

Power: the ability to choose who to fuck

May 27, 2015 | 385 upvotes | by [Archwinger](#)

A human being's power in society is primarily defined by one ability: the ability to exclude others. The boss chooses who to hire. The cool kids choose who to befriend. The hot girls chose who to fuck and who to reject. That means our sexual power is defined by the ability to exclude others from having sex with us. Phrased a little more "sex-positively," the power of men and women stems from their ability to choose who to have sex with. If you have that choice, you are powerful. If you lack that choice, you are weak.

An attractive man, good looking in both face and body, well dressed and groomed exuding wealth and professional success, with a great smile, commanding voice, charming personality, smarts to spare, and lots of interesting things to talk about – that guy is powerful. Why? He has his choice of women. He doesn't need to consider fucking a woman that's not pretty, not interesting, not kind and respectful, not smart, or that's difficult to deal with, sexually or otherwise. And the women who want him know that, so they'll bend over backward and make whatever compromises are needed to fuck him, on his terms, because the alternative would be to not fuck him at all and to have to settle for a less attractive man.

A less attractive man, average looking, average success, pleasant chump personality – that guy isn't very powerful. Why? He doesn't have his choice of women. He still has some sex, but it's basically the few women willing to put out for him over his lifetime. It doesn't matter if they're pretty, respectful, sexually generous, or difficult bitches. They're his only opportunities. He has the limited choice to fuck them, because they're what's available to him, or to fuck nobody. When he fucks them, it's on their terms. When they want, how they want, and after jumping through whatever hoops and making whatever compromises they insist on. Because they're his only chance and they know it.

An even less attractive man, who doesn't make any effort at all, is completely powerless. Why? He has no options at all. Not even a yes/no choice. Women don't just walk up to a man with nothing and offer themselves. A man who makes no effort doesn't have sex at all. Women choose not to fuck him. They're the ones with the power of choice.

A powerful man will therefore be a man who fucks a lot of women, or if he doesn't for whatever reason, a man with the opportunity to fuck a lot of women. A man many women want to fuck.

Any woman who's not completely ugly has power. Because unlike men, any woman – even the ones who make no effort – can still have at least some sexual opportunities. Most women have multiple sexual opportunities and revel in the ability to choose who to fuck and who to exclude. Unlike men, women can simply go to the club in a dress, sit down, and wait for fuck applicants to approach them, buy them drinks, and attempt to entertain them. At the end of the night, a woman goes home with her best offer, normally choosing from among multiple applicants. If she's not feeling it, she may even choose none, because she knows she'll have plenty of opportunities next weekend.

A powerful woman will therefore be a woman who rejects a lot of men. Her power lies in the ability to choose who to fuck.

Sexual freedom is the highest priority of women's advocates in the world. Everything they've fought for has had the end goal of female power – the female ability to choose who to fuck. This is partly why rape is considered such a heinous crime, probably worse than murder. Rape is far more than just unwanted physical contact. If you beat the hell out of a woman, that's bad, but raping her is a whole different level, because rape is sexual, not just physical. If you rape a woman, you've robbed her of her greatest power – the power to choose who to fuck. She didn't choose you, but you stole her choice. Rape is about power, just ask feminists.

Abortion? Easy access to contraception? The healthcare landscape? Same deal. Pregnancy hinders a woman's ability to choose who to fuck, so these are cornerstones of every woman's advocate. Your wife's pregnant but doesn't want a second child? It's her body. She can terminate as many of your pregnancies as she wants and you'd never know. Your wife's been cheating, gets pregnant, has the baby, and after a running some tests, her doctor notices that the baby can't possibly be yours? He can't legally tell you. He and your wife speak privately about the baby's medical care and test results and collude to keep everything hidden from you, put your name on the birth certificate, and have you raise that child as your own for two decades. Because...uh...it's her body? She gets to choose who to have sex with and whether or not to cheat. Violating her privacy would hinder that choice.

