

"We are working on changes to make reddit a safer space for discourse."

May 7, 2015 | 912 upvotes | by [Garl_Vinland](#)

I've always wondered how long reddit would let subs like this exist. Over the past few months there has been an increasing amount of censorship (just look at the CEO lawsuit shit) and shadowbans resulting from it.

Yesterday, reddit admin [/u/kickme444](#) had this to say:

We have a problem right now where there are people/communities that exist under the "freedom of expression" point, that do not create a safe space to encourage participation.

The order of these points is important and a safe space to have discourse is of the upmost importance to reddit. We are working on changes to make reddit a safer space for discourse.

If you're not familiar with the term, 'safe space' is pure SJW-speak for a hugbox. And he used it 3 times in as many sentences. Who do you think is going to define what makes a space safe?

Surprisingly, I don't see many people talking about this. To me, this is as close to a warning as we're ever going to get. Either admin imposed changes to subs or full subreddit bans are the only thing he could be alluding to.

To restate what has been said before, www.puerarchy.com is the place to go for news in case of a shut down.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Halfjor • 603 points • 7 May, 2015 04:29 PM*

Reddit is home to communities like /r/picsofdeadkids and /r/coontown, yet TRP gets the most vitrol.

I know some people feel "threatened", but at the end of the day the vehement opposition to TRP still blows my mind. Where's the evidence of doxxing and malicious activity that places like /r/shitredditsays have? How many times has TRP raided other parts of reddit? Show me the rape strategies and the horrifying discussions that take place here. Show me all the exploited people and damage TRP has caused.

Maybe if it was a small community you could brush it off or censor it. TRP now has over 100,000 subscribers. Our discussions are more thought out and intelligent than 99% of the communities on this website. Hate speech? Unsafe space? If you can't handle swearing and rough language you should go back to fucking kindergarten.

If you want a *very* simple compass for who has the wrong ideas and intentions, look for the people that want censorship. If you can't push your ideas without censorship, you have shitty ideas. Ideas that can't face opposition or criticism are automatically bad. Nothing intelligent or well thought is produced in a censorship ridden echo-chamber. Take a look around TRP. We don't censor *anything*. Thoughts and ideas flow. Opposing viewpoints are deconstructed, analyzed, and discussed. We don't have language guidelines and bullshit "safe space" rules. That is how strong and valuable ideas are developed.

Fuck man. /r/TheRedPill is populated by **110,000** men. They discuss what's important to them. It's not about women. It's not about hate or ignorance. It's about *men*. A huge amount of the discussion is unrelated to women. Fitness, careers, non-romantic social issues, and much more.

I can't even imagine how stupid someone must be to think they could justify censoring this community. If I had my way I wouldn't ban anyone that had different views than me. SRS, TwoX, Feminism, and any other communities like that are welcome on reddit. Free and open discussion is the key to a healthy society. I think most everyone here would agree with me.

Censorship makes me fucking sick. If this community is banned, reddit is officially dead.

[deleted] • 193 points • 7 May, 2015 04:45 PM

Maybe if it was a small community you could brush it off or censor it. TRP now has over 100,000 subscribers. Our discussions are more thought out and intelligent than 99% of the communities on this website. Hate speech? Unsafe space?

One of the things that brought me here was just the sheer number of traits of intelligent discourse. Which other subreddit do you get a hundred thousand people writing structured essays with good grammar and reliably getting others to read the entire thing? Even subs dedicated to things like academia don't get that and feminist subs sure as hell don't get any of that.

widex • 55 points • 7 May, 2015 05:40 PM

It's also a place where you can argue just about anything you wish, as long as you have some facts or knowledge to back your statements up. There's some topics that you can't even mention on most subreddits without getting yourself banned or the post/comment deleted.

puaSenator • 45 points • 7 May, 2015 06:25 PM

Not only that. You can come in with no facts and a terrible position everyone disagrees with. That's why TRP gets shot about talking about rape apology and violence. It's not that we condone it, but so

long as the person is genuine and makes an honest attempt with their position, no matter how disagreeable it is, we will entertain it and discuss it.

mister_barfly75 • 12 points • 8 May, 2015 05:53 AM

There's some topics that you can't even mention on most subreddits without getting yourself banned or the post/comment deleted.

You've just posted on TRP. Now go and see if you can post on /r/offmychest. Chances are, you can't - you're an active member here so you've already been banned there. A lot of subs on Reddit are all for freedom of speech but only if you agree with their Mods' agenda.

mryddlin • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 12:55 PM

haha, I just visited the subreddit, got banned.

also got banned from / sex because of the last RANT - why do I have to dominate men all the time, why don't women want submissive men.

you've been banned subreddit message via / offmychest / sent 57 minutes ago you have been banned from posting to / offmychest:

[deleted] • 4 points • 8 May, 2015 12:45 PM

[permanently deleted]

IVlaskerade • 2 points • 13 May, 2015 10:39 PM

Pretty much all of the Himisphere (SRSSucks is the hub), most of the Chimpire (based around CoonTown), the Manosphere (us), the Hateosphere (centred on FatPeopleHate, which bans logically), and at least a few private subreddits that prefer to keep a low profile.

As for offmychest, well, we have /r/TrueOffMyChest.

vandaalen • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 08:32 AM

LOL. Yep. I am banned. The fear must be pretty strong in those ones.

Enzemo • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 02:59 PM

I just went there after commenting here and was autobanned. Do they run some bot to scan users post history or something? Seems extremely petty

mister_barfly75 • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 03:05 PM

As far as I can tell they have a autoban feature which automatically weeds out anyone who posts in TRP or fatpeoplehate.

QQ_L2P • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 09:25 PM

Well I'm screwed. I find both of those subs highly entertaining.

PeteMullersKeyboard • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 09:21 PM

Never been there before, took a quick look - can't post. Unreal.

[deleted] • 85 points • 7 May, 2015 07:56 PM

Dude, EXACTLY. When I discovered TRP it was a shock to my system because it was so obviously created by intelligent and articulate people.

It's hilarious. My job is non-intellectual, and when people ask me what I do for fun i occasionally mention the fact that I enjoy reading and writing sociological theories on the Internet. They look at me confused because they don't know why I would do that. They don't like reading and writing because it is not fun for them. LOL!

(Coincidentally, those same people who would never think that anything "smart" was "fun," are all somewhere on the SJW spectrum.)

I'm here because it's fucking fun and I learn new shit every day here. It feels like the only place I can truly be myself to be honest!

Jigsus • 14 points • 8 May, 2015 10:50 AM

This thread is becoming a hugbox.

I guess trp is a safe space.

GuidoBandito • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 05:58 AM

You just inspired me to write again.

sweetleef • 28 points • 7 May, 2015 07:30 PM

How does that have anything to do with the mods' agenda?

If intelligent discourse were a deciding factor, they wouldn't be using phrases like "safe spaces" in the first place.

They don't give a shit about discourse - this is about corporate pressure to get rid of non-PC material, and mods imposing their biases on the people they have power over.

RedBigMan • 28 points • 7 May, 2015 10:14 PM

You should differentiate between

Reddit Admins which have control over all of reddit
and

Reddit Mods which basically only have control over one particular sub.

Admins can fuck things up for us. Mods generally cant.

[deleted] • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 12:49 PM

[permanently deleted]

Enzemo • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:56 PM

They would probably just take it off you and then make it cannibalize itself

RedBigMan • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 10:12 PM

No half the subs are dedicated to links to lolcats and adviceanimals and other internet memes.

ChristopherBurr • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:42 AM

Censorship makes me fucking sick. If this community is banned, reddit is officially dead.

if this community is banned I will renounce my reddit membership and never return.

Overzealous_BlackGuy • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:44 AM

How many links does this sub put out a day? Anyone would think twice before banning a sub that really helps with revenue.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • -1 points • 7 May, 2015 11:15 PM

I seem to remember the Nazi party was good at intelligent discourse.

Just sayin ;)

[deleted] • 88 points • 7 May, 2015 05:20 PM*

You're trying to find logic behind SJW's actions, there is no point. Our discussions are safe, helpful to the uninitiated, and unrelated to what SJWs associate us with(I.E date rapists or domestic violence).

The real problem is our discussions don't fit the modern SJW narrative. We talk about things like how women aren't infallible, being fit is healthy, men being masculine should be encouraged instead of shamed, and how feminists/sjws spew bullshit, these topics don't fit the narrative, so we get censored, because SJWs obviously have no valid argument to make against us besides dragging our name through the dirt.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q6c_dinY3fM&feature=share this video will explain a lot

Dark-Ulfberht • 82 points • 7 May, 2015 05:31 PM

It's not hard to understand the logic behind SJW *actions*; the problem is that you are looking at their *arguments*.

Just remember that humans don't do justice; they do power. They just use words like "justice" to help sell their particular form of power-grab to outsiders.

Pay no attention to their arguments; there is no point in trying to find logic in those. Recognize that these arguments exist only as a form of marketing. Always remember, pay attention to what people *do*, not what they *say*. Once you start doing this, it's a lot easier to figure out why people do what they do.

[deleted] • 29 points • 7 May, 2015 07:16 PM

This. SJWs are little more than power-hungry authoritarians with some window-dressing that only naive morons can't recognize for what it is. Unfortunately, the world is made up of naive morons.

[deleted] • 6 points • 7 May, 2015 07:10 PM

Just remember that humans don't do justice; they do power. They just use words like "justice" to help sell their particular form of power-grab to outsiders.

At the risk of downvotes... Some people are just trying to bring justice. I've met a lot of volunteers and (non-feminist) activists who are very sincere.

Dark-Ulfberht • 23 points • 7 May, 2015 08:23 PM

I have, too. And, when I peel that onion back ever so slightly, I find people typically do these jobs because it gives them a sense of superiority over those they are "helping."

As an example, I recall a social worker who would, from time to time, interact with my social circle. She sucked in a lot of ways: unattractive, poorly educated, quite unintelligent and rather slovenly. Of course, her favorite thing to do was talk about the bad decision-making skills of her "clients" and all the good she was doing trying to rescue them.

Once, the last time I spoke with her I think, I called her bullshit. I said quite frankly that, of all our social circle, she was by far the least talented and accomplished by any measure and that I could only imagine that the reason she enjoyed the company of deadbeats was because it gave her some delusional sense of superiority.

[deleted] • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 08:26 PM

Oh yeah for sure.. I would say that covers the majority of people who are doing something to help others that doesn't overtly help themselves. I suppose in a way that is empowering themselves, although I would see it as more of a comforting act to themselves, like giving themselves a hug and talking themselves up in a mirror.

Holy shit I would love to be there. What did she say after you did that? Were your other friends OK with you saying that to her?

Dark-Ulfberht • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 08:35 PM

She just clammed up and stopped talking, which was kind of the point of my comment anyway.

My friends' reactions ranged from laughter to silence. I was kind of on the outskirts of that group to begin with; I just knew them through a girl I was hooking up with at the time. As a result, my give-a-shit level was pretty low. Also, I don't think she was particularly popular with that group, and I was subtly complimenting them through my insult, anyway.

GuidoBandito • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:22 AM

Must commend you on your lowered level of "give-a-shit" at that moment.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:39 AM

At the risk of downvotes... Some people are just trying to bring justice. I've met a lot of volunteers and (non-feminist) activists who are very sincere.

and in reply:

I have, too. And, when I peel that onion back ever so slightly, I find people typically do these jobs because it gives them a sense of superiority over those they are "helping."

The theory that people do altruistic acts because they like the way doing it makes them feel has merit. That being said, there are two very different ways that it can make them feel good:

"Doing good deeds makes me feel like I'm a generous and good person. I like feeling like that, it's good for my self-esteem."

"Haha, the people who I'm helping are losers."

Not everyone is in the second category. For example, I'm a blood donor and I don't feel any kind of superiority over the people my blood is helping. (And no, in my country, people get no money for blood donations.)

PipingHotSoup • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:18 PM

There's a difference between wanting to help deadbeats and enjoying their company, though. Did you really need to insult her? Was she being rude or something?

Dark-Ulfberht • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:45 PM

She was humble-bragging, which was all she ever did. It annoyed everyone; I was just the first one to say anything about it.

I didn't insult her; I just called a spade, a spade.

drtigerface • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 07:36 PM **[recovered]**

Disagree with them. Go hard on it. You want to bring back slavery and think women shouldn't be allowed to vote. Convince them you mean it, see how much "justice" they want to extend to you.

Tom_The_Human • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 08:48 PM

Disagreed with one of my flatmates on feminism. He's normally a rational guy with well thoughtout arguments, but got pissed at me and outright refused my (factually based) arguments completely. He literally got pissed off because I said it was guilty of using false statistics - nothing more, nothing less.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:43 AM

I once had a discussion with a feminist (mistake, I know). He seemed mostly rational until he matter-of-factly stated that by pointing out that he was misquoting sources, I was promoting rape culture and thus indirectly responsible for rape.

I haven't had a discussion with a feminist since. Guess I did learn something from that discussion after all.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 07:46 PM

To give a murderer and his victims justice, the murderer will have to be overpowered. I don't think that is necessary a power-grab by those overpowering the murderer.

Likewise if I say I want to bring back slavery, and I tie a nigger to my house and tell them to get cooking... Overpowering me would be part of bringing justice. But those who overpower me and freed my slave may or may not be trying to benefit themselves. I would hardly call them overpowering me and freeing my slave a 'power grab'.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 07:17 PM

Yes, wholeheartedly agree. Actions always speak louder than words. Being able to remember that in tough times is difficult.

curiousdude • 14 points • 7 May, 2015 08:59 PM **[recovered]**

The SJWs make sense inside of a Gramscian framework, that being to seize power and create conflict by any means necessary under the guise of making progress on social issues. Please see Why there is a culture war. Which, IMHO, is the political red pill.

ManOnManPlaydate • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 11:43 PM*

I typed out a long one to their article. Their article was good. I wanted to post there but forgot my pass. Here is my post:

This was very well written and actually enlightened me a bit on certain subjects. I understand that the political and social battles of the 19th, 20th and present centuries are vast topics. I feel it overlooks some more "crude" and "un-PC" observations, although it mentions Marxism. **Please read, I'm not**

just shitting on Jews, more on their wildly disproportional influences now and in the recent past.

For better or worse, when the Jewish thinkers behind Communism, and the Commie/Zionist split gained incredible financial and social power (in part through merit, money, part through in-group actions, and through divisive tactics and revolutionary approaches like the Frankfurt school and well funded 'fringe' initiatives - as mentioned) they became the forerunners and main agitators of many movements - playing both sides if you will.

Culture of critique and critical theory (mentioned) are part of this, and the transformation of the US under media influence and global dominance in the 60's was led by trotskyites, commies, and cultural Marxists. They had the money and the connections. A lot of the crazy 'left wing' and anti-tradition stuff comes from them originally, and they backed it legally and socially. With ever increasing power over institutions, money, and thus government, they were able to become this Power Bloc. They became untouchable, especially post Clinton (openly admitting their control).

Gov'mt candidates NEED Jewish support, or risk getting cast out. But this fairly cohesive group now has so much money, power and influence that they essentially fund and run both sides of the Dem/Rep platforms. Meanwhile, social initiatives and media nonsense keep the lower classes divided... but the upper classes can't speak out for fear of banishment or profit from not doing so. This seems almost too perfect. In part, it is so perfect *BECAUSE* of the "Judeo-Christian" thing, where the other power bloc in the US - **Christians** - kowtow to Zionist and Jewish leadership, enabling them massively.

