

Amy Schumer admits to raping a guy, feminists support her, /r/askfeminists decide the guy raped her...

May 29, 2015 | 1280 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

Generally I try to avoid the outrage porn, but this one is too good to pass up.

Amy Schumer apparently [gives a speech](#) where she admits to raping a drunk guy, continuing sexual acts despite the fact that he's intoxicated and occasionally falls asleep..

A detailed article is [found here](#).

Well, in case you were asleep over the past few years (and subsequently raped by Amy Schumer), the latest feminist push has obviously been expanding the borders of the term "rape." One such frontier has been deciding that drunk sex is rape- or to clarify, somebody who is intoxicated cannot give consent, therefore having sex with somebody intoxicated is rape.

Now, putting aside the fact that this opens the door for two drunk people to simultaneously rape each other consensually, it's obvious in this case as one party is intoxicated and the other is sober that only one of them can consent. Hint: it's the sober person. She has 100% control of whether she enters the room.

Nevertheless, [/r/askfeminists decides that maybe it's not rape](#)- and some even go so far to argue that she didn't enjoy it so he probably raped her since "he was the aggressor."

Now let's just look at the details: if a 12 year old girl came on to a n 18 year old guy, he has a responsibility to say no because she's too young to consent. It doesn't matter if she puts herself onto him, he needs to leave the scenario. It doesn't matter that she was the aggressor, she was somebody who lacked the ability to consent to sex.

If a drunk guy comes onto a girl, she has the responsibility to say no. It doesn't matter that he was the aggressor, he was somebody who lacked the ability to consent to sex.

Well... if you accept the nonsense definitions that feminists bring forward.

Is anybody surprised another feminist ideal is inconsistent with itself?

The answer, of course, is never be alone with a woman. They represent a legal liability in any and every scenario.

That's not really realistic advice, and I personally enjoy being around women. But at least you've been warned.

Archived from [theredarchive.com](#)

Comments

screamingATtrees • 99 points • 29 May, 2015 04:05 AM

Wow the comments... They still blame the man in his own rape...And yes flip the genders and they would be gathering torches and pitchforks

[deleted] • 33 points • 29 May, 2015 05:44 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 34 points • 29 May, 2015 05:59 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 06:07 AM

[permanently deleted]

TRPsubmitter_ • 23 points • 29 May, 2015 07:58 AM

If a drunk person is the "aggressor" and has sex with a person who did not consent, that person is the one raping.

That hamster is working overtime. Holy shit.

EeeeeevilMan • 560 points • 29 May, 2015 03:42 AM

A woman blatantly "rapes" a dude by their own retarded feminist definition of rape and somehow they manage to spin it--with a straight fucking face--that *he's* the rapist.

I don't know why I'm fucking surprised but I am. The bottom just keeps getting lower. That thread is honestly horrifying.



Obio1 • 193 points • 29 May, 2015 08:55 AM*

Here's the moment from r/askfeminists where the hamster makes the jump to hyperspace:

Feminist: "Now obviously we only have one side of the story but according to her story, she froze and panicked when a drunk man attempted to have sex with her. I do not think she raped the guy."

(Why is it relevant that we only have "one side of the story"? We have the *rapist's* side of the story and she just confessed.)

Rational male: "As the article states and is generally accepted, consent is required for non-rape sex. Consent cannot be given in an inebriated state. So no, in fact according to feminist definitions, the **ONLY** thing she did was rape the guy. I mean, of that there is no doubt."

(Yes. 100% correct.)

Feminist: "But rape can be committed in an inebriated state. If a drunk person is the "aggressor" and has sex with a person who did not consent, that person is the one raping."

(Wow. The mind reels. Let's review her feminist logic: In some other, *totally-unrelated scenario*, any

random drunk person would indeed be capable of rape. And if that drunk person from that *totally-unrelated scenario* were to forcibly rape an unconsenting female... then *that* would of course be rape. Therefore that *totally-unrelated scenario will now magically relate to this scenario for no reason other than it would make me right*. Got it?)

Rational Male: "As per the woman's own words, she consented. I'm almost certain there is no case that HE raped HER."

(Yes. 100% correct.)

Feminist: "Actually according to her own account, she described the experience of a *rape victim*."

Zoooooooooom. Jump to hyperspace: Complete.

And there we have it. She shows up at his door. She's dead sober, he's shitfaced. She is aware of this and enters his room.

She consents to sex and he's barely hard because he's so "wasted" (her words). And r/askfeminists concludes *wait for it...* **she's a rape victim**.

HOLY FUCK. That's like a hamster from Jurassic Park. It's 90 feet tall and devouring everything in it's path.

PowerMasterLord • 50 points • 29 May, 2015 09:13 AM

It's really disgusting that these new feminists have assumed the moral high ground and have super-shitty arguments that somehow get validated with sky-high bullshit. Worst part is that they've entered the world of legality. With shitty arguments like that, you damn well need a good lawyer to twist it into something that goes on the books.