The modern marriage? More of the same. A married woman has no obligation to fuck her husband. He's not allowed to cheat. He's not allowed to rape her. He's not allowed to complain or pressure her into sex – that's coercion. She unilaterally controls his sex life. He's supposed to sit quietly and hope she chooses to have sex with him. The power is hers. She chooses, he hopes to be chosen. She's his only option and she knows it. He does have an out: he can choose to pay her off. File for divorce, give her the house, half his assets, and write her a check every month for the foreseeable future. What's that? He doesn't want to lose his kids because he loves them? That's silly. Men don't care about shit like that, right? Women are the better parents who bond with children. They told me so. Men just care about sex and money.

Women's advocates will proudly tell men that if they have a problem with this system, don't worry – they're fighting for the solution: economic parity. If you marry a wealthy professional woman, you don't have to pay her as much to divorce her. And financially independent women are a great thing, because that's more sexual freedom. They can choose to fuck more attractive men instead of whoring themselves out once a month to keep the paychecks coming from a loser husband.

The world is fighting hard for female power, and female power isn't going away. Women will always have the power to choose who to fuck, and they will have to put in next to no work to have that power. It's their birthright.

The Red Pill is one symptom of the male world's reaction to this. Only a small minority of men get to taste that same power – the ability to choose which women to fuck – the ability to be more powerful than women while the women work (albeit often ineptly) for the attentions of worthy men. The male response to female power is pretty simple: grow stronger. Be that minority of men. The only other option is to quit. They'll never say it out loud, but women love the Red Pill. Not the anti-woman rants and shit talking we do around here. Not the idea that a guy might fake looking like he's worth a shit and trick a woman into sex (robbing her of her power through deception). They love the end result – men who are actually powerful. Women want to be fucked silly by a man who's more powerful than they are. But an unforeseen side effect of women's advocacy has made that difficult. So many men have quit the game or have assumed their assigned role as a pleasant chump hoping to be chosen as a resource provider in exchange for an occasional fuck. Women got what they thought they wanted, and it sucks. What they really want is to be fucked silly by a man that's worth a shit, which have become a commodity that's in much shorter supply.

My friends, just by recognizing this, you are already a cut above the loser chumps of the world. Just walking up to a girl and being direct about your intentions, risking rejection, sets you apart from ninety percent of the universe. The time is so ripe for all of you. You can be one tenth of a badass and look better than every single man in the room, because men have forgotten how to be badass. You can bathe in pussy if you just do the work. Don't do laundry, don't do backrubs, don't do gifts, don't do feelings, just do the fucking work. Women don't want to fuck money. They don't want to fuck labor. They want to fuck men. They'll occasionally whore themselves out for money and labor, but yearn for men. Don't be money and

labor. Be men.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Cyralea • 169 points • 27 May, 2015 05:57 PM

Feminism is the logical extension of this. By giving women more options to exclude men *while simultaneously taking away that choice from men*, they maximize the female imperative. Here's a non-exhaustive list just as an example:

- 1) Don't judge fat women = Lessen the impact of being physically unattractive to men
- 2) Don't judge promiscuous women = Lessen the impact of the importance of chastity to men
- 3) Don't make women feel uncomfortable = Don't approach women if you're unattractive
- 4) You're not entitled to sex = You're not entitled to even pursue sexual relationships if you're unattractive
- 5) Be yourself = Don't be duplicitous, as that makes it harder to evaluate who is naturally high value and who is learning
- 6) Child Support and alimony = A woman can leave a man anytime she wants for a better man and get cash prizes for doing so
- 7) False Rape Accusations don't happen/are negligible = The threat of false rape must always be allowed so as to allow a woman to rein in a player/Alpha with its constant threat should he decide to leave. That or give her an easy out for being indiscriminate with her sexual activity and resulting in her making poor decisions.

Low-SMV women want the tools to reject more men and have fewer high-SMV men reject them, which is why Feminism is so appealing to them.

[deleted] • 62 points • 27 May, 2015 08:24 PM

attractive women don't need feminism, ugly ones do

the99percent1 • 22 points • 27 May, 2015 11:47 PM

Always has been the case.. feminism is the greatest tool ever invented for the low smv woman.

