

CMV: Most women are in denial about their unearned power and privilege when it comes to sex, dating, relationships and socializing.

September 20, 2019 | 432 upvotes | by [banananutbranmuffin](#)

This sub primarily focuses on social issues. Sex, dating, relationships, friendships are aspects of life that women have all the unearned power and privilege in. I detect a hint of arrogance many times from women on this sub. The assumption that some of the guys on here must be doing x, y, z wrong or that some of the guys must be of poor character or "personality" and that is why they have issues with dating, intimacy and socializing.

This is privileged thinking, most of the women on this sub are more successful than the men on this sub when it comes to sex, dating, relationships and socializing because, they're women. That's it, not because they're better people, not because of their character, not because of their personality, they're more successful because they're women.

Society is gynocentric, that spans the scope of dating, socializing, intimacy. The gynocentric society we live in implies that damn near everything will be favored towards the benefit of women and children irrespective of how negatively that affects men.

I always see such disregard for any of the issues men face and now the go to is the whole, "you're not entitled to x, y, z".

Basic Maslow's hierarchy of needs are not entitlements, everyone wants that. Everyone sooner or later will want affection, closeness or a stable significant other. Calling this an entitlement is another form of female privilege, you attain affections, closeness and a significant other with little to no effort because of your unearned privilege but, call those who do not have your unearned power and privilege entitled when they also endeavor towards what you have.

Anyways, most women on here are in such denial about their unearned power and privilege. Many women on this sub become hostile or disgruntled even if you bring it up, they want to maintain their unearned power and privilege status so badly.

Once again, women have better outcomes and experiences when it comes to sex, dating, intimacy, relationships and socializing because they're women. Not because of your character or anything that you have done, remember to acknowledge your privilege before you assume that a man is entitled because he wants what you have gotten to have so easily. Remember to acknowledge your privilege when some guy is complaining about the dating scene. Remember to check your privilege before you think about posting hostile or adversarial comments towards men when it comes to sex, dating and relationships because they have it so much worse than you with little fault of their own.

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

wtknight • 82 points • 20 September, 2019 05:28 PM

I don't think that women are in denial, but they rightly focus on the negatives of this "privilege" that they have, which is harassment by physically stronger, thirstier men. The other main negative is that women don't even want the sexual attention as much as they want a relationship, which can be just as hard for some women to achieve as it is for some men out there to have sex.

gasparddelanuit • 31 points • 21 September, 2019 11:11 AM

I don't think that women are in denial, but they rightly focus on the negatives of this "privilege" that they have, which is harassment by physically stronger, thirstier men. The other main negative is that women don't even want the sexual attention as much as they want a relationship, which can be just as hard for some women to achieve as it is for some men out there to have sex.

Women are either in denial or their solipsism overshadows the possibility of denial. Either way, it's clear that they have no understanding of the male experience and how it relates to the female experience.

Women do want sexual attention, but solely on their terms and from the men that they are romantically interested in.

wtknight • 13 points • 21 September, 2019 01:24 PM

Yes, but my point is that they can't help this. It's their biology that makes them this way. The solipsism that you mention is mostly women not wanting to care about the average man's inability to get sex rather than a lack of capability to understand it, mostly because just getting sex in and of itself is not really that important to women.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 16 points • 21 September, 2019 04:41 PM

It's not that they don't care about the average man's inability to get sex, most don't care about the average man's suffering in general.

It's the view that people had during industrial revolution Britain about the undeserving poor. About how these people must be innately bad which is why they are poor. I see a similar mindset from many women and the men who worship them when it comes to a segment of the male population that struggles socially and romantically. It's the viewpoint of those who have unearned power and privilege and since society is gynocentric, women have that unearned power and privilege.

LethalShade • 4 points • 23 October, 2019 09:43 PM

You're dellusional. Most people in general don't care about most people in general. Do you really think that the thirsty men that pander to the average female actually care about them? Fuck no, they're just using attention as a tactic to try and get attention back.

Developping genuine empathy is a hard skill and I don't think women get it more than men in general.

wtknight • 8 points • 21 September, 2019 08:49 PM

That's only if you think that sex alone is the ultimate prize, which is not how women view the world.

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 05:47 PM

Yes, but my point is that they can't help this. It's their biology that makes them this way. The solipsism that you mention is mostly women not wanting to care about the average man's inability to get sex rather than a lack of capability to understand it, mostly because just getting sex in and of itself is not really that important to women.

I think it's a mixed bag of fear, denial, lack of curiosity and not caring.

dirtytacc • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 11:27 PM

Then how come in the 1950s this shit wasn't talked about and men and women were statistically happier? This depressing redpill shit is recent

wtknight • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:22 PM

Women are less happy because of their self-imposed need to want to fulfill multiple roles. There are studies that say that single, childless women with careers are actually quite happy. This is because they've only taken on one role and given up on the other, more traditional one.

dirtytacc • 3 points • 25 September, 2019 10:08 PM

Single, childless women in their 30s are definitely not happy lmao

wtknight • 2 points • 26 September, 2019 01:58 AM

Well, they say that they are anyway, and they don't have the stress of trying to handle both a job and a household simultaneously.

dirtytacc • 3 points • 26 September, 2019 09:30 AM

Who expects women to handle both a job and the household? You're making shit up at this point dude

wtknight • 2 points • 26 September, 2019 01:53 PM

Women want careers these days and also want their household run at a certain level of competency, so it's mostly them creating this expectation for themselves. However, there seems to me more men these days demanding that their female partners should work and maintain a certain income level, but aren't willing to properly share household duties in situations such as these.

dirtytacc • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 02:34 AM

"there seems" - That's where you went wrong kiddo.

megaboto • 1 point • 28 September, 2019 03:31 PM

*most women

We can't say it about everyone

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 02:50 AM

Yep.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 02:29 PM

Are you saying that you understand the female experience?

gasparddelanuit • 3 points • 27 September, 2019 03:07 PM

Are you saying that you understand the female experience?

Certainly exponentially more than women seem to understand the male experience.

Aside from men having the female experience rammed down their throats 24/7, men generally tend to have more sympathy for women than women have for men. It would also appear that men have a greater capacity to consider the merits of rational arguments without their personal investment in the answer clouding their ability to recognise the validity of the argument. Women's wishful thinking often seems to erase their ability to be objective and see merit in positions that they don't want to be true. For instance, we see this in feminism's determination to ignore the extensive research on the biological differences between men and women.

Even research indicates that men are less gender biased than women.

Gender differences in automatic in-group bias: why do women like women more than men like men?

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 03:18 PM

"It seems" and "it appears"? Interesting. I hope you are tolerant of feminists when they make bold and biased claims. Also, are you suggesting that the male side of relationships isn't well known be women? Perhaps a select few women, but, as you would hate if someone accused all men of being rapists, I think it's unfair to judge all women so harshly. Many problems about relating to gender nowadays are started by making general statements like the ones you just made. To paint all women as unsympathetic to men's sexual experience, when there are women who will always side with men on any debate about sexuality is unfair. As a woman, I understand that *some* women can be harsh, as much as *some* men can be equally as harsh.

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 04:27 PM

"It seems" and "it appears"? Interesting. I hope you are tolerant of feminists when they make bold and biased claims.

Bold claims, yes, provided they are rational. Biased claims, no. Bias has no place in matters of knowledge.

Also, are you suggesting that the male side of relationships isn't well known be women?

Yes, I'm suggesting that.

Perhaps a select few women, but, as you would hate if someone accused all men of being rapists, I think it's unfair to judge all women so harshly.

Not a select few. Most women.

Most men, however, are not rapists nor is anyone attributing a behaviour that so few women commit, to women, so it is not an analogous comparison.

Many problems about relating to gender nowadays are started by making general statements like the ones you just made. To paint all women as unsympathetic to men's sexual experience, when there are women who will always side with men on any debate about sexuality is unfair. As a woman, I understand that some women can be harsh, as much as some men can be equally as harsh.

Generality is an important mechanism in our acquisition of knowledge and our understanding of demographics. Human progress is not possible without it. Also, generality should not be confused with absolutism. Overwhelming tendencies do not necessarily apply in every single case. Nevertheless, there are, unique, overwhelming tendencies in the behaviour of women that are distinct from men's. As much as feminism wants everything to be equal between the genders, they are not. That's just the reality. Ironically, feminism is not so unwilling to generalize about men, especially when it is negative, even when it comes to poor generalizations, such as associating rape with men as a group when it is a miniscule portion of men who commit rape.

Your concern that many problems (for women) arise from general statements about gender is the kind of attitude I was talking about that compels many women to ignore reality. They would prefer to deny reality in the misguided hope that it will conform to their wishes or go away. Our wishes should not influence what we consider to be true, if we are at all interested in the truth.

Moreover, many problems arise from not knowing, ignoring or denying unwelcome truths. Worse problems. Because, all subsequent decisions based on our misunderstanding will inevitably lead to more errors in our decision making and worse outcomes. Better to face the truth than to deny it and have it blow up in your face later or live a lie.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 04:32 PM

I never said that only problems for women arise from general statements. Phrases like "all men are rapists" and "only women can be raped" are problematic for men

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 04:42 PM

I never said that only problems for women arise from general statements. Phrases like "all men are rapists" and "only women can be raped" are problematic for men

Yes, because they are factually wrong.

All men are clearly not rapists and men can clearly be raped.

Ironically, despite their best efforts not to, feminism lobbying to increase the scope of what constitutes rape or sexual assault has enabled more men to include themselves as victims of these acts, at the hands of both women and men.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 04:55 PM

Perhaps a minority of feminists would object to that, but women as a whole, many of whom are not only non-feminists but anti-feminist, do not willfully ignore reality. Your talking in absolutes, and as soon as I say that that's harmful, you either make excuses or play with whataboutisms.

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 05:16 PM

Perhaps a minority of feminists would object to that, but women as a whole, many of whom are not only non-feminists but anti-feminist, do not willfully ignore reality.

From my observation they do, if they don't like the conclusion.

Your talking in absolutes, and as soon as I say that that's harmful, you

either make excuses or play with whataboutisms.

I'm not talking in absolutes. Generalizations are not absolutes. Generalizing is an important tool for our understanding of the world. Furthermore, you nor anyone else has the capacity to know whether true generalizations will ultimately be more harmful than beneficial towards human progress. All reason would suggest that understanding the truth, even generalized truths, will lead to better outcomes.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 03:29 PM

Also, can you give more information on this research that supports your claim that women are more gender biased than men? Because there are also studies performed that show men are more shallow when it comes to whom they find attractive, usually basing it solely on age, where women find men who are roughly the same age as them to be the most attractive.

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 04:31 PM

Also, can you give more information on this research that supports your claim that women are more gender biased than men? Because there are also studies performed that show men are more shallow when it comes to whom they find attractive, usually basing it solely on age, where women find men who are roughly the same age as them to be the most attractive.

I posted a link to the research. Also, the research is not about attraction. It's about the extent to which the gender of the sexes creates a bias that favours their own gender. This has been seen to be far more pronounced in women, which is consistent with my observations and experience in the world.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 04:42 PM

"Experiments 2 and 3 found pro-female bias to the extent that participants automatically favored their mothers over their fathers or associated male gender with violence, suggesting that maternal bonding and male intimidation influence gender attitudes"

So women prefer women because of men's intimidating tendencies and strong relationships with their mothers? Forgive me, but that seems fair.

gasparddelanuit • 3 points • 27 September, 2019 05:04 PM

"Experiments 2 and 3 found pro-female bias to the extent that participants automatically favored their mothers over their fathers or associated male gender with violence, suggesting that maternal bonding and male intimidation influence gender attitudes"

So women prefer women because of men's intimidating tendencies and strong relationships with their mothers? Forgive me, but that seems fair.

All 4 experiments showed an absence of in-group bias amongst men, demonstrating men's capacity to not automatically favour their own gender.

Regarding experiments 2 and 3, men grow up with considerable propaganda that seeks to demonize them and denigrate their contribution to the world, no thanks to feminism, whilst waxing lyrical about women and how wonderful they are. It's no wonder many

men grow up with a negative opinion about men. What's relevant here, however, is that they can recognize poor behaviour in men, distorted or not, and factor that into their assessment of their own sex without fear or favour. It's the capacity to be critical of one's own gender that we are discussing here, not whether the assessment is accurate or not. This capacity is in short supply amongst women, on my observation. They can make excuses for their own sex and absolve them from all accountability until the cows come home. Understand, this is not an absolutist claim, just a common tendency.

MaWyant • 1 point • 27 September, 2019 05:14 PM

What examples of anti-Male propaganda can you share?

gasparddelanuit • 2 points • 27 September, 2019 05:19 PM

What examples of anti-Male propaganda can you share?

Just look at all the feminist and feminist inspired commentary on men. It's all over the internet.

disposablepurplepill • 14 points • 21 September, 2019 03:25 AM

I don't think that women are in denial, but they rightly focus on the negatives of this "privilege" that they have
rightly

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ip7kP_dd6LU

wrongly

Jaktenba • 6 points • 21 September, 2019 03:22 PM

And of course, no replies. Granted it hasn't been long (and I've definitely gone longer before responding to a reply).

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 12 points • 21 September, 2019 04:45 PM

which can be just as hard for some women

This both sides nonsense is ridiculous, it's hard for women who purposely make it hard. If you have all the power and privilege in something and it's hard for you. It is likely hard because of your own doing. You cannot compare mens struggles in this, which are infinitely worse than women's to women's struggles

wtknight • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 08:48 PM

Women's struggle is hard because they are often not attracted to the men who would be willing to have relationships with them. It's male solipsism to not be able to understand how women just aren't and cannot be attracted to most men like how men are with women.

the_them • 9 points • 22 September, 2019 07:21 AM

Men have this literal same problem. You're proving your privilege with your assumption that a man will fuck anything that moves just because it has holes. We want to be with someone we're attracted to and who we feel is special, we just don't usually have the power to make that choice.

wtknight • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 01:57 PM

I applaud you for feeling that way, but that's not the way that most men think, which is confirmed by how men on this sub respond to posts. Most men want to have sex with lots of women when they are young and rack up a high n-count (being attractive enough to spin plates is the ultimate goal of most Red Pillers), and many probably still wish they could have multiple partners even after they settle down and have a family.

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 October, 2019 09:05 AM

Most men want to have sex with lots of women

Bullshit. Most men aim to marry at 25 and have maybe had 3 partners from previous LTRs. Maybe the US is different but here in Switzerland it works this way.

HossMcDank • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 07:46 AM

Well sure, we can't understand it in the sense that we don't experience it -- but to compare it to having few to no options (whether one is attracted to them or not) is solipsism itself on women's part, and to us seems much like a rich kid whining that his 3rd filet mignon of the week was overcooked.

Attempting to equate having hundreds of options with few (if any) is a fool's errand, and rightly so.

wtknight • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 01:55 PM

Most men aren't filet mignon to women, though. Once again, this is male solipsism, since most men think that most women are sexually attractive enough to fuck.

HossMcDank • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 06:20 PM*

The point of the analogy is that equating having hundreds of options, that you personally refuse to consider, with having few if any is brazenly insulting to the latter group. The experiences of men and women in this area are worlds apart, and pretending otherwise is the height of absurdity.

Your refusal to see any of those options as viable is on you. It's also worth wondering if women consider most men unattractive because they can pick from so many that there's no reason to settle for less, but it's a chicken-or-the-egg situation

wtknight • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 09:45 PM

Your refusal to see any of those options as viable is on you.

I think that it's just mostly nature. Good genes are better than average genes, which are much better than bad genes. Nature has evolved so that women want the best genes possible for their offspring. The only reason for a woman to pick genes that she thinks are inferior is due to concern about "good genes men" not providing for her offspring, which is what RP calls a "beta bucks" scenario. But with women increasingly thinking that they can provide for children themselves, and the state helping them out, the need to choose even a beta bucks is becoming less and less each day.

Ani_Infiar • 1 point • 30 September, 2019 11:54 AM

that you personally refuse to consider,

Why consider people you're not attracted to?

[deleted] • 1 point • 12 October, 2019 09:02 AM

Yeah, but if I as a 0/10 guy are only attracted to 10/10 women do you think women will say I have the right to complain about "muh. No good women anymore. It's so hard finding a decent woman to LTR. I can't help it's in my biology (which may be true for some individuals actually)"? Or wouldn't I rather get buried in a shitstorm being called a sexist, mysog.. yadada prick? (And jftr: this is just hypothetical. My attraction threshold is way lower than 10/10).

wtknight • 1 point • 12 October, 2019 04:54 PM

Yeah, but if I as a 0/10 guy are only attracted to 10/10 women do you think women will say I have the right to complain about "muh. No good women anymore.

I don't think the vast majority of men work like this. They are so thirsty for sex that they gradually lower their standards until they find at least some woman who wants to have sex with them.

reluctantly_red • 6 points • 21 September, 2019 02:39 PM

women don't even want the sexual attention as much as they want a relationship

True, but only to a point, they don't want the sexual attention from most of the guys they're getting it from. However, **if** they get attention from a really hot guy they'll eat it up.

Sharkathotep • 7 points • 21 September, 2019 05:21 PM

It's the same with men, you know. Men wouldn't want sexual attention from women they deem unfuckable either. It's natural.

HossMcDank • 3 points • 22 September, 2019 07:44 AM

This isn't true at all, and is just a failure to see the vast distinctions between genders in this area. Men never consider attention to be negative (except for homophobes who receive attention from gay guys).

Ani_Infijar • 1 point • 30 September, 2019 11:55 AM

Yes, people like attention from people they find attractive.

ffbtaw2 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 12:16 AM

Here's an excellent post on why the 'privilege' narrative is anathema when applied to anything but specific groups of people.

tramanda121 • 55 points • 21 September, 2019 01:03 AM*

There's a meme of Adriana Lima that said, "It's flattering knowing men desire me. But then I remember a man would also have sex with a McChicken. So I don't let it get to my head," I think a lot of women understand this.

Men want sex, sex, sex. What do they risk when they get it? Women risk the chance of STI's or worse, pregnancy and on top of that, most women can't orgasm from penetrative sex, so the sex isn't even that great. Men are almost guaranteed orgasms after intercourse and in some cases, sexy times are over as soon as he gets his. So the cost of sex vs. the benefits of sex for women ain't the same as men and it is not valued the same way as men.

i_cri_evry_tim • 40 points • 21 September, 2019 10:45 AM*

Women risk the chance of STI's or worse

Good job on making an issue that affects everyone all about women, I guess.

CatchPhraze • 10 points • 21 September, 2019 01:38 PM

Woman are more likely to catch stis and suffer worse symptoms including infertility.

Jaktenba • 12 points • 21 September, 2019 03:27 PM

That can only be true if the women are sharing the same men.

TwentyX4 • 4 points • 22 September, 2019 09:07 PM

Not necessarily true. Men leave biological fluid inside her, which increases the chances of infection. This is also why men who are bottoms are at higher risk of STDs than men who are tops.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 02:57 AM

Truth.

[deleted] • 22 points • 21 September, 2019 06:26 AM

Men want sex, sex, sex. What do they risk when they get it? Women risk the chance of STI's or worse, pregnancy and on top of that, most women can't orgasm from penetrative sex, so the sex isn't even that great. Men are almost guaranteed orgasms after intercourse and in some cases, sexy times are over as soon as he gets his. So the cost of sex vs. the benefits of sex for women ain't the same as men and it is not valued the same way as men.

We know this, but then for all the negatives, women still engage in casual sex with dangerous men. They find men with dark triad traits most attractive and there's growing sexlessness among men but not women.

So whenever women say sex is a greater risk for them, it's usually to cover their unseen or unspoken promiscuity. In other words, it's risky until the guy is hot enough. Look at the growing rate of single motherhood. Those women ignored the risks, had unprotected sex and then want to cry victimhood afterwards. Where was all this concern for said risks? Many times the men they choose to have kids with are the worst.

So why do women bother highlighting those risks if their decisions don't align with them? This is also frustrating when they disregard personal safety and dump the responsibility on men because they put themselves in vulnerable positions.

If they're going to argue risks, women don't get to pick and choose when those risks apply to them or not.

CatchPhraze • 11 points • 21 September, 2019 01:40 PM

Lmao less then 10% of women regularly have nsa sex.

LethalShade • 3 points • 23 October, 2019 09:57 PM

Why are you blaming women for picking bad partners? This is a human thing in general, if anything even moreso for men than women. Ever heard of the hot vs crazy scale? Or "don't stick your dick in crazy"?