The white guilt and all that "SJW" stuff comes from gentiles too, but the leaders and agitators (especially in media) are Jewish. **Are they all in cahoots, all-powerful? Of course not.** But they have built a very strong insular culture of their own where their narratives or the ones they like are pushed and ones they don't disappear for lack of funding or attack. It's become a serious issue, and unhealthy for the country. They have perfected media and manipulation of the lowest common denominators (especially 'marginalized' groups) to a T.

Comments welcome. I like to learn, just be civil. If anything I said here is wrong, do tell. I know it's pretty broad but I feel that ignoring the European bank houses > Communist/Zionist funding > War, revolutions and political strife > Globalist/internationalist "cabal" status on the back of America post WWII... all perpetuated to put Jewish people in 'charge' of all the important facets and misdirect everyone else is detrimental to a reasoned outlook.

ss_camaro • 4 points • 8 May, 2015 06:45 AM*

To get clinical: "psychological operations (PSYOP) are planned operations to convey *selected information* and indicators to audiences to influence their emotions, motives, objective reasoning, and ultimately behavior".

Softball talk about 'culture war' is dealing with secondary fallout issues (blowback). It is nothing but *bousy deflection* from primary actors and motives. It is late, late, late in the game to debate whether SJWs (aka useful idiots) should be taken at face-value.

frequentlywrong • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 04:37 AM

For better or worse, when the Jewish thinkers behind Communism, and the Commie/Zionist split gained incredible financial and social power (in part through merit, money, part through in-group actions, and through divisive tactics and revolutionary approaches like the Frankfurt school and well funded 'fringe' initiatives - as mentioned) they became the forerunners and

main agitators of many movements - playing both sides if you will.

Marx had close ties to the Rothchilds (who are jews). If you're unfamiliar with them, they were the wealthiest, most powerful and most secretive banking family in Europe for centuries. Hell they are likely still the wealthiest people on the planet, but they are smart enough to hide their wealth and not appear on any lists.

Rothchilds have incredible power and are linked to almost every powerful secret society. Council on foreign relations, trilateral commission, fabian socialist, bilderberg group, etc. Think of any major world politician or powerful person, he belongs to these groups. Supposedly they all stem from fabian socialists whose sigil is literally a wolf in sheep's clothing.

Honestly I think the jewish part of it is not that important. They're globalists. People who will stop at nothing to gain more power over more parts of the world. The fact that it was all started by jews is kind of an accident of history. Because only jews were allowed to be bankers in the old days which made some of them like Rothchilds incredibly wealthy. I highly doubt judaism itself plays a big part in their plans. The pioneers just happened to be jewish.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:57 PM

I see your point, but the culture war sickens me since the guise is basically the machine that keeps everyone plugged in, which prevents us from evolving into a proper society.

A_DERPING_ULTRALISK • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 05:40 PM

He had me up until he said the Civil war was to eradicate slavery. It wasn't.

The rest was pretty good though.

edwardhwhite • 18 points • 7 May, 2015 06:08 PM

actually every states articles of secession said it was. The contrary view was made up in the 1890's to soften the facts for southerners.

[deleted] • -2 points • 7 May, 2015 09:09 PM

[permanently deleted]

edwardhwhite • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 09:31 PM

Wrong.

First, the 13th Amendment was passed to outlaw chattel slavery. It was the slave states who seceded and attacked U.S. Forts. d

Second, to look at what the war was about, read the actual declaration of reasons passed by the state legislatures when they seceded. That explains their motivation for seceding and then attacking U.S. troops.

DarkyDarkskin • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 05:59 PM

What exactly was the main cause?

niczar • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 06:56 PM

Supposedly States rights, even though future Confederate States passed a federal law forcing abolitionist States to chase fugitive slaves on their behalf.

monolithdigital • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 08:33 PM

I believe the official statement coming out of the south was states freedom... to own slaves.

tangman • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 10:49 PM

What else? Money and power. After the South seceded the federal government was short on both, facing financial calamity without the import tariffs that were paid mostly by the southern states.

<https://www.lewrockwell.com/2006/01/john-v-denson/why-did-lincoln-invade-the-south/>

The original Constitution, still in effect before the war, prohibited all "direct" taxes on the people, i.e. income, estate, gift, etc., so almost all the revenue to operate the Federal government in Washington was derived from an "indirect" tax on imports. The South, being agricultural, had to import almost all manufactured goods from Europe (primarily England) or buy the products from the North.

The whole thing is a good read too.

RPthrowaway123 • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 06:53 PM

States rights but slavery was the final straw, a powder keg issue that ignited the rest.

Tom_The_Human • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 08:51 PM

Furthermore, there is a line of thought which suggests that the Confederacy would have abolished slavery anyway.

jgj09 • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 10:49 PM

Yes, the Civil War was about slavery. No it was not fought over States's Rights. What you are referencing refers to the "Lost Cause" mythos of thinking that you will see in a lot of post-war literature written by Southerners.

Read "Apostles of Disunion" by Charles Dew. Short and interesting read if you are into Civil War History. It has a plethora of primary sources that concern the messengers sent out by South Carolina directly after they seceded. These "apostles" went out to the state legislatures of the other Southern states, attempting to convince them to secede along with SC. What reasoning did they give the other states? If they wanted to keep their slaves...they had to secede.

Now, if you are talking on an individual basis, most Union soldiers didn't fight to free the slaves(as 99% of them were racist back then), and most Confederate soldiers did not even own slaves, so they weren't fighting to keep them. Lincoln's goal was to free the slaves, but if he came out and announced that as the reason, he risked losing the Border States like Kentucky and Maryland that had not joined the Confederacy. Thus, during the early years of the war Lincoln always stated that he was simply trying to keep the Union together.

Really interesting subject, but the VAST majority of historians that even remotely dabble in Civil War History disagree with you. Slavery caused the war...but it's not necessarily the motivating factor that got soldiers to go out and fight.

Ask Historians has a good section on the Civil War Causes debate on their FAQ. The bot won't let me link but just go to their FAQ on the sidebar if you're interested

[deleted] • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 12:17 AM

The federal government wanted to do its thing, which happened to include obliterating the Confederate states' wealth, ideals, and way of life. And states, back then, weren't the completely impotent political entities they are today. So the Union was about subjugating the Confederacy to its calamitous rule, and the Confederacy was about not being completely impoverished. Which it is, still, 150 years later.

And, oh yeah, Abraham Lincoln was going to export the Africans. All of them. Back to Africa, or perhaps Central America. Too bad he got shot.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 05:42 PM

[permanently deleted]

A_DERPING_ULTRALISK • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 05:45 PM

Sounds a lot like Saddam supposedly having WMDs.

Demonspawn • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 09:04 PM

Like the ones they found in 2006?

fortifiedoranges • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 10:56 PM

It was was a solid idea.

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-107publ243/html/PLAW-107publ243.htm>

M_Vitruvius_P • 29 points • 7 May, 2015 06:44 PM

The reason why communities like the ones you initially mentioned will be allowed to exist on reddit well after TRP bites the dust is because the average person is willing to acknowledge the reason those kinds of subs make them uncomfortable.

Those who oppose the ideas and strategies in TRP pull the whole 'cover ears, I can't hear you' song and dance when they ask themselves - or in the case of the vocal members of reddit, when someone else asks them - *why* TRP makes them uncomfortable. They know in their bones that at least some of the ideas here could legitimately improve their lives. Unfortunately for them, that thought goes against the narrative they are immersed in and that narrative is pretty damn cushy. It is much easier for the plugged-in to view TRP synecdochically: TRP makes me uncomfortable, find a **part** to disagree with, dismiss the **whole**, go back to being comfortable. But that nagging feeling that TRP is on to something never goes away.

If a person does not expose themselves to discomfort or stress - in the gym, in academia, or in the arena of fact against opinion that is internet - then they cannot grow. This does not mean you have to accept an opinion you disagree with, but you do have to understand why the other party came to the conclusions that they did. TRPers are quite good at doing that... once they make it beyond the anger phase.

Personally, I am not concerned with those who find comfort through the censorship of TRP (or any idea/movement) as they are not displaying a capacity to grow. These individuals will subsequently fall behind those who can and do grow.

TLDR: *Haters gonna hate* not because you're bad, but because you're good. It's easier for them to hate then for them to elevate themselves to your level.

-edit: SP+G

[deleted] • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 07:27 PM*

Responded to someone up above who said something similar but you are spot on.

I'm not a fan of people with excuses AT ALL. As a lifelong personal growth believer, i know that none of us is perfect so we should continue to look for opportunities to change and grow.

What iv also realized in the last 5 years is that SO MUCH of the population just cant deal with the notion that they could be wrong. Its a ego defense mechanism. Tyler from rsd talks about that.

People would rather bury their head in the sand than admit that their beliefs got them a disfavorable result.

That all of the lies they CHOSE to believe, needs to be uprooted, and they will have to admit to themselves that they aren't as intelligent, or confident, or attractive, or XYZ as they THOUGHT. and its Their fault for believing in those beliefs to begin with. Its a tough PILL to swallow ;)

RP_Vergil • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 12:15 AM

To us : this makes sense/op is in anger phrase and needs to vent/seems like there are stuff which can discussed here.

To them : MAH TRIGGERS/MAH FWEELS/MISOGYNIST/RAPE/BLAH BLAH BLAH/*insert Hamster Wheel here

dvrzero • 23 points • 7 May, 2015 05:15 PM

I've had posts on this sub get removed for "men's rights" that weren't even close to men's rights related content.

So yes, this sub does censor. There are quite a few subs that censor much less than this one. No, I won't mention them.

ametalshard • 19 points • 7 May, 2015 05:47 PM

Yeah, no one should get it twisted; every sub has mods. Every sub is a monarchy. The point is those mods should be the only people moderating.

beerthroway • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:28 AM

No voice, free exit. Wonderful model of leadership. My way or the highway works really well because only the best leaders (proven results) actually get followed.

sunwukong155 • 24 points • 7 May, 2015 06:39 PM

We don't censor anything.

Correct me if I am wrong, but didn't the mods come out saying they will ban people for "bro-knighting"

That is to say, they will ban people for advocating that you shouldn't sleep with other people's wives/girlfriends and that its immoral to do so?

Tom_The_Human • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 09:04 PM

Shh, quit interrupting the circlejerk.

But seriously, one could offer the dissenting opinion that, as this is a place for discussing sexual strategy (which is amoral), this is not the place for that.

sunwukong155 • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 09:12 PM*

Yeah except sleeping with people's girlfriends and wives isn't a sexual strategy. Learning game, lifting, increasing your social value and doing things that gets women into bed with you is sexual

strategy. Sleeping with someone's wife is an action in of itself. And it's immoral to sleep with people's wives.

Unless you believe in moral relativism, in which point you can call anything amoral.

The way I see it, sexual strategy is amoral, but that doesn't mean every action a red pill subscriber makes to get laid can't be judged morally. You can beat the shit out of a guy to impress a punk girl and call it amoral. You can get a girl addicted to crack and give it to her for sex and call it amoral. Or you can just be awesome and fuck single chicks who want to "have fun", which there are plenty of.

Either way. It's a discussion we should not be censored from having. I think guys who sleep with people's wives are scum, you think they are amoral. Nothing wrong with that.

Tom_The_Human • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 09:19 PM

I subscribe to the idea that the onus is on the person in a relationship not to cheat. However, sleeping with a friend's partner is immoral.

sunwukong155 • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 09:24 PM

Yeah that's a position I respect. And it's not something I rail about on the sub but I feel strongly about the gradual degradation of our culture and society and I think it just immoral to sleep with someone's wife if you know they are married. I don't give a fuck about girlfriends, but marriage should be held to a higher standard.

The best way we can start fighting back against the divorce rape men suffer from is to respect marriage again and not let women cheat and abandon their husbands. If a girl locks down a beta bux , she needs to deal with the draw backs or be disgraced. As red pill men we can at least find a different easy slut to fuck for the night. Shouldn't be hard for anyone who takes self improvement seriously to do

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 05:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

Tom_The_Human • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:13 PM

Yeah, if the friend is red pill and you provide proof, I would argue that that is moral (as long as you didn't go out of your way to game the LTR). If my LTR were to cheat, I'd prefer it to be with a friend and for them to tell me.

QQ_L2P • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 10:01 PM

The fuck do morals have to do with any of this? You take any actions you are willing to accept the consequences of. If you aren't, don't take the action. It's as simple as that.

Fucking your friends SO is dumb because your friend provides more value to you than a pussy that you could get anywhere else. That is why fucking a friend's girl is a stupid idea, it has nothing to do with morality.

SgtBrutalisk • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 06:01 PM

What do you do if the girl is throwing herself onto you and her boyfriend is extremely jealous and thinks you already slept together?

wiseclockcounter • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 06:10 AM

I've always figured this sub bans the discussion because it is irrelevant. Both sides of the "is it

good or bad" debate can acknowledge that the cheating does exist. If some poster on TRP isn't gunning for your wife, someone else is. So trying to convince this dude on reddit won't change anything.

I'm with you on that I think it is wrong. It shows a lack of respect. But even though TRP is growing, we can't reach everybody-- and even if we could we'd be hard pressed to change their mind. So why allow unnecessary discussion that compartmentalizes a sub that is supposed to be about accepting hard truths?

sunwukong155 • 2 points • 9 May, 2015 09:54 AM

Well discussions on the matter were never banned on the grounds of irrelevancy, just on grounds that "bro-knighting" was annoying and we all need to adhere to the "everything you do to get sex is amoral" philosophy.

Not all of us WANT to be dark triads and many of us are already married and it rustles some jimmies seeing the mods condone gaming other people's wives. We talk about society falling apart because traditional values have been lost. Well discouraging men from sleeping with married women used to be among those values.

You could say that as individuals we should try to act in a way that doesn't harm other men. The red pill doesn't need to just be about getting laid.

wiseclockcounter • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 07:12 AM

no, i totally agree with you. its a sad state of affairs and its too bad more people don't recognize it as such.

AynRandFor200 • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 05:21 PM

There has never been a better reminder of the absolute power that the hint of access to a vagina has over beta males than to watch white knights grovel by way of euphemism. I call it auto-erotic-pedestalization.

I don't expect an outright ban of the subreddit. I expect rules which force "inclusiveness" and moderator punishment when "appropriate" measures aren't taken for "harassment". Fun times are ahead.

coffee_and_lumber • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 06:18 PM

I'd like to see a poll among TRP-following people in long term relationships and see how many of those relationships benefited from the strategies outlined here.

Especially vs. the advice given on /r/relationships.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 07:16 PM

I'd be interested in this as well

Nubsly- • 18 points • 7 May, 2015 05:38 PM **[recovered]**

discussions are more thought out and intelligent *than 99%* of the communities

Don't do that. You simply make it too easy for people to dismiss or discredit you. You're better than silly made up statistics and exaggerations.

Tom_The_Human • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 08:55 PM

Although you are right, when someone uses the "99%" figure, they vary rarely mean it literally (although

our detractors will wilfully misinterpret it as so).

Nubsly- • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:07 AM

(although our detractors will wilfully misinterpret it as so).

This is the entire reason we need to be better than to do it. Always be better than your opponent whenever possible. In this case, its a pretty simple thing to accomplish.

Halfjor • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:34 PM

I would actually stand by that. The *"more than 99%"* thing is obviously a common statement. It's kind of cheap.

Still. I was on reddit on my main account long before I found TRP. The majority of subreddits are simple. Post pictures, get karma. There are some that have actual discussion, but you still find the same circlejerky shit in every other post.

In my mind, TRP is up there with places like /r/AskHistorians as far as thoughtful discussion, quality moderation, and a strong membership.

sweetleef • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 07:24 PM

how stupid someone must be to think they could justify censoring this community.

