

# Special Snowflakes

411 upvotes | 3 February, 2015 | by Archwinger

---

Our little internet subculture is renowned (actually kind of demonized) for taking the position that “All Women Are Like That,” (AWALT) with regard to the majority of behaviors we note among women. The counter-position most of the world takes is that every human being is unique, so it’s ludicrous to think that any one thing would ever apply to “all” of any segment of the population. Therefore, you must treat every encounter with a woman on a case-by-case basis and make no assumptions about anything, because every woman is a unique creature.

Are we right? Are our detractors right? Are we both right? Are we both wrong? I’m going to answer this as definitively as possible. Okay, not as definitely as possible. As definitively as necessary. So pay attention.

Do all women share certain commonalities, or are some women “special snowflakes” that are an exception to the Red Pill’s teachings? The answer:

--It doesn’t fucking matter.

Many of The Red Pill’s detractors insist that red pill behaviors only “work” on stupid women, young women, naive women, women with mental health or self-esteem issues, and so forth. That The Red Pill is powerless against real women, smart women, strong women, or what have you. Is this the case? Are there some women out there who are exceptions to the Red Pill AWALT stance? Sure, maybe. But it doesn’t fucking matter.

Hell, are these women who are immune to or completely unattracted to anything red pill related the majority of women? Are most women above The Red Pill? I guess it’s possible. But it doesn’t fucking matter.

Proclaiming that The Red Pill fails in the presence of “real women” makes two very bad assumptions. First, this proclamation assumes that a red pill advocate was getting fucked by real women in the first place.

“Real women” are smart, strong, and capable, right? That’s what separates them from stupid girls who fall for red pill behaviors, right? So it’s a given that real women don’t fuck timid, under-confident, fatass (or scrawny) losers. That means that before The Red Pill, these real women weren’t fucking too many of us. So it’s not like you blew your chance at a real woman by deciding to improve your life and fuck a few sluts. The pre-red-pill you never had a shot with these real women anyway.

Second, however, is a much more offensive and insidious assumption.

The implication that The Red Pill only works on a certain caliber of woman assumes that the women who are exceptions to The Red Pill’s teachings are somehow inherently better or superior to other women. They’re calling those other women stupid. Let’s ignore for a moment the fact that the people who offend all of the other, lesser women in the world with this assumption are also the same people who praise equality and the unique beauty of every individual woman and ask a better question.

Why is a woman who doesn’t respond favorably to red pill behaviors a better or superior woman? Why would I want this “smart” woman over a woman that responds positively to masculine behaviors, strong leadership, and confidence? A woman that gets turned on by confidence, masculinity, and leadership, and wants to submit to a man with those traits – that’s an actual feminine woman. That’s hot. That’s a far superior woman.

A strong, independent ball-buster of a woman who’s constantly challenging you, thinks she’s too smart

for you, and pisses all over anything masculine? That's an annoying bitch, not a superior woman.

Are there women who are exceptions to The Red Pill? Special, unique flowers on which the magical red pill will not "work?" I fucking hope so. Because that makes it so much easier to weed out inferior bitches.

Fuck the good ones. Let the bitches who are too "strong" and too "smart" to be feminine be as special and unique as they want to be. They don't fucking matter.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

let\_terror\_reign • 170 points • 3 February, 2015 05:53 PM

To add on:

When you make a plan for life, you don't draft with the outliers in mind. You draft to cover 98% of people AND THEN consider when people may be outside of this spectrum and adjust accordingly.

Not the other way around. It's not logical.

That is what AWALT means. Not that every single woman is. Means that every single woman has a predisposition to. And you would do well to assume the worst to keep your ass out of the fire. If you're wrong, awesome. If not, good thing you were careful.

Xein • 61 points • 3 February, 2015 06:08 PM

Yes. People take the language too literally. We deal in probabilities here. If you take AWALT at literal value, then it is technically incorrect. There are always outliers, but they don't matter. Even scientists have to deal with a very small chance of uncertainty in their experiments. If we worried about every little uncertainty, society would never advance. Humans made it this far by finding consistent patterns and things that work MOST of the time.

TRP plays the odds. Assuming AWALT is going to make you correct the majority of the time and make things work in your favor.

Also, detractors say this shit doesn't work on real women because they imagine TRP as some neckbeard going up to a girl and "negging" her while acting like an arrogant bastard. They don't understand what it looks like in the real world.

Fat\_SMP\_peruser • 8 points • 3 February, 2015 06:59 PM

I'm such a captain literal that I have to put a silent "P" for "Practically" in my head in front of AWALT to make it "PAWALT."

sailorJery • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 08:55 PM

reddit is for pedantic assholes so it makes sense people can't figure it out when we talk about it

rpscrote • 13 points • 3 February, 2015 09:11 PM

BPers and Reddit users frequently and intentionally miss the point in order to engage in sophistry and straw man "logic"

let\_terror\_reign • 12 points • 3 February, 2015 06:22 PM

In a way they're right. The mental aspect is what makes it creepy or not. At the gym, there are always hottie's way out of my current league in terms of SMV. If I think about one too much, i panic and can't even meet her eyes. When it's organic, like say her lifting form is off and I'm concerned about her getting that right it's extremely natural.

I did that, and I did it well. TRP is imagined as a bunch of horrible people who never leave the basement. We're not. We're usually awesome or becoming awesome. And we know what we want. Finite.

occupythekitchen • 7 points • 4 February, 2015 01:40 AM

There is no woman out of your smv there are just women that makes you nervous

[deleted] • 10 points • 4 February, 2015 11:44 AM

It's a good way to think but don't let it make you oblivious to harsh cold truths.

asdfghjkltyu • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 12:41 AM

I'm not sure if its my experience, but the very hot gym women tend to be very approachable. They are often less stuck up than the 6's you see out in the wild. I don't have a explanation as to why this might be or anything.

