

"If You Don't Marry A Past Slut, Then You'll End Up In A Sexless Marriage!"

0 upvotes | 19 December, 2018 | by banned_by_cucks

Like most things in life, it's a give and a take. However, in this case, the potential risk is much worse with marrying up a used up girl for what you gain.

So there's a couple of things to examine, you claim that you're likely to end up in a sexless relationship if you don't go with a woman who was previously promiscuous?

This is effectively wrong, because women practice a AF/BB mating strategy. In fact, we can see in marriages where a woman was promiscuous prior to the marriage, [she experiences LOWER satisfaction in it](#).

We see it [countless times](#) where women want to sleep and fuck around with the alphas in their prime and marry the stable beta as they're dropping off.

If we accept the premise that most men are sex-limited betas (which I think the evidence is quite astoundingly in favor of, at least in terms of long-term iterations), then we can assume that it's probably a safer bet that a man marries a non-promiscuous woman, ideally a virgin. Even if the beta ends up in a sexless marriage, it's better than ending up in a sexless marriage where you are constantly getting cucked due to the lack of satisfaction and lack of overall attraction the wife had to the husband from the initialization period.

There's other things to examine too -- is the couple having limited sex due to lacking mutual interest or are they trying to cultivate a sense of emotional intimacy?

Another perspective to look at is if [you're an alpha male](#):

- 1) You can convincingly train your virgin wife to be your personalized slut
- 2) You can convince your wife to be happy and loyal, while you conquer other women for your harem ("Women Love Getting Cucked — It Assures Them They Have Found An Alpha Male Who Will Pursue The Formation of Harems. Women Would Rather Be A Bottom Bitch Concubine of an Alpha than a First Wife of a Beta"). She'll probably become more attracted to you through the process.
- 3) You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls and discard ones that don't provide for you what you want

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Here4thebeer3232 • 17 points • 19 December, 2018 10:43 AM

Everyone has different sex drives, and despite the stereotype not all men have a high sex drive. And everyone's sex drive fluctuates over time due to a variety of factors beyond just attraction. The problems come when both partners drives no longer align for long stretches of time. And this is usually the result of problems beyond just loss of attraction. Plus, plenty of dead bedrooms (based on the subreddit roughly 40%-45%) are because the man doesn't want to have sex with his wife.

As for your suggestions

train your virgin wife to be your personalized slut

That sounds like a lot of work with no guarantee she will be into my interests. I have no intention of dating a virgin letting alone marrying one. I'll stick with what I know works for me, and that's people of a similar sexual mindset and interest as me.

You can convince your wife to be happy and loyal, while you conquer other women for your harem

Yeah, good luck with this one. Some women are open to the idea, I wont deny that. But very few, and even fewer will not be okay with the monogamy being one sided. You can try this option, it will probably be just as successfull as going unicorn hunting. (Plus, I think men enjoy being cucked far more based on porn research and firsthand experience).

You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls

A better option. But as other posters have stated there is more things to life than sex. And men do hit a wall of their own eventually. And when that happens what will they be left with? I'm not saying don't do it, but be sure to have a good support structure in place and other reasons to be fulfilled going into older age.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 12:21 PM

Are you a woman?

Lol'd at the DB comment. That's either some insane extrapolation or you are just going based on your own selective biased memory. Women turn the sex off during the marriage almost always — not the men.

My whole post is just an overall response to the flawed logic women on here propagate, because they're so vehemently against men having their own standards and preferences — almost as if these women are so insecure or something...

Here4thebeer3232 • 17 points • 19 December, 2018 02:46 PM*

Im a man, I just find lack of self awareness amusing. Men here claiming they are attacked for their standards, but simultaneously considering women doing the same (hypergamy) is causing the downfall of society. Men can have their own standards and preferences. Last I checked no one was actually stopping them from having them. Yeah people might be upset and call you shallow, but why do you care what random people on the internet think?

And do you go on DB aside to look for more confirmation of your own bias? Men are just as capable as being the limiting factor for sex as women. Not the majority of the time, but far more than PPD seems to think.

Barneysparky • 6 points • 19 December, 2018 02:50 PM

How many times have you been married? How many times has one of your wives turned off the sex after marriage?

You're the expert, tell us how you gained your expertise.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 04:44 PM

He's not speaking out of experience, he's speaking in lack thereof. My bet is he's just a frustrated 20-year old not getting any.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:15 AM

The only thing I would be frustrated about is it's hard for me to form harems (as someone else said, that requires extremely high status and wealth). As for marriage, there are dozens of statistics that indicate that the majority of women in these marriages do not like sex and turn the sex off within 4-5 years of the marriage. If understanding statistics makes someone "frustrated", then I don't know what to say.

decoy88 • 3 points • 22 December, 2018 10:12 PM

Typically, many men only 'notice' the marriage is bad when the sex stops. It could be a dumpster fire before but he thinks everything is fine when his dick is still getting wet. These men are known as stupid.

savannahm89 • 1 point • 29 December, 2018 12:10 AM*

Do you ever wonder why they stop having sex with their men?

Is it because men become lazy, no longer flirt with their wife, retain an air of independence or have their own interests and hobbies? Because they don't help out as much with chores and the women are just too damn tired for sex? Because they sit in front of the TV, stop going gym, eat crappy food and put on weight? Take her for granted??

Men who get sex in marriage continue to work on themselves and their marriage every single day. They step it up sexually, know how to please their wife and think of new and creative ways to have sex. They listen to her, respect her, appreciate her and are not afraid to challenge her and have their own lives too. Their women hardly ever lose interest in sex, I guarantee you.

You can never let go in life and have only yourself to blame when things go wrong.

[deleted] • 38 points • 19 December, 2018 08:51 AM

The studies this graph purports to cite don't say what the graph says. This is a misrepresentation of data at best. The data don't record partner count over 15 (binned as 15+) while the variable allegedly depicted in the graph. 'Failure rate at first marriage' is not recorded in this study, only present marital/partnered status.

If you're going to cite a fake figure, at least make it yourself. R is free. Not that the 'tate PhD program youtube channel' and 'one post I saw on reddit' aren't also extremely compelling evidence.

sublimemongrel • 17 points • 19 December, 2018 12:35 PM

So that graph is just made up? Lol

[deleted] • 10 points • 19 December, 2018 01:55 PM

Yes.

BajaBlast90 • 3 points • 20 December, 2018 06:29 AM*

I did a Google reverse image search on this graph (and similar graphs) and I didn't find any studies directly linked to the graph. The image results were linked to several red pill websites though

Seriously questioning the authentication of these graphs. I suspect some rando is making them in Microsoft Publisher as passing it off as legitimate fact.

You seem surprised by the idea of someone making a fact graph. It's a staple in fake news and propaganda. Certain individuals are more concerned with spinning a narrative than presenting credible facts.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 06:28 PM

That person has no clue what they are saying. They thought that the graph was based on a pdf and not actual data.

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg/nsfg_2006_2010_puf.htm

Here is the data (.dat files)

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/ftp_dua.htm?url_redirect=ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/Datasets/NSFG/

[deleted] • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 06:47 PM

I have at least slightly more of a clue what I'm saying than you seem to. Cite a legitimate source rather than defending a graph that might as well have been made in MS paint by a bored middle schooler for all it reflects the results of the survey it claims to cite and we'll have a grown up discussion.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 11:13 PM

No, it's actually not — anyone can find the pertaining study with 5 seconds of googling.

sublimemongrel • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 11:18 PM

Here's a press release about a more recent study. It's not an epi study though.

<https://news.fsu.edu/news/education-society/2018/02/12/lead-us-not-temptation-predictors-infidelity-divorce-highlighted-new-fsu-research/>

BajaBlast90 • 3 points • 20 December, 2018 06:25 AM

"A person's history of sex was a predictor of infidelity, too. Men who reported having more short-term sexual partners prior to marriage were more likely to have an affair, while the opposite was true for women." - direct quote from the article

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:55 AM

Yes I know

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:22 PM

Interesting.

