019 10:51 AM

With a flamethrower in the corner of the room.

Non-Cookie-cutter • 12 points • 13 June, 2019 11:32 AM

She can't get pregnant if she's dead

gjproflip • 14 points • 12 June, 2019 10:27 PM

On a personal level, yeah it's shitty thing to do to not raise your own kids and bail out. However, it shouldn't be required by law that you have to pay if you don't want to.

Two_kids_in_a_coat • 0 points • 13 June, 2019 08:32 AM

Yes you should. It's your child. It's the law because too many shitty people would just abandon their kids otherwise. Women that abandon their children also have to pay child support.

DBOLsinyourmouth • 4 points • 13 June, 2019 02:14 PM

Women that abandon their children also have to pay child support.

Yeah, but they have the option of abortion. Now we've come back around full circle.

The point is, men need to have a similar out. Otherwise, the law only favors women.

ProofPear6 • 8 points • 13 June, 2019 07:28 AM

She can fuck the neighbour and claim the kid is yours. BTW it is illegal in France to get a paternity test.

Bedtimeshine • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 08:44 PM

Who gives a fuck about France

rambler429 • 1 point • 21 June, 2019 05:18 AM

Some of the French.

Sylvester_Sterone • 3 points • 13 June, 2019 12:02 AM

Cheers.

123931 • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 06:19 AM

Vasectomy ☐

thechaosz • -7 points • 13 June, 2019 03:59 AM

Fake name, use phone app/Google voice, never host, no social media.

I've been doing this for years and know of at least 3 kids that are almost certainly mine, it not more. (men away in military, missions, University)

Auvergnat • 22 points • 13 June, 2019 12:08 AM*

Every personal and societal issue has built-in trade-offs. Any opinion/solution about any issue has good and bad consequences. But if a particular solution has only good benefits for **you** (while the bad consequences are for others) then it's a no brainer which one you're going to advocate for. Now the trick is to advocate for it in a way that ignore/downplay the bad consequences for the party you're not.

If you're a fertile woman, abortion is "mostly good" (up for debate since these women are part of the society and that society is the one that lose in that proposition according to certain views, but the more individual freedom for these women the better for them, in theory) while allowing men "financial abortion" is definitely only bad. So as a fertile woman you'll advocate for abortion and against financial abortion, and make sure that you use all possible Machiavellian tactics (shaming, seducing, bad faith, deflecting, selecting facts, rewording, etc) to support your case.

So in summary, yeah you're right, but being right doesn't matter in that game. You're like a husband trying to use logic in an argument with a wife that uses powertalk and other manipulating devices. You may feel smug with the certainty of your logic, but you're effectively severely outgunned and not seeing it. The fact that in today's societies, abortion laws are widespread and accepted (a few US states excepted where're they're trying to turn back the clock) while financial abortion is not even discussed or considered seriously should be all the evidence you need to realise that men and their logic are tragically outgunned.

AwakenedSovereign • 12 points • 13 June, 2019 02:27 AM

Men built the civilization we live in and proceeded to hand it over to women bit by bit.

Your point stands, but only if we assume men everywhere remain asleep forever.

They won't. I believe that. It might even make sense to accelerate and support Thottery to the Nth degree at this point - the more insanely egregious and entitled women become, the less they feel compelled to hide their nature.

Plenty of guys are waking up. The bubble can't last forever. In a fucked up way, the more painful and obtuse women become, the more they help men wake the fuck up.

Look around. Its getting worse. Tick, tock.

thechaosz • 3 points • 13 June, 2019 04:02 AM

Funny, men have no say in the child being born. They also get completely destroyed in divorce.

Read the a bunch of times over and over gentlemen.

DBOLsinyourmouth • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 02:20 PM*

I always thought it was ironic that women claim there exists this "patriarchy" where men band together to hold women back. Look around you. Men are consistently voting against the rights of their fellow men, and in favor of women. It's fundamentally against a man's nature to conspire with another man against a woman. Think about it, have you EVER seen this happen? Men actually only know how to fight each other for the favor of women. We do this to ourselves, and women are happy to accept our offerings.