Surf\_Or\_Diecomment score below threshold • -6 points • 3 February, 2015 09:49 PM

Fuck. Don't tell me you're one of those cocksuckers who walks up to people to correct their form because you saw a YouTube e video on how to do it right??

let\_terror\_reign • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 10:01 PM

ROFL. When you've injured yourself countless times doing it wrong, you know exactly what you've done.

[deleted] • 10 points • 3 February, 2015 10:01 PM

Fuck. Don't tell me you're one of those cocksuckers who replies to people to give unsolicited advise because they remind you of a guy you know??

esco\_ • 2 points • 3 February, 2015 10:33 PM

I like the way OP puts it. You're saying there are outliers but who really knows, maybe there is a couple of populations - Strong women who wont succumb and then the rest.

The point OP makes is great, it doesnt matter if this is the case

occupythekitchen • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 01:39 AM

Things like the should read the majority but the discord crowd wants it to read all. Everyone knows you're implying the majority not saying everyone under all circumstances will act that way. That is just a way for someone to feel righteous which is the evolution if racism. If I'm righteous then I am better than all

SuperSlavisWife • 1 point • 5 February, 2015 06:19 AM\*

Interestingly, there are some forms of AMALT that are socially acceptable to discuss.

-All Men want sex regularly, possibly more regularly than any woman they will meet.

-All Men seek variety in their sexual partners, even if this can be addressed with makeup and lingerie changes.

-All Men will happily exchange some degree of financial investment or status leakage for sex.

-All Men can be blinded or confused by lust.

-All Men love from their genes and genitals first.

Sure, there may be some AMALTs they don't accept (the most impulsive, first love a man feels may be sexual, but the deepest love a man feels is mental, hence overattachment, oneitis and obsession, but the general population doesn't want to say that), but it's still considered reasonable to say that any given man wants sex, desires a woman initially because of arousal and can be so blinded by love as to make repeated financial investments into a dry well. That some men are asexual, low-libido, unwilling to part

with resources, gay or stimulated by ideas instead of bodies doesn't change the general consensus that AMALT.

It's funny how feminism has resulted in women being *offended* when they are compared to other women. "No, tell me I'm different, tell me I'm masculine, just in a sexy, charming way. Tell me I'm nothing like anyone else." AMALT and most women are like men, apparently.

Dark-Ulfberht • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 09:35 PM

When you make a plan for life, you don't draft with the outliers in mind. You draft to cover 98% of people AND THEN consider when people may be outside of this spectrum and adjust accordingly.

Goddamn right.

Imagine a criminal shoots a guy in the face. Now imagine your'e on the jury and this is his defense: "Well, you see; I was relying on the fact that 0.01% of this type of ammunition doesn't fire and didn't expect him to die!" What the fuck would you say about that argument?

And yet we're expected to treat each human interaction as its own unique and special circumstance? What kind of life strategy is that?

Well, I've got a new heuristic for us to apply. Here it is:

1. If you're in an argument and someone says, "well, we need to consider the unique circumstances of whatever," it's pretty damn likely that you've won the argument.

Edit: I changed my wording; when I re-read it, I realized it looked like I was being hostile to the dude to whom I was replying.

occupythekitchen • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 01:36 AM

So true I got into a pack of women as my first friends in a new place. One has contempt towards me the other is hot as fuck but is upset I give more attention to other girls and gives me more liberties everyday and the other wants me. I'm playing slower than I should bc I enjoy the girls that come around but they're proving awalt. I will tag all of them. There's no doubt but I could get much more now.

They did invite me to spend a weekend with 2 of them so I'm just going to keep playing my game let them crave for me and then capitalize on it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 11:42 AM

Yeah they all are inclined to do those things which translates in AWALT. Because it is rooted in them by biology and social conditioning.

Of course, some women can use their prefrontal-cortex to consciously decide to not follow those urges they have but

it's not healthy and they can't do that in the long term

why would they want to do that? They can get away with everything.

vezokpirakacomment score below threshold • -6 points • 3 February, 2015 07:00 PM

The problem is that AWALT applies to every woman. If it was 98% it meant that there was a chance for a someone who isn't alpha to have a relationship based on friendship and not hotness.

You are losing ground if you work under the assumption that some women are different. AWALT. It's not "Most Women Are Like That." It's All.

[deleted] • 8 points • 4 February, 2015 12:06 AM\*

How long have you been here? I bet it hasn't been long. There was a great post a long time ago that detailed how the language we use here is intentionally exaggerated to drive the points through the heads of people who wouldn't understand otherwise. AWALT is an example of that.

It's not saying 100% of women are like that. It's saying that for the most part you can get away with assuming that 100% of women are like that. It's a small but important distinction.

vezokpiraka • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 08:28 AM

If AWALT is not true then that means there are women who love beta guys. Have you ever met a woman like that?

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 08:49 AM\*

Edit: This is the post I was talking about

[https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bm6ok/how\\_to\\_shut\\_up\\_and\\_listen\\_how\\_i\\_read\\_the\\_red\\_pill/](https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bm6ok/how_to_shut_up_and_listen_how_i_read_the_red_pill/)

Read the part about generalizations. It's about halfway down.

Jesus christ dude. All I'm saying, and all people before me have said is that AWALT is a useful guideline. It is not meant to be taken literally as "LITERALLY ALL WOMEN ARE GOLD DIGGING WHORES" it's meant to be a GUIDE. You're supposed to model your actions as if AWALT because the MAJORITY are like that. A few outliers don't matter because we're dealing with the MAJORITY.

