

# The Root Cause of the Anger Phase.

198 upvotes | 28 March, 2015 | by Whisper

---

The anger phase is important. Without it, we never would have woken up in the first place.

But where does it from? What starts it, and when does it stop?

Well, the best local source for directly observing angry-phase men in the wild is [/r/askmen](#) (trp has plenty of angry-phase men, but your observations will be diluted with men in later stages). Reading this <https://archive.today/ny1mk> thread, where men talk about annoying shit women say, we can start to get an inkling.

What do all of these men, annoyed by women, angry at women, have in common?

**They all expect women to be adults.**

They were raised to think of women as adults. They were told over and over again that the differences between men and women were purely sexual. And they believed it. So they grew up and became adults, and expected the women around them to be adults. They just assumed women would make an effort to be objective, see things from others' points of view, finish what they start, keep their promises, take responsibility for their actions, and so forth.

When adults refuse to do these things, it's annoying. We get angry at them. Because they have failed a reasonable expectation. When children refuse to do these things, we're not annoyed or surprised. They are children. They are not capable of adulthood yet.

In the denial phase, we rationalize away women's childish behaviour, making excuses for them in order to preserve our delusion that women are peers we can rely on.

In the anger phase, we are awakened to women's childish behaviour, but it angers us because of the gap between the actual behaviour and our expectations. The anger ends when our expectations realign to women's actual patterns of behaviour.

**The cause of the anger phase is the lingering belief that women are adults.** Now, we *learn* to be more attractive by treating women like children. For example, the basic principle of all shit-test handling techniques is "don't take anything they say seriously". That's treating someone like a child.

But, like a religion taught to us in childhood, expectations and beliefs we held for that many years will linger, and we will slide back to thinking of women as adults. We make the mistake of arguing with them, instead of laughing at whatever ridiculous bullshit they say, and patting them on the head. We make the mistake of listening to what they say instead of watching what they do. We make the mistake of expecting them to handle their own shit instead of managing them and telling them exactly what we expect. We make the mistake of bargaining with them instead of instructing them. We expect them to not let themselves get fat, instead of controlling what they eat. We expect them to make good decisions, instead of simply telling them what to do.

The reason we must repeat simple lessons to ourselves over and over again is that it takes a long time to transition from "treat her like a child" as a *tactic*, to *actually fully realizing and believing that she, and all women, are really children in adult bodies*. It's slow, and difficult, but this is the step you must take before women stop being a source of pain in your life, and start being a source of happiness.

Many men in the anger phase linger there because of the dream that someday, they will find that unicorn, that one special woman who actually takes responsibility for her actions, can be an equal partner, can both take and provide emotional support, doesn't have to be managed, etc. But there is no such woman. (You know who actually can have equal relationships like that? Faggots, that's who. And if you're not a faggot,

you wouldn't like it much. It would be like dating a dude in drag.)

Men who are looking for an "adult" woman are axiomatically in the anger phase, because if they were in denial, they would think all women were, and if they had passed anger, they would be aware that no such woman exists. And by doing so, they make themselves angrier, because *the more a woman is able to present the appearance of an adult, the more she is simply a child who expects to be treated like one.*

**The desirable woman is not a woman who acts adult, she is the woman who is aware and accepting of her own childish nature.** Such a woman can submit to a man without shame, and [provide things to a relationship that no adult can.](#)

- Tirelessly remind yourself that women are children.
- When a woman makes you angry, look for the place where you made the mistake of thinking of her as an adult.
- Stop looking for adult women. They don't exist, and you wouldn't want one if they did.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

MorpheusGodOfDreams • 18 points • 28 March, 2015 08:40 PM

The cause of the anger phase is the lingering belief that women are adults.

I disagree. As the thread you provided shows, men are mostly angry because women lie to them. We all expect media to prop up disney fairy tales, but we don't expect the women we know to so actively engage in maintaining the illusion.

Notice that the top comment is the classic phrase ""I wish there were more guys like you." with 355 points. This is not a coincidence, but a basic female statement of comfort over truth. Comfort is important when dealing with children, but men want honest and straightforward answers because we want to get things done. If there ever was a such thing as a straightforward, honest woman who took care of her appearance, men would literally kill one another for the chance to wed her. But women are deceptive by nature, and lose power through honesty.

The initial anger (not the second stage) is truly at the heart of TRP, which is why we don't censor anything here. Through a man's anger, he begins the journey to power and purpose, wisdom and virtue.

The lies are what brings men to TRP, and because women will never stop lying, TRP will never become obsolete.

Whisper[S] • 20 points • 28 March, 2015 09:17 PM

I disagree. As the thread you provided shows, men are mostly angry because women lie to them.