Obio1 • 49 points • 29 May, 2015 12:22 PM*

Maybe Amy Schumer's rape victim (whatever his name is... apparently it didn't matter) should have carried a mattress around every day and demanded that Amy Schumer change schools.

PrinceofSpades • 35 points • 29 May, 2015 01:39 PM

3rd wave feminism is literally a cancer.

I was all for equality, I really was raised that way, but it just has nothing to do with that anymore, and these people are now the last things I would ever want to consider my equals with such an alarming lack of reason and intelligence behind their arguments.

Funnily enough, the female friends of mine who laugh at feminism with me are the ones acting like, and deserving of being, equals. Irony.

Independentmale • 26 points • 29 May, 2015 06:07 PM

I was all for equality

I still am. 100%. Women need to be held accountable exactly as men are. No more special favors, preferential treatments and so on. They should be sentenced just as harshly in criminal cases. They should work as hard as we do. Bitches want equality, let's fucking give it to them.

My LTR is a self-proclaimed feminist. She loves the equality so I make her pay her half of everything even though it pisses her off and likely contributes to the inevitable death of our relationship. Hey, you want to be equal, this is what it looks like. Deal with it.

Thizzlebot • 12 points • 3 June, 2015 10:50 PM

She loves the equality so I make her pay her half of everything even though it pisses her off and likely contributes to the inevitable death of our relationship.

It's beautiful to see you as a captain on a sinking ship just smiling because he's still sailing.

1independentmale • 5 points • 4 June, 2015 04:37 AM

Great analogy! Gave me a good laugh, thank you.

I just don't give a fuck anymore. I've been doing this for so long that I've mastered abundance mentality. I'm not worried about *ever* being alone. There are countless bitches who would kill to have a guy like me, so I don't let their shit get to me anymore. I laugh it off and do my thing.

PowerMasterLord • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 10:23 AM

I like the honesty you have.

merkmerk73 • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 01:51 PM

It's just cognitive dissonance - the discomfort experienced by the mind when presented with evidence and views opposite of existing beliefs.

It's entirely natural and you see it everywhere on reddit and we even see it in ourselves.

This individual has based her whole belief system and view of the world on feminist drivel. Anything that challenges it or threatens it will be mental gymnastic'd until it is no longer a threat.

Thengine • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 01:07 PM

Feminist: "Actually according to her own account, she described the experience of a rape victim."

Zoooooooooom. Jump to hyperspace: Complete.

I lost my shit at that visual.

sithman25 • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 12:29 PM

My god...they've gone plaid!

I imagine some on the fence feminists were even going "what the fuck?"

The more statements like this, the more insane feminist law will sound.

Sadpanda596 • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 01:27 PM

Haha good post. I read the post.... At first I was like, ok, ok, I can understand the rationale, don't agree with it but whatever, nothing too horrible. Then this chick starts talking about the Schumer being the rape victim, literally, because her statements suggest that she FEELS like the victim? What in the fuck.

vengefully_yours • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 03:39 PM

Feels over reals, that's life in a girl's head.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 08:55 PM

At least the comments called her the fuck out

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 03:23 PM

"Go for the eyes, Boo! Go for the eyes!" - Minsc the Ranger and his companion Boo, the miniature Giant Space Hamster.

Obio1 • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:04 PM

Modded up for the BG reference ;)

Hoodwink • 108 points • 29 May, 2015 07:15 AM **[recovered]**

I've said it before, but feminism attracts narcissists and users. There are naive girls in the faith and 'cafeteria'-feminists, but if you have a crusader or someone who brandishes 'feminism' as a weapon - you're probably not dealing with someone worried about ethics/morals, but is power-thirsty with a chip on their shoulder against men.

Maybe it's because feminism has the ethical elitism and power as the Catholic church once did. (I.E. Academic, political, and social power all rolled in one.) Feminism is replacing religion as one of the places you find crazies getting drawn into.

Obio1 • 67 points • 29 May, 2015 09:48 AM*

It's also important to note that she's not funny.

She's never been funny. And when women fail repeatedly (at anything), feminism and patriarchy quickly become their favorite topics.

Women comedians in general aren't funny. They mistake crass and abrasive for funny. There's even a film called "Women aren't funny" which assembles the world's best female comedians to point out how absurd the claim is that "women aren't funny" -- and guess what? It's not funny.

Christopher Hitchens obliterates them here -- basically they're just copying men:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8S692f1tnuQ>

And Patrice O'Neal of course, has profound understanding of why women aren't funny:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VV7vv3rFxNA>

There are loads of arguments for "why" women aren't funny, and as you might guess, they all come down to: *You've been taught by the patriarchy to believe women aren't funny.*

<http://www.cracked.com/blog/4-ways-were-programmed-to-think-women-arent-funny/>

my_redpill_account • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 01:11 PM

Oh my god I'm glad to hear this from someone else. Whitney Cummings and Amy are only good at talking about dicks, periods and being a slut. "Lol I'm a slut!"