Are there women out there who like beta dudes? In a population of 7 billion with a little over half of them being women? Yeah there probably are a few who like beta dudes.. Do they matter? No because 98% of females don't like those dudes.

mrp3anut • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 09:33 PM

1 but she was an odd duck in a lot of ways. Either way there are billions of women on earth, the vast majority are turned on by masculine men, some don't like men at all, some are dominatrixes, and some like to get fucked by dogs. If you really believe that there are literally zero women on earth attracted to a beta man you are a blind ideologue.

redpillbanana • 58 points • 3 February, 2015 06:29 PM

If you show people a picture of Katee Owen and say all men would want to get with that, technically you are wrong, but for all practical purposes you are right. Sure, some men don't like a big chest. Some men will think she's a butterface. Some men like women that are heavier. Some men only like black women. Some men don't even like women. The exceptions are irrelevant. 99% of men will want to fuck her brains out.

Therefore, you must treat every encounter with a woman on a case-by-case basis and make no assumptions about anything, because every woman is a unique creature.

I love it when this argument comes up. I've written this in the past:

Basically saying, "There are no rules, everyone is different" is completely useless advice.

Imagine you are responsible for training salespeople. You stand in front of all the salespeople and say, "Well, there's no one method because everyone is different, so there's no use learning any techniques." Next thing you know, you're being led out the door by the boss - fired for being completely useless.

The human mind is exceptionally good at seeing patterns and coming up with rules to explain those patterns. The whole scientific method is based on this - see a pattern, form a conjecture, see if it works, then see if it predicts stuff that you haven't seen before. To deny these useful rules and generalizations because of a few outliers is to deny the truth. Even Newtonian Physics was wrong in certain cases, that doesn't make it a useless theory.

rpscrote • 25 points • 3 February, 2015 09:14 PM

"There are no rules, everyone is different" is completely useless advice.

You give them too much credit. "There are no rules, everyone is different" is intentionally bad advice meant to mislead and prevent guys from learning how it really works. They want men who "just got it" as if that knowledge magically falls from the sky. It's a shit test

balalasaurus • 12 points • 3 February, 2015 10:09 PM

Even that gives too much credit IMO. Yes they want to separate those who 'get it', from those who don't - but on a subconscious level.

Consciously they want to be made to feel like a 'special snowflake'. However we know that AWALT so we have a good idea of the strategy necessary to achieve such an outcome.

In the end we use red pill strategy to give them tingles and make them feel 'special', when all the while we're laughing to ourselves at how basic they really are.

Glenbert • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 03:52 AM

Where the hell does she keep getting those belts from?

HumanSockPuppet • 84 points • 3 February, 2015 06:04 PM

I met my newest plate in my vector calculus class. Big, strong, independent woman pursuing STEM. I broke the ice by telling her her hair looked stupid.

AfterC • 49 points • 3 February, 2015 06:56 PM\*

God damn those bangs are some of the most satisfying.

What a *fucking* Achilles heel, eh? Imagine getting irreversibly turned on when someone is simply mean to you.

Such is women.

---

A quick edit: As a corollary to the above point, any men in a relationship should be consistently sprinkling their relationships with slightly mean interactions coming from a place of fun and lightheartedness.

Lost in what I mean here? Imagine a 6 year old boy teasing a girl. He's mean, undoubtedly as a function of not knowing better yet, but fun loving at the same time as a function of his youth. If you can maintain a relationship dynamic in that manner you're on a long path to enjoy the unending rains of the pussy fountain.

My personal favourites are randomly shutting the lights off on my girl while she's applying make up, spanking ass in any and every opportunity, grabbing her triceps and making a pouty face, and replacing the make up in her cosmetics bag with crayons.

---

Edit #2: A second fun tip:

Go see a movie, throw popcorn at your girl. When she reaches her boiling point, ask why she's so salty.

Enjoy the spoils of your pussy drenching teasing.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

acorn\_dick • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 05:16 AM

This comment ought to be a post as well. It is nice to think of redpill transition as an "unlearning" as opposed to "learning." Developing TRP game can feel daunting, but it makes it feel less daunting to know that you already had it and it just needs to reawaken.

PookIsLovePookIsLife • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 11:42 AM

There is a Pook post about this I think you would enjoy.

beatle567 • 19 points • 3 February, 2015 07:31 PM [recovered]

You should make a post along the lines of this comment; I love it.

Casanova-Quinn • 3 points • 4 February, 2015 08:39 AM

This "teasing" topic reminds me of Patrice on the Black Phillip show. He talked about how his style was to get women all worked up and emotional, then flip that built up emotion into attraction. He said that women *need* emotion to function. Whether the emotions are good or bad is inconsequential.

Funny how this all connects.

SleazyCheese • 2 points • 3 February, 2015 09:34 PM

There's a thread in AskMen right now on exactly this topic

AfterC • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 09:42 PM\*

Well look at that. Hopefully our pussy starved friends have some good ideas, too.

Edit: Gave it a read. Too much emphasis on tickling and cuteness that can be conflated with a couple's inside jokes. That is not what you're striving for. You are to be the affectionate bully, not the touchy friend.

Sexthrowawayforfuncomment score below threshold • -12 points • 4 February, 2015 02:07 AM

Yes because being a bully to your girlfriend is obviously a good way to make her love you.

Look up the meaning of bully.

JayViceroy • 12 points • 4 February, 2015 02:52 AM

Look up the definition of affectionate ya twat. SJW are not welcome here

AfterC • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 06:02 AM

Guy's a mutt, don't worry about it, Jay. I bet he took some of my advice and is getting pussy for the first time in a fiscal quarter from his girlfriend tonight.