This has happened to every big forum - it may start out with open participation, but the mods and admins always get full of themselves and start looking for ways to exert their power and biases on everyone else.

They start banning and regulating and meddling with the content, and eventually it reaches a point where people just abandon the forum en masse. It then either disappears or becomes some kind of corporate ad-farm shithole like digg or AOL.

It's well on its way at reddit - anything sufficiently controversial is already restricted, shadowbanned, or just removed without notice. The default front page is already an absurd mix of facebook-level pop culture celebrity garbage, progressive/SJW propaganda, and corporate astroturfing. You have to work to find your way past that crap, and they aren't going to change things to make it any easier.

The good news is that hosting is cheap, and another TRP site/forum will surface soon enough, where the cycle will repeat.

Tom_The_Human • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 09:06 PM

another TRP site/forum will surface soon enough, where the cycle will repeat.

There are plenty of dedicated TRP forums already out there.

Derjenige • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 01:40 PM [recovered]

Vast in number, how is the quality? Anywhere else you frequent that you'd recommend that has an active discussion board to use as well?

Tom_The_Human • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:05 PM

I sometimes go on Roosh and it seems pretty good.

Funstation • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 09:47 PM [recovered]

I've been browsing this sub for a few weeks. I like to get different points of view so here's my first comment. I

haven't read anything sinister or malicious here. It does prevent a different approach for interacting with women, depending on what you want. Feminism does this with men and can fuck the guys life up in any number of ways. I've often seen the phrase "leave her better than you found her" in reference to plates. I'd say that's a positive way to deal with a negative situation. It's also about dealing with, or rather conquering every bit of your own existence. I don't understand the immense hate either. If this sub is guilty of anything it's showing men how to improve their lives by being selfish and disciplined.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 05:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

Willyam2010 • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 06:00 PM

"It's not about women. [...] It's about men" Sounds like hate speech to me.

Anyway, to a leftist, freedom of expression means freedom to conform and repeat ad nauseam the "truths" laid out in the mainstream media. The rest must be hate speech. If I should keep one thing from the US it would be the first amendment, even if it's not good enough: what good is freedom of expression if you are denied access to the spaces where your ideas can get visibility? It's all about the control of opinions and through it, of the people. We do live in the matrix.

chaimkikestien • 23 points • 7 May, 2015 06:26 PM

Erm no. I got banned from TRP on a different account for discussing race realism. No pejoratives or invectives were used. I simply questioned the "we are all equal" line presented in one thread. We are not all equal. The biological reality is that the races are not the same. Each race has different strengths and weaknesses.

Please don't go congratulating yourself when your sub is less committed to free and open expression than is coontown.

InfectdProlapsedAnus • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 09:33 PM [recovered]

Each race has different strengths and weaknesses

Anyone who doesn't acknowledge this has their head buried in the sand.

[deleted] • 11 points • 8 May, 2015 02:14 AM

[permanently deleted]

SgtBrutalisk • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 05:56 PM

The racial superiority really does exist. We say as an insult "you rich Jew" because they are absurdly smart and go into banking and finance at an overwhelming rate, making them filthy rich.

iagovar • -20 points • 7 May, 2015 07:04 PM

There's no such thing as race. Update your sources. The barriers between what you call races are not solid.

chaimkikestien • 20 points • 7 May, 2015 07:25 PM*

the barriers you call races are not solid

That's the fallacy of the beard, i.e. beards don't exist because you can't tell the exact moment when a beard goes from scruff to beard.

There's no such thing as race.

About that:

The Inconvenient Science of Racial DNA Profiling

The FBI, Louisiana State Police, Baton Rouge Police Department and sheriff's departments soon began a massive search. Based on an FBI profile and a confident eyewitness, the Multi-Agency Homicide Task Force futilely upended South Louisiana in search of a young white man who drove a white pick-up truck. They interrogated possible suspects, knocked on hundreds of doors, held frequent press conferences and sorted through thousands of tips.

In late December, after a fourth murder, police set up a dragnet to obtain DNA from some 1200 white men. Authorities spent months and more than a million dollars running those samples against the killer's. Still nothing.

In early March, 2003, investigators turned to Tony Frudakis, a molecular biologist who said he could determine the killer's race by analyzing his DNA. They were unsure about the science, so, before giving him the go-ahead, the task force sent Frudakis DNA swabs taken from 20 people whose race they knew and asked him to determine their races through blind testing. He nailed every single one.

Still, when they gathered in the Baton Rouge police department for a conference call with Frudakis in mid-March, they were not prepared to hear or accept his conclusions about the killer.

"Your guy has substantial African ancestry," said Frudakis. "He could be Afro-Caribbean or African American but there is no chance that this is a Caucasian. No chance at all."

There was a prolonged, stunned silence, followed by a flurry of questions looking for doubt but Frudakis had none. Would he bet his life on this, they wanted to know? Absolutely. In fact, he was certain that the Baton Rouge serial killer was 85 percent Sub-Saharan African and 15 percent native American.

"This means we're going to turn our investigation in an entirely different direction," Frudakis recalls someone saying. "Are you comfortable with that?"

"Yes. I recommend you do that," he said. And now, rather than later since, in the time it took Frudakis to analyze the sample, the killer had claimed his fifth victim. The task force followed Frudakis' advice and, two months later, the killer was in custody.

Wired October 2007

DoctorsHateHim • -2 points • 7 May, 2015 08:25 PM*

I don't think that there is a debate about whether races exist or not, but there is a debate about if you should change your treatment of individuals based on any of this. It makes sense to be aware of underlying genetical differences, but everyone is still human and cannot be treated differently because of their race, because that is a dangerous road to take, since it is exactly what ideologies like National Socialism are based on.

Nothing good ever came from treating people differently because of their race in my opinion, because even if any "trait" of a race applied to 95% of the people in that race, there will always be people that do not share that trait.

thisjibberjabber • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 10:07 PM

Just to play devil's advocate for a second, there might be some good from suggesting

ashkenazi jews get tested for certain genetic diseases, or that dark skinned people living in places with not much sun might want to supplement with vitamin D.

But your larger point that something like 'race realism' is not likely to lead anywhere good is probably still valid. It's too easy to attribute the status quo to essential characteristics vs. compounded advantages/disadvantages over history.

DoctorsHateHim • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 11:57 AM

Just to play devil's advocate for a second, there might be some good from suggesting ashkenazi jews get tested for certain genetic diseases, or that dark skinned people living in places with not much sun might want to supplement with vitamin D.

That is not playing devils advocate really, ignoring vitamin D supplementation or testing yourself for genetic diseases if you statistically are at a higher risk for them because of political correctness is just idiotic.

This is political correctness clearly gone too far. People who want to ignore race completely are in my opinion, just not capable of recognizing genetic differences while uncoupling those differences from their treatment of people.

The races *are* genetically different, that does not mean you should treat them any differently, except in places where it makes sense, like, as you already said, supplementing vitamin D, etc.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 03:36 AM

When someone says "there's no such thing as race," then there is apparently some debate about whether races exist or not.

DoctorsHateHim • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:00 PM

Someone who says "there is no such thing as race" clearly ignores reality, because genetics prove that there are. I was talking about what to do after recognizing this fact.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:48 PM

I have never been able to come up with a good line on where to allow discrimination and where to prohibit it. It seems to me like anything written into law would be hamfisted at best and catastrophic at worst. It is obvious that people should be able to discriminate in their personal lives, and be prepared to also ignore their prejudices on a case by case basis. It also seems like really crappy policy to have any laws or regulations that specifically apply to one race in it's entirety. So I don't have a policy proposal: I don't think there is a moral and effective one to be found.

Zachar1a • -4 points • 7 May, 2015 08:16 PM [recovered]

I think this story proves /u/iagovar's point. There is no such thing as race, there is only DNA.

the Baton Rouge serial killer was 85 percent Sub-Saharan African and 15 percent native American.

Those are 2 different "races". So which one was he?

Zachar1a • -3 points • 7 May, 2015 08:18 PM [recovered]

Most "white" Americans have at least some African DNA in them.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 11:45 PM

[permanently deleted]

Zachar1a • 1 points • 7 May, 2015 11:53 PM [recovered]

What? That's not a sentence.

[deleted] • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 08:43 PM

That's really only true in the South.

LuckyLuke23 • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 03:35 AM

I can't even imagine how stupid someone must be to think they could justify censoring this community. If I had my way I wouldn't ban anyone that had different views than me. SRS, TwoX, Feminism, and any other communities like that are welcome on reddit. Free and open discussion is the key to a healthy society. I think most everyone here would agree with me.

I thought this was the point of reddit when it was first created.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 05:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 02:09 AM

well yeah... I know this...

I was talking about the original idea. like... when you are all sat around the table drinking beer and one guy says... "you know what would be cool?"

[deleted] • 6 points • 7 May, 2015 07:15 PM*

This. Soooo much this.

Censorship has and ALWAYS will be promoted by the weaker side in hopes of leveling the field.

Although i don't agree to or subscribe to all things TRP, its been a great outlet of discussion, intelligent debate, well articulated thoughts,.. And yet shit subs that actually glorify violence or hatred exist.. Because they don't threaten those who run reddit. Such bullshit.

Im a big believer in personal development as well as Darwinism (maybe to a fault). Look, im not delusional enough to think Im the most badass, alpha dude ever. But iv worked on myself to be pretty badass and alpha. .. AND If you're threatened, maybe you gotta look at yourself and look at where you're failing; where you can improve. If not, life will do away with you. This includes subreddits full of people who just hate TRP because they don't understand, aren't willing to try, or just don't want their pretty little beliefs challenged because they would have to rethink every sorry, shitty decision in their lives.

But then there are asshole SJWs that resort to childish tricks to be heard.

Moneyley • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 09:14 PM

When the truths told here cant be rationalized/accepted, they are criticized. Women aren't supposed to "hit a wall" women can't "be handled" this easy. "If you tell women what to do you'll lose her respect" lies after lies that TRP has discovered using the simple approach of just working on yourself. The consensus here is to

work on the best version of yourself and a philosophy that says we dont have to pay on the first date, just like women dont have to put out on the first date. They want the first part but the 2nd part still sticks? Reddit defeated by keyboard warriors- is where this is headed

ChadThundercockII • 6 points • 7 May, 2015 07:20 PM

Picsofdeadkids is sicker than child porn.

Dr_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 08:29 AM*

No, it is not. Death is part of the natural cycle of life, not a perversion - like having sex with prepubescent children.

Most media will not show the real cost of war, which is gross, disturbing, graphic and does involve death and dead children.

If the media published more images of the carnage that war unleashes on fragile human flesh, maybe there would be less chickenhawks.

There have been a lot of dead children shown in the past decade, in flag draped coffins arriving on Air Force bases at night, 17, 18, 19 year old kids who are never going to get to go on the internet and proclaim that pictures of dead children should not be shown on a social media site.

Rethink your stance and what you just typed on your keyboard.

ChadThundercockII • 0 points • 10 May, 2015 12:05 PM

I'm telling you, pictures of dead children are far more disturbing than child porn. At least for me, looking at dead kids fucks with my mind, not so much with adults. Death is indeed a part of the natural life cycle, but we hate it.

Dr_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 09:10 PM

Your argument is based on the presumption that you have viewed an equal ratio of child pornography to dead children.

Go ahead and perform some research and get back to me. You have no idea what you are talking about.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 09:39 PM

I have been into the dark web and back. So yes, I have see an almost equal ratio of both perversions. Dead kids pictures are the worse.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 11:16 PM

That's a very stupid position.

wiseclockcounter • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 06:12 AM

I guess it's a position that supposes masturbating to *live* children is ~~better~~ less bad.

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 09:20 AM

Here's a kid that died in Syria. People wank over it.

Here's a child kept as a sex slave and raped to fuel a market of which you're a customer.

I'm pretty sure one is obviously worse than the other. I know it's not you but I'm really struggling to see why people agree with the initial presumption.

azasinner • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:02 PM

Then we'll make another "reddit".

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:57 PM

Reddit is open source. If we are scorned we can spin up a new instance with TRP as the only Sub on an S3 server for pretty much nothing.

bitches_be_crazy86 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:29 PM

Should we look for alternative medium / website / forum ? Hell yes it's just a matter of time.

Don't forget it's **ein Volk, ein Reich, ein Feminism!!** wish I could tell I am exaggerating here with comparing SJWs to nazism but I am not sure myself if it's really exaggeration.

killcat • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:15 PM

Remember we are the enemy, intelligent discourse is the enemy of empty headed feminism and SJW's. They tend to believe what they are told without critical examination, and anything that goes against this is not just wrong it's evil.

xwm • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 11:22 PM

Excellent post, I only have two points to bring up.

| We don't censor anything.

Well, that's not entirely true. Dissenting opinion is sometimes downvoted hard, even if it has logic behind it. While this isn't exactly censorship, it is a disappointing trend. (Discussions on what a "true alpha" is is a good example of this.)

| If this community is banned, reddit is officially dead.

No it isn't, unless you mean dead as in not useful. Some subs still exist outside of here that use critical thinking, like dark enlightenment and such. If we are banned, those will probably move on too, but the rest of reddit will stay behind and be just fine without us. Most people on reddit don't care about quality content, they just want mind numbing shit to keep them occupied, or an outrage echo chamber. Reddit will simply turn into another tumblr.

Talisk3r • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:15 AM

I don't buy that anyone feels threatened by TRP.

Has anyone actually credibly said they would reverse lookup someone's IP address, drive to their house and kill them with a chainsaw?

In my mind that would be a credible threat. Calling someone a bad name (YouTube comment section lol) is not a threat and people should stop being soft and pathetic.

PlebDestroyer • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:39 AM

| /r/picsofdeadkids[1] and /r/coontown

Holy fuck. And they give us shit? Wish TRP could leave from this cesspool.

Audunis • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:17 AM

Because we can be right. That's more dangerous

riverraider69 • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 09:10 AM

We don't censor anything.

That is outright wrong. The official policy of this sub is to delete posts for a large number of reasons, starting with quality, topic, concern trolling etc. I've had at least two posts deleted from the front page which had already generated interesting conversation in comments (one about getting out of the friend zone, and the first thread about Ellen Pao a few days after she became CEO, deleted after being upvoted over 100).

I'm not saying this to complain - I actually agree with the mods that this policy is necessary (though I do wish for a bit less groupthink). If you want to debate TRP ideas, you can do it very well in /r/PurplePillDebate. But you can't say this subreddit has completely open and free conversation, because it's simply not true.

niczar • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 10:50 AM

/r/fatpeoplehate gets way more hate than TRP these days.

krakosia • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 11:10 AM

Public opinion is hard to swing against, especially for a company like reddit run by miss sjw herself. In simpler times you could expect a logical analysis and likely continuing of subs like this one but today it is an inevitability.

tatebequert • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:05 PM

SJW tears say more than real evidence EVER could.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:30 PM

You can if you wanted run your own version of Reddit on your own server. The source code and instructions for setting up the Reddit software is available here : <https://github.com/reddit/reddit>

I'm a great fan of the Reddit forum format - it allows for better forum interaction than other formats, and by doing it this way you could keep the TRP look, feel and interaction the same as it is now.

Enzemo • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:54 PM

I get more hate for subbing to r/childfree than I do for TRP. People seem to think that you're the most vile, disgusting human being to walk the planet if you don't want or like children.

People make subs like this out to be worse than r/greatapes or r/watchniggersdie

Involution88 • -1 points • 7 May, 2015 08:55 PM

/r/coontown and /r/picsofdeadkids make the communities look bad.

The redpill offers an alternative to the prevailing narrative.

Coontown, picsofdeadkids, cutefemale corpses etc. would probably stay.