That's why men will never gain rights. Because we refuse to help each other out when it would be to the detriment of women.

27cloud • 0 points • 13 June, 2019 04:51 PM

I'd disagree with men's nature being against conspiring against women. Both sexes can be intentional assholes and commit planned crimes to either sexes. Men around the world can trap women into sex trafficking. I've known men start rumors about women to damage her image for work and socializing. Men can plot how to drug and gang rape women.

DBOLsinyourmouth • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 05:30 PM

There's always outliers, I'm saying it's just against their nature.

Hexatrixx • 54 points • 12 June, 2019 09:58 PM

They're called financial abortions, and they should become a common option for men who don't want to be forced to pay child support for a kid they don't want.

[deleted] • 34 points • 13 June, 2019 12:54 AM

Government would never allow it, with nearly half of all children now being born to solo mothers we simply

can't afford to subsidize them all.

Men will continue to be forced to foot the bill, as has always been the case.

rememberthename_ • 10 points • 13 June, 2019 04:44 AM

The thing is, if a girl is really that sneaky to get pregnant without "your admission" she prolly wont tell it to you until its legally to late for abortion. (I dont know your countries laws but I could imagine they could hide it here unter our given time span)

[deleted] • 11 points • 13 June, 2019 05:35 AM

This is why I make a habit of pushing my plates down the stairs at least once a month ;)

AdjectiveSaint • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 11:20 AM

It's a shit-sandwich every way around but you're 100% correct.

dojurynullification • 12 points • 13 June, 2019 02:10 AM

My sperm, my choice!

But since that's not going to work; my vasectomy, your loss!

Get back in the driver's seat guys, this is the only way.

[deleted] • 7 points • 13 June, 2019 06:12 AM

Bit of a fucking clown world when the only way a man can protect his reproductive rights is to have invasive, effectively irreversible surgery. Even then there's plenty of cases where courts have forced dudes onto the hook for kids that don't belong to them

Honk honk I guess

dojurynullification • 5 points • 13 June, 2019 07:12 AM

Honk honk.

rambler429 • 11 points • 13 June, 2019 01:23 AM

UNTIL women can ASEXUALLY reproduce, the man should at least have a say in the matter.

Cametotherightplace • 6 points • 12 June, 2019 11:24 PM

Of course we should, but good luck having society and the courts agree. Don't waste your time thinking about things we can't control. What you can control is who you stick your dick in and the use of condoms.

TyroneTheDriver • 11 points • 12 June, 2019 10:03 PM*

Yeah this is called opting out of paternity, which would make sense in a world of real equality, but we live in a fem centric world, and this makes people angry.

I whole heartedly agree with you. If it's her body and her choice, it should also be your wallet, your choice.

Count_Giggles • 2 points • 12 June, 2019 11:58 PM

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paper_abortion

from the wiki article

In Scandinavia

Denmark

The concept of a paper abortion was first introduced in Denmark in 2000 by the socioeconomicist Henrik Platz. He says that it is necessary from an **egalitarian** perspective, to ensure that women and men have equal rights under the law. According to a Gallup poll from 2014 and earlier polls, between 40% and 70% of Danes agree with legalizing paper abortion.

Sociologist Karen Sjørup, who conducted research on the topic argues that it would give women more freedom by allowing those who want to become mothers without having to share the rights and duties of parenthood with men an additional way to do so. She also suggests that it could decrease the abortion rate because it would prevent men who wished to avoid fatherhood from pressuring women to abort.

Advocates argue that just as women are able to choose whether to have a child or not, men should also be able to choose whether to assume paternity or not. Allowing men to have the opportunity to renounce the economic, social and legal responsibility for an unborn child during the first three months of pregnancy would give men and women as close to equal opportunities as possible.