It simultaneously lowers the value of high smv women by keeping them in check and raises their own by forcing guys to pick one of them. genius...

popthatpill • 11 points • 28 May, 2015 06:48 AM*

All women, regardless of attractiveness, will gladly help themselves to the bounty of feminist rentseeking - but only the uggos will actually self-identify as "feminists".

The uggos *need* feminism, it's the only sociosexual strategy they have. The better-looking women, however, don't need to - hence allowing them to harvest the benefits of being non-feminist or even "anti-feminist", while still enjoying the benefits/rents/privileges extracted by feminism: attractive women win coming and going.

Feminists get this too, which is why they complain about how soi-disant "anti-feminist" women aren't grateful enough for what generations of feminists have done for them.

NiceTryDisaster • 25 points • 27 May, 2015 08:19 PM

Something just went 'click' inside my mind. Like two pieces of puzzle fitting together. Never looked at feminism from this perspective. Thank you.

5t3fan0 • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 09:59 AM

same here.... learnt more from TRP in one week than from my own (few) experiences in years!

D_Man_Loves_You • 23 points • 28 May, 2015 12:18 AM

(Kinda new here). I can't get over how much sense you guys make. I was raised as beta as they come. I even bought into the liberal/feminist/blue-pill thinking my whole life, but it's not applicable in the real world. I haven't seen any results. I've told myself, "Give it time, all these people around you are fake bitches - Ms. Right will come around. The girl who loves 'you for you' is out there."

It's so fucking backwards, lol. It's a big fucking scam that doesn't hold you accountable for your actions. Just wanted to say how much I loved your post. Stuff like that gets me pumped/motivated. Thank You.

freethinker34 • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 01:19 AM

Any extreme is bad whether it be conservative or liberal. Feminism is extreme liberalism. I think it's best to be a moderate. I'm a moderate and I lean left despite being red pill. As ridiculous as feminism is, it doesn't compare to the evil that is the far right conservatives, who breed hatred, segregation, and traditionalism.

isthatyourdaughter • 5 points • 28 May, 2015 04:01 AM [recovered]

The real mindfuck comes when you realize that politics is just a make-work puppet show, and neither liberalism nor conservatism exist in truth. Both are merely labels; they mean whatever we are led to believe they mean.

As I've said elsewhere, feudalism never ended. It merely updated its wardrobe. It's still all about the only thing that counts: real power, and the systems that enable its acquisition and expression.

Everything else is window dressing. The system is NOT broken, quite the contrary. It functions perfectly...

...for those it is meant to serve.

Limekill • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 07:37 AM [recovered]

neither liberalism nor conservatism exist in truth.

This.

LukesLikeIt • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 09:08 AM

Treat government the way you would a woman. Do not follow what they say but what they do. Kings, queens, princes, dukes they just changed the names of the ruling titles. The fact that you can't even end your own life legally should wake us up to the fact they think they own us.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 02:03 AM

who breed hatred, segregation, and traditionalism.

Can you clarify, in your own words, what you mean by this?

I'd say I'm very far right, but my views are pretty opposed to most "far right conservatives". I don't breed hatred, segregation, or traditionalism.

[deleted] • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 03:57 AM

who breed hatred, segregation, and traditionalism

You mean *who allow people to think what they will, encourage free association, and stand by tried-and-true life principles?*

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 04:05 AM

I can't tell if you're being sarcastic or not. Because I've totally seen the same thing from conservatives being serious and liberals being sarcastic.

Honestly, I don't give a damn what other people do as long as they don't piss me off. I wish some others would do me the same courtesy.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 04:29 AM*

I actually meant to reply to the guy above you, but I will say this: I don't know if I'm being sarcastic, either. Maybe yes—maybe no—most likely both simultaneously.