Not even really jokes. Maria Bamford is hilarious. I listen to stand up every day in the car, she's the only woman I've found funny besides Natasha Leggero.

As soon as Amy comes on I switch to another channel, I tried to give her a chance but couldn't laugh.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 11:09 PM

Maria Bamford is a top all-time comedian for me. However, she would even tell you it's because she's literally insane.

Also - I saw Natasha Leggero at a small club years ago, and it was the live performance I've ever seen in my life because she *thrashed* a drunk chick for 20 minutes.

HellbillyDeluxe • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 02:28 PM

I don't care much for her show either, but the 12 angry men skit was a very solid one.

my_redpill_account • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:30 PM

Haven't seen the show. Should clarify its Sirius radio (free trial, why pay for a service that has channels who play ads).

I'll check out the skit. I wouldn't just ignore her for bad jokes completely.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 01:31 PM

Oddly enough, I've been watching a lot of Japanese comedy lately, and the ratio of funny to unfunny female characters/sketches/routines etc is basically the polar opposite of how it is in the states. So many people just have me laughing in tears, while I can't remember the last time an American comedian who was a female made me do more than breathe some air out of my nose. I'll definitely go check out some of Bamford's bits though.

somejackarse • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:51 PM

Japanese comedy

Anything in particular you would suggest to check out?

my_redpill_account • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:32 PM

I'm a small time voice actor which her voices got to me personally since I like that stuff. I do hope you enjoy her content though!

gokurakumar • 23 points • 29 May, 2015 12:11 PM*

God, that Cracked article is cringe worthy. For a start, one of the "programming" points is them postulating humour was an evolutionary advantage for men, which suggests men are in fact funnier because we're bred to be. Talk about a self-defeating argument.

Then later in the article it claims we're "already biased" and if the article was written by a man the reader would find it funnier. The irony being that it was only at this point that I even realised the article was written by a woman, because -- and this might surprise the author who clearly has a persecution complex -- I don't care who writes an article, I read the content and then form my opinion based on the merit of the text. The article isn't funny because, well, it's not funny. That's the fault of the writer's brain, not her vagina.

Chaohinon • 30 points • 29 May, 2015 10:30 AM

To add insult to injury, if you don't think she's funny, you're a misogynist.

Obio1 • 44 points • 29 May, 2015 10:33 AM*

And the reason that female stand-up comics do 'group shows' in small and midsize venues and Chris Rock sells out solo shows at 15,000 seat arenas... is *patriarchy*.

Just ridiculous. At every turn that men are more successful it's because of something unfair. Feminists are just angry children.

Dev_on • 25 points • 29 May, 2015 11:35 AM [recovered]

Funny ones are funny, it's just that there aren't as many of them out there...

hitchens was right. It's not that they 'cant' be funny, it's just most don't have to be, same as you'll find most north americans are no good at cricket

Obio1 • 22 points • 29 May, 2015 12:00 PM

"Funny ones are funny, it's just that there aren't as many of them out there..."

Well that's the distinction that feminists actually can't accept.

There are female-successes in every field. But not nearly as many.

The reason for this is at the heart of feminist ideology: They claim the disparity is due to oppression.

It's a particularly absurd statement when you're talking about something like stand-up comedy which is as free-market as any industry gets. If you're funny, you're successful. If you're not, you're not.

redpillschool[S] • 18 points • 29 May, 2015 01:18 PM

I don't know about standup, but I've always found Tina Fey's writing to be darn funny-30 rock is one of my favorite shows.

But for each Tina Fey there's at least thirty guys equally as good at their job.

magus678 • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 02:06 PM

I have been downvoted on here before for saying the same. Tina Fey can hang with the best of them.

But it is also true that being an attractive woman gives her advantages over other comics when their comic merit is roughly equal. But that's life in general, and women get to take advantage of that more often than men do. I sometimes wonder that this is one of the pillars supporting hate against TRP; men aren't supposed to be allowed to use sex and attraction to get things we want, that is woman's game.

Incidentally, what we want IS sex and attraction, so there's that.

niczar • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 12:53 AM

Eh have you seen pictures of young Tina Fey?

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:27 PM

Yeah, and the successful ones aren't the ones talking about feminism, because they are too busy actually working hard and being successful. Instead, they are just doing what it takes rather than expecting hand-outs.

KyfhoMyoba • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 11:49 PM

I think that it boils down to the fact that in any characteristic, males diverge from the average at a much greater rate than females do. It's why Larry Summers (prez of Harvard) pointed out that to do good work in a STEM field requires a high IQ, fewer women diverge that far from the norm than men do. What no one (no PC feminist at least) wanted to hear was that there are fewer females at the lower end of the spectrum, as well.