Men are literally the gender known for picking terrible sexual partners based off superficial attraction.

WhatIsThisAccountFor • 3 points • 22 September, 2019 11:36 PM*

And women will have sex with cucumbers. and hairbrushes.

Female sex toys are WAY more common than male sex toys are, and women use foreign objects for sexual pleasure significantly more than men do.

This was always a very weird statement to me.

brokegradstudent_93 • 5 points • 8 October, 2019 01:24 PM

There are different kinds of sex toys. The most popular sex toys are vibrators, not dildos (or something called a bunny which has both) most women don't get off by penetrative sex and love the clitoral stimulation. One of the reasons these toys are so popular is because women rarely orgasm with a partner. Hell my partner knows how I like it and gets me off more than any man I've been with but it's still only about half the time. If I get distracted or we break our connection it's all out the door and I'm probably not gonna get there. Not his fault, just the way it is.

shivaay69 • 1 point • 29 September, 2019 08:27 AM

This!

HossMcDank • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 07:47 AM

TIL men don't get STDs. Not to mention that women are the only ones who can opt out of pregnancy, whereas men are subject to the woman's decision.

Mr_Smoogs • 130 points • 20 September, 2019 03:27 PM

If you get a girl grounded and honest she'll admit it. If she trusts you and feels like you won't judge her, she'll open up about using OLD just for validation when she feels down or the many other privileges she is afforded for being young and attractive. It's not uncommon for girls to brag about the free shit they receive for instance. The only ones who are really in denial about it are feminists online to be honest. It would destroy their world view if they admitted women are afforded privileges men aren't. They'll spin the privilege into a dynamic that's ACHHTUALLY oppressive.

Most women are well-aware that they are typically in the more powerful position, especially when it comes to dating.

xXxINCELFAGGOTxXx • 45 points • 20 September, 2019 04:03 PM

After having that "privilege" to listen to some women open up, I have no idea what would need to happen to me to stop being blackpilled.

Cho_Assmilk 1 points 20 September, 2019 04:44 PM* **[recovered]**

Well, if you stopped being an **INCELFAGGOT** and made yourself attractive enough that bitches wanted on your dick, you'd probably stop

eboy4hire • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 05:52 PM

You can only go so far with trying to make yourself attractive. Eventually you're just spam approaching and getting rejected 90% of the time, feeling like a chump, and you have to face the facts.

XtoDoubt • 25 points • 20 September, 2019 06:57 PM

I'm over 6 feet tall, have a good build, and have a good looking face. My game is solid too. I think you underestimate how much rejection guys like me get, even though we get laid regularly. It

sucks, but being overwhelmingly rejected is a part of getting girls unless you're a celebrity.

tnais 1 points 20 September, 2019 08:06 PM* [recovered]

If you're getting laid regularly, it's not so much "rejection" as it is "incompatibility." It's a subtle, but important, distinction to make.

XtoDoubt • 16 points • 20 September, 2019 08:15 PM

Yeah no. It's rejection and it blows.

tnais 1 points 20 September, 2019 08:23 PM* [recovered]

How often are you having sex with new women? I hook up with about 4 new women per month. I meet about 1-5 new women per week via online dating. I don't view it as rejection because I don't view every first date as a "test" regarding whether or not I can get laid-- I view it as checking to see if there's any chemistry between us. Sometimes there simply isn't any chemistry because we just aren't compatible. This doesn't bother me at all because I know that I'll be getting laid with a new woman whom I'm compatible with later in the week.

jackandjill22 • 3 points • 24 September, 2019 02:54 AM

That sounds like complete horseshit dude.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 02:53 AM

You can't be incompatible with someone you've had 3 conversations with, speaking of which most girls at the age of 20 will jump into bed with random. Compatibility isn't a factor.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 02:52 AM

Then you're still doing pretty good because you get laid amidst the rejection. Some dudes get rejected so often it literally just becomes boring/a hassle.

Russelsteapot42 • 31 points • 20 September, 2019 06:43 PM

approaching and getting rejected 90% of the time

If you are actually getting a positive response one time out of ten from random approaches, then you're actually doing fucking great. Get over your pity party and leave the cult of the loser.

eboy4hire • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 06:47 PM

Yeah you're right, I'm probably exaggerating a little. I just get burnt out sometimes. It's really exhausting.

jackandjill22 • 3 points • 24 September, 2019 02:55 AM

Yea, I get burnt out from dating more than working sometimes tbh.

isammian • 4 points • 3 October, 2019 08:56 AM

As we are talking about female privilege, just imagine saying "90% of rejection is a great statistic for you" to a woman. I bet she would consider it as an insult

tgertcher • 3 points • 22 September, 2019 03:35 AM

Because not having sex makes you a loser. Sounds pretty fucking dumb to me.

Russelsteapot42 • 5 points • 22 September, 2019 03:54 AM*

Being Blackpill makes you a loser. Specifically. It is open acceptance of being a loser.

tgertcher • 5 points • 22 September, 2019 07:27 AM*

Not really. You can not put effort into women but still have a job and a social circle. If you're blackpilled and you sit in your room and leach off the government sure, but just not putting effort into women doesn't make you a loser. What if I just don't value or care about the things that make you attractive to women? "Loser" is also completely subjective anyway. I go to school, I work, not getting any doesn't make me a loser. That's kind of retarded. Obviously if you just sit in your room, eat and jerk off you can be widely considered a loser. However, if you have proper hygiene, relatively clean lodgings, have some kind of job, and aren't a total social fuckup, you really can't be a loser. What if said blackpiller is shy, or insecure, or just doesn't feel like looking for a partner because he doesn't want to deal with the stress of trying to bed women?

Russelsteapot42 • 4 points • 22 September, 2019 08:13 AM

You're describing mgtow, not blackpill. You don't understand blackpill.

Trooglodyte • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 10:47 PM

He's kidding.

xXxINCELFAGGOTxXx • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:48 PM

K.

Call me 69xXxCUNTCRUSHERxXx69.

eboy4hire • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 05:49 PM

"Say my name"

"You're 69xXxCUNTCRUSHERxXx69"

"You're god damn right."

lol

dawnpriestess • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 06:37 PM

Feeling the breaking bad reference!!

FlyingResearcher • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 04:46 PM

Even if the cards are stacked against you, you can still win. Like yeah it's unfair, but what are you going to do about it?

At the very least you can work to change the status quo or educate other people about some of these problems.

xXxINCELFAGGOTxXx • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 06:07 PM

Don't get me wrong, I have no problems with getting pussy. I'm just genuinely interested in all that

shitshow.

Thetrvler • 15 points • 20 September, 2019 05:33 PM

Agreed. These are the equality issues that really should be spoke on. The entire “equality” issue doesn’t take into effect all the privileges women have but don’t acknowledge because they know another woman can get better much easier because she’s “pretty”.

Every woman knows this is true. It’s just their nature to always want more/whatever they don’t have. From Beyoncé to the heroin addict blowing guys for 4\$, all of them wish they were just a little bit prettier or had just a little bit more. This is not men’s fault. This is their mentality collapsing on itself. The main difference between the two sexes is this- men eventually learn to be content with themselves and move on. It may take a while for some, but we eventually get there. A woman? She will literally spend 80% of her life either trying to stay the hot 20 year old OR be envious of the hot 20 year old. The core of their mentality is destined to fail so in the meantime they have to find faults in everyone else. Anything to not think too long about themselves because once they start, it ends in being either a drunken mess or on prescription medication.

UrMomma4 • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 07:04 PM

men eventually learn to be content with themselves and move on
explain the women bashing on incel forums then.

Thetrvler • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 07:10 PM

Don’t take what I said out of context. I went onto also say something along the lines of “it may take some later than others but we eventually get there”.

My opinion is those guys initially have the right idea, they just dove deeper in their feelings and mutated into where the community is now. Every one of those men can “wake up” tonight and decide to just work on themselves and by this time next year have quality pussy waiting on them.

Especially in the ages before 30 is just the worst for men in general.... We aren’t genuinely wanted until 30+ whereas women are wanted pretty much until 30.

nevomintoarce • 3 points • 23 September, 2019 06:05 PM

We aren’t genuinely wanted until 30+

You are wanted by women who lower their sexual attraction standards because they think of the potential life/relationship you can give them. No one becomes genuinely attractive to girls in their 30s unless they were a fat nerd in their youth.

Thetrvler • 2 points • 23 September, 2019 09:04 PM

Agreed. There’s a natural “eww” that goes on in my head every time I see a woman 30+ who isn’t married and raising kids. I seriously can’t help it. My natural genuine instincts just do it.

The only guys who seriously go after women in their 30’s with a straight face for a relationship are guys like you said- guys who never grew out of being “lower” men in the world on a mental level. Only other men are those who are extremely lonely and a 30 year old is usually the easiest target, especially if the guy has a decent job.

nevomintoarce • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 06:54 AM

Did you reply to someone else?

tgercher • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 03:40 AM

They're young and haven't accepted the truth yet.

Truedemocracy5 • 34 points • 20 September, 2019 03:39 PM

Yep, feminists are in denial about their own biology. If they are hot then they will go for the 6'+ muscular sexist asshole guy 10 times out of 10

Am that guy, and love fucking feminists for that reason. Especially as a TRPer

NockerJoe • 37 points • 20 September, 2019 03:50 PM

Every time a feminist sex scandal turns out to be a rape it's an ugly male feminist overcompensating. Every time it turns out consensual the dude was an attractive white guy.

Let's not forget Mattress Girl, who raised hell for a rape case and then recreated the event for attention, where it came out she dragged a european guy into bed then demanded multiple times he slap her as hard as he could. The case kinda died after that. The dude was pissed enough to sue the university multiple times over it.

Thetrvler • 13 points • 20 September, 2019 05:47 PM

Damn I didn't follow the mattress girl. I'm going to look it up now but I totally wouldn't be surprised. Just like when feminist tried to say google didn't pay equal wages to women and filed a lawsuit. Come to find out they had been paying women much more for the last decade and had to pay the men back.

bakedpotato486 • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 01:58 AM

had to pay the men back

(x) Doubt.

Got a source for Google's paying men backpay?

Thetrvler • 11 points • 21 September, 2019 02:24 AM

"Google then paid out an additional \$9.7 million in compensation to 10,677 employees for 2018, "

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/qz.com/1564800/google-says-gender-pay-gap-led-to-underpaying-men-not-women/amp/>

bakedpotato486 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:53 AM

Thanks.

Thetrvler • 31 points • 20 September, 2019 05:45 PM

Well said mate. I was a late bloomer and I also remember how women treated me before and after. I love dogging them out on the regular. I was that nerd creep in high school. I remember everything. This is the hard part. When you were the creep, you know how they treat men who aren't "worthy".

The same hot 20 year old girls I have drinking out of my hand wouldn't have looked twice at me when I was 20 (13 years ago- You know, minus the BMW/six pack abs/living wherever I want in the world when I feel like it/etc). At this point, it takes a LOT to not see all women as either glorified hookers or goldiggers. Like I said, I remember when I was the scrawny weakling with a dead-end job and what

women did/do now to others who are like I was now. Trust me, women are easily 5xs shallower than men. The thing is, they're so stubborn in thinking that their nature won't allow them to acknowledge a man who isn't "worthy" as a human.

Literally EVERY WOMAN ON THE PLANET has some guys who are chasing after them and these guys don't reach their requirements. The fat, disgusting slob who hasn't left her house in 5 years has this. All she has to do is set a webcam up and SOME GUY will offer something to her. This is why I tell men around the world- NEVER have sympathy for any woman. Ever. I've watched what they do to men they don't view as good enough. They aren't even human to them. But you won't find a feminist talk about this side of it.

UrMomma4 • 17 points • 20 September, 2019 06:56 PM

This is ridiculous. All your scenarios can be turned around with the opposite gender. It isn't a gender issue, it is a life issue. Attractive people tend to shit on unattractive. And now you have become an ugly person because of it. You have extrapolated your experiences to reflect all women which is insanely ignorant.

And every woman on the planet has some guy chasing after them? That is so fucking ridiculous and if you actually think that, then you need to get out more. Stop watching porn, stop going on the internet and interact with real people because that is just not reality. You take some small real examples and then say it reflects all women. smh

Do you treat your mother with such awful theology?

darkmoon09 • 25 points • 20 September, 2019 08:14 PM

And every woman on the planet has some guy chasing after them? That is so fucking ridiculous and if you actually think that, then you need to get out more.

The manosphere can be wrong on some issues but this certainly isn't one of them.

If you're a normal woman with a normal vagina, you'll be desired and wanted full stop regardless of how much of an unattractive low-value slob you actually are. Such is the nature of male/female sexual biology. Please don't deny this.

Thetrvler • 16 points • 20 September, 2019 07:02 PM

Literally ask to see ANY WOMANS FACEBOOK MESSENGER alone and I promise you will find random men hitting her up on the daily. If no there than instagram or some other form of social media.

And there are major differences- any man can always build himself up at any age and get the general woman he wants whereas women are usually stuck with what they are.

The reason why I personally only talk to women 18-25 is because this is the real woman. In her prime. Anything older or messed up and she will put on an act to lure a man in because she knows she can't keep up with the newer, younger models so you REALLY don't know what you're getting into. Initially, my philosophy can sound shallow but it aligns with the saying, "in order to test a man's true character, give him complete power" and this applies to women as well.

However a woman acts in her prime is the real woman. There's just no way to tell with anything else.

UrMomma4 • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 07:28 PM

You really think some hideous land whale with acne and balding is going to have men hitting

up her facebook messenger? Even if she is 23? No.

Anything older or messed up and she will put on an act to lure a man in because she knows she can't keep up with the newer, younger models so you REALLY don't know what you're getting into.

I disagree here. When I was younger, I didn't know what I wanted, and I didn't have the confidence I have now. I feel like I can be myself now. Most women I talk to say that their 30's were their best years.

I hate the black and white nature of your theology. I tend to see people as individuals instead of putting them in a box that is their gender. And you only talk to women 18-25 because they are in their prime? That sounds kind of predatory. Like you want to be able to manipulate them. Just saying my first impression, I could be wrong. idk, I'm 30 and the thought of dating a 18 year old is real gross. They look and act like kids. Men or women that date real big age gaps really weird me out.

Thetrvler • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 07:55 PM

You have to realize most women will do any mental gymnastic to Rick themselves into trying believe they're at their best currently. It's woman's nature to please and especially if they feel they are being asked as a form of insight they will say whatever they think you want to hear. This is exactly why women don't do well in groups - if something true is said then that woman's a bitch and will be cast out of the circle. And nothing is more important to any woman than group status.

And I actually came across a hot 22 year old yesterday and I think she fits your assumption- no real character or personality. Nothing to really say with meaning. But she looks great. I wouldn't want to be around her at all. There are definitely late bloomers. I love the ones who have something to say. Looks alone don't cut it for me.

And historically speaking on women's nature, it is absolutely normal you couldn't marry an 18 year old male while a 42 year old male could marry an 18 year old woman. The sexual dynamics fit this. Most women need to always move "up" meaning it would be fairly difficult for you to seriously date a man who was 5years younger than yourself because women on a whole need a "rock" who is strong to lean on. It's just the nature and order of sexes on average. Don't believe me? Go to any graveyard and look at the ages married couples were.

Also, the rare times I'd even do online dating I always filtered out women over 25 for multiple reasons. You must understand the reality- 18-25 is a woman's prime and if she's mildly attractive there are men sniffing around her. If this woman made it to 30 (past her prime) and couldn't find a man to be with then there is something wrong with her. She's too picky/has bad taste in men/ran any decent man off/horrible with money/etc. in my philosophy, it's like dealing with cars- if a car has been sitting for a decade past its prime and nobody ever bought it and rented it at best, something must be wrong with it and it's just not worth figuring out what it is because as a man I have much more to lose than you do. With men in their 30's, sex isn't enough to hold attention. I've had some incredible lays (thinking of 1 specifically from Vegas and another while in Europe) but men's minds grow out of that.

The only way I'd seriously date a woman over 25 (maybe 26/27 if she were REALLY something special) would be if her long term spouse randomly died. Anything else is just

too risky and not worth the effort in the long-run from any man who did something of value with his life.

I'd rather be rejected by a woman in her prime than to be accepted by one on the decline any day of the week.

UrMomma4 • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 08:30 PM

I just really disagree. I think there are too many stereotypes in your thinking. My relationship with my husband would floor you since he stays at home and I work. I want all the sex, and he has a low libido. Putting people in boxes just causes messes. And dating an 18 year old when you are 48 is gross whether you are a man or not. Mental maturity matters. I want to date my equal, the yin to my yang. An 18 year old wouldn't have the maturity. Though your explanation for not dating a woman in her 30s does make sense to me, but I could say that about men in their 30s too. If the man didn't marry yet, something must be wrong with him.

Thetrivler • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 08:45 PM

I did marry (and divorced now. We can go into it if you'd like.). A major difference is men, at the core, are wanted for their protective abilities usually in the form of either physical/financial/intellectual on average. As long as we can provide these on a relatively average basis, we can always rebuild and be better than we were before (I am an example of this and am single as choice. Take it from me- marry the wrong person and you become VERY PICKY on what woman to let in your life next) . The same can't be said for a woman. This kind of goes into the current problem "empowered women" face- they assumed if they became men that they would have the same advantage in the dating market and quite the opposite happened- nobody wants them.

What I'm trying to say is the US is completely confused and modern feminism caused it. A woman's brain wants completely different things than a vagina. No vagina gets wet on it's own thinking about their spouse being a male feminist. Vagina WANTS to be underneath a man. It craves dominance. Assertive speaking. Not a pussy who just agrees with everything you say and let you take control. This does nothing but slowly drive every woman insane until she will literally go to the worst neighborhoods to find a man to give this to her (this is a downside of progressive, educated neighborhoods- they never learn women's true nature but as long as this quality of woman is living in a nice neighborhood, she'll try and program her vagina to like it but she knows she really doesn't despite the manicured lawn and nice car).

I don't know you personally and I will admit there are anomalies. I could do the whole "well I knew a woman like you" routine but I'm not.

UrMomma4 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 08:59 PM

I don't know, the more I talk with men and women alike, there are people like you who adhere to male/female social norms, but maybe it is just social norms? I hate social norms and try to be a "free thinker" and ask myself why a lot. But so many women and men kind of act like their stereotype. I just don't like grouping them together, but maybe you're right. Maybe there are outliers and

that is why this place makes me go crazy. I know my husband is an outlier. He is very much different from the typical person.

dreamo95 • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 09:02 PM

You're delusional if you think fat bitches don't get hit on by men. The only balding women I've heard of were cancer survivors (still can get interest) or the elderly. Most women are gaining considerable weight by the time they reach 30.

UrMomma4 • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 09:09 PM

cute fat bitches, sure. Not the fugly ones.

Many women go balding in their 30s. Maybe wigs and shit hide it? Look up alopecia for women.

Yes, women may not be in their physical prime, though some are, by 30. But mental prime yes. Not everything is about looks. It is about how you present yourself. Hear of men having milf fetishes? Because older women know what they want, know how to achieve orgasm, have better orgasms, and aren't as shy.

edit: spelling

dreamo95 • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 09:51 PM

Most are well out of their primes lol. Bringing up the milf fetish kinda proves my point even more, don't ya think? Ugly, fat bitches still get a ton of interest, just not from the most desirable men out there.

shonenhikada • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 06:17 AM

lol at mental prime. women in their 30's are more mentally fucked up.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:14 PM

Lady, come work in the mental health field and you would be shocked to learn about the vast number of disheveled and severely mentally ill women that have regular joe boyfriends, husbands and fiancée's. Their male equivalents have little to no friends or family let alone a significant other.

UrMomma4 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 06:11 PM

I worked for a mental hospital for 5 years. I worked for the RTC unit so severely abused kids with severe behavioral problems. I would work on the adult unit and the males have regular gfs. I completely disagree with your assessment. Edit: fixed autocorrect

nevomintoarce • 1 point • 23 September, 2019 06:11 PM

I watch my 600 pound life and the death fat men have girlfriends and wives. Checkmate.

shonenhikada • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 06:12 AM

Yes. See Misc, pig woman experiment.

25russianbear25 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 02:22 AM

again with "but both sides"

yes both sides but one side is heavily favored in the dating world and abuses the shit out of it

rivertorain____ 1 points 20 September, 2019 06:04 PM [recovered]

This is so comical. You go on a rant, referring to some women as "fat, disgusting slobs", and you make out that it's *women* who don't regard men as human.