And this makes them angry because they expect women to be adults.

Do you get angry if your six year old cousin tells you that three unicorns came to her tea party with her stuffed animals and one of them was pink? Of course. She is playing make-believe.

Men in the anger phase are angry because they still expect women to be something other than six-year-olds playing make believe.

Well, they're not. We've given them adult responsibilities for a couple of generations now. Plenty of time to grow up. They didn't. The experiment is over. They either can't or won't, and it doesn't matter which one.

Now, I have some strong words for the cowards who let them run around telling everybody what to do and think.

I mean, sure, if you go to your six-year-old cousin's tea party with her stuffed animals, then you play along and pretend Mr. Fuzzington is a real bear. But you don't let her do that everywhere, because some places in life have serious business that needs to get done, and we don't have time to play with toys.

But it's not the little girl's fault. She is not capable of understanding the difference between time to work and time to play make-believe. We, men, collectively, need to be the ones that make that distinction.

MorpheusGodOfDreams • 12 points • 28 March, 2015 10:14 PM

She is not capable of understanding the difference between time to work and time to play make-believe.

While I will agree that women have a harder time distinguishing between the two (photoshop especially throws their lizard brains for a loop with regards to body image), I consider them to have a more active part in advancing the female imperative. Thus the lies are intended to keep men confused. Its not because

the woman's hamster truly believes it, but because the manipulation helps to separate between their orbiters and dildos.

Part of the problem is looking at women and saying "oh, the poor things just don't have the capacity to be adults," rather than acknowledging that they are trying to have their cake and eat it too, and holding them responsible.

MattyAnon • 5 points • 29 March, 2015 01:36 AM

It's all the lies, and one of those lies is "women are adults".

Other lies include "you can have your own family" and "women will reciprocate" and "happy wife, happy life" and "women love men as men love women" and "being nice to women is a good idea".

There are a lot of lies that women tell about female nature. Men believe that women are basically honest because *they* are basically honest. Whereas women are telling a story that advances the female imperative.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 April, 2015 07:27 PM\*

Part of the problem is looking at women and saying "oh, the poor things just don't have the capacity to be adults," rather than acknowledging that they are trying to have their cake and eat it too, and holding them responsible.

I totally agree with you. Women are 100% capable of acknowledging and fixing their problems. To look at them as children *excuses* their choices. They know full well what they're doing.

They're exceptionally pragmatic. But they won't change because they don't have to. Put them in a time of war and you'll see how quickly their manipulative tactics change and old arguments for manipulating male behavior change.

Women are the ruling class. They have to keep the serfs ignorant and confused of this.

oldredder • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 03:24 AM

It's adults, not children, who are making up false drunk-rape stories and stealing children from their fathers. They are bad adults but still adults.

Women are not children or acting like them. To believe that is to blind yourself to the red pill truth and to blind yourself to all adaptive strategies to survive these very adult attacks on your resources and future freedoms.

copralalic • 5 points • 29 March, 2015 10:06 PM

It's adults, not children, who are making up false drunk-rape stories  
Lying to stay out of trouble.

and stealing children from their fathers.

Lack of appreciation of consequences.

Those seem like pretty childish actions to me.

oldredder • 2 points • 3 April, 2015 11:10 PM

They're not. They have no consequences: they appreciate they are a protected class of person under the law and are taking adult advantage. Stealing children is not the act of a child.

Lying to stay out of trouble is what adults do. Those who run the world run by that adage.

copralalic • 1 point • 3 April, 2015 11:15 PM

Lying to stay out of trouble is what adults do.

Definitely adults do, but we all started doing it as children.

oldredder • 2 points • 4 April, 2015 01:34 AM

Lying is nature: look at what camouflage is.

ss\_camaro • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 09:55 AM\*

The initial anger (not the second stage) is truly at the heart of TRP, which is why we don't censor anything here. Through a man's anger, he begins the journey to power and purpose, wisdom and virtue.

Anger is power juice, crushed from apple-headed dreams. Leave the sassafras in the pantry with the fat-bodies and gashes.

Anger is a fiery sauce, not a 'phase'.

It doesn't

Sit well

With oysters.

GayLubeOil • 44 points • 28 March, 2015 07:38 PM

Accepting women for their childish nature is pretty easy to do with regards to the personal sphere, family friends and social acquaintances, because the stakes are low.

However what about the workplace? What about government? What about services which you and your family rely on?

There are plenty of stories here about the woman children being self serving malingering and gossipy in the workplace. What about the feel good laws they pass? What about the fact that women teachers repeatedly punish boys for writing action oriented stories instead of writing in the confessional poet female style.

Try not bring mad when your son is punished for being a boy, for writing like a boy, thinking like a boy and playing like a boy. Try not getting mad when your son is being bullied by an administration of woman children.

