

The Red Pill is even tougher to swallow for girls

December 30, 2014 | 564 upvotes | by [Popeman79](#)

You know this bitter, harsh taste of the red pill that **men** have to swallow:

--> there is no such thing as everlasting love. The moment you stop improving and you lost the admiration of your significant other, it's over.

--> Women don't care if you're a good person. They care that you have dominant, alpha traits to give them the tingles and impregnate them; or provider, beta traits to support them and provide for them and their offspring

--> Women are only attracted to guys of higher value than them, and they are hypergamous. As long as they can safely branch swing to a guy with higher value, most of them will do it. They'll rationalize it by feeling that the love is gone between you two

--> Don't trust women. Lying and manipulating is to them what resolve and hard work are for us: our weapons in life

--> **You're only as important to others as what you bring to the table: so lift, grow, become better. No free pass for any man.**

Well, this is a (very resumed) tough pill to swallow, but no matter at what age you swallow it, you can still grow and improve, get better women, follow your interests, try and build the life you want.

Women, on the other side, have a very tough pill to swallow too:

--> Since you can remember, life has always been awesome: people love you, treat you nice, opportunities present themselves, you're never alone. You have no reason to doubt that it will never change. Well, it will. And it will change abruptly when you hit 30. Nobody tells you that, and you're unprepared.

--> You think you're attracted to nice guys, but really you're not. You like charismatic, strong men that you can't manipulate –men that are socially above you and treat you accordingly. Unfortunately, a lot of those men are assholes. Deal with it.

--> You think you have a great personality because everybody tells you so, and that you have a sense of humor because everybody laughs at your jokes: newsflash, people only do so because you're young and hot. In ten more years people will start treating you like shit (like people treat men all the time)

--> Nobody cares that you have a degree. You can climb the corporate ladder as a single, independent woman, and think you're happy, and you will be for a few years, but you'll probably end up alone, or in an unfulfilling relationship, and you'll be miserable. You'll wish you married that nice guy you turned down when you were young, and who ended up becoming an amazing man. Now he doesn't even look at you.

--> No matter what feminists tell you, you won't feel fulfilled if you don't have a household or a family of your own. You don't realize it now because you're young and everything revolves around you, but when you're older you'll notice that your life feels empty. Just look at those 50years old women with no kids or loving husband, they are truly miserable and bored to death.

--> **You're young and have all the power in the world, but it's granted to you for a limited time. Don't waste it in multiple meaningless flings. Find a good man, use your love and knowledge to push him to become great. Build a household with him. You only have limited time to find prince charming and build the life that will make you happy**

A guy that finds the red pill in his 30s, 40s, even 50s, can still change his life for the better. For a girl it's not always the case, there are mistakes that cannot be undone. They can hit the gym at 40 and change their attitude, but for a lot of them it won't change anything, and the realization that they made poor choices will hurt them. That's why women don't swallow their own red pill.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 156 points • 30 December, 2014 05:05 PM

I think it was lauren becall who said: "a beautiful woman dies twice".

Bibosas • 3 points • 10 March, 2015 07:15 PM*

a beautiful woman dies twice

What does it mean? I ask seriously. No joking. You mean "the wall"?

krakosia • 4 points • 14 May, 2015 06:49 PM

"a beautiful woman dies twice".

First is when she notices wrinkles, second is the actual death.

CornyHoosier • 71 points • 30 December, 2014 10:50 PM

Nobody cares that you have a degree. You can climb the corporate ladder as a single, independent woman, and think you're happy, and you will be for a few years, but you'll probably end up alone, or in an unfulfilling relationship, and you'll be miserable. You'll wish you married that nice guy you turned down when you were young, and who ended up becoming an amazing man. Now he doesn't even look at you.

Ouch. True but painful to watch.

I have a female friend that this is directly happening to now. This girl was a New York/LA 8-9, intelligent, well-educated and hopped from one alpha guy to the next. I only knew her back in my over-weight, poor and beta days so *of course* nothing happened.

Anyway, fast-forward to now, she's 34 or 35 and the years of working some incredibly long and stressful hours all the time are noticeably taking their toll on her physically. She has broken down in front of me a couple times crying because she is starting to get turned down by men that she feels are way out of her league. She's also told me that in the last few years she has come to the conclusion that she does want a child and marriage and feels as if it is all "slipping away".

It was a marked day in my life when she asked *me* out on a date and I politely declined. Strangely I always thought I would feel some sort of satisfaction from it ... but instead I felt pity.

C'est la vie.

PaulMurrayCbr • 21 points • 31 December, 2014 03:39 AM

Reminds me of a story this ex-beta orbiter told, how the girl he used to orbit once drunkenly confessed to him "I will marry you when I am 35". He was just part of her long-term life plan.

a_nus21 points 31 December, 2014 04:27 AM* **[recovered]**

The last oneitis I had, 2 years ago, once told me that I would make a great husband. That she could see herself having kids and marrying me when we were older. I took this as a compliment. My ~~heart~~ dick felt so good.

Meanwhile she was fucking her weed dealer. What a dumb fuck I was.

[deleted] 31 December, 2014 09:54 AM

[permanently deleted]

after27tries • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 10:40 AM

there are a lot of very good girls out there. Yes in your country, yes in your town. They just tend to be more shy and they don't wear as slutty clothes.

No, no, no. Get rid of that idea, buddy. Girls with low self esteem aren't what you think they are and unless you handle the whole thing like a Jedi Master, it will backfire at you. When you pick a girl with low self esteem/confidence, sooner or later she will see it as a low social value sign.

**Random shy girl: Wait a minute... Why did he choose me and not some other popular, outgoing and blatantly sexy chick? Because HE CAN'T! He's weak and has no power. But... if I managed to get his attention with no "efforts"... hmmm :) **

Bearhardy • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 02:33 PM

Truth that my brother, I can testify, I once just trying things told my insecure ex when I first saw you I was struck I told myself there is no way you can get that, just trying to make her feel better I was getting sick of her insecurity I should have know better, her eyes changed like a coin she was the price now not me, next day she started questioning me about my future and what I wanted to do with my life and to look for a new better branch and I was suddenly not enough, taken for granted, I was left no choice but to go nuclear when she started to treat me like an orbiter

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 January, 2015 08:39 PM

awalt, no exceptions, the girls you are talking about just don't have the options of the better looking ones. And "you can woo one, keep her" ... jesus, this reeks of fedora.

draketton • 52 points • 30 December, 2014 04:26 PM

it's extremely common for a young girl to be aware of the general trend that women lose their attractiveness around 30, but to think that they themselves will find a workaround

cocaine_face • 45 points • 30 December, 2014 04:56 PM

I believe we call that, "hamstering"

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 07:31 PM

[permanently deleted]

abcd_z • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 02:29 AM

Yup. From Wikipedia:

In a survey of faculty at the University of Nebraska, 68% rated themselves in the top 25% for teaching ability.

In a similar survey, 87% of MBA students at Stanford University rated their academic performance as above the median.

[...]

In ratings of leadership ability, 70% of the students [surveyed by the College Board] put

themselves above the median. In ability to get on well with others, 85% put themselves above the median, and 25% rated themselves in the top 1%.

RedPillSafe • 197 points • 30 December, 2014 03:38 PM*

And this is why many women NEVER accept the truth even long after it has arrived into their lives when older. Women are not good at accepting pain like this. Their nature is to avoid pain through hamstering forever and ever and ever.

I recently questioned your stereotypical 65 year old childless Feminist about whether if she could go back in time would she have appreciated the man's role more and accepted the importance of family (Patriarchy or something similiar) and her answer was:

"No".

A Feminist will prefer to die childless than to accept the truth. It's a suicide mission.

If the "failures" which we call "aging childless Feminists" actually DID realize their mistake they might pass this wisdom on to younger females, but they generally reaffirm the failed vision to the death.

cover20 • 164 points • 30 December, 2014 03:56 PM

Women hate other women as the default setting. They try to drag younger women into their same path as affirmation of what they've done.

Feminism is hardest on the women.

draketton • 74 points • 30 December, 2014 04:19 PM

hard bitten men do the same thing, while dressing it up in platitudes like "building character" and "paying dues"

spiteful cunts, crabs in a bucket

cover20 • 31 points • 30 December, 2014 04:59 PM

It's more likely to be men who have indeed paid dues (put up with shit to have some learning experiences as well) telling younger pretenders to do so.

And now as an older guy myself, it's scary how incompetent newcomers are and the sort of mistakes they could make. Offering them a period of "paying dues", with the full expectation that they will thus rise to competence, is a very kind thing to do.

It's too bad that career ladders are so uncertain these days that the opportunity is hardly there any more.

I'm not so sure about "building character" though. I think that's mostly inborn. We get experience, we keep our character.

draketton • 32 points • 30 December, 2014 06:13 PM

a youngster paying dues can mean 1 of 2 things

drilling the difficult fundamentals of a job, until he's built up enough skill at the fundamentals to never mess them up (what you're talking about)

or

going through every single unpleasant or permanently damaging experience that an older guy

went through because the older guy is miserable with those experiences and misery loves company (what I'm talking about)

BomptonBrotha88 • 13 points • 30 December, 2014 07:28 PM

Hey if you want to forge a strong iron tool you have to beat on it a lot

AFPJ • 22 points • 30 December, 2014 07:41 PM

Each person is a different alloy - more heat and pressure might be required for some to cure.

While some heat & pressure is good for most, too much stress will eventually break all of them.

BomptonBrotha88 • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 07:46 PM

Yeah I agree. Like a good coach in High School knows when bust your ass and when to ease off or even show a bit of warmth to get the most out of you and teach you the most about yourself and about life. Ideally, a master/apprentice relationship should be like that.

Dev_on • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 08:24 PM

makes sense, so long as the guy is self aware.

nothing like pain to make someone appreciate the simple pleasures

SlinkyOne • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 08:12 PM

You just helped me get through a life struggle... good job random man.

BomptonBrotha88 • 5 points • 30 December, 2014 08:38 PM

tips fedora glad I could be of assistance

jthm • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 12:40 AM

Yes but there is no need to go through the same trial and error every time you forge a new tool. If that was how we built things then there would be no master smiths.

It is up to us as fathers and brothers to take what we can from our experiences and pass that wisdom on to our children and protégés so that they won't suffer as much as we did.

We equip them with our tools so they can face new trials and go to the next level.

BomptonBrotha88 • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 01:46 AM

IDK what you mean by going through the same trial and error every time. Most apprenticeships don't make you do every single thing the guys before you did; rather they distill the best skills and practices of their trade and try to pass those on in the best way to the best and most dedicated candidates.

DexterousRichard • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 06:12 AM

Or just being used up and thrown away.

See the software industry. Modus operandi is to find a cheaper fresh grad with dreams and work him until he has ulcers and hemorrhoids, then fire him.

BomptonBrotha88 • 9 points • 30 December, 2014 07:19 PM

Perhaps the process of "paying dues" weeds out those who lack the fortitude or character to see it through to the rewarding parts, and that's part of why the stuff that seems mean or spiteful is there in the first place.

Dev_on • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 08:26 PM

sounds like how the CF trains clearance divers, JTF, and search and rescue techs.

Theres only limited spots, and they just push until they get the ones who wanted it bad enough stick around. Most of the brutal parts of training re in the prelims... not that it makes you a better tradesmen, but it does ensure that you have a sense of accomplishment, and that you know that you want to be there bad enough to stick it out.

BomptonBrotha88 • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 09:16 PM

yeah lots of the "hazing" and seniority stuff in trades, military, and various other historically male organizations is there to try to ensure that the dudes it produces are capable and dedicated to carrying out its jobs and willing to do the hard work to ensure things get done the right way. I would say that last part makes for better tradesmen and the like. Esprit d'corps or whatever is a big part of the success and reputation of organizations like the USMC, FFL, etc etc and that's created in an atmosphere of shared hardship and gradual acclimation to the difficulties until you've bested them.

Dev_on • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:24 PM

true. I do remember never listening to guys telling me life lessons growing up. I did watch the failures and learn from their mistakes.

Thats why when I get old enough where I have the urge to tell people this IRL, I'll keep my mouth shut.

can't remember the rule, but don't tell, show. the lack of company men and a heirarchy in the business world, definitely having to make it work on a more abstract level

El_7 • 5 points • 30 December, 2014 08:40 PM

It should be hard and we should have to pay some dues, that's how nature set it up. If you can be successful, clever, and an all around badass then you'll send your genetics into the future with a favorable situation ensuring success. If a man is hard bitten it probably means a due has done him in, take their advice with a grain of salt. A person can suffer hardships and not become a spiteful cunt, I think that's what building character is actually about, or at least it should be.

MensaNominee • 23 points • 30 December, 2014 04:03 PM

hey try to drag younger women into their same path as affirmation of what they've done.

Powerful. This is one of those thoughts I've had subconsciously but you just made it 100% real.