Take the "segregation" bit, for example: men and women both like to have their all-men and all-women clubs and groups and other company. Blacks and Whites and Hispanics don't voluntarily associate much with each other. The rich enclose themselves in wealthy enclaves to insulate themselves from the great unwashed. All this requires discrimination in the original sense: "recognition and understanding of the difference between one thing and another". But government today tramples all over this very protected Constitutional right of freedom of association with "anti-discrimination" laws (discrimination in the sense of "the unjust or prejudicial treatment of different categories of people or things") and Affirmative Action and the broad cultural proscription against male groups and masculine thought.

On the other hand, how can we all have freedom of association unless government forces everyone to associate with everyone else?

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 04:31 AM

who breed hatred, segregation, and traditionalism

You mean *who allow people to think what they will, encourage free association, and stand by tried-and-true life principles?*

D_Man_Loves_You • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 04:59 AM

Oh, I agree. I was just trying to say that if you depend on television, a liberal arts degree, and hang with other beta's you never really learn how to be a man.

I'd argue that the "far right" you're describing is actually 'middle-right' these day - the neo-conservatives that highjacked the Rep. party in 1980. The far right nowadays is the Libertarian wing (Ron & Rand Paul, Koch, etc.) I like to think of myself as a Libertarian at the federal level and a Democrat at the state and local level.

coffee_and_lumber • 10 points • 27 May, 2015 09:20 PM

Low-SMV women want the tools to reject more men and have fewer high-SMV men reject them, which is why Feminism is so appealing to them.

Goddamn I need this in a scripty sparkle font over a picture of a waterfall.

[deleted] • 5 points • 27 May, 2015 09:35 PM

the funniest thing is 1-3 are done equally or more by women than men

bitches_be_crazy86 • 3 points • 27 May, 2015 06:28 PM

Rarely do I see posts that make sense. This one does.

redpillbanana • 20 points • 27 May, 2015 05:39 PM

A human being's power in society is primarily defined by one ability: the ability to exclude others.

This is a very interesting way of looking at power.

One way that I define an alpha is: is he mostly trying to win the approval of others, or are other people trying to win his approval? If people are trying to win his approval, that means he has power, and thus, the ability to choose and exclude.

This applies equally to the business world and to the social world. In business, look at the record producer - how many people are trying to win his approval so he'll produce their record? In society, look at the alpha at the party - how many women are giving him IOIs to get his attention? How many men are trying to amuse him so they can get into his inner circle?

In my favorite group of friends, I've noticed that we trade off being the alpha. Sometimes they're trying to win my approval and I am the arbiter of what is acceptable. Sometimes I'm trying to win their approval. It all happens naturally and it depends on what subject we're discussing or what we're trying to decide.

Next time you go out, pay attention to how often you're trying to impress others vs how often they're trying to impress you.

truchisoft • 4 points • 27 May, 2015 08:10 PM

One way that I define an alpha is: is he mostly trying to win the approval of others, or are other people trying to win his approval? If people are trying to win his approval, that means he has power, and thus, the ability to choose and exclude.

A leader commands respect by his actions not his words, no real alpha or leader will ever try to win the approval of others. This is true even outside the RP.

Code_Bordeauxx • 43 points • 27 May, 2015 04:28 PM

And when you do find out you have that power, I have to say... The sheer extent of it is unreal. When a girl/women has decided you're the man she wants to fuck, she'll allow you to take control of pretty much any aspect of her life. I can honestly say that it scares me sometimes to see how easily they completely surrender and become dependent.

It is not in my nature to take advantage of this, so I deal with it with a sense of responsibility and honor. The quote 'with great power comes great responsibility' comes to mind.

Archwinger[S] • 81 points • 27 May, 2015 04:48 PM

I think it was Rollo who said this, in better and more concise words than I'm about to:

If a woman wants to fuck you, she will find ways to fuck you. She will make it happen. She will make the time, she will sacrifice, she will compromise. She will be up until 4:AM with you having marathon sex when she has work at 5:AM, because to her it's worth it. Plus she gets to spend the whole day brag-plaining about you to her coworkers because she loves the drama and complaining about how tired you are all the time is pretty much female interaction 101.