So no I wouldn't really call it a phase. And if I or anyone else here need to intimidate, shame or psychologically destroy a cunt for getting in the way, my recommendation is not to hesitate.

Whisper[S] • 20 points • 28 March, 2015 07:52 PM

Being out of the anger phase doesn't mean "never being angry again". It means not walking around in the constant state of anger that men get when they wake up.

However, on the global scale, I think our anger is better directed at those who let the children run loose without supervision... the beta majority of the baby boomer males. They, at least were capable of growing up, but they neglected the responsibility to actually do so.

As for most of your examples, anger would be appropriate, but it's late defense... for any reader who has children. I would recommend the *early defense* of keeping your kids the hell out of the loser factory in the first place.

And that's the next article... stay tuned, fans.

edwardhwhite • 53 points • 28 March, 2015 09:23 PM

The anger phase is a giant loss of frame you go through

Whisper[S] • 20 points • 28 March, 2015 09:42 PM



10/10. Will shamelessly steal and repeat.

CrazyHorseInvincible[M] • 9 points • 28 March, 2015 09:44 PM

Awarded.

dr\_warlock • 5 points • 29 March, 2015 07:00 PM\*

The anger phase is a transition. You have to lose your previous frame to acquire and develop a new frame.

laere • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 04:33 AM

Anger is a part of unplugging, however, utilizing it to fulfill goals is better served than to ranting IRL about how you got fucked over by women.

RBuddDwyer • 3 points • 30 March, 2015 01:13 AM

Bitterness is like cancer. It eats upon the host. But anger is like fire. It burns it all clean.

-- Maya Angelou

Only thing by her that I like.

let\_terror\_reign • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 02:12 AM

Elaborate? The frame here being the belief that women can be and are to be treated as adults?,

oldredder • 6 points • 29 March, 2015 03:28 AM

No, the frame here being that you are in control of your life and don't let shit fuck you up, fuck you over, at all. If you let people get you angry instead of taking pre-emptive steps to do harm to their strategy or person you are failing to hold frame.

workdavework • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 03:33 AM\*

[deleted]

What is this?

edwardhwhite • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 12:59 PM

A man's frame of being his own man and not giving a fuck.

1003rp • 1 point • 3 April, 2015 04:25 AM

Brilliant. I have thought Long and hard about my anger phase, and that's exactly what it is. I got upset that i didn't realize some of the things I've read sooner and it shook me to the core cause me to lose frame. Thank you for putting my feelings into words.

bustanutmeow • 1 point • 28 March, 2015 11:37 PM

Nice article. The idea some people have of actively avoiding any anger, even when warranted bemuses me. There are occasions when anger, or even violence is the only option that is reasonable. It is amazing some people think in absolutes.

oldredder • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 03:27 AM

This isn't one of them - if you're not lifting a huge thing or punching a huge man you don't need anger. For most physical work and even war we now have machines which fire guns or missiles or drop bombs and you **do not function better** with anger as the operator of such machines.

Anger serves no purpose in this regard.

Whisper[S] • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 07:41 AM

I do see the purpose (insofar as an evolved behaviour can be said to have purpose) of anger as *enhancing* behaviours and making them more effective. I see it as something which serves to *motivate* useful behaviours that we otherwise would not perform at all.

bustanutmeow • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 03:39 AM

I was referring to specific cases of imminent physical violence being a reason to have anger, not rage. There is a massive difference between the two.

HumanSockPuppet • 52 points • 28 March, 2015 05:04 PM\*

An excellent commentary.

A lot of guys lament the loss of their idealized "motherly" love when they realize that women will never truly grow up. But there is a **huge benefit** to embracing the idea of "women as children" that never even occurs to them:

Because women have innate child-like qualities, they have the unique ability (both emotionally AND through social sactioning) to bring levity and light-heartedness to any situation.

A man cannot initiate play without jeopardizing his own situational value (because trying to be playful when no one else is can come off as approval-seeking).

Women however, being free of society's expectation to lead, can initiate play *without losing face*. Once a woman initiates play, men are then free to join in as an expression of affection and group unity.

As soon as you can accept the childish behaviour of women as natural and inevitable, you can begin to cherish the **opportunity to have child-like fun WHENEVER women are present. It truly is a gift.**

Whisper[S] • 22 points • 28 March, 2015 06:28 PM

I think the use of the word mother here is very important.

Most men's first experience of being loved comes from their mothers. But a mother, while not really an adult (because AWALT), is an adult compared to her very young son. So a mother loves a son *as a parent loves a child*.

Men who do not learn that women are children will stay with that as their image of what love is. So they will never experience love until they learn, because a woman loves her man *as a child loves a parent*.