The ability to reframe issues in a "funny" way probably has some basis in intelligence, hence, the disparity in male vs female comics.

PrinceofSpades • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 01:25 PM

Well it's been my experience that men continue to get more mature all throughout their lives

while women sort of stop maturing between 14 and 24 and stay that age the rest of their lives unless they realize it and do something about it (rare). The maturity level definitely varies, but it also falls within a range. Makes sense why the most successful stories about marriage you hear on here all involve getting your wife to want to make you proud.

Hell, when I graduated university, my family went out drinking and my grandma was acting no differently then any random girl I'd see/talk to at parties, giggling like a teenage girl, while my grandpa and stepdad were in the middle of a really intelligent discussion despite drinking about a bottle of champagne each.

Life's interesting to sit back and observe.

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 05:00 PM

Men continue to evolve because they have to. Women realize they can just ride the pussy train into the grave.

jonivaio • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:03 PM

Feminists are just angry children.

From now on I will use ~~your~~ this quote as my own, whenever I'll need to give someone an eloquent and concise definition of what is feminism. Without giving you credit. Thanks!

furyoffive • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 01:26 PM

My girlfriend called me a sexist because I told her I don't find Amy Poehler funny.. good times.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:00 PM

I dated someone for a short stint who was the embodiment of AP, she even had the same voice as her. It was fucking creepy seeing parks and rec for the first time a few years later. Chick was psycho.

Kyfhomyoba • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 11:42 PM

I watched Parks and Rec only for Aziz and Ron Swanson. Oh, and Aubrey Plaza is funny in a clinically insane kind of way, on the show and IRL. Poehler's character wasn't funny.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 05:02 PM

If you don't want to date a black man, you're a racist, sexist pig.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:03 PM

I don't find Amy Poehler funny

That's because she isn't. She's a too much of a try-hard.

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 11:39 PM

Disagree. Poehler and Tina Fey were fantastic hosting the Golden Globes.

Don't know any other female comedians like that, though. Schumer is really good on the Comedy Central Roasts. Her standup is ... meh.

Elodrian • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 01:56 PM

My list of comediennes who I find genuinely funny consists of Tina Fey and the cast of Archer.

dabrah1 • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 03:40 PM

sarah silverman has her moments too

Elodrian • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 04:00 PM

I've seen her show; honestly can't say I care for it.

dabrah1 • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:07 PM

her show is shit, a few of her standups are good. Should have clarified that

magus678 • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 03:18 PM

Incidentally, in that Patrice video they stumble on Amy Schumer around 22:00.

They are talking about how hot she is. *Facepalm*

IllimitableMan • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 01:46 PM*

Women comedians in general aren't funny. They mistake crass and abrasive for funny. There's even a film called "Women aren't funny" which assembles the world's best female comedians to point out how absurd the claim is that "women aren't funny" -- and guess what? It's not funny.

Generally agree, there are a few exceptions. For example, I think this woman's dry wit is genius. She is of course, very masculine in her characteristics. There's a rape innuendo in there if you can spot it.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YZ1oSS5bQvQ>

JDRaith • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:04 PM

Jo Brand and Tina Fey are the only 2 funny ones i can think of.

Edit: Also Natasha Leggero!

VinTheRighteous • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 05:10 PM

I just actually listened to the Patrice segment. He doesn't say women aren't funny. He says certain women aren't funny (more specifically people who have had easy lives aren't funny). He specifically names more women that he finds funny than ones he doesn't.

inkube • -3 points • 29 May, 2015 12:54 PM

You do realize that both Patrice O'Neal and Amy Schumer are/was comedians. And you taking what they say as fact. It's not, they are both joking. And also if you listen to Patrice O'Neal he is saying that women doesn't have to be funny.

And its not important if you like the joke or not.

Obio1 • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 01:09 PM

Uh, yes genius: Patrice O'Neal and Amy Schumer are comedians. This was not a stand-up routine by Schumer. This was a speech she gave, hosted at the Ms. Foundation for Women -- and it was described as "intense and inspirational".

So yes, I'm taking her speech as factual and not part of some "joke".

Chrysoscelis • -25 points • 29 May, 2015 10:15 AM

No, it's not important to note. Every one of your claims are idiotic.

Obio1 • 18 points • 29 May, 2015 10:16 AM

Nice rebuttal. "No you're a dummy"

Let's go back and forth a few times, honey ...Just to re-live the pre-school days.

Chrysoscelis • -19 points • 29 May, 2015 11:10 AM

Your irrelevant comment is purely subjective, can not be verified, and only further serves to label TRP as women-hating. Hence, idiotic.

Obio1 • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 11:51 AM*

"You're irrelevant comment is purely subjective, can not be verified, and only further serves to label TRP as women-hating. Hence, idiotic."

It's "Your", not "You're".

Because you have a mind like a child, and also to show you what a cogent argument looks like, I will deconstruct your *name-calling* piece-by-piece:

1) Your claim that it is subjective.