Dev_on • 12 points • 30 December, 2014 08:28 PM

it's not just women, it's a human failing. When you make a choice thats different than the other guy, his reaction to it is proportional to how big a life decision it is, regardless of how happy it made him. he has kids, and a miserable life with a harpy? better believe he's taking it as an affront if you decide not to do that. he doesn't want the reminder of what could have been, and it points out that maybe he's

made bad decisions in life...

We would all rather be right, than be happy

GhostOfAladdin8 points • 30 December, 2014 06:58 PM **[recovered]**

Nice.

Feminism drives women crazy. It is the most epic example of hamstering. I really feel sorry for feminists, to the point I am nice to them.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 02:44 AM

I feel sorry for the single old feminists. the ones just trying to push for agendas to help women cry rape and help it get pushed through with less and less evidence can go fuck themselves and like an old, lonely life as far as I'm concerned:)

AlphaBetaOmegaGamma • 2 points • 3 January, 2015 04:24 AM

A wise man once said : "Mediocrity loves company"

bart_be • 1 point • 27 May, 2015 05:08 PM

Over here we say: Women live in a basket of crabs. The one crab that realizes what is going to happen to them and wants to crawl out, it pulled back in by the other crabs.

tipofmywang • 21 points • 31 December, 2014 01:50 AM

Older women, even by just a few years, HATE women who are younger. My buddy (32) just started banging this 18 year old. She's a looker, and she's crazy about him. His younger sister is like 23 years old, and she can't STAND it. His sister used to be hot but got kinda fat, and now she's all pissed that her older brother is pulling some hot young thing while she's barely getting the kind of guys she used to get. She was calling the 18 year old a baby and making snide comments recently. Shit had me rolling.

[deleted] • 12 points • 31 December, 2014 02:59 AM

Yeah just stay mad and insult people instead of exercising!

lindependentmale • 6 points • 31 December, 2014 07:04 PM

That's the way of the fatty, though. Bitch and complain and point their fat fingers at others.

Osoto_Gari • 1 point • 28 June, 2015 05:35 AM

Years ago at a pre-wedding party a 30 (ish) lady was drunk & complaining bitterly about her time in Japan

Long story short - as a foreigner she had to work to get laid, the guys were fighting the chicks off with a stick. These guys were beta. I laughed in her face saying "that's what guys here have to do". I could've banged her but had a bird with me.

UsernameIWontRegret • 20 points • 30 December, 2014 08:37 PM

Bro I think I just had a breakthrough.

I've always been interested in evolutionary relations between the genders. It is a common argument that society developed to mirror the 'households' of pre-historic times, with men being the wise elders. Well, what if the reason men became the "wise leaders" is because the advice they passed on to younger generations was

actually good advice. Men willing to see the truth and pass on better instructions to their young. Women might naturally not be able to tell this truth, just as you described, and therefore the young would not look to them as leaders.

Sorry if this seems sloppy but mind = blown.

This feminist tendency to deny the truth to the end might just be a modern offshoot of the biological tendencies of the female brain.

RobertCarraway • 25 points • 31 December, 2014 12:34 AM

From Joseph Conrad's "Heart of Darkness" - published in 1902.

"You forget, dear Charlie, that the laborer is worthy of his hire?" she said, brightly. It's queer how out of touch with truth women are. They live in a world of their own, and there has never been anything like it, and never can be. It is too beautiful altogether, and if they were to set it up it would go to pieces before the first sunset. Some confounded fact we men have been living contentedly with ever since the day of creation would start up and knock the whole thing over"

cocaine_face • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:20 AM

Wow, that passage is dripping with understanding about how women work.

Popeman79[S] • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 08:59 PM

Maybe it has something to do with testosterone, or maybe with our cognitive abilities (women see more the *now*, unfiltered, whereas men are more prone to reflect and think long-term). idk

kaspell • -5 points • 30 December, 2014 09:57 PM

downvoted for two reasons:

1. My personal understanding is that pre-monotheism civilizations commonly had female leadership.
2. The other qualm is how you loosely tie thought pattern and function to the "biological tendencies of the female brain". There's just too much wrong with that statement.

There are clinical studies that indicate physiological differences, say between an introvert and an extrovert, the main one I recall being blood flow and arterial? routing.

I suppose my main issue is that there are a lot of people who might read what you said and take it as gospel. I personally tend to be very careful when throwing around words like 'truth', and talking about 'advice' without context.

I'm not saying I don't agree with what I think your gist was, I just think you open up all sorts of avenues for fallacy when you start speculating as to the why of something observable without approaching it in a clinical or scientific manner. I'm probably just nitpicking but I see the difference between corollary and causality as being a very important distinction. And I know you used the word might. Not trying to come down on you, just throwing something out there to consider.

UsernameIWontRegret • 13 points • 30 December, 2014 10:32 PM*

(Deleted)

I respect your view of my original post being a little preachy. I was just using the 'truth' as defined by the comment I was responding to. As an aspiring philosophy professor, I can get a little too simple at times to get people to understand me, and I can come off as narrow minded.

kaspell • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 10:48 PM

no worries, I'll look up the book. I have a ingrained skepticism when it comes to historians, especially when you start dealing with 'pre-history'. An interesting read if you're into that genre would be:

1. 'Brain Bugs' - Dean Buonomano
2. 'Alphabet Versus the Goddess' - Dr. Leonard Shlain

Oddly enough they're both doctors, the second book take a really interesting look at the prehistory up through modern day and covers a broad scope of sociology, and physiogy. It's actually been a while since I've read it. I believe both books actually site references as the arguments are made (but i could be misremembering). Gonna have to go back and reread the second book. Here's a link to a lecture on the subject the author gave, which should be good but i haven't watched it yet.

UsernameIWontRegret • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 12:10 AM

Thanks! I'll be sure to check them out.

R4F1 • 9 points • 30 December, 2014 10:59 PM

downvoted for two reasons:

My personal understanding is that pre-monotheism civilizations commonly had female leadership.

I've heard a lot of arguments that it is precisely because certain cultures were matriarchal or more heavily gynecentric than others, that those societies never advanced to civilization or industrial levels. We can look at various South American indigenous tribes as being good examples of matriarchal families, which even Marx/Engels referenced to iterate their own points of how society wasn't always the way it came to become (due to capitalism/traditionalism, according to them).

Black Africa too is widely considered to be a heavily gynocentric society, which explains the prevalence of superstition. Then we have the example of the Dutch version of the series "Survivor" which once did a season featuring a male vs female team. In it, the male team used their limited resources to build huts and gather food and actually "advance" as a social group, while the females just lay there sunbathing, eating their supplies in the first few days, not building anything, bickering, until the show became so lopsided and embarrassing (to feminists) that they decided to scrap the gender-segregated format and forced the two groups to swap members. What happened then was still the same, the new males into the female group had to make everything from scratch, while the females kept sunbathing.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 02:56 AM

Lol or see: EVERY NAKED AND AFRAID EVER.

Show features a naked man and a woman with almost nothing to survive out in the wild for a number of days.

The man ends up doing most of the work to get the food and shelter and fire, and almost every time the woman just watches him do it, and he has to tell her to go collect food or do something. Then the shows reaches a point where the woman becomes emotional and cries and says "You're being so mean to me!" when he finally becomes fed up with her not doing anything or taking initiative.

R4F1 • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 05:55 AM

You know what you do to a horse thats useless, right? You take it to the back of the barn and shoot it.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 06:55 PM

[permanently deleted]

Dev_on • 17 points • 30 December, 2014 08:30 PM

I always wonder about that. I've seen enough harpy, middle aged housewives that are downright bitter, that I wonder if the spinster type femenist is really much unhappier, or just as bitter as the married woman, but without someone to browbeat.

too bad that search for happiness isn't the femenist #1 goal... it would actually be a pretty good one everyone could get behind. Too busy getting in a tiff over video games I guess

TooMuchToDo • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 11:13 PM

If the "failures" which we call "aging childless Feminists" actually DID realize their mistake they might pass this wisdom on to younger females, but they generally reaffirm the failed vision to the death.

Cognitive Dissonance and Egotism are two very hard things to dismiss. Recommend reading "Mistakes Were Made, But Not By Me".

zephyrprime • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 04:02 PM

It seems to me that darwin will solve that particular problem albeit too slowly for civilization to find much relief from the problems they create for us.

cover20 • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 04:55 PM

He's been working on it since humans have graced this planet. Otherwise things would be even more screwed up!

Dev_on • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 08:31 PM

considering evolution happens over millenia, even thinking about it in a TRP lens is wasted effort.

It would be like being a nihilist because the sun will eventually burn out. you're right, but it's completely irrelevant in our lifetime

vakerr • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 02:14 AM

considering evolution happens over millenia

According to recent results just a few generations are sufficient to produce measurable changes.

Dev_on • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 03:23 AM

Got the source? I wouldn't mind at least reading the abstract...

To many non peer reviewed research journals out there nowadays

vakerr • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 03:35 AM

<http://www.lmgty.com/?q=evolution+in+few+generations>

Dev_on • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 01:57 PM

Oh, sort of like this one?

esco_ • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 11:16 PM

I dunno, with state welfare at epic proportions its not really survival of the fittest anymore. There are handouts for people who are stupid, have too many kids they cant afford with different fathers who arent around, etc

[deleted] • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 02:58 AM

No, you're broke AF if you're on welfare.

esco_ • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 03:04 AM

so? You missed the point. In darwinian terms, survival of the fittest is that only the strongest survive.

In todays society, it tends to be the poorer people who produce the most offspring, with the government bailing them out of financial crisis (and therefore avoiding starvation etc)

Human society is no longer survival of the fittest

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 05:52 AM

This is true, but they will likely breed more and more broke kids.

These people all should be doing the grunt work, if they can't find any other shit to do.

through_a_ways • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 10:36 PM

The other huge reason is because it necessitates a conscious recognition of inferiority.

For a **man** to be redpilled, he has to consciously accept that he will only be truly happy if he feels himself to be **superior**.

For a **woman** to be redpilled, she has to consciously accept that she will only be truly happy if she feels herself to be **inferior**.

So the man will try to make himself superior through the usual tactics. The woman, on the other hand, has to consciously accept that she's happier in a "slave" role. That's a big piece of cognitive dissonance to swallow, and I'd go insane too if that were the case for me.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 03:01 AM

cuz you have a dick. Your body uses testosterone.

If you wanted to be choked, were emotional, and your sexual act was getting penetrated and thrust into, it'd be a different story for you.

And I like dominant women, it's a kink of mine. And it's impossible to fulfil. Women do not like to be dominant. I can tell you from years of personal experience that they don't.

IllimitableMan • 149 points • 30 December, 2014 03:49 PM*

Women shine brighter but burn out quicker.

Men start out as candles that keep getting blown out in the wind, but end up becoming the fucking sun itself (if they apply themselves rigorously enough.)

It may be harder to be a man than to be a woman in many ways, men may take the bulk of the responsibility, but I would infinitely prefer to endure the trials and tribulations of men than the mediocrity inherent of feminine kind. Clearly a lot of women agree being a woman is boring, because the craziest biggest man hating feminists always take on male qualities and become completely unattractive in the process. They have Freudian penis envy on steroids, they are totally and utterly jealous they weren't born men because they defy femininity and it's rather minor responsibilities, instead gravitating towards the masculine. These are usually your naturally high T girls/tomboy types, but feminism as an ideology mandates low T women should aspire to live their lives in much the same way. They use female herd mentality/groupthink to shame and boss low T women into high T female behaviour, essentially, more masculine behaviour.

Women's biggest philosophical conundrum is this:

Do I want to be attractive to men, or do I want to be interesting/have a career?

Because you can't have both. Sure a career woman can get a man, but not a high quality man, not a man she wants or will "be in love with." So what is more important to her? Love or money? Lifelong high quality commitment or academic/business success? The men she wants aren't interested in her. Women always think they can have the best of both "I'm a mother and a CEO!" but they can't. Career mothers breed fucked up kids and expect the father to take on a motherly role. If he won't, the kids are raised by complete strangers (the tale of every rich kid ever in the modern age.)

Most women indulge the power of their beauty in youth, then start developing an actual personality around 35 once their looks die out and they need to find alternative means to maintain their power in society. Unfortunately, anything women can do, men can do better. Their beauty is their biggest selling point, so the personality they create to make up for the loss of looks is rarely ever as developed as a man's, who has had to develop a strong personality from a very young age just to get by in the world. **Women being coddled and flattered and catered to so much is really what makes them, in many ways, as weak and needy and inferior as they are. I do believe women can be a lot better/higher quality than they are, but they'd need to find RPW at a young age. Being a post-wall hag on RPW, or a reformed slut will not get you a male 9 or 10 unless you luck the fuck out.** Women past the expiry date have to accept a man will take other mistresses if he is alpha and she waited post-wall (once she lost her youth) to get serious, that or she can monopolise a beta she will never truly "be in love with" (get tingles for.) Every woman wants to monopolise an alpha, but very few have the sheer quality necessary (beauty, intelligence, good disposition) to warrant alpha provider commitment (which is basically RPW endgame.)