Sexthrowawayforfun • -2 points • 4 February, 2015 06:48 PM

The only pussy I got last night was your moms.

TA\_2985\_A6E1\_9FC3 • 9 points • 3 February, 2015 07:22 PM [recovered]

Don't tell me. Let me guess. -- Shaved on one side of her head with the other dyed pink with a purple stripe covering about a third of it.

Yup. it fits.

HumanSockPuppet • 3 points • 3 February, 2015 09:27 PM

Shaved on one side of her head with the other dyed pink with a purple stripe covering about a third of it.

Nah, that's a boner killer.

She was just bummin' out. Her hair looked like she hadn't brushed it.

waynebradysworld • 4 points • 3 February, 2015 09:34 PM

That's pretty great

My current Gf was a pac-12 cheerleader. One of the first things I said to her in class was a laugh. She asked what was funny and I pointed to a guy who had his face down on the desk and said "I banged someone yesterday right where his face is"

It was true. Pretty amazing how success breeds success. Bitches want a man who can get bitches, or at least make them believe he can. They can tell if you are hungry and poon starved and it is such a turnoff.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 11:54 AM

How did that conversation go?

Kyuzo\_ • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 06:57 PM

So strong and independent, in fact, that she's your plate.

GayLubeOil • 30 points • 3 February, 2015 09:27 PM

TLDR: The Red Pill does not work on Hillary Clinton. Which fucking sucks. I was planning on doing a reverse Monica Lewinsky in 2016, get an internship at the White House and then have Hillary suck me off. Thanks for shattering my dreams asshole.

user\_none • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 01:30 AM

Aside from any money or fame it might have brought to you, can I just say, ewww. Fucking nasty woman right there.

Red\_August • 22 points • 3 February, 2015 06:00 PM

The Arch is on fire these days! Good reading.

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 05:09 PM

Arch always been on point. Dude know his shit

kick6 • 23 points • 3 February, 2015 07:34 PM

Funnily enough, techniques like "cockyfunny" often go completely over the head of the retards. Red pill works BETTER on smart women because they can actually distinguish sarcasm, etc.

rpscrote • 9 points • 3 February, 2015 09:17 PM

100% true

I have a really hard time dumbing it down for vapid bitches. They just get that blank look.

justgrif • 2 points • 3 February, 2015 09:56 PM

My wife is smart and accomplished, a tough cookie. If you asked her if she's a feminist, she'd certainly say yes. But RP tactics absolutely work with her. I'd say our better and happier interactions take place when she is in my frame and I am drawing on what I've learned here.

Glenbert • 3 points • 4 February, 2015 03:58 AM

If you asked her if she's a feminist, she'd certainly say yes. But RP tactics absolutely work with her.

Multitudes of women are like that.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 06:44 PM

Wow - I never really thought about it like this. Displaying high SMV has a subconscious effect on women in general... but has a conscious effect on smart ones, who recognize it for what it is.

Totally confirms previous experiences.

EDIT: especially the "cockyfunny" aspects, that challenge them in novel, fun ways.

BeautyQuark • 11 points • 3 February, 2015 06:06 PM\*

Redpill AWALT traits can be summed up as follows:

1. Seek to capitalize on physical looks by securing the best future and genes possible via selecting best mate possible.
2. Always looking at other options that are out there regardless of prior commitment, and then utilize risk reward strategy to decide best mate choice outcome.

You can sum up almost all the AWALT posts into these two rules. Anyone, man or woman, when single that does not use rule one is retarded or looking to get laid. Anyone that does not use rule two is either a man, or a unicorn. So yes all woman that do not follow or at least understand these two rules are not of concern too a Redpill man as they would be below average intelligence.

Women know this shit, they just cannot conceptualize the logical ramifications that these rules imply for emotional beings. They do not recognize that rule 2 is generally invalid for a happily married man, as it is almost always valid for a woman. This cognitive dissonance is why feminism is so screwed up. The endgame to these rules, are that unless you are at the top of your game you will have minimal control over your SMV and inter-gender relationships.

BluepillProfessor • 19 points • 3 February, 2015 07:42 PM

I have offered my testimony many times and do so again on this topic of some "special snowflakes" being immune to TRP. My wife is a lawyer with an IQ north of 130 and by all accounts she is a ballbusting, mouthy woman. She makes \$180,000 a year negotiating hardball business contracts.

She had me completely defeated in a /r/deadbedrooms and very unhappy marriage before I discovered TRP. Now she cums gushers when I dominate her and throw her around. She even admitted recently she WANTS to be treated like a bratty girl, even after I advised her that bratty little girls still get spanked in my house.

That is like saying some "special snowflake men" are immune to attractive women. Or somehow if the guy is really special he will get just as turned on by a fattie as a hottie.

Are some guys like that? Sure. But good luck finding one.

Are some girls actually not turned on by dominance, Alpha males, or getting thrown around the bed like a wet dishrag? Sure, maybe. Have not found anyone like that but anything is possible.

A strong, independent ball-buster of a woman who's constantly challenging you, thinks she's too smart for you, and pisses all over anything masculine? That's an annoying bitch, not a superior woman.

Not always. Often that is a woman who is begging, crying, sobbing almost hysterically, to be dominated by a strong man.

justgrif • 9 points • 3 February, 2015 09:50 PM

A strong, independent ball-buster of a woman who's constantly challenging you, thinks she's too smart for you, and pisses all over anything masculine? That's an annoying bitch, not a superior woman.