Art forms of all kinds, including comedy, are subjective things. The way one evaluates them is through subjectivity. What exactly are you looking for? A mathematical-proof that Amy Schumer isn't funny?

All anyone can do is compare her relative success. Success in comedy comes in two flavors: Stand-up, and situational comedy. Only the former is a real test of comic ability because the latter involves far too many variables to make a clear assessment of individual ability. Being funny on "30-Rock" is not nearly the same as grabbing a microphone alone on stage and making a room full of people laugh so hard they're crying. Television comedy is the work of writers, directors, editors and dozens of other professionals who contribute to the humor. *So who are the female mega-stars in the stand-up category that come anywhere close to their male counterparts?* **There are none.** There have never been any. Male comics are vastly more successful *among both male and female audiences.*

(Please note the above use of non-subjective evidence).

Now let me turn *your exact* argument back to you: Tell me why you think female stand-up comics are just as funny -- and not as successful. I already know what you're going to say: Patriarchy. Either that or you'll just call me more names.

2) Your claim that my comment is irrelevant

We live in a world where women have seized a truly dangerous amount of political power. In toto, their rights vastly exceed the rights of men. Their protection under the law is far higher. Their prison sentences for the same crimes are lighter. Their evidentiary requirements are lower. Females represent a protected and elite social caste. Females are net recipients of social spending whereas men are net taxpayers. They die in work-related accidents at around 8% the rate of men. Feminists practice regular, horrific hate-speech with impunity, crying "destroy masculinity" and suggesting wholesale genocide and/or sterilization of men.

Women have dangerously redefined "rape" in ways that not only criminalize standard sexual practices (drunken sex) but undermine the fundamental underpinnings of our

justice system by removing the basic burden of proof to rape cases and applying grossly sexist generalizations to men. This redefinition of "rape" is used consistently to favor the rights (and cases) of women, and to deprive men of their rights.

The means by which women seize their power-advantage is consistent: Via constant and unending claims of unequal treatment. There is a constant outcry among women that society is oppressing them. The evidence for this is (apparently) a handful of white CEO's. (Apparently, the millions of male coal-miners, construction-workers, soldiers, truck-drivers, fishermen, etc. aren't convenient to their "male superiority" argument -- so they focus on the 1%, who happen to be richer than everyone, male and female.)

Back on track: Amy Schumer is not funny (see my first point above if you've forgotten the evidence). And like all female comics (and feminists) -- she blames the patriarchy and social oppression for her relative lack of success. She believes a separate set of laws should apply to her despite the fact that she is an admitted rapist -- because: Vagina.

And you're (note the correct use of the 2nd person possessive) incapable of logical discussion, as your resort to ad hominem makes clear.

Hence, a "feminist".

Chrysoscelis • -12 points • 29 May, 2015 12:10 PM

LOL @ "ad hominem". Opens with "mind like a child". okay

I said your claims were idiotic, I did not say you were an idiot.

Obio1 • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 12:28 PM*

"I said your claims were idiotic, "

But are you able to logically defend that statement? Any statement? Or are you just doing the verbal equivalent of throwing popcorn.

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 11:44 AM

What's funny is that you don't even realize that your comment are actually irrelevant and have no bearing to move this conversation in **any** direction.

JDRaitt • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:06 PM

Hmm, yes, I agree - shallow and pedantic!

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:49 PM

Most radical feminists are hard-core conservatives. Not surprising they act that way.

vengefully_yours • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 03:27 PM

Men are always guilty and girls are always helpless victims.

Remember that, because it's the legal view of everything now.

onepill_twopill • 13 points • 29 May, 2015 08:54 AM

Even James Cameron can't raise this bar

Tajikistan • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 08:23 AM **[recovered]**

They tried to do a positive spin on hope solo on the today show yesterday. I'm sure they'll extend the same opportunity to ray rice lmao

mryddlin • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 11:20 AM

They are actually getting down voted pretty heavily.

Most people are just not touching it at all, interesting snapshot into the current culture.

I feel like 80% are feminist that were born into the religion are just starting to realize how cray-cray it really is.

Hope for victory, plan for defeat. Don't get me wrong I'm not betting the farm on that but it's a nice trend indicator.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 01:42 PM

In all honesty I don't see this going anywhere (productive) in our lifetimes.

Halfjor • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 10:29 AM

The doublethink has always been strong with people like that.

Rougepellet • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 10:47 AM

Jesus the hamster is like a super power. I didn't realize it could be this powerful. Maybe they all just have a legitimate mental disease that makes them this stupid?

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 02:20 AM

Yea it's called being a woman.

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:18 PM

I'm more surprised by the fact that I'm not surprised anymore. Nawalt, but awalt. Tough life.

captainkaleb • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 01:36 PM

Rock bottom has hit it's own rock bottom.

muyuu • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:32 PM

Nothing is stupid enough to be beyond these people.