SHARPastack • -1 points • 10 May, 2015 02:46 PM

I suspect a shockingly large percentage of you 110,000 are struggling with acne and moms restricting computer use. Whining goofballs.

CopperFox3c • 77 points • 7 May, 2015 04:47 PM*

The ironic thing about "free speech" is that it is often the more moderate voices that get shouted down. Is anything we are saying here on TRP all that radical? We've got people on here discussing Nietzsche, David

Hume, and Marcus Aurelius. People basing their arguments on scientific evidence, evolutionary psychology, and rational thought.

The only thing "radical" or "vitriolic" about TRP is that we don't fit into mainstream thought. But most things didn't when first conceptualized.

[deleted] • 48 points • 7 May, 2015 11:22 PM

TRP is the radical notion that women are just people.

DrMungMung • 9 points • 9 May, 2015 03:16 PM

I think that's the issue.. we don't automatically view women as perfect beautiful creatures devoid of responsibility for their actions... we see them for what they are... human beings.

PookIsLovePookIsLife • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 03:08 AM

Most of TRP is just a common sense approach to human nature. The core of what's said here has been known as long as humans have existed. The masculine being attracted to the feminine is not exactly a radical idea. Plenty of RP teachings in religious texts.

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 05:50 PM

[permanently deleted]

CopperFox3c • 38 points • 7 May, 2015 06:08 PM

I understand your point ... but **why should we allow feminism, fem-centric social conventions, and misandry to define the way we as men talk about male issues?** Women talk about men however they want, objectify us, call us pigs, denigrate masculinity ... and society thinks that is all completely fine. That's bullshit.

Rollo has pointed this out before.

Code_Bordeauxx • 6 points • 7 May, 2015 06:50 PM*

To hell with PC speech and all the fem-centric social conventions. But with all the ridiculous ways AWALT, among other things, is thrown around here (Edit: by this I mean by naive new members), there is certainly some room for improvement.

[deleted] • 14 points • 8 May, 2015 12:07 AM

[permanently deleted]

Code_Bordeauxx • -1 points • 8 May, 2015 09:33 AM

But that's not how it's used all the time, now is it? I will do my part in reporting this stuff, but I think it's an issue to be aware of.

[deleted] • 4 points • 8 May, 2015 02:57 PM

[permanently deleted]

Code_Bordeauxx • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 03:14 PM

I think you really, really got me wrong here mate. Allow me to clarify. I like to think I fully understand the core concepts of TRP. I did a lot of reading into it and have an academical mind to process them. (Edit to mention that I ofc also have experience with them). I believe we have a large influx of members who do not understand these

concepts at all, but still throw the corresponding terms around in their posts, AWALT being one of them.

"My girlfriend was messed up and I went along with it because I didn't know the red flags, but I found TRP and now I know all girls are messed up like this. AWALT people!"

There is a lot of this noise, and I care about this because it hurts our credibility in the eyes of the newer members we're trying to attract. The sub is trying to grow after all, isn't it? Lastly, by no means do I have any problem with the language used here.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 04:34 PM

[permanently deleted]

Code_Bordeauxx • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:01 PM*

It's not wrong, what he says. The problem is he is judging her as a man. Treating her the way he wanted to be treated is probably why it failed.

Or it was beyond saving in the first place because she was indeed messed up. There are god knows how many ticking time bombs out there. But they do not represent all women. That all women share key tendencies, I do not deny.

You should know that's not how attraction works. Pandering to feepees earns you no respect or admiration.

I'm not talking about increasing attraction, I'm talking about decreasing repulsion. Edit: People have a hard enough time finding their way here as it is. When they do, I would like them to not be running into scientific illiteracy or horribly wrong use of generalisations. I'll do my part in reporting like I mentioned. I just said there was room for improvement, nothing more.

No. Not really. TRP is not proselytizing. In fact most advice is not to talk about it and if you must definitely don't use the jargon.

Oh please. We're not actively recruiting, no. But after all the patting on the back about the 110.000, don't start telling me this sub doesn't have an ambition for growth. Some things are hindering that growth, and they can be combatted without falling victim to political correctness or the feminist agenda. That's all I'm saying.

DannyDemotta • 2 points • 10 May, 2015 06:38 AM

So there's so much of this noise, you couldn't find and quote a single example? You had to just make one up out of thin air? Whose side are you on, any way? This smells funny.

You know you'll get banned if you police tone. You know you'll get banned if you express concern about coarse language. So now you've contrived this angle about "I just think you're using the words wrong", as a backhanded way to shut people up. Man up and stop beating around the bush, dude. If you have a problem, come out and say it.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 10:30 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 11:31 PM

Yes we can trim the fat retards in here that don't understand the concept of AWALT see above. God you faggots have crippled this place.

[deleted] • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 06:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 15 points • 7 May, 2015 06:46 PM

[permanently deleted]

DoctorsHateHim • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 08:47 PM

Do you think AWALT, as shorthand for "its in the nature of women to tend to act that way" is true or false? If it's true, why does it matter if some people don't like us for saying it?

Because that is the TRP, a forum for (harsh) realities.

In my opinion a big reason for saying AWALT is a problem within men themselves and that is the (maybe learned, maybe inherent) tendency for pedestalization and oneitis "Yes, THAT woman is like that, but not MY woman/MY friend, she would never do that". This mindset is not healthy and not based in reality and saying AWALT, in my opinion, makes the reader more aware, that women are just people and the genders each have their own tendencies.

Code_Bordeauxx • -2 points • 8 May, 2015 02:15 PM*

When used in that fashion it's very easily interpreted as "wow EVEN my women did that (cheating, manipulating, you name it) so now I'm sure ALL women **will do that to you eventually.**" You'll see AWALT often in this context. That is simply not true, not the way AWALT is intended to be used and it will do more harm than good.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 May, 2015 03:24 PM

now I'm sure ALL women **will do that to you eventually**

Not necessarily "*will do*" but rather "*could do*" under certain circumstances. Do not confuse alertness (AWALT) with pessimism. Example:

Not all lions will attack you and kill you for no reason....but all lions **could** attack you and kill you **under the right set of circumstances**, so beware.

See the difference? Now apply the same logic to a different animal, the female Homo sapiens.

Code_Bordeauxx • 0 points • 11 May, 2015 03:27 PM

Read carefully before you comment next time.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 May, 2015 04:15 PM

I did. You created a strawman argument to make your case. It's a prime example of white-knighting.

Red Pill stance: all women are biological creatures that respond to certain stimuli

and (evolutionary?) physiological/psychological impetuses, which are based on improving their own condition and odds of sexual success (hypergammy).

All women that are in relationships do this, therefore AWALT. All women are **capable** of cheating (will they *actually* do it though? Maybe, maybe not, it depends...) All women are capable of manipulating, and they do, no exceptions.

You're essentially saying: "let's give other unknown women (idealized unicorns) the benefit of the doubt." Why? So we can get fucked? Giving someone the benefit of the doubt (implicit trust) is basically the same as bending over and praying they don't shaft you. Why do that? Red Pill is about telling guys to *watch the fuck out* and not get wrecked.

You still don't get it?

Code_Bordeauxx • 1 point • 11 May, 2015 05:04 PM

I see you understand AWALT. I'm glad you do. Apparently I have failed to let you see I do aswell, nor did my opinion on the matter come across right. I am not further debating here, if you want to understand my thoughts on AWALT then I would redirect you to the recent thread 'the importance of awalt' by EC u/fluviant. First comment.

james-watson • 13 points • 7 May, 2015 06:49 PM*

TRP does all of the things you state because individual women, who belong to the larger group of feminists and the feminist legal/political apparatus have made ALL men's lives extremely difficult over the past 50 years.

One look at family courts, divorce law, custody, alimony and the entire divorce-industrial-complex makes this abundantly clear. There have been many books recently, and even a documentary [1] detailing exactly these issues.

Men, as a whole, have every right to be extremely angry and vent that anger toward feminist legislators that have made these injustices not only possible, but justifiable.

Until you've gone through a divorce with assets and children, been falsely accused of sexual assault, and stripped of everything you've worked to built, you cannot possibly fathom what is happening to men in the west today.

In reality, men have reacted with extreme dignity and poise to the viciousness with which they've been treated in society. If the sexes were reversed, there would be riots and bra-burning until every responsible individual was jailed.

1. <http://www.imdb.com/title/tt2636456/>

SHARPastack • -1 points • 10 May, 2015 02:16 PM

Wah wah wah.

You're projecting you're divorce troubles upon all women; stop whining long enough to stop and think for a while. Maybe then you'll become less of a cunt.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 May, 2015 03:29 PM

Your vagina, is it bleeding again?

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 11:25 PM*

You still don't get AWALT do you? It's an inflammatory tongue in cheek response to NAWALT. The point being that if 5% of women are NALT then it pays to proceed as if AWALT.

Exceptions are found to every rule, yet it's most efficient to react to the rule that these exceptions don't exist (most of the time).

Not every bullet kills!

I'm still wearing body armour.

From this and the rest of your post you don't understand TRP and now concern trolling over your misinterpretation of it. Winner.

sweetleef • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 07:56 PM

Is this a problem?

Maybe you could, instead, define why it is a problem, or what about "us", precisely, needs to be "improved". The burden of establishing a crime rests on the accuser.

I don't have a problem with people having their own opinions - if you do, you should justify why you hold the power to restrict what they believe and say.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 01:56 AM

[permanently deleted]

sweetleef • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 07:40 AM

The original comment is deleted, but it said something about TRP opinion offending people and "how can we improve ourselves?" - my point is that first you'd need to define what needs "improving".

The earlier comment seemed to suggest that some form of self-censoring is necessary/suggested to avoid "offending" some people. My default position would be that people should have the freedom to form and hold their own opinions, and that if those opinions "offend" others, those others should have the freedom to not listen. I am not forcing my views on anyone, they are free to agree, disagree, or ignore.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 08:43 AM

[permanently deleted]

sweetleef • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 08:56 AM

I don't know why it was deleted - if it was deleted by a mod, I agree that the deletion would be disappointing.

PlebDestroyer • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 02:51 AM

Wow, I had no idea who Nietzsche was before reading your comment and now I can see that his philosophies are embedded deeply in a lot of TRP theory. Love this guy's stuff from what I saw in a short youtube video.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 04:39 PM

[permanently deleted]

PlebDestroyer • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 09:17 PM

his personal life directly contradicts his philosophy so it doesn't matter.

PeteMullersKeyboard • 2 points • 10 May, 2015 09:10 PM

Obviously. That's not the point they care about though. They care about exactly the fact that we go against the grain, that we dare to speak up against the SJW swarm that seems to be taking over.

Enzemo • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:50 PM

The problem is, if we leave it to the vote, we cant discuss TRP anywhere else due to other users assuming we're Satan.

Surely this is like saying there can't be an Islam board because nobody discusses Christianity on it

fuck_da_haes • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 07:38 PM

I saw circling around imgur set of cca 100 screenshots of cherry picked lines from tRP, mainly guys going from anger stage, some idiots talking about soft rape or some shit ... and that's all what they got. Its like a year since I saw it the last time and mods here are doing amazing jobs of chasing idiots away.

flyingwolf • 3 points • 11 May, 2015 08:00 PM

Anytime I have asked about why people hate TRP it's always that exact same set of images from well over a year ago.

And in every instance they point to it say the "endorsed contributor" is talking about rape as a good thing.

I asked if they actually read the words and what he was saying, and without fail none have.

fuck_da_haes • 2 points • 12 May, 2015 06:21 AM

I don't comment on them anymore, its a sisyphus ordeal, we can help only those who want to be helped.

flyingwolf • 1 point • 11 May, 2015 07:53 PM

One major is is that people think free speech gives them carte blanche to say anything they wish with impunity.

I had a neighbor last year call me a pedophile because I have the nerve to put up security cameras after his kids vandalized my home.

His exact drunken words were "Pedophile, pedophile here, freedom of speech!"

And somehow, the judge agreed.

LordXerces • 111 points • 7 May, 2015 04:27 PM

"Safe space". That term makes me shudder. The SJW movement is actively working towards turning every place on Earth into a McDonalds ballpen. They're constantly portraying the world as a dangerous place where words can give PTSD if you're not careful, and more and more people are swallowing these delusions of fear and paranoia. God bless Generation V(ictimhood).

redditarcm89 • 44 points • 7 May, 2015 04:52 PM [recovered]

McDonald's ball pits are fucking dirty.

kick6 • 15 points • 7 May, 2015 04:58 PM

No, no. Those plastic balls are far too hard.

Ailer • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 05:06 PM

I always preferred Generation V(igilante) myself. Not only is the world a dangerous space, it is a dangerous space only *they* can fix, regardless of any mechanisms already in place. They don't trust existing methods and organizations... it's them vs the world.

It'd sorta be RedPill if it were bettering themselves instead of trying to change the world. Missed it by *that* much.

PookIsLovePookIsLife • 5 points • 8 May, 2015 03:10 AM

The word triggered is my trigger

coffee_and_lumber • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 06:29 PM

"Safe spaces" are fine. For women. They are attracted to security and strength, or at least the appearance of it. We know this more intimately than most. Go ahead ladies.

However, this is not something for men. This sub, as one of the more masculine areas of Reddit, should not be a "safe space". Everyone is open to criticism and derision here, as well as praise and respect. And sometimes, it (metaphorically) comes to blows. But you earn your place, either way.

If the entire site were "cleansed" tomorrow, there would still be justification for keeping "unsafe" areas if they are male-centric.

PookIsLovePookIsLife • 4 points • 8 May, 2015 03:19 AM

The thing is there are already safe spaces for women. /TwoXchromosomes, /askwomen, /feminism and really most of the default subs usually don't allow or upvote non PC material. They're demanding that all of reddit become a safe space, which is just silly. If they cant handle a block of text written on the internet, they need to grow up.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:47 AM

The obvious solution is to require women to self identify, and have places where they mustn't go. You know, for their own safety.

sweetleef • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 07:53 PM

turning every place on Earth into a McDonalds ballpen.

McDonald's ballpens are far too unrestricted.

Their ideal is a male-funded ballpen that only admits overprivileged, shallow-headed women and their effeminate obedient eunuchs, where everyone has to pretend to be interested while they whine and rant about how the world doesn't give them enough attention and free shit.

runnerrun2 • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 06:32 PM

At the same time feminism is quickly is going out of fashion so it should be interesting to see what's next.

Stinkfished • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 10:05 AM

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PkoypI0yjrQ>

[deleted] • 95 points • 7 May, 2015 04:14 PM

[permanently deleted]

PartyTrickster • 30 points • 7 May, 2015 04:27 PM

For someone pushing an agenda, we're more dangerous now than when we were so hated most people took us as a joke. Now we're a threat. Not saying that means they'll be stupid enough to shut us down, but they have more reason to now than they did before.

Amen on not being victims. Let's keep the discussion objective.

newls • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 05:37 PM

Aye, just because it'd be silly to shut the TRP sub down doesn't mean they won't do it.

ilikesquash2 • 25 points • 7 May, 2015 05:10 PM

I think the "less hated" also has to do with people ACTUALLY reading and finding out what Redpill is. Then realizing that there is no hate going on in the sub. A tiny bit of anger yes (we are in fact human), but no real hate. People start reading what we are about and see it is "Improve yourself" and NOT "Women are the worst and should be destroyed!" as a lot of uninformed people seem to be thinking.

When i see people being banned simply for subbing TRP, I giggle because it shows how uninformed and judgmental that mod is. I can say with out a doubt any Mod that bans anyone because they are associated with TRP, has no idea what TRP really is.