Sweden

In 2016, a regional branch of the Swedish Liberal Youth Party decided to support paper abortion for men until the 18th week of pregnancy, the time limit on abortions for women. The proposition was supported by some commentators, but not by the LYP's parent party.

I actually thought that in one of those countries paper abortions were actually a thing. Let's give it another 10 years.

imtheoneimmortal • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 10:01 AM

10 for Sweden, for Italy or USA 40

Banjaiel • -2 points • 13 June, 2019 12:51 AM

I get what your saying. And in essence.. I agree. But honestly.. I dont' think men really get the guilt that goes with the abortion choice.

Essentially, if a man could be presented with his newborn baby, feel it's heartbeat, and then murder it, accepting the feelings of guilt, shame and regret for the rest of your life.. then maybe.

When it comes down to it.. that 'killing a life' does come into play. And I'm not sure how much men really realize that.

The part that does most suck is the men who DO want the child, and have not say, even if they offer to go it alone.

thechaosz • 3 points • 13 June, 2019 04:10 AM

It's a zygote. Stop this.

TehJoshW • 6 points • 13 June, 2019 07:17 AM

You're getting downvoted for telling the truth.

Abortion in most cases isn't any different to a guy jacking off.

Your "baby" you're producing doesn't have any sense of a consciousness until close to 20 weeks.

Before that it may as well be a single sperm. Sure, There's potential for life there but the fact of the matter is that it's not living. It has no sense of awareness and simply hasn't advanced along far

enough to be considered a living baby.

If the "baby" had a consciousness at the time of abortion, sure, I would 100% understand the guilt someone would feel getting rid of it. But the fact of the matter is, it's essentially not alive. I don't feel bad watching my jizz shoot into a tissue, because it's not alive. Sure, it had potential for life, but that isn't what happened with it. Same with early stage pregnancies. You have potential for life if you keep it, but before it gains consciousness, a woman getting an abortion is essentially no different to a guy jacking off.

Shootereto • 19 points • 12 June, 2019 09:49 PM

Yeah you can do that. It's called cum and go.

Jokes aside... you are the seed so it's your problem where you plant it.

Sylvester_Sterone • 5 points • 13 June, 2019 12:03 AM

It should be. But there are so many other guys that are willing to pick it up for the single gal.

VigilantSmartbomb • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 12:34 AM

If you can't take care of all your seed either don't fuck or raise your status so you can

thechaosz • -3 points • 13 June, 2019 04:06 AM

No thanks.

I make good money but hate rubbers.

Hence my rules I posted above.

QuantumSpecter 1 points 12 June, 2019 10:27 PM **[recovered]**

Simple and well said

thechaosz • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 04:07 AM

When you're taking care of "it", you're taking care of her.

A few simple rules and she'll never find you.

Ricky469 1 points 13 June, 2019 01:35 AM **[recovered]**

Vasectomy, solves this problem. Still use a condom for STDs. Make sure to get a sperm check every couple of year to check for recanalization. It's my body, in control, it's my private business.

temerity18 • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 02:00 PM

And/or use the "men's loupe". It's a 25 dollar smart phone add-on with which you can magnify your jizz 550 times. You can do your own fertility tests for free. Anytime.

MattyAnon • 4 points • 13 June, 2019 11:40 AM

With women all over the world seeking their right to have an abortion or keep the child shouldn't men also have the right to choose if there going to be a father or devote any resources to said child?

Morally: absolutely yes.

Practically this won't happen because everyone supports women and children need to be paid for. In short: men get screwed.

SICFJC • 3 points • 13 June, 2019 04:08 AM

their*

fuckiingwen • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 01:51 AM

it should definitely be a mutual thing to bring a life into the world, if both parents aren't on board it should be a no-go.

27cloud • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 02:56 AM

My coworker left the country to avoid paying for his wife's sudden desire to keep the "accidental" pregnancy.

thechaosz • 6 points • 13 June, 2019 04:12 AM

Wise beyond his years

dojurynullification • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 09:26 PM

And soon to lose his passport. I have seen it happen in a US Embassy, personally.

dirtymerks5 • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 09:17 AM

Ok let me try and clarify. The issue isn't the actual lack of a condom. Self insemination, pin prick condoms, split condoms, spillage etc. This is after the fact.