High partner count women usually end up with a higher risk of divorce or unhappy marriages, but cheat less. Wonder what gives... I wonder what the prevalence of cheating was for high partner men vs. women in that paper (actual number vs. a statistical difference).

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:25 PM

It's so recent that the full study is behind a paywall but the article is from the university where the actual researchers are from. We will have to wait on the full study though. I liked this one because it's less epi and theorizes some mechanisms (detachment and devaluing). It's different.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:30 PM

Sounds to me like higher partner count women (assuming that's what "women with more ST sexual partners" means) are all "sexed out" and maybe have no interest in any more sex with more partners, but generally have personalities that make for inferior wives/GFs relative to their lower partner count counterparts hence the lower happiness and higher risk of divorce or separation due to conflict.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:32 PM

Idk where you're seeing in that link anything about lower happiness and higher risk of divorce for high n women

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:36 PM

Not from that link, but from other things I've seen posted in this post and other ones.

decoy88 • 1 point • 22 December, 2018 10:18 PM

"Sexed out" lol what? That's a jump. A more likely speculation is they have more lived through experiences to compare to when times are tough in the marriage. Add in a little nostalgia goggles with that and that constant comparison can fuel dissatisfaction

banned_by_cucks[S] • -4 points • 19 December, 2018 09:04 AM

Your "finding" wasn't even a robust counter-argument. A woman who has over 15 premarital sex partners says a lot more about her as a person and how she's unfit for any sort of remotely traditional relationship than it does about any of the actual evidence out there.

Any man who is even considering a woman who has over 15 past partners for marriage has already lost.

[deleted] • 20 points • 19 December, 2018 01:55 PM

My robust counter argument is 'you don't have a fact-based argument in the first place'.

Physiologymatters • 11 points • 19 December, 2018 02:34 PM

Usually I don't laugh this early into my day, thanks

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 08:53 PM

But of course any woman that considers a man with under 15 partners loses, because women like getting cucked! /s

Where do the casual sex partners you want come from, then? These are girls you use and discard like they're objects? Men are having sex with other men to get their high n counts that they're so proud of? You fuck all the sluts you want, get herpes, and then give it to your virgin wife? I don't think that's a very likely scenario. If you're a man-hoe, you end up with a slutty girl. If you're a selective guy, you end up

with a selective girl. Virgins don't want diseases from you or they'd be out taking more risks for their own pleasure, so the hypocrite doesn't win in this scenario.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:29 PM

If you partition and delegate a partition of the female population to be the whores for society, that's how you can separate them. Ironically, this is what women do with most men.

You simply just won't admit that preselection is apart of the female selection process. Women are turned on by heavily sexually experienced men, because they know if other women chose to sleep with them — they must be high value.

And yes, if you are alpha enough, she will love you more and be happy if you fuck other women on the side.

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 11:43 PM

Why would we approve of men abusing and stigmatizing a class of women? You've got yourself a double standard.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:07 AM

Well we approve it when when do it to men? Nevertheless, I was merely explaining how it was plausible.

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 12:07 AM

You have to pick one.

- a.) we hate all gender sluts or
- b.) we don't hate any sluts at all

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:24 AM

No, I don't. Double-standards at the end of the day only mean anything if we assume the dual entities are extremely similar and equal, which men and women are not.

Also, you read the hate and artificially placed it in there.

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 01:05 AM

Nah, I don't buy into your hypocritical mindset. We're complementary, not equal, but you don't get rights that I don't have. If you sleep around sans condoms and get AIDS or forced to pay child support, I judge you as much as if my sister slept around sans BC and got AIDS or forced to be a single mother.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:12 AM

Irrelevant example and strawman argument. All a woman has to do is signal that she wants dick, and she will get it on demand. A man needs to go out and run game and put in work to get laid. It's not comparable or complimentary at all. Women and men are completely different too — our behaviors, perceptions of the world, and reactions are all very different.

killallthenarcs • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 01:56 PM

Any man who is even considering a woman who has over 15 past partners for marriage has already

lost.

Life's a losing game, no-one gets out alive. We've all lost already.

darudeboysandstorm • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 07:27 PM

ITS OVER!!!!

[deleted] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 06:27 PM

What are you on about? Have you even seen the CDC data set yourself? The authors of the graph didn't use the CDC pdf summary, they used the raw data.

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg/nsfg_2006_2010_puf.htm

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 06:45 PM

The "authors of that graph", based on the source they attributed on that graph, and the inaccurate depictions of the 'raw data' responses within that graph, would disagree with you.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 06:50 PM

2006/2008 NSFG data is the source I see in the graph.

Do you understand what NSFG data even is? It's not your pdf LOL.

You literally have no clue what you are saying. I work in biotech marketing strategy and use CDC/NSFG data. The fact that you're still talking is puzzling.

[deleted] • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 06:56 PM

If you understand it so well, make your own graph.

[deleted] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 07:02 PM

I don't need to make anything. I'm pointing out that your critique of the graph is based on you not having a clue about the data used to make that graph.

The data itself has partner counts in 1-partner increments up to 50 partners (open the .dat files to see this)

The data also has specific marital status questions which encompass multiple marriages (at least 3) and statuses of those marriages (still in effect, separated, divorced, widowed, etc.)

"Failure rate" was something the author of the graph came up with (possibly using some combination of the above conditions for first marriages).

<https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/webdocs/>

Anyone curious to see this can search "marriage" and see all of the marriage related data points here.

So your critique here is baseless. Hate to break it to you this bluntly!

The studies this graph purports to cite don't say what the graph says. This is a misrepresentation of data at best. The data don't record partner count over 15 (binned as 15+) while the variable allegedly depicted in the graph. 'Failure rate at first marriage' is not recorded in this study, only present marital/partnered status.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 07:10 PM

The problem with that is that the graph clearly cites 2006/2008 NSFG data (the raw data

you're citing is 2006-2010), so... why wouldn't they cite the data they were using? Are they just bad at making graphs? Or, more likely, based on a cursory analysis of the data you believe they may have cited (which they don't at any point claim to cite) these statistics are falsified to prove a point.

A point which is, coincidentally, not the point you claim they make - 'she experiences lower satisfaction in [a marriage when she has had more prior partners]'. No mention of her satisfaction on the graph. That is something that the NSFG data seems to record, so as I keep suggesting, if you want to make the point you think you're making:

Make your own graph. This one is meaningless.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 07:14 PM

I did not make any claims about marital satisfaction. I pointed out that your critique of data being fake / not available is incorrect.

I don't know why they did what they did, but the data that is purported to be in the graph definitely exists. Understand?

Hint about the dates: NSFG cycles last multiples years and the .pdf was likely published with only half of the data (still sufficient for analysis) being used. Later 2 more years of data for that cycle became available. Not that's it's that relevant here to the actual data being real and your critique mostly being wrong.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 07:31 PM

I did not make any claims about marital satisfaction.

...

This is effectively wrong, because women practice a AF/BB mating strategy. In fact, we can see in marriages where a woman was promiscuous prior to the marriage, she experiences LOWER satisfaction in it.

If the data's there, you should have no problem reproducing it in your own graph, or otherwise finding evidence other than a demonstrably poorly-sourced graph.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 07:35 PM

That quote about "AF/BB" isn't even from me it is from user "banned_by_cucks" ... are you paying attention to anything you're reading or posting? You're insufferable.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:41 AM

So you literally just pulled a bunch of shit out of your ass, and then the female Uber alles downvoted my post en masse and upvoted your original comment with no forethought as to what you're spewing had any sort of validity to it. It's really crazy that men even began to contemplate giving women the right to vote, let alone actually giving it.

Willow-girl • 20 points • 19 December, 2018 01:47 PM

Look, it's common sense! Let's say you're an avid skier and want to find a skiing partner. Do you pick a fellow

avid skier, or one who went skiing a couple of times a few years ago and was kind of "Meh" about it?