See: <http://boingboing.net/2015/05/28/rickrolling-is-sexist-racist.html>

moose_war • 206 points • 29 May, 2015 04:17 AM*

Yo just to recap: if a woman rapes you, no one will care. If a woman accuses you of rape, you are fucked because everyone will believe her. If a woman hits you, people will laugh and if she is arrested she'll get a warning. If you hit a woman, you will get beaten by 12 white knights and go to jail.

And women have the nerve to say they always feel scared around men because of muh patriarchy.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 74 points • 29 May, 2015 05:59 AM

As someone on the receiving end of female physical abuse, it's all true. I couldn't talk about it, and if I did, people didn't take it seriously. She would use weapons.

easyroscoe • 59 points • 29 May, 2015 06:05 AM

Having seen a girl whip a guy with a length of chain hard enough to put him in ICU, I know how fucked up that situation can be. He was in ICU because she cut open his throat with a chain, and she got a few months of pretrial diversion. It doesn't even count as an arrest on her record long-term.

rebuildingMyself • 49 points • 29 May, 2015 07:04 AM

Well he obviously was raping her with his mind so she needed to defend herself!

/s

theproudbanana • 27 points • 29 May, 2015 07:35 AM

She needs feminism because sometimes yes means no.

easyroscocoe • 1 point • 31 May, 2015 04:55 AM

He flipped a lit cigarette at her I believe.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 11 points • 29 May, 2015 07:31 AM

Yup, they always get off with a slap on the wrist, especially in places infected with extremist feminism like where I live. A woman could murder someone in cold blood here and they'll find a way to explain why it isn't her fault.

Brian_Official • 19 points • 29 May, 2015 10:41 AM

Well knives are phallic and fundamentally male. So if she stabbed someone to death, really the patriarchy is the true culprit

Bwhitty23 • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 01:01 PM

I hope one day I see this used as a justification for her murdering someone because I would assume all the wheels on the feminist wagon just went over an IED.

ScholarInRed • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:30 PM

all the wheels on the feminist wagon just went over an IED.

I'm using this from now on.

foldpak111 • 15 points • 29 May, 2015 09:15 AM

Women will get theirs after the downfall of America.

mryddlin • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 10:39 AM

The US has fucking orbital kinetic weapons, they are not going to roll over and die anytime soon. Believe it or not but the millennials are quite a solid generation, along with the technology changes coming down the pipe...well don't hold your breath for that.

Last point, USA may seem extremely fuckrd up don't count the average american out of the game yet, they have legs and know how to run with it.

The only thing that can kill the USA is loss of confidence in the green back, and that is obviously not happening right now.

Elodrian • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 02:23 PM

I wonder if the Baby Boomers will come to be viewed as the worst generation in American

history. On the one hand, they were on watch for the Cold War and putting a man on the moon, but they also oversaw the US's transition from lender to debtor. It seems like everything that generation achieved they paid for with a credit card and passed the bill on to us.

Gunnilingus • 12 points • 29 May, 2015 04:05 PM

Baby boomers didn't put a man on the moon...their parents did. The oldest baby boomer was 23 when Neil Armstrong stepped off the ladder. Even by the end of the cold war, Baby Boomers were mostly in their late 30s and early 40s, not really the ones in the major positions of power. In my opinion, Baby Boomers really just rode the wave of economic success and technological innovation that was set in motion by their parents, and then quickly set about ruining it through unfiltered greed in the 90s and early 2000s. Their parents are called "The Greatest Generation" for a reason.

Elodrian • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 04:16 PM

I don't think you can even call it greed. Greed and ambition are essentially similar, they can be a force for good. The Boomers are more like the person who maxes out two or three credit cards on minor purchases without really paying attention to the monthly statements. That person isn't greedy, they're just irresponsible and stupid.

mryddlin • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 07:01 PM

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strauss%E2%80%93Howe_generational_theory

This theory basically confirms they totally are the worst generation.

The upside is the millennials are quite a decent generation, in the words of Chuck D 'Don't Believe the Hype', it's up to GenX to provide leadership.

I came across this before TRP and immediately thought of the 'genx is here to provide leadership' part of the theory in action.

Elodrian • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 07:04 PM

I knew it! I love it when the internet confirms my biases.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 03:38 PM

As much as I want to play Fallout 3 in real life western society isn't going to fall. This isn't 5th Century Rome, bruh.

Stop with this fear mongering bull shit because it doesn't help anything. I cringe every time I see someone post the "collapse of society" shit on here.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 09:19 PM

What the fuck. Pretrial diversion with a felonious assault? pussyypasssss

easyroscoe • 2 points • 30 May, 2015 09:57 AM

Wasn't her first or last pretrial diversion for similar circumstances, although it was definitely the most violent.

She later dated another guy I knew and woke him up one morning by sitting on his chest and slugging him in the face repeatedly. Guess who got locked up when he had had enough and threw

her off of him and into the wall?