1003rp • 1 point • 3 April, 2015 04:21 AM

This really hits home for me. "As a child loves a parent". My gf recently told me that she looks up to me and wants to be like me and I found that very endearing, but that's exactly what it is. She explained that she sees me as a role model and aspires to be more like me because of my drive to succeed and

motivation for self improvement. It's kind of strange at first because she seems to be looking at me like a father figure (we're similar in age). It doesn't seem like looking up to me and being sexually attracted to me should be related, but that's something I've learned here. Being dominant and allowing her to be pure and childlike is part of a healthy relationship that I have to get more comfortable with. I am very happy and so is she and we never have any problems because I'm in charge. She likes that she can rely on me for guidance and that I will make decisions when it matters.

slimcoat • 4 points • 28 March, 2015 09:13 PM

Fantastic comment. For the longest time I haven't been able to seriously answer why keeping a woman around can be beneficial. Ironically, I'm married, and my wife brings a lot to the table. But this is the answer I was looking for -- it answers a lot about *all* women.

dan7899 • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 01:12 AM

Nice response. You sound like you have advanced studies in human behavior. And are very good at making relationships, or ahem, corollaries between points.

Excellent reinterpretation, seeing the positive from the negative... Bringing some zen here I see.

miserabilia • 0 points • 29 March, 2015 12:19 AM

This is interesting. Can you provide some examples?

[deleted] • 0 points • 29 March, 2015 10:30 AM

This is the way I see relationships with women.

I simply can't treat them seriously anymore, and I've learnt to just embrace and enjoy the present moment rather than impose expectations on them -- especially with regards to their loyalty.

My relationships have since been more positive and less stressful, and they bring out the inner child within me to just have fun. Everyone in the relationship is better off. It's truly a gift.

JP\_Whoregan • 27 points • 28 March, 2015 10:02 PM

This is why I get an absolute kick out of it when women stroll out that "women mature faster than men" bullshit. Yes, it's true; girls mature into women faster than boys mature into men. But there's a good reason for that: **our journey to maturity is longer, whereas women stop maturing at right around age 18.**

Nature recognizes that, since women become fertile at right around age 13 or 14, the possibility of her becoming a mother becomes real, and she needs the capacity to take care of that child, at least until the child is old enough to start taking guidance from its father. Women are designed to birth and raise infants. But there's really no reason for her to mature past 18, because it is implied that a man will be caring for her after that. Hence why a man's maturation process is a far longer ongoing process.

Today's society, for all of its modern bullshit, recognizes this; think about it...look at babysitters. Boys generally do not babysit; young girls do. Parents will literally leave their one or two year old baby in the care of a young 14 year old girl for literally hours on end with no trepidation about it. And little girls are excellent babysitters because being maternal to an infant is naturally ingrained in them.

But yes, since society failed, writ large, the massive shit test that is feminism, we now have women in the workplace, and now corporate America feels like one big fucking high school campus. Who here hasn't had a female co-worker gossip behind other women's backs, or call out sick for bullshit reasons, or break into tears because her workload is high and she "can't handle all this pressure"?

Society has taken women out of their natural place. Society is stuffing square pegs into round holes. Society is



painting stripes on a house cat and calling it a lion. Society is collectively gas lighting us, trying to tell us that women aren't children.

Kiwikeeper • 4 points • 29 March, 2015 12:51 PM

Calling it a tiger.

Otherwise, excellent comment. I agree

BrowDawg • 4 points • 29 March, 2015 02:44 PM

No no. Calling a painted striped house cat a lion is apt madness to be expected from women.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

oldredder • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 03:18 AM

Having been red pill since 2002 and no anger phase I can say it has no purpose whatsoever and no man needs to be in it.

Only then can you truly realize what a manipulating, irresponsible bunch of children women are

NO, that's what eye-balls and memory are for so you see what really happens and don't let yourself forget it, ever, and then you realize it's not just women but teachers, schools, police, news media, politicians, a shit-ton of people are manipulating your life, time, beliefs, what you're allowed to see or say or what you even *compelled* to say against your will.

To think this is only about women is microfocused and for ignoring the rest - incorrect.

busyalterego • 2 points • 4 April, 2015 07:53 PM

TRP philosophy should vastly span beyond women alone. Definitely true. Seeing through lies, propaganda, and mass delusion is an extremely powerful ability that will improve your life, even if the leadership generally doesn't want you to have it.

That said, not everyone has such a great built-in bullshit detector. And even if you do, places like this still help you hone your skillset -- by orders of magnitude. 100k minds > 1.

So for gullible people and skeptics alike, if the anger phase helps our fellow man to self-actualize and maximize his life happiness in the end, then I won't knock it.