An interesting observation from this thread is, should the male choose to not devote any resources he's demonised not only by females but also other men within this very thread although the same level off disapproval is not held for the women who choose abortion.

Velebit • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 11:43 AM

We who are aware of mens interests are a tiny minority, majority of men and almost all of women are for the other thing. The thing that you describe or a gynocentric world. Like vaGINA the GYNOcentric worldview is from the perspective of women.

When most women and majority of men want to serve womens interests, in a democratic world, it is difficult to do anything for men. That is why you see patriarchy in basically all undemocratic countries. Because when all you can do is talk and half of those who talk wants something and the other half are divided, talking won't do shit.

You gotta have the hardest motherfuckers out there yelling, shooting and marching around so the bitches cower in fear and can go fuck themselves with their democratic flaws.

sircomeseyesd • 1 point • 12 June, 2019 11:27 PM

Get the male birth control pill

Project_Zero_Betas • 3 points • 12 June, 2019 11:28 PM

I think it's still in clinical testing phases, not fully FDA approved.

empatheticapathetic • 7 points • 12 June, 2019 11:48 PM

It'll be ready in 50 years.

Project_Zero_Betas • 7 points • 12 June, 2019 11:53 PM

Thanks FDA

ProofPear6 • 3 points • 13 June, 2019 07:33 AM

That pill drops your testosterone to zero. It's a poison pill.

redpilllogin • 2 points • 12 June, 2019 11:38 PM

Their, they're, and there. Please learn the difference.

Irtotallynotrobot • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 12:11 AM

When there is a double standard, there is an underlying single standard that truly governs.

thechaosz • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 03:57 AM

Yes

Ryabemo • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 09:06 AM

Use a condom. Problem solved. Or just don't cum in her. Another solution.

Don't ever trust a woman when it comes to that, not even one that you think you trust because that's how unwanted pregnancies start.

SwoleyMoleyFrijoley • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 01:45 PM

Men will never have reproductive rights. Society will not allow it. Your only right is to become sterile. Even that meets resistance because if you're sterile you can't be plugged into the endless provider cycle

Terdmuffin • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 03:48 PM

Men should focus more on having Safer sex and not knocking up women they don't want to raise kids with than how to ditch kids they don't want. Women aren't solely to blame for fatherless children. Your choice should be to not impregnate a woman in the first place. If you can't deal with the consequences of sex then maybe you should be a little more discerning about who you have it with and how.

dirtymerks5 • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 06:19 PM*

Your statement emphasis the cause of pregnancy on men. Women's rights activists argue a woman who is raped should be allowed to get an abortion. I'm only suggesting after the fact if a woman self inseminating herself. Your logic suggest it's till the mans fault.

Terdmuffin • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 09:25 PM

It's not all the man's fault, but it takes two to tango so you can't absolve men of blame. I'm saying men should take necessary steps to avoid pregnancy in the first place.

frigandfrayed • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 03:50 PM

This is exactly why the state needs to keep their hands out of inter-personal relationships. That power should go back to the hands of the father, then the husband. Only way to restore the patriarchy and get our shit society out of this.

SirAttackHelicopter • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 04:06 PM

No, this movement is in direct contradiction to men's rights. IF they truly wanted things equal, they would have said "**our choice our rights**".

[deleted] • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 05:20 PM

Personal responsibility should be for NONE

Or for ALL

Might as well let em ban abortion

DigitalDog0001[] • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 07:00 PM

Yes

Bedtimeshine • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 08:43 PM

Nope. Control what comes out of your dick and/or where it goes. And nobody should be able to make a woman have a kid she doesn't want. Not only should abortion be universally legal... it should be free.