If you want a relationship with lots of sex in it, find a person with an equally high libido. That person doesn't have to be a "past slut" -- perhaps the sex was in the context of marriage/LTRs; that's fine. But what you want is someone who has demonstrated an interest in sex and has made obtaining it on the regular a priority. Someone who was (for instance) in a relationship 4 years ago but has been celibate since it ended (and isn't climbing the walls out of frustration) should be very suspect. Also, if your libidos aren't matching up during the dating phase of the relationship, think long and hard (no pun intended) as to whether you want to enter into marriage with this person as libidos generally don't increase over time.

The bottom line is that it's preferable to find someone who likes the same frequency and variety that you do, and then try to maintain that attraction, rather than finding someone whose needs DON'T match yours and trying to bend them to your will. Still don't believe me? Go read the thread currently toward the top of MRP in which men suggest various ways in which they cajole their wives into giving them blowjobs. A great deal of patience and strategizing seems to be required here, which could be avoided had they simply chosen women who like sucking cock in the first place!

Seems so simple, and yet,,,,,

Roxanneq • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 10:45 PM

Link to said thread?

banned_by_cucks[S] • -3 points • 19 December, 2018 01:49 PM

Sounds like a prostitute would be best

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 04:26 PM

Why? What's so terrible about finding sexual compatibility with someone you give a shit about rather than paying for enthusiasm?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:17 PM

The vast majority of marriages end in dead bed rooms

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 08:55 PM

Prostitutes don't have sex with people that have to pay for it because they enjoy it, lol. Otherwise it wouldn't be paid.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:16 PM

Not really the point

[deleted] • 12 points • 19 December, 2018 01:08 PM

Few problems

1) Most people reading this part of the internet are not alpha males and never will be. Remember alpha is about how successful you are with women, not necessarily how you act or what you believe. If you act suave or act overly cocky yet fail at attracting women you are not an alpha. You need to achieve top 10% results to be an alpha. [Yeesh I feel greasy right now saying "alpha" many times, it reminds me of college.....good times]

2) There are pretty much no virgin women over 20 years old. Also pretty much every woman is sleeping around and enjoying herself. Good luck finding a woman who doesn't do that. You may meet a woman who USED to do it though, now she's not like that.

3) If you don't want a sexless marriage.... be attractive, don't be unattractive. Don't start balding. Hunting for a virgin woman won't do shit.

legaladvicequest • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 09:08 PM

lol, "don't start balding" is even harder done than "don't let your tits sag"- at least with the tits you can control your weight.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 01:11 PM

1. I literally said most men are sex-limited betas, lol

Anyways, A virgin wife is not a realistic expectation — it's just a very robust way of mitigating risk assuming you can find one and assuming you absolutely must get married.

allweknowisD • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 02:42 PM

IIRC the study that gets posted here most often stated that women with 1 partner or a virgin was more likely to cheat than someone that has slept with 2 people.

So how is a virgin removing risks from a marriage?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:15 PM

Your risk of divorce literally drops to 5-10 percent, that's why.

allweknowisD • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 08:29 AM

Are you happy to stay with someone that's cheated on you?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 09:41 AM

Okay, you're conflating things pretty strongly. The chance of divorce when the woman is married as a virgin is like 5-10 percent. It rises drastically the more and more you can closer to 10, there are a few random "valleys" in which a lower number of sexual partners will result in higher divorce rates -- but the general correlation demonstrates that the number of sexual partners is positively correlated with a higher likelihood of marital dissatisfaction and divorce.

allweknowisD • 5 points • 20 December, 2018 10:23 AM

I'm not.

Virgins are more likely than those with 2 sexual partners. Virgins are also more likely to be religious which would associate with their divorce rates because most religions are against divorce.

Haven't you ever known a miserable religious couple that don't divorce just because it's frowned upon? Stay together for the kids etc.

Therefore cheating can still be happening (and honestly likely is, even if it's an emotional affair) but divorce is off the table. I don't understand how people extract "less risk of divorce" to somehow equate to "happily married".

The focus should be more on happy marriages and not divorces rates.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:16 AM

Virgins are more likely than those with 2 sexual partners. Virgins are also more likely to be religious which would associate with their divorce rates because most religions are against divorce.

Good luck finding evidence to support such a notion.

Haven't you ever known a miserable religious couple that don't divorce just because it's frowned upon? Stay together for the kids etc.

Most "successful" marriages in general, irregardless of religiosity or not, are usually due to a couple wanting to stay together for the benefit of the children.

The focus should be more on happy marriages and not divorces rates.

There isn't really any such thing as a happy marriage long-term. There's tolerable ones in which people figure it's easier to wait it out - "a cheaper to keep her" esque marriage, and then there are marriages that end in divorce. Marriages should be transformed into renewable contracts.

allweknowisD • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:21 AM

IIRC that's exactly what the usual data on sexual partners and divorce rates indicate. 2 partners are less likely to divorce than 0-1.

And you would know this how? How is a marriage successful if it's just two people staying together for children. That's a friendship, not a marriage.

I guess there's no point trying to debate someone that doesn't care if a marriage is happy or not as long as there isn't a divorce at the end. Let's advocate for people to stay in unhealthy, unhappy marriages because as long as there isn't a divorce, it's okay!

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:28 AM

Here's the actual data: <https://archive.is/9dbaG>

If you actually read my post, you wouldn't have kept making that mistake.

The whole purpose of marriage was just to create a stable environment to raise children. It's nothing to do with companionship or love, at least not primarily.

All of the imbeciles (mostly women, but plenty of men) on this board are fucking delusional to think having a "happy" marriage is an actual realistic goal. If you're getting married, you should hope for a STABLE marriage, even that is pretty overly-idealistic as well.

[deleted] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 02:45 PM

Well im virgin and want to be a slut only for my husband, the hard part is im a women and want a better man and wont settle with just anyone.

diffdedbed • 18 points • 19 December, 2018 01:18 PM

I think a lot of this n count stuff comes from mens insecurity in their own sexual abilities. The idea being women can't miss what they never had, and if all they had was bad sex from their inferior husband, they can't long after

better sex since they *think* that is all sex is.

And there may be something to that. My wife and I were very inexperienced when we got together, and were monogamous for 9 years. I wanted to try swinging, got the wife on board and I found out my wife was kinda bad at sex compared to other more experienced women. This has been to my benefit because she learned how to be better.

Once you get over the early adult fear and putting sex on some magical pedestal and realizing its just a part of life, this whole n count thing its rather unimportant.

LSTW1234 • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 02:16 PM

The idea being women can't miss what they never had, and if all they had was bad sex from their inferior husband, they can't long after better sex since they think that is all sex is.

It is telling that they never acknowledge how comparison might work in their favor. They don't even seem to consider the possibility.

Jebediah_Goodplow 1 points 19 December, 2018 03:17 PM [recovered]

B/c to them it's not. They'll put in the work to get that stem degree, and put in the work to get those, "I lift" arms, but then drop the ball in the bedroom. It all turns into demands on what he wants, questions and accusations about her past lovers, and passing the blame to her about how, "it's up to her to tell me what she wants in bed, and what I'm doing right or wrong. I tell her what *I* want and expect..."

Then they wonder what she's not fucking or horny with him. Pass the blame some more, "Oh, I'm not Chad, I *can't* compete, fuckin whore fucked a Chad. Game over."

legaladvicequest • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 09:05 PM

At first I laughed, but then I felt sad because I know some people actually think this way.

ffbtaw 1 points 19 December, 2018 10:42 PM* [recovered]

It might, but the higher the n the less likely this is.

LSTW1234 • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 07:21 PM*

To a degree, but women tend to be more satisfied with relationship sex. If I was a man I'd be more worried about being compared to LTR partners than casual partners. High N primarily involves the latter.