*Not always. Often that is a woman who is begging, crying, sobbing almost hysterically, to be dominated by a strong man.*

I always say that if you want to really clean up, move to some uber hipster enclave like Portland. It'll be full of super lefty feminist types. However, they've never encountered a real, confident, no bullshit man full of testosterone before and you will tear a canyon through that town.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 12:51 AM

I've had a self proclaimed "alpha female" with a rock hard body and long blonde hair BEG me to forgive her because I told her something she did was unacceptable.

She is used to getting her way. She owns her betamax husband and calls him needy.

This woman is DYING for a man to put her in her place

1MillionTinyHorses • 10 points • 3 February, 2015 05:28 PM

To reinforce the self perception of being a "special snowflake" in a world filled with 7 billion people who have the same biological composition as you, one has to either have such a weak sense of self that they reinforce with a false sense of grandiosity to mask their insecurities, or be truly naive as pertains to understanding how the world works. Both options represent a bad mate choice.

s0und0fyell0w • 3 points • 3 February, 2015 07:40 PM

I don't think that the "special snowflakes" even believe their own bs. its just a cover so they can pretend to be above it all. in reality they are the same as all the other woman and they know it, they just don't want to admit it to others. MOST girls seem to think they are special or "different" so how different could they really be.

rpscrote • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 09:15 PM

one has to either have such a weak sense of self that they reinforce with a false sense of grandiosity to mask their insecurities, or be truly naive as pertains to understanding how the world works. Both options represent a bad mate choice.

If you're looking for a woman who doesn't believe the world revolves around her, you'll be looking a long time

[deleted] • 14 points • 3 February, 2015 05:32 PM

Theories and tenets that are described on here are based on patterns that emerge over long periods of times that the founding members have discovered.

Until people have swallowed the pill and understand the science behind everything then they believe that things on here were pulled out of someones ass.

RedPillDad • 18 points • 3 February, 2015 06:15 PM

The patterns are consistent. Not foolproof, but consistent enough that the exceptions don't nullify the generalities.

Working with women, I notice they will consistently jump to obscure exceptions (pattern in itself) and attempt to nullify any idea/rule/process. They aren't just dream killers, they're business killers.

Subtleterious • 5 points • 4 February, 2015 01:51 AM

Red Pill was not discovered by any founder. Red Pill principles are common across cultures and time. The only point of Red Pill is to reteach a post-feminist culture what it forgot. All the science is just window dressing.

[deleted] • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 09:39 PM

Everyone is different. Everyone is a special and unique snowflake.

Now picture the last snow covered mountain that you saw. How different are all of those special and unique snowflakes?

life\_manager • 13 points • 3 February, 2015 06:39 PM

I've found that the worst perpetrators of sexism are, ironically, feminists and the likes.

"How dare you teach men that? There are weak, feeble women who will fall for that!"

"Men don't have to be tall, handsome, and masculine. You are free to be whoever you want! I mean, I would never fuck a short, weak, computer-geek, but that's my personal choice and absolutely does not reflect women as a whole!"

"These TV shows that feature scantily dressed women appeasing men are disgusting! Men should not give women the choice to pursue these types of jobs."

Typical.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

justgrif • 2 points • 3 February, 2015 09:57 PM

Hell, I screen girls nowadays more than the girls screen me.

Absolutely the correct place to launch from anyway.

[deleted] • 4 points • 3 February, 2015 06:15 PM

Kinda unrelated to the point but TRP is a realization that you are not special. We are all just same primeates that live to eat, shit and fuck. We are programmed by evolution according to our biology and trying to deny that by claiming we can choose to be special is bullshit.

You're the all singing all dancing crap of the world.

Silas\_Caliburn • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 02:43 AM

I'll preface this with admitting that I made the mistake of getting married.

My wife is a strong, smart and capable woman. If I don't keep my frame intact it shows in her behavior. When I'm on my game she is on my side and is a highly capable partner. When I slip up, she works against me.

All women are like this. The more intelligent and capable they are, the more you have to keep your shit together. Especially if you made the mistake of getting married.

Howasheena • 8 points • 3 February, 2015 06:28 PM

I hereby christen your observation as "Archwinger's Razor".

It's a razor in that it cuts away a huge useless argument that we'd otherwise get sucked in to.

It cuts it away by saying "If there indeed are woman for whom RP authoritative behavior is a turnoff, then I was never going to attract them and I do not want ever to attract them, so I do not care whether they exist."

rpscrote • 4 points • 3 February, 2015 09:18 PM

and ironically that is exactly what women do to men: low SMV guys don't exist to them. That's why you hear the common refrain "where have all the good men gone?"

trway14 • 3 points • 3 February, 2015 07:56 PM

Every woman has tits and a vagina. There were evolutionary incentives strong enough to give ALL women those distinct physical features that men don't have. Then it would be quite extraordinary if those same evolutionary incentives weren't strong enough to give ALL women certain mental/psychological features that men don't have.

md619 • 6 points • 3 February, 2015 05:51 PM

There are no exceptions. Some women act more like women than others, but they're still all women.

NAWALT is just a response coming from a place of cognitive dissonance. The know deep down what we say is true, and that redpill guys do in fact get laid, but they can't admit it. Therefore they have to invent this ridiculous excuse that it only works on "certain girls" in order to preserve their world view.

It's also a shit test from women. They want guys to be afraid to embrace the redpill so they'll think "she's SPECIAL. I have to be a good little beta and treat her DIFFERENTLY and with RESPECT". Your frame is being challenged.

But make no mistakes, there are no exceptions. It's kind of just like playing the same level of a video game after awhile. And even if there were exceptions, like you said, it doesn't matter. Why would you cater your actions to small minority?

leDeadHorse • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 12:56 AM

I agree with you. And its true that all people have that dark sexual side within. To pretend that all women did not have that side would be naive in the face of reality.