Do I feel sorry for women? No. They get to have 15 years of bliss whilst we sweat and toil. We earn our accolades, they just get born with a vagina in a first world country. They don't give a shit about your struggles either, your sympathy will get you nothing from women but exploitation. Don't feel too sorry for them, they reap what they sow. It's easy to see women as victims, I believe as men we are instinctually inclined to perceive women as such. But they play on that and will fuck you over when it becomes apparent you're a schmuck. So OP for the sake of your own best interest, keep a lid on that shit.

NightwingTRP • 6 points • 31 December, 2014 09:35 AM

Women being coddled and flattered and catered to so much is really what makes them, in many ways, as weak and needy and inferior as they are. I do believe women can be a lot better/higher quality than they are, but they'd need to find RPW at a young age. Being a post-wall hag on RPW, or a reformed slut will not get you a male 9 or 10 unless you luck the fuck out. Women past the expiry date have to accept a man will take other mistresses if he is alpha and she waited post-wall (once she lost her youth) to get serious, that or she can monopolise a beta she will never truly "be in love with" (get tingles for.) Every woman wants to monopolise an alpha, but very few have the sheer quality

necessary (beauty, intelligence, good disposition) to warrant alpha provider commitment (which is basically RPW endgame.)

It should also be noted for the women who do find the knowledge to become a RPW early... that the bar is set so low by all the other women that you'd only need to be average attractiveness to be able to secure one of the highest quality men out there. If ever there was a demonstration of Red Pill knowledge being power... I've always had little pity for the ignorant. I don't pity my old blue pill self... he got what was coming to him. A woman who puts in minimal effort and doesn't bother to add proper value to herself won't get any pity from me either. If we then consider the idea of "I can have it all" in the context of the average woman in modern society adding little to no value... it truly becomes utterly laughable. No wonder they find it hard to accept.

Aerobus • 21 points • 30 December, 2014 09:03 PM

Women always think they can have the best of both "I'm a mother and a CEO!" but they can't. Career mothers breed fucked up kids and expect the father to take on a motherly role. If he won't, the kids are raised by complete strangers (the tale of every rich kid ever in the modern age.)

You should really look at the CEO of PepsiCo. She's had conflict with her husband based on this, and she's offloaded the responsibility of raising her kids to her secretary.

through_a_ways • 17 points • 30 December, 2014 10:50 PM

I think there's a fundamental reason why women tend the household and men earn the money.

Tending to a household is asocial. This means fewer opportunities for a wife to be picked up by guys. Even if there are opportunities, they tend to be close in distance, so there is much more danger of word getting out.

Earning a living (particularly if you're in a position of power) is much more social. More opportunities for sex.

Also naturally plays well with the "ignore her" strategy; having a work life means your presence will be valued, because you have another obligation. Staying at home means you're "waiting" for her, and always available.

BlackHeart89 • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 08:03 PM

This is making me consider having kids, seriously.

If I have enough, she'll be busy and at the same time, feel as though her life is fulfilled. I won't have to deal with the little fucktards too much until they're older. I don't like kids very much, but I love the idea of teaching and having my bloodline continue.

Hoodwink • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 10:44 AM*

secretary

I have seen parenting responsibility usually off-loaded to au pairs by 'power couples'. There's usually little parenting by the couples and they're generally stuff to do with money - don't get married is the big one. Open relationships. Etc. It's not 'red pill' - it's usually corporate feminist in nature.

The children are usually absolutely horrible in personal relationships. I only recently encountered a kid who was swapped around a number of foster homes - and some of the behaviors and patterns are similar to the two kids I know who were raised by au pairs. Now, the money and education changes things. But

there's a similarity in personal style that is just uncanny.

Being swapped around by temporary parental figures tends to fuck you up. I'm going to assume the foster child as he grows up can easily realize he's fucked up and work on it - the power couple kid's won't necessarily.

Moolg86 • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 09:13 PM

This is going into the archive. +1000

k33p1tc00l16 points 30 December, 2014 04:16 PM [recovered]

From a very banal, pedestrian point on why I like to be a man more - could you imagine the burden of being fucked and came in for life? I would much rather do the fucking.

I am also happier in the sex where the world wants to see my merits more than my beauty, and wouldn't look at my merits second to my beauty no matter what; imagine if Mother Theresa (or anyone who has helped the poor) looked more like Megan Fox at the time - there would be a lot more attention and care to it.

Areimanes • 16 points • 30 December, 2014 07:26 PM

From a very banal, pedestrian point on why I like to be a man more - could you imagine the burden of being fucked and came in for life? I would much rather do the fucking.

I love it when women say, after sex, that they *fucked* that guy if he's a high SMV male.

No, she got fucked. He fucked her. Not the other way around (unless the guy's into pegging, but that's another story).

IllimitableMan • 29 points • 30 December, 2014 04:22 PM

Feminine beauty is effectively the gift that is it's own curse.

k33p1tc00l • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 04:27 PM [recovered]

And the constant fear when you're out of being overpowered and taken (if you're too beautiful), should you not have a man around to protect you or keep you safe (or a gun).

It seems the hotter the girl I meet or get with the more reserved they are about meeting new people, be it from the greater than average unwanted approaches they receive daily or the unwanted attention they've received since being "pretty" little girls (I quote the word pretty to recognize that yes we can call children pretty or ugly without being pedophiles about it, and here I'm referring to instances where a girl develops younger and may have had issues with family members or close family friends about it).

cover20 • 20 points • 30 December, 2014 04:37 PM

I've found that the hottest women are the easiest to talk to. They are the most normal.

Maybe being a non-hot woman screws with your personality (I am sure it does) and a hot woman has to choose opportunities and guard a scarce resource. That's the sort of decision that alpha men have to make all the time.

So if the girl's hot, just be yourself (your non-beta self, lose the beta cues.) I think they really are easy to talk to. And I'm not a hunk by any means. But I am intelligent, to a level that it provides something they lack.

BlackHeart89 • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 08:52 PM

I can't even tell.

I'm surprised at how nice some of the more attractive women are and completely thrown off at how bitch the ugly ones are.

Then just when I think I have it, a pretty chick will be stuck up and an ugly one will be... just as stuck up, but will become sweet as hell as soon as she "thinks" I'm interested.

I just aim for whoever I find attractive enough. The same exact game works.

k33p1tc00l • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 04:38 PM **[recovered]**

That's not what I was saying - it isn't about men she would potentially be with, it's about how they are with everyone. I absolutely prefer girls who (a) have a lot of competition going for them and (b) revel in how securely I trounce that competition.

cover20 • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 04:43 PM

OK so I don't doubt your technique now, but I think my reading of your prior post was fair.

I've just found that hot women are not at all reserved about meeting me, but I can't say I've observed how they act with others enough to comment. I had assumed it would be about the same for others.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 05:14 PM

[permanently deleted]

bobolino59 • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 05:11 PM*

I'm with an hb10 as I type this, the little bird in front of me can't finish a half pizza due to micro stomach and if I go the bathroom at the mall and leave her alone there's 2 dudes minimum on her when I get back (and I'm a quick pisser) it never fails!

emptyform • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 05:07 PM

More reserved, but infinitely more pleasant. They have much more experience in polite rejection and much less insecurity about being desirable than the average woman, at least physically. However, they are insecure about their personalities not being cherished, which is partially why they're so much more pleasant, if guarded.

k33p1tc00l19 points 30 December, 2014 05:10 PM **[recovered]**

Social media has messed things up a bit letting fat girls have the attention and compliments from suitable mates that only used to be reserved for 9s and 10s.

BlackHeart89 • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 08:53 PM

Exactly. Fat bitches have unreasonably high self-esteem. Or at least they "act" like it anyway.

emptyform • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 05:29 PM

I don't see it that way. They get validation galore from betas, more than ever before, but they are never satisfied because alphas still don't give them the time of day. Only the 9s and 10s get the top x% of men like they always have.

k33p1tc0018 points 30 December, 2014 05:42 PM [recovered]

Okay, I can see this too, but you know they get alphas now and then who punch below their weight for a quick nut.

emptyform • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 05:50 PM

Also very true. This feeds their higher expectations and love/hate relationship towards alphas.

OakTr3E • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 08:05 AM

From a very banal, pedestrian point on why I like to be a man more - could you imagine the burden of being fucked and came in for life? I would much rather do the fucking.

I think this question is pointless to ask men. The obvious reason is that we are men and are sexually wired to feel the urge to thrust and dominate our women. You should instead ask women but then of course the question loses its purpose.

Or do you think women (in general, not just a few) might be fond of the idea of being able to fuck a guy (or girl), "thrusting-wise"?

And before anyone points out the obvious, I know there are strap-ons. I just don't think **most** women would want to be the fucker. They are happy being the object of desire. The one getting taken (by a high SMV guy). The one being inseminated because "her womb is the prize" (The **Man** lusts for **her**).

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 07:14 PM

Very insightful IllimitableMan. Thanks.

LeGrandDiableBlanc • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:40 PM

Most women indulge the power of their beauty in youth, then start developing an actual personality around 35 once their looks die out and they need to find alternative means to maintain their power in society. Unfortunately, anything women can do, men can do better. >Their beauty is their biggest selling point, so the personality they create to make up for the loss of looks is rarely ever as developed as a man's, who has had to develop a strong personality from a very young age just to get by in the world.

This is becoming an increasingly annoying problem for me. I have been working on myself consciously since I was 16. Mentally, socially, financially, and physically. That's 9+ years, and I have only gotten more serious about self improvement each year.

I don't mention any of that to brag. Women who are averagely attractive (or more) have little incentive to work on themselves until 25 *at a minimum*. Many don't start until 40 or later. Once the beauty fades, they will never be able to catch up. Not to mention the fact that self improvement takes time, necessitating (almost in a tragic way) that any gains a woman makes in terms of personality is usually accompanied by an equal or greater loss in physical attractiveness. It's a textbook case of a depreciating asset.

The logical conclusion is to date women born more recently, however recent social trends have resulted in lower quality women being born each year. It seems like the more recently born, the greater the eagerness with which they eschew traditional social contracts and pursue a life of partying, tattoos, piercings, unfulfilling pseudo-careers, desensitizing sex (STDs included), and a harem of fake boyfriends and beta orbiters.

The hardest part of the game these days is finding a women who remotely piques interest. Even ONS lose

their appeal; it's nothing more than masturbation. These loose girls aren't even usually any more sexually skilled than a fleshlight (I've never been to an escort, but logic would dictate that they may at least have some skill).

Women's biggest philosophical conundrum is this:

Do I want to be attractive to men, or do I want to be interesting/have a career?

Do you think there is an equivalent for men? Some permutation of alpha fucks, beta bucks?

IllimitableMan • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 05:47 PM*

Do you think there is an equivalent for men?

"Do I want to be a patriarch, or do I want to be a bachelor?"

If bachelor: go gym and follow the playboy/pua lifestyle. Explore the world to indulge in the full banquet of women that humanity has to offer. Woman-centric strategy.

If patriarch: keep focussing on self-improvement, talk to women in your spare time. Vet women stringently and stay in LTRs. Have mistresses on the side if deemed necessary. Build up a strong business and tight-knit social circles. Be more strategy/financially focussed than pussy focussed. Family/me-centric strategy.

through_a_ways • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 10:42 PM

It may be harder to be a man than to be a woman in many ways, men may take the bulk of the responsibility, but I would infinitely prefer to endure the trials and tribulations of men than the mediocrity inherent of feminine kind.

I prefer to be a man for critical thinking skills, intrinsically motivated hobbies, and spatial intelligence.

OilyB • -3 points • 30 December, 2014 06:43 PM*

@ /u/IllimitableMan: Preach, brother, PREACH! AMEN!

Dev_on • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 08:32 PM

I wonder if the problem is really women, when you put it like that. beta orbiters, and alpha fux are pretty much guaranteeing that they don't have a chance. I'd argue that theres potential for MGTOW, if it was large enough, to detach girls from that validation train they seem to feed off of.

raise a kid in a crack house, don't be surprised if he ends up doing crack

IllimitableMan • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 08:44 PM

I wonder if the problem is really women, when you put it like that.

Can you elaborate? I don't think I can really address you properly without more detail.

Dev_on • 4 points • 30 December, 2014 09:36 PM

It's the idea of setting someone up for failure... I'm not willing to just chalk it up to genes and be fine with it... At least not as much as others here are.

I imagine myself in that role. Just for being me, I get attention, life it in easy mode.. I don't know any better, it's all I have ever known. Is it 100% my doing? Or is the fault in the hundreds of guys who have mollycoddled me my while life?

Why wouldn't I assume this? It looks like normal life to me... Kind of makes sense when a girls world cones crashing down after 40... Imagine how well you'd take it if your life was based on a lie?