She will suck your dick and let you fuck her in the ass and beg you to cum all over her when the very notion of doing anything like that with her husband or boyfriend makes her want to hurl, but something about you

getting hard for her, you ejaculating for her, her causing that response in your body, her satisfying you – that fulfills her. Even if she doesn't get fucked in the vagina or have an orgasm, you getting off satisfies her. Pleasing you sexually makes her happy.

She will hide under your bed until your wife leaves. Then fuck you some more and revel in the delicious risk and how whorish and tawdry you make her feel, because she hates being a whore for her husband's paycheck but loves being your trashy little whore.

If a woman really wants to fuck you, she will do just about anything to fuck you.

If a woman doesn't want to fuck you, she'll impose rules and conditions on you, make you jump through hoops, make you sacrifice and compromise. And once every month or two she'll lay there in the starfish position, barely a participant, and let you use her body as a source of friction for your dick. If you catch her eye during the act, you might see how badly she doesn't want you inside of her and how she just wants it to be over quickly. You die a little inside and almost feel like a rapist, because when you were begging for sex and she sighed and agreed, you knew she didn't really want to and deep down inside, you know your marriage/relationship is over, but you're just going through the motions because you don't want to be alone and think this is better than nothing. When you finish, probably while thinking about the hot girl from work instead of the cold starfish underneath you, she bolts into the bathroom to sit on the toilet and take a shower and get as much of your semen out of her as quickly as possible because she's disgusted by your man fluid and feels used.

redpillbanana • 30 points • 27 May, 2015 05:55 PM*

I've definitely found this to be true.

When I first improved my SMV and gained confidence around women, I would ask them to come to my room and many of them would agree. It stunned me at first, and I had the same reaction that Richard Feynman had: "You...you just ASK them?"

What I didn't realize at the time is that they weren't coming with me to have sex just because I asked them, they had already decided that they wanted to fuck me based on (1) just looking at me, and (2) our brief interactions. The asking was just a formality.

Some women decided they wanted to have sex with me even before I met them in person. They would ask me to lift my shirt while video chatting and I would oblige them, then they would say, "Ok when are we going to meet?" Everything after that was a mere formality.

Edit: I forgot to mention - sometimes I didn't even have to ask, they would invite themselves over. For example, in one instance I mentioned something nice about my hotel room and the woman asked, "Are you inviting me to your hotel room?" I responded, "Er...yes. Yes, I am."

Halfjor • 16 points • 27 May, 2015 06:07 PM

Women can get way crazier than men when they really want to fuck. Especially if you deny them straight forward. I've had girls grab my dick, jump on top of me, grab the back of my head and try to make out with me, all kinds of shit when I told them we weren't doing anything.

It's part annoying, and part hilarious. Every time I've experienced that I just thought "Wow, you seriously *do not* know how to deal with this, do you?" The hamster just has a seizure.

nomad-oz • 8 points • 28 May, 2015 05:47 AM

This is so true.

Back in my late 20's I went wingman for a mate. He was a few years older, average looking and out of shape but had good game. He was doing his thing on the attractive one while took one for the team with

her shorter cute but chunky friend.

It became obvious Attractive Girl wasn't really interested while Mz Chunky was all over me. After some whispering between them Mz Chunky invites us back to her house. As soon as we get there she pretty much drags me off to her bedroom.

Shortly after I hear a man and woman shouting at each other. I jump up and ask "what's going on?" Mz Chunky say's "Relax! it's her brother." After a brief silence I hear laughing. Then Chunky goes out out and brings Attractive Girl into the bedroom for a 3some.

Apparently Attractive Girl called her older brother who pretender to be her irate crazy husband just to scare off my mate.

When women want to fuck you they will find a way. They are devious and scheming. They have different standards for different men. They would rather share AF than fuck BB. They have no shame.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 08:33 PM

If a woman really wants to fuck you, she will do just about anything to fuck you.