Women don't want to jump on your dick, so they're the shallow ones? I mean, wake up dude. Did you ever question that perhaps people don't want to be around you, not because you're ugly, but because you're a narcissistic asshole?

Thetrivler • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 06:14 PM

Yes, women can be slobs. But I still regard them as human- which touches on my point. Men realize women they wouldn't touch as human still. Women in this same position will never bring the equivalent male up in a conversation because she never regarded him as human if he didn't meet her requirements.

I can be an asshole. I'm okay with it. I've traveled the world and watched women's nature play out time and time again. You don't change. Never have throughout history and never will. I'm an "asshole" because I accept our nature. I just get annoyed when intricacies of women's nature are overvalued for what they are in reality.

decoy88 • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 06:56 PM

This is all in your head lolol

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:20 PM

NEVER have sympathy for any woman.

I have sympathy for you.

shonenhikada • 5 points • 21 September, 2019 06:24 AM

You only have sympathy because women being called out on their nature of treatment men as sub-human and you are trying to prove to OP that there are "good women", who have sympathy for men who don't meet their requirement.

darkmoon09 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:08 PM

Why? Do you think he deserves it?

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 12:20 AM

I've read all ur comments and I'm interested in what ur saying, but I'm also wondering what u exactly mean "woman's nature"

Thetrivler • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 12:34 AM

By "woman's nature" I mean how they execute real-world situations in real-life vs when they're sitting in a comfortable environment with plenty of time to think through their response to find the most pleasing one.

Every woman could give Sherlock Holmes a run for his money in his prime as long as the

air conditioning is working properly. It's the real-world actions where they collapse every time for multiple reasons.

XtoDoubt • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 07:00 PM

He made it pretty clear he pulls very easily now.

MsSinisteress • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:32 PM*

All this because you can't have sex and you have so little awareness to regard how evil men are towards ugly women.

Males being hideous creatures is accepted in your culture. It's accepted in your media to your fashion to your standards to your studies to your culture to your stereotypes to everything. You have movies where women fall in love with ugly animals; and then you have shows where every single woman is hot and not one is ugly. You can list me one example for any aspect of your culture where an ugly woman is celebrated. But you want everything - and you're such a vile creature that you do not have sympathy for a group that is raped, beaten, has acid thrown at their faces, murdered, because you want to remain an ugly non-human while still having sex.

Men are so mentally inane and stupid that I hardly believe having their attention is a privilege. Is being in a cage filled with animals considered a privilege in the Western world?

Thetrvler • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:15 PM

You must remember ugly women worship pretty women. The entire female culture is nothing but self-destructive at its core. And I don't think you read my initial comment (maybe it was a response to someone else) on how I am now on the other end of the sexual market and I've seen BOTH SIDES to woman's nature and was not impressed except for the handful of anomalies...

And yes, I do not have sympathy for a woman because I can promise you every one who was done wrong by a man had at least 3 other men who'd worship the ground she walked on but she chose the "other" path. I'm sure there are some who genuinely had bad things happen to them but let's be honest about it- my previous statement is more- likely the reality. A woman will not see a man as a human is she does not deem him "worthy". She won't even remember his name..... until she needs him for something.....

MsSinisteress • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 03:24 PM

And males worship both pretty women, ugly men, and don't view ugly women as human. Not sure what your point is - ugly women have Stockholm syndrome? Must help them get out of it.

And yes, I do not have sympathy for a woman because I can promise you every one who was done wrong by a man had at least 3 other men who'd worship the ground she walked on but she chose the "other" path.

She's fucked either way. Males have only pain to offer - at least attractive man have his looks to offer. Maybe you can acknowledge that and become a better person? It's not that hard for you to become attractive. It's not hard for you to stop expecting sex while looking like a monster either.

Thetrvler • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:11 PM

To become "attractive" as a man can come out in many different forms- financially

stable/physically cute/ physically strong/ having great social status/ etc.

I literally just ran across an old female friend on Facebook and seen her life and seriously thought about how I do pity women in a context- other women generally don't have a good knowledge base because women don't share good knowledge with each other (except how to manipulate a man) and men tell women whatever they think they want to hear to get sex out of them. This, tied in with woman's nature to search for the path of least resistance while searching for a qualified protector leaves women living in a completely illogical world and siding with whomever tells them what they want to hear and isn't "mean" to them (aka telling the truth).

In this way, I do pity women. Your nature is to stay lost until you can find a strong person to guide/protect you.

On the other hand, the reason why men lose a ton of respect for women is like how I stated earlier- men begin life being treated genuinely like shit from EVERYONE, not just the people we desire. Nobody wants us until around 30 so we're used to it. Women simply are not used to it and it's a huge revolution to include suicidal thoughts once they get within 10 miles of reality (mostly because women are always judging their life based off other women. This is something men eventually come to terms with and move on from. As far as pure personal maturity goes, women start much earlier than men but it also comes to a head far earlier as well).

And I think you maybe are only seeing the glamorous side of being an attractive male rather than the reality of women's nature with said male. Men MUST offer protection in some form to make it too far with a woman of his caliber or she will find a man who can protect her. Period. I've known men that women were crazy about physically and every woman said the same thing - "he's dumb as a box of rocks and I could only sleep with him 1 time." A woman in this same scenario? She will be married to some thirsty guy with money, guaranteed. As a woman, all you have to do is offer being a woman- be feminine, exist, have sex, don't nag too much, and a man will take care of you. Period. It may not be the man in a Rolls Royce, but nonetheless it will be a man with effort and drive to please you. Men simply do not have this option. We're only wanted when we can provide something other than ourselves.

MsSinisteress • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 04:19 PM

Can you give a TL;DR of this?

Are you saying that women basically want someone who can protect them? How is it relevant to my point?

Just be attractive. You're not even attractive, much less than being protectors like your ancestors were, you're just a bunch of cumbrained and violent creatures who all culminated to bitching on the internet. You *devolved*. And now it's *our* fault that we aren't attracted to you?

You have nothing to offer. Only pain and bloodshed, or stupidity and mental insanity. In the past you actually were protectors but now you can't even do a single pushup. You *evolved* to serve women; protect them, fight for them, feed them, while we pushed out your babies. Now you are useless. Why would women want to do anything with you now? You only bring pain and misery while still having the gall to expect sex.

You're being retarded by not sympathizing with women and you're being retarded by

acting so entitled.

Thetrvler • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:22 PM

I just finished doing 15 pull-ups. I'm an ex marine.. so I thing I can do a single push-up. My original topic was dealing with woman's nature and being frustrated I learned it at 30. Btw I'm working on my 4th degree so yeah, physically and mentally well-off here...

MsSinisteress • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 04:29 PM

Good for you. Other men aren't like you.

Men should be like or even better than you to increase female options.

Currently all the food is rotten and inedible; but they are plenty of them, and some just give you an infection when you touch them. Said food shouldn't be mad no one wants to eat them.

Mr_Smoogs • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 03:43 PM

Emotionally damaged and/or feminists are vastly over-represented in the casual scene from my experience. It comes with the territory.

officerkondo • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:03 PM

This makes me think that my feminist FWB and I ghosted on each other before I got to show her my MAGA hat. That could have been a real opportunity to tear down the political divisions that are rending this country asunder.

Jathrowaway97 • 18 points • 20 September, 2019 04:45 PM

Those privileges are only afforded to pretty women. It's also not female exclusive if you're a very good looking guy you'll have the same privileges. If a woman isn't pretty this will not be her reality and of course she will deny it.

cxj • 15 points • 20 September, 2019 11:02 PM

More like if the woman is 4+/10 lol. But idk even fatties have tons of dudes after them, hot ones for fucking and 5-/10 ones for dating

Daffan • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 04:20 AM

Juggernaut Law.

reluctantly_red • 25 points • 20 September, 2019 05:19 PM

Those privileges are only afforded to pretty women. It's also not female exclusive if you're a very good looking guy you'll have the same privileges.

True -- but the devil is in the details as a much larger percentage of the female population makes the good looking enough to be privileged cut. Only a tiny percentage of guys are hot enough to enjoy this privilege.

Jathrowaway97 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:45 PM

I'm not sure if the percentage part is true. All these people(attractive men and women) have to do is

go for people who are below them in looks and they get to enjoy the privilege. That's how I see it play out irl.

In your opinion what would you say the % are for each gender? Men and women overall seem to have pretty clear cut standards on what they find attractive whether its openly admitted or not. Only a fraction of people fit the bill though.

The3liGator • 9 points • 21 September, 2019 01:04 AM

80/20

Jathrowaway97 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 01:12 AM

I highly doubt 80% of women are good looking enough for real "pretty privilege"

The3liGator • 9 points • 21 September, 2019 01:54 AM

Men rate most women as average and will ask them out. Women rate most men as unattractive and will not date them.

Therefore, women have more access to pretty privilege.

TwentyX4 • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 07:22 PM

Those privileges are only afforded to pretty women. It's also not female exclusive if you're a very good looking guy you'll have the same privileges.

No, it isn't. Let's not pretend like hot guys and hot girls anywhere close to having the same privilege. Additionally, there are a lot more women than men that get categorized as "hot". I've seen the way attractive women get treated. There is no corresponding treatment for men.

It sounds to me like you're trying to pretend that it's not a male/ female issue; it's an attractiveness issue. That's not right, though. That's part of the way that people deny the fact that being female is definitely a major factor in their treatment.

Jathrowaway97 • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 07:45 PM

It is an attractive thing though. I used to think it was a privilege exclusive to pretty women based on what guys said. However when I entered the adult world and saw that handsome men could game women as easily as beautiful women could game men. I realized that regardless of gender, attractiveness can open up a lot of doors.

TwentyX4 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:32 PM

Yes, attractiveness can open more doors. But, no, men and women are not on an even playing field. Women absolutely have a gender-based advantage.

goldmedalflower • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 01:15 PM

There is no corresponding treatment for men.

Celebrities, famous athletes

HossMcDank • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 07:50 AM

No, they aren't -- and it's well beyond absurd to behave as if it is the same for men and women. An anonymous 3/10 obese girl has roughly the same number of options, and receives the same attention, as a

10/10 male celebrity. Countless Tinder experiments have proven this.

The fact that this is upvoted does a good job of proving OP's point about how far gone some women are as to how advantaged they are in this arena. It would be like men denying that they are advantaged in tasks of physical strength -- not only false, but completely laughable.

Mr_Smoogs • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 04:50 PM

As I specified in my comment: "young and attractive." But since you clearly didn't care to read it, I'll say it again, "young and attractive"

SevenDrunkMidgets • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:06 PM

I'd argue the attractive part is optional. Most young women unironically believe they're goddesses.

Jathrowaway97 • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 11:08 PM

No we don't lol. I'm 21 and I've always accurately known where I stand. 18 and under I was ugly and twiggy. After some hard work I'm average but I still have a ways to go. There's not as many girls as you think that actually believe they're goddesses. Some project that false confidence even if they don't believe it.

Jathrowaway97 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 04:52 PM

It's not just women that this happens to though so I don't understand why people are framing it as "female privilege". There's just as many young and attractive guys that benefit greatly from thirsty women. Yet people don't get as angry at that as they do at the female equivalents. Why?

FlyingResearcher • 20 points • 20 September, 2019 05:08 PM

Female privilege is a thing. Yes it's related to attractiveness, in that less attractive women have less privilege, but that doesn't mean that they don't have any privilege at all.

Jathrowaway97 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:41 PM

Expand?

FlyingResearcher • 15 points • 20 September, 2019 06:09 PM*

Well as one example you're less likely to be profiled, arrested, or beaten / shot by the police.

You're also more likely to receive help from other people, and there are more services available to women than there are for men. Examples include homeless shelters and domestic violence shelters (which, if you didn't know, affects men equally as it does women, even though men receive less help for it... And the fact that many people aren't aware of that, is another example of privilege that women get).

Many of our laws and biases concerning divorce, child custody, child support, and access to welfare and other programs to help the needy often apply to gender without any notion of attractiveness (gender is very often explicitly specified at a legislative level even before you start looking at things like court and application biases). Same is true for many "affirmative action" programs, like programs that help new business owners and things like that.

We don't practice genital mutilation on newborn girls like we do on newborn boys. I don't think how attractive you are as a baby is really going to effect this at all, seeing how we

literally *never* do this to girls.

Women are never expected to do the same difficult tasks that men do, either at work, or at home. For example, did you know that women who work on road crews, often doing nothing more than holding the signs that say "stop"/"slow" usually make the same amount of money as the men doing all of the hard manual labor?

I mean are you literally unaware of this type of stuff, or is this the first that you're hearing about any of it?

Jathrowaway97 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 06:30 PM

The profiling part is affected by race more so than gender and for gender attractiveness certainly does play a part. As a black woman I've never been beaten or shot by a cop. I used to be profiled by them and they would approach me very aggressively. I've always been respectful to police officers whenever I was pulled over or stopped for questioning yet they still reacted aggressively. These days the profiling happens to me less and they're extremely nice if they ever pull me over. The only thing that changed though was my looks. I can't be 100% sure that's the reason for the difference in treatment but I'm inclined to believe it since everything else stayed pretty much the same.

Secondly men have a higher rate of committing more violent crimes than women. That's why they get profiled and arrested more. It's the same as why black people get profiled and arrested more. This doesn't mean that all men are violent criminals though.

The DV rate for women is much higher than the DV rate for men. That combined with the fact that most DV centers for women were founded during times when women didn't have as many job opportunities is the reason why you see more resources geared towards women. This is changing though and things are becoming more gender inclusive. There's domestic violence resources geared towards men now as well.

The govt programs you listed are also another example of things that need to be adjusted for the times and they are. The bias is actually against breadwinners and in favor of nurturers. Historically men have been the breadwinners and women were the nurturers. Now that there are couples who don't fit this dynamic you see family court cases go differently in those situations. My dad got alimony because my mom was the breadwinner. They have shared custody of my younger siblings. More and more you're hearing about cases of women paying alimony and child support to ex husbands because men are no longer the sole breadwinners. You'll continue to see this play out more and more if more couples become like this(and divorce).

Genital mutilation is a disturbing cultural thing that's being spoken out against. Education is the key to that issue. FGM is a thing as well but people are advocating against that just like they're advocating against mgm now. At the end of the day though, you can't really prevent ignorant people from doing adverse things to their kids. You can only try to educate and legislate so that they'll be punished and serve as an example if they do, do things like that to their kids.

FlyingResearcher • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 08:52 PM

The profiling part is affected by race more so than gender

You're confused. Gender and race definitely intersect here. But actual studies that look at court biases show that **the bias against men is 6 times higher than it is against minorities.**

"Many men's issues interact with issues for racial minorities. The result is that **minority men are doing the worst of any race/gender combination in numerous areas** (including homelessness, life expectancy, and incarceration)."

The DV rate for women is much higher than the DV rate for men

This is not true at all. Not only do most studies show that there is a very clear gender parity here, some studies show that men are more likely to be seriously injured and hospitalized by women than the reverse.

The only reason some studies show that women are more likely to be victims is because lesbian relationships tend to be more violent than gay male and heterosexual relationships (with gay male relationships being the least violent). Some studies also include other forms of abuse, like mothers who abuse their daughters, which is also leaps and bounds more common than other forms of child abuse.

When you actually isolate heterosexual relationships, and especially if you include yelling, verbal abuse, emotional abuse, and things like gaslighting, it is women who are actually more likely to abuse men than the reverse.

And the fact that women's shelters discriminate based on gender actually makes it very easy for lesbian abusers to follow their victims there. Which is a problem that has been getting a lot of attention here recently.

The govt programs you listed are also another example of things that need to be adjusted for the times and they are.

I'm glad that you see it that way and I definitely give you props for being honest and admitting to it.

But surely you've just admitted that female privilege exists by saying this. Maybe you don't agree that it *should* exist, but that's not what the original question was about (not that it really matters... I don't care about being "right" just for the sake of being right, but surely you have to see that you've basically just made my argument for me here).

At the end of the day though, you can't really prevent ignorant people from doing adverse things to their kids.

I have a close (female) friend of mine who just recently did this to her newborn son. Not much you can say after the fact about that but it is sad to see it happen.

Jathrowaway97 • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 11:13 PM

I'm headed to my 2nd job I'll give this a proper response by tmm morning at the latest.

Jathrowaway97 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 08:22 AM

I'll have to look more into your first claim. Race has more bearing than gender. A white man statistically will have a better life outcome in most areas than a

black woman. If women really had privilege gender should offset this.

Emotional abuse rates are pretty consistent for both men and women. Physical abuse rates are higher for women though.

<https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/fastfact.html>

The second link you posted isn't working it says pub pages is down. Do you have stats on dv rates where sexuality is controlled for?

Domestic violence resources are moving to becoming more gender neutral and shelters specifically for men are opening up. I just did a google search for DV resource centers in my area and out of 30, 20 also help men.

No it doesn't prove your point Them being geared only towards women in the past wasn't a privilege. Women were the primary victims because men had all the power. It was a resource being made for the disenfranchised. Society has changed so resource allocation is changing to keep up. Men didn't need the resources at the time they were made. However they do now and they're being accommodated.

<https://www.thehotline.org/2014/07/22/men-can-be-victims-of-abuse-too/>

For the resources in my region I'd prefer to pm if that's ok? I don't want to dox myself lol.

Is she a single mother? If so it's not right but I can see why. My parents didn't circumcise my little brother at birth because they believed that's mgm. They did when he was 8 because he didn't clean his foreskin properly when they started trusting him to bathe himself. They tried to salvage the situation but it was too late, so they had to cut it. If she doesn't have time to be hands on with her son that probably motivated her. If this isn't the case she has no excuse.

KV-n • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 05:11 PM

There's just as many young and attractive guys

No

ToraChan23 • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 05:20 PM

Young and attractive guys STILL need to have value other than their looks. A young and attractive woman who is a loser will be able to marry up into wealth. A young and attractive man who is a loser would get free sex at best.

Jathrowaway97 • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 05:42 PM

No they honestly don't. All the guys I know that bank on their looks are the exact same as their female counterparts. They don't have to offer anything. All they have to do is go for women less attractive than themselves to enjoy the privilege of being attractive.

ToraChan23 • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 05:49 PM

All they have to do is go for women less attractive than themselves to enjoy the privilege of being attractive.

What are these guys getting, exactly, other than sex and admiration?

My point is that a beautiful woman just needs to be beautiful to go from shit to a life of luxury. Cristiano Ronaldo's girlfriend was a cashier in a Gucci store, now she has access to all the riches it took him his entire life of hard work and talent to earn.

This rarely if ever happens for men who are beautiful but who ain't shit, to get picked up by an immensely more successful woman and he upgrades to levels he would never reach on his own.

Jathrowaway97 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 06:01 PM

Ok and Jeremy Meeks was an Ex-con and now he's fathered a child with a billionaire heiress.

They get cash and free labor. There was a group of guys at my school who had women buy them groceries, cook for them, clean their apartment and have sex with them, despite them not being in a monogamous relationship with any of the girls or offering any consistent emotional connection to any of the girls.

Are you not aware of guys that are able to finesse women out of their paychecks, drive around in their gf or fwbs car, live in her house rent free with no responsibility etc? Those are men who leverage their looks and sex appeal with women less attractive than themselves. There's a whole generation of boys on social media who are able to move up the economic ladder just because they're attractive enough to turn their looks into profit. Everything beautiful women get, attractive men do as well.

ToraChan23 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 06:11 PM

Ok and Jeremy Meeks was an Ex-con and now he's fathered a child with a billionaire heiress.

That would fall under the "rarely happens" that I just said.

They get cash and free labor. There was a group of guys at my school who had women buy them groceries, cook for them, clean their apartment and have sex with them, despite them not being in a monogamous relationship with any of the girls or offering any consistent emotional connection to any of the girls.

Are you not aware of guys that are able to finesse women out of their paychecks, drive around in their gf or fwbs car, live in her house rent free with no responsibility etc? Those are men who leverage their looks and sex appeal with women less attractive than themselves. There's a whole generation of boys on social media who are able to move up the economic ladder just because they're attractive enough to turn their looks into profit. Everything beautiful women get, attractive men do as well.

All of this is true. But none of the women who typically do this are in the 1%.

Yeah Tyrone gets to use Shonda's car while she is at work, but Tyrone still lives in the same neighborhood he was in before meeting her.