Hell\_Brake • 16 points • 28 March, 2015 11:41 PM

I am going to throw out the whole adult thing; **I don't expect women to be adults, I expect women to be human beings.**

It is quite unsettling and unnerving when the majority of the women out there are told to deceive, play and manipulate other human beings.

That is not right.

Furthermore, society, our government and even our own fellow men support and accept the deception and manipulation.

That is where **part** of the anger phase comes from; women are human beings, who refuse to respect other human beings - and the enablers need to stop.

The other part of the anger phase comes from when a used up skank **demand**s you accept her poor choices in

life, when she is called out on her abusive behavior.

Think of the scene in the Wizard of Oz where the real identity of the All Powerful Oz is revealed - now, imagine Oz keeping up the charade after he is exposed and when Dorothy & crew refuse to acknowledge the charade, they are labeled self loathing Oz haters who preach for raping and humiliating the Great Oz.

Again, that is not right.

busyalterego • 1 point • 4 April, 2015 07:34 PM

women out there are told to deceive, play and manipulate other human beings

What do you mean, "told"? They do it themselves, quite naturally.

copralalic • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 10:08 PM

Justice does not exist in this world.

scaliagalt • 7 points • 28 March, 2015 06:57 PM

I really attribute the anger phase to the fact I was embarrassed for not realizing all women are essentially the same. Past memories of how I acted and how women reacted made me cringe and almost literally sick--I was ashamed. And then, future interactions with women after reading RP made me even more angry because I couldn't believe how you could essentially treat a woman like a complete slut and they love it! It took me a few months and many hate fucks to realize I shouldn't care or let it bother me.

oldredder • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 03:16 AM

Anger phase is completely unnecessary. It is not a part of waking up.

Waking up is the truth itself being visible and proven. Period. I never had an anger phase and no one else needs to either.

I get that some people like to be angry at the wind, the rain, droughts or snow storms but this doesn't fix your life in any way at all. Being angry about being lied to won't fix that either. Liars still lie and you still have to see around their lies to avoid the damage.

Anger has no such ability.

What do all of these men, annoyed by women, angry at women, have in common?

That they all ignore the REST Of the red pill which is how your government, police-state, banks and news media are manipulating you, how schools are now indoctrination centers and how ALL of that is the Matrix, the blue pill, until you are aware of it and work around it with as much skill & force as possible at the earliest age possible.

should\_ • 3 points • 28 March, 2015 10:45 PM

This is very, very helpful.

You know who actually can have equal relationships like that? Faggots, that's who.

Nope. Gays are often as hypergamous as women.

And if you're not a faggot, you wouldn't like it much. It would be like dating a dude in drag.

Unfortunately yes, dating guys, one will find many have been brainwashed to think that acting like Beyonce is the way to a guy's heart, so you have to find the ones that have learned that's not how it works.

soccerplusaviation • 3 points • 28 March, 2015 11:48 PM

The cause of the anger phase is the lingering belief that women are adults.

I dont think this explains the complete cause of the anger phase. I think the complete cause of the anger phase is the realization that the values and view of the world taught to us by this feministic society is bullshit. Not only does this include views on women, it applies to how to live life as a man in general.

The desirable woman is not a woman who acts adult, she is the woman who is aware and accepting of her own childish nature.

This I agree wholeheartedly. I apply this every time I interact with my gf and friends and the vibe is insane.

RBuddDwyer • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 12:41 PM

Woman, the most responsible teenager in the house.

Unbreakable\_576 • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 05:42 PM

While i've heard this phrase on TRP before; this may be the best written summary of the concept I have ever read

[deleted] • 5 points • 28 March, 2015 04:50 PM

Wise words.

You must reframe yourself to see every setting with mixed men and women as a setting in which men and children mingle.

Observe:

- Beta boys act like those parents who get pushed around by their children;
- "Assholes" act like those fathers who smoke cigars on the patio talking business and, when one of the kids approaches them, yell at their wife to keep her kids in check.

Billybob25112 • 11 points • 28 March, 2015 05:36 PM

"Assholes" act like those fathers who smoke cigars on the patio talking business and, when one of the kids approaches them, yell at their wife to keep her kids in check.

That's not what I would consider the ideal image of an Alpha father.

cocaine\_face • 11 points • 28 March, 2015 07:34 PM

Alpha does not, and has never meant ideal. It does not mean "good" or "just". That's an important point that I think should be hammered in all the time.

Many men have been leaders of both men and women, and absolute awful bastards.

In the example given, the man is dealing with what he wants to (business), and having his wife take care of the child effectively.

He is maximally efficient towards his interests in the given context. Also I read it in the context of, "yelled out to", not "yelled at".