OHG1 • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 01:47 PM

Lots comes from a small penis. Notice the overlap of those with a small dick and those obsessed with men never having fucked a big one. They know they arent worthy

diffdedbed • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 04:31 PM*

Lots comes from a small penis.

Maybe, but I think its under the umbrella of insecurity.

In my case I *thought* I had a smaller than average penis, but it turns out I have a bigger than average one.

So despite me *thinking* I had a smaller dick I still didn't stress about n count but thats me. Now perhaps if I had an average dick I would have thought i was *really* small and would have had bigger issues.

decoy88 • 1 point • 22 December, 2018 10:30 PM

I found out my wife was kinda bad at sex compared to other more experienced women.

Haha in what ways?

Did you learn any new tricks from swinging?

maljo24 • 19 points • 19 December, 2018 10:47 AM

Women who like sex are having sex. If you like getting laid, those are the women to engage with.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 12:19 PM

Women like sex with men they like to have sex with.

OHG1 • 20 points • 19 December, 2018 01:45 PM

Which isnt you apparently

[deleted] • 9 points • 19 December, 2018 02:24 PM

this attitude is always mental to me. "women only like fucking 20% of men who get all the sex" what kind of loser responds to that with ITS UNFAIR WOMEN NEED TO CHANGE and not "so if I improve myself to top 20% i get unlimited pussy? fuck yea"

El_Tigrex • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 03:22 PM

Somebody who's reasonable and realizes you can't just improve yourself to the top 20%. A lot of your attractiveness is tied to things you can't control like your height, face shape, and beard genetics.

darudeboysandstorm • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 07:30 PM

Anyone with a nice face doesnt need beard genetics. CMV

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 07:54 PM

Cant beards are for soyboys and fatties hiding their shitty jawlines. If you've got it you flaunt it clean shaven

El_Tigrex • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:02 AM

I don't disagree.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 04:35 PM

You mean somebody who sees their limits before they see their potential. Yes, you might not be all that, but countless "ugly" men still somehow find ways of having sex. Or you can complain about how unfair life is, play the victim and be like OP.

El_Tigrex • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 06:24 PM

That doesn't change that you can't break into the top 20% and get that "unlimited pussy".

decoy88 • 1 point • 22 December, 2018 10:35 PM

So is the choice between unlimited pussy or no pussy at all?

Bigdoggdagg • 2 points • 24 December, 2018 06:14 AM

Fit and attractive pussy is impossible yo get if you arent in the top 20%. If i bust my ass off in the gym and only end up attracting pudgy chicks ima be pissed.

decoy88 • 1 point • 24 December, 2018 06:53 AM

It's not though. I've seen it many times before my very eyes. (Source: have hot friends).

Young women just want a guy who is 'fun' (preferably hot), but mainly fun. Lots of gym guys are anything but.

Also there's a middle ground between hot and pudgy....sounds to me like bullshit excuses.

"Getting into top 20% is too hard. If I manage it I don't wanna risk fucking non-models"

[deleted] • -1 points • 19 December, 2018 09:36 PM

What's your point? That it's unfair....? Life is filled with things that's unfair. You're not being reasonable, you're being sad and pathetic. Nick Vujicic, aka chicken-leg man has a wife. He has a torso, and a totally average face. What's your excuse?

El_Tigrex • 3 points • 20 December, 2018 01:03 AM

Sorry where is this conversation even going? I thought we were starting from the perspective that "anyone can break into the top 20% and get unlimited pussy", now it's "anyone can get a wife".

decoy88 • 1 point • 24 December, 2018 06:55 AM

You think "unlimited pussy" means they're all hot pussy?

[deleted] • 0 points • 20 December, 2018 06:52 AM

I've already asked you: what's your point? You keep dodging. The 20% is a made up figure, by people who don't get any. If you're after "unlimited pussy", that could be found in a great partner, not just by banging a bunch of different women.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 12:21 AM

Seeing your limits before your potential is what MOST people should be doing. Most people who go to college shouldn't be, but we simply look at the "potential" they have vs. balancing the costs and risks and assume it's worth it. I'm not frustrated which you continually want to keep claiming I am. Life is unfair, and I have no qualms about that. Realistically, if people wanted to effectively fight the inequity of life, then at the very least they should not have children.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 06:57 AM

No, not true. Most people go to college now because they have to if they're to get anywhere in life. Despite being fit for college or not. Jobs are going to get more scarce and without any degree they'll fall behind. So you're simply putting this out as "the truth" despite not really having credible data to back it up? You throw a lot of random subjective opinions out for someone trying to actively sway a crowd. Now you bring

children into the mix, you're simply rambling.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 20 December, 2018 09:38 AM

You brought up the unfairness of life. I merely claimed the only way unfairness being erased could ever truly occur is with the non-existence of the human race.

Most people go to college now because they have to if they're to get anywhere in life.

Evidence?

Despite being fit for college or not.

College used to be something that only the best and brightest individuals of the rising adult population would attend. Under that system, it made sense. Now, we have a system in which fucking retards are getting degrees (another thing the university system has invented is inflating the presence of bullshit majors), and the distinction an ordinary degree gives you now is no longer that significant.

Guess what happens to the value of something when its scarcity is eliminated?

I'm also not trying to sway a crowd or any individuals. I only care about the truth.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:35 AM

Curb your nihilism, what an utterly ridiculous thing to say. Are you some edgy 15-year old emo?

Here's your "evidence":

Article:

<https://qz.com/965664/the-number-of-students-globally-has-doubled-since-2000-and-its-private-colleges-that-are-meeting-the-demand/>Source:

<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000247862>

During the baby-boomer era, everyone could get a monotonous job and make a decent living. Even then people would still educate themselves further, be it at their job, at school or somewhere else. Guess what? These monotonous jobs are disappearing rapidly, and the logical way to give yourself the best odds and to be more prepared for the future is having a higher education. The degree is not the value. Competence is the value. You're saying the world would be a better place if you had less competent people. Sure, some degrees are laughable and give little to no rewards later in life, but that's a fraction. You're constructing a narrative to fit your nihilistic viewpoints. You generalize, make vast assumptions and make subjective, pretense opinions out to be; "truth". I can't stand the extreme feminists, and I can't stand their counterpart: neckbeards like you

Willow-girl • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 04:45 AM

I blame the participation trophies, myself.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 01:48 PM

It doesn't matter if it's me or not.

killallthenarcs • 11 points • 19 December, 2018 02:05 PM

It doesn't matter if it's me or not.

Why should any man listen to a man whose attitude to sex is so unmanly?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 6 points • 19 December, 2018 02:08 PM

What is so "unmanly" about not wanting to wife up hoes?

killallthenarcs • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 02:34 PM

Not wanting to have women be keen to fuck you is unmanly.

SkookumTree • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 03:13 PM

Interesting viewpoint. A few guys have been deluged with unwanted sexual attention from girls in their early teens. It's not uncommon in some cultures. Unmanly?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:31 PM

You are extrapolating the meaning of this post.

praisethesun799 • -1 points • 19 December, 2018 10:29 PM

The fact that you made this post alone marks you as extremely lacking in masculinity

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 11:11 PM

Not really. I see all of the women have arrived to downvote, ahaha

Electra_Cute • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 02:37 PM

That does not explain the types of men that women want to have sex with.

OHG1 • 11 points • 19 December, 2018 01:40 PM

Train your virgin wife? Where are you picking up girls? Outside a high school?

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 02:22 PM

middle school** elementary school**

goatismycopilot • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 03:44 PM

Boy did I ever have you pegged wrong, I was thinking daycare facilities. You're waaaaay more mature than I guessed.

shithappenslol • 9 points • 19 December, 2018 12:04 PM

Having 10+ partners has a lower rate of divorce than women with 2 partners. How does this fit your theory?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 12:18 PM

Nice try, follow the general trend

<https://archive.fo/Qpvu6>

shithappenslol • 10 points • 19 December, 2018 12:20 PM

What's the study this is from ?? How does the study control for variables such as religious affiliation?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 12:26 PM

Japan, Korea, and China (and much of the rest of Asia) are very atheistic countries and exhibit very low promiscuity and amounts of premarital sex and subsequently have significantly lower divorce rates than the West.