Getting your groceries bought, dick sucked, and apartment cleaned is nowhere near going from a receptionist job to living in a mansion with a millionaire and never having to work another day in your life, whether you stay with that man or not.

Beautiful men don't typically get to do that. They CAN climb up the economic ladder for a few steps, but beautiful women get to jump over that ladder altogether.

Attractive men don't get everything attractive women get. Hell even male models aren't as popular/paid/famous as female models.

TheTrvler • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 01:49 PM

Remember this- if a poor woman marries a king, she instantly becomes a queen. If a random man marries a queen he is NEVER a king- he will ALWAYS be known as the queens husband.

Mr_Smoogs • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:22 PM*

I see you're on that strawman tip.

The OP asked specifically about how WOMEN acknowledge their privileges. I answered about how WOMEN acknowledge their privilege.

I'm just going to disagree there are just as many attractive men as women. Of all the data I've seen, that surely hasn't been the case. What are you using as a source to back up such a claim?

Jathrowaway97 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:43 PM

The whole thing is disingenuous though because nothing he said is exclusive to women so he is the onus on women? It doesn't make any sense.

Mr_Smoogs • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 05:47 PM

It's not disingenuous to essentially ask people if women deny their privilege.

Also, women have an entire political movement that rejects any sort of advantages women may have. So it's not entirely unreasonable to come to such a conclusion that this mentality is shared amongst women.

Jathrowaway97 • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 05:56 PM

This was a covert attempt by op to frame things as if women are the only ones benefiting from this and putting the onus only on women. I'm quite sure op knows that this is something attractive people benefit from full stop, not just women. Yet they're trying to frame it as a female issue under the false guise of equality. That's absolutely disingenuous. The real reason they take issue with this has nothing to do with gender equality.

if it was really about equality men would've been mentioned as well or they would have framed it as a general thing about attractive people having privilege over average and ugly people. Not just single out women for a privilege that's not even inherently female.

Mr_Smoogs • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:02 PM

When you get off your conspiracy theory tip let me know lol

Who knows what OP's intent was. It doesn't really matter to me either way. I answered the question to how I saw fit. You are arguing with the OP while talking to me. It's annoying.

It's again, not entirely unreasonable to come to such a conclusion that women deny their privilege considering women have an entire political philosophy delegated to denying privileges women have and instead making them out to be forms of

oppression.

Jathrowaway97 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:05 PM

I argued your points as well. This is not a gender thing.

cxj • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 11:03 PM

There are not by any stretch of the imagination “just as many” attractive guys benefitting like girls are

TheLongerCon • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 11:58 PM

There’s just as many young and attractive guys that benefit greatly from thirsty women.

How many women pay for sex?

Jathrowaway97 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 12:32 AM

A pretty decent amount. There was even a show about it called “gigolos”. Where it followed the lives of male sex workers and some clients.

TheLongerCon • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 04:09 PM

That show was largely faked.

What's the male to female ratio of people paying for sex?

Jathrowaway97 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:11 PM

Idk, in my parents country there’s just as many female sexpats as there are male. So from that it looks pretty even but that’s only one country.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 01:32 AM

Sex and affection are like money. Women have the money by default and men must earn their wage. This is why when a man cannot get a penny from women, it's his fault for not working hard enough. This is the equivalent of saying 'don't be poor' (don't be unattractive). Hot guys get a card with a practically limitless budget.

Part of the ruse is telling men that they can earn a wage through hard work, that women appreciate qualities other than physical attraction far more and being a good man will earn you a good woman. It's all bullshit and men are noticing the 'hustle', such as relationships that are soft prostitution or women initiating divorce and taking half of his shit because he gave her everything and now she's bored.

There are good women out there. But they've either learned the hard way or been taught that impulse control is a valuable trait. Those who don't have it seek out a hedonistic lifestyle, pick up weight, have no patience and compromise is something he does, not her.

Women get upset if there's an imbalance in this, such as when a man has power over them. Their belief in 'equality' is essentially their desire to return to a status quo where they have power and choice. So Chad pumping and dumping them is absolutely heinous. But if she leads on some nice guy beta for validation or uses him as an emotional tampon, he's the entitled one for having feelings for her and caring about her.

It's only oppression when women lose, not when men do.

ChadThundagaCock • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:00 AM

You won't see a hot blonde cheerleader type call herself a feminist. She has no reason to complain.

There's a reason feminists are fat and ugly.

tnais 1 points 20 September, 2019 07:10 PM* [recovered]

This matches my experience. A lot of my FBs have had a subtle sense of entitlement regarding their sexuality and beauty, and would be shocked/offended (yet slightly intrigued) when I'd indicate that they can't control me with their sexuality.

chaddad9000 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 01:05 AM

Yup, it's the basic "alpha" test -- will this guy turn pathetic for pussy?

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 08:51 PM

Even if you still get issues of projection.

E.g. men just need to dress better and socialize.

exh_ust_d • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 12:17 AM

Having random free shit isn't even fun it's patronising and annoying

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 02:50 AM

Suppose.

fevertree • 18 points • 20 September, 2019 08:24 PM

Women do not see sex as fundamental to basic needs as you do. They want and like sex...but not to the degree men do. At least not sex in and of itself. Relationships and offspring factor into their algebra as well.

Joey_Lopez • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 02:18 PM

They want sex just as much as we do. They just only want it with the top 20%.

fevertree • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 07:34 PM

Nope, their willingness to forgo sex is what allows them to be pickier on sites that are designed for casual sex.

Joey_Lopez • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 08:38 PM

yeah right. If men forgo sex and be pickier they still won't get as many responses as the average girl.

brokegradstudent_93 • 3 points • 8 October, 2019 01:26 PM

That's not true. If men collectively would just keep it in their pants women's behavior would start to change. But I've been told on here before that men can't control it and they should have no responsibility in that arena.

shivaay69 • 1 point • 29 September, 2019 08:31 AM

More like the social expectation for a Man to approach a Woman is what makes them pickier, that's exactly why once they hit the wall they become creepy and lonely despos themselves.

RinoaRita • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 11:30 PM

If getting to have random sex regardless of standards and attractiveness of partners, guys can just have sex with

other guys. Grindr is easy. Or are you not allowed to have any preference other than gender?

poquitobalofao 1 points 20 September, 2019 06:11 PM* **[recovered]**

Women have it easy when they go for “any quality sex” and during courtship. Many take freebies men are willing to give away, too. And yeah, many guys are willing to sacrifice themselves and let girls go first during catastrophes blah blah.

However, if they actually go after sex with specific guys, or look for good relationships, or worthwhile long term friendships, guess what, it’s not so easy after all.

Social media made the proverbial neighboring green pastures look LUSH. It’s just different gender roles and issues. They have it easy when it comes to casual sex, deal with it.

abicus4343 • 10 points • 21 September, 2019 12:13 AM

Yup, and most women do not want casual sex the way men do, most women hate getting pumped and dumped, so in what way do they have it better?

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 5 points • 21 September, 2019 05:10 PM

They don't have to get pumped and dumped. Women have an infinitely broader pool of options than men, they should pick men who won't pump and dump them.

lilacluna548 • 2 points • 8 October, 2019 09:54 PM

How does a woman know whether a man will pump and dump her (or just be not a good partner overall)? Genuine question, it's never happened to me but sometimes people turn out to be different than they seemed in the beginning

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:09 PM

You're in denial. They have it easier when it comes to sex and a relationship. They're valued more by default making it far easier to attain a relationship.

the_them • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 07:34 AM

Literally everyone has trouble finding worthwhile partners and friends. Good people that you can get on with are rare regardless of whether or not you want to fuck them. Women still have a much easier route to this because they can sit and wait for a suitable guy to come along if they want to, or, take the initiative and do what men do. The difference is, men can never sit back. Even if you’re attractive, its still unlikely for a woman be brave enough to defy social convention and put herself in a position to be rejected.

abicus4343 • 23 points • 20 September, 2019 11:46 PM*

If you are saying women have it easier finding relationships just because they are women well that just doesn't make any sense. If a straight woman is in a relationship then she is in one with a man, it takes two people to form a relationship, who is the guy then? Is she in a harem? If it's a monogamous relationship then obviously a guy is also in that same relationship so he is doing equally as well as she is. There are no women in happy relationships alone laughing at all the men that aren't in the relationships with them.

If you are talking about casual sex then yes, you are correct, women have an easier time getting casual sex for the explicit fact that they are women. The thing that men constantly misunderstand regarding this horrible inequality is that very few women are searching for or satisfied with casual sex, some are but most are not and many women are actually hurt by having too much casual sex. Most women do not want casual uncommitted sex, they want connection and intimacy, not random pump and dumps, it's not a priviledge to be treated like a

free hooker. So how do women have it so much better if they are also not getting what they want? Comparing men to women is apples to oranges. Both sexes have different needs and desires, women many times do not get what they want just as men do not get what they want.

It just feels like so many men here cant get past the childish idea of the "you have what I want so you have it better and its unfair!" idea, regardless if that person doesnt want that same thing and is also unhappy with their situation.

It seems more like they are angry that "hot people" have it better, and yes, that is true, man or woman, hot people have an unfair advantage in the sexual/dating market place. The problem is these guys dont see anyone else, they don't see unattractive women or other men, they only see hot women, and then they get angry because hot women have an unfair advantage. Yup, they do, all hot people do, lifes not fair.

r00000000 • 11 points • 21 September, 2019 04:09 PM

If a straight woman is in a relationship then she is in one with a man, it takes two people to form a relationship, who is the guy then? Is she in a harem?

It being easier to find relationships doesn't mean women actually pursue relationships. Women almost always pursue relationships with men that are more attractive/wealthy/influential which leads to women essentially sharing the same subset of men for their turn in a relationship. If women lowered their standards to be more on their level, they'd have no problem finding relationships either.

Most women do not want casual uncommitted sex, they want connection and intimacy, not random pump and dumps, it's not a privilege to be treated like a free hooker. So how do women have it so much better if they are also not getting what they want?

This is because of the abundance of sex they're able to have. If you're able to have casual sex whenever you want, then an actual connection would be what you crave. It's far more difficult for men to have the physical need of sex and the emotional need of a committed relationship to be fulfilled. So from the perspective of men, it's not that women aren't getting what they want, it's that they're getting far more than what most men can get.

It just feels like so many men here cant get past the childish idea of the "you have what I want so you have it better and its unfair!" idea, regardless if that person doesnt want that same thing and is also unhappy with their situation.

A lot of men do want the same thing, it's just that the idea of a committed relationship is so far out of most young men's minds that they have to focus on settling for something casual first. The idea that men aren't interested in relationships is because women keep going for men out of their league so they just get toyed with while the men look for someone closer to what they want.

mr_sandmam • 3 points • 22 September, 2019 08:58 PM

Is she in a harem?

Historically, yes <https://www.theguardian.com/science/2014/sep/24/women-men-dna-human-gene-pool>

Thetrvler • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 02:02 PM

Men want a connection too. This is how unaware women are of their entitlement. Most men wanted to marry the first woman they ever got. The thing is, men are BEATEN DOWN to have to deduce they'd be better off by just pumping and dumping in the end.

Every player walking had his heart broke when he was younger. Every single one. He'd be lying if he didn't

admit to this. Men MUST learn how to protect themselves in the dating market, especially after learning women's true nature and not the bs movies and television teaches us (basic things like never listen to what a woman says but watch her actions/ when word gets out you have copious resources, women will immediately learn how to be nicer towards you/etc).

I'll be the first to admit- women get treated like shit when they get older and their nature sets this up for it. Ask any little girl what she has to offer the prince and her answer will be "me!". This is the level of entitlement they get from young ages. And when they're 20 and hot, it can work out like this... temporarily... what movies didn't teach these women is the "me!" The prince was wanting was exactly that- the hot 20 year old. After she ages, she'll be discard without a care from the man, and you know why? That man remembers when HE waited for a 20 year old woman when he didn't have anything but himself to offer. This is why nobody cares about women on an interpersonal level worldwide who knows their nature.

abicus4343 • 7 points • 21 September, 2019 05:44 PM*

Holy fuck. Dude, everyone gets their heart broken when they are young, that's part of life, it's one of lifes major lessons. It's supposed to teach you to be a better person and a better partner so you can avoid the same mistakes next time. Every woman I know has had her heart broken, many a few times, difference is they dont go out and become some bitter redpill pos PUA because of it, they go through it, dust themselves off, try to improve, and go find love again. Men on the other hand become nasty misogynistic assholes at best or school shooters at worst. Jesus, grow a fucking backbone, if you cant survive heartbreak without it destroying your life what can you survive?

Thetrvler • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 05:56 PM

I'd like to add- it's completely against women's nature to become "bitter redpill pos PUA" and still reach the social status requirements from her peers. Women NEED to be accepted interpersonally. I don't think you understand their nature either but rather listen to what they're saying which is a huge mistake any man can make.

Yes, women get their heart broken too- in addition to the numerous other men she can readily get validation from simply because she is woman.

There are great women out there and most times they're married off by 22-24 because the average man who learned their nature can pick up on if a woman has a genuinely decent character or if she's just a parrot trying to fulfill a social status requirement.

abicus4343 • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 06:05 PM

Yup, but it's not you guys marrying those women, redpill is the bottom of the barrel, where the chaffe falls from the wheat. Redpill self selects themselves out of the dating pool and they don't even realize it.

Thetrvler • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 06:22 PM

I could go on and on about my accomplishments and women I've slept with (and blocked in my phone from different countries). I've been married in Europe (and I will go on record saying I will never marry an American woman as they are the dumpster of women. The only reason they get away with half the shit they do is because it's a 9 hour flight in any direction to see different types of women). The difference between me and a basic redpill guy is that I've traveled almost completely around the world. I've watched their nature play out and paid attention to their actions in different languages literally from the smallest of villages to the largest metropolitan areas. Trust me, women do not change around the planet. 9 out of 10 women you're going to come across in life are going to be glorified hookers or looking to

fulfill their own social status and you will merely be the place holder.

It's funny because being a pathetic man is glorified in western countries (especially the US). I can promise you this- there is not a woman on this planet who's vagina genuinely gets aroused by the thought of a man who advocates or is a male feminist. Their vagina literally hates it.

abicus4343 • 4 points • 21 September, 2019 08:46 PM

Holy shit, you are damaged beyond repair huh? Thats just sad.

Who ever said anything about a male feminist? There are many different types of men out there besides feminists or redpill psychos. Eesh.

bopoll • 1 point • 30 September, 2019 08:11 PM

You know more than one woman can date a man right?

You've heard of serial daters, right? One at a time, each of the women can date the same very very attractive guy, then get dumped, then he can move onto the next one, and so on.

So that leaves a ton of women who easily get themselves into relationships, and 1 guy who does that same.

Ton > 1

And that leads to women having it easier.

-OpportunityCost • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 05:52 PM

The reason so many don't recognize their privilege because is because they don't realize it. Being privileged doesn't mean you receive a check every week for nothing, it means you have social benefits that affect your life in a positive way. The same reason white people hate the term white privilege, because they don't have to live with the negatives that minorities have to deal with. I would agree that women have most of the privilege in today's sex and relationship marketplace. The bad news is nothing will ever change by telling them they are privileged, it's best to just accept some things in life aren't fair.

TowerCrowFort • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 12:28 PM

The same reason white people hate the term white privilege, because they don't have to live with the negatives that minorities have to deal with.

Minority groups always have to deal with more shit. It's not "white privilege", it's majority privilege if you even can call it that. It's ye old tribalism, nothing more, nothing less. Race factors into that, but it's not inherently "white". This American obsession with "whiteness" looks insane to the rest of us.

r00000000 • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 04:25 PM

I'm from Asia and I can say it's definitely not a majority privilege thing. You're right that it's not inherently white, but it's white because of American influence with how wide reaching their media is.

TowerCrowFort • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:30 PM

How exactly whites are privileged in Asia? Most likely you're thinking about economic class difference. Money has no race. In fact, the rich are probably the reason why this race bating bullshit is happening in the first place. As long as the unwashed masses squabble between themselves, the rich can continue to hoard their money piles undisturbed.

r00000000 • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 04:34 PM

There's this prestige about white people for some reason in Asia, where they're seen as unique and exotic (technically they are I guess), and working with one in your company is seen as prestigious. This guy does a good job talking about it. Since this thread is about relationships, there's also a heavy bias for white partners in Asia too, likely for the same reason.

-OpportunityCost • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 07:33 PM

Yea majority privilege is another way of saying it. It's always beneficial to be in the majority regardless of the circumstances. I wouldn't say in America but in alot of countries whiteness is prioritized. I remember going to an amusement park with my Indian friend on a very hot day and he wore a hat and a long sleeve shirt and he said it was because his parents didn't want him to get darker which I thought was insane.

dayoftheoctopus • 16 points • 20 September, 2019 04:42 PM

A lot of women just flat out don't know. So many truly believe in the wage gap, rape culture, etc. etc. Not sure if it's shifted but a number of polls pegged of 60%-70% millennial women as progressives ... which is ... crazy. If you go through life that way (you think the other gender is the enemy) your behaviors are going to help fulfill the prophecy over and over. It's sad - a lot of unneeded distress.

Dustin_Bromain • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:03 PM

Where you lie politically doesn't necessarily mean that you have incorrect views on the male/female mating dynamic.

dayoftheoctopus • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 08:33 PM

Sure - but if you're at all familiar with the standard progressive idea set you know it skews very 3rd wave, wage game is real, blah blah. Gen Z girls are slightly more conservative - but still plenty full of the progressive fervor.

Dustin_Bromain • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 08:49 PM

I think we're trying to convey different meanings for the same words here. What you're describing to me sounds a lot like hardcore feminism.

I consider myself to be "a Progressive" but I associate that word with all of my left-leaning political opinions and ideas (education, healthcare, etc). Also, I'm a guy.

NockerJoe 1 points 20 September, 2019 03:14 PM **[recovered]**

The greatest privilege is ignorance. A lot of women don't really get the concept of leagues the way men do and don't have to think too hard about what works and what doesn't because the pressure isn't on them. Just like how if the sex is bad it kinda defaults to the guy being bad in bed even though he's the one generally doing all the cardio and working his hands more. When success is a presumed default and failure doesn't fall on you you never really have to get good or do introspection.

janeaustenfan99 • 49 points • 20 September, 2019 03:24 PM

Women can get a lot of sexual interest, nearly whenever or wherever we want. Getting genuine emotional/romantic interest leading to a LTR, is another story. So no, I don't feel privileged by having constant sexual access. Though I can fully understand how from a guy's perspective, that is viewed as a "privilege."

reluctantly_red • 36 points • 20 September, 2019 03:37 PM

Sexual access is the first step on the way to a LTR. Women have such an easy time with this first step that they don't seem to understand that next step establishing an actual relationship is much harder.

idhavetocharge • 20 points • 20 September, 2019 10:19 PM

What? No. This is such a stupid idea to keep throwing around. Sex isn't the first step in a relationship, having sex before the relationship is already established is almost certainly a one night stand.

janeaustenfan99 • 29 points • 20 September, 2019 03:58 PM

This hasn't been my experience. Sexual access just equals sexual access.

FlyingResearcher • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 05:29 PM

Sex is the first hurdle in like 99% of relationships. Before then you're really just friends. That's what turns it into to an actual relationship.

And whether or not you go steady or make it official is usually up to the woman. Some guys won't, but most will if the sex is good enough.

[deleted] • 28 points • 20 September, 2019 05:40 PM

No. Getting a guy to fuck you is no hurdle whatsoever. It's nothing. Getting the relationship is the one and only hurdle.

janeaustenfan99 • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 08:01 PM

Thank you. Getting sexual interest is of no value to a woman.

DicamVeritatem • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 08:39 PM

OK but...the very fact that sexual interest is of little value to a woman can be considered proof that women are privileged. OP is generally on the money here.

RinoaRita • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 10:43 PM

But if there's a bunch of stuff that you don't want is that really privilege? People in the dessert would probably love a chunk of snow but if you're in Siberia freezing that snow is pretty useless. Does the person freezing in Siberia have privilege? It's all circumstantial.