JP\_Whoregan • 6 points • 28 March, 2015 09:43 PM

The Don Draper like CEO with the corner office in a high rise is an "alpha" male. So is the pussy-slating drug dealing gang banger. "Alpha" comes in many different forms, but all with the same frame of social dominance.

Spiral-knight • 5 points • 28 March, 2015 06:13 PM

You'll notice the term "alpha" is missing from that example. I'm willing to wager that the Asshole is being held up as a less than desirable behavior as well. Perhaps the RP man who has mis-internalized something or stuck in the anger phase

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 04:48 PM\*

I wasn't talking about being an ideal Alpha father. I was drawing a parallel between how you treat women and how you treat children.

In particular, I was writing about the difference between a father who lets his children push him around and one that treats them like children.

But, to answer your point, I know plenty of fathers who taught their children how to succeed and they all were like this. You can't teach a child that doesn't respect you like fire. And there are moments of teaching children and moments in which children have to stay in their place. Gatherings of families are such moments.

I'm Italian, and our families are for the most part the good old traditional model of men being man and women being women. And I remember how I saw my father and uncles doing their thing. Children were not invited to bother them.

There was a time and place to teach us stuff. They did, and hell were we excited when they did.

You never forget what you learn in those moments.

I have a little cousin who could take his father business in his hands tomorrow, and he's 14.

Tough fathers grow tough children. Such is the way men should be, imho.

I also know guys who grow up differently. Not only ungrateful as fuck, but pussies as well. Tough in the house, weak in the world.

This guy is the most weak man I know (he actually used to change side of the road when he saw groups of guys ahead, LOL) and I remember when we were sixteen and he invited me to a barbecue at his place. He actually told his father to "fuck off" when his father tried to joke with him (never my father did joke with me, ah!).

It shocked me. I only once disrespected my mother, and my grandfather kicked me out of his house. My father? ah, not even in my wildest dreams.

LifeAtPeace • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 04:14 AM

I cannot help but agree with you on this. But it is also good for kids (boys) to have their father's guidance. Boys should not always be left to the mother.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 05:01 PM

You are completely right. See my answer to Billybob25112.

I repeat: my example was about the parallel women-children, not about children education.

Besides, not having children myself, I can't really school anybody on that.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 March, 2015 08:26 PM

Honestly, I am still in the anger phase, Im just at a point where I don't want to even think about it. I am just sick of hearing women speak. If I wanted to hear people talk shit about other people, Id still talk to my highschool friends.

Im sick of seeing TV shows show the women cheating with no to little consequences. The truth is tingles>common sense.

oldredder • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 03:26 AM

Cut all TV. I haven't owned a TV in 15 years, I have barely watched TV at all in that time except at a friend's house where they still have TV. I download shows but only the ones I think will be good and erase them if they're boring / stupid / offensive. No commercials, no random channels or shows.

When I moved into my place there was a Tv and satellite. I watched "How it's made" for 30 minutes. I returned the satellite box & the TV sits here inert. All it does is collect dust and take up space. It's too large to put anywhere for now but I wish I had the space to use for shelving. It's not mine so I can't just get rid of it.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 11:51 AM

I haven't had TV or cable in 5 months now, not since I moved. I read a whole lot of college scientific studies for fun and started writing again. I've fallen into a habit of ignoring all women I don't have/want to. Funny but all the women I work with are fighting for any response from me. And to be completely honest. I only know like 3 of their names out of 20.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 12:30 PM

I stopped watching TV in high school kind of subconsciously. I just realized that between practicing things I love (playing guitar and drawing), doing homework, and having a social life with friends that there really wasn't any time for TV.

Nowadays, I can't pay attention to TV for even more than 5 minutes unless I'm with other people watching a show. I still watch docs and movies sometimes on netflix, and a ton of instructional how-to videos on youtube, but that's about it.

oldredder • 1 point • 3 April, 2015 11:07 PM

Ya. Documentaries on WW2 tactics & weaponry definitely interest me. It's how shit got done pre-computer era and a lot of it's cool. Google "timing pencil" out of that. Fucking awesome. Not fail-safe but for a chemical timer-fuse that's pretty damn clever.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 03:34 AM

I'm gonna respectfully disagree. It's not that guys expect them to be adults. On some level, they know that's not realistic. The problem is when women act like the children many of them are and YOU are on the receiving end of it, YOU are in a no-win situation. You're the one who looks bad! And if I say this just one time, that was always a tough pill for me to take when I was young and just starting out.