Imapancaenom • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 02:44 AM

Many of The Red Pill's detractors insist that red pill behaviors only "work" on stupid women... ..stupid girls who fall for red pill behaviors... ..The Red Pill only works on a certain caliber of woman...

I think whoever says these things has PUA techniques mistaken for The Red Pill. They don't understand what The Red Pill is.

You do not "use" The Red Pill on a female. TRP is not any set of techniques or tricks. It's a philosophy, a way of

life. If anything you only use it on yourself.

If there is a real woman, a smart woman, a strong woman in front of you, TRP does not tell you "here are some things you can say and do to get her attracted to you." TRP says "she will be attracted to you if your SMV is as high or higher than hers." What constitutes a high SMV can be an entire essay on its own as we all know, but another thing TRP says "if that woman is not into you and you want to get with a woman like that, improve your SMV."

I think the term "red pill behaviors" can be summed up very briefly: be a man and lead. Be a man who takes charge and makes decisions. "I don't like a man who takes charge" said no woman ever. Be powerful and confident.

Whoever said red pill behaviors only "work" on stupid women just read some postings that said things about women they thought were mean and misogynistic and they didn't like.

southmouf • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 03:22 AM

I agree. Basically, these women who claim to be above red pillers are easily saying they are above or better than other women. AWALT! Secondly, it really doesn't fucking matter.

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 February, 2015 05:43 PM

Replacing the man in your life with the govt. doesn't make you strong and independent. As bad as it sounds, you're still the same weak people dependent on alimony, govt. allowances, child support, affirmative action etc. That won't change and is precisely why some of them believe they don't need a RP man or any man at all because they've got daddy govt. Minus the safety net, these laughable women would do what is best for them, find a good RP man that will take care of things for them.

fortifiedoranges • 3 points • 4 February, 2015 12:01 AM

Hence why liberalism and TRP are incongruent.

User-31f64a4e • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 10:04 PM

“Real women” are smart, strong, and capable, right?

Yes, strong and independent. Wait, there's a name for that - a man. Which isn't what I am looking for.

This point of view from women is solipsism. They are looking for manly qualities, so why shouldn't we?

Women aren't preoccupied with the looks of men (it matters, but much less than it does to us) so of course we shouldn't care about fat or wrinkles.

Apparently, we just need to turn into women and it will all be good.

tankezord • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 11:06 PM

TRP works EVEN with "strong" womans... The only difference is that they always going to pull off your ball sack more that the others.

Kirkayak • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 11:36 PM

Imperatives regarding influencing the ratio of (statistically) commonplace women to rare snowflakes, insofar as such *can* be influenced (education, training, eugenics, drugs), might arise from a) one's personal interest regarding said ratio, and/or b) one's desire regarding a vision for the future.

Pragmatically, at present, red pill techniques are more useful than not, given the present ration of (statistically) commonplace women to rare snowflakes.

robertpaulsontitties • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 12:14 AM\*

"Special snowflake" is not a synonym for exceptional. "Special snowflake" refers to a type of narcissism in which the subject is focused on crafting an image of being exceptional. The idea of their own uniqueness becomes a core part of their identity.

As for your main point, very generally TRP is about raising your SMV by cultivating strength or at least the image of strength. Strength can refer to physical strength but also any number of masculine attributes. The basic idea is to increase your "hand" in social negotiations. On the whole this increases the range of available women. For any given man, there is going to be a certain range of women beyond his reach no matter what he does but that doesn't mean that game principles break women into multiple tiers. It only means that the opportunities of any given man will break into multiple tiers. As far as "strong" women go, the game principle still applies: she still wants someone stronger than herself.

edwardhwhite • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 12:24 AM

The key is it is not worth the risk to believe she's a unicorn.

chillmonkey88 • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 01:26 AM

This is something I thought since I found myself here. My story started with a custody case over my son and then (self diagnosed) depression then finding r/mensrights to confide in some online strangers there. My life was shit and I hated everything. Then I read a series of books that changed everything (in order the game, models, no more mr nice guy, rational male, sex at dawn 48 laws) that led me here, and everything resonated in those books so fucking much (every example in no more Mr nice guy was me).

However I did some research on the sub and searched "red pill" and every time it came up it was with hate and disdain for being misogynistic and hateful. So I lurked for a while and it really hit me. I made some shit posts then slowed down to just read. Then subbed to counter point subs (bp, pb, and feminism) to see counter points to what felt right to me.

Every counter point just makes fun of game and srs-like dogshit just from cropped rp posts to circle jerk. so I unsubbed because they had no value. What was funny though is always near the top in karma was always *"that would never work on a normal girl like me, \*ANY WOMAN (< AWALT?) must retarded and broken to fall for a rp guy... yada yada..."* (any woman dumb enough is less than me. For my opinion and single angle perspective... that's for sure amirite guise? Why can't guys just be themselves?)

Every single time, I thought "good... be normal, I don't want to date ugly *normal* basic girls. I wouldn't before and won't now". Let them weed themselves out its actually helpful.

4benny2lava0 • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 05:16 AM

There are women who are special cases. One of my best friends openly talks to me about the nuts and bolts of using a guy, lying, cheating, manipulation, all this shit. I use what she tells me about how women work to fine tune my game. She fully supports me taking the red pill (she knew me when I was a fucking beta pussy). It's funny because she is completely independent and doesn't need anything from anyone. Getting guys to buy her drinks at the bar is all about having power over them.

FishFoxFerret • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 05:22 AM\*

Commenters have missed a very important point, and that's a **scale for effectiveness**.