Now i understand Reddit is its own thing, but Say TRP is banned. Shouldn't there be evidence to back up what the reason for the banning an entire sub? Also LOGICALLY this is a "SAFE PLACE" for like minded men AND women. So technically them banning TRP goes against their statement.

[deleted] • 15 points • 7 May, 2015 05:46 PM

There's some misogyny here. I just don't care. If people want to hate women then they're free to do so and I'm not even gonna think less of them for it. It's their right as a human being.

ilikesquash2 • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 06:32 PM

Is there Misogyny, yes but not any more so than misandry in other pro female sub. Unusually on here top comments on these posts are people telling them to calm down and to not focus on the woman and to work on themselves. At least that has been my TRP experience.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 08:20 PM

Okay but I'm not chalking that up as a plus or a not-minus. I'd hate if we started caring, positively or negatively, about misogyny here.

thisjibberjabber • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:48 PM

I think we should care about it at least to the same extent as any other self-defeating belief. But if it's what it takes as a temporary stage for someone to maintain monk mode for a while and stop pedestalizing, maybe it's needed sometimes.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:55 PM

What do you mean by self-defeating?

thisjibberjabber • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 10:25 PM

I mean that hating women is not going to help men in relationships or careers, especially if it's manifested as butt-hurt.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:27 PM

Depends on the guy's situation. For a lot of men, it's strong depedestalization and gets them laid a lot or it's simmering anger that keeps them lifting and working hard forever, but not as intensely as an anger phase.

DarkyDarkskin • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 06:05 PM

And sadly it is an understandable feeling.

[deleted] • 13 points • 7 May, 2015 08:22 PM

We're biologically programmed to love women. You know why? Because they suck. We're not biologically wired to love men because the very idea of hating the providers, defenders, and builders was so absurd that there was never an evolutionary pressure to do it.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 12:11 AM

[permanently deleted]

bsutansalt • 19 points • 7 May, 2015 06:52 PM

we no longer top the lists for worse subreddit

Only because we're not allowed to be mentioned. Posts that do are quickly removed.

JP_Whoregan • 36 points • 7 May, 2015 06:59 PM

Ah, yes. The great subscriber spike of June 2014, when an /r/askreddit thread gave us 1,500 new subs in under 24 hours. Reddit lost its collective mind about that, and now we are Reddit's Voldemort; they whom shall not be named.

They forgot the golden rule: **There is no such thing as bad publicity.**

widec • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 07:27 PM

There is such thing as bad publicity, but it usually applies to already established brands. If you're running McDonald's, you won't benefit from the recognition of bad publicity, as everyone already knows what McDonald's is.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 07:46 PM

Only because we're not allowed to be mentioned. Posts that do are quickly removed.

Every time The Red Pill was railed against, we suddenly got a bunch of new subscribers. That's how I ended up here.

Feminists now try to avoid mentioning TheRedPill and direct their hatred at MRA's. Because mentioning TheRedPill means providing free advertising for realizing how full of it feminists are. Providing advertising for MRA's means providing publicity for a group that (unfortunately) isn't very effective in achieving it's goals.

FreetoFire • 4 points • 8 May, 2015 02:48 AM

Cutting a man's tongue out just shows the world you're scared of what he might say

foldpak111 • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 06:12 AM

we'll still make it happen and get something going on another site. RSD on YouTube is basically all you need for RP material and there's hundreds of hours of content available

[deleted] • 19 points • 7 May, 2015 10:08 PM

No offense to whoever put it together, but <http://puerarchy.com/> is a shitty site to foster any kind of community. It's essentially just a poorly-designed blog with old articles. There is no obvious way I'm seeing for someone to make a post. Even an old archaic forum would be better suited. Forums like this, this, or this.

If you want this community to die, send them to www.puerarchy.com in its current form.

vengefully_yours • 66 points • 7 May, 2015 05:00 PM

Voltaire: "To learn who rules over you, simply find out who you are not allowed to criticise"

More on point, to learn who **wants** to rule over you.

We take criticism, we exchange ideas freely, sure there is butthurt in that, but that's how *life* works. I've not yet seen a call to doxx nor harass anyone who dissents with our thinking, but it happens in other subs against us. Mostly I laugh about it, because I've had people try to kill me in real life, and calling me names with multiple accounts online is an ineffective means of persuasion. Yes they've done that. Filled my inbox with drivel and *bad names* the horror. They can attack us with impunity, yet we are to blame. They hate us and accuse us of hating, when we simply observe the world around us rather than try to force it to be something it's not. They are the religious zealots, shouting and trying to kill or destroy any dissenting views, because their ideology is based in feels, myths, fiction, and wants, not reality, just like a religion.

This **is a safe space** for *men*, well as safe as we can get these days. A threat to ban us is akin to saying men do not deserve a space like this. It simply demonstrates what we have been saying, men are expendable, and feminists don't want equality they want supremacy. They can have their subs, where they can say any hateful and bigoted thing they like, but we are somehow not allowed to simply talk about improving our own lives?

The weak silence dissent through heavy handed force. Ideology that needs force to quell dissent is inherently weak, and obviously not based in reality. Doesn't matter if it's talking snakes, golden chariots, supposed rape culture, or the wage gap myth. There is no factual basis behind it, it's an often told lie that gains credence through multiple hearing, not via reality.

Who is claiming victim status? The war on women is just as fictional as the war on Christmas. Dissent against either nets you an angry tirade. Dissent against TRP nets you amusement. I find this entire thing absurd and amusing, as if 51% of the population is somehow downtrodden, especially when they have 100% of the vaginas.

bsutansalt • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 06:54 PM

Voltaire: "To learn who rules over you, simply find out who you are not allowed to criticise"

For the record that's a misquote. I forget who said it, and it's still a valid statement, but it wasn't Voltaire.

[deleted] • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 06:56 PM

It was a white supremacist if I recall... (Not that that invalidates it)

[deleted] • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 08:40 PM

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kevin_Alfred_Strom

vengefully_yours • 6 points • 7 May, 2015 07:10 PM

I thought so, but I'm nkt sure who either. Still stands on it's own merit.

GhungunWing • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 04:05 PM [recovered]

Voltaire: "To learn who rules over you, simply find out who you are not allowed to criticise"

In the west, it's gays and feminists. In fact gays are even stronger than feminists in this regard, whilst simultaneously representing/encouraging the absolute emasculation of man.

Notice that male role models are getting less and less, whilst "gay role models" are on the rise. Of course, the media will claim it's "democratic" and in the name of hyooman ritez.

It's absolutely disgusting and it makes me shudder at the crap the next generation might be exposed to if they were shown any form of digital entertainment. Teaching kids it's "normal" to be gay? Get fucked.

vengefully_yours • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 04:46 PM

Gays don't bother me, have many gay and transgender friends. It's their deal, not mine, and they are a small subset of the population. The whole debate about them is simply infantile and ludicrous to me, they don't affect me in the least as a whole. It's like if you want to fuck fat black chicks, ok fine, I like skinny girls of any color, it's your thing and I have mine.

The idea that started the gays all over tv thing has gone off the rails a bit. They are a minority segment that spans every race, religion, region, and gender. They simply are, there is no need to hate them or try to prevent them from doing things others do.

I grew up going to a parochial school run by bigoted religious zealots who hated blacks and Asians. "Mexicans" weren't on their radar yet 40 years ago, neither were gays, but we were taught to dislike or hate them all the same, along with anyone who wasn't our specific brand of christian. It worked because there were only white people around, and the first non white I met wasn't trying to rape the white women or steal everything, and none of the subsequent non whites did either. Until I saw them as people, due to my instruction and insulation from non whites, I believed all the ignorant shit. After I met others, the ignorance and bigotry faded.

Splattering gays all over the media began as a means to break down that insulation people have which allows them to be hated as an enigma, the dick sucking anal rapist that turns you ghey. Now it's been ridden to death and the message is everyone is a little bit gay. Shit, I kinda wish I was bi, mainly because it would open up more opportunities to get laid, but I just can't look at a man and want to fuck him. Bitches get it for me, I'm ok with that. If I wasn't I'd probably suck a dick or something and realize I still don't like it. Instead i accept myself for who and how I am, and everyone else can be how they want. I might not like them because they're an asshole but they can be an asshole all they wan, not my thing.

Normal isn't the right word, really what is normal? It's different for all of us. Sounds liberal as fuck, right? It's not. It's normal for me to be able to lift 250lb engine blocks and carry them, probably not normal for you. It's normal for me to have rifles all over my home, might not be for you. I fuck girls 20 years younger than I am, you might be a pedophile if you did that.(depending on how old you are) its normal for them to fuck people with the same equipment, not normal for us. Normal doesn't fit.

Like owning a gun, or driving a car, if you don't like it, don't do it. If you don't want to suck a dick, then don't. If they do, then how does it affect you? How does my gun ownership affect you? It doesn't unless you need me to use it to defend you, or I use it on you. The rest of the time, my guns shouldn't matter to you.

Trying to make everyone conform to your ideals is no better than feminism, because that's exactly what they do. It's a dogmatic religion no matter how much they claim it isn't. Your pants don't fit me, why

should your beliefs be forced on me? Nobody forces you or anyone else to adhere to red pill ideas, it's there if you want it, and if not we dgaf.

If you're not gay, and don't want to be, fine, don't be gay. Not like you have a choice really. If it's a choice for you, then you're repressing something, like the urge to suck a dick. Nobody forces you to do it, but on the same token don't force others not to because of what you want. If you do, you're no better than a feminist screaming at guys, or islamic terrorist shooting up the place.

[deleted] • 37 points • 7 May, 2015 04:31 PM

"Safe spaces" are for pussies that can't defend their viewpoints.

kalstate • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 05:10 PM

Obligatory: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hV2om9YBADI>

kick6 • 38 points • 7 May, 2015 04:56 PM

Apparently "discourse" now means "echo chamber."

That's fine. There's a lot more to this red pill thing than this sub. If it goes away...we move on.

648262 • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 05:20 PM

In a way it would be interesting to see what would happen if this place went away. It would force an evolution in a way.

kick6 • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 05:43 PM

The evolution has already taken place. There's SO MUCH MORE to TRP that already exists. You owe it to yourself to read some of the blogs, and visit the forums. If your ONLY exposure to the manosperhere is here...you're doing yourself a disservice.

648262 • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 05:48 PM

Yes, but the "TRP" generation is an evolution of the "PUA" generation in a way. I want to see what the next generation brings.

kick6 • 10 points • 7 May, 2015 05:51 PM

It's the same generation. TRP is just PUA getting beyond stupidhumantricks and trying to create the men that PUA was trying to pretend to be.

PUA was the "fake it" TRP is the "make it."

648262 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 06:30 PM

Of course, *"I was there maaan..."*

Another way to put it: TRP-gen is the evolved PUA-gen.

So what's next?

alpha_n3rd • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 07:13 PM

We shall become beings of pure masculine energy!

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:32 PM

The end-game is happiness, where each and every one of us is responsible for his own internal satisfaction.

648262 • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:44 AM

That's not how happiness works. It comes in a short burst, and often it makes you laugh or smile. The idea that happiness being an end-goal is doomed to fail.

-CONTRABAND- • 0 points • 7 May, 2015 08:00 PM

Probably a toning down of some of TRP's slightly unrealistic viewpoints, and even more of an emphasis on creating internal value.

[deleted] • 1 points • 8 May, 2015 05:25 PM

[permanently deleted]

-CONTRABAND- • -1 points • 8 May, 2015 05:26 PM

I can agree with that. I look at those things as toolsets; how to deal with those types of women.

All women are like that until proven otherwise, and even then I'm skeptical.

[deleted] • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 08:47 AM

After PUA is "MGTOU"...

When you value your time taking a healthy shit more than licking the twat of a HB10, you'll reach the next level...

Demonspawn • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 09:20 PM

I can give you a little history on that.

I was a member of the DGM (Don't Get Married) forums which got shut down by probboards 5 times. Every time it got shut down, 3-4 forums came up in its place in addition to itself. One of its deaths spawned the MGTOU forums (which also got shut down a few times).

The manosphere is like a hydra, because it is based on truths and facts. The tighter they try to grasp onto it, the more it slips through their fingers.

P.S. When DGM5 shut down, I didn't look for a DGM6. By that time the pretty much universal answer/consensus among the board was to take the expat path of the three solutions, the one which I'm not likely to take.

648262 • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 09:25 PM

I think such things are normal. Look at Napster, Torrents and Popcorn Time. It's a Hydra, all of it.

So shutting it down will provide some sentimental issues for some, but it would enforce development - which could be good.

By that time the pretty much universal answer/consensus among the board was to take the expat path of *the three solutions*

Which three?

Demonspawn • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 09:47 PM

There are only three solutions to feminism: Revolt, Expat, or Turtle.

There is no political solution to feminism as long as women control 55% of suffrage, and the only

way to remove that is via revolution (revolt) or wait for the system to collapse and rebuild from the ashes without women's suffrage (turtle). The third option is to escape this insane society for one which follows natural law (expat).

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:00 AM

Why the hell would they keep using Proboards? There's so many other ways to set up forums these days.

Demonspawn • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:24 AM

DGM 1.0 was a bit over a decade ago.

DGM 4.0 is when we finally decided "fuck proboards" and MGTOW 2/3(?) did the same.

[deleted] • 106 points • 7 May, 2015 04:10 PM

Shutting us down will lead to so many new unplugged. I bet that's a contributing reason that we're still allowed to exist. Fuck that hugbox shit though. Where's the safety in TwoX if I want to show up and rant about how sluts and alimony laws ruin any meaningful prospect of a good marriage or if I want to shout at "survivors" that they know damn well they weren't raped?

[deleted] • 87 points • 7 May, 2015 04:35 PM

Isn't it disturbing that the more we seem to "progress" the more it looks like "censorship"?

It's just ridiculous to me that people love to scream. Freedom of speech and then in the same sentence say something like "but some things just shouldn't be said".

[deleted] • 32 points • 7 May, 2015 04:40 PM

So long as it's the other guy's speech and not your own, it doesn't seem worth protecting. I get that the first amendment doesn't force reddit to let all speech on their venue but it'll go seriously against reddit's mission statement if they shut subs like ours down.

sithman25 • 46 points • 7 May, 2015 05:53 PM

"When the Nazis came for the communists, I remained silent; after all I was not a communist.

When they locked up the social democrats, I remained silent; after all I was not a social democrat.

When they came for the trade unionists, I did not speak out; after all I was not a trade unionist.

When they came for me, there was no one left to speak out."

-Pastor Martin Niemöller

dark_g • 3 points • 9 May, 2015 12:32 AM

"When they came for the librarians, I remained silent --and boy, were they proud of me" [couldn't resist].

The whole thing smacks of corporate over-reach. People presumably offended by TRP have to go out of their way to see it to begin with. A more appropriate joke: a woman calls the police complaining the neighbors across the street are naked and having sex. The cop looks through the window. "--But you can't see a thing" "--Yes, but if I stand on the dresser I sure can!"

[deleted] • -1 points • 8 May, 2015 08:41 AM

When they came for the Trade Union Bosses, I said nothing.

When they came for the Socialists, I said nothing.
When they came for the Communists, I said nothing.
When they came for the gangs, I said nothing.
When they came for the illegals, I said nothing.
And, you know what? Things got better...

Obio1 • 31 points • 7 May, 2015 05:35 PM

Stop using logic. SJW's don't speak that language.

We're dealing with an anti-intellectual cult that wants absolute control, and they want to exterminate all opposing viewpoints.