The3liGator • 8 points • 21 September, 2019 01:12 AM

It's more like the difference between a person who can't afford good, healthy food (women), and people who can't get *any* food. Both are big issues, but one is much much worse than the other.

badgersonice • 5 points • 21 September, 2019 02:41 PM

No, it's more like men are always starving and can't get any food, while women have a buffet where most of the food available will make her sick. You really do not understand that being pumped and dumped (or worse) by some strange guy will make most women feel *worse* than if they hadn't had sex at all. Getting food that makes you feel terrible or even hurts you isn't a privilege. Being a pump and dump makes a lot of women feel worse than if they'd just hadn't had sex. Getting used and feeling horrible is not a privilege.

strickyicky • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 09:37 PM

If a woman won't fuck you she certainly won't date you (unless she's desperate). Not all sex leads to relationships (obviously) but it certainly is a requirement especially today.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 05:39 PM

Sssshhh no common sense allowed

FlyingResearcher • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 05:53 PM

For a man sex is definitely one of the first major hurdles to getting a relationship (obviously getting a date comes first, but sex is probably the next major hurdle after that).

Maybe because those first couple of steps come so easy to women, you guys just don't see it that way.

But then that's kind of what the point of the OP was.

You describe having "one hurdle" but I've just listed three hurdles that men have to cross just in order to get to the same place as you.

[deleted] • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 06:01 PM

I'm talking about hurdles that woman face, not men.

eaazzy_13 • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 06:42 PM

Excellent, we are all in agreement then. Women face less hurdles in dating than men do, in general, which is very plainly what the OP said.

FlyingResearcher • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 06:28 PM

Men face the exact same hurdle of getting into a relationship that women do.

Men just also face multiple hurdles before they even get to that one.

eboy4hire • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 06:17 PM

Is it really that much of a hurdle? It seems like you just have to wait for a guy to romance you.

[deleted] • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 06:19 PM

Yes it's really a hurdle.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 23 points • 20 September, 2019 03:29 PM

Women can at least get something with little to no effort. Men can fail to get anything. Something is better than nothing.

It's a vegan complaining at a homeless man about an all you can eat buffet.

uniqueeleni • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 03:41 PM

On the other hand, the percentage of the women that would be open to a relationship with the man they have been sleeping with, is generally higher than the men who will have a relationship with the woman they are sleeping with.

Women can get sex with no effort, that's true and as a woman I guess it's nice to know it, but it's more a proof of men being thirsty than me being something special, so I don't particularly care.

Regardless, sex with random men who would never LTR you and would fuck you just because you were available or sex with people that you find unattractive isn't all that pleasurable for a woman most of the times.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 03:49 PM

Do you think that having someone want you for just for sex is somehow worse than not being wanted at all?

uniqueeleni • 16 points • 20 September, 2019 06:26 PM

Yes because they have sex with you because they can, because they are in a dry spell or whatever. They don't desire you, they just feel meh about you. I don't know why some men feel like it's so good to have sex with someone that feels meh about them.

TheLongerCon • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 09:47 PM

I don't know why some men feel like it's so good to have sex with someone that feels meh about them.

Because women do it all the time?

Different women have different preferences, some aren't bothered that much at all by sleeping around. Many of the female posters on here has said as much.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 0 points • 20 September, 2019 07:06 PM

But them feeling "meh" about you is better than feeling nothing at all. You're at least good enough to fuck. Men complain about having nothing. Women complain about not having enough.

katymarx • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 04:57 PM

Yes. Sex with someone who doesn't give a shit about you and doesn't care about your pleasure or feelings and exposes you to preg/sti risk then ghosts afterwards feels fucking awful. It's not so different to your plights. But since she got her body used as a cock sleeve all that matters is u had sex, don't care. SMH

NiceGuyNumber4 • 17 points • 20 September, 2019 05:21 PM

"Better to have loved and lost..."

Sex without a relationship is better than not having either. If that wasn't true, woman wouldn't be sleeping around. Or they see sex as a precursor to a relationship, which only further supports my point.

UrMomma4 • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 08:13 PM

It depends and is very circumstantial. Women can want to have no strings attached sex, and that is a symbiotic relationship when the man wants the same. But if a woman wants a relationship, and the man portrays that he wants the same, and then sleeps with her to never call again, that has pain attached now. Now the lonely man has his own set of pain. We are really talking about loneliness/untouched pain vs used like an object pain. Both suck.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 09:18 PM

Is it better to have your choice of misery or only the one assigned to you?

Women are choosing to have sex and the risks involved. Many men aren't getting the opportunity for that choice.

LeJacquelo • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 04:39 AM

I'm with u/UrMomma4 on this, both suck too much to make a comparison meaningful.

Luke-the-camera-guy • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 07:14 PM

But these women weren't loved tho? The example u/katymarx gave was essentially a guy who slept with a girl but the guy was not only a bad lay for her, he didn't care that she enjoyed it, is both a risk to the woman being a single mother, getting an sti but also just never speaks to her again cause he got what he wanted. This isn't love by any measure because you're literally an object to be used and discarded once done with. (read: no I'm not saying that "the silence" that men go through when they face rejection, especially online is better than objectification but it's not worse either)

katymarx • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 07:57 PM

Exactly! Im not ranking this experience above or below any lonely guys. Simply pointing out the value isn't there.

Blackpills claim they won't pay for escort sex because they want validation of desirability above all. So when a girl gets cruelly P&D, any validation she initially experienced is wiped out and replaced by hurt, self doubt, and self loathing.

So id postulate that a girl going for casual P&D when she knows the guy doesn't care is synonymous with a man going to the brothel to simply enjoy the physical experience. Sure its going to cost the guy some \$\$\$ but that's a matter of our biology and isn't valid for debate.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 5 points • 21 September, 2019 05:22 PM

So id postulate that a girl going for casual P&D when she knows the guy doesn't care is synonymous with a man going to the brothel to simply enjoy the physical experience. Sure its going to cost the guy some \$\$\$ but that's a matter of our biology and isn't valid for debate.

And how are these two different actions looked at by society? Hint: the man in your scenario could be arrested.

You can have sex without a relationship. You can't have a (good) relationship without sex. Women get sex easier. You have an easier time meeting the minimum requirements for a good relationship. You are upset about men you can't keep while men are upset by being completely ignored.

I can spell it out a thousand times, but at this point I don't expect women to understand or care.

katymarx • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:30 PM

You are right. We don't care because of everything I said above. And the likelihood of being arrested for say, paying a sugar baby, is very very low.

We have it better in some ways but having dick thrown at us isn't of value so no one cares that you think it is.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 09:01 PM

Is it better to allow yourself to be used, or to be ignored and assumed useless?

Luke-the-camera-guy • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 10:29 AM

Sorry only seeing this now, as a guy the silence you feel when you try to seek affection and face nothing but constant rejection or simply are never acknowledged, is psychologically damning and will make you worse off than if you never looked for connections to begin with. You can end up being a person who forms resentment to new people simply based on past exp and this isn't limited to just the gender you're attracted to, because even if they don't owe you the time of day, repeatedly being told you're not worthy of anything with them will make you worse of a person. Like that one post where they guy who got rejected by some women but then got in shape and later was loved by them still had so much hatred over the rejection because they damaged his self worth to the point that "finally getting what he wanted" wasn't enough to satisfy him, because what they did to his self esteem can't be undone.

But also as someone who was raised with the view point that they are an object to be used by others however they see fit, it's equally as damaging cause you don't really develop a self of sense, not to mention self worth. Like rejection and lack of recognition is horrible but being treated as if you aren't human with you're own values or needs or desires, and just becoming whatever the other person wants you to be really prevents you from understanding yourself and what you want in any meaningful way not to mention can fuck up you're view on if you are even human to begin with because you've become someone who doesn't have a sense of self and is nothing more than on object.

Tldr: they're both damaging in different ways and i don't really care to have a pity party over who has it worse.

NiceGuyNumber4 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 03:55 PM

If you can easily get something I want and that something is a vital part of something else we both want, then you have an easier time getting both of those somethings.

Women are complaining about getting guys they can't keep. Men are complaining about not getting girls at all.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 05:43 PM

There's no such thing as being used for sex unless it was nonconsensual.

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:39 AM

Hell or high water.

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:36 AM

There is that.

Sharkathotep • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:55 PM

No, it isn't. I'd rather be single and don't have sex than having meaningless sex without a relationship.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 04:25 PM

I think it can be, if that's not what both parties want/need. Doesn't help when people (of either gender) are dishonest with their intentions, it skews their perception of the opposite sex...especially if it happens more than once...

Sex is a biological imperative, love is an emotional thing. The biggest problem with the sexual revolution is that it's left a lot of people with the inability to distinguish between the two, which leads to miscommunications and pain for both sides.

PickUpScientist • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 03:52 PM

On the other hand, the percentage of the women that would be open to a relationship with the man they have been sleeping with, is generally higher than the men who will have a relationship with the woman they are sleeping with.

This is due to the large SMV gap between the two people on average. Dating within your league evens this out entirely.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 04:52 PM

The entire point is that the distributions of SMV are skewed because of this privilege.

uniqueeleni • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 06:26 PM

I agree completely.

Daffan • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 04:21 AM

Bro these people are mental. They have no idea what the other side is like. They don't even understand the concept of being alone.

BotThatSaysBro • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:21 AM

bro ☐☐

janeaustenfan99 • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 03:59 PM

But for some of us women, sexual interest is meaningless. But that's all a matter of values, so I can understand that, too.

webernicke • 17 points • 20 September, 2019 05:04 PM

It can be argued that sex is only meaningless to you because it's so abundant.

[deleted] • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 05:43 PM

Not true. It's meaningless because "meaning" for WOMEN is emotions and feelings.

r00000000 • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 04:23 PM

They're saying that you only seek that meaning because sex is so abundant for women compared to men. Men who are sexually successful seek the same meaning.

janeaustenfan99 • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 05:10 PM

It's meaningless and sometimes even dangerous to me because it comes with several unnecessary risks and emotional outcomes that don't benefit my life. Casual sex doesn't carry the same risks for men as it does for women.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 05:16 PM

Yup, sex is like clean water.

You don't think about it when it is abundant.

janeaustenfan99 • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 05:28 PM*

Sex for women isn't like clean water at all... What if some of that water is polluted, has stuff floating in it, and could possibly kill you or impregnate you? What if the water just tastes nasty so you're left unsatisfied in the end?

strickyicky • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 09:49 PM

Birth control, abortions and the fact women can only get pregnant like two days a month. If women hated casual sex they wouldn't participate in it.

webernicke • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 05:40 PM

What if all that stuff you said is true but you've been in a desert for a long time and you don't know if you'll ever get any water again?

janeaustenfan99 • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 07:59 PM*

That's where men and women differ. I fully understand and empathize with the fact that not having sex biologically feels like a desert for men. For me, it doesn't feel that way. I can't speak for all women but being celibate for us, just isn't like being in a drought.

shivaay69 • 2 points • 29 September, 2019 09:03 AM

To be fair It's not being celibate that feels like a desert to Men there are more celibate Men out there than women. Just look at the no. of Shaolin monks, Naga babas, Saints, Gurus, Herbivorous Men, Mgtows and dudes on No fap and semen retention. And not having sex can feel like a desert to women too but that's not the point because they still get sexual validation from heaps of compliments they are showered with every day if not from the physical act per sei. In short what feels like a desert to Men is the lack of sexual validation, attention and feeling of being desired.

[deleted] • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:07 PM

It's a vegan complaining at a homeless man about an all you can eat buffet.

It should be a vegan complaining about an all you can eat *meat* buffet. And they should complain - vegans don't eat meat (most women don't "eat" casual).

NiceGuyNumber4 • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 04:40 PM

No because there are still options for the vegan. It just isn't catered specifically to their needs. All of

the choices are still available if not for their warped standards.

chocolatchaud 1 points 20 September, 2019 05:01 PM* **[recovered]**

Attractive men can also get easy sex. "Easy sex" is not a female privilege at all

Sigma1979 • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:10 PM

Getting genuine emotional/romantic interest leading to a LTR, is another story

There's lots of men who can fill that hole, it's just they're not chads.

janeaustenfan99 • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:09 PM*

I don't deal with Chads, though

Sigma1979 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 05:14 PM

Well congrats, either you have a weird definition of average to below average (are we talking REAL average/below average or what women call average/below average but are really above average, i.e. the okcupid/tinder studies?) or you got real unlucky. There are lots of dudes in that range who are looking for more than just sex.

UrMomma4 • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 07:56 PM

Yes, but there are also many men just looking for sex. Not just chads, but average to low tier normies. If you aren't Stacy enough, you will just be a lay. Lots of men want LTR, but with a Stacy or Stacylite.

strickyicky • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 09:46 PM

Most women will refuse to date a guy they haven't fucked first and only if the sex was actually good. Since women get to choose from the numerous amounts of men wanting to fuck her she is in the driver seat compared to men. Sure women can have their disappointments but so do men.

cxj • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 11:05 PM

You can still get plenty of LTRs as a woman, just not the same caliber as you could fuck

janeaustenfan99 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:22 AM

Men gatekeep commitment

cxj • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:20 PM

Sort of

SDW137 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 04:27 PM

I think that it's "hard" for people of both genders who don't have high SMV to find genuine emotional/romantic interest that leads to an LTR. I don't know if it's equal, but most guys definitely have no real advantage there. Girls will be often be used by high SMV guys for hook ups when they thought that they found someone who had an emotional/romantic interest in them. On the other hand, guys who don't have high SMV cannot get commitment from a girl whenever they want. They must look a certain way/be this race, be this tall, have these values, and be this fit to get in a LTR. It's not really easier for most guys in that sense, maybe just the high SMV guys.

TheLongerCon • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 09:44 PM

I think you're vastly understating how much women enjoy sexual attention and even casual sex.

Yeah, you can't get your ideal LTR easily. Neither can men.

Sharkathotep • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 06:13 PM

How do you know?

TheLongerCon • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 06:58 PM

How do I know what?

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:36 PM

Men have trouble getting either though and I don't buy the whole women have trouble finding quality relationships. Make better choices, if your pool is that broad make better choice.

ToraChan23 • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 05:18 PM

How is the privilege "unearned" when they are not at fault for the privileges men bestow upon them?

It is not an Instagram that's fault dumbass men send her money through cashapp all day.

The only women who have "privilege" when it comes to sex, dating, and relationships are above average attractive women. And even THEN, it is not their fault for being put on pedestals by men who don't know their own worth and try and give the world to someone who didn't earn it.

Azihayya • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 08:39 AM

Treasure in a tide of white noise.

exh_ust_d • 11 points • 21 September, 2019 12:16 AM

Being a woman makes it very easy to have people want to fuck you, but very difficult to find anyone who gives a damn about your well-being

i_cri_evry_tim • 10 points • 21 September, 2019 10:47 AM

very difficult to find anyone who gives a damn about your well-being

This has to be sarcasm

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 5 points • 21 September, 2019 03:37 PM

Are you kidding me?

They literally came up with a violence against women act even though almost all of the victims of violent crime are men.

Everyone cares more about a woman's wellbeing.

Joey_Lopez • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:22 PM

It's kind of the same when we have all these girls that just want a relationship with us so we can spend money on them. They damn sure don't care about our well-being.

CoffeehasSentience • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 06:05 AM

but very difficult to find anyone who gives a damn about your well-being

How so?

[deleted] • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:20 PM

Most people are in denial about their unearned power and privilege about anything. Good ol human nature.

UrMomma4 • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 06:47 PM

I think that the usage of "entitled" is being used differently from men and women when it comes to this argument. I of course know that people crave affection/sex/relationships, and the lack thereof can cause problems with that person feeling fulfilled.

When I hear women say that "you're not entitled to sex", it often comes from a man who has gotten angry over a rejection. Or an incel who is angry that women won't have sex with him. We (women) are not obligated to give sex, but we of course understand the ramifications from not getting it. We understand that it must be frustrating, and cause a negative outlook on life. I think that for many, they place the blame on women. They argue on how women shouldn't be slutty, that's the problem! Or women should date their looksmatch and not use hypergamy, that's the problem!

I argue that the blame needs to be fully placed on the "nice guy". You can talk about your feelings all day and I won't call you entitled. You are entitled to your feelings. You can be sad that you have difficulties getting laid, but don't be mad at me (or women in general). This is the reality we live in. You either accept it, or cry about it I guess.

And I think women's perceived power is overstated a lot. I would argue attractive people, no matter the gender, have an unearned power and privilege when it comes to sex, dating, so forth. My husband and my twin's husband always have women buying them stuff at work. Lunch, coffee, etc. It isn't just women getting gifts (though I agree it happens more). And it is only attractive women that really get this privilege.

abicus4343 • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 11:58 PM

It seems as though these guys are confusing the privileges of "hot people" with "women" because they only see hot women, the rest of the world doesn't exist for them.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 05:34 PM

The threshold for a woman being hot is so low. You're acting as if there aren't legions of men who would gladly get into a longterm serious relationship with a woman who's a 5/10.

I don't know why you're in such denial about this. Women having unearned power and privilege doesn't mean they're bad people. I just want them to acknowledge it instead of the whole but but but both sides nonsense.

abicus4343 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 05:37 PM

Legions of losers sure, women have standards even though men dont. Go check out r/femcels, they may be at the bottom of the dating pool but they still have a little self worth. Just because some pos somewhere will take them or pump and dump them doesn't make them happy or mean they have it better. Men have no idea what their league is, they accuse women of being delusional but news flash, everyone is delusional.

Kondijote • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 05:25 AM

Any woman, unless she has a serious deformity, can be attractive. One of my older cousins is an obese woman in her 40s with serious self-esteem issues. Even she has had men in love with her that she has rejected!

Just take a look at porn sites and you'll see that there are categories for every female body type: Fat women (BBW) and skinny women, Tall women (amazon) and short women (petite), big boobs and small boobs, etc.

mandoa_sky • 7 points • 21 September, 2019 01:23 AM*

Being sent dick pics (that you never wanted or even asked for) 3/5 for every stranger you meet on OLD is a privilege?

And this is even before you say hello

TheMedsPeds • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 10:41 PM

On average women have it easier, sure. But I refuse to admit that women have it easier just because vagina.

A good looking, charismatic man living in a large city with an active social life and a decent income will have a lot easier time getting laid than a socially awkward, fat, unhygienic, ugly woman living in a small town with little to no friends. Sure if she begs enough on Craigslist/Tinder/FB she will eventually find some horny dude thirsty enough to stick his dick in anything but that doesn't change the fact that the guy in this scenario has it easier.

CainPrice • 21 points • 20 September, 2019 04:15 PM

This CMV depends on what you consider power and privilege.

It is stupidly easy for non-ugly women to find someone willing to have casual sex with them. All most non-ugly women need is low standards, 15 minutes, and a cell phone, and they can have a fuck-date set up for tonight.

And despite how much women complain, most non-ugly women can also find a relationship pretty easily. All they need for this is low standards and a guy friend who's single and doesn't have a better opportunity.

If we simply define power and privilege as the ability to have sex, any sex, whatever sex it may be. Then sure, women have it stupidly easy.

But if we define power and privilege more logically, as the ability to get what you want out of the sex and relationships scene, it's not as simple.

Because 99% of women don't have low standards. They don't just want to have sex, any sex, whatever sex they can get with whoever comes along. Women want to have sex with a select few men that they are attracted to. Women want to have relationships with a select few men they want to be with longer-term.

Most women struggle to get what they want from those select few men. When a woman has casual sex with some slightly above average loser, this is second prize for her. This is something she's doing as a placeholder, because she's bored and horny. She can't find the guy she wants for a relationship, but she figures there's no sense going without sex the whole time she's looking.

It's kind of like a friend-zoned beta guy. He can't find a woman who will date or fuck him, but at least he's hanging out with girls and enjoying their company in the mean time while he keeps looking.

I consider the woman's outcome better than most men's outcome. But not staggeringly better if we define power/privilege as "getting what I actually want" instead of just as "getting laid".

TheJim66 • 17 points • 20 September, 2019 05:13 PM

Your logic is faulty. A millionaire might have trouble becoming a billionaire but that doesn't mean he hasn't much more power than an average guy. Similarly just because a woman can't get relationships with the top 10% of men it doesn't mean she isn't significantly more advantaged than the overwhelming majority of men.

But if we define power and privilege more logically, as the ability to get what you want out of the sex and relationships scene, it's not as simple.

They are still very much advantaged. Sex is a prerequisite for relationships and it also has the tendency to build intimacy in most men. You might not be able to have a relationship with all the people that find you sexually attractive but you can't have neither sex nor relationships with the people that don't find you sexually attractive.

No matter how you look at it women have the most power in the SMP. The problems they have are like "first world" problems.