Try something better than memorizing responses other people have purported that have been invalidated time and time again, but I believe you lack the capacity to do so.

shithappenslol • 10 points • 19 December, 2018 12:27 PM

Lmao you 100% don't have the link to the study

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 12:29 PM

Lmao you 100% can't come up with an actual argument or counter-example of your own.

shithappenslol • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 12:30 PM

I can't comment on the study because there is no study lmao. What country is this "study" from? Sample size? Controls? These are valid things to question about.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 12:41 PM

The study is very simple to find, and you are pretending to have honest inquiry about it (and also pretending to not know about it), but I know you're full of shit about that too because you brought up one of the "counter-arguments" regarding the religiosity part. Knowing that the study has been posted on this subreddit several times beforehand also leads me to believe you're being deliberately misleading.

Their counterargument was very misleading, however. Obviously if you load a statistical model with a bunch of statistically significant variables then it will reduce the relative impact of a single variable in that model (which is what their reasoning was for why the findings were "wrong" using their shitty statistics).

That's the whole reason why I stated my independent sociological example and told you to find one of your own if you wanted some serious discourse.

TheScutFarkisAffair 1 points 19 December, 2018 12:43 PM* **[recovered]**

I'm not agreeing or disagreeing, but culture is so drastically different between Asian and the west that I don't think such trends and stats are applicable between the two.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 12:52 PM

Then why is she bringing up religiosity if we can't account for cultural differences? Looking at it from a high-view, despite lacking religious beliefs — East Asians have a culture that is more conservative and restrictive (more similar to how we evolved and developed as a species) compared to the social landscape in the West, which used to be enforced by religious authority and influence in the West (but is effectively gone now) making room for deviance.

It's strongly understood in their culture how important marriage is and how

important it is to reduce premarital female promiscuity in order to help reduce divorce. It also helps that their divorce laws aren't as completely one-sided.

OHG1 • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 01:40 PM

Get this clown outta here mods. Bake him away

TheScutFarkisAffair 1 points 19 December, 2018 12:30 PM* **[recovered]**

Yet RP says promiscuity is good for guys....

The slut makers are as bad as the sluts.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 12:35 PM

Women are merely followers of the dominant and popularized culture that is propagated. If that culture promotes settling with a man in your early 20s and having 3-4 children with that man by your late 20s — they will do it. If the dominant culture promotes you to become a slut who gets knocked up to become single mother collecting welfare benefits — they will follow suit.

[deleted] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 03:15 PM

This graph is also fake. The general trend I'm following is that your best support for your arguments is fake graphs.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:18 PM

Just because you don't like the data doesn't make it fake. It comes from an actual study.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:10 AM

It doesn't, actually. Unless there's a study called "[year] survey" now, this information is unverifiable and distributing it is irresponsible.

hostility_kitty • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 02:26 PM

It doesn't matter if she's a virgin or a lil hoe. If she has the tingles for you, she'll fuck you like a goddess.

Silver_Platypus • 4 points • 19 December, 2018 03:41 PM

Slut... a word that needs to be erased from our vocabulary. If a woman has sex on the first date, as men do, it's her damn business. Do not think like muzzies.

hammerhaunts • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 06:01 PM

'Slut' existed outside of Muslim cultural norms.

[deleted] • 6 points • 19 December, 2018 09:38 AM

I think that in the end, anyway you look at it marriage is putting your sex life at some risk. There are just too many various possibilities.

she could have been promiscuous in the past but settles for you as the safe choice.

she could be low n count, have a sexual honeymoon with you then go back to how she was before.

she could have been promiscuous before but now has changed, but could change back again.

she could be low n but still marry you as the safe choice.

you could change your mind about her. You could go off her or decide that you were happier single.

anyone can change their mind or how they feel after getting married and you can't control it.

I just don't see a way to really guarantee that you'll be having a dynamite sex life if you get married. Moreover, if you have children you are pretty much accepting a significant downturn for a fairly long time.

The answer is clear to me. If sex is such a high priority to you, then you are better off not getting married. Go and have fun until you change.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 09:41 AM

I don't plan on getting married in general, but the purpose of this post is this is the most common "rebuttal" I've seen by women here when a man expresses having preference for a woman with a low-n count.

allweknowisD • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 02:50 PM

I'd say the most common rebuttal is that it's hypocritical of men wanting sex, going out having sex then being shaming of women that have sex.

It's like men that call women sluts for having sex on the first date or first few dates but then also claim they won't consider LTR'ing a girl that doesn't give out fast enough.

Can't have your cake and eat it too. In order for men to be having sex, women are having it too. You want virgins and low n-count women? Then both sexes need to stop with casual sex

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 12:26 PM

Alright but my point is you'll just end up going around in circles. I don't think we are really going to get anywhere with it.

JustForPPD • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 09:23 AM

So there's a couple of things to examine, you claim that you're likely to end up in a sexless relationship if you don't go with a woman who was previously promiscuous?

It reminds me of the "she's promiscuous because she likes sex" cop-out. I wanted to argue that if you're in a committed relationship, you're going to be having more sex (quantity) and should be better sex (quality) when compared to casual encounters. It made me think though, that maybe the thing is that the men having those casual encounters are on the higher end of attractiveness, so it's not like casual encounters mean objectively better sex, rather than the average attractiveness of the men there is higher than in committed relationships, which leads to a skewed perception of quality sex.

Said this, I think in the end it's about attraction and the feeling of security. In my experience, even a shy, inexperienced woman, will allow herself to feel wild and slutty if she's really attracted to her man and she feels safe around him (trust, respect). Sex, as a woman, has a rather low skill-floor, if those two conditions are met, even a virgin can learn to please her man and enjoy a fun and active sex life.

However, promiscuous women are promiscuous because of several mental factors, plus the *novelty seeking* genetic component. Also, being promiscuous and having slept with many men doesn't necessarily mean she's going to be better at sex, even with the aforementioned low skill floor. I think that it's very difficult to be on top of that novelty seeking behaviour. At some point, you're going to lose.

This is just my personal experience, but the women who had been having sex exclusively in long, committed

relationships, come across as more skilled than the promiscuous women I have been with. However, the latter have a crazy vibe that makes the sex look (but not necessarily being) more exciting. Looking back, I also feel that there's a bit of Madonna/Whore complex on it, as the former as women I really respect and even loved...but the latter? Well...

Orange_Paisley • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 04:08 PM

2 is a good way to get what you men call divorce raped. Why marry at all?

whitetrashcarl • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 05:56 PM

IME sluts are very fun and adult virgins are very prudish and boring

Take that however you will

MagicGainbow • 11 points • 19 December, 2018 09:21 AM

Lol plenty of guys in r/deadbedrooms married a 'wild' girl that went total prude once the ring went on.

OHG1 • 11 points • 19 December, 2018 01:42 PM

And many virgins are total prudes forever. So you gonna go looking for a virgin slut at heart?not gonna happen

MagicGainbow • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 08:01 PM

So you gonna go looking for a virgin slut at heart?

That's your assumption.

OHG1 • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 09:22 PM*

I live in reality not some fantasy world with deepthroating virgin 9s begging for all these ugly likely slobbish red pill guys.

If a hot virgin women exists she aint fucking anyone that aint perfect

MagicGainbow • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 09:24 PM

The fuck does any of that borderline-incoherent rant to do with me?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 09:31 AM

Reminds me of this

[deleted] • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 03:15 PM

Pfft.

If you marry a slut, she won't be attracted to you. She's marrying you because she couldn't get the men she's really attracted to, to marry her. So if you marry a slut who's not attracted to you you'll get dead bedroomed.