RedBigMan • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:22 PM

It's ok... this logic language can be a secret language of TRP and remain an enigma to the SJW's who lack the intellectual capacity to do much more than tie their shoes and complain on the internet.

kalstate • 13 points • 7 May, 2015 04:49 PM

Philosopher John Gray says progress is a myth: <http://www.vice.com/read/john-gray-interview-atheism>

newls • 21 points • 7 May, 2015 05:33 PM

Whenever a judge somewhere hands down a harsh punishment upon a false rape accuser or a legislature defeats an insane misandrist SJW bill you always see some key feminist talking about how the judgement 'ruins the progress being made' or some other BS. Let the silly hens sqwark.

bsutansalt • 18 points • 7 May, 2015 06:50 PM*

Isn't it disturbing that the more we seem to "progress" the more it looks like "censorship"?

"Scratch a liberal, and you'll often find a fascist underneath." I forget who said it, but it's spot on. Liberal ideology taken to its logical conclusion is a totalitarian nightmare on the order of Stalinist Russia or the Mao regime.

sweetleef • 15 points • 7 May, 2015 07:41 PM

It is - but so is conservatism. And so is socialism, and communism, and all the other "ideologies" that seek to define, and more importantly control, what is best for you.

Any ideology other than supporting the maximization of individual freedom is just wordgames to exert power over others.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 08:04 PM

Any ideology other than supporting the maximization of individual freedom is just wordgames to exert power over others.

Yeah, except this is to unfortunately. I have a friend who's strongly libertarian and it's obvious from the things he repeats that his idea of "individual freedom" is just an infected. For example, he's a cheapass who pays his share but never pays for anything he doesn't have to, and he'll go on about how the government shouldn't be involved in building roads, that that should happen entirely 100% through the free market.

Completely oblivious to the fact that if that happened he'd just never leave his house, and neither would the people he wants to come out to do things with, because once you had to pay to drive they wouldn't do it.

His idea of "freedom" consistently is filled with LifeProTips - things that sound plausible on the surface, but if you actually try them you realize they reduce, not increase, your freedom.

PedroIsWatching • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 05:22 PM*

Horseshoe theory of political spectrum. The progressives have now looped around to the same level as a religious southern Republican - an "Authoritarian Liberal".

newls • 20 points • 7 May, 2015 05:31 PM*

As long as TRP exists on reddit, SJWs and feminists will continue to populate the site and generate activity about how we're all evil motherfuckers. Female demographics are VERY good news for advertisers.

That's why the TRP sub is probably here to stay for a while yet.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 08:43 AM

Bitches love the drama. AWALT...

Zanford • 17 points • 7 May, 2015 06:03 PM

The Joss Whedon fiasco is a nice example (male feminist Avengers 2 director thrown under bus for daring to give Black Widow a soft side). Several front page posts about that, including Mark Ruffalo (Hulk actor) going to bat for him in an AMA. The comments are almost all positive. Heartening given that male comic book fans luv to white knight.

RPthrowaway123 • 19 points • 7 May, 2015 06:59 PM

I found the entire situation hilarious. Joss Whedon is a great writer and director (regardless of his politics, I've enjoyed his work) who has always made sure that his female characters got spotlights. And a lot of them were great characters, including Black Widow. He makes one small mistake with his writing and BOOM he is next up on the SJW pyre. Not only that, but they *misinterpreted the line* - BW calls herself a monster for being a trained assassin, not for being infertile. All that goes out the window, of course, when the SJWs are roused to their internet battle-stations. Insane.

Mengs87 • 9 points • 7 May, 2015 08:14 PM

I hardly term what he did as a mistake. All he did was to flesh out her character, like any other screenwriter.

RPthrowaway123 • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 08:49 PM

Yeah, poor wording. I meant to say that in their eyes it was a "mistake".

gekkozorz • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 02:00 AM

Here's a funny little fact:

Whedon has made no secret of the fact that he is quite chummy with Feminist Frequency's Anita Sarkeesian.

<http://i.imgur.com/emAPIfR.png>

Jonathan McIntosh is the co-writer and co-producer of Feminist Frequency. There's even decent

evidence to suggest he is the puppet master of the entire FF operation, and Anita is merely his mouthpiece.

Here is Jon trashing AoU on Twitter:

<http://s10.postimg.org/n8w94t33t/1430766021906.jpg>

And Joss STILL stands by FF 100% even though it's pretty much revealed by this whole fiasco that they are full of shit and will criticize fucking anything, including his own work.

Battered wife syndrome?

RPthrowaway123 • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 12:24 PM

Basically! This is why there is no point "negotiating" with feminists...even the whitest knights who sells their souls for the cause can and *will* eventually be burned at the stake for imagined "misogyny".

RedPill115 • 2 points • 9 May, 2015 08:12 PM

Not only that, but they misinterpreted the line - BW calls herself a monster for being a trained assassin, not for being infertile.

After a while you'll realize they didn't accidentally misinterpret it, they deliberately misrepresented it.

I'm still trying to figure out how this works. The easiest theory is that they're just looking for an excuse to get upset and since they have plausible deniability (just misheard it!) they use it to promote their message.

A more complicated theory is that they do it deliberately. Joss Whedon deliberately puts something in that can be misinterpreted, they get loud and angry about it, it makes them look relevant and important because someone is paying attention to them. It promotes the movie for Joss, it promotes feminism as being important for feminism.

Either way it allows feminism to push it's continued message - that being a mother is bad, that wanting to be a mother is bad, etc etc.

wolfNshepherd • 13 points • 7 May, 2015 05:56 PM

Shutting us down will lead to so many new unplugged.

We get loads of new subscribers when we're linked to from individual feminist/SJW subreddits and blogs. Imagine if *every single one* simultaneously wrote about how we'd been taken down? They'd take a victory lap all over the internet while inadvertently sending us more curious minds than ever before.

Masonjarteadrinker2 • 14 points • 7 May, 2015 06:30 PM

Whether this gets shut down or not, I'm glad I came across this sub in my lifetime.

derkonigistnackt • 29 points • 7 May, 2015 04:29 PM **[recovered]**

Do we need a .onion already?

_eskimo_brothers_ • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 05:43 PM

Nah, but I'll start on a version 2.0 of this sub if need be. If we archive and catalog everything that currently exists we could create a forum just like this where ips aren't logged thus making it similar to reddit without the politics.

Meatassault • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 06:40 PM

That's a great idea- archiving it all. If this sub goes, I'd be happy to help kickstart a RP forum. Sick of this SJW bullshit. This is one of the very few subs here that is worth a read and is not full of bullshit unfunny lame jokes and typical karma whoring comments.

vandaalen • 5 points • 8 May, 2015 11:45 AM

I've already done this.

The Top 500 posts as HTML and JSON:

https://mega.co.nz/#!pIwGhSRQ!w00uhGcVZ4IhFwbovSVw6MmwaVDQKZEIn3Nh3s_DVj0

_eskimo_brothers_ • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 07:00 AM

I've been building my own archives, it would be rather easy to do about a dozen different ways. As with any community, it takes effort of all to keep a community going.

There are plenty to keep this alive.

cocaine_face • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:02 PM

I'm not sure how many pages of results the Reddit API lets you archive. If it allows infinite, then we could theoretically pull all of it, as well as the comments on those pages of results. I've pretty much got a bespoke system that does this already, and I'll try to push to get it all done soon. I'll be releasing it as an app, as well as the source code.

buster2209 • 13 points • 7 May, 2015 07:40 PM

How much safer can one get when sitting in the comfort of their own abode typing and responding to words on a monitor...?

The fuckin' gods wept...

jsphere256 • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 07:02 PM

Safe space... aren't we literally talking about text on a screen here? I'm confused about what exactly makes a comment thread unsafe. Possible danger of getting criticized and BTFO for saying something ridiculous? Sounds like the real threat to SJW's is any contact with the outside world at all.

[deleted] • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 04:47 PM

I never understood this. If "polite" society refuses to participate in "impolite" conversations, "polite" society is then, by necessity, abandoning those listening to such conversations to be informed solely by those who are not so polite.

NSA_web_spider • 17 points • 7 May, 2015 06:15 PM

I say if they shut it down, all 100,000 of us move to 2XC. Keep posting like we usually would, but just swap out the genders. Maybe we can get them shut down too?

If they want to ruin this sub, they shouldn't try to ban it, they should make it part of the Default. That's a foolproof plan for ruining any sub.

[deleted] • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 07:03 PM

Would never happen it would be celebrated

ANakedBear • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 08:17 PM

But what if this is MY safe space?

Tarnsman4Life • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 08:23 PM

CIS men are not afforded safe spaces

Riddick_ • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 01:15 AM*

www.puerarchy.com - is a poorly designed website and not a viable forum. puerarchy.com = Fail.

Also shift out of the reactive mode. Instead of waiting for Event Horizon X, the TRP community can make a project to build an alternative forum independent from reddit. Get a proper domain name. TRP in the name, not purewhatever.

Control over this website should be divided over a few trusted key people, for example current mods in TPR, so that the project cannot be Sold or Shut Down without all parties agreement.

First move the side material, and then transition those that want to participate in a alternative forum based on similar TRP ideas. Only then TRP will be truly free.

That being said if this *Feelz* like "change" gets in gear, there are some *real-fucked-up*TM subs that will likely go first.

Sidebar - > Join the Emergency Mailer List, if you are reading this and haven't done so yet, DO IT NOW!

Note: I suppose the only good thing is that puerarchy.com is running the Emergency Mailer List. Then again, who is behind this project is not clear, and who is collecting all this information. Some disclosure is needed.

coffee_and_lumber • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 06:38 PM

It's easy to drum up vitriol for this sub. You can find *plenty* of outlandish, egregious statements against women and others here. Most of which isn't supported by the mainstream of TRP. But it's *allowed* nonetheless. A lot of men here have to go through an extended and uncomfortable anger phase upon realizing they've been played by society. It should be uncomfortable, and they should exorcise their demons. I know I've written (or at least upvoted) fucked up statements here, but I've also had plenty to say and agree with that was downright on point.

However, those who have decided we're evil will *only* cherry-pick the worst that comes out of here and totally miss the overarching mission. What we are doing here will be good for society as a whole, and it can be argued that this is only a natural response to 21st century feminism way way overstepping the bounds of reason.

I don't know about the rest of you, but what I've learned here has only strengthened my marriage and my bonds with my male friends. I'm more focused and powerful at my job. I spend my time more wisely. I am getting in shape. I am experiencing a sort of gravitational pull that is attracting both the interest of women and the trust of other men and adding a nice glow of validation to my steadily rising confidence. I have to wonder how many of those on TwoX or Relationships are making comparative headway.

THE_Masters • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 07:17 PM

Reddit had become a stale dry place for me lately and this is one of the only subs I still come back for. It's the only sub that engages me, there's stimulating topics, not just about bullshit that doesn't matter. I feel like I learn something new every time I come here. At the end of the day this is a self improvement sub that brings nothing but a positive environment for likeminded people if someone wants to take that away then I hope they rot in hell.

Blacknite007 • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 07:28 PM

http://www.puerarchy.com/ Hasn't been updated since August 23, 2013. We need to do better than that guys in

case reddit shuts down /r/TheRedPill/

Totsean • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 07:44 PM

I am willing to move and update stuff on <http://totseans.com/bbs/categories/redpill>

Pretty sure with some help, you can easily compile all the resource there and then simply mirror it, or use it as a mirror.

[deleted] • 11 points • 7 May, 2015 05:31 PM

[permanently deleted]

rp-disciple • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 06:12 PM

Can you elaborate on this? Do you mean for their employees?

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 06:18 PM

[permanently deleted]

_eskimo_brothers_ • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 06:57 AM

Yeah, my guess... It's not T-Mobile blocking him.

[deleted] • 8 points • 7 May, 2015 05:56 PM

Exclusively male spaces are anathema to feminism. They absolutely must have their viewpoints injected and pushed.

GayLubeOil • 27 points • 7 May, 2015 07:10 PM*

What you guys need to remember is that reddit is a partnership between multiple corporations and the government. The most obvious symptom of this is how certain things strategically float to the top of the front page at specific times.

Anti Russia, Anti Syria, Anti Gaddafi, Pro LEO posts got shilled to the top at key times. Meanwhile nothing negative gets said about Saudi Arabia, America's butt buddy despite their funding of terrorism and real oppression of women.

The next thing to remember is that there is tremendous money behind the feminist agenda which is a convenient shoehorn for the government and globalist agenda. That street harassment video had a budget of 100k. How? Why?

Women in the workplace drives down the cost of labor. Safe spaces promote censorship. Feminist laws fill jails. Planned Parenthood aids in the depopulation agenda. Not letting your wife spend all your money is abuse according to feminists. Everything that feminist want the elite wants too. Here is some delicious copy pasta on the subject.

To secure the draft, individual brainwashing/programming and both the family unit and the peer group must be engaged and brought under control.

Factor II - Father The man of the household must be housebroken to ensure that junior will grow up with the right social training and attitudes. The advertising media, etc., are engaged to see to it that father-to-be is pussy-whipped before or by the time he is married. He is taught that he either conforms to the social notch cut out for him or his sex life will be hobbled and his tender companionship will be zero. He is made to see that women demand security more than logical, principled, or honorable behavior. By the time his son must go to war, father (with jelly for a backbone) will slam a gun into junior's hand before father will risk the censure of his peers, or

make a hypocrite of himself by crossing the investment he has in his own personal opinion or self-esteem. Junior will go to war or father will be embarrassed. So junior will go to war, the true purpose notwithstanding.

Factor III - Mother The female element of human society is ruled by emotion first and logic second. In the battle between logic and imagination, imagination always wins, fantasy prevails, maternal instinct dominates so that the child comes first and the future comes second. A woman with a newborn baby is too starry-eyed to see a wealthy man's cannon fodder or a cheap source of slave labor. A woman must, however, be conditioned to accept the transition to "reality" when it comes, or sooner. As the transition becomes more difficult to manage, the family unit must be carefully disintegrated, and state-controlled public education and state-operated child-care centers must become more common and legally enforced so as to begin the detachment of the child from the mother and father at an earlier age. Inoculation of behavioral drugs [Ritalin] can speed the transition for the child (mandatory). Caution: A woman's impulsive anger can override her fear. An irate woman's power must never be underestimated, and her power over a pussy-whipped husband must likewise never be underestimated. It got women the vote in 1920.

Factor IV - Junior The emotional pressure for self-preservation during the time of war and the self-serving attitude of the common herd that have an option to avoid the battlefield - if junior can be persuaded to go - is all of the pressure finally necessary to propel Johnny off to war. Their quiet blackmailings of him are the threats: "No sacrifice, no friends; no glory, no girlfriends." Factor V - Sister And what about junior's sister? She is given all the good things of life by her father, and taught to expect the same from her future husband regardless of the price. Factor VI - Cattle Those who will not use their brains are no better off than those who have no brains, and so this mindless school of jelly-fish, father, mother, son, and daughter, become useful beasts of burden or trainers of the same.

magus678 • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 01:42 AM

That video really is no credit to you. I mean the guy opens with insults about being "a follower or Darwin." What, like a biologist?

frequentlywrong • 5 points • 8 May, 2015 04:48 AM

Which goes back to Rockefellers and JP Morgan, which goes back to marx, which goes back to Rothchilds family. It's all a big game by the rich and powerful.

PookIsLovePookIsLife • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:42 AM

Or could it be that the reddit demographic is young american liberals?

RP_Guru • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 06:38 PM

TRP an unsafe space? Absolutely! TRP is totally unsafe if you don't responsibly use an alt account to prevent doxxing.