Ha, I have to say that this is the most interesting concept presented here. I am really sexually active in a sexually positive city (Austin, TX) and I can see how this is true, and kinda untrue. By that I mean most girls like the chase. No woman wants to 'feel' like a slut. The chase, sweet-talking, rubbing on her shoulder, holding her hand, making her laugh, etc is like sex to them. You make them feel wonderful and you can smash who ever.

but....

You make them feel like a slut.. or you present yourself as a POS you can 'work' your way right out of an easy lay.

I could post dozens of FRs from my personal experience and my friend's experience of exactly that happening. Women like sex, and what you have to say is true, if she wants it she'll go get it, but no girl wants to feel like a hoe all night, well no girl I would put my dick inside of anyways.

jayhovaasmywitness • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 04:56 AM

This makes so much sense, that's why I really don't like the whole game approach at all, be someone of high value, be worth your weight in rhodium and there's no coercion, there is no persuasion. Your piece says it best, women should want to fuck you because if they don't fuck you, they have to fuck someone else, someone less than you.

[deleted] • -18 points • 27 May, 2015 06:48 PM

Rollo is a dinosaur who wants to teach you shit that is outdated already

Look at the above. Look what is written. Everything below the opening sentence is about THE WOMAN.

In reality, it is completely up TO YOU.

If you are a powerful man you will attract women who enjoy your company. If they effortlessly enjoy your company, the fruits of your being will be there for you.

Rollo has this group of idiot dudes eating up everything he says, same with Roosh. Aren't those guys kind of nerdy?

Archwinger[S] • 24 points • 27 May, 2015 07:04 PM

I suppose we could just do away with this whole red pill thing and replace it with inspirational advice: "Just be awesome and women will happen!"

Some people like a little more specificity and discussion.

HerculestheRed • 4 points • 28 May, 2015 04:29 AM

Specificity and discussion? Those are for old fogeys. People that old don't know how to deal with women. If they were so smart, why are they near midlife crisis?!?

If we spend all day taking actionable advice from old guys who have been around the block, who will eat all this gogurt and drink MTN Dew that fuels our active, young, awesome life style that women are attracted to.

Just be yourself, and the rest will follow grandpa!

Most of these new guys haven't even -just- ignored the sidebar. They've legitimately convinced themselves the world is a better place with them giving their opinion instead of killing themselves, being quiet, making toast, or literally anything they chose to do instead of lifting, reading the sidebar, and lurking more.

If you're new and anything I said seems too harsh, I'm sure Sam's Club has feminine wipes and Depends in bulk. But of course, you and I both are just two examples of how one man's opinion is irrelevant.

But if opinion's are irrelevant, because they're subjective like feels, how do we discuss women on this sexual-discussion board? Why, advice, theory, and field reports of course. Fucking faggots.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 02:54 AM

The problem is, when you have a listener-teacher relationship with these people you will end up getting sold on a dogma.

Every man is unique. What works for one will not work for another. Leykis, Rollo, Roosh, these men rely on relationships with men that aren't based on being a peer with other men, they are based on a hierarchy system where they are self-appointed gods of dating advice and they convince you to swallow the red pill based on fear. Fear that women control your life.

Truth is, if you focus on you, and you do you really well, that is essentially all you need. The rest can be chalked up to personal experience and not what a snake oil salesman sells to you in the form of a philosophy. It ends up hamstringing you.

The Red Pill is a direct reaction to fear that men feel in their lives.

NeoreactionSafe • 15 points • 27 May, 2015 05:55 PM

"But an unforeseen side effect of women's advocacy has made that difficult. So many men have quit the game or have assumed their assigned role as a pleasant chump hoping to be chosen as a resource provider in exchange for an occasional fuck. Women got what they thought they wanted, and it sucks."

Men were once **Owners** (Marriage 1.0) and now we are reduced to **Providers**. (Marriage 2.0)

Red Pill has a nifty little trick in ways to Game the system and get Power in situations that are typically now Powerless, but it's still no great solution.

Eventually this Misandry Bubble collapses... social entropy reaches rock bottom as the progressives achieve the absolute degeneracy they idolize.

Every other previous civilization has fallen into entropy... it seems a universal truth.