If you marry a virgin who's not attracted to you and you don't understand how female sexual attraction works, you'll get dead bedroomed there too.

Be attractive, don't be unattractive. All other things being equal the slut is a worse bet.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 08:44 AM

You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls and discard ones that don't provide for you

| what you want

Occam's Razor

Roxanneq • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 10:47 PM

You guys have issues. Why does it have to be so black/white? You can be a woman who loves sex with your partner in a ltr and not be a high count whore. Avoid both the virgin and the slut.

TriadFamilyTimes • 2 points • 23 December, 2018 06:13 AM

Where to begin...

You linked a divorce statistic that means little and says nothing about marital satisfaction. That statistic exists amidst context like "Sexually conservative women are less likely to see divorce as an option especially in Christian communities" You linked a girl being completely ignorant of her own behavior with regard to cultural and family pressures and racism that doesn't come from white people as an example of "Alpha Fucks Beta Bucks" and you talk about the bad boy stereotype without the context of that's what has been the idealized and romanticized form of man in media for a couple of generations. We are still making bond movies for a reason and that reason is not entirely about women. Bond is a male power fantasy. The bad boy is a male power fantasy. And women used to have little to no control over what was shown in media anywhere so portrayals of men have been male approved and as much or more for men as for women.

Next you talked about how most men are sex limited betas.

This one is true and false I think. I think that by your standards yes most men are not leaders or alpha personalities. That's a subset of the population not what most men can or should try to shoot for. I think that straight people and sex have...issues... and that you can't see outside that community because it's where all you unfortunate people have to fish in for dinner. I think that straight men are raised to be what other men want them to be and not what women want them to be in many cases and when women have relative freedom of choice lots of straight men are finding they are not what women want.

But the men who are what women want to any significant degree are in high demand and have alot of options. They get snatched up quick. So a woman settles. She picks a guy who wasn't really raised well for intimate, mature romantic relationships and work on him and see if he can change a bit.

And he doesn't really because he's perfectly happy with himself, meeting all off his own goals for his masculinity as seen by other men, and her sexual desire for him can't run on nothing but whatever looks and initial chemistry was there. He has no idea how to be in a relationship or build intimacy, trust, or communication. Shit dies and she finds herself either just giving up on sex or cheating. And he finds himself in the same boat.

I gotta be honest yall it's a shitshow and we LGBTs sit on the sidelines fucking each other silly and eating popcorn in between orgasms alot.

Me? A virgin is an unknown quantity. Entirely unknown. When you're the kind of person who has actually pissed on and then bathed a girl before tying her up and it wasn't like some weird drunken shit that happened with a stranger at a party it was tuesday night after my girlfriend got off work you don't want to start off with someone who you don't know if she will or won't be down for that later but you sure as fuck know she can't handle having an open conversation about it with you because she's never had sex of any kind before.

Women who have been around know whats up. They know what they're into. Like, I cannot tell you the relief I felt the first time I asked out a girl who was able to have a casual discussion about hard and soft limits, kinks, and experiences doing fucked up shit. Some of yall get in such a twist over your significant other's past and you can't imagine my perspective where I see the sex a woman has had before as a fucking resume lol.

Aeixgjhyou_n_III • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 02:10 PM

If you marry a past slut you'll end up being cheated on. Seriously this is so ridiculous, if we can't say a slutty woman would be more likely to cheat how can we say a modest woman would be boring. Women who don't sleep around do it for various reasons not just prudish ones. Sluts don't love sex anymore than other women do, they just can't control themselves.

Just like we all love pizza, some go overboard and get fat, doesn't mean they love it any more than skinny people.

HonestyOverCivility 1 points 19 December, 2018 02:40 PM* [recovered]

N count is not an issue in and of itself. The issue is that a woman with a high N count is likely to already be an Alpha Widow

For this reason, a woman with a high N count isn't necessarily a no-go, it just means that you as a man better be the sexiest guy she's ever been with, something that is likely to be rare from a sheer probability standpoint if she's been with 50 dudes

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 08:11 PM

As a woman, I cannot get down with alpha widow hood if it's somehow tied to just sex or casual sex. The only thing I can relate to is the idea of pining over someone you've head-over-heels fallen for. Sex may have been a part of that but it's the *the* biggest factor. The biggest factor is the love thing and it being unresolved.

AutoModerator[M] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 08:33 AM

Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:20 PM

This post really made me realize how female-dominated and gynocentric this subreddit is. This post was at +12 ~8 hours ago, but then all of the triggered roasties came in to downvote.

AllahHatesFags • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 02:26 PM

Rollo Tomassi wrote about this very topic in his post called "Saving the Best"

I highly recommend you read it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 10:16 PM

why are you talking about women as if they're german shepard's? lol

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:23 PM

What do you mean?

mydikishomofobik • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 01:13 PM

You're right. I'm sure plenty of past superfreaks turn into frigid ice princesses after becoming middle-aged and marrying their beta bucks. I'm not interested in getting ripped off and ending up like one of the miserable dead bedroom betacucks you see out here.

Women may have a biological and evolutionary imperative to only fuck top men while they're young and at peak fecundity. After all, they only have a limited supply of eggs that they don't want to waste.

I may have an unlimited supply of sperm (which allows me to jack off to porn and still fuck women as well). But I have a limited supply of resources, so I'm not wasting my resources on used up leftovers who didn't give me pussy when I was young and who will dump their baggage on me and trap me in gay dead bedroom cuck-marriage.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 02:21 PM

3) You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls and discard ones that don't provide for you what you want

yuuuuuuuuuuuuuuup

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:23 PM

What?

AreYouDeaf • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:24 PM

3) YOU SIMPLY DON'T GET MARRIED AND CONTINUE GETTING DIFFERENT GIRLS AND DISCARD ONES THAT DON'T PROVIDE FOR YOU WHAT YOU WANT

YUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUP

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • -2 points • 19 December, 2018 08:50 AM

You can convincingly train your virgin wife to be your personalized slut

To some extent this is true.

You can convince your wife to be happy and loyal, while you conquer other women for your harem ("Women Love Getting Cucked — It Assures Them They Have Found An Alpha Male Who Will Pursue The Formation of Harems. Women Would Rather Be A Bottom Bitch Concubine of an Alpha than a First Wife of a Beta"). She'll probably become more attracted to you through the process.

Easier said than done. But somewhat true. This requires status and power not just middle class alpha traits.

You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls and discard ones that don't provide for you what you want

Life is not about sex. It is about having children. This is not an "Alpha" choice in my opinion.

most men are sex-limited betas

This is true

Promiscuous women have lower SMV for a reason. This is just a fact. No alpha male will settle for a woman who has been used by others. They will fuck you but they will not settle for you.

[deleted] • 6 points • 19 December, 2018 09:01 AM

You simply don't get married and continue getting different girls and discard ones that don't provide for you what you want

Life is not about sex. It is about having children. This is not an "Alpha" choice in my opinion.

Can still have children without marrying.

[deleted] • 8 points • 19 December, 2018 09:14 AM

And I doubt one can claim that life is about children. It is not. Life is about anything you want. All people wish different things. But most just want to be happy. With or without children

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • -1 points • 19 December, 2018 09:07 AM

It is not advisable for your children's well being and their mental health.

As long as both parents are present and live under the same roof.

I said nothing about marriage.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 09:12 AM

You were responding to a point about marriage anyway, the odds are that will not last very long even if you want it to (coinflip at best, whether married or just cohabiting). Much better for children's well being to plan on being coparents living separately in advance than to start off together and then separate and bat the kid back and forth like a shuttlecock randomly under duress of a court order.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 09:37 AM

Sounds like I am hearing either projection or stupidity.

Any man worth his salt can keep a relationship from falling apart. The responsibility should not solely be the man's but unfortunately due to the nature of women it is.