Funny how the danger comes from the SJW mob outside of this subreddit, rather than from this discourse within. I guess that's just SJW double speak for you.

georgedonnelly • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 06:59 PM

Just signed up here:

<http://puerarchy.com/mailling/?p=subscribe&id=1>

This mailing list will be used to send out an alert and instructions if Reddit's TheRedPill ever went down (due to admin action or otherwise). Sign up here, your email will be kept anonymous and will not be given away or sold. You probably won't even get any email from us anyway. Only in an emergency.

fap_the_pain_away • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 07:25 PM

"Safe space" = censoring heresy from the liberal faith.

OnlySubsILike • 4 points • 7 May, 2015 09:04 PM

How much safer do you have to be behind your computer screen at home --

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:43 AM

So much for the old saying 'sticks and stones will break your bones, but words will never hurt me'.

Many people on reddit are too emotionally fickle, needy, and cling to a victimhood mentality that's perpetuated by the **real** hate groups.

It's fucking pathetic.

cariboo_j • 5 points • 8 May, 2015 12:04 AM*

Aah shit whenever you hear the words "safe space" you know its gonna be some bullshit SJW censorship.

"Safe space" as long as you accept the politically correct dogma without question.

Also its the fucking internet. You are sitting in your home behind a computer, anonymously. There is zero physical danger. Zero. If you feel "unsafe" reading opposing view points on the internet you are a useless human being and probably not fit to vote.

[deleted] • 5 points • 8 May, 2015 12:27 AM

Censorship, the final resort of the liberal.

juanqunt • 6 points • 8 May, 2015 12:36 AM

Funny that TRP is the safest place I've found for men to actually discuss their feelings and thoughts without being bullied by feminazis.

LaggyCamper • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 04:21 AM

Sooooo..... Reddit will become tumblr 2?

grewapair • 12 points • 7 May, 2015 04:57 PM

What we should do is voluntarily suspend trp for a week and have all of us flood twoX with our views.

They'll be begging us to come back here!

[deleted] • 7 points • 7 May, 2015 11:31 PM

Cut the fucking hysterics.

TRP is not as important as you assholes make it out to be. Furthermore, the amount of subscribers to the sub is a solid assurance that it won't get banned.

Pretty damn sure admin is referring to pages such as /r/strugglefucking, /r/coontown, /r/girlsfuckingdogs and such.

Goldfulgore • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 08:21 PM

Maybe it has to do with this.

He is calling MRA as a misogyny.

fhghg[] • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 08:26 PM

Wtf? I can get robbed, raped, and murdered on reddit? Definitely had no idea there were unsafe spaces here. Thanks for the heads up.

hipsterparalegal • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 09:42 PM

For a significant number of young people nowadays, free speech and free expression just aren't important values. That's fine, let them have their fun while they're in power. The worm will eventually turn, and when it does, I'll be there to laugh, point my finger, say "I told you so, you fucking dimwits," and shun them from polite society.

halfnerf • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 11:37 PM

When that happens I quit using reddit. I don't need an echo chamber, I need someone that challenges my ideas and provides me new material.

Beta_Tendencies • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 11:38 PM

I'm new here but honestly, if you guys need to migrate somewhere it's better to be proactive than reactive. If donations are needed I'll drop some money. I haven't had a chance to read or absorb all this yet and I'd be sad if all this knowledge just disappeared. Tell me it's at least archived somewhere?

easyrandomguy • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 04:14 AM

<https://github.com/reddit/reddit>

good thing reddit is open source. time to create our own reddit...

Rollo-Tomassi • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 05:56 PM

A want for 'safe space' is rooted in the feminine need for assured security. That security can never be assured. Feminized men who's Beta Game it is to identify and appease the feminine in order to be accepted by women don't understand that dynamic.

If they did they would know that women only want security and 'safety' within the perception that their environment is dangerous. Twitter can't do this, Reddit can't do this.

The truth of it is that Reddit admins can create all of the 'safe places' they want and boot all of the subs the women they pander to ask them to boot – those women will still seek out the 'unsafe spaces' that develop in that wake, into which they'll interject their worldview.

This is why Male Spaces are so appealing for feminist justice warriors to force themselves into - they're more exciting than the contrived 'safe spaces' their virtual Beta orbiters create for them.

Safe is boring. Perfect is boring. That's what Reddit admins probably won't consider when they decide to purge the subs.

Women don't just want to build a better beta, they want to tame the alpha. In fact, the former is just another way they are trying to approach the latter. They want to take an inherently unsafe activity and make it safe. They want to submit to a man without having to submit; they want a man who can tame their feral self. They want him to trip their danger signals. Even better if he is a stranger from a strange land (the manosphere).

They want this all to happen without giving up their freedom. They want to lose control to a string of strangers who have all of the hallmarks of very dangerous men, and they want a promise that this will always end well.

They want to know that this will be safe, without it losing the excitement of it feeling unsafe. They are telling men to build a sort of amusement park where they can ride the roller coaster and experience the fear of falling or crashing, while knowing that just behind the scenes grown ups are actually in charge and are responsible for them safely feeling 'unsafe.'

But they don't want to be hemmed in. So instead of building an actual amusement park, they want roller coasters to spring up randomly in the same exact circumstances where the real danger they mimic would appear.

They want to be driving their car on the freeway one instant, and the next experience the fear of careening out of control the next. They want to impulsively jump off the edge of the Grand Canyon and have a parachute appear and deploy at the last minute.

And all they ask is your guarantee that all of this will be safe.

Garl_Vinland[S] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 06:05 PM

They want to know that this will be safe, without it losing the excitement of it feeling unsafe.

Just look at the backlash the BDSM community throws out any time someone mentions 50 shades of grey.

"BDSM is supposed to be safe, done between loving partners who cuddle and talk about their feelings when they're done!" It's the prime example of what you're saying. Safe but unsafe feeling.

primevalist • 5 points • 7 May, 2015 05:41 PM

The world is getting tighter and tighter, with more and more censorship and control over the masses. First they will shut us down on their sites, then they will go after our sites. It's only a matter of time.

NYCMusicMarathon[] • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 06:44 PM*

SJWs trying to knock out the free speech of this site.

Because the r/TRP speaks mostly the truth.

And

SJWs are afraid of truth,
they believe their emotions come before the Red Pill's power to communicate.

Reddit History

this happened to r/ents which became r/trees.

Be Vigilant,

I believe Good triumphs over evil. I think *Social Justice Warriors* are evil.

And are relentless opponents.

Ye shall know the Truth, and the Truth shall set you free.

John 8:32

manhowouldbeking • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 06:03 PM

Another step forward to turn reddit into tumblr.

bsutansalt • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 06:47 PM

Of course my response to that line of thinking is "Great, then trp isn't going anywhere as it's a "safe space" for men and women who are a part of our community to speak their mind".

Statecensor • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 09:27 PM*

SJW activists make complete and total sense if you understand how they think. They do not want critical thought or intelligent debate. They exist only in "safe spaces" that allow them to hide from critical thought and discourse. If you make the mistake of trying to have a conversation with one and you do not agree with them on every single point then your "problematic". The word problematic is sorta like the catch all term that Scientology uses to describe suppressive person or maybe a better example would be enemy of the state from the old soviet days.

Some of you guys seem to run into problems because you hear SJWs talk about having a debate and a conversation so you believe they are sincere when they already had a conversation in their little committee in a safe space and decided on the facts before the debate and anyone who disagrees is "problematic" and their only interest is engaging in demagoguery and attacking you.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 08:21 PM

They're internet bullies, and if you want to know what they're doing just look directly at whatever they accuse others of.

I'm serious, it's SJW's and every other kind of internet troll (just trying insulting Starting Strength, or saying that Maven sucks, and watch the exact same behavior show up).

If they are bullying you - they accuse you of bullying them. If they are harassing you - they accuse you of harassing them. If they want to shut you up, they accuse you of trying to shut them up. If they want to make reddit "unsafe" for anyone to talk but them, they say they're going to "make it a safer place".

90% of the time it's literally that simple.

ItsCold_ItsCold • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 10:04 PM

Any place we could migrate to? Thanks in advance.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:26 AM

He said where right in the post pay attention.

no_face • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 10:44 PM

reddit is open source. We can simply host it ourselves -- a one subreddit site -- easily.... if each of us donates a dollar, that should take care of hosting for a thousand years

Mikesapien • 2 points • 7 May, 2015 11:51 PM

Here is some explication on the "safe space" phenomenon. No, it's not what it sounds like to any sane or normal person - you know, somewhere protected from risks or hazards. It's really leftist code for censor-happy echo-chamber.

I made the mistake of earning a lib arts degree, and in my nine semesters at college, we started to hear "safe space" repeated like a mantra. "Let's make it a safe space" began to adorn bumper stickers, posters, even syllabi.

It doesn't mean a "space" that's actually "safe" - it means *don't take my class*, or, *don't post on my forum*, or, *don't attend my club*, or, *don't speak at my uni*. You get the idea.

And in a classic example of extremism becoming a parody of itself, some took "safe space" a bit... literally. That's right, grown-ass adults (whom the state considers old enough to gamble, smoke, drive, vote, attend school, pay taxes, buy and use firearms, buy and use substances) with rooms for "trauma" and "stress" that come "equipped with cookies, coloring books, bubbles, Play-Doh, calming music, pillows, blankets and a video of frolicking puppies." And to bury the irony needle a bit further, I'll just point out that these are the exact same PC and SJW morons, by the way, that whine about the *infantilization* of women.

Here's a protip: if you legitimately think you can get PTSD from lectures, or assignments, or twitter, then you've probably never seen any trauma of any kind at any point.

So yes, TRP, we should probably take this "safe space" talk seriously. Never mind that it's *infinitely* naive (an asteroid could strike the Earth and kill every last one of us with no warning at any moment); they're angling for political power, and with Orwellian language like "safe space," they're likely to get it.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 08:24 PM

It's really leftist code for censor-happy echo-chamber.

There's no shortage of that on the right either. Last one I saw was that only christian's are allowed to discuss christian principles, and christians are defined as people who already agree with christian principles.

You're right that it's phrasing bullshit, but it's also not a one-political-side thing in any way.

Mikesapien • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 11:52 PM

Show me right wing Christians using the phrase "safe space," or who say that "only Christians are allowed to discuss Christian principles," and I might be inclined to agree with you.

You are correct that insular thought isn't partisan, but *this kind is*. It's part of a specialized leftist lexicon - the naive, idealist, unrealist kind.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 01:28 AM

It's on my person facebook feed, which of course I'm not going to post here. It was very explicitly "only christians can discuss these christian things".

RedPill115 • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 02:53 AM

You are correct that insular thought isn't partisan, but this kind is. It's part of a specialized leftist lexicon - the naive, idealist, unrealist kind.

"Safe Space" is terminology particular to feminists and the left, I do agree with you. But excluding anyone who disagrees is the part that's not. I see plenty of the same concept on the right, under different names.

dallasdarling • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:32 AM

Here's a protip: if you legitimately think you can get PTSD from lectures, or assignments, or twitter, then you've probably never seen any trauma of any kind at any point.

Nobody is "getting" PTSD from those things, they are experiencing symptoms of existing PTSD. How much do you actually know about how PTSD actually works in the brain?

Mikesapien • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 04:13 AM

I have a BA in Psychology and have done clinical work with war veterans from Iraq and Afghanistan, so, *a bloody helluva lot*. However, it's not *my* view that someone "gets" PTSD from this stuff; it's *feminists'*. Reading the links might be a good idea in future.

TheSliceman • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 12:52 AM

Its good that we have an EVAC plan, but what we really need is a decentralized reddit.

A reddit that cant be censored by anyone. We have the technology.

roxtafari • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 01:55 AM

It's a pretty funny coincidence that the Reddit Admins also announced the return of the r/Beta program on the same day.

Kirkayak • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 02:55 AM

I hold that Voltaire was saying something important when he mentioned his willingness to die for someone's right to say something he disagreed with.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 05:02 AM

OK, guys. Fuck Reddit. Why don't we just start our own site? Fuck reddit and the feel good SJWs. fuck the Shadowbans. Fuck everything.

Lets build a site. A brand new site. A new eden of sorts. I have background in web development. I'm sure many of you bros have related skills too. Anyone interested?

audscias • 3 points • 8 May, 2015 05:49 AM

I work on a web-hosting and ISP company. I am available for helping on anything you need.

cashmoney_x • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 12:29 PM

Since when does discourse need to be 'safe'?

It should be free and stimulating. Not fucking safe.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 May, 2015 12:57 PM

A safe space? The space isn't exactly safe for those whose opinions run contrary to what these reddit admins deem "the norm".

[deleted] • 2 points • 9 May, 2015 01:53 AM

It's like when the police officer says, "I smelled marijuana."

No disrespect to the officers that genuinely do smell marijuana at a stop. I'm sure it happens a lot. But far too many officers have dragged out that tired old device when looking for an excuse to illegally search someone's vehicle (probably because sense of smell doesn't register on that dashboard cam).

Same goes for the phrase "zero tolerance." I don't think I need to go into that one.

"Safe space" is the same kind of shit. If I hear the phrase, "I smelled marijuana," "zero tolerance," or "safe space" I reflexively cringe. My butt clenches up a tad more, I start looking around, and I'm pretty much waiting for the other shoe to drop.

Like "zero tolerance," or the marijuana thing, "safe space" is one of those phrases that, when you hear it, it means somebody's about to pull some dirty, nefarious shit.

RedPill115 • 2 points • 9 May, 2015 09:16 PM

There is a problem for SJW's and feminists online.

Used to be, almost everyone always agreed with them. Anyone saying anything negative about women at all was downvoted and lots of people wanted to argue with them.

But in the last 6 months or so, things have turned around a bit. Some subs (like /r/videos) have become hostile to feminist one sided manipulation. In subs like /r/news you can find commenters pointing holes in the "well a woman is involved so she must be innocent" viewpoint - and getting upvoted.

In some places it's almost achieved equality - where women are criticized as much as men are.

So naturally, this is horrifying to SJW's. It seems like they're freaking out, and trying to silence anyone having opinions other than their's.

Here's the thing - this doesn't happen simply because of men, as much as I wish it did. This happens also because women got fed up with feminists pressuring them and pushing them around. They've gotten tired of feminists

pressuring them to always be victims, and all the other crap feminists try to use to paint women into a corner with.

TheRealMewt • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 05:03 PM

Let's not ignore the possibility that the admin may not be utilizing SJW code words when he says "safe space". You guys need to remember that the Reddit hive mind is more than capable of ruining people's lives (ie when Reddit detectives wrongly identified the wrong guy in the Boston Marathon bombings) and doxxed the hell out of him. I haven't seen Reddit act that extreme in a good while now, but all a person has to do these days is to post an unpopular opinion and be willing to defend it tooth and nail to wind up on subs like /r/bestof, /r/shitredditsays, or /r/subredditudrama to encourage their subscribers to whip out the pitchforks and torches and give Mr(s) Unpopular Opinion a ride on the negative karma train... and that's if they're fortunate enough to not have their personal information broadcasted. Hell, it's why TRP advises its users to create alternate accounts if they even do as much as *post on another subreddit!*

Maybe /u/kickme444's idea of safe space is fostering an environment to where redditors aren't risking their necks just for wanting to participate on the site without getting sucked into the mob. Of course, I'll believe it when I see it, but don't you think if Reddit wanted to shut this place down they would've done so already?

coffee_and_lumber • -1 points • 7 May, 2015 06:51 PM

To give Reddit some credit, it does seem like a lot of people learned a valuable lesson from the Boston thing.