Anaxamandrous • -1 points • 13 June, 2019 01:04 AM

Why can't we just murder anyone we want to? Why only fight over who gets to murder the unborn?

thechaosz • 0 points • 13 June, 2019 04:11 AM

It's a zygote. Let's bring it back a bit.

Anaxamandrous • 0 points • 13 June, 2019 11:46 AM

This is not the first time, or sadly even the millionth, that someone was careful with choice of words to dehumanize a group of humans in order to feel better about murdering them.

We are all organisms for example. Same as we (even the unborn) are human. But we usually don't call a subset of humans "organisms" even though it would be true. Doing so is otherizing people and is the first step to being an asshole to them. Calling black people by the N word, redheads "gingers" or the unborn zygotes is otherizing what is also a human being.

People who do this otherizing to justify shitty (or in this case murderous) behavior towards the other should really pause and reflect for a while.

thechaosz • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 10:59 PM

I've always thought of it like this. I don't mean this to sound facetious because I get that this is a potential person versus an object.

If I had a frame of a house up, and it caught fire, what I say somebody burn down my house?

if I had a canvas and then drew the outline, but yet had started to paint, would I say somebody destroyed my painting if they tore it up into pieces?

I guess this is a debate that will never be settled on either side, because it's really just the way you look at it.

What I do know is this. Religion does absolutely not belong in our government or politics (it is, a lot), and Roe v Wade is settled law of the land.

What I also do know is we don't give a flying fuck about somebody once they're actually born. We try to deny healthcare, people are poor and unable to pay for such, unable to provide for a decent life, and access to education is subpar or next to none.

That leads to chronic poverty, the inability to go to college, the inability to move up the socioeconomic ladder, and the cycle of poverty and crime and suffering continues generation after generation.

Maybe we should work taking care of the actual living better before we start battling over the unborn.

This applies to early term abortions FYI.

Anaxamandrous • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 11:23 PM

The house analogy is nice word play but counter-examples are possible. If I am at the beach and pile up a single scoop of sand, it is a sand castle even though I could put 10000 more scoops and decorate it and make it look like Cinderella's castle, and it would still always be a sand castle from the first scoop. A speech is a speech if it is 5 seconds and 15 words long or if it runs for hours.

But someone might argue that the small single scoop sand castle is worth less than the larger one. Or that the smaller speech is worth less. In the latter case they should read the Gettysburg Address and learn something, but in both cases, this plays the analogy too far. You may own a house. You may own a sand castle. You may even own a speech in the sense that you own the rights to it. But nobody should own another homo sapiens (using that term to avoid turning back into the very same word-meaning debate). A person matters intrinsically. Not because they are the property of someone who matters. The latter case is sickening. Yet it is the position abortionists take.

Most abortionists cannot put forward a justification for abortion that would not apply just as well to the terminally ill or the elderly. Cowards, they only seek to murder the unborn because the unborn, almost by definition, cannot speak on their own behalf or defend themselves.

Religion has nothing to do with it in most people's minds. It is about science which revealed a hell of a lot about the unborn that was not known at Roe v Wade. The same science the laughable "Party of Science" tries very hard to keep out of public view.

As for this statement: What I also do know is we don't give a flying fuck about somebody once they're actually born.

You say "we" so you mean yourself and some other people like you. Are you talking about Democrats? They do give a flying fuck about voting age people. And about children if the child satisfies their sexual fantasies. Conservatives on the other hand have consistently been shown to give substantially more to charity than Democrats in every single income bracket or other subset of the respective groups.

thechaosz • 1 point • 14 June, 2019 01:42 AM

So opposing National Health care, which every single first world country in the entire world had except the US had pre-obamacare, is caring about the health of children?

You do know that was a Democratic President/Congress and idea?

After this dumbfucks reign, the GOP has zero high ground to stand on, and I mean zero. Below Zero.

So what we are saying is, the moment a sperm enters an egg, and we become aware through testing, the US government is now in charge of a woman's uterus?

Just so I'm clear?