Tyler329 • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 01:55 PM\*

Faggots, that's who. And if you're not a faggot

Personally I don't care much about the term "faggot" for homosexuals. Seems there might be some immaturity lingering with the author himself there (why else use degrading terms for men who love men?). Anyway, aside from that, nice post and wise words. But perhaps the author's otherwise valid thoughts simply aren't quite thought through yet:

We make the mistake of arguing with them, instead of laughing at whatever ridiculous bullshit they say, and patting them on the head. We make the mistake of listening to what they say instead of watching what they do. We make the mistake of expecting them to handle their own shit instead of managing them and telling them exactly what we expect. We make the mistake of bargaining with them instead of instructing them. We expect them to not let themselves get fat, instead of controlling what they eat. We expect them to make good

decisions, instead of simply telling them what to do.

Yes: Women behave like children and never really mature. Not like men. Why? Simple: Because unlike men, they simply don't have to. Why not? Easy: Men. Men themselves make sure that women never have to mature. Men hold open their doors, buy them drinks when they behave like children, ask for their phone numbers, try to please and appease them, promote them and kiss their heinies. No matter how childish they are or behave. Why? Testosterone. To get laid, naturally. Face it: Men might not be morally and socially better beings just because they are men, but because they are not as sexually sought-after as women are (besides the positive effects of testosterone and the negative ones of estrogens that is).

I would theorize: If men all of a sudden, all across the world, would *entirely* stop being attracted to women sexually (through their dicks and testosterone, not intellectually), and would therefore stop holding open their doors, buying their drinks, asking for their phone numbers, marrying them and wanting children with them, in short, if all men would suddenly treat all women as harshly and as conditional based on behavior, as they treat other men, boy would you see women make a leap of maturity. Within a few years, women would make the progress to men / masculinity / maturity, which feminists themselves are hoping for. And guess why the most ugly feminists and lesbians, who act the most boyish, are usually quite a bit more mature than other women: Right, life, and thus men, didn't pamper them into becoming childish plants / fruit cakes. In short, I guess it's as much fault of guys thinking and acting with their dicks in their hand (whenever they hold a door open to a woman), as it is woman's for not seeing, that all this pampering is actually making and keeping them immature, stupid and lying.

So instead of making the mistake of being just angry about women's factual and effective immaturity, men perhaps should help educate other men to stop holding doors open to women just because their dicks ask them to. Exactly because it makes women immature. Women, are just like men, just a product of their surroundings. In many ways, they are just the way we train and raise them to be. So the side effects of dicks telling men what to do, such as hold doors open to women, are, as can be seen, serious. For all of society. I say we stop making that mistake. We stop making the mistake of miss-training women to be immature, non-responsible liars and cheats who get away with anything, by simply stopping the mistake being protective of women, of being favorably (=sexually) biased towards women and of being attracted to them and letting them feel that and benefit from that. By stopping to make the mistake of being gender-corrupt, in the truest sense of the word, due to testosterone-infused hopes and endorphin bribes.

MindTheFuture • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 05:41 PM\*

This is one of those things, that I choose not to pick from Redpill. While the analysis starts right, I can't agree with the conclusions.

You'd actually want a childish companion?

Makes for a fun fuck, but doesn't fly longer than that. What I look in my first-mate is a capable adult. Sure, ability to play is a must, but a immature woman who can't handle her shit is not worth long terming. Overall, I'm more inclined with developments that increase maturity of everyone involved.

Yakatonker • 3 points • 28 March, 2015 08:52 PM

I Don't agree with this analogy at all. Behaviour is economically incentivized, women act like children because men don't have standards with regards to women(unless they're really ugly), the social bar for them is severely low and not only that but during their developmental phase in their younger years their economic worth is at its maximum. Horny teenage men drop the ball when puberty hits, and men of every age are magnetized by them, this severe lop sided subsidization destroys the social dynamic for what many here consider, "maturity". The only way to stop that would be to effectively turn all men into blue pillers, which is kind of what's happening in

the long run with feminism and the gynocentric destruction of social capital in women, at least in the long run. Women are slowly being held to the fire called maturity, and men are being blue pillled to stop them from incentivizing them at least in their 30s. With regards to women's developmental period in their twenties feminism is trying to ego boost them into the work place, along with almost all governments in the west and businesses through quotas.

With regards to the dynamics of relations in the future, its seems marriage as its declining is forcing governments in heavy gynocentric countries like Canada to force common law marriage from just cohabitation within a 2-3 year living periods. More punishing economic incentives seem to indicate that the government's here are interested in further disincentivizing relationships with increased risk.

oldredder • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 03:22 AM

Absolutely right: change the economic incentives for women and their activities change almost overnight.

It's on men to hold women to a higher standard, everywhere in society.

So now men have a reason, e.g. Canada, to deny even cohabitation to deny common-law status, so now women have to work even harder to show appreciation to men, and those who are blue-pillled numpties will continue to un-level the playing field and fuck it up by giving incentives back to women to be cheating whores that steal your home and income right out from under you just for having a vagina.

