

**All women are hypergamous. Does this mean that all will branch swing given the chance or do they give you an opportunity to prove your smv before they swing?**

July 21, 2019 | 12 upvotes | by [spider\\_1337](#)

---

[/r/asktrp/comments/cfz254/all\\_women\\_are\\_hypergamous\\_does\\_this\\_mean\\_that\\_all/](/r/asktrp/comments/cfz254/all_women_are_hypergamous_does_this_mean_that_all/)

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

FinancialThanks1 • 38 points • 21 July, 2019 01:57 PM

trp talks about the nature of women but they are still human and their actions can deviate from that. people can resist impulses. some women are loyal by choice.

spider\_1337 • 8 points • 21 July, 2019 02:17 PM

Do you think religion/morality can influence or possibly even curb their hypergamous nature?

AdeHMar • 12 points • 21 July, 2019 04:09 PM

Of course, that's why most of the time peopoe that did "bad things" were ostracized and shamed to death. It worked.

FinancialThanks1 • 7 points • 21 July, 2019 05:18 PM

yes, you vet women to find the one that has the decency to break up with you before fucking chads cock

escapethesolarsystem • 2 points • 21 July, 2019 05:37 PM

More powerful than religion and morality is society and socialization. Women are really group animals, and they always seek approval from their peers, social circle, and society as a whole. This is why "religious" women often end up cheating in the west, *women's internal compass is much weaker than their external one*. This is a significant way that men and women differ. *Men stand against the tide, women move with it*. Women behave the way that people around them approve of, and avoid behaving in ways people around them don't approve of.

A society or community that strongly judges and condemns destructive hypergamy curbs and severely limits that behavior in most of the women in that society or community. A society or community that encourages destructive hypergamy makes it happen commonly in most of the women in that society or community.

krunchtimer • 4 points • 21 July, 2019 06:08 PM

This. I was always perplexed whenever I saw Muslims and how the women had to be completely covered in clothes while the men wore whatever. Thanks to TRP, I understand why now.

BlackFire68 • 4 points • 21 July, 2019 01:57 PM

I wouldn't play the odds on this one

RedSkeller • 5 points • 21 July, 2019 02:01 PM

All women at all times are thinking "is this the best I can get?" and reinforce it with shit tests and comfort tests. You're either failing or passing these tests or are displaying them through your actions (needyneess or dread).

Duke\_Dha 1 points 21 July, 2019 01:50 PM **[recovered]**

Doubt it has much to do with SMV. You can be in a wonderful "relationship" with a girl for 3 years straight and have her abandon you for a total loser who cannot get it up cause she finds you.....boring.

spider\_1337 • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 02:20 PM

If heard of stories of this happening before but I always assumed women who did this were just bad women, as in riddled with red flags that damaged their ability to curb their emotions to the point where an ltr with them is impossible.( Ex: BPD women)

escapethesolarsystem • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 05:07 PM\*

It's more like they are from bad societies instead of being bad women.

Women, more than men, are really sensitive towards social pressure and socialization. You put a women in a society that sees monkey branching as garbage behavior and ostracizes women who do it, then you're going to see monkey branching happen pretty rarely.

You put a women in a society where monkey branching and naked hypergamy is seen as "being empowered" and when women ruin good relationships to sleep around with Chads they are seen as "heroic", then it will happen a lot. This is why destructive hypergamy is the rule not the exception in the west.

All women are hypergamous, but it's balanced against other considerations. How they are balanced is heavily based on morality, culture, socialization, law, and economic factors. Further, even what hypergamy means (that is, what specific markers women are chasing in men\*) varies from culture to culture.

So are women likely to monkey branch at the first opportunity, or more tolerant / patient with their relationships, giving men time to prove their SMV before they run away? Look around the culture you live in and your answer will be pretty obvious. Hint: if you are in the west, expect women to monkey-branch ASAP and at every opportunity.

--

\*An interesting example of this from a conversation I observed last night between my girlfriend and my female roommate. Both agreed they wanted a man that was "stronger" than them, that they could "lean on" and "feel protected". Pretty standard female mentality. However, what they meant by "stronger" varied wildly.

My girlfriend (from a less money oriented culture - Georgia) said that she didn't care what a man earned (this is borne out by examples, most Georgian women are happy to marry an unemployed man who lives at home with his parents, but not a mentally weak / stupid one), but really needed a man that was smarter than her. He has to be better at solving problems, handling life challenges, and know how to calm her down and "rescue" her emotionally when she was out of her depth. Basically, she wants a man that's a problem solver and sure of himself, one that she can run to when she's gotten herself in trouble she can't figure her way out of herself. For her the biggest turn off is if a man is dumb, incompetent, and lacking emotional resilience.

My roommate (from a more money / economically oriented culture - Estonia) didn't care that much about the man's mental strength and resilience, but rather said that the biggest sign of a man's "strength" was all about his career and wealth. Is the man a crier? No big deal. Man has emotional issues? No big deal. Her main focus is: is the man successful? Is he financially independent? Could he financially support both of them in a time of crisis? Can he pay for her on dates? For her the biggest turn off was if a man needed to borrow money from her, couldn't afford his own place, lived with his parents, or earned less.

krunchtimer • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 06:11 PM

Great post. The difference between what women want with the Georgian man vs the Estonian man is telling.

HB3234 • 2 points • 22 July, 2019 01:03 AM

If you're boring, how is it a wonderful relationship for her? If she's bored but you're content & don't care, why should she stick around with you? If you want unconditional loyalty, get a dog.