I suppose it's a pitying stance to take... It'd why I like discussing it out here... Figure between the 80k of is here, easier to figure it out

JackGetsIt • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 01:49 PM

Imagine how well you'd take it if your life was based on a lie

I think they're warned all the time to not ride the carousel. Grandmothers, red pill women, etc. They also read horror stories all the times of other girls that rode the carousel and came up short. They know; girls are just gambling that it won't be them.

How many men grew up having everything handed to them by rich families but still managed to put themselves through college get good jobs and contribute to society? They had the option to languish and live off of their family money and they didn't. Women have the option to either make a career for themselves or be a more loyal red pill female in a marriage and most of them choose to gamble and game the system.

Dev_on • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 01:56 PM

I'm sure some do. I'm sure some also have that single mother who just rode the CC, and didn't know any better, filling their head with nonsense either.

Not that I'm saying theres no personal responsibility, I'm just waxing prophetic about the idea of a general cultural shift being the issue.

through_a_ways • 1 point • 1 January, 2015 09:31 PM

I think what he's getting at is that women act like women only because men initiate action that causes it.

If men didn't have such a strong, innate desire for women, then women would have much lower standards, be a lot less bitchy, a lot more eager to please, etc.

I agree with him, but there's nothing you can do about that, it's the way it's always been. Only difference is that since we have internet now, every chick who isn't horrendously ugly can get her self-worth inflated x4

[deleted] • 39 points • 30 December, 2014 05:40 PM*

I agree to a point;

Two of these hardships (1st and 3rd) for women are only present in a woman's life if she is pretty/hot/attractive. If she just isn't pretty (working out can't change an unappealing face, or a deformity, etc), she is essentially a guy in that no one gives a happy shit about what she wants. It's like she was born post-wall.

Red Pill tends to forget, not every woman is born a 9/10, and not every woman can gym their way there. Some women just aren't cute, they didn't hit the genetic lottery, and because of that they don't ever believe that "omg people just like me b/c i am so nice like omg lol" because they have never been white knighted or treated specially.

Either these women will go hard core feminist in some attempt to force men to like them or pretend that they don't care about men, or they will swallow the pill early, realizing they have next to no value as a hot fuck on the CC, but can actually improve themselves as an LTR (cultivating feminine traits, attitudes, hobbies, and skills is something any woman can do).

Just a thought.

Popeman79[S] • 37 points • 30 December, 2014 07:30 PM*

Totally agree. We forget about them ugly chicks.

Most girls, even below average, will still get some dick and some love when they're young, because a fresh flower is still a fresh flower. But for a few of them, it's not the case.

Those girls sometimes grow as man-haters, same as the anger phase for redpillers. They think : "why are all the guys only interested in stupid bimbos, while I have so much more to offer" and I understand them. I feel their pain. But if they get passed the anger phase, they can become amazing people.

Also, if you have a great personality, you tend to age gracefully. My dad used to say that when you're 20, you got the face that luck gave you; when you're 40, you got the face you deserve.

LarryLove • 5 points • 31 December, 2014 09:51 PM

My dad used to say that when you're 20, you got the face that luck gave you; when you're 40, you got the face you deserve.

My dad said "you might as well date skinny chicks while you're young cause they all get fat when they get older." Sniff

[deleted] • 20 points • 30 December, 2014 07:05 PM

If /r/fatlogic or /r/fatpeoplehate have taught me anything it's that even the ugliest, obese, immobile pile of blubber can get a beta provider.

[deleted] • 22 points • 30 December, 2014 06:45 PM

As a physically deformed woman, this gives me hope.

DexterousRichard • 11 points • 31 December, 2014 06:35 AM

There are SO many women who literally do the opposite of everything they could be doing to attract a guy other than looking hot.

Being cute, fun, non-argumentative, supportive, loving, kind, bubbly, vivacious, caring, being a good cook and enjoying a man enjoying her cooking (this is HUGE), never nagging or being sarcastic or negative...

Christ almighty, I would fall on my face and go into a coma if a woman baked me something and told me with a cute smile.

The physical attraction is fundamental, but it's not the only thing.

OakTr3E • 6 points • 31 December, 2014 08:19 AM

There is a fat girl at my workplace. Not "american-fat" but "european-fat". She is physically a 4 because her face is somewhat cute (although losing 30 pounds would certainly make her look good, maybe a 6-7). But she is confident, charming, kind and with a good sense of humor. She never seems to be in a bad mood or display jealousy. This improves her SMV greatly and I would put her in the fuckable-category (which is rare personally if you are below 6, even rarer below 5) if it weren't for the fact that she works at my place and is connected to some of my social circles.

Now I "just" like her and appreciate talking to her every once in a while because she is always in a good mood.

And of course I have met more girls that have higher SMV than average despite being physically below average. If this girl would lose 30 pounds her SMV could sky-rocket because she already have a great personality.

[deleted] • 17 points • 30 December, 2014 10:56 PM

Come on over to /r/redpillwomen; its all about learning the skills of being a desirable LTR woman :)

[deleted] • 4 points • 30 December, 2014 08:29 PM

Red Pill tends to forget, not every woman is born a 9/10

It's the same shit as the women perceive of the men. They see the top 20%, scrutinize their behaviors at length, try to decipher their behaviors, etc etc. and the rest of the population is invisible. It's not necessarily good or bad, it just IS.

I think it breeds a lot of the resistance against TRP, personally. Through solipsism, if you've never experienced the extreme privilege of being an attractive woman, it's easy to just imagine that it doesn't exist.

Azothlike • 22 points • 30 December, 2014 09:28 PM

Uh, no. Men don't only see the 20%. Okcupid men rate female attraction on a fair bell curve, okcupid women do not.

Average women are born with extreme social privilege, nevermind just attractive women. Average women think they're funny because average men lie for sex. Even young unattractive women get free validation from the occasional incel, that ugly men do not.

[deleted] • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 10:23 PM

You're oversimplifying things. You're forgetting who are the keepers of sex vs relationships. Can a 5 get the D? Sure. Will a dude laugh at her dumb jokes for a chance to slip her the D? Sure.

Is she valuable? Is she going to secure commitment from a high value man? Nah. Is being a fuck n' chuck through your prettiest years because you're pretty enough that you're not an instant boner-killer really tantamount to "privilege"? Nah.

Men will put in some cursory short-term effort to fuck a 5, but the gap between the way a man will treat a 5 he wants to bang vs a 9 he wants to keep and show off to his friends is substantial.

OKC needed to follow up their attractiveness rating survey with a "which woman would you fuck" and a "which woman would you be seen in public with" section.

Azothlike • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 11:27 PM

People don't even hit on 9s as much as they hit on 7s, because they don't think they can get what they want from them.

Your opinions are founded in blatant ignorance. Your average guy would love to be seen in public with a 5, because he isn't hypergamous. Your average woman is the one with issues of social perception.

Women who get treated like they're invisible would be able to recognize attractive women privilege **even more**, because they would be able to compare it to their own unprivileged experience when they see it.

When an average young woman sees someone laugh at an attractive woman's jokes, she doesn't think anything of it, because people laugh at her jokes for the same reasons. 5's get attractive dick

all day, and get free validation from everyone else all day as well.

cocaine_face • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:34 AM

I'm really skeptical of this claim. I see it touted around a lot that the hottest women don't get hit on. I suspect it's bullshit.

Azothlike • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 06:18 AM

OKCupid data confirmed. The women rated most attractive got fewer messages than women rated just "rather attractive" or "very attractive".

It is both logically sound and proven by data. The hottest women still get hit on, just not as much as "regular hot women".

cocaine_face • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 01:57 PM

But you've got the confounding factor of it being online, and my guess is that while OkCupid data is not too far from average SMV, I'd imagine, especially for men, it skews lower. You won't find Bill Gates or Richard Branson on there. You likewise won't find Scarlett Johanson, but her SMV is not due to her fame primarily.

Azothlike • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:11 PM

And what, exactly, does that have to do with the fact that men don't message the hottest women on okcupid as much as they message women that are just Pretty Hot? The graph is a mountain slope all the way to 8 out of 10, and then dips back down.

[deleted] • -2 points • 31 December, 2014 01:15 AM

I'm not sure how you read TRP and still think that getting dick somehow validates women's perception of their own value. Dick is not affirmation for women-- if he comes back, or is willing to forego other women for you, that's where the actual validation lies. Women who forget this have a tendency to die alone.

At best the women who refuse to "settle" for the men in their league are temporarily inconveniencing you-- they're hardly getting away with anything. Their value necessarily decreases with age, yours doesn't. You can improve your lot if you want to-- although I might ditch the "I'M THE VICTIM HERE! ME! HOW DARE YOU SUGGEST OTHERWISE!" 'tude, were I in your shoes. It's pretty unappealing.

Women who get treated like they're invisible would be able to recognize attractive women privilege even more, because they would be able to compare it to their own unprivileged experience when they see it.

Sure, they see what a hottie can get away with vs what they can get away with, and the difference isn't lost on them, promise. That's where the "NAWALT!" protests come from. The thing is that the salty dissatisfied dudes don't really care that these women "aren't like that" (at least to the same degree as the hotties) because said women don't meet the minimum attractiveness requirements to be considered for commitment, so their behaviors are irrelevant. Fat chicks are freakier in bed because they have to work harder for it, amirite?

So, yeah, the unenlightened unambitious guy is in for a rough time; probably moreso than the average unenlightened woman. But let's not pretend that men don't do shitty things to

unattractive women (suck up to her fat friend to get in the hottie's pants, bang uglies on the DL, dump an LTR that you didn't deem worthy of wifedom for a newer model once she's wasted all her pretty on you, friendzone a sweet girl you're not into for a hottie who acts like an asshole, etc etc.)

While the consequences and manifestations and responsibilities might differ between the sexes, shit sucks for both sides.

Azothlike • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 02:43 AM

Newsflash for you.

For every guy that bangs fatties on the DL, there are 5 that flatter and buy drinks for fatties on the DL.

Shit is great for 90% of women under 30, because 80% of men still aren't getting as much sex as they want and will supplicate themselves for it.

RedPillProphet • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 04:08 AM

Average girl experience: Getting pumped and dumped by men way out of her league (whether shes a 2 or a 9) with the option of something a lot more stable if she compromises her standards a bit (a bit closer to her level).

Average guy experience: Unwanted for sex by all women. Unwanted for relationship by everyone but uglier women. Option to work hard and be used for his money.

Take your pick.

OakTr3E • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 08:32 AM

Yes and lets add this:

a girl finding it easy being pumped and dumped by guys
is like

a guy finding it easy to make *friends* with girls

As a guy you often overvalue the fact that women can get laid easily. To them it isn't as important as it is to us.

Too bad men don't exploit the fact that we are the gatekeepers of commitment more. But I guess that's because of all this social conditioning that makes us give it up so easily (Be a good man and do the right thing, **women** deserve commitment from you! Monogamy is how it's **supposed** to be, no matter how you feel about it). That is starting to change however.

cover20 • 33 points • 30 December, 2014 03:58 PM

2 other points:

1. women don't realize they're past the wall until several years after the fact. Getting dates is harder but they rationalize and work on their game a bit to compensate. Then they still get dates, just that they're all pump and dump.
2. there's not only the dating clock but the biological clock for women. And men who want a family are well aware of it, moreso than before probably.

[deleted] • 19 points • 30 December, 2014 06:10 PM

Your first point is huge. Women at or past the wall take a while to realize (if at all) that the awesome guy who they gave their pussy up for instantly isn't now going to lock in with her. I see it time and again with a married woman I know who constantly looks to branch-swing only to get fucked and chucked. Even more 'sensitive' guys with some value are still too wary of locking in with a woman past her prime. They'll entertain the idea and then bounce when it doesn't sit well with them because their core nature says 'no.'

[deleted] • 20 points • 30 December, 2014 07:53 PM

"Where have all the good men gone?"

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 03:19 AM

You got old, bitch. You should've used your youth and beauty to your advantage when you had the chance!

CreepAcceptance • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 11:09 AM

Well they did use it to their short-term-feels-good advantage, that is securing cash and prizes from their orbiters; attention and lashings of cum from their alpha fucks.

Most exist in basic live for the moment YOLO culture, why plan for the future when today just feels so good. There's no incentive to try harder, or to delay that validation and reward.

[deleted] 1 January, 2015 08:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

moderatorsAREshit • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 09:46 PM

listen to "i need a hero" by nightcore. :)

SQQQ • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 02:06 AM

back then the notion biological clock is redundant. since women relied on men for food, those who didnt find a man would have starved to death by age 20. or they be forced to make a living as a prostitute.
either way, biological clock would have been a meaningless concept.

RedPillProphet • 7 points • 31 December, 2014 03:54 AM

Back when? Unmarried women lived with their parents for thousands of years.

Lt_Muffintoes • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 09:32 PM

Imagine you had wings.

The world is at your feet. People adore and are amazed by you everywhere you go. You are free in a way most people cannot imagine.

When you fly, people shout at you to go higher and cheer with every breathtaking loop.

One day, a sombre man, maybe a doctor, tells you that your beautiful wings will wither and die.

That the more you fly, the more quickly their lustre will fade.