Anaxamandrous • 1 point • 14 June, 2019 06:57 AM

Holy fuck what a spazz.

thechaosz • 1 point • 14 June, 2019 11:46 PM

Go ahead and highlight where I'm wrong and elaborate.

As Socrates said, "When a debate is lost, Slander becomes the tool of the loser"

Anaxamandrous • 1 point • 15 June, 2019 12:36 AM

The entire post is off topic. Generosity with other people's money is not virtuous. Goddamned spazz.

thechaosz • 1 point • 15 June, 2019 06:02 PM

Ok, not even when saving the lives of the living? I wonder what Jeebus would say about that?

The good news is none of this matters.

Roe is established law of the land. These laws will be slapped down, overturned, and ineffective from the start.

Thank "God" for that.

thechaosz • 1 point • 14 June, 2019 11:52 PM

Only thing spazzing is the zygote when we yank it out.

Doing the world a favor

Anaxamandrous • 1 point • 15 June, 2019 12:34 AM

Nah, you're a spazz as well. You saying your abortionist parents made a mistake not ripping you out?

Banjaiel • -1 points • 13 June, 2019 12:45 AM

Their choice is not sleeping with someone they can impregnate. There are consequences on both side.

Or, apparently there was a birth control for guys that killed their sperm. But men repressed it. So.. go thank your pals.

maljo24 • -7 points • 12 June, 2019 11:56 PM

Don't want to be a father? Keep your dick in your pants.

If you want to be a father have sex with a woman who wants to be a mother.

Women don't tell you what to do with your body, don't tell them what to do with theirs.

HaroldTFinch • 5 points • 13 June, 2019 12:59 AM

Your first two lines are asinine because of your third. If a woman decides IF there is a child, she better secure who pays in advance or keep her vagina tightly sealed.

discmillrecords • -1 points • 13 June, 2019 10:58 AM

The solution is simple. No sex outside of marriage. As God intended.

I've got a co-worker who has a very colored past, but has been sober and celebrate for 3 years. This is someone who's advice carries weight.

Expand your social circle.

QuantumSpecter • -4 points • 12 June, 2019 09:51 PM

You're phrasing this kind of weird. Are you saying that, if I made the mistake of knocking up a woman and

getting her pregnant- that i should have the choice of being the father or not?

Sounds kinda irresponsible to me. Its different if i get divorced from someone but still have everything taken away from me and then i have to pay an overwhelming amount of child support. Stuff like that should be split down the middle.

Plus its different in this case, abortion, where another person is not letting you do something with your own body.

red_philosopher • 8 points • 12 June, 2019 10:13 PM

The issue doesn't really stem from the "my body my choice" position, because it takes *two* people to consent to the sex act. Two people, making an equal choice to have sex. So if that's the case, then responsibility for the consequences of *making* said decision should go both ways right?

Currently, one of the two parties involved in that decision *has next to zero risk* in the event of an unforeseen event, while the other shoulders *nearly all of the risk* in the decision. Women can decide to keep the child and put you on the hook, or she can decide not to and be free of the consequences of that choice. This promotes promiscuity and poor decision making, as she isn't *required* to have *any responsibility* for the decision. It is also abusable, as she can deliberately mislead men and force them into financial servitude.

Now, if men had the choice to be fathers, just as women had the choice to be mothers, that shift of risk becomes much more equitable. Women can't simply go around fucking anyone anytime without consequence, because if they really want that baby, the man has to really want it as well, otherwise she raises it on her own without him.

Now, women get the "burden" of carrying the child to term and giving birth. Such is human biology. Is that difference equivalent to giving all women a "get out of jail free" card for all of their decision making and making men shoulder the burden of her bad or fraudulent choices?

The other option is to outright ban abortion and force both parties to accept the consequences of their decision jointly. But that's not really going to happen either.

QuantumSpecter • 2 points • 12 June, 2019 10:42 PM

Yea i can agree with this. OP didnt explain the circumstances behind this as well as u did. Thanks

thechaosz • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 04:16 AM

Let's not forget the woman (in my case) , who lie about actively being on prescribed birth control that stop taking it.

dirtymerks5 • 4 points • 12 June, 2019 09:59 PM

I tried editing the caption but alas it's too late.