\_\_\_Lana\_\_\_ • 4 points • 21 July, 2019 11:34 PM

Outside of social/religious pressure and strong beliefs, I think some women are just more visionary than others. As with the stock market, some are able to predict whether a man's SMV will rise, fall or stagnate. Smart women are also able to take into account their own SMV (age, weight, career,...). Depending on the expected fluctuations in these two factors, they will decide whether or not to invest. For example, in my situation: I (28 y.o) am dating a doctor (33 y.o) at the beginning of his career. His SMV is on an upward slope, mine on a downward slope (I'm getting closer to the wall). Even though I might find *today* someone with a higher SMV than my man's, I don't branch swing, and I try to be the best girlfriend I can be (financially independent, submissive, feminine, sexy, supportive...).

Some others are too dumb/naive and are not able to make this kind of predictions. They just see that the *current* SMV of the X guy is higher than their boyfriend's, and branchswing, until they hit the wall and it's too late.

Project\_Zero\_Betas • 2 points • 22 July, 2019 12:29 AM

As with the stock market, some are able to predict whether a man's SMV will rise, fall or stagnate.

Don't disagree, but how do you refute the claim here that women "wait at the finish line?"

\_\_\_Lana\_\_\_ • 3 points • 22 July, 2019 03:32 PM

That's right for a woman at 18, but less and less as she approaches the Wall.

The question was covered by Rollo here :

<https://www.google.ch/amp/s/therationalmale.com/2019/05/21/choose-wisely/amp/>

« Women don't readily recognize potential in men. In fact most of them are piss poor at it. This is because they've been socially conditioned to focus more on themselves (and exacerbated by their innate solipsism) than be concerned with making a good assessment of men's potential for future success.

[...]

So yes, women do look for potential in men. Some better than others.

[...]

So, the tradeoff is this: when a woman is at her peak sexual market value (SMV) she has the leisure to fuck the winners at the finish line. The more her SMV decays the fewer her options become, and the more likely it is that her necessity requires her to make a 'bet' on a man's future potential. This is the primary reason women opt for the Beta in Waiting around her Epiphany Phase. Necessity forces her to go with good potential.

[...]

Finally, the reason the finish line metaphor is apt is because more women than ever are postponing marriage in the expectation that 'Turnkey' made men will be waiting and available when they hit 31-33 years old, rather than investing in men with good potential in their early to mid 20s. Doing so would mean sacrificing their peak SMV Party Years when someone like Sheryl Sandberg convinces them to wait for the 'Right Guy'. It's simple pragmatism for a woman not to bet her reproductive future on an unproven commodity so early in life. If she does, and it works out for the best, she's practically sainted by the Sisterhood for her prudence and sacrifice for him. Behind every great man is a woman, right?

But if she chooses unwisely and her life goes to hell she's wasted her own potential on a bad bet. »

That makes the choice hard to us : settle at 23 with a potential good man (with the risk he will never become one), or ride the carousel until 33 and settle afterwards? Only women that understand their top SMV is not gonna last forever will choose the first option. AWALT but some are smarter (or better educated) than others.

That also explains why an age gap of 7-8 years is suitable : for a woman of 23, it's easier to see the future value of a 30 y.o male than to predict the potential value of a 23 y.o guy.

Project\_Zero\_Betas • 1 point • 22 July, 2019 11:38 PM

Gotcha

alexander\_the\_groovy • 3 points • 22 July, 2019 12:03 AM

Hypergamy is quite simple: As long as you're the best man in her eyes, she will not branch swing.

Do you fuck every attractive girl you see? No, because you're not a slave to your instincts. Same with women. Only difference is they act on their emotions much more than we do. But we act on our horniness much more than they do. Social conventions and their own morality will play a role in determining their behavior.

TSHIRTTIIIIIME • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 05:49 PM

There's a Rational Male post that covers these questions/general concepts fairly well

zboolh • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 09:16 PM

The second another man triggers the tingles you don't exist, at that point it's a matter of self-control overriding her natural hypergamy. And we all know how great women are at discipline.

RedPill-BlackLotus • 7 points • 21 July, 2019 01:55 PM

I'll translate this to beta faggot:

All women are the same the way all versions of Apache webserver are the same. The variation lies in their config files. Her perceived ability to maneuver vs her current value of you are the 2 biggest factors.

spider\_1337 • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 02:16 PM

So your current value (determined by her) is calculated based on your ability to demonstrate via shit tests and dread?

Her perceived value is imaginary? If you treat her as a 6 and she sees you as a 10 then she is a 6? Social media tends to inflate a woman's value thanks to all the beta validation/attention so doesn't this also technically artificially overinflate her value?

Flamethrowa21 • 3 points • 21 July, 2019 01:49 PM

They'll branch swing if they have a chance with a guy they perceive as having a higher smv. I don't know what you mean by

opportunity to prove your smv

Project\_Zero\_Betas • 4 points • 21 July, 2019 10:31 PM

I think he's wondering if women will say to themselves "This *new guy* MAY be a better mate, but let me see

if *current mate* can pass these new strings of shittests to show he's higher value than *new guy*."

BlackFire68 • 1 point • 21 July, 2019 01:58 PM

Will they let you know they are dissatisfied and allow you time to prove yourself? No.

HIJKelemenP • 1 point • 21 July, 2019 02:18 PM

Don't get to that point. Be better.

RStonePT • 1 point • 22 July, 2019 12:06 AM

It means, if you want any sort of longevity in your relationships that she needs to be more invested than you are

red-iron-man • 1 point • 23 August, 2019 12:36 PM

Someone else wrote this on RP but I forgot who and where. But I thought of this anytime I let my hamster run about hypergamy in the past.

"Hypergamy made me insecure. Hypergamy made me realize I'm a beta. Hypergamy made me work on myself, till eventually i made hypergamy work for me."