That you must preserve your wings not for swooping and soaring, but remain earthbound and gift your ability to only one other.

That if you squander your vitality, you will grow old, flightless and alone, burdened by your drab and lifeless stalks.

I think many would hate this man.

[deleted] • 12 points • 30 December, 2014 10:05 PM

the wall is much tougher to swallow than the red pill

PaulMurrayCbr • 8 points • 31 December, 2014 03:39 AM

One reason it's called "the wall" is that any idiot could see it coming up ahead, if only they looked and paid attention.

emptyform • 45 points • 30 December, 2014 05:01 PM

In a way, I don't want them to. Not to exploit, but because their feminine energy is so much more lively when it's not burdened down by "harsh reality". No, I don't want a whore who's ridden the cock carousel, rollercoaster and sky tram, but I want to have my career in place in 5 years so that I can get a young woman who hasn't slept around but also doesn't know the harsh world that lies out there. Femininity is so beautiful and pure when it's truly innocent--its ugly side comes out after being exposed to the world. This is why I think boys and girls should be raised differently--boys need challenge and hardship to become men, girls need ease and comfort to stay girls. I will gladly take on the burden of facing the world, not confiding in her my doubts & fears, not asking her to understand the pain and failure I have to undergo as a man to progress, not even asking that she help out financially....if she's a beautiful, youthful woman who simply wants to keep a nice home, give me all the sex I could want, and spend her days filling her life with love so that I come home to love, and not a burned out career woman. For that, the burden of being a man is entirely worth it.

Is this a fantasy? Perhaps. I think it's an attainable reality, though, especially as more and more women reject feminism as I see them doing.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 06:32 PM

[permanently deleted]

Areimanos • 33 points • 30 December, 2014 07:28 PM*

My girls just have to stay beautiful, stay sheltered, stay virgins, and their father and I will filter out everyone undesirable.

Be careful not to keep them too sheltered. Some of the biggest sluts at my college were the ones that were raised in a religious, sheltered home.

I also remember a joke from Jim Jeffries, that goes like this (paraphrasing): "I hope my son gets his share of pussy or cock! I hope he fucks everything that his heart desires. That's what I want for my son! But no father ever wants for his daughter to be fucked by everyone."

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 10:36 PM

[permanently deleted]

R4F1 • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 11:06 PM

It doesn't have to be a game of chance. If you can genuinely instill certain morals and discipline into kids, they can be programmed ("taught") to avoid doing things you don't want them to be doing. Something that tells them from the inside "this is wrong/ im above this".

There are certain parents who are very strict with rules; you can't do this, you can't do that. But they don't really explain why, I think those are the cases where kids are more likely to break the rules simple because kids/people are rebellious by nature, and if a rule "doesn't make sense"

(because you never explained why) then they will break it. Whether its religion, or whether its teaching them about sexual economics/biology (as per TRP), you should teach your daughters precisely why certain actions have consequences and need to be avoided.

[deleted] 31 December, 2014 12:58 AM

[permanently deleted]

R4F1 • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 07:54 AM

I think that's the best way to approach it!

Popeman79[S] • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 07:21 PM

That's very interesting. I have a daughter but no boys, and I always wonder how different it will be to raise boys. I instinctively agree with everything you say.. you raise a boy to expand, a girl to preserve. You preserve her innocence, her beauty, her goodness, and the world will be good to her. You expand the boy's will, skills, strength, and he will be good to the world.

Drizae • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 07:30 PM

Out of interest, do you believe it is your responsibility or the mother of your daughter's job to raise her correctly, with such truths as you've talked about here?

Popeman79[S] • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 07:52 PM

My responsibility.

Maybe I'm gonna shock some people but I think that mothers nurture and give love and protection (love being the primary needed fuel for growth), and fathers push and educate kids. **Mother builds the nest, father teaches the birdies to jump off the nest and learn to fly. Both are complementary and equally important**

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:16 PM

Always the father, that's what *patriarchy* means. In no situation should the mother have power over the father.

Popeman79[S] • 8 points • 30 December, 2014 08:28 PM

Yep, and patriarchy is failing because men have become too lazy to be fathers. It's not only feminism, it's us men too.

R4F1 • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 11:09 PM

Actually, the real reason its failing is neither the man or woman's fault alone. It's failing because the government has assumed the role of the patriarch, thus rendering fathers obsolete. You don't need no man in your life if you can live off of child-support, welfare, alimony, and whatever else the state facilitates through coercion. The state is what broke the family unit, and its the main reason why so many Black families suffer from it because they are disproportionately dependent on welfare and their men are disproportionately affected by imprisonment (which itself is a result of single-mother households leading to delinquency; a vicious cycle).

RedPillProphet • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 04:32 AM

Be careful not to be too heartless with your sons. They need their mother's soft side... you will be the only person that will EVER provide this for them. Don't take that away from them.

No it won't turn them into complete wimps. As a personal anecdote: I would be considered very successful in all aspects of life so far, 6 figure salary at 26, fit, good with women, moved out at 19 etc. Yet my mother is to this day my primary source of emotional support. If it wasn't for the emotional security net she provided I would have given up on life a long time ago.

Testosterone, sports and competition have a natural way of hardening a person. Use those tools instead of being cold to your kids.

long-lostfriend • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 03:33 AM

I have two daughters myself, and I would add to your list that we need to do more. We have to teach them to reject feminist lies that will be calling out to them their whole lives. We have to teach them to be wary of other girls and women who will try and make them feel inferior for doing so. Most importantly, we need to consciously teach them to respect and submit to their husbands.

[deleted] 31 December, 2014 03:42 AM

[permanently deleted]

long-lostfriend • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 03:46 AM

If it is in your family, that is excellent. That is certainly not the case in the larger society, however.

OakTr3E • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 08:43 AM

Neoteny is word you can google. Babies have it. I guess girls more so. When adults women still have a good amount of neotenous traits. That's what gives them the pussy-pass among other things.

These instincts are hard-wired and of course not social constructs as feminists would have you believe. "Trying to remove the social constructs" in reality means creating social constructs to try to raise boys and girls equally. But since the genders aren't equal the outcome will not be equal men and women.

What is done is pendulum pushing. Feminists think that it is slightly left of the middle and that they are helping it come down to the middle. But in reality it's way up high to the right and soon they won't be able to hold it up. It will swing back with force and might actually swing past the middle despite rabid feminists doing their best to resist the momentum.

Dev_on • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:37 PM

don't take it personally, but I wonder if you raised the girls 'tomboy' like, with that same expectation of greatness, if it removes a large part of the kind of women we don't want in general.

shower thought, but I have 3 tomboy sisters, and they are tough as nailes (well, 2/3) they were never treated like princesses, and basically only settled down when they found a man more alpha then they already were.

my SO on the other hand, all her peers seem to buckle at the first sign of strife.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 08:57 PM

Good point.

I try to find a balance between not raising her as an entitled princess, and preserving her feminine glow and innocence. I will come back to you in 15 years to tell you how it turned out.

Dev_on • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 09:31 PM

Let's face it... You're the only line of defense when it comes to crappy girls out there. Good luck

colombianguy8 points 30 December, 2014 09:49 PM [recovered]

Femininity is so beautiful and pure when it's truly innocent--its ugly side comes out after being exposed to the world.

It's been a long time since I've read it, but I think this was echoed, and elaborated on, in Deida's book *The Way of The Superior Man*. Women dismiss or seem to forget just how attractive--even outright irresistible--a woman can be to men when she possesses an air of vibrant, youthful innocence that hasn't yet been corrupted by the ways of the world. Unless the men around her are scum who take advantage of her, her innocence will naturally bring out the protector and provider natures out of the men around her, which is as it should be.

emptyform8 points 30 December, 2014 09:57 PM* [recovered]

One time, a cute little Asian ONS asked me if she needed to leave as we were cuddling, and god damn if it didn't melt this cold heart.

colombianguy • 9 points • 30 December, 2014 10:32 PM [recovered]

Been there myself, man, and totally know what you mean. It was with a cute, slender, early 20-something Salvadorean I had met through work. She had moved to the US in her early teens, and she had this absolutely charming and playful girlishness about her, which made her so much more attractive. We clicked and dated for 2 very enjoyable years. Even though she wasn't naive or inexperienced, she did make you feel like she was having every experience with you for the first time. It was intoxicating and made my jaded heart melt.

[deleted] • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 03:17 AM

I want an innocent, playful, Japanese girl!

Who jumps up and down and gives peace signs to everyone.

OakTr3E • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 08:48 AM

Wow. I almost shed a tear reading that. How could you not say "please stay" to that?

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 09:40 PM

[permanently deleted]

Dev_on • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:35 PM

I have to believe that the right social systems would reeve that burden. Otherwise, the only thing that makes men the creature I asipre to is a few grams more testosterone a month. and you could just inject hormones to get any reaction you wanted out of people.

I like to think that 10lb gland on the top of my head is more than just a chemical switch.

thereddespair • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 03:59 PM

Ive been trying to strike exchanges with women here on reddit really, and the moment some realize ive been coming here they start to frown upon it, even if I am one myself.

I try to talk of self upliftment and go against entitlement and being consumed by insecurity but alas, futile but

not really a surprise. Its true, I love how some women 'demand' worship even when they have to put in the table, even when are so unflattering they expect compliments.

The things mentioned up there, I can agree to them. It is hard to swallow, as it is harsh, but it is true nonetheless just as some other concepts you people offer.

Time, we really need to start deluding ourselves that it is infinite for us. Sentiment and pursuits, are really best used on more meaningful things, not trivial bullshit.

I can only say coming to this place, getting the ideas here, has been really uplifting. I have never felt so motivated and confident in myself than ever before, physically ive been more capable than ever. Its true I guess, company can have effects on you - just as when you see a dancer who just doesnt stop you feel the same energy and you yourself push just as hard. Surrounded by weakness, by stagnation, it is destructive like rust.

Surprisingly, though I come off as more of an ass to most women, the few women that I find to be truly fascinating as they are strong in mind and will and life, they love me even more and want to be around me. Men I talk to as well, seem to get a sigh of relief.

I guess what Im saying is, if more women would just put down their crap and be open minded and take some of the ideas here - I think they can fix a shit ton of issues and be better out there.

Though some of the ideas here are indeed geared towards men, doesnt mean you cant turn it around to fit your situation and use it to your advantage.

It is hard, to change. But not impossible

cover20 • 21 points • 30 December, 2014 04:06 PM

Unless you're female and past the wall. They really are different from us in that way. Men can always improve. Women can't. I am essentially a nice guy so that I wish the best for everyone. But I've learned that it's futile to wish some things for women (even my friends or family members) who cannot have them.

And we are post-wall women's enemies in a way, because we warn men away from ex-party girls and single moms.

We warn away the beta bucks just when the girls were counting on latching onto one. No wonder they're angry, and that's fine with me.

yes_itsanalt • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 04:41 PM

I don't agree they can't change post-wall. It's just what has to change. I'm 43, so I meet women in my age demo on Tinder and what not all the time. When I get a match, I ask them about their hobbies and what interests them.

If I get "well, I love to laugh and ... blah blah blah", I unmatched them. But I have found a few that have interesting hobbies, or interesting things to say and are generally fun to be around. Sex is the easiest thing for a woman to provide. I value the companionship, good conversation, and mutual hobbies.

Post wall women need to take the same advice as all men. Be the type of person that a man would like to spend time with by being interesting. Women get a free pass until they are 30-ish. Most are ill equipped or misinformed about what it is like after that to know what to do.

ThrowingMyselfOutther • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 02:34 AM

If I get "well, I love to laugh and ... blah blah blah", I unmatched them

Seriously... what the actual fuck. I have like a dozen hobbies. If they don't have one, single hobby, what else is wrong.

thereddespair • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 04:44 PM

Yes I am female. I can only say I try.

I am aware of the ideas here, some even go against me, but nevertheless some can help. Information is everywhere, learning doesnt need to be in a space that showers you with praises.

moderatorsAREshit • 5 points • 30 December, 2014 09:53 PM

There's something hugely dishonest about post-cock carousel sluts locking in a beta bux. I am not angry at these women, I am angry for their husbands. But you can't save everyone.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 04:00 AM

Wow Ace Hood has some good advice...

Young niggas we ball hard, ball hard with that black card,
Save money, don't save hoes, y'all niggas so life-guard

jerpta • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 05:12 PM

RP isn't about PUA tricks; it's about genuinely seeking to be the best man you possibly can be.

A lot of the time, the ultimate goal is "in order to get laid more often", but it's trying to get laid **by being the sort of man that women are attracted to**, not by faking it long enough to get the girl separated from her knickers.

You can't do this without understanding what makes women interested in the first place - while we may not agree on everything, most men will agree that what gets results and what society says should get results are two very different things.