So our options are to Enjoy the Decline (become more degenerate than women) or to Enjoy the Collapse. (enjoy watching things suck)

Be honest... don't we all get some pleasure in seeing Cock Carousel women end as a disaster?

It's like Jerry Springer, we like watching the freaks screw up. (and laughing)

[deleted] • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 04:03 AM

I see you everywhere, and I have a sincere question. How much time per day do you spend writing reddit posts?

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 02:17 PM

Depends on the weather.

Yesterday was raining heavily and the last few days were similiar.

Today is beautiful and I am just about to get outside for a four hour bike ride.

...so it depends on weather.

I mostly read over on the Reactionary sites. Red Pill is the Jerry Springer show much of the time... somewhat less serious and usually just fun for me.

whatyearisthisagain • 1 point • 27 May, 2015 09:46 PM

To add to this, I found my sense of happiness increase tremendously after acknowledging that I purposely have nothing vested in this world. I know of the bat this sounds like it runs counter-intuitive to typical RP ideologies of self-improvement, etc., however I think the two are separate. I like to remind myself of a quote from Heat: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ehs6ofWUrhQ>

BigRedChewingChum • 6 points • 27 May, 2015 10:18 PM

This is reminiscent of Michel Foucault's philosophy with regards to systems of exclusion in discourse. Systems of exclusion *choose* what to include and what not to include within a dominant discourse, using the apparatus (a relationship of forces) of power/knowledge to legitimate one discourse while silencing another.

Knowledge, then, has no *necessary* relationship to truth whatsoever. 'Common knowledge' is a function of power, not truth. Feminine doctrines within universities are a perfect example of this. My school has the tenacity to tell me that there are *no* differences between the male and female brain in teacher prep classes. Literary theory uses the philosophy of Judith Butler to espouse that there are *no* inherent differences between the sexes whatsoever, but that 'gender' is a category of performance that is performed until it 'becomes real'. Both of these assertions have been empirically refuted by the hard sciences, and yet they are allowed to be taught *while being false* because the apparatus of feminine power legitimated them. This happens while disciplines such as evolutionary psychology are excluded from the broader curriculum, as are dissenting voices that question the loss of dichotomy between male and female. Power creates common knowledge, not truth.

T8ernutz • 5 points • 27 May, 2015 04:50 PM

Always a quality post from you, thank you.

kingofpoplives • 2 points • 27 May, 2015 08:42 PM

They love the end result – men who are actually powerful. Women want to be fucked silly by a man who's more powerful than they are.

If you really want to maximize your sexual power, you should NEVER promise any woman sexual exclusivity. Relationships are fine and dandy, but you fuck who you want, no matter what.

Be strong enough to resist the temptation to make the first hot woman you fuck your gf, and before long so will have 5 more on the same level or higher lining up to fuck you every night of the week.

Myrpl • 2 points • 27 May, 2015 09:36 PM*

I 100% agree with this, and it's not just related with sexual market but also social. The person with the greatest influence decides which bar we visit or even if we visit a bar at all. Same goes with career: A highly skilled professional has the power to say "fuck you" to a bad workplace.

My natural question is: How the fuck do I even start to get to the place where I have more power than now? I began lifting and reading non-fictional books, and yet I don't even have my career goals straight. I simply cannot imagine myself becoming a "50-hour workweek" programmer (for example) and still be perceived as someone who has the power to pick which woman to fuck.

Archwinger[S] • 11 points • 27 May, 2015 09:56 PM

The job market and the sexual market are separate markets. When you're out at your favorite bar with a group of friends, smiling big and having a great time, dressed sharply with an awesome haircut and a rocking body from your hours and hours at the gym and the painstaking attention that you pay to the food you eat, all that you never talk about because you want people to think that you just magically and effortlessly are awesome without lifting a finger – women don't see some dumpy overworked computer programmer. They see their possible next cock. If you don't blow it.