Yuo_cna_Raed_Tihs • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 07:38 PM*

Lol what the fuck is this line of reasoning. You're basically defining privilege as ability to get what you want. By this metric, incels ~~and gamers~~ are literally the most oppressed minority because all they want is to get laid ~~and oppress women~~ but that's almost impossible for them and therefore they have the least privilege in western society.

CainPrice • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 07:44 PM

Is that untrue?

Not all people are equal. Life isn't a role-playing game where we're all given an equal number of points to distribute to various aspects of our lives. Some people are just plain better in every single way. Not only are they good-looking, fit, and athletic and physically capable, but they're also socially skilled, well-connected socially and professionally, and good at a lot of stuff that makes them excel in professional and social settings.

And some people just plain suck at life. They're ugly-faced, short, unfit and inept, socially awkward, and have too many physical and personality deficiencies to hold a job, date, or get laid. Some people just plain lose at everything.

It's not unreasonable to say that people who are born into wealth, looks, etc. have privileges that incels don't. I don't really care about incels, personally, but it's not crazy to say that incels are a pretty underprivileged group of barely-humans.

Yuo_cna_Raed_Tihs • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:21 AM

Yes of course it's untrue. There could be discussion about life holding incels back vs incels holding themselves back, but ultimately that's not even why you're so terribly wrong.

Under the paradigm of privilege being based on wants and desires, poor Christian in Pakistan who only cares about devoting himself to Christ and doesn't give a shit about anything else, including the religious persecution he will face, is more privileged than a middle class straight white male incel in the richest country in the history of the world.

If privilege were based on wants, then teenagers whose parents are literal multi millionaires are probably one of the least privileged groups, because all they desperately want is for their parents to love them and spend time with them, but they never get that.

If privilege were based on wants, castrating an incel and removing his desire to reproduce and find a mate would actually make him less unprivileged.

The idea that privilege is based on how easy it is to access what you want is a bit ridiculous

TheReformist94 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:51 AM

I agree with you, but this involves just accepting that women will not lower their standards. They can lower their standards and looksmatch

CainPrice • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 03:45 PM

It's not about looks-matching. Men have a fucked up view of looks-matching, primarily because of how ungodly obese the U.S. and Britain have become.

The average dress size in the US is 14. Actually, a few online articles says that's gone up to 16 or 18 now. "Average" in the U.S. is fat. a 5/10 average girl is fat.

If you see a kind of chunky but not enormous girl who can still fit into a size 12 dress (so maybe 180 pounds), and her face is pretty and her boobs are big enough to stick out past her belly, that girl is above average. She's a 6/10. Most girls are fatter and uglier than she is. You see chunky girls like that with cute, fit boyfriends -all- the time. And girls like that have zero problems having active Tinder lives and meeting guys from bars. In fact, this is the sluttiest segment of women, by far. They know they're not the pretty girls, but having lots and lots of casual sex makes them feel like the pretty girls.

When you're looking at a skinny, flat-chested brunette with a girl-next-door face and mousy hair, your brain screams that this is an "average" girl. I guess because the media has told you that blondes with hot bodies and stunning faces are hot girls, while flat-chested brunettes are average. But she's waaaaay above average. Just for not being fat, she's automatically like a 7 or 8. When she hooks up with a cute 8 instead of you, she's -is- looks-matching. That's not an average girl punching above her weight. It's an above-average girl hooking up with an above-average guy. If it doesn't lead to a relationship for the girl, it's probably because the guy has her, another 7 just like her, and a stable full of chunky 6s he can hook up with.

Sepean • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 03:15 PM

This sort of comparison only hold if you compare women to beta men. And being beta is a choice.

It's like fat people complaining about how much easier everything is for fit people. Well, get fit.

sublimemongrel • 19 points • 20 September, 2019 04:09 PM

9.9/10 times here it's the incels/struggling men who get "hostile and adversarial" with me, not vice-versa. So yeah, I'm not going to tip toe around them when it's directed at me or "acknowledge" anything - I don't owe those people shit. This isn't a sympathy sub for men.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 04:14 PM

Well, are they hostile because you're speaking from a tremendous position of unearned power and privilege and not acknowledging that?

Either way, I'm not asking for sympathy, sympathy implies that you feel bad for someone.

It's more about how indelible women are of their unearned power and privilege. That further promotes societal misandry which, long at some of the comments to this post, misandry is a major problem on this sub.

lion_lin • 12 points • 20 September, 2019 05:09 PM

Most women as you can see from the responses on here are pretty open about their dating privileges. I made a post the other day about dating as a woman and the simp playground of online dating. I have went full nun mode because I was overwhelmed with what I was being offered by men (gifts, money etc) even though I brought nothing to the table at the time (had no job, lived with parents)

If I could share that privilege with lonely men I would. I just don't see where the discussion goes from here after the acknowledgment. All I can do is stay true to myself and not use my privilege to be the worst kind of woman.

FlyingResearcher • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:48 PM

I just don't see where the discussion goes from here

That's a good point.

I think OP is saying that a lot of women are openly hostile towards men and their problems because it comes so much easier to them.

Granted I still don't know where you take it from there.

At a legal level there are things we could do to fix some of the issues that men have (like better divorce and child custody laws, and parental rights for men like we already have for women). But when it comes to dating I'm not sure that there's a lot you can really do to make it fair.

Maybe that's what the point of the red pill was supposed to be.

sublimemongrel • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 04:19 PM

Oh please. Someone being a dick is their own responsibility. Not mine. And you know I don't agree with this stupid "unearned" label. I don't feel bad for the success I've had with my relationship. I feel lucky and grateful, sure. But I'm not going to kowtow to sensitive men here because they haven't had the same level of success. Particularly when they are the ones getting needlessly aggressive with me.

[deleted] • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 05:04 PM

FYI "Lucky and grateful" implies admission of privilege (luck is by definition unearned), and "kowtow to sensitive men" shames men out of expressing negative emotions and often causes them to redirect those emotions into a socially acceptable form, and the only socially acceptable negative emotion for men to have is anger.

To be honest though idgaf because I don't have much trouble.

sublimemongrel • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 05:13 PM

Feeling lucky and grateful is not mutually exclusive to "earning something".

shames men out of expressing negative emotions and often causes them to redirect those emotions into a socially acceptable form, and the only socially acceptable negative emotion for men to have is anger.

Yeah, no. You are the one asking people to walk on eggshells around those men here and "acknowledge your privilege" before responding to them. I would say your request itself contemplates that they are sensitive. If they have issues with the minimally-emotive language I used there then I would perhaps suggest maybe this is not the right sub for them. This again is not a sympathy sub for struggling men. It's pretty convenient that you're just ignoring how hostile *they* can be.

[deleted] • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 05:19 PM*

Okay, maybe you can explain to me how to earn good luck, because that sounds like an incredibly useful skill.

I'm not asking for shit. I'm also not interested in sympathy for men. I'm coming at this from a problem solving perspective, and I'm pointing out that you shaming them is contributing to their hostility, the very position you complain about.

sublimemongrel • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 05:22 PM*

I said it's not mutually exclusive. Not that luck, in and of itself, is "earned". You can likewise be grateful for things you nevertheless played a role in getting. Like I am grateful for my job - I still earned it.

I'm not asking for shit.

You literally are, in your OP.

I'm also not interested in male sympathy. I'm coming at this from a problem solving perspective, and I'm pointing out that you're shaming is contributing to their hostility, the very position you complain about.

Bullshit. By your logic women just existing and not going out of their way to placate struggling men "shames" them. Stop making excuses for other people's poor behavior, much less placing that blame on me.

Edit: thought you were OP, sorry.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:28 PM

I didn't ask for anything in my OP. Do you see a question mark?

I was pointing out what you were implying and the logical mistakes you were making in my OP, and I'll do it again here.

If you feel lucky for something you earned, then you are being illogical.

Your definition of "going out of your way" seems to be not actively denigrating men for having emotions.

sublimemongrel • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:33 PM

I thought you were OP.

If you feel lucky for something you earned, then you are being illogical.

No, because it's not mutually exclusive. I feel lucky for certain things I nevertheless played a role in getting.

Your definition of "going out of your way" seems to be not actively denigrating men for having emotions.

Absolutely not. For the **third** time, those men that come here are the ones who get hostile and aggressive - for no reason. Saying I'm not going to placate them because they are sensitive to a women posting is not "actively denigrating men for having emotions" you're not following the context here. Why should I do what OP is asking to men who are getting hostile and aggressive with me for no reason whatsoever?

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 05:39 PM*

You're conflating OPs argument with mine. Stop it.

The definition of luck is "success or failure apparently brought by chance rather than through one's own actions". I don't care what your emotions tell you is mutually exclusive, it is illogical to feel lucky for something earned by definition.

In your second most recent reply, you are backing off of the statement "lucky"

and redirecting your argument to the word “grateful” while trying not to admit you were wrong.

Men should not be hostile towards women randomly. But for the third time, I’m approaching this from a problem solving perspective, and telling you a contribution of this is your subtle discouragement for men to acknowledge their emotions. It’s the same mechanism as men calling women “honey”, “sweetie”, and “doll” in workplace scenarios. What you’re exhibiting now is called “gaslighting”.

Again, don’t care at the end of the day what you think, just doing my due diligence to correct fallacy and false logic in toxic people, no matter the gender.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 03:06 AM

That's like blaming mass shootings rather than on their distress on their inherent defects it ignores the underlying issues. No-one being excessively sensitive by pointing out that certain successes are one-sided or unearned.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:45 PM

Lol high rmv men have tons of privilege too, more than even high rmv women

ToraChan23 • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 05:22 PM

This isn't a sympathy sub for men.

It isn't a sympathy sub for women either.

Keep this same energy when things get "hostile and adversarial" towards y'all.

sublimemongrel • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 05:23 PM

Obviously. But I can't say in the 3 years I've been active here that I've ever seen a post made to request that the men be more sensitive to women here. Yet I've seen that on at least a few occasions here when it's men asking women.

Keep this same energy when things get "hostile and adversarial" towards y'all.

Most of the "hostile and adversarial" posts here are already towards women. We are used to it.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 September, 2019 03:03 AM

This is a funny statement, but more honest than the rest. It doesn't have to be a sympathy sub this means certain principals about men's differences in dating are irrelevant to you because you're flat out staying you're an asshole when it comes to certain issues.

Mulkvistee • 14 points • 20 September, 2019 03:29 PM*

I'd believe the earnestness of this more if the people professing to care about this didn't immediately jump into the gender wars karma farming circle jerk with other comment response avenues available. Looking at it that way, providing them a "laydee-so-privileged" rage outlet is practically a service.

Edit: dv all you like, it doesn't change that on charged topics the people bitching about acknowledgement of a VeRy SeRiOuS isSuE are all over the rage bait comments having a feels wank rather than a debate.

adool666 • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 04:15 PM

meh feminism is literally based on complaining how men are privileged while I'm just a weak poor woman. The only difference is that weak men who complain a lot are revolting sexually.

[deleted] • 22 points • 20 September, 2019 03:47 PM*

Look man, life is inherently unfair, no one said that there must be equality between men and women. Just like there is no equality between you and a man born in Kenya, while what you are saying is probably correct, your attitude towards the reality of things is problematic.

I would even go further, all of the inequality you are talking about is the product of fellow men and not women.

Who are the ones who are constantly devaluing themselves in online dating sites?

Who are the ones that prefer being cucks than being by themselves?

The nature of the modern dating market, is 100% men's fault.

The real question is, given the inherent unfairness of this world, what are you planning to do to maximize your personal gain in this world?

FlyingResearcher • 19 points • 20 September, 2019 05:00 PM

Well obviously it must be a man's fault. This is a universal truth in our misandrist, gynocentric society.

The question is, why is it a man's fault?

Do you think you can expand on that point a little?

Because while I know and agree that it absolutely is a man's fault, I don't quite see how just yet.

[deleted] • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:14 PM*

Let's say that you own a store that only sells 4 products of varying quality, the most poorly made costs 1\$, the next in quality 10\$, the next 50\$ and the best 100\$. Let's say that you earn 5k a month from this business. Now imagine that tomorrow all the other stores in your area decide to lower the prices by a factor of 10 permanently. Do you believe that you will still earn 5k or will it be closer to 500\$?

Same principal, men oversaturate the market to a degree that the cumulative value of all men drops significantly. Women are not to blame for taking the top they are offered any more than a customer at your store is to blame for purchasing an item for 10 times cheaper of what it cost before, or to maybe draw the parallel a bit closer, for purchasing a higher quality product for the price of a lower one before.

Cho_Assmilk[] • 13 points • 20 September, 2019 04:51 PM

The nature of the modern dating market, is 100% men's fault

That's bullshit. For every man who gives up on the raw deal that a relationship can be, there is a woman who gives it up for free and complains about the lack of good men out there.

[deleted] • 10 points • 20 September, 2019 05:08 PM

I was referring to the fact that men in general prefer to date below their league rather than remaining single. Men oversaturate women with abundant supply, eroding their own value, it is only natural for women who are bombarded with men throwing themselves at their feet, to select higher tier men. That is the nature of any market.

RinoaRita • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 10:46 PM

I think what you're seeing is that men are willing to sleep with someone below their league but will

not wife/relationship them. That leads to the girls thinking they can actually get a guy in that league to stay with them. Then they complain that guys just use them.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 11:02 PM

Yes this is one of the manifestations.

Nyrei • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 05:24 PM

“no one said that there must be equality between men and women.”

Have you heard of feminism?

Campaigning yourself wearing your disadvantage as a badge in modern society would seem to be a pragmatic and effective way of creating change. It's called social justice and it has been integral to many important social changes throughout history. You might not like it but the mentality displayed in this post is the definition of maximising your personal gain in this world.

[deleted] • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:32 PM

Perhaps, I am not the one to wear any disadvantage as a badge.

I stand by my points, men are to blame for modern dating market conditions, in the absence of men coordinating a cohesive strategy, they will keep competing intensely which will further erode their own value.

Nyrei • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 06:10 PM

I'm not sure why men being “to blame” is even a consideration here. If I humour it though i'm thinking this is probably a form of cognitive bias where we often deem non-actors as “not to blame”.

Pragmatically it doesn't mean you could say, jail someone for not bothering to call the police when viewing a crime, nor could you ever force women to participate in the dating market in a specific way. But the concept certainly dismantles the notion that “men are completely to blame” for me. Men are just doing what they're programmed to do, just like women, but this produces an inequality that we can demonstrably observe and at least subtly act on, like say giving men the credence deserved for having to typically try much harder.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 06:24 PM

I understand your point, but let's say there is a competition between 2 teams. one team has a coherent strategy in which the players coordinate, the other has players that don't coordinate and compete with each other internally, making them loose.

I am oversimplifying of course, but this is more or less how I view the current situation.

Now you could say that the second team is at disadvantage because they were genetically programmed to do so, but we have evolved immensely, and we had learned to subdue our biology in many cases.

I believe most men don't possess the knowledge of how the market operates, don't understand their own role in it, and don't even grasp the notion that their individual actions affect all men as well.

So I believe that the initial reasons are related to biology, but primarily there is a huge knowledge gap here, since this subject is not thought in schools or universities.

Nyrei • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:26 PM

If you mean to say men have generally curbed their genetic / evolutionary predisposition to be

way more sexually forward than women then that's wrong for sure. And it can't be taught away, especially not in a reasonable amount of time that you could call it a realistic solution that would effect society in your own lifetime.

There's a couple of reasons it can't. Firstly evolutionary biology's impact on our mind is not easy to budge, it would be like teaching a man to not get turned on when seeing a hot girl, like literally, that doesn't really work.

"But they don't have to act on those impulses." Unfortunately this doesn't work either. The "dating market" doesn't operate like a nice fair sports competition. It's more akin to the prisoner's dilemma in the way men would have to "work together". Across the masses of men throughout all of the world? Not realistic right, even if you could somehow teach away some men's disposition to be more attracted to more women than vice versa.

So to re-establish the point men as a sex are just working with what they're given, just like women. Assigning blame for what sex you happened to be born as is meaningless, not to mention it is terrible practice for dealing with societal problems. E.g. this mentality would support the abolition of gender segregation in sports because being born a woman should just be a natural disadvantage that they should just "put up with", or "try harder". That's not the way we handle societal issues.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 09:12 PM

I don't believe that the biological portion of the demand for women supports even 50% of the current demand.

I believe that the demand for women is highly inflated by men's need for validation and to establish them selves in the social hierarchy, and that I believe was acquired by society.

In the past men gathered value from more diverse sources, today men are much more focused on the ability to attract women as a gauge for their place at the hierarchy.

So I would expect of a rational man to understand the above and refuse to couple his self worth to his success at getting casual sex. Self worth must come from within and not from extrinsic sources, so that would be my main points.

And apart from that, women have intrinsic value to men, inflating that value is not in the interest of men. Just as it wouldn't be a good idea for men to start ranking them selves in the hierarchy by the price of their house, that would inflate the shit out of real estate.

Nyrei • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 10:19 AM

"Just as it wouldn't be a good idea for men to start ranking them selves in the hierarchy by the price of their house, that would inflate the shit out of real estate."

Men literally do this lol. Men rank themselves on the value of their belongings all the time, in a myriad of different ways, cars for example?

What you say just isn't true at all. I mean you get that your notion of men's place in the hierarchy being partly determined by attracting women is literally all evo biology predisposition? It makes absolute sense from evolutionary standpoint and has always been like this. Men are also rewarded for their success in the rest of the hierarchy by making more women attracted to them, meaning it's intrinsic to their being. Men playing society's hierarchy in all sorts of ways existed in the past and very much so exists now (e.g. career, popularity, power) and in the past AND now it still serves in part as a tool for them to attract the most / best mates as possible. This is a timeless

evolutionary predisposition and it cannot be taught away neither are all men going to suddenly turn around and decide to beat it.

Contemplating this as some kind of solution really is crazy to me. If you don't budge on that, if we disagree that men play hierarchy with their belongings for example, and that that is in part a game played to assist in attracting women, then we fundamentally disagree and it's probably not worth discussing. Though if you concede that there wasn't really anything left to disagree on.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 11:48 AM

Men are also rewarded for their success in the rest of the hierarchy by making more women attracted to them, meaning it's intrinsic to their being.

Agreed, but what constitutes success can change from society to society.

Men playing society's hierarchy in all sorts of ways existed in the past and very much so exists now (e.g. career, popularity, power) and in the past AND now it still serves in part as a tool for them to attract the most / best mates as possible.

I believe that today it is much more focused on men's ability to sleep with a lot of women, than in the 50's for instance.

This is a timeless evolutionary predisposition and it cannot be taught away neither are all men going to suddenly turn around and decide to beat it.

I don't agree with this notion, I believe that what men use in order to place themselves in the hierarchy changes from culture to culture and even in the same culture with time.

Do you honestly want to tell me that you believe that in the Amish religion men rank themselves over who can bed the most women?

Contemplating this as some kind of solution really is crazy to me. If you don't budge on that, if we disagree that men play hierarchy with their belongings for example, and that that is in part a game played to assist in attracting women, then we fundamentally disagree and it's probably not worth discussing.

We don't disagree on men competing among themselves to assert their place in the hierarchy, we do disagree on whether one of the parameters has to be the ability to have sex with as many women as possible.

If you believe that this is biological and not cultural, you would have a hard time explaining the Amish or other more conservative religions.

Nyrei • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:26 PM

"This is a timeless evolutionary predisposition and it cannot be taught away neither are all men going to suddenly turn around and decide to beat it."

I don't agree with this notion, I believe that what men use in order to place themselves in the hierarchy changes from culture to culture and even in the same culture with time.

This is the only meaningful part of the comment. We do fundamentally

disagree on evolutionary biology. See the difference is I think it takes thousands of years to curb our biological predispositions, and I guess you think it can be lightly taught away in a lifetime. I'm probably going to go with all the scientific research on that one.

Also this Amish example is a win for my side of the argument. I never said through environment it's *impossible* to *FORCE* people not to act on their biological predispositions, even though they're still there (it's not like Amish people are magically free of temptation - see paedophile priests for example). The assumption is that we're talking about a free society. Like obviously? I said it's not realistic in general society, the society that myself and OP and likely you are living in. The type of severe restraint you must put on a person to hold a relatively good amount of them back from their instincts is not a society I want to live in dating-market wise. Freedom seems better to me, but it might not to you. Hope you're not a hardcore religious type?