BomptonBrotha88 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 06:16 PM

If posting comments on reddit doesn't invite IRL violence or attacks against you than I would say it's safe enough, but I'm not a sissy or a fat bipolar girl. Shame on people who voluntarily read comments or posts just to be offended by them and then agitate for mods and admins to become nannies and make sure no one uses nono words or expresses naughty thoughts.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 06:38 PM

I'm always confused by the whole safe space thing. This is a website. It can't hurt you. How could it possibly be unsafe?

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 07:18 PM

First of all, this admin is down voted every time he comments. He must be an asshole. Second, we should archive the best post here or copy then into the website.

balancespec2 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 07:38 PM

Where is the evidence he is referring to red pill?

Maybe he's referring to the racism

ANakedBear • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:30 PM

I definatly remember reading some where that /r/TheRedPill was considered the most hateful sub or something.

I LOLed too.

wtf_is_taken • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:02 PM

I don't think they would shut this down. I think this will be fine.

deville05 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:09 PM

Its the internet for god sakes! Anonymous people writing and reading shit on a screen. How much safer does one needs to be?!

illimaybeois • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:10 PM

Ya but lets let /r/Askmen remain despite the fact that they have crooked mods who are also very racist and shut down almost any and every discussion. Lets take out this valuable sub and let a trash bin like /r/Askmen remain.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:17 PM

First they want safe spaces for womens.

Then all the spaces must be safe spaces for womens.

Fascism.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:30 PM

I'm already banned from half the front page anyway.

Squabbles123 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 08:57 PM

Total anonymity isn't safe enough?

ToshiroOzuwara • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 11:27 PM

Total anonymity doesn't exist.

Transmigratory • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:52 PM

Will a puerarchy forum be up and running if this place shuts down?

drallcom3 • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:54 PM

It would be a smart move to keep us here, just from an analytics point of view. We offer so many rant topics for the SWJs, the retention we provide must be insane. Safe, emotionless discussions won't keep the SWJs around.

Big_ol_Bro • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:27 PM

I would hate to think a resource so helpful to so many would be deleted just to make sjw's feel better

Ovadox • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:35 PM

A website with some rough edges is indeed a place where the careless or hypersensitive will get their fee fees hurt. However, the rough edges are what make it fun and exciting and interesting. Reddit has some very dark/weird corners and I like that I may occasionally see or read something that makes me say, "Well, that's enough internet for today." If they go ahead and start smoothing those edges it will make this place safe and boring. It may persist for awhile but all the cool, interesting, and criminally insane people will have left to make the new places that pop up what Reddit used to be.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:45 PM

TRP and mensrights has way more subscribers than even /r/Feminism . I was surprised but it's true. I am not worried that we're going to get shut down.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 10:51 PM*

If I wanted a "safe space" where glass people can shine each other without risk of breakage I could find one on

my own. Its called Facebook. I use reddit specifically because anyone can say anything, and will happily move on to any site that picks up the slack should reddit decide to put its own head up its ass. Maybe this can lead to an opportunity? I wonder how hard it would be to get code similar to Reddit and start my own social media site and then spin it into a non profit that serves the public and has bylaws forbidding all forms of censorship, and is hosted in a way such as to prevent governments from interfering? As a non profit it could be setup to prevent its own staff or outsiders from messing with it. Make it an anonymously own series of related domains in preparation of attacks by authorities etc... *thinking How to make a place for opinions where anyone can say anything anonymously and there is no recourse for any individual or organization whatsoever. Just a site full of bullshit, like Reddit, but without any sociopaths in charge with fancy titles like CEO, and an over hatred towards any form of social justice manipulations. Im talking a fuck your own ass if you don't like it anything goes you cant catch me website hosted under a mountain of encryption in a place that is hostile to foreign requests to involve itself in Internet anything.

pelag1us • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 11:26 PM

Too bad nothing has been posted in puerarchy.com in like idk 3 years?

save_the_rocks • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 11:27 PM

The alternate website should have a backup forum ready to go. Right now I never go past the homepage since it is so different than this subreddit. It's almost unrecognizable.

Also a TRP.net or something domain might be helpful.

trpbox • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:02 AM

If /r/theredpill does get shut down, will puerarchy provide a similar forum for discussion? Right now I can't find anything like that on the page...

[deleted] • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 02:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

trpbox • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:01 AM

Most of the things debated here don't belong under "slut hate".

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:13 AM

I'm missing something. Why does everyone think this is directed at TRP?

RP_Vergil • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:26 AM

It is always easy to extinguish an idea before allowing it to fully bloom.

That's the kind of freedom they try to achieve in killing anything which goes against their belief.

welderbyad • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:03 AM*

This comment has been overwritten by an open source script to protect this user's privacy.

If you would like to do the same, add the browser extension TamperMonkey for Chrome (or GreaseMonkey for Firefox) and add this open source script.

Then simply click on your username on Reddit, go to the comments tab, and hit the new OVERWRITE button at the top.

slai47 • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:17 AM

For me, I'm not worried about this group. If they ban this and not some other subs then a lot of people will be in uproar. It would be an incredible dick move. Also, what the fuck as TRP done compared to so many other subs?

Aiadon • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:33 AM

Maybe they'll ban SJW subreddits for being dangerous to users who want to have a peaceful conversation.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:39 AM

Is there a forum or discussion space on purearchy? There doesn't seem to be.

RP_Vergil • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 01:50 AM

Just scan something.

This also could be one of the reason?

<https://archive.is/v5S0C>

Jokoran • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:13 AM

Nothing's going to happen, man. This sub is pretty tame all things considered.

40_SixandTwo • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:53 AM

It's gonna suck, and as with the examples of other horrid subreddits that exist here, I'd think that it'd be complete horse shit that TRP is shut down, but at the end of the day I'm really not surprised if it does come to that point.

If we look who populates Reddit as a whole, it's run by SJWs and their allies who rule via mob mentality. If it does happen that TRP gets shut down, we just have to keep on going. That's it, I'm sure we've got plenty of resources in our hands, we'll be fine.

plenkton • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:07 AM

TRP currently is constructive b/c our current community is constructive and upvotes constructive posts.

Our detractors can sabotage our sub by upvoting posts not in TRP spirit, and banning the agitators will also ban neutral parties (since their posts may be similar), and neutral parties who are banned will view us as hating dissenting opinion(which is not TRP style).

Ibex3D • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:25 AM

Most of reddit is shit. r/science, r/space, r/finance, r/theredpill, r/fitness and r/keto are the only reds I use cause they're not about bullshit. Theyre about results. It'll be a shame to see one of the very few useful and intellectual subreddits go into extinction. Probably gonna be replaced with rage comics or whatever the fuck theyre into now.

AntixD • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:34 AM

A offsite forum should be made

Deresetese • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 12:24 PM

[...]there are people/communities [...] that do not create a safe space to encourage participation.

This actually does apply to TRP, it's not a "safe place" for participation. Not every standpoint is welcomed here, and people without understanding have no right to post here.

Not every opinion is equal and ignorance is not an excuse, and can get you banned.

This goes against what reddit is supposed to be, a platform for open discussion of all kinds, with all kinds of

people.

The original direction post for TRP states that this is not a democracy.

And yes, this does not fit into reddit's perspective but neither does it break any rules.

If the people running reddit decide to ban TRP, it's their right to do so.

Keep in mind that we're not a movement, we don't fight for men's rights and we don't aim to change the world.

We don't even organise.

We just share ideas and use the experience and insight of others to improve ourselves.

And for that, we don't need reddit.

manicmojo • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:04 PM

If TRP is going to go down. Can we make an copy of it, even an archive. We must save what we have done!

NikoMyshkin • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 04:43 PM

thank you for posting this. it is very, very important to have a backup for the inevitable tanser of TRP from reddit to a place where it is actually safe (pun intended).

Redpillc0re • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 05:02 PM*

I would actually advise that you leave now. Don't wait until you have been brought to the spotlight and the community is destroyed overnight. There is plenty of forum software out there. TRP does not even function like the rest of reddit (instant 3 word replies and memes), so its going to be an easy transition. I would suggest you pick a server outside the US too. If you need technical info with the setup or something more, i'd be glad to help (but i can't moderate).

Reddit has no incentive to drop TRP, it provides too much entertainment to its social warrior nation that they feed from.

systemshock869 • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 08:47 PM

We are working on changes to make reddit a safer space for discourse.

Wouldn't censoring discourse technically make it an *unsafe* place for discourse? Get your shit together SJWs.

joshsoowong • 1 point • 10 May, 2015 04:24 PM*

Please do not shut down this sub. I have learned so much from reading upon the vast posts and information contributed by these great individuals. I wish to continue to grow and learn from this sub. Please do not take this sub down.

This is a community. The mods are great people who do a great job facilitating this sub. Stand strong. Hold frame. And continue to grow.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 11:17 PM

I've noticed a huge change in the comments on here since I first started looking at it.

Originally I always saw so many comments that were so filled with hatred and disrespect for women. It seemed to me that the userbase was just a bunch of 14 year olds that were too afraid to even talk to a woman pretending to be hot shit and circlejerking made up stories and theories with other 14 year olds.

In the past few months though (probably due to the banhammer that happened) I think it's really turned around. Most comments are more grounded in reality than just pure circlejerked theories and focus on being the man that women want rather than the man they think they want.

I have personally taken ideas from this sub and applied them to my life and have seen a great improvement. I improved my body and projected more confidence. I deal with problems rather than avoiding them because they make me uncomfortable. As a result I have better relationships with everyone in every way. I'm much happier and healthier now than I even have been in my life and I have TRP to thank for it.

Anyone who sees that as being wrong is an idiot.

RP has even taught me to be a better person to women.

I was with this girl and we were making out. She stopped and told me that we shouldn't be doing it because she wasn't one of those girls. I did **exactly what RP taught me to do** and said "that's fine, we'll do exactly what you want to do. If you want me to roll over and just go to sleep right now I'll even do that" (some stuff about "what type of girl?" before that just to mess with her) and stopped all sexual stuff. Old me would have tried to convince her otherwise, say that we could just keep making out, or try to get her to give me a blowjob instead. Nope, she said stop so I full stopped. She was completely in control of anything from that point on. After a min or 2 she started it back up again only to stop again for the same reason so I shut down again as she said she wanted. This happened a couple of times and eventually she got on top of me and we had sex.

I never once pressured her to do anything when she said no. She had complete control over her body and I respected that. I'd like to see a SJW tell me why what I did **as taught to me by TRP** was wrong.

ucfgavin • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 02:34 AM

I actually really hope that they go full 100% hardcore at this. I would love to see Reddit go bye bye

JamesK1973 • 1 point • 8 May, 2015 03:26 AM

If TRP goes, I will continue the good fight. I will continue to read and comment on other subs and push TRP principles.

You can't stop the signal.

Willravel • -3 points • 7 May, 2015 07:32 PM

Over the past few months there has been an increasing amount of censorship (just look at the CEO lawsuit shit) and shadowbans resulting from it.

People seem to be under the mistaken opinion that the concept of freedom of expression means they can say anything anywhere at any time without consequences. Freedom of speech as a concept exists to prevent the US government from actively preventing citizens from expressing their viewpoints and does not extend to any private space, including Reddit.

It seems like a lot of people don't seem to understand the concept of censorship. Not to get all libertarian on you, but only the government can actually censor because only the government can codify stopping expression into law which can be enforced by the criminal justice system. If Reddit decided to shut down /r/CoonTown tomorrow, that would not be an instance of censorship because those racist assholes would still be allowed to talk freely about their racist asshole opinions, just on one less private space. Free speech does not mean you have the right to share your opinion anywhere and under any context. There's no such thing as a right to be heard. If I walk into a church and interrupt a service to talk about how their beliefs are nonsense, they have every right in the world to call the police and make me leave, and that's not censorship. Private spaces are allowed to make their own rules about what is or isn't allowed, and can enforce those rules. That's not censorship.

If TRP was shut down tomorrow, that certainly would piss off a lot of people, but that would not be an example of censorship. You don't have the right to violate the rules of a private space because you want to say or do something which violates the rules of said space.

I just did a quick check of TRP's subreddit rules. If I violate any of those rules with this comment (which I suppose could be possible based on a certain interpretation of those rules), and my comment is removed, **that would not be censorship**. It would be me violating the rules of this space based on the judgment of those who enforce the rules, and an execution of the enforcement of those rules. I would be incorrect if I fired back that the mods here were guilty of censorship, because words have meanings and that's not what censorship means.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 May, 2015 08:35 PM*

I don't know why are you downvoted, this is technically true. That being said, the expansion of SJW's and feminists to all discussion spaces and media platforms (even anonymous ones like reddit) is somewhat worrying, isn't it? Even 4chan was "tamed" (not that I held that place in high regard lol). Or think of "Gamergate". I'm not even a gamer, I quit playing long time ago because I got bored with it as I grew old, but still, that is infuriating. Reddit used to be a "free" place with little censorship and self-regulatory (of course the hivemind was always generally left-leaning), but lately the political activism (feminist and sjw tendencies) of the admins is gaining more prominence.

Willravel • 1 point • 7 May, 2015 09:03 PM

Interestingly, this has been a long, long time coming.

I've been on discussion boards since the mid 1990s, and I recall issues related to political and social progressivism making their way into a number of communities. Most of the time, it more or less works just fine. I moderated a Star Trek board and around 1998 we instituted rules against sexism, racism, and homophobia (the last of which being fairly progressive for the time) and while a few people cried foul, overall discussion continued on just fine and a fun time was had by all. Same for a Marvel community I was a part of. And a big music board. Because the internet was more often frequented by people who... what's the best way to put this? ...people who were willing to question their own beliefs, think about the greater good, and think of the internet as a community instead of a collection of individuals, things like civil rights had a place.

The thing is, though, civil rights can be and often are a matter of perspective. The first time I ran into a proto-MRA was maybe around 2006-2007, and he was by today's standards a very moderate and reserved MRA. He considered himself an ally of feminism, but had concerns about things like child custody and incarceration disproportionately affecting men. And while his perspectives sparked debate, the atheist forum he was on largely was totally willing to hear him out. What started as different perspectives eventually found itself veering, on both sides (please note I'm not talking about blame here) started behaving more and more sectarian. This is nothing new at all, in fact this has happened literally thousands upon thousands of times across many different political, social, religious, etc. positions.

Where we find ourselves now is a place where neither side understands the other at all. No seriously, not at all. It's a shouting match through soundproof glass. Each side thinks the other is the biggest threat ever, which is obviously nonsense, and each side feels like a persecuted minority. People are lashing out. And it's getting worse. Prominent people in these movements are getting targeted by very real harassment, very real doxxing, very real threats of violence, and are even getting swatted. Some idiot actually threatened to shoot up a school. That's why you're seeing 4chan and Reddit and SomethingAwful and a number of other major boards/discussion/comment sites are finally clamping down, because we (people on both sides of this) can't keep our more radical members from taking this way, way too far.

What's weird is that in the grand scheme of things, the actual issues being argued about are fairly minor. Yeah, people face sexism, games are a creative medium which needs to allow artists to express themselves, etc., but these aren't even the biggest threats to the internet, let alone humanity. If we applied this energy to net neutrality or campaign finance reform or environmentalism or a hundred other issues

that cause a thousand times more suffering, we could actually do good in the world. Instead, we have trigger warnings and are talking about false accusations of rape, both of which affect an incredibly small percentage of the population.

DownvotesCatposts • 0 points • 8 May, 2015 02:51 AM

They seem to forget that you must VOLUNTARILY SUBSCRIBE to this sub to see its material. How terribly inconvenient.

watersign • -1 points • 7 May, 2015 11:17 PM

the problem is, they're seeing that many members of TRP are also members of /r/CoonTown and other racist/conspiracy subs. There is also a large element of anti-semitism that is contributing to this.