If you divorce unless due to infidelity or some other egregious issue (which is avoidable if you know what you are doing) you are essentially resigning your good parenting card.

Preemptively planning to coparent and live separately is just garbage.

Children should not bear the brunt of their parents' stupid mistakes and failed relationships.

Long term relationships have lasted through the ages. If you are a male and understand women then you can always make it work for the sake of your children. (unless of course it is something you can not fix like infidelity).

Even if you just wait until your children are grown up and moved out is still better than breaking them up at a young age.

I don't think you have given this much thought.

A strong family unit with a stop male leader is more effective than any other method.

The issue is most men and women are too self absorbed and emotional today to have meaningful and healthy relationships.

How many blokes and women do you know who are quite literally hopeless at relationships. For me its roughly over 80% of the couples I know.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 09:39 AM

Any man worth his salt can keep a relationship from falling apart. The responsibility should not solely the mans but unfortunately due to the nature of women it is.

Sounds like I'm.hearing naivete.

Long term relationships have lasted through the ages

Those were different times when there were social and legal.mechanisms designed to keep people together. Those are gone. All that's left is human fickleness.

Go ahead and bet your children's stability on that. Let me know when you realize how stupid you are for doing so.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:03 AM

Common copout.

As long as I make my relationship last until my children are old enough to take care of themselves I will consider myself a successful father.

Those were different times when there were social and legal.mechanisms designed to keep people together. Those are gone. All that's left is human fickleness.

You are absolutely correct. This does not mean men can't make this happen through competence.

Relationships are easy if you are well adjusted. Most people are not well adjusted.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:04 AM

st people are not well adjusted.

So by definition, yours is not a strategy for most people.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:22 AM

The point is to become well adjusted. So you can live and be a part of a happy and healthy relationship.

Not to give up by default.

praisethesun799 • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 10:39 PM

You seem to forget that for most people the "meaning of life" isn't children

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:21 AM

Probably because they are to busy being corporate drones hell bent on living for hedonistic tendencies and warped by the media an entertainment industries to follow the pack that

believes the same.

It is all about self gratification for most people.

Your biological mission in life is to breed and take care of your children. If this does not make you happy then it is due to the modern issues in life.

Don't know how I can explain it any better.

If everyone had a nice plot of land, green grass, healthy food a good family we would all be much happier. Modern life puts too many pressures on us in a very unnatural way.

praisethesun799 • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:29 PM

Who cares what the "biological mission " in life is? You can go live in the country side with a nice family and green pastures and dedicate your life to raising children if that makes you happy but different people value different things

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 21 December, 2018 02:33 AM

I honestly believe some people do not want this. You are correct. Some people on this earth simply can't be happy.

Classic tropes include rural people dreaming of the city and city people dreaming of a rural life.

It has actually been shown women (being the main consumers) gravitate towards cities for material goods as well as utility and security. Where men as a whole do not prefer these things and only gravitate towards cities because of women.

But the overwhelming majority if not brainwashed by city lifestyles and drone like behaviour in conjunction with being the "Perfect Consumers" they would value family and tradition as well as culture a lot more.

I would argue strongly that you can't derive true joy and happiness from much other than achieving your biological mission.

Media, Material things, Clubs, shops, entertainment and anything similar. You can derive pleasure from these things. But you can't derive happiness from them. That lies in your biological mission.

praisethesun799 • 1 point • 21 December, 2018 04:51 AM

I understand and respect that you value such things but I fail to see your arguments as to why happiness can't derive from something else. Besides, biologically speaking it's about reproduction if you wanna talk about a "purpose" , which has biologically and historically and anthropologically very little to do with "raising kids" and "having a family " . Also, no offense , but wouldn't you say it's a bit presumptuous to claim what makes people happy? I mean, I would say I'm happy, and have been for the recent years , and it's nothing like your definition of the word, you could claim it's a "false " happiness but I'm sure you see the flaws with that reasoning yes?

BiggerDthanYou • 14 points • 19 December, 2018 09:36 AM

Promiscuous women have lower SMV for a reason. This is just a fact. No alpha male will settle for a

women who has been used by others. They will fuck you but they will not settle for you.

There evidently is a significant amount of matching in the number of sexual partners, meaning that high n-count men generally choose to date high n-count women instead of virgins.

You've got to consider that alpha men aren't as insecure, neurotic and paranoid as the manosphere. You can't just use your solipsism to determine how alphas would act.

Your personal feelings about sluts aren't objective facts that are true for all men, especially not for men that actually have sex with women.

OHG1 • 7 points • 19 December, 2018 01:43 PM

Bingo. Insecurity and small penis is at the heart of the manosphere.

Cant have had a bigger dick if im the only one!

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 12:57 AM

I suppose you could tell yourself that. If it makes you feel better.

I dont want any dicks in potential partners. I dont care if they are pin dicks or submarines.

This is a common copout by women and beta men who project their own insecurities about themselves or their current partners.

Depending on each individuals SMV some men may not have access to inexperienced women. Some men might love experienced women. Some men just pick the first girl that gives them attention. But most want virgins.

Comes back to the old saying.

Do you want a fresh cup of coffee or one that had 15 penises in it.

If you lined up 100 men and asked them to pick a virgin or a promiscuous women that looked identical. Most would pick the virgin. Higher Market Value.

Look at the middle east for instance. If you are not a virgin you are essentially worthless.

It is just the truth.

OHG1 • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:05 AM

Head for syria then

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 01:24 AM

No thanks, The US just pulled out.

I am much more of an advocate for what western culture used to be. Not what it currently is.

But it is still greater than all other cultures.

I just wish we could go back to when men were men and women were women.

The West has lost its way and needs to come back on track.

OHG1 • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:47 AM

Shame that progress was made and ugly men everywhere will die alone

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 05:22 AM

Such is life.

The equal distribution of women "In Public" is only a recent phenomenon.

But it has always been the classic 80/20 rule and still is behind closed doors.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:26 AM

syria

It's funny, when Islam finishes colonizing and conquering the West. These people's grandchildren (assuming they have any) will likely be agreeing with almost everything I've said.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 21 December, 2018 02:07 AM

It is ironic that Western Men allowed women to have the rights they fought for and now Western Women are choosing who will take them away.

BiggerDthanYou • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:55 AM

If you lined up 100 men and asked them to pick a virgin or a promiscuous women that looked identical. Most would pick the virgin. Higher Market Value.

A third of them wouldn't want the virgin and high n-count men usually prefer women with similarly high n-counts.

It is just the truth.

Solipsism isn't the truth.

It may be true for you, but this doesn't mean that it is true for all men just because you lack theory of mind.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 21 December, 2018 02:13 AM

classic.

If you think men don't want virgins you are deluding yourself.

You are too wrapped up in n-count to realise that men with high n-counts are not picky. They choose the easiest option. Some men have higher level options due to their SMV.

High n-count men do not seek high n-count women they just congregate in the same places and it is easy to find each other.

Jesus christ imagine actually being a high n-count women. I could not imagine anything more unattractive not just because of her sexual history but the personality required to be a high n-count women I can only imagine is horrid. But I don't have to imagine. I have met them, They are vile people devoid of any moral structure or guidance. They just rely on hedonism for a contrived happiness.

It is hard being a high n-count man. Everyone understands this. It is very easy being a high n-count women because any number of guys will want to fuck you but after they are done the possibility for a relationship or at least a healthy one is completely diminished.

This is not solipsism. That's just a copout because you can't reconcile this.

But then again this is the rule, There are exceptions but it is very unlikely.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 12:50 AM

It has nothing to do with insecurity.

What possible reason could I have to date a women who has been around the block a few times.

It makes no sense to me. What I find attractive in a partner is everything but what "sluts" bring to the table.

Maybe we have vastly different versions of what Alpha men are.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:22 AM

Remember, men having preferences = rape, misogyny, sexism, and insecurity (even ones based on rational reasons and robust evidence).