Of course, as soon as you start going against what society claims to want, you're opening yourself up for a whole barrage of criticism because you're not being politically correct. But we don't need the whole world to agree with us, we just need to do what works.

thereddespair • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 05:36 PM

It may be about being the best man you possibly can be, but it still offers generic ideas that a woman can use to simply be better. Granted they lean towards some traits that society associate more to masculinity, to the point that women even think youre male to be carrying such ideas - who cares, what works works. Goal is to improve, real results and not just words.

I hate political correctness and yes, I dont need the whole world to agree with me and my ways.

And to improve, is to understand yourself first, including the bad things about you. In a world that coats flaws with candy, nothing is more helpful than a place and ideas that expose them for what they are.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 04:02 AM

You could be an NFL coach with that kind of pep-talk

moderatorsAREshit • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 09:50 PM

First rule of red pill. dont talk about red pill. You must internalize the truths.

Many people will agree with red pill truths, but when you paint it as a red pill truth, it becomes taboo.

thereddespair • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 10:03 PM

Outside of reddit, it is easy as who goes out there saying 'according to red pill etc etc' anyway. Here its

too easy for people to see that you come to trp.

moderatorsAREshit • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:02 PM

I could care less if people know I come to red pill online. I'm here to help other people. If this is the medium to achieve that end, then so be it.

chtrchtr_pussy eater • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 10:32 PM

I think the majority of women get used to using their looks to get what they want in life. It starts out when they're little and cute and parents tell them they're mommy's/daddy's little princess. They grow up with a metaphorical tiara around their head that is only reinforced in their teens and twenties.

Sure as shit though that tiara will fade along with her looks.

GayLubeOil • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 11:14 PM

If you want to achieve greatness you have to make yourself uncomfortable. Want to get to the top of Everest? Then you have to struggle up a mountain. Want to improve your body? Lift heavy weights. Want to improve your mind? Accept painful bitter truth. Men have an advantage in that other men have no problem calling them out.

On the otherhand women dont call each other out. Women love being comfortable above all else. Women spend their time thinking about stupid shit and conforming rather than explore deep controversial issues.

Old women talk about petty shit like gardens and brunches. Old men have real wisdom to share because they actually lived.

metalhead4 • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 01:44 PM

Your last point is pretty accurate. My great grandmother is a social butterfly still at 91 years old. My great grandfather worked hard to provide her a happy life and marriage for 70 years.

yummygivesome • 9 points • 30 December, 2014 08:20 PM

This sounds incredibly depressing for women. When the truth begins to set in around a woman who refused to swallow the pill, who in the world would want to be around? As OP says, it must be a very empty and depressing time for her.

I read many posts in this subreddit bitching about how privileged women are, and I always think, "WTF dude, I'm fucking glad I'm a man. How could you not be?" Let those women have their youthful fun while we strive to become the men that get to enjoy them during their age of flourishing. And of course, if we grow exhausted of the game, we can settle down with them any moment we want.

grewapair • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 09:45 PM

My experience is that they tend to overcompensate. You date smoking hot 22 year old and take her out to a \$50 per meal (including lemon drops) dinner, and they are ecstatic.

Now date a 35 year old who has stopped being able to control her weight, and her looks and boobs are headed down and she is having trouble getting dates for the first time in her life, and that woman will *insist* on a \$125 per meal (including wine) dinner, *minimum*. They need to know more than ever that the "still have it" and they are competing on Facebook against the married women whose husbands have become more successful and are posting photos from expensive restaurants, so they *need* that kind of validation even though they are half as hot as they once were. I pay more, get less.

F that. My choice is either finding someone more entitled and half as hot or finding someone with almost no

real life experience (i.e. says stupid shit all day long) who everyone thinks is my daughter. And everyone wonders why I rarely date.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 7 points • 31 December, 2014 03:03 AM

and that woman will insist on a \$125 per meal (including wine) dinner, minimum.

It would take me 20 minutes to stop laughing if someone suggested that.

I vary between making them pay, or not doing something that costs. I run a charity and tell them straight up, I'm not lavishing money on them when there's hungry people to feed.

Lol, \$125. I'd look at her and say, are you insane? People have to live on that much money for an entire month of meals. 90 meals!

cover20 • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 08:07 AM

I'd just say "are you insane?" and no more than that. No need to explain. She's done nothing to earn that from me so it I cannot consider it. That's all.

It might drive her away but you're not losing anything worthwhile, for sure if it does.

human_beat_ • 13 points • 30 December, 2014 07:14 PM

My yoga instructor had a really nice ass for a 50 year old, tho. Thirsty as fuck guys will always exist. Only thing I see going away permanently for woman, is finding that good man. She will still be able mostly to coast through life by manipulating and using eager, brainwashed ~~men~~ sheep.

Ugly and fat though. You can't escape those two rules written in stone.

These days even ugly and fat single mom doesn't mean that much. You still get money from the government. It's easy to hold your child as a hostage. The depth of beta and omega can never be underestimated.

PaulMurrayCbr • -2 points • 31 December, 2014 03:50 AM

"Thirsty as fuck guys will always exist." Not for 50 year-old women. A weird thing happens to men in their mid 40's.

[deleted] • 29 points • 30 December, 2014 06:44 PM

As a woman this was eye-opening

[deleted] • 30 points • 30 December, 2014 09:25 PM

And? I'm curious what your take is.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 09:54 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • -6 points • 31 December, 2014 12:02 AM

Noooooo! Dude you get married later after your shot is done. But marry a girl that is like 25

[deleted] • 8 points • 31 December, 2014 04:58 AM

I'm a girl, hence the reference to RPW.

JovianTrainWreck • 5 points • 30 December, 2014 07:01 PM

--> No matter what feminists tell you, you won't fill fulfilled if you don't have a household or a family of your own. You don't realize it now because you're young and everything revolves around you, but when you're older you'll notice that your life feels empty. Just look at those 50years old women with no kids or loving husband, they are truly miserable and bored to death.

True as fuck. A certain podcast told me about this funny little clip where it's some guy versus a feminist + some lame-ass white knight. It's truly sickening looking at her smug face regurgitating her BS, but it's comforting to know that she, among many others like her, have to learn the hard way.

On a side note though, I dunno about you guys but where I live, any woman over 25 *without kids* is pretty much a unicorn (pardon me while I lower the bar further than it already is :)

Popeman79[S] • 4 points • 30 December, 2014 07:33 PM

Where do you live? Where I come from (Europe) it's the contrary: all women are *independent* and *strong* and wait to have kids as the last thing to do on their list, when they're 35 -whereas it should be one of the first, fullfilling things to do for them.

JovianTrainWreck • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 08:00 PM

Midwest America. It's probably a whole different story the closer to the coasts you get, but I sure as hell don't know from experience yet. I have yet to meet one of these mythical "spinster" women people speak of.

I didn't know that about Europe though, it must be nice having less single mothers to constantly dodge. as long as you guys aren't going full-on Japan with the fertility rates or anything I guess.

Popeman79[S] • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 08:09 PM

If it weren't for immigration, we would indeed be full-on japan mode.

Western high value women don't want to be moms anymore, they want to be empowered. Low value women want to have kids because it gives them social validation and extra money (from the dad or the State). Fucked up in my opinion.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 10:08 PM

[permanently deleted]

Hoodwink • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 11:15 AM

Over by Chicago, it's definitely uncommon I'd say (unless you're a minority) or rare to see a white girl pregnant before 25. Unless they're evangelicals.

cariboo_j • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 11:01 PM

That sucks. I live in a large Canadian city, I know maybe one or two 25 year old girls with kids. It's seriously strange to have kids in your 20s here. They are usually either very religious or fuck ups.

hey_prettymama • 8 points • 31 December, 2014 04:00 PM

I don't like reading TRP, but some of the descriptions of women on here eerily remind me of myself and it is humbling.

MisterTrucker • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 11:27 PM

Would you say that a woman that gets upset about the red pill is the kind being talked about? Would a better

women know right away that it doesn't apply to them?

hey_prettypama • 3 points • 1 January, 2015 02:01 AM

Honestly, I don't know how to answer that. I don't agree with everything said on trp, but some posts, like this one, really sheds a light on an issue bigger than I imagined. I gladly take what I have read and apply it in ways I think I could benefit, which is why it can be sometimes humbling for me. And why I always come back for more :P

MisterTrucker • 2 points • 1 January, 2015 04:01 PM

I'm confused by TRP sometimes too. There is definitely too much Alpha talk. Tone it down from prison guard to leader and it may be okay. Women dig comfort & security.

the_red_scimitar • 8 points • 30 December, 2014 06:41 PM

True enough. I have one female friend who is very aware of TRP, reads this subreddit, and makes a point of not behaving in those ways. A very thoughtful person in general. She may well see this post (wave!)

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 08:40 PM

[permanently deleted]

Popeman79[S] • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 08:51 PM

I'm sure career-oriented women can be happy. It's just a fallacy to think they can *have it all*. A career, a faithful husband that makes them wet, 2,5 kids, a labrador and a mortgage. TRP says that men and women are different, and chemistry works if you have two complementary entities that associate. You can't be everything at once, that's all. Sure **you can be a happy woman in a career, as long as you know what you are sacrificing for that.**

jbreindlinger6152 • 7 points • 31 December, 2014 03:45 AM

i just wish women would just admit how much easier life is for them

Hoodwink • 4 points • 31 December, 2014 11:06 AM*

Well, sometimes they get fed shit like 'fat acceptance', 'slut walks', and 'bossy'. And 'having it all'. Also, they need (rather than 'can' with trade-offs) to compete with men in the workplace.

Notice how it all comes from the same source? A source almost all woman can immediately respect and try to find life guidance from? Shit that gets parroted by Presidents, academics, and celebrities.

The only thing that even tries to go against it in the public sphere is the most retarded religious conservatives. Stuff like evolution doesn't exist and the earth was only 6000 years old or the crazy shit Mormons believe about aliens.. you don't want allies like that - they're really the perfect enemy because you can't take them seriously at all.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 09:58 AM

I'm just going to throw this out there. Maybe the whole no-sex before marriage thing actually benefitted society more than we thought?

d6x1 • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 06:20 PM

Prince charming is a mirage, a fallacy, a Disney fantasy. Forget prince charming, girls, he doesn't exist. Stop wasting your time and get real.

Popeman79[S] • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 07:42 PM

I honestly believe I am a prince charming. I am a good a fair captain, a great dad. I give the tingles. I'm going places. And I'm not the only one.

Princes exist, but women can't snatch them by riding the cock carousel and settling with a BB at 32.

novemberguest • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 05:23 PM [recovered]

The over-generalizations on this board and this page in general almost render the entire post inaccurate.

I don't know what kind of socioeconomic position other posters are used to, but in an upper-class social sphere, the popular, attractive, happy people pass through life maintaining their popularity and glow. Of course some women fall through the cracks and make poor personal life decisions, just as many males never succeed in the corporate sphere. Aging is inevitable, but most mature people accept it as just another part of life. In the words of David Foster Wallace, "Everybody worships... Worship your body and beauty and sexual allure and you will always feel ugly. And when time and age start showing, you will die a million deaths before they finally grieve you."

I've heard and seen far too many men try to derive hope and happiness from what they like to envision as the "downfall" of all the women who have rejected them and far fewer men attempt to create their own happiness through personal success and hard work.

tl;dr: Most women don't follow the single life trajectory that /trp/ likes to repeat as if it's fact-- most women live happy, fulfilling lives long after the age of thirty.

ProductivityMonster • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 08:10 PM*

oh so being rich, popular, attractive through older ages, and happy will allow a person to live a fulfilling life? /s Your argument begs the question. No kidding that rich, popular, happy women with superior genetics will be fulfilled - this applies to just about anyone, male or female. OP was talking about most people, not the top few % of the socioeconomic and genetic spheres who will be happy unless they entirely screw up the golden platter they were given.

But I agree with you about focusing on ourselves and not deriving pleasure in the "downfall" of women. I also agree that it's not quite as grim as OP paints it for women, especially rich women. Then again, wealth shields everyone (male and female) from the unpleasantness of the world.

novemberguest1 points 30 December, 2014 11:29 PM [recovered]

I suppose it's just hard for me to relate... the majority of posters on this board assume that nearly every woman is a bar slut who fucks guys left and right of her, and that's not the world I live in.

DaphneDK • -2 points • 31 December, 2014 12:28 AM

I think there is a strong reinforcing element to it. The kind of girls who respond to the kind of treatment expounded by RP, are the kind of girls who are likely to be trashy barsluts.

ProductivityMonster • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 09:26 AM

All Women Are Like That (AWALT) - you and just about every woman do respond to the actions prescribed in this subreddit. Perhaps the application is a bit more subtle in high society, but rest assured if men know what they're doing, they're following TRP theory (subconsciously or consciously).

whitey_male • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 06:19 PM

I agree. Almost all women never have to grow up. If they don't get married there's a tonne of decent, easy jobs they can do that pay pretty much just as much as the hard as nails jobs men do. For instance I was looking at jobs the other day and I see a job for a library manager paying about \$100k, for only 35 hours a week. The western world panders to their inherent weakness which is why feminism is so offensive.