Your job is to approach immediately, be direct, be confident, escalate, be interesting and playful and fun, and have a good time. When a woman asks you what you do, you blow off this obvious shit test by telling her you're a secret agent, a porn star, a lingerie designer, or playfully poke fun at her by hinting that she's a golddigger. She doesn't need to know how hard you work behind the scenes. That would be a huge turn-off. She just needs to know that you're awesome. If you do happen to come across a woman who's that hung up on your day job and just won't let it go, go talk to some other girl.

bobinhumanresources • 4 points • 28 May, 2015 12:47 AM

I'm basically just reinforcing your point here but there's a book on Amazon that was written by a courtier in pre-1600s Europe and regarding court etiquette and what I took from it is that you should work ridiculously hard but make it seem effortless.

Myrpl • 4 points • 28 May, 2015 07:19 AM

Law 30: Make Your Accomplishments Seem Effortless

Your actions must seem natural and executed with ease. All the toil and practice that go into them, and also all the clever tricks, must be concealed. When you act, act effortlessly, as if you could do much more. Avoid the temptation of revealing how hard you work—it only raises questions. Teach no one your tricks or they will be used against you.

_the_shape_ • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 12:53 PM

Michelangelo allegedly never wanted his sketches to be put on display, as doing so would humanize him much more.

"If people knew how hard I worked to get my mastery, it wouldn't seem so wonderful at all." - Michelangelo

420POWER • 2 points • 28 May, 2015 03:29 AM

Be of this post as well as many comments below, I officially deleted my plenty of fish online dating profile. I had been wanting to do it for a long time, but this post helped me make the decision. Sites like that give the women ALL of the power to decide if anything goes anywhere, which lays a terrible foundation for any relationship from the get go.

5t3fan0 • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 10:09 AM

damn right bro! happy to hear that, now its time for the next improvement: heavy deadlifts!

420POWER • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 10:13 AM

Not only do I have leg day tomorrow, but I've dropped a total of 50 L B 's since January. TRP has been motivating me like crazy throughout the process, too.

ChadThundercockII • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 12:21 AM

We need a comprehensive guide to manhood. Things we can do that will show us the road to manhood. So each one of us could find his own way.

Venkas • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 02:28 AM

You found it here. This is as good as it gets between this sub and various other websites.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 11:27 AM

I was referring to the idea of a a guidebook. Where you have steps to follow that will eventually clarify the concepts of TRP. An exaple would be Victor Pride's book " 30 days of discipline".

Venkas • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:13 AM

You would need a consensus and enough information that we all can agree upon. I like the idea of a book that I could pop open and flip to a sub chapter on frame or something of the sort.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 11:37 AM

I like the idea of a book that I could pop open and flip to a sub chapter on frame or something of the sort.

That is the idea. We have enough information on the sub so far. I would like a practical part where you can find steps to achieving frame, abundance mentality and such.

NiceTryDisaster • 1 point • 27 May, 2015 08:20 PM

Very interesting and useful post. Thank you.

Discomposure • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 02:54 AM

I've been lurking for a couple of months and this posts stuck out to me the most. Definitely needs to be added to the Handbook.

5t3fan0 • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 09:56 AM

i wish i read this in highschool... but its never too late to open eyes, dammit!

lockyo • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 03:24 PM

So what you are saying is that TRP is feminism for men?

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 May, 2015 06:36 AM

Russian guys don't have to worry about this at all. They are in the minority & work Russian women like it was their only trick.

santander26 • 0 points • 27 May, 2015 11:26 PM

Mostly true, some bullshit. Be a man. Really? I am fucking ugly man. I am a loser from born.

Gadnuk_ • 5 points • 28 May, 2015 03:06 PM

If you are a loser it is by choice, not by birth.

Not everyone can be Brad Pitt, but you don't have to be jabba the hut either. A weak man will give up, a strong man will thrive.

The redpill is about being the best man you can be. You can't get dealt a new hand, but if you understand the rules of the game you can still play to win.

Praecipuus • 3 points • 28 May, 2015 04:24 AM

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T0RruLmvsTI>

There's always hope unless besides ugly, you're also a quitter.