Let me be clear I don't disagree with the concept of abortion. Its her body thus her choice. It's more about a female being free to make her decision and a man being forced to be the subject of that decision. I believe that men should also be allowed to make a decision as to wether they be a father and devote resources.

Your indication of it being irresponsible let's me wonder why are you on the asktrp subreddit?

QuantumSpecter • 1 point • 12 June, 2019 10:08 PM

Why tf are u having sex with a girl without protection if you dont want to get her pregnant? Its that simple. Why is she pregnant in the first place?

dirtymerks5 • 5 points • 12 June, 2019 10:18 PM

Firstly it's an open discussion regarding pregnancy and the options available after the act. No it's not that simple as temptation is a bitch in the fact that spontaneous sex sometimes occurs. She may self inseminate to keep him. The condom may split. There are a multitude of situations like sexual urgency that may even occur but getting pregnant is NOT the point. I can only assume that your not as experienced with how women behave or circumstances that can arise and result in pregnancy. Please visit the purple pill forums or even read the rational male to understand the red pill.

QuantumSpecter • 1 point • 12 June, 2019 10:33 PM

Oh man, self inseminate. Scares me just thinking about it. I guess that can be a valid reason. Ive read the rational male man. And i understand all ur points but i am throwing an opposing perspective ur way. Me personally, i wouldnt trust if a girl told me she took the pill. Id still wear the condom or attempt to pull out. But now that youve explained the circumstances more, i can understand ur viewpoints better.

Like if i were having a one night stand with someone and got her pregnant. Id like to have the option to devote resources to the child if she decided to oddly keep it.

But i still own up to my mistakes

HaroldTFinch • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 01:12 AM

Basically you are saying women are allowed to decide the body for a man. Now, if I man can be financially able to say no to birth then the woman can still decide what she does with her own body and so can the man decide to do with his own body.

For instance, some men don't want to be a (insert rough job here) and die early physically beat up because of a pregnancy accident where they cannot afford to go to college

QuantumSpecter • 1 point • 13 June, 2019 01:18 AM*

No i just misinterpreted it the wrong way. My mind was so set on preventing pregnancy that i thought i could only blame myself for not using protection during sex. Which leads to me "owning up to my mistakes". I understand and agree with the points everyone else has brought up, it just took me a sec to realize.

nevertheless, i wouldnt let a screw up like that happen in the first place. I understand this is all theoretical but still. Its at the very least, half ur fault, that this girl is pregnant. So u own that half of the fault.

Thats why i was saying, u cant go fuck a girl raw, get her pregnant and then be like " wahhh, i dont want a kid. So its not my problem".

Ur mistakes, ur problem

Edit: word

Edit: she shouldnt have gotten pregnant in the first place. She wouldnt want to "keep a mistake" if YOU hadnt made it

GottiPlays • -1 points • 13 June, 2019 12:29 PM

Honestly i disagree, think how you envision a society like that? We already have huge issues with unwanted childs ending up in fucked up relationships, what would happen if you leave childs with mothers that can't afford school etc.

NextForever • -2 points • 13 June, 2019 12:54 AM*

Ehhh, giving birth is straight torture for some and a grueling/taxing process for months. You can't force someone through that. Or at-least, I wouldn't be okay having myself subject to similar pain if I wasn't ready; so I try to empathize with pro-choice women, despite the saddening aspects of taking a potential life. It just is how it is. Imagine your LTR demanding that you give birth that is too hot/nice to break up with, but should never raise your kid. That would be some straight bullshit!

[deleted] • -7 points • 13 June, 2019 12:55 AM

No.

Life isn't fair.

Stop ruminating over it.

thechaosz • 2 points • 13 June, 2019 04:17 AM

"working towards a more perfect Union"

Feminism want to equal playing field, well fair enough.

They are going to get it whether they like it or not.