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 May, 2015 05:00 PM

More than one pretrial diversion?!?!? Fuck this fucking country. I have to not believe you for my sanity, I'm sorry don't try to convince me it's true.

BlackHeart89 • 1 point • 3 June, 2015 07:49 PM

For the love of god. In what country did this occur? Any man who did this would be lucky not to receive the death penalty. But he would sure go to prison for at least a decade.

[deleted] • 11 points • 29 May, 2015 06:34 AM

I had to play the long game. She would threaten to take my Son and leave so I spent a couple of years avoiding trigger issues with her and when she threatened divorce again April I said yes. She did it with classical hypoagency. "If you keep talking to me like that we will get a divorce" shit test "there is nothing wrong with the way I'm talking to you, im not going to change" "we have to get divorce then, but it's because you want to, you have to say you want a divorce" 'I want a divorce' and I filed.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 07:27 AM

They tend to threaten with divorce but never actually mean it, you know? "I hate you, never leave me..." is the classic quote.

I'm pretty damn sure the abusive women we learn to loathe are the ones with Borderline Personality Disorder that hasn't been diagnosed. You end up hating them so much, but then you learn how broken they are inside and the hate turns into pity, but not sympathy.

Did your wife always try to be tough and never cried in front of you? Mine didn't cry in front of me even once for two years. She's Japanese, so that might be it, though.

well-ok-then • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 11:40 AM

My ex claimed she was sure I was BPD and gave me a book about it to prove it. As far as I could tell, it was CLEARLY talking about her

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 12:35 PM

Oh man, I see this constantly. Guys project too, but women do it incessantly and take it to a comical level. It's part of their whole "use words for their connotations, not their meanings" thing. When they say negative things about others, you learn so much more about what they think about themselves deep down than about who they're referring to.

mryddlin • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 10:42 AM

Up vote for truth and DAT user name :)

BPD seems to be very common in women, I'm starting to feel like they are just applying a medical label to the AWALT behaviors.

BPD = me walking right the fuck away

PrinceofSpades • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 02:01 PM*

Every year I get older, I find more women who seemingly don't want a relationship of any kind because they are "too broken." Im in my early 20s. Mind you, they are down to fuck me like a bunny at a phone call's notice, but bring up anything with a shred of emotion in it and

poof, they're gone. I've basically accepted my fate as the hot rebound/ dump my boyfriend for you fuck, but I don't do beta "put her on the pedestal behavior and get her whatever she wants," so she doesn't want anything resembling a relationship with me. Then she'll go off, use some beta bux until she can't stand him anymore, and find another me. Every girl, same story. It's nothing short of extremely selfish behavior under the guise of being a victim.

I'm currently in the process of writing a bloody novel because so much fucked up shit happened to me in an extremely short period of time. Yet none of that even mildly impacts the way I am with potential partners or sex-pals. So what in the ever-living fuck happened to ALL these women that made their life SO hard? Well, I'd say that a solid 4 in 5, once I pry them for "deep" information, had someone cheat on them or simply just got broken up with by someone they managed to hold onto for longer than 6 months.

Those things happen to almost everyone, they aren't permission for you to suddenly be a total fuck-up the rest of your existence. Shame they can't comprehend that, and being the victim is all they really want out of life.

I remember way back when I used to try to help these people, but I seriously start to hear it nowadays and just immediately walk out from wherever we are and that's that.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:37 PM

My brother works in Juvenile detention with girls with BPD. He doesn't think that my ex has BPD. He just thinks she is one of the AWALTist women he's ever met. Part of the problem is that her dad is a master of frame and manipulation and has been cheating on her mom for 20 years.

So she had major trust issues. I went on a fishing trip with my brother and she was cool up to the point I had to leave and then she picked a monstrous fight the night before and when I got back to town it was to an empty house. She had gone to her parents.

Her parents always giving safe harbor made it so that she never had to fix her problems.

Doesn't matter I've lost 50lbs through diet and exercise. Doesn't matter I stopped being abused at work and only worked my 45hrs a week. Doesn't matter that things had improved to the point that I had gotten one of the first true blow jobs (not just some pre-game head) was a few weeks before the split). Doesn't matter that I was studying for a cert that was going to get me a better job (hours certainly, maybe even money).

What mattered is *if I crushed a shit test she could retreat to her parents*. We talk about shit tests all the time here. You have the frame test where they see if you are stoic and consistent. This is like a child testing boundaries (or motherfucking velociraptors throwing themselves against electric fences). I don't remember who said it on here, but shit tests are about re-negotiating the terms of the relationship. They want to take as much as they can and give as little as possible. And that's virtually everybody. Unless your clueless, like i am. But at least now I am self aware. I had gained a lot of ground and the relationship was getting to a point of parity, where I thought I had it licked. I was going to be the motherfucking man post an awesome field review about fixing my marriage the RP way. Then nope. I had gotten the relationship to the point she was no longer willing to stay and her parents gave the support she needed to not improve.