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 2 points • 21 December, 2018 02:06 AM

Yeah, unfortunately our preferences must have a deeper and "undesirable" reasoning.

BiggerDthanYou • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:56 AM

Maybe we have vastly different versions of what Alpha men are.

Yes. I consider confident men that actually have sex with women to be alphas instead of role-playing TRPs.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeee • 1 point • 21 December, 2018 02:24 AM

I consider alpha men to be confident, Take what they want and do what they please regardless of any TRP, TBP, TPP influence.

If a man wants a relationship with a women and he gets it. That is alpha. If a man want's more money and he acquires it. That is alpha. If a man lives his life achieving what he wants and doing what he wants to do then he is Alpha.

Not everything is about sex. When I was a teenager my n-count was actually quite high for my age. Upon realising sex was not all it was cracked up to be I found a girlfriend, Grew up together and had children. Having sex with multiple women does not make you an alpha nor does it really appeal to me. If you want to and you go and do it then its Alpha but just achieving it neglecting other factors in life that make you happy is just following the herd and is as beta as it gets.

But the act itself does not define an Alpha trait.

How many plates you line up does not determine your worth as a Man.

If you want to be a lazy bum living on a tropical island in a hut you made out of coconut husks and you go and do that and you are happy with your decision and you have no regrets then that is Alpha.

Compromising, following, being a sheep, not putting yourself and your family first, being a corporate drone, not doing what makes you happy. These are Beta traits.

Most Alpha men who have high n-counts fall into at least one of these categories.

A true Alpha knows he is not defined by how many women he sleeps with.

Contriving how you view yourself from TRP, TBP, TPP philosophy is also Beta. I like the Science and biology behind TRP not the conclusions they come to. Lining up plates because someone told you thats how you become Alpha is Beta as fuck.

So yes I believe we have very different opinions on what constitutes and Alpha.

maljo24 • 5 points • 19 December, 2018 10:48 AM

Correct!

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 12:57 AM

Hardly, More projection?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:18 AM

You've got to consider that alpha men aren't as insecure, neurotic and paranoid as the manosphere.
You can't just use your solipsism to determine how alphas would act.

Alpha men usually just bang these women and move on to the next one. They are investing neither emotions nor resources in these women.

Your personal feelings about sluts aren't objective facts that are true for all men, especially not for men that actually have sex with women.

Yeah, alpha guys totally love to get cucked, makes total sense.

BiggerDthanYou • 3 points • 20 December, 2018 11:46 AM

Alpha men usually just bang these women and move on to the next one. They are investing neither emotions nor resources in these women.

Several studies showed that high n-count people usually date and marry people with a similarly high n-count.

Your feelings on this matter do not debunk these facts.

Yeah, alpha guys totally love to get cucked, makes total sense.

You are arguing from an insecure perspective, but not from the perspective of alpha guys.

Alpha men aren't neurotic and insecure. They do not worry about getting cucked; they know that they are the best she's ever had.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:54 AM

Several studies showed that high n-count people usually date and marry people with a similarly high n-count.

Several studies also showed that these types are the ones most susceptible to divorce.

Alpha men aren't neurotic and insecure. They do not worry about getting cucked; they know that they are the best she's ever had.

Yep, they only get surprised when they get their ass handed to them in divorce courts and never saw it coming.

BiggerDthanYou • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 11:58 AM

Actually that ain't true either.

A mismatch between the amount of sexual partners is a better predictor of divorce than her n-count.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 20 December, 2018 12:02 PM

Actually that ain't true either.

Uh, yeah it is, "Your feelings on this matter do not debunk these facts. "

A mismatch between the amount of sexual partners is a better predictor of divorce than her n-count.

Evidence? Most articles I've read have dually NOTED that male promiscuity in premarital sexuality had a very lacking influence relative to female promiscuity.

maljo24 • 3 points • 19 December, 2018 10:48 AM

Incorrect. Highly opinionated, dogmatic, dead wrong.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 01:04 AM

Incorrect, Highly opinionated, dogmatic, dead wrong.

Incorrect. Highly opinionated, dogmatic, dead wrong.

maljo24 • 1 point • 30 December, 2018 06:00 PM

Only your intellect is lower than your originality.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 2 points • 31 December, 2018 11:16 AM

Incorrect. Highly opinionated, dogmatic, dead wrong.

OHG1 • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 01:43 PM

Virgin women are often gross and extremely quiet. They wouldnt ever even get approached by most men

whitetrashcarl • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 06:04 PM

Jesus right

Adult virgin women are fucking weird

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 09:43 PM

How do you have so much experience with "adult virgin women" ?

whitetrashcarl • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 10:33 PM

I've met a couple and they were weird af

Pretty much every adult Virgin I've met has been super weird

It's vizarre to me that anyone would think of that as a dating ideal

Unless they were in high school or something , I liked my virgin girlfriend when i was 15 too

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 December, 2018 10:55 PM

These "couple" of girls went out of their way to tell you they were virgins? Just like that?

whitetrashcarl • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:39 PM

I am a social person I've met probably thousands of people lmfao do you not get out much or something, i can't believe I'm explaining the concept of meeting people to someone

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 02:37 AM

Dude, if you were for real and/or socially acute, you'd know that certain information is rarely ever shared with anyone except those extremely close to them. Hence you could not even answer my question directly and instead resorted to a really low level cheapshot. Not attractive at all.

whitetrashcarl • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 03:11 AM

Have you seriously not ever met virgins

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2018 03:19 AM

I mean it doesn't say whether they are or not on their forehead, right? Dude, I'm a girl, and you were talking about girls... and girls are more open with other girls... and guess what. They just don't advertise it unless they are VERY close friends to you. Like I only knew that about my closest handful (2-3 girls) from high school and college. Any older than college age... no one talks about it especially because for older women that becomes an oddball thing to reveal.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:22 PM

That's why you train them

whitetrashcarl • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 11:40 PM

That is so weird to me like

Train them to have a fun personality?

That sounds tedious and unlikely to even work

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:05 AM

I meant to train them to do what you want to do personally. I guess finding a virgin white woman would be very weird, or they would be extremely ugly.

whitetrashcarl • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:15 AM

I mean sluts will generally do whatever you want sexually ? It's not like that's a Virgin only thing

To me it just seems like I like wild party girls and I'm never gonna be able to "train" a 25 year old virgin to become that

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:26 AM

That's why, if you read my entire post, ideally you either shouldn't get married or you try to run a harem formation if you still want the stability of a virgin wife.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2018 11:23 PM

Ahaha, it's so funny how much women are about being insecure with their own promiscuity that they literally hate on women that don't follow suit.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:06 AM

It's actually fucking hilarious watching them froth at the mouth.

They thought they could get away with it.

In reality most decent men wont even touch them when it comes to a relationship.

They have to settle for betas who don't know anything.

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 11:21 AM

Western women hate women who go against the norm, because it is implicitly going against their notion of 'Sisterhood Uber Alles'. This is why they vehemently hate on women who don't chop their hair, are in decent shape, are feminine, and in this case, aren't a complete degenerate slut.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 21 December, 2018 01:46 AM

it is plain to see.

It can't even be denied. You are correct. Most women will attack other women for not being as trashy and degenerate as they are. If they bring all women down to their level or try to make those women outcasts it becomes the norm.

Unfortunately it has worked on the West to some extent.

diffdedbed • 1 point • 19 December, 2018 12:48 PM

Easier said than done. But somewhat true. This requires status and power not just middle class alpha traits.

It worked out splendidly for Tiger Woods. Divorce law makes this less of a thing I would think.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 12:59 AM

Yes, Power and status.

diffdedbed • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:06 AM

You are missing the point, it blew up in his face, and his wife divorced him and took a lot of his stuff.

Autistic_Reeeeeeeeee • 1 point • 20 December, 2018 01:26 AM

I did not miss the point.

He did it for years. Easier said than done. He failed but got the opportunity through power and status.