There's no need to be angry, no need to be careless, just be aware. See with your eyes, think with your brain and plan accordingly to a hostile environment.

soccerplusaviation • 1 point • 28 March, 2015 11:51 PM

women acting like children is evolutionary not a product of the times.

Yakatonker • 3 points • 29 March, 2015 01:39 AM

That is quite false, men are biologically aggressive by nature, overly horny by puberty and fight physically in excess for social dominance however the statement true by evolution fails to apply in this era where technology and society blunt the human animal within. The bar is low for women, its become expectation amongst men that it should be higher and it seems that the destruction of marriage is reflection of this new age perspective amongst mens economy in society.

oldredder • 2 points • 29 March, 2015 03:23 AM

It is not. Past women didn't act like children because that would get their own children killed and none would make it to this generation.

This is a product of modern times where men are forced by law to take on huge responsibility and women are granted privilege by law to have little accountability - men must take it on against their will.

foldpak111 • 2 points • 28 March, 2015 11:27 PM

Another thing I see among many angry newcomers and beta males is that they look at guys who are the life of the party, loud, charismatic, outgoing, call them assholes/players/meatheads simply because their underlying psychology is telling them they can't be a part of it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 April, 2015 07:58 PM

Another thing I see among many angry newcomers and beta males is that they look at guys who are the life of the party, loud, charismatic, outgoing, call them assholes/players/meatheads simply because their underlying psychology is telling them they can't be a part of it.

There is a lot of truth to this. It's something I've been working on.

slimcoat • 1 point • 28 March, 2015 09:16 PM

In the denial phase, we rationalize away women's childish behaviour, making excuses for them in order to preserve our delusion that women are peers we can rely on.

And nothing better sums it up than the comment in the thread you shared:

“That's a man's job.” ... When a girl says that, it actually makes me angry. I'm sorry but why is it that anything dangerous is a man's job? Are we disposable humans? Also, if you're on my team I will expect you to preform the duties that are required to get the job done. I don't care if you're a girl or guy.

Nope nope nope. Women won't. They're not adults.

Waldo00 • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 01:53 AM

Failed expectation, the cause of misery for everyone. Stop arrogantly believing that anything or anyone will fulfill your expects.

LifeAtPeace • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 02:53 AM

Tirelessly remind yourself that women are children. When a woman makes you angry, look for the place where you made the mistake of thinking of her as an adult. Stop looking for adult women. They don't exist, and you wouldn't want one if they did.

These lines will go on to my wall. Excellent post.

MisterMojoMan • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 04:00 AM\*

They all expect women to be adults.

When I read this I stood up in agreement haha. If there is one reason why I get frustrated, it is because of the feminist narrative that told me my whole life that women were the same as men. If I had been told how women REALLY are, I would be a dumb ass to get angry, because I would know that that's just how women are. Any time I get angry it's not necessarily directed at the woman as much as it is directed at all of the people who told me that women are just like men.

let\_terror\_reign • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 09:24 AM

Related question: wouldn't this apply to any scenario in life, whether the person making us angry is the little kid who said he'd stop after four pieces of cake and tried for a fifth, or the guy who said he'd help you do X and never turned up. Anger in itself is breaking frame, but its not necessarily due to a covert contract.

Unbreakable\_576 • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 04:10 PM

I'm working on a critical project for my employer; they've invested lots of money in getting this right AND put women in charge. The first phase didn't deliver what we needed (hmmmm...I wonder why?) and I was put on the second phase to make a difference. Thank you, I needed to read this today.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 04:46 PM

I think we should distinguish between a childlike nature (which is indeed what endears them to men) and childish behaviour, which does not.



[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2015 06:44 PM

Not only children but spoiled little brats.

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=8u1TFk2Sun8>

RBuddDwyer • 1 point • 30 March, 2015 01:24 AM

8 minutes and 27 seconds of big tits. Was there something else I was supposed to get from the video?

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2015 01:32 AM

Yes, you idiot. The message coincides with the title of the OP.

NeoreactionSafe • 1 point • 28 March, 2015 06:12 PM

Well written.

Men love women, women love children, children love puppies.

Betas expect puppies to act like authority figures. (adults)

Once everyone gets the idea of Heirarchy it all gets really clear.

Equality is such a mental rape because it blinds you to natural Heirarchies.

The funny thing is that Betas with puppies often get ruled by their puppy, so you see it in the animals as well as the women in their lives.

iluminatiNYCcomment score below threshold • -5 points • 28 March, 2015 09:39 PM

Um, chill with the homophobia dude. Still, good message otherwise. Apparently this is reality.