Perhaps you're forgetting that at the beginning of this you attempted to apply your mentality onto a singular person? It's likely the pragmatic side of this which you're forgetting. I don't disagree that men might be able to perform better strategy collectively, but it's not in their best interest as an individual, and I would SERIOUSLY bet that this predisposition will NEVER die out, aka the human race will die out before this does. Perhaps in some freak future society where AI takes over and forces a new generation of humans to be brought up with new rules and enforcement for their sexual lives which eventually changes human biology in thousands of years? Like okay lol. Getting a little bit far from the advice you gave in the present year to OP at that point no?

wholesomecringe • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 06:14 PM

We're all literally born into this with no choice but to follow our instincts/life path.

How can you be all "life is just unfair, that's the way it is no one can control the fairness"

And then not a sentence later "but it's men's fault 100%"

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:29 PM

I don't see how it is mutually exclusive.

Life is unfair, sometimes due to extrinsic factors and at other times due to things that might be controlled but for some reasons are not.

As a western man today, life is unfair compared to a western woman in terms of the dating market. But I believe the core reason for this situation is due to men and not women.

villanelle23eve • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 04:49 PM*

Claims you make:

Girls have power in dating that is greater than guys' power

Their power is unearned

Girls have privilege in dating OVER that of guys

This privilege is unearned

Privilege by definition is not earned. Neither can it be applied to both parties. One is always privileged above the other, because the word privilege comes from the study of class oppression.

I detect a hint of arrogance many times from women in this sub.

Welcome to Reddit. I'm detecting a hint of arrogance from OP. and, what's that? a hint of....

the assumption that [...] This is privileged thinking,

Assumptions aren't privileged thinking. They can be evidence of someone's privilege, but they're not "privileged thinking" on their own.

This is privileged thinking, most of the women on this sub are more successful than the men on this sub when it comes to sex, dating, relationships and socializing, because, well, they're women.

Haha, you were right, this is privileged thinking. Lmao

Not because they're better people, not because of their character, not because of their personality, they're more successful because they're women.

And you know this for a fact, how?

Society is gynocentric

Yeah, cause women have been disadvantaged for centuries and we're only now getting around to listening to them and trying to fix that in the last... 40 years or so

favoring toward the benefit of children and women

Notice how you used the word "toward." It's favoring toward the benefit of women and children because we're not there yet, so we're going in that direction.

irrespective of how negatively that affects men.

Give an example. If you've had privilege for a while, you get used to it. Privilege gets taken away, that affects you negatively. Are there specific instances of this effect that you consider unjust?

disregard for many of the issues men face

By whom? For which issues? I agree there's some issues that get more air time than others, and that often those are poorly chosen. So I agree with you here.

"you're not entitled to x, y, z."

There are things in this world you're not entitled to.

Basic Maslow's hierarchy of needs are not entitlements, everyone wants that.

Wants are not rights. The entitlement part comes separately from the wants part. The entitlement comes when you think that you *should* be getting what you want, and that another person should be providing it for you.

The entitlement comes in when you're *surprised* when you don't get what you want, because all your life you've been told you can have it.

Everyone sooner or later will want affection, closeness or a stable significant other.

Yes, and it's very sad that not everyone can have that. There should be more cultural support for people who are

unable to have intimate relationships.

Calling this an entitlement is another form of female privilege

Want = Not a privilege

Expecting it to happen and being surprised when it doesn't = Is a privilege

you attain affections, closeness and a significant other with little to no effort because of your unearned privilege

Who are you speaking to? If you're speaking to straight women, who are all, apparently in your soap opera fantasy land paired up with straight men, are you implying that your problem is that there are more women than men population-wise?

That's the only way your statement can make sense.

Anyways, most women on here are in such denial about their unearned power and privilege. Many women on this sub become hostile or disgruntled even if you bring it up, they want to maintain their unearned power and privilege status so badly.

I love my unearned power and privilege, I use it to get rejected by crushes and get overlooked during business meetings because I didn't dump a truckload of paint on my face. I use my unearned power and privilege to starve myself and get groped by strangers on the bus, and to have the police on speed dial whenever I go on a date with someone I don't like. What an unearned power and privilege.

Let's correct your quote:

Anyways, most men on here are in such denial about their unearned power and privilege. Many men on this sub become hostile or disgruntled even if you bring it up, they want to maintain their unearned power and privilege status so badly.

There, done!

Remember to acknowledge your privilege when some guy is complaining about the dating scene.

That's true, guys don't get as many matches as girls on dating apps, so you can't generalize your own amounts of DMs onto a guy.

They have it so much worse than you with little fault of their own.

Dude, sigh.

ffbtaw2 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 01:49 AM

Privilege by definition is not earned. Neither can it be applied to both parties. One is always privileged above the other, because the word privilege comes from the study of class oppression.

Unidirectional oppression is not a generalizable axiom, everything derived from it (i.e. critical theory) is oversimplified. It's neo-Manichaeism, now with Intersectionality™.

villanelle23eve • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:44 AM

It's not a conflict between light and darkness, it's a recognition of which group has power over another group. A single type of oppression is by definition unidirectional, even if it maintains itself with input from both parties. I'd think you'd use intersectionality to support your theory, given that you could bring Lookism into it.

I do think lookism has a lot to do with dating.

you attain affections, closeness, and a significant other with little to no effort because of your unearned privilege

is a bit crass, but fits the theme.

ffbtaw2 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 06:25 PM

A single type of oppression is by definition unidirectional

Power dynamics are more complex than that.

support your theory

My only theory in regards to this is that most if not all power dynamics between classes are bidirectional.

In a given instance it may be one-sided but when you allow for the passage of time you get tit-for-tat happening.

The reason for this is that power exists on a spectrum, it's not a 1 or 0. When the power of two groups overlap the unidirectionality disappears. It is only when one group is much more powerful, e.g. slavery that oppression can be said to be unidirectional - slave revolts have succeeded so even that isn't generalizable.

You could maybe argue that the NET effect over a given time interval is one-sided. This is trivially obvious and uninteresting.

villanelle23eve • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 07:08 PM

Power dynamics are more complex than that.

Oppression != Power dynamics

In a given instance it may be one-sided but when you allow for the passage of time you get tit-for-tat happening.

What is the practical application of this fact (that just because groupA is oppressed in time period B, that doesn't mean that they're also oppressed in time period C)?

This seems like it's misconstruing my definition of oppression. I'm not saying that every category1 is always oppressed by category2, no matter what the century or circumstances. I'm saying that now, in the time we currently live in, there is oppression, which is it's unidirectional by definition.

My only theory in regards to this is that most if not all power dynamics between classes are bidirectional.

No, they're not. Upper classes have more power than lower classes. They get more privilege, they get more benefits. There are other observable traits that are common in unidirectional(for all intents and purposes) power dynamics.

If you want to argue semantics, and dig into whether that slave who cut the master had power in that moment he did it, and whether that creates some sort of multidimensional power dynamic, I'm afraid I don't find that interesting. I am aware ppl benefit/don't benefit from certain things in their position. Their displeasure at the way they wield privilege doesn't affect the power ratio.

The reason for this is that power exists on a spectrum, it's not a 1 or 0.

Not relevant for this discussion. It is a spectrum of course, that doesn't make it equal.

When the power of two groups overlap the unidirectionality disappears.

What does that mean? Can you clarify your sentence?

It is only when one group is much more powerful, e.g. slavery that oppression can be said to be unidirectional - slave revolts have succeeded so even that isn't generalizable.

So according to you, small oppressions don't matter?

You could maybe argue that the NET effect over a given time interval is one-sided. This is trivially obvious and uninteresting.

Uninteresting to who and one sided to what extent? If it was super one sided and my group got the short end of the stick, I would sure find it interesting and not trivial.

Is there a function to your theory of mutidirectional power dynamics that cannot be achieved by the simpler theory of either intersectionality, or some other layering of multiple oppressions? The only functionality (r/itsnotabugitsafeature) that I can gleam from it is the obscuring of actual power imbalances.

ffbtaw2 • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 10:20 PM

I'm saying that now, in the time we currently live in, there is oppression, which is it's unidirectional by definition.

If you define oppression to be unidirectional then most of what is called oppression isn't oppression. If we're alternating punches and I happen to punch you 6 times to your 5 I have on net oppressed you but to call it oppression is to render the word meaningless.

Is there a function to your theory of mutidirectional power dynamics that cannot be achieved by the simpler theory of either intersectionality, or some other layering of multiple oppressions?

The only way intersectionality could remove the necessity of bidirectional oppression dynamics is if you permit effectively infinite dimensions along which power can vary. Of course then it reduces to individualism and loses most if not all predictive power when looking at groups.

So according to you, small oppressions don't matter?

The question isn't whether small oppressions matter or not but rather what constitutes justice. Evening the score isn't it.

Finally if you accept the unidirectional oppression narrative you have to reject egalitarianism, it can never be achieved, all that remains is conflict/domination.

villanelle23eve • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 11:06 PM

If you define oppression to be unidirectional then most of what is called oppression isn't oppression.

Again, you're equating oppression with individual power imbalance.

If a woman in Iran hits a man over the head with a frying pan, ties him to a piano, and throws that piano out the window to land on another man's head, do women oppress men in Iran? No, it's the other way around.

Of course then it reduces to individualism and loses most if not all predictive power when looking at groups.

Not if you choose the groups based on distinction and greatest power imbalance, as we do now. It's your view that's arguing for individualism, it seems.

The question isn't whether small oppressions matter or not but rather what constitutes justice. Evening the score isn't it.

No, but evening the playing field is. And power and all that jazz.

Finally if you accept the unidirectional oppression narrative you have to reject egalitarianism, it can never be achieved, all that remains is conflict/domination.

How does that follow?

I thought I addressed this here:

just because group A is oppressed in time period B, doesn't mean that they're also oppressed in time period C)

philomexa • 18 points • 20 September, 2019 03:21 PM*

Remember to check your privilege before you think about posting hostile or adversarial comments towards men when it comes to sex, dating and relationships because they have it so much worse than you with little fault of their own.

Why? what does checking my privilege accomplish? How does that make you feel better? Ok, I have unearned privileges relating to sex, dating and relationships. Now what? I'm still going to continue my life floating on various clouds of privilege and sneering at anyone that tries to grapple onto them.

I'm never going to care about some sadboi's dry dick, soo...what's the point of any of this? Am I supposed to be humbled or chastened? ☐

Don't care, never will.

edit: now now, no need to downvote cause you're salty OP.

Aaren_Augustine • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 03:56 PM

He's literally saying acknowledge you are a girl with girl benefits and that it's hard for guys to get girl benefits in the dating market.

Its a plea for mommy's sympathy.

philomexa • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 04:04 PM

good grief. ☐♀☐

Hydrowaterbros • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 04:22 PM*

Question: What percent of women think like you?

philomexa • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:36 PM

I don't know, I'm not exactly quizzing my female friends about this topic. It doesn't seem to be something anybody particularly cares about besides the -cels.

Hydrowaterbros • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 04:45 PM*

That actually answers my question so thanks for the feedback.

philomexa • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 05:05 PM*

I am guessing it simply isn't a priority because there are too many female issues to work on first.

what?

Look, there are a billion things to be concerned with. Your wellbeing, your immediate family and friends, your things, your neighborhood, your community, your job, etc. and then there's existential worries like climate change, politics, and the future.

Random sad sack has 0 influence on my life (unless he decides to go all mass shootery I guess), the state of his romantic life, the lack therefore, his shortness, his foreignness, his betaness, whatever, I just... I can't care. This nigga doesn't pay my bills or sleep in my bed, why should he have any real estate in my head?

I know I have heaps of privilege, but it's not like I can cut it up into squares and hand it out to random sad sacks.

I surmise men who are earnestly concerned with demographic X don't have very rich or populated private lives. It's easier to care about anonymous people, or outgroups, when there are few people in the intimate sphere commanding your attention.

Hydrowaterbros • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:18 PM*

You are right-- my intimate sphere does consist of very few people (the girl I am dating, my family, and my close 7-10 friends).

philomexa • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:21 PM

and I KNOW she would be SUPER appreciative I understand her struggles despite how minute they are.

oh my. oh no.

Hydrowaterbros • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:26 PM*

You know I meant in comparison to femcels-- they have it FAR harder than the average girl.

Aaren_Augustine • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 04:34 PM

Do you not know how mentally ill you sound saying that? This is why PPD mods delete incel content. Its absolutely terrible horror fiction.

Hydrowaterbros • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 04:41 PM*

Can you expand on why that is mentally ill?

Aaren_Augustine • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 04:56 PM

You made a completely dishonest question then edited it with big doe eyes. I don't edit much other than grammar and I don't downvote.

Most of these types of power talks you've now edited are exactly from dishonest people that don't have enough reflection skills to see how dishonest they actually are. Radical feminists on PPD have this exact problem.

And if you aren't incel, your comment reeked of incel mentality. It's sheer weakness, Hydro. Enough that you edited "before you saw my reply" because you even know it was damn foolish.

Cho_Assmilk[] • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 04:53 PM

Only if they're hot and experience these privileges on the regular

corey_trevorson • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 03:30 PM

It doesn't help that male thirst levels have reached century highs. Women have *tons* of men to choose from who are mostly, in all honesty, *way* out of their league.

Truedemocracy5 • 11 points • 20 September, 2019 03:44 PM

Thirst levels are the same. The way to show off how thirsty you are has never been greater

corey_trevorson • 8 points • 20 September, 2019 04:02 PM

Maybe the better term to use would have been *desperation* levels

corey_trevorson • 9 points • 20 September, 2019 04:01 PM

idk man seems like the survey says millennial men are having less sex than the boomers were at our age. Fucking embarrassing for us lmao

BPremium • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 03:46 PM

Corey, smokes, let's go!!!

SDW137 • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 04:34 PM

It's a conundrum, girls are picky because guys are super thirsty. We could tell all guys to stop being so thirsty tomorrow, but the girls would still remain picky without lowering their standards. So you end up with a bunch of guys not getting laid as much because they stopped being thirsty and going for girls that are less attractive than them.

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:46 AM

but the girls would still remain picky without lowering their standards.

Not for loooooooooong.

PickUpScientist • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 04:01 PM

in fairness, its pretty ego shattering to admit that if you are not as desirable as you think. plus, there's the human nature element; even the ones that are self aware would rather have a 1/100 chance at a "chad" level guy than a sure thing above average guy. i feel like if there was a dollar on the ground and a dollar scratch off lottery ticket, and the wind was blowing them in different directions, most people would chase the scratch off. people are dumb, men just don't get the opportunity to be dumb in this particular area.

reluctantly_red • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 03:40 PM

Yet most still aren't satisfied.

AutoModerator[M] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 03:07 PM

Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

Aaren_Augustine • 16 points • 20 September, 2019 05:17 PM

Women should never give in to this kind of weakness in men. It would create even weaker, more sensitive, pathetic fucks that simply can't handle a ounce of reality. It would create full grown toddler men with the capacity to have a temper tantrum far larger than their 36 month old counterparts.

chaddad9000 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 09:10 PM

I've never known an attractive woman who didn't acknowledge this.

Although women have the looksmaxing thing down, so I wouldn't say its entirely unearned.

Cho_Assmilk[] • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 04:49 PM

Women may be at an advantage with the pussypass, but they're at a disadvantage with the DGAF mentality that *most* men are conditioned to cultivate from many years of being aware of their expendability.

Mulkvistee • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 05:30 PM

The DGAF mentality is interesting. Whether it stays light and fun or gets tinged with awareness of expendability likely depends on smp success and would explain why even some men are surprised others don't "just get it."

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 04:44 AM

Inspiring mommy's sympathy will never create sexual attraction in women. What will checking our privilege do? I'm going to call the boy I was in love with sophomore year and tell him to check his privilege cause he strung me along for months despite objectively being uglier than me and more socially awkward than me. Oh wait, he only had that privilege of stringing me along because I gave it to him. Really makes you think.

AutoModerator[M] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 03:07 PM

Hi OP,

You've chosen to identify your thread as a CMV. As such you are expected to actively engage in your own thread with a mind open to being changed. PPD has guidelines for what that involves.

OPs author must genuinely hold the position and you must be open to having your view challenged.

An unwillingness to have your view challenged may be inferred from one or several of the following:

Asking few or no genuine questions;

Seeming more interested in arguing or convincing others than understanding opposing views;

Ignoring the main point of a comment, especially to point out some minor inconsistency;

Refusing to make concessions that an alternate view has merit;

Focusing only on the weaker arguments;

Explicit statements of an intent to change the other posters' minds; or

Only having discussions with users who agree with your position.

Failure to keep to this higher standard (we only apply to CMV OPs) may result in deletion of the whole thread.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

CamoWoobie10000 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 04:35 PM*

Part of women's power is pretending they dont have any power. Shakespeare put it best when he wrote " Look like th'innocent flower, but be the serpent under't"

Honestly the main reason they dont admit it is because they know men get pissed off (a lot already are, manosphere is growing and becoming more mainstream) and might do something about it.

Think about it like this, male privelege is being eradicated as we speak because the WAAAAAAHmen are mad about unfairness. Humans hate unfairness, even monkeys get pissed off at it, making me believe it is something primal.

If men wanted to thered be nothing stopping them from eradicating female privelege. They could go even further than that but that is a topic for another discussion. They just need to know that it exists first. Women were doing a good job of keeping the wool held firmly over men's eyes and theyre doing their damndest to keep it up, but now its finally starting to slip.

LifterofThings • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 03:21 PM

Here I am acknowledging it on behalf of all vaginakind:

Male thirst is real.

Just chemically castrate all the men and watch all the privilege just dry up and disappear. Problem solved.

FlyingResearcher • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 04:50 PM

Leave it to a woman to find a way to pin the blame on a man.

LifterofThings • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 04:51 PM

Leave it to you to miss the point.

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:38 AM

Yeah but if they chemically castrate the men then it'll be up to you womenfolk to pull each other out of the rubble in an earthquake or a burning building, because men won't be motivated to do it. Trust me that will be hell. Still it's true that male thirst is at least men's second worst enemy.

crackrocksteady7 • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 03:41 PM*

Successful, attractive, fun men have a magnetic effect to women. Are the people writing these screeds all boring nobodies?

Downvotes dont make me wrong lmao

[deleted] • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 07:57 PM

Downvote means a win to me. ppd is an incel forum anyway everywhere else on reddit automatically bans them including TRP

strickyicky • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 09:55 PM

TRP is full of incels as well. You are what you read

TheLongerCon • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 09:59 PM

I don't really think any man who isn't famous or extremely high status has a "magnetic effect" on women, that attractive women have on men.

The point of the post isn't to say it's impossible to attract women, it's that women have a much lower bar than men to gain the benefits of their sexual attractiveness.

Emervila • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 03:13 PM

Post is based AF!

They need to deny it to continue its usage otherwise they would have to start acting on fairness and equality for competition.

Jathrowaway97 • 7 points • 20 September, 2019 04:47 PM

You realize this only applies to a subset of women and it's not female exclusive? Plenty of guys are able to rub game on women and get freebies because they're that good looking. It's "pretty/handsome privilege" not female privilege.

Emervila • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 05:04 PM

Freebies for Chad does not balance the equation, TBH they know and use the privilege but they didn't create it. Simps and their hunger created this privilege and entitled monsters.

Jathrowaway97 • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:50 PM

Yes it does, because it shows that it has nothing to do with gender. Since you want to frame it that way the freebies are really only for "Stacy".

LeJacquelo • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 04:33 AM

Actually no, it is possible for women to openly recognize their privilege and rub it in our faces shamelessly. Lots of PPD women already do this.

Edit: case in point

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 09:19 PM

What changes when women openly admit they have unearned power and privilege? Would they suddenly stop using it? Is the power and privilege only wrong because it's unearned? How do women earn it?

MisterJose • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 06:47 PM

The grass is always greener...

I think it's just that simple to some extent. Women look at men and see things they wish they had, men look at women and do the same. For example, I feel like my physical strength is mostly obsolete in modern society. I can lift furniture when moving...yay. No one needs me to protect the village and hurt for things anymore. But if I lived my whole life as a woman and never got to be a dude on the larger side? Who knows how I would feel.

Bekiala • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 01:22 AM

This sounds about right.

I grew up in an athletic culture and when young I felt ripped off by puberty. It was like the guys in my class got the body equivalent of a jeep with all the toys strapped on and I got a station wagon with a built in baby seat. I resented it for a long time.