Not having kids is lonely at times but it's also awesome because of the freedom, and you don't have to deal with the possibility of shitty families and divorce.

Also, these days it's not until 40 that a hot woman will start to degrade, so they can fuck around and have amazing sex until then, and it won't be until 45-50 until they're too raggedy and will be a turn off.

So not having had that life myself I wouldn't know how satisfying that could be, I would think 20-25 years of awesome sex and the utmost dignity hot women get would probably be enough to satisfy someone for life.

Areimanes • 9 points • 30 December, 2014 07:35 PM

Also, these days it's not until ~~40~~ **35** that a hot woman will start to degrade, so they can fuck around and have amazing sex until then, and it won't be until ~~45-50~~ **40** until they're too raggedy and will be a turn off.

Only if they keep in shape. Even then, you can see the wear and tear on their bodies.

Some of the women I went to high school with have slammed into The Wall at the speed of light, and I'm not even 25 yet. Excessive partying, drinking, smoking and tanning beds will do you in.

trplurker • 1 points • 31 December, 2014 02:43 AM **[recovered]**

No your horribly wrong.

Everything is relative, a rich "attractive" women post 30 will be less sexual desirable then an attractive women at 19. No amount of plastic surgery can reverse this, only add a few more years but age will not be denied it's due.

Everything else you said makes zero rational sense so I won't address it. The exit is <===== that way.

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 05:37 PM

[permanently deleted]

novemberguest • 0 points • 30 December, 2014 05:40 PM **[recovered]**

It's really not. I'm all for male self-improvement and I agree with the very basis of RP theory, but sometimes the extreme generalizations and pseudo-psychoanalysis of women goes way over the top to the point where it's just false.

DaphneDK • -4 points • 30 December, 2014 08:59 PM

I've heard and seen far too many men try to derive hope and happiness from what they like to envision as the "downfall" of all the women who have rejected them and far fewer men attempt to create their own happiness through personal success and hard work.

What I don't get is the disgust or disdain with or almost visceral hatred of women I read in many posts. I love women, life would be worthless without them, but sure as hell I'm happy that I'm not one myself, as I can see that compared to men they've largely been dealt a rather lousy hand by Mother Nature.

In general I just see women (and men) as trying to get the most out of a not optimal situation, and wish them all the best for it. It's not really a war. Other people's happiness doesn't impede or detract from mine.

PaulMurrayCbr • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 03:55 AM

@DaphneDK I love women, life would be worthless without them, but sure as hell I'm happy that I'm not one myself

Where I come from, 'Daphne' is an unusual name for a guy. Most red pill men don't agree that life is "worthless" without women. To the contrary - go down the mall and watch what life is like for a married man.

DaphneDK • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 08:48 AM

I took the name for personal reasons back then. I lost someone in my life with that name. Anyway I once read a book, *The White Plague* by Frank Herbert, about a plague that wiped out 99.9% of women. That was a rather bad dystopia, as I imagine a life without women would be rather pointless (no love, no sex, no family). That doesn't mean every woman you see in the mall is a catch and if those married men are with women that are not worth it they should divorce.

Incidentally where I live malls are for teenage girls to hang out. Not middle aged married couples.

DreamBoatGuy25 • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 08:54 PM*

This may *sound* depressing for women but it's really only bad because they started out so high and have a long way to fall and even then they still do pretty good.

For example the only time women are really in a bad way is when they get old or if they *really* lost the genetic lottery and are really ugly. And even then there are still going to be plenty of guys out there who will fuck them and even wife them up. Those guys won't be George fucking Clooney, of course, but that's the only real tragedy of being an old or ugly woman, they all think they deserve George Clooney so when they don't get him and end up having to settle down with Joe Mediocre, it's a huge blow. And a lot of women don't even get to this point. They find themselves a nice beta before they even hit the wall. They may never be as happy as they were with the alpha but they have a decent life and are taken care of. The truth is most women do end up getting to have their cake and eat it too.

I mean certainly everything is relative, but sex and companionship are such enormous parts of life, and ultimately women have higher access, than men, to both, it's just not always on the picture perfect terms that they think they are entitled to.

And you know what? I would actually be ok with women having their cake and eating it too, if men got to do the same. Legalize prostitution, create perfect virtual sex and girlfriend experience simulators, hell, create perfect robots that look like Kate Upton and can be programmed with a realistic personality to be the perfect wife. If we had those things men would never talk to another human woman again because we would no longer need to deal with their inane bullshit.

I think that's where the imbalance problem comes from. Post industrial revolution women moved into the workplace and negated one of the primary factors of attraction they had for men. His ability to provide and his social status. And now they have so much more trouble finding happiness because they've priced themselves out of their own standards for a mate. It's like walking around with a mouth full of sugar. You're not going to be able to appreciate the taste of that ice cream or that cake. Give men the ability to satisfy their need for sex in the same way women were given the ability to satisfy their need for a provider and social status and then things will be balanced again. Of course it'll just be a balance of the two genders pretty much ignoring each other, which is what's happening in Japan, it seems, but at least it won't be what it is now in the west, one sided, with women getting what they want and men still having to chase after them for what we want.

grewapair • -1 points • 30 December, 2014 10:55 PM

For example the only time women are really in a bad way is when they get old or if they really lost the genetic lottery and are really ugly.

There's one other class that I've noticed: the women who are genetically going to be *really* fat, but who have fought it and are not yet fat at all. They have large faces, fat hands, larger hips and breasts than fit their bodies, etc., and might be a little thicker than average but are not too far out of bounds. Men can tell these women are going to be huge as soon as they marry, and the men stay away from these women in droves.

[deleted] • 6 points • 31 December, 2014 05:35 AM

I've never seen a fat concentration camp survivor.

Don't eat, you won't get fat.

[deleted] • 10 points • 30 December, 2014 03:49 PM

Some women have a soft wall depending on their genetics (some asian women in their 40s look like they are in their 20s) which means a lot of them can keep still be in their prime through 40.

jerpta • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 05:03 PM

I think I understand you, but I also think that was worded a little clumsily.

IME, most girls will ride the carousel until they're about 29-31 - then they'll get married and have babies so fast it'd make your head spin. I can't count the number of girls who were happy to bounce from one boyfriend to another, each relationship lasting maybe 10-12 months, then suddenly they meet someone and they're married with baby #1 on the way within 18-24 months. Some won't bother with the getting married first, they'll just come off the pill and have a kid with whoever they happen to be riding right this minute.

A few will keep riding the carousel a few years later than most, but we're talking no more than 10-15% of girls. Of those 10-15%, the great majority will be the girls who were blessed by genetics to keep their looks that bit longer. I honestly don't think fertility even occurs to them until their looks start to fade - there are enough women who become mums in their late 30s/early 40s that any half-decent hamster could justify one more go on the carousel.

Popeman79[S] • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 07:37 PM

they'll just come off the pill and have a kid with whoever they happen to be riding right this minute

So true. It doesn't matter who the guy is. And the guy thinks he hit the lottery, whereas he was just at the wrong place (between this girl's legs) at the wrong time. When he realizes it's too late, he's been divorce-raped.

jerpta • 5 points • 30 December, 2014 10:34 PM

Happened with my (female) cousin. Her hamster told her she "couldn't get pregnant" (which I think means "... so you don't need to bother with taking your pill!").

Amazing coincidence that she was about 31 at the time.

[deleted] • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 05:08 PM

Exactly. You'll find the decent looking late 30s women at clubs on the weekend with their egos fluffed up through the roof.

cover20 • 15 points • 30 December, 2014 03:54 PM

Are you kidding? Let's say you're a 35 year old man, you go out with a 30 year old, after a few times you find out she's really 40.

It wouldn't matter to you, a lot? It sure would to me, if I wanted an LTR, and especially (given the context of OP) if kids were in the future. They might not be in the future if she's 40, regardless of what you want. Clock ran out.

ProductivityMonster • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 07:57 PM

yea but she still gets treated better overall as a result of looking good at an older age, even if she cannot land a top quality guy.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 04:23 PM

Look at hollywood, every women has the potential soft wall that will allow them to get an alpha later in life. Clooney just married a 36 year old

Gravityflexo • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 04:38 PM

To be fair he could have gotten a woman any age.

muyuu • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 09:55 PM

For a guy in his late 40s, younger than 30 is going to be boring. Completely different life experience and little in common. There may be exceptions, of course.

cover20 • 11 points • 30 December, 2014 04:30 PM

I wouldn't count on Hollywood as meaning much in terms of real life. Have you heard of the weird initiations and stuff they supposedly do out there?

I lived in the San Fernando Valley once right after college and ended up at a showbiz party in Topanga Canyon. (A guy who had lost his license had me drive him.) It was really really weird. Even the dog was stoned. These were NOT normal people.

I read that Hollywood people are told to marry within the "business". Heck maybe Clooney is gay and it's a fake wife. I don't necessarily think that, but with Hollywood you can't count on anything being normal.

And since it's so culturally influential, don't you think they're shilling now for men to marry post-wall women?

runnerrun2 • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 09:00 PM

Or maybe he just liked her better than the 20-y'old dumbasses? She's a very accomplished and succesful woman. I don't see the need to foster up all this doubt that he's marrying exactly who he wants.

blopdop123 • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 10:42 PM

Or maybe he's gay.

In fact, I would bet that most leading men in Hollywood are gay. Most guys who do theater in high school are gay. Why would it suddenly change when they grow up and start trying to act professionally? But they have to pretend to be straight because their money comes from women who want to fantasize about them being a romantic lead.

There are tens of thousands of people in LA right now trying to be actors. Any casting call

gets for any part gets thousands of people to sift through. Ever heard of the casting couch? Male producers and male directors are the ones doing the casting. The straight ones often bump actresses to the head of the line for sex. What do you think the gay producers and directors do? If you're a male actor willing to suck a dick to get a part, you've got a big advantage over all the other thousands of people. Look good and suck a good dick, and eventually you'll get your shot. Which means the odds are that of the people who did get a shot and succeeded (a tiny minority), lots of both men and women have been willing to suck a dick to get there.

All you have to do to confirm is think about it for ten seconds. Any heterosexual man in Clooney's position wouldn't be marrying her. He'd be doing what Leonardo DeCaprio does and banging dozens of sluts every night.

fulhamfan • 4 points • 30 December, 2014 09:11 PM

I need to print this out and put it on my wall

[deleted] • 5 points • 31 December, 2014 01:50 AM

Jesus, the accuracy of this post is ridiculous. It makes me sick and looking at my exes in this way just shows the truth, they'll say it's lack of love but it's just them wanting that Alpha feeling.

Killigraphy • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 09:44 AM

That's why its easier for men to manipulate them, women aren't trying to change the game (well some are, but to a very small extent), they're complacent in knowing that pretty = attention. Then they can ride the carousel till the beta bux comes in. Warpigs know the game as well, they're not willing to lose the weight so they have to be the "life of the party". The dichotomy hasn't changed in decades, nor will it ever.

NigTrannyMarxFemJew • 2 points • 1 January, 2015 12:23 AM

Damn this really makes me glad I'm not a fucking woman

misstayco • 2 points • 9 February, 2015 12:43 PM*

I'm sorry, but this isn't all women you are referring to is it? Because it sounds nothing like me that's or sure. I was curious about this subreddit because i have been abused online by "redpillers" by simply being a female, so is this all about hating woman/women are the devil kind of stuff? If so, how come you can speak for all women when you are no one. Just curious that's all.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 12 February, 2015 07:44 PM

I don't believe redpillers would abuse you for just being a woman. As we men learn the truth, we go through this 'anger phase' of discovering that we've been lied to, and sometimes take our resentment on women. If it happened to you, I'm sorry, and trust me it's not representative of TRP. Swallowing the pill is about learning the truth, and accepting women for what they are, with their qualities and defaults (just like us men).

As for my post, it is true that it doesn't apply to all women. And the post is faulty in that it doesn't acknowledge it. You'll find a thread of comments a bit above that addresses this issue, especially ugly girls that definitely don't have everything handed to them. It is a very summarized view on women, that addresses average women that have their cake and eat it too until their looks start to fade. I've discussed this with female friends who definitely fit the description of my post, and they absolutely believe that they don't benefit from any advantages: it's normal, for them it's natural as they've always lived like that. However talk to the men that surround them and they'll point to all the special treatments that women get without

realizing it.

Anyway, if you disagree with the post please expand on why it sounds nothing like you.

RPthrowaway123 • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 05:05 PM

I imagined you dropping the mike and walking offstage after reading all that. Great points.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 08:52 PM

Thanks. Although it's somewhat simplistic, and I definitely missed a few additional points -comments are awesome for that.

tensorstrength • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 09:02 PM

they have a hard time swallowing a lot of things

watersign • 4 points • 30 December, 2014 11:54 PM

Talk about ending the year with a BANG ON FUCKING POST!! Amen!!

[deleted] • 5 points • 31 December, 2014 12:45 AM

Women have the power after they are 18 but once they approach 30, they have to vie for whoever may want them. It has been proven that women who sleep around are more likely to cheat and if they get married initiate divorce.