We don't talk about scales here, that is a **1-10 scale** of how well something works. But they're important to keep in mind to adjust your strategy with a person. The only one used is the scale of attractiveness for women, and it's overall very effective and useful -mainly for communication though.

99% of the time standard techniques will land a 7-10 effectiveness. But sometimes things a simply less effective with certain individuals. And that's what we're really talking about here.

Also, sometimes you're ineffective because she simply does not like you for whatever reason (fitness/money/success/looks), but the same approach will work well when another man tries it.

So integrate scales/levels into your daily mindset to better understand and gauge interactions.

Dr\_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 06:44 AM

Just wait until Wonder Woman shows up and exposes the world to Amazon women and culture.

Personally, I hope it will be death by Snu Snu for us all here, but especially me.

<http://i.imgur.com/4Gg7vZW.jpg>

Ibex3D • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 07:40 AM

There are almost definitely women who are not like what the red pill says. There are fantastic women who are loyal, honest, not manipulative, smart, etc. But there are always exceptions. There is only one Alan Turing or Albert Einstein per one billion people. There are always exceptions and exceptional people. Doesn't mean you'll ever meet them let alone get with them. There 3.5 billion dudes looking for one of the few special girls.

Statistically you will never find them. No point in losing out on all those encounters with normal women on the hopes you'll find an exception

newbie3hunna • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 07:56 AM\*

Also, it isn't like its that hard to change your strategy with a single girl. Maybe you are talking to her and you can tell she hates masculinity and is very liberal. Okay cool. So now I am going to adjust and utilize a strategy that will be successful. Not really rocket science.

Some girls I'm grabbing their ass after 10 minutes and others I am having friendly and harmless chat until I can get them feeling more comfortable. Each girl is unique and different but their is still an overarching set of behaviors you can expect to be effective. People arguing the opposite are just being purposefully dense with the intention of invalidating anything you say. This post is spot on. They don't matter.

These detractors have their head so far up their ass that they think TRP guys constantly tip their hand. In reality only newbies are doing this. Any one with sense is remaining impossible to define. Hell, I got my degree in creative writing and all my sjw classmates and teachers loved me.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 11:40 AM

Many of The Red Pill's detractors insist that red pill behaviors only "work" on stupid women, young women, naive women, women with mental health or self-esteem issues, and so forth. That The Red Pill is powerless against **real women, smart women, strong women,**

Those are the women who has mental health or self-esteem issues.

Oh and I love the way you basically say to feminists : you don't matter.

RICCledm • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 04:36 PM

Archwinger is THE BOSS. So many great posts! But I think a person needs some abundance mentality to understand your point.

prodigy2throw • 1 point • 5 February, 2015 08:29 PM

After reading all the hate women have about TRP I've come to the realization the only thing they truly hate is the

idea of deception.

What I mean by this is that they want the real McCoy who is naturally alpha. They despise people who read TRP because it is technically a pretty beta thing to have to read and improve your qualities to become more Alpha. They want you to just BE that guy.

It makes sense for women to want to repress this information so that they don't end up accidentally getting with someone who doesn't carry that Alpha instinct and characteristics in their genes.

Feminists don't like TRP because we're making their strategy more difficult.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 07:00 PM

I'm going to use what happened to my brother as a fine example here. When we were both dating around in high school, he constantly attempted to point out that his girlfriend was smarter, more mature, etc. than mine. We're identical twins so the competitive thing was a real problem then. He was right in some sense because she already had a kid and had to be a mother at an early age. But that definitely didn't mean she was smarter by any stretch. This carries over into his eventual marriage to this woman and can you guess what happens a couple years down the road? All of a sudden he's not satisfying her "needs" and she's asking for an open relationship while secretly already having something in the works. I ask him then how "smart, mature, etc." she is now? He hammers that into "she's just challenging me like a real woman should". The superior women IMO are definitely the ones that don't take advantage of their pussy pass. Even if they're not doing it because they're unaware of it. Doesn't matter. It's not necessarily that they're stupid. They're just not ruined by the feminine hive mind.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2015 10:22 PM

I find it interesting that TheRedPill is the opposite of feminism. And yet while feminism portrays itself as a movement for the success and happiness of everyone, it isn't.

TheRedPill portrays itself as a movement to see the shit in your life. To see just how far gone and depressing the real world is, and you know what, we are all better for it. This is happiness. When you aren't deceived, you achieve what you've always wanted. This is happiness.

A woman's movement, like anything in their lives, revolves around men. Not only that, but it's all based on a lie. There is no happiness for anyone in feminism. Except for the cunt holding all the cards. And that's not your everyday feminist.

Feminism 0. Patriarchy :...uhhhhh. We stopped counting so as to not oppress you fembitches.

trp\_angry\_dwarf • 0 points • 3 February, 2015 07:23 PM

I don't LOL much in this sub. But this:

That's an annoying bitch, not a superior woman.

Wow. High five! Leave these ones to their cats

apierson2011 • -2 points • 4 February, 2015 12:26 AM

Alright, I'm gonna delve into some dangerous waters here.

I'm a woman, I consider myself a "real woman" in that I have gotten to the point in my life where I would never intentionally fuck over anyone, specifically take advantage of "pussy pass" or anything like that - and I have no respect for girls who do. I was raised by a very independent woman, and consider myself such, but am not misandronous as she is. My SO is a very masculine, dominating type, and I have no problem deferring to and respecting him because it is reciprocated in the areas where my personal strengths are greater than his.

My question is, what exactly is The Red Pill all about? I have a hard time not seeing a lot of the content here as

misogynistic, but I also don't think that that's the point. Can you guys kind of explain your perspective to me? I'm coming from a place of genuine curiosity.