Getting older has changed all that. Instead of seeing the greener, I see suffering for so many no matter the gender. Your gender may influence how you suffer but it doesn't absolve you from it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 October, 2019 11:54 PM

Let me ask you guys a question. How often do you have nightmares of the times you were followed by someone you don't know and didn't know the intentions of? Were you given a rape whistle on your first day of college and told that you shouldn't enroll in classes that start or end when it's dark, and the places to avoid so you don't get assaulted on campus? How often have you had to stay in because you don't have someone to go out with you and you know it's too dangerous to go out alone? How many times have you been told to never take a drink from something you took your eyes off at a party? Have you ever been roofied? Do you feel safe walking through a dark parking lot or do you hold your keys in your knuckles? How many times have you been just trying to do something with your friends and had to leave because people wouldn't stop bothering you even after you asked them to leave you alone? Have you ever been sexually assaulted? Almost half of women out there have, and most don't report because then they're told they deserved it. How many friends have you lost because you didn't want to have sex with them? How many times has someone gotten aggressive and even violent towards you because you didn't want to have sex with them? I can keep going but I think you should get the point by now. Women don't exist for the pleasure and comfort of anyone. You would not exist without a woman. Maybe you should give them a bit more credit.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:02 AM

This whole rape hysteria thing is so ludicrous. All it's doing is scaring the shit out of women unreasonably but anyways to answer your question, I grew up in a pretty shitty neighborhood. My neighborhood growing up was filled with drug and alcohol abusing white folk, ghetto ass black folk and illegal immigrants. I've been jumped and gotten beat up for, I'm honestly not sure why?? It's happened though and still to this day I always carry a pocket knife on me and reach for it when people I don't know approach in a what appears to be a possibly threatening manner. The neighborhood I grew up in now is a heroin and meth beacon so, your comment is kind of pointless. Maybe women should give men more credit and empathize for them a bit more, the narrative never leans towards that direction. That would probably reduce the male suicide rate.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 October, 2019 12:04 AM

I've yet to meet a woman who hasn't been raped or molested or assaulted so I think you need to recheck your idea of rape hysteria.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:07 AM

I've met many.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:14 AM

Then that's great. However the existence of people who have not had that happen to them does not negate the reality for the ones who have. Ask a woman one of these days what her reality is like when it comes to men she doesn't know, and even many she does. You'll learn something. You've got to step outside your own scope of being wronged and look into the reality others are living in, try to understand them

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:16 AM

Why on earth are you telling me this?

The point of my post was the inverse of what you're saying.

The point was that people are always bashing men and carrying on about how they're privileged and entitled to xyz when that's just projection from women onto men.

Yeah women have many issues but, it is terrible worse to be a man. You've never wondered why almost all trans people are MtoF? Why the hell would a woman want to be a man, she's going to lose unearned power and privilege.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:26 AM

Because in reality we don't have it that bad. Yeah. It takes effort to get a date and you have to be careful how you approach the opposite sex. But that's for the reasons I stated above. Every woman I know would love to be treated like we treat men. And what do you even mean unearned power? Privilege? Your vision is truly clouded if you think women have it better than you.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:31 AM

Every woman I know would love to be treated like we treat men.

They're lying to you, that is so terribly false. Women love the benefits and privileges of being treated like a lady.

Unearned power and privilege as in the fact that women are valued more than men are by default. Most men have to attain value, women are born with an intrinsic value. Society cares for and values women's lives, feelings, health and overall well being and happiness more than it does men's irrespective of what that woman has to offer besides being a woman. It's called gynocentrism and it is the basis for damn near every society.

Women are more privilege because men are viewed as disposable, expendable and replaceable while women are not, women are needed to continue civilization and men are deemed to not be needed anywhere near the same level as women are.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:39 AM

So why is it that a man can have a vasectomy without an issue but a woman who wants to get her tubes tied is discouraged because their husband or future husband may want to have kids someday? And before you say this doesn't happen, it does and has happened both to my sister and a few friends. One of which is very gay.

Also I work in the steel industry. All the women I've worked with have wanted is

to be treated like everyone else, not be propositioned at work for sex, not have the guys retaliate when they refuse, and not be treated with kid gloves. This is where privilege shows. You think nothing of going to work. You don't have to worry about any of it. You can go do your job and think nothing of going to lunch with your buddies.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 8 October, 2019 12:44 AM

No offense but, this is a nonsense point. Both men and women are discouraged to sterilize themselves because many people are stupid and fickle. Most doctors won't even sterilize someone who isn't at least mid to early 30s.

Yeah and? Men are still as a whole treated worse than women.

Most women don't want to be treated like men. They want a la carte gender roles where they want to be treated like men when they desire so and treated like a lady when they desire so, it's a form of entitlement and privilege to be able to dabble in both.

The benefits of being a woman far outweigh the drawbacks.

Dustin_Bromain • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 04:58 PM

Essentially, this post is why I heavily sympathize with incels.

They have been removed entirely from the dating market simply because of how they look. They want to offer their commitment, but their commitment isn't valuable to women so they are passed up on entirely. No matter how many women they proposition, they will be rejected.

ToraChan23 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:26 PM

This is defeatist thinking that is simply not true.

There are loser women that would commit to a former incel who improved himself just enough that whatever he had to offer would be worth it.

Lots of incels are entitled to women who they have no business checking for, and use the same defeatist attitude and excuses you give them for them to never improve.

Dustin_Bromain • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 05:36 PM

Most people who claim to be incels aren't actually incels. I'm talking about real incels - guys who have fucked up, asymmetrical faces, and are under 5'5 in height.

They can improve themselves all they want, it's not going to do jack shit. Combine women's collective instincts to seek out men who they feel are genetically gifted and the fact that the dating market is already so badly skewed, it's simply impossible for them to find someone.

ToraChan23 • 6 points • 20 September, 2019 05:43 PM

I'm talking about real incels - guys who have fucked up, asymmetrical faces, and are under 5'5 in height.

Even those guys aren't real incels; they just need to find women who are just as fucked up as they are.

They can improve themselves all they want, it's not going to do jack shit.

THIS is the problem. This statement right here. This just isn't true.

Will they land a conventionally attractive woman? No. But they can get SOMEBODY if they put their mind towards improving. Hell, after improving, they may realize that life is more than how often you can get your dick wet.

They won't find someone normal, but they can find someone at their level. The problem is that they don't want someone as fucked up as them.

They want filet mignon on a dollar menu budget, but they won't eat McDonald's. So it's either starve, or realize what you can afford.

poquitobalofao 1 points 20 September, 2019 06:19 PM* **[recovered]**

There isn't much to explain when it comes to incels. Fucking loser crybabies from the the snowflake generation. Back then, at least men went to war and took a chance. 50/50 you die in battle, or get some loot and then get a wife or hire some whores. And that was fine, men didn't cry they didn't get to fuck supermodels.

Nowadays these obese wastes of air don't even lift.

G0DF0RBID • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 08:32 PM

So incels should man up and start a war.

Thanks, i really like this sub.

katymarx • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 03:06 AM

Get off my lawn! I walked 3 miles in the snow to go to school in my day!!! □

Dustin_Bromain • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:37 PM

True incels are essentially a 1/10 on the male SMV scale. Women are biologically wired, and socially pushed, to go for men at least a point or so above their own SMV. They simply will not settle for anything less. And that's because the dating market is so badly skewed towards the top. Look at Japan. There's an entire population of Japanese men who simply cannot have sex or date. And that's because they know that in their current environment, it is literally impossible for them to attract a mate. Try as they may, nothing they do is going to change how the female population in their country is going to view them.

Pareto's principle: 80% of the women are going for the top 20% of men. This is why online dating is essentially useless for most men. Look at r/Tinder. It's just a giant collection of posts from average guys who don't get any success off of tinder because of how badly the market is skewed.

I agree with your sentiment that self-improvement will greatly help the overwhelming majority of men's chances in the dating market; even for guys that are fairly unattractive. But we've gotta admit here that there is a very small, but existent, populace of guys who have been shoved out of the market entirely. And that small number of guys is the true incel movement. Full disclaimer though, 99% of guys who claim to be incels are not incels. r/incelfies confirms that for me.

ToraChan23 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 08:42 PM

If incels truly believe there is nothing to be done and no one for them, they need to learn how to live without romantic relationships.

Get by on platonic ones then.

Dustin_Bromain • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:55 PM

I agree that their overall attitude isn't ideal. Unfortunately, Maslow's hierarchy of needs will constantly push them to seek out a sexual relationship. That's just their natural male instincts kicking in.

That's what makes incels, and even incel-wannabes, dangerous to society. Hatred of the opposite sex, unable to deal with a highly skewed dating market, and an acute inability to repress their natural male instincts. None of that is a recipe for something peaceful.

ToraChan23 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 09:02 PM

That's what makes incels, and even incel-wannabes, dangerous to society.

Seriously? We are talking about guys who think hitting the gym even lightly is too daunting of a task. Guys who take selfies to post online and expect to either get roasted and fulfill their "I'm ugly" prophecies, or deny the truth to any compliments they may get.

Incels ain't shit.

They make easy scapegoats for whatever issue society needs them to take the blame for. That is why people think they are "dangerous". I think it's quite convenient how incels are suddenly a problem NOW when gender relations have deteriorated so far, and not before since "incels" have always existed.

Dustin_Bromain • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 11:11 PM

Well, their mentality can lead to idiots like Elliot Rodger and other incels gunning people down - so yeah, I'd say that they are fairly dangerous to society. Most of them just hate inwards, like you said - but I am concerned about incels who choose to be violent.

This isn't an either/or issue. Incels are largely a problem because of deteriorating gender relations, but both issues need to be looked at and taken seriously.

ToraChan23 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 01:02 AM

They are no more dangerous than gangs in Chicago, who have a much higher chance of harming people that's PROVEN in the stats, but people are quick to say incels are "dangerous" before them.

No one me gives a fuck about incels, nor should they. Let them die out.

katymarx • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:04 AM

Pareto doesn't apply to everything although I think the self identifying incels are creating that market by giving up.

katymarx • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:03 AM

But what is she's a mom? Oh the horror!!!! /S

ToraChan23 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:20 PM

?

zerofeetpersecond • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 11:01 PM

Dude I live alone, set career, 6'2" and super in shape, everything in the right place, and I mainly get approached/first messages from fatties and single mothers. I can't begin to imagine the hell it must be for a regular guy (though I consider myself average).

Dustin_Bromain • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 11:13 PM

Yeah, exactly. It's just not easy out there for most guys.

katymarx • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:02 AM

They are still people. WTF is wrong with you all hating on single moms? Most were married or in LTR that didn't work out. And plenty take care of themselves.

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:57 AM

Yet why are feminists raising so much hell about incels doing looksmaxing?

ToraChan23 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 02:20 PM

- 1) Because they are idiots who have nothing better to do
- 2) An incel looksmaxing (dumbass term) is one less target they can ridicule and one less example of the worse representation of men they can use to push their dumbass ideologies

LeJacquelo • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:57 PM

Roger that.

matematika123456 1 points 20 September, 2019 05:36 PM **[recovered]**

This is why I welcome our Muslim overlords in Europe ☐. I will have no problem pretending to be one if it kills hypergamy all together and cucks. Thank God for feminism.

zerofeetpersecond 1 points 20 September, 2019 10:57 PM **[recovered]**

The muzzies are right about hypergamy and speculative banking.

matematika123456 • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 12:02 PM

Yep, ((they)) are not OK with this.

kidink0222 1 points 20 September, 2019 04:19 PM **[recovered]**

Women saying they don't have female privilege is the equivalent of white people saying that white privilege doesn't exist. Men in this scenario are the equivalent of the black person who has the possibility of being pulled over for DWB.

FlyingResearcher • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 04:53 PM

There is a lot of intersectionality between men's rights and minority rights.

Many men's issues interact with issues for racial minorities. The result is that minority men are doing the worst of any race/gender combination in numerous areas (including homelessness, life expectancy, and incarceration).

Also this is a CMV. Your post will end up being deleted if you don't repost it under the automod.

Just a heads up.

-TheGreasyPole-[M] • 1 point • 20 September, 2019 05:24 PM

This is a CMV, so all top level comments must attempt to change OPs view. On that basis this comment has been removed.

You're welcome to paste this under automod.

Orange_Paisley • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 04:53 PM

"Unearned." Prove it.

czerdec • 4 points • 20 September, 2019 05:54 PM

That's a very dumb thing. In English, when we talk about **earning** we mean something you, as an individual, get in **exchange** for a deliberate sacrifice you made, something you weren't going to sacrifice anyway.

So if you just get lucky and find a huge diamond and get rich, nobody who speaks English will say you earned the wealth. If it's anything that comes from the genes, like the sexual thirst felt by almost all men, or natural good looks, or natural intelligence, it's unearned by the definition that is derived from the usage of the English language, which is the only criteria that matters.

rus9384 • 5 points • 20 September, 2019 05:23 PM

Probably the only weak point in that claim.

It's earned through evolution.

Still, though, many women are unaware of that power differential.

dawnpriestess • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:58 PM

Just take away their make-up, Instagram filters, fake boobs, fake butts, fake eye lashes, hair extensions, high heels, fat concealers aka spanx, etc. and watch how the game changes

Andropomorphine • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 06:59 PM*

Attractive women have privilege only within a short time frame of their lives. So I'm not worried about them not realizing that they have the privilege because eventually they will figure out that for a short period of time in their lives they were ON TOP OF THE WORLD.

Stop worrying about privileged women and just focus on improving yourself. Work on your own life, health, education, skills and success and eventually YOU WILL have the privilege of dictating a relationship with a "privileged" woman **on your own terms**.

abicus4343 • 3 points • 20 September, 2019 11:56 PM

That's OP's issue though, he thinks its unfair that he has to "work" on himself. He thinks he should just get to wake up and have women jumping on his dick just because he exists, like he thinks it is for women (even though that isnt the truth for many women either, only the hot young ones). He can't see past his own solipsism to the bigger picture.

banananutbranmuffin[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:39 PM

He thinks he should just get to wake up and have women jumping on his dick just because he exists

That's not what I think at all, that's a horrible conceptualization of my post.

DownvotedFreethinker • 2 points • 20 September, 2019 08:04 PM

100%. its ridiculous how unaware they are of the matriarchy when it comes to the social and behavioral aspects of both men and women of u.s. society. One could argue it is some kind of a conspiracy involving a self fulfilling prophecy propagated by older women to anger and weaken younger women in the sexual market.

duffmanhb • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 02:08 AM

One thing that's so common is people notice their headwinds and ignore their tailwind. That's why you'll notice in culture war debates both sides are talking past each other. Guys will complain about their problems but then women who don't have those problems are talking about their problems. Then guys will say how good women have it and women haven't even thought about it because they are only focused on the problems. Guys usually are just like "seriously you don't see male struggles and female benefits?"

Bekiala • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 01:29 AM

Yeah, we are all pretty much caught up in our own struggles specially before 30.

I look back and there was no way I would have been able to think much about what was going on with men my age and even if I had I wouldn't have known what to do about it. I wasn't capable of casual sex and I was terrified of men.

MsSinisteress • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 02:52 PM

A privilege? That's a curse right there.

An 8 year old sex-slave of ISIS set herself on fire because males were raping her and she wanted to be so grotesque that they leave her alone. A privilege, huh?

Male sexuality is the cause of suffering for women throughout the entirety of human history; and this is a fact, not a feminist exaggeration, or at the very least, something that *all* women, whether feminist or religious or hand-maiden or whatever, acknowledges.

Henk7839 1 points 21 September, 2019 03:10 PM* [recovered]

8 year old girls become sex-slaves to ISIS, 8 year old males simply get killed on the spot. Idk what this has to do with anything though since were not living under ISIS. Pointless argument

MsSinisteress • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 03:18 PM

Biology doesn't care for location. Males from America and males in ISIS have the exact same sexuality, the only changes come from the environment.

Henk7839 1 points 21 September, 2019 03:23 PM* [recovered]

Oke you are bat-shit crazy.

Bekiala • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:10 AM

Sound like that little girl envied her male peers. Ugh. I think I would have been grateful for a bullet myself in that situation.

slackw1zard • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 03:54 AM

Yes but most women don't look all that good or at least don't know it.

ight_here_we_go • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 04:08 AM

The dating scene that we have to navigate honestly makes me wish for death.

NewVerses • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 07:19 AM

Many of us don't actually consider it a privilege at all. It comes with a great deal of negatives. I'd gladly trade the ease with which I could have sex if I wanted it, for knowing that all men around me see me as an equal and take me seriously.

SlashCo80 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 08:57 AM

Agreed, but who is at fault for this? Is it the women, or the hordes of desperately thirsty dudes who'll do anything for the promise of getting their dicks wet? Just look at the endless compliments and thirsty comments even an average-looking woman gets online and realize they only have this power in the SMP because men willingly give it to them. This is why women don't care about casual sex (it's easy to get) but talk about getting into a quality relationship, which is something else entirely.

GiveMeABreak25 • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 09:27 AM

Who gave them this "privilege"?

Joey_Lopez • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:16 PM*

They do have a big advantage, but they also have big disadvantages too. They get used and we don't. They also have a short shelf life and we have a longer shelf life. They also only have one hand to play and once that loses it value, they have nothing. Then they become post wall they'll be in denial of that too.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 September, 2019 10:39 PM

Actually, women live longer than men, and age slower

Joey_Lopez • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 10:59 PM

Um no. They may live longer but they age a hell of a lot quicker. When a woman is around 30 she loses her looks and becomes an older woman that isn't much good to any man with anything going for himself. If she is still single by mid 30's she just becomes irrelevant. While men are just reaching their prime at 30 and most men are still sexually competitive into their mid 40's.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 11:04 PM

Need proof for all of that, right now it feels like you are taking points out of your ass.

If she is still single by mid 30's she just becomes irrelevant.

Oh really? Lol

While men are just reaching their prime at 30

Said who?

Joey_Lopez • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 11:32 PM

Look around at your own life. Men of value aren't going after 30+ women. All of the girls that I know that hit 30+ have all been replaced by younger girls.

Or if you need a real example, just look at Hollywood. You never see actors paired up romantically with 30+ women, it just wouldn't look right. You rarely see an actor with a 30+ female date at the red carpet. In fact you rarely even see 30+ female actresses, because they all get replaced with younger women. While you see male actors continue to act past their 50's.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 September, 2019 01:03 AM

I don't know which incel sub you are taking this from, but people date people around their own age mostly, with some exceptions in both directions.

Or if you need a real example, just look at Hollywood. You never see actors paired up romantically with 30+ women, it just wouldn't look right. You rarely see an actor with a 30+ female date at the red carpet. In fact you rarely even see 30+ female actresses, because they all get replaced with younger women. While you see male actors continue to act past their 50's.

Bullshit. People date and marry people their own age. And maybe 10-20 years ago young actresses were in roles, but now you see more roles for older women since producers recognized that women over 30 also watch movies. There are a bunch of romantic roles of women 40+ as well.

Bekiala • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 01:25 AM

When a woman is around 30 she loses her looks and becomes an older woman that isn't much good to any man with anything going for himself.

Well for some of us this is a great thing.

I wouldn't be 22 again for all the money in the world I will say there probably are some women who experience exactly what you describe. I've never met someone like that but I probably come from an area with a bit different values.

briennetheugly • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 02:45 PM

Substitute "women" for "attractive women" and your post might be accurate.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 September, 2019 10:38 PM

Substitute "women" for "attractive men" and it's the same thing

briennetheugly 1 points 22 September, 2019 06:35 AM **[recovered]**

I'm an ugly woman and I can GUARANTEE you it isn't. I'm treated as if I were subhuman by everyone else.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 10:16 AM

Well yeah that's what I meant maybe didn't phrase properly. All the "women get easy sex" are about conventionally attractive women. The other women are invisible to them.

Sad_Virgin_Beta_Male • 1 point • 21 September, 2019 05:47 PM

I completely agree. Most foids are completely delusional about this. Not really unexpected tho.

Bekiala • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 02:09 AM

I don't see it as denial but more just unaware and I don't think this is just women. Human beings are so not good at seeing where we have power and ability. We also suck at being able to see what life is like as another gender, race or culture.

What we are super good at is seeing how we are victims or powerless. I want to think that with age we are better at seeing the power we do have and understanding people who have a completely different experience of life but I might be a bit optimistic about that.

DoneBeenHadBeenDone • 1 point • 22 September, 2019 06:32 PM

Til we're thirty, according to most red pill guys.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 September, 2019 03:42 AM

What do intersectionalists like to say... “privilege is invisible to those who have it” or some shit