Women should use their youth to develop feminine hobbies like knitting, growing food, cooking, being good at cleaning any stain, and giving a massage. Hell if they are career orientated then any career that involves children is a plus. And while they do that they should be dating to marry someone who they can see has current and future potential.

Women who sleep around and wait til they are 30 will really be shit out of luck. Those type of women are prone to cheating more, initiating divorces, etc. Honestly in a perfect world, women would use their youth to not only find a suitable man to marry but to also develop those feminine skills. However, we are not in a perfect world but thanks to this subreddit this world is a little bit better.

bobolino59 • 3 points • 30 December, 2014 04:46 PM*

"No matter what feminists tell you, you won't fill fulfilled if you don't have a household or a family of your own. You don't realize it now because you're young and everything revolves around you, but when you're older you'll notice that your life feels empty. Just look at those 50years old women with no kids or loving husband, they are truly miserable and bored to death."

Utter bullshit. Women are just as capable as men to focus 100% on their own lives for ever. They might feel bad in the death bed that no one came but before that a rich single woman can feel great about her life. There is no rule that says they need kids, some women compensate very well with a pet dog or cat.

It's a biological failure to be sure but there's no guarantee they feel that way.

I'm not even going to explore infanticide and women who simply hate the kids. Kids does not equate to fulfilled and no kids doesn't equate to unfulfilled. Way simplistic.

Popeman79[S] • 8 points • 30 December, 2014 07:46 PM*

It's not just kids. In my original post I wrote kids or a household.

Women can be happy without kids, but I don't think they can be happy alone. A guy can be a conquerer (Napoleon), be lonely and feel fulfilled, because it's our nature. A woman can be rich and successful, but

she needs somebody to love. If not their hearts dry up.

Sounds sexist only if you believe nature is sexist. To me it's beautiful that women were created by nature to give love and life. The most fulfilling thing of all.

Darkone06 • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:21 PM

I dont know why your being down voted. I agree. Not everyone wants to have kids and this sub is to focus on the wall as the experation of fertility as the main cause of women waking up and suffering.

If she never wanted kids or worse could not have kids this wont be as big of an issue. A women could keep acting as she always has with no remorse towards being kid less.

bart_be • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 06:15 AM

Sorry, but compensating with a dog or cat is like watching porn and thinking you are a sex god

vorverk • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 02:32 PM

Also, imagine how painful it must be to actually find out that **you are crazy**.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 January, 2015 05:48 PM

You forgot the big one: All those hawt guys just want to come inside you. That's it. There is no Ryan-Gosling-in-The-Notebook romance with a high value man.

Algernoq • 1 point • 15 April, 2015 01:24 AM

Goddamn the world is harsh. Time to crush it in my mind-vice. Rutabaga

BurnYourFlag • 1 point • 15 April, 2015 11:28 PM

Dude women see through the matrix theirs no pill to swallow the beta bux at the end is all a strategic mission after they have recieved the best sperm to receive best genes till shes past her prime finaly a beta bux at the end is all a strategic mission and then she locks down a inept beta

BookNerdTim • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 08:04 PM

Holy shit. Ima 19 year old virgin that's never been in a relationship. It's not for lack of "game" or looks, as if rate myself a 8 with an outgoing personality. I believe it's due to my inability to figure out what makes a person "right".

These conversations here are just opening a whole new can of fucking beans. Shit. I'm half tempted to just say fuck it and smash the first thirty bitch I can find.

From what I can gather, I shouldn't pity women and just focus on working myself and get used to it as that's how it works? Idfk

grewapair • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 10:37 PM

Let me give you some good advice, and please take this as helpful, if you are 19 years old and a virgin, you are nowhere near an 8 unless you yourself are a unicorn.

Knowing your station in life is a very helpful thing.

PaulMurrayCbr • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 03:49 AM

Agreed. Any male 8 will have been seduced long before 19, unless he's in some sort of setting where all the other guys are 10s. Tim, you might be surprised to find what your number actually is.

BookNerdTim • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 12:40 AM

Maybe not an 8 but I'm definitely up there. False modesty may be a factor, however what we think doesn't really matter does it.

So I ask this: 1. How do I find out what number I am really? 2. When I know what does being 9 or 1 or 5 entail?

cover20 • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 08:11 AM

It obviously doesn't entail much, since (1) no woman is out of any man's league (the reverse is not true), and (2) you seem to be able to have women if you wanted to go thru with it.

Women exist by their looks. Therefore a numerical looks-based rating makes sense. For men it explains so little that it's not worth thinking about.

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 01:56 PM

Your number is not based only on your looks and social roots: it's based on how much value you are able to project to others. It doesn't matter if you look awesome and you're a smart, good man, what matters is how much of your energy spills over other people.

To answer your question, you can know your number by adding 1 to the girls you can typically fuck (not drunk girls, but girls who look at you for who you are). Let's say you usually can get cute 5s, then you're a 6. Sadly, since you're a virgin, you have to assume you're a 1. So go fuck someone.

BookNerdTim • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 03:26 PM

I will now rate people through this number system. That's a pretty cool way to think about It. Appreciate the feedback. Thanks.

runnerrun2 • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 09:05 PM

Get it over with, fuck a hooker if you have to. Whatever your hang-ups are, they aren't real. Time to force yourself to see what life is really like.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 December, 2014 05:23 AM

I didn't lose my virginity til I was 19. I got lucky and fucked a super hot chick, and hid the excuse as to why I was so awful at sex as to "being soooo fucked up", since we met at a party. I caught feelings hard..

TomHicks[] • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 04:39 AM

Old women still get the pussy pass.

Cubixdealer • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 07:39 PM

What happens when technology fixes this???

MisterTrucker • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 05:46 PM

A good woman will acknowledge that this does not apply to her. She may say "there are women like that".

[deleted] • -2 points • 31 December, 2014 01:51 AM

I came to a harsh realization a while ago that women are just not the sort of person that I respect. Not because of their gender but because of their *nature*. They are lying, manipulative, self centered, dishonorable, and they don't see the value of hard work. I do not respect that sort of person and I refuse to ignore those principles for the sake

of someone's feelings.

Forty_Deuce • 3 points • 31 December, 2014 03:10 PM

I respect women. They are what they are and that can not be changed. They have their nature, and as men we have ours. All I can do in life is improve myself. Find my own source of happiness. And stay black and die. No woman or their crazy bullshit is going to change that. They can either come along for the ride, or not.

jacks1000 • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 04:34 AM

They are lying, manipulative, self centered, dishonorable, and they don't see the value of hard work.

From a man's perspective, sure.

I do not respect that sort of *person*

Stop thinking in terms of gender-neutral "persons" and start thinking in terms of men and women. Then, women's nature doesn't seem so bad - it just *is*.

Don't expect women to be men - or "persons" - and let them be women. You'd be surprised at how much easier things become.

"Respect" is something that men earn *from each other*.

errorstarcraft • -3 points • 30 December, 2014 05:30 PM

As correct as this subreddit may be in terms of male female dynamics, patterns of success and mate acquisition, I often find myself feeling empty viewing its interpretation of life and goal setting.

Culturally, TRP is a vacuum manifest. If there was to be an economic or social revolution, the red pill would be an anchor, begging for static, unchanging society. Women are beautiful objects, senseless and to be resented for how easy 'they' have it.

The girls that TRP aims at acquiring, seem ugly. And the men that land these girls, are Philistines.

Popeman79[S] • 7 points • 30 December, 2014 07:40 PM

IMHO TRP is not against changing society, it is against pretending you can change nature.

Nature has it that men explore and conquer, women preserve and accumulate. We were a good team for centuries, we made the human race what it is. I'm sure we could still reconcile this natural imperatives with a modern society. If we don't, it might be the doom of the human race.

Isaiah4verse1 • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 05:13 AM

Who cares. Women deserve every bit of misery they get.

cocaine_face • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 05:18 AM

A true Redpill Woman knows how to swallow though.

feo83nix • -3 points • 30 December, 2014 08:13 PM

I began transitioning (M2F non-op) two years ago, but was starting to swallow the red pill even then. Even after being identified as female by others, and having identified myself as one for so long, women still seemed a mystery. Until about a half a year ago when a slut or two broke my heart, bleh. Yeah, it's not an easy truth to accept. I fear hitting the peak in my own SMV because of the reality of the world I live in. However, I have made a lot of other changes to correct. My BMI is 21.5, when I peaked at being unhappy, it was 39.6.

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 30 December, 2014 08:35 PM*

How could you have sluts break your heart if you were already considered seen as a female? Or is it they stole your bf?

Also, how does it feel to transition from male to female regarding all this redpill knowledge? Can you extrapolate? Sounds very interesting, it's like seeing it from the other side of the mirror...

feo83nix • -1 points • 30 December, 2014 09:13 PM

I was sticking with the idea of being preferential to women and was going through some puppylove/crushing stage, same as I had held to the idea of being a guy. After some experimenting, I found more of an attraction to men. It's crazy, going from being the guy shot down to hearing women revel in crushing guys hearts. I initially give off a great presence, but after somewhat falling for one of them, fell into blue pill traits and essentially friend zoned myself. It was right around then that I started to connect the dots. Not long after I was out with friends and a guy was showing interest, was a decent dancer, cute. Kept trying to talk me over to his place. After an hour, I gave in to the idea, late as it was, something urged me. But once there I got a lot of blue pill from him, I got views into his feminine side. He was barely past square one by the time I left (not that much happened). But I nixed the idea when in a TXT, he asked if I wanted to f*ck, and then said that he thought it sounded cynical. I kept getting this negative feel and never responded. Later I realized I had a hormone cycle, and the time frame had lined up with what would have been ovulation. Odd as it may sound, a week later I get moody and the rest of the emotional roller coaster. Hormone changes reached deeper than I had expected. Not to be crude, but I've lactated for over a year. After opening up on fetlife, I found the truth behind sexual strategy being amoral. I would say that more than half of the people I get interest from and am likely to interact with openly ask if I am okay with them having a SO. Honestly, idc.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 09:24 PM

Very interesting, in particular to see how you responded to hormones, and how seeing blue pill traits was a turn off. Thanks for sharing!

[deleted] • 0 points • 31 December, 2014 01:31 AM

Naaaw the poor womyns

Couldn't care less how tough it is for them to swallow the pill - no one comes through life without some pain, and for men it is considerably more work

I'd argue there are many woman who are well aware that they will one day hit the wall, among other things

[deleted] 30 December, 2014 08:09 PM

[permanently deleted]

Subtletrious • 6 points • 30 December, 2014 11:45 PM

Short answer: yes.

The fight up the career ladder will exaggerate masculine traits.

But more importantly, a career woman's hypergamy will limit her dating pool. Hypergamy is relative to a woman's social status at any point in time. Queens fuck kings and maybe the occasional duke on the side. Kings fuck everybody.

It is my hypothesis that successful career women intimidate potential mates, not due to their success, but because deep in the male brain some primitive neuron cluster is aware he is having to fight her hypergamous

nature to keep the relationship viable.

grewapair • 1 point • 30 December, 2014 10:51 PM

What it takes to get there can drive men away or reduce your opportunities to meet them.

Some men will also not want to date someone having greater career success.

foldpak111 • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 12:18 AM

"You'll wish you married that nice guy you turned down when you were young, and who ended up becoming an amazing man. Now he doesn't even look at you."

http://youtu.be/jlJvJye9c_M

[deleted] • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 06:01 AM

Having read all this I have to say... So what?

Doctor_Mayhem • -1 points • 31 December, 2014 03:08 PM

Time for my MGTOW permavirgin bitterness. Lulz

I'm gonna love watching all these Americunts cry themselves to sleep every day when they're older. I'll be like Cartman on their tears. Ohhhhhh yessss! So sweet! How you like dying alone, bitch?

In the meantime, I'm starting to have less and less free time to deal with with them...

I think that's the key to happiness with The Red Pill. Just pack your schedule so you just don't have time for chicks.

juanquant • -2 points • 30 December, 2014 09:45 PM*

The strategy for women is different. Women have other options... even at age 50, old, fat, and ugly, they can always find some gullible blue pill beta to leech off. Also, far more men care about self improvement than women... women just mostly want to live a comfortable life. What you say here in this thread applies mostly to girls who were at least somewhat attractive and popular when young. While red pill can apply to all men of any age and starting point. They never need a red pill to swallow since they don't need to or care about improving their situations.

TurboRaptor • -6 points • 30 December, 2014 10:57 PM

Obligatory suppository comment

corn_of_action • -6 points • 31 December, 2014 05:43 AM

Dude, you have a seriously skewed view of the world. You're blinded by the images of pop culture and distracted by what the people in power put out to distract you... There are good genuine people out there who see through the bullshit, believe me.

Forty_Deuce • 1 point • 31 December, 2014 03:06 PM

There's nothing here that suggest that he's blinded by or distracted by pop culture. It's all true and more so in today's world.