Archwinger[S] • 6 points • 4 February, 2015 12:57 AM

There's an entire sidebar of material, the whole collection of top posts, a huge quantity of information you can find with a little internet research, AND a red pill women sub.

Do you know how long it will take me to summarize all of that and type it for you? I mean, if you hadn't told me you were a woman so I'd break out the tolerance and special treatment, I'd be calling you a lazy shit by now. Good thing you're a girl, though.

apierson2011 • -4 points • 4 February, 2015 01:03 AM\*

Whoa, not my intention at all, I just wanted to make it clear where I'M coming from. And I'm on mobile, so I don't have access to the sidebar.

AND I was hoping for some more personal input from someone who understands who I am and where I'm coming from, hence the explanation that I'm a woman And a little of my background.

Not to mention, I was hoping to get some information from the horse's mouth so that I wouldn't have a tendency to "demonize" you all, as you mentioned in the post. But I guess it wouldn't matter at all to you since I'm "just a woman" and "have no idea what any of this is all about." Oh, wait, that's exactly what I was hoping to fix.

Thanks for being a presumptive asshole about it, though.

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 01:13 AM

Okay. I'll bite.

If we omitted everything you wrote except for the last paragraph, the content of your comment would be identical.

The entire lead in declares: "I am a woman. Here are some details about my womanhood. Please express your answer to my very broad question in a manner that takes my personal details into account. Kthx."

apierson2011 • -4 points • 4 February, 2015 01:26 AM

Luckily, the last and most important paragraph provides context to me providing pretext to my question.

I understand that given the situation the question could have been written better, but are you going to make any attempt to answer it or just continue to waste both our time with your incorrect assumptions about my intentions?

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 01:38 AM

I'm putting my kid to bed, then messing around with my wife, then I'm up early tomorrow. By then, I'll have forgotten all about you.

If you want to remind me about this in maybe 12 hours, maybe with some specific questions, I'll chat.

apierson2011comment score below threshold • -7 points • 4 February, 2015 01:42 AM

Sounds good.

Hey, a quick toke might help you get that dildo out of your ass.

AdmiralVonJackass • 4 points • 4 February, 2015 04:33 AM

Just read if you're curious. No one cares if you think we are misogynists, and you coming in asking for a full tldr of years worth of content ain't exactly fertilizing feminine fondness.

apierson2011 • -2 points • 4 February, 2015 04:40 AM

I realize none of you care about my opinion, what I was hoping for was someone who could discuss their experiences, what led them here, and what they like about it.

That obviously isn't going to happen, but at least I learned I'm wasting my time trying to forge any kind of bridge between the hypocrites who constantly perpetuate the principles they reel against, and the people they hate.

Whatever, y'all, sorry about your mommy issues.

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 February, 2015 08:46 AM

Bitch you're doing a whole lot of talking and very little reading.

If you really wanted to know what TRP was about you wouldn't say shit. You would just read it. Then you'd know.

If you really just wanted attention though, you'd post a long rambling comment. Then you'd post a few more. Then you'd get all pissed and accuse everyone of having mommy issues.

Tits or GTFO. And I mean that with all my heart.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 11:04 PM

AND I was hoping for some more personal input from someone who understands who I am and where I'm coming from, hence the explanation that I'm a woman And a little of my background.

A woman wanting attention paid to her particular individuality. How surprising.

The Red Pill is a collection of facts and observations. It doesn't matter who is reading it. If you have a specific question about what you're reading then ask it.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

Archwinger[S] • 10 points • 3 February, 2015 10:48 PM

I don't appreciate being fat-shamed. That really hurts. I'm beautiful the way I am.

Take your hate speech off of The Red Pill, shitlord.

SullenBarLurker • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 12:22 AM

Order of the Bluepill unite! Excuse me while I don my armour that I might fight like a true knight of old.

Such butthurt.

lolwat\_is\_dis • -1 points • 4 February, 2015 01:10 AM

No bluepill. Just tired of people spouting any old hate and claiming TRP.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

Archwinger[S] • 3 points • 3 February, 2015 11:47 PM

That's a great point!

Somebody should start a debate subreddit or something.

Marthmancomment score below threshold • -7 points • 4 February, 2015 12:13 AM

Sarcasm: tone of the weak and impotent.

Archwinger[S] • 3 points • 4 February, 2015 12:59 AM

I don't appreciate having my sexual prowess impugned. That's crossing the line.

We don't allow hate speech on The Red Pill.

Marthman • -4 points • 4 February, 2015 01:43 AM

And hypersensitive. Wow. You do realize that "impotent" doesn't necessarily refer to sex, right? Although, I guess i shouldn't be exactly surprised that you'd automatically assume I was questioning your "sexual prowess" via "hate speech" (fucking LOL- hyperbole is one of your strong suits, isn't it?), given the insular mindset focused on sexual achievement prominent in this sub,.

Kill\_Your\_Ego • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 03:10 AM

Men also fall for their biology until they are awakened to it. Go put on a miniskirt and doll yourself up.

Notice the attention you get?

Male biology in action.

Also archwinger is actually one of the few who will actually bother to try to educate you. You should feel happy that such an intelligent man is willing to engage you should you approach him correctly. He owes none of us anything.

Marthman • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 06:05 AM

I absolutely could not care less about who archwinger is. And could you possibly sound like you're part of a cult any more than you do now?

Kill\_Your\_Ego • 1 point • 4 February, 2015 06:24 AM

We all subscribe to various ideals. You are deeply subscribed to the cathedral. You just believe yourself, falsely, to be forging your own path. At least I am choosing my path.