More to your point: she is definitely broken. She doesn't have an internal accountability system. She will lie to save face. Its automatic. She doesn't think about it. Then she will keep lying and lying as I apply pressure for the truth until she breaks. it takes a lot of energy to get an admission of truth from her.

She doesn't have the work ethic to care for the things she has. She gets a dog, but doesn't walk it so

she gives it away. She gets a cat, doesn't change the kitty litter, so it pisses on her clothes (which she doesn't put away), so she gives it away. She has a baby, but only breastfeeds for 4 weeks, she gives it to a baby sitter 5 days a week. She doesn't practice numbers or letters with our boy either. She doesn't cook him breakfast (cheerios in a Ziploc bag is not a fucking meal), she doesn't wash or put his clothes away. She has a husband that she doesn't care for. I funded 1200\$ in Mary Kay so she could have a side business and she didn't use it. And she was mad I didn't give her more money when she was a SAHW. I gave her a business.

The house was always wrecked. I worked and then I had to come home to clean and cook my chap food. Every time I addressed it with her she would say I was being abusive. Be being abusive means she doesn't have to change.

I sometimes think that if I had passed a lot of the early shit tests, especially the ones that occurred after the marriage that things would have been better and sustainable. But my brother reminded me of all the times she has retreated to her parents and how they enable her. So I am put at ease in my decision to file for divorce. It may cost me 35K from my 401k, and maybe even some of my home if I ever sell it, but I haven't been so happy and free in years.

I'm making a major play for primary custody while she is in school and working- she doesn't have a whole lot of time to parent while working and going to school. Plus I'm in the matrimonial home and that will be more stability for our kid. If I can afford to get my masters that will give me two years of just school where I can argue that I have more time to parent and deserve primary custody. That will save me child support. I'm going to try and limit any spousal support once she graduates college (fall back position 6 mo. after graduation). The courts webpage here says spousal support is typically limited to 4 years.

She says she would cry in private. I'm not sure I believe her.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:06 PM

Damn, that's awful, man. I really hope it gets better for you. That's actually maybe worse than I had it. I'm simply oblivious to a lot of things, and she tends to overreact greatly because of BPD. And she was such a jealous person that I had to convince her I was a virgin so she wouldn't beat me up for mentioning anything about women or even accidentally glancing at one while we walked. Her abuse got awful because she couldn't handle who I am, so we're on different sides of the world now, but she's begging me to go back to Japan everyday. I just can't go back into such a shitty situation. Obviously nothing would get better unless she changed herself and she will never admit that anything is wrong with her.

Dev_on • 1 points • 29 May, 2015 11:40 AM **[recovered]**

you have how many divorces to base that opinion on?

the_drill • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 02:22 PM

you have how many divorces to base that opinion on?

Actually I've seen this behavior quite a bit. In my own divorce and in the marital dramas of my close friends. At the time I understood somewhat the hypo-agency issues involved. She desperately wants to push the man into taking action so that she has no responsibility for the consequences.

She gets to be a victim again! Yay.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:16 PM

I'm always ready to change and alter my opinions because I believe every opinion should have a solid base of credible experience. My opinion is based off of speculation from my experience, some stories, and some material I've read, but I understand that divorce happens for many reasons and abuse isn't such an easily explainable thing. In order to strengthen my view of things, what is your input?

theproudbanana • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 07:34 AM

12 black-eye guys posting how they saved mlady?

bluedrygrass • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 12:38 PM

Eh, it's not always that simple. Even most big, ripped, mature men are really manginas and only waiting for the opportunity to white knight around.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:15 PM

They got jacked thinking it meant auto-women, without ever learning how to naturally be confident or properly utilize their looks to their advantage, which is done much differently then how women do it since men are the approachers.

I found confidence first, then started lifting to make myself better. It's just a perk that it helps with women as well. Bodybuilder psychology is really quite fascinating between the size dismorphia phenomenon and confidence issues.

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:17 PM

I didn't save anyone, I left her. What does this comment mean exactly?

theproudbanana • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 04:48 AM

what are you talking about? its a reply to the 12 guys saving the girl, but then get their ass kicked like the beta that uploaded to TXC

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 05:40 AM

COMPUTERS COMPUTERS COMPUTERS NUMBERS NUMBERS

sirmadam • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 08:40 AM

I was relieved to hear in my military police training that anyone who is aggressive to me (is about to hit me) can have the full force of my baton. My Sergeant even said if a woman wants to fight, then she'll get chinned so hard she won't know where she is. It was enlightening to know we don't have to pussyfoot around drunk female squaddies.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 11:07 AM

Once at a club, my female friend attacked a bouncer because he wouldn't let her back in because of behaviour. This man was in the right given how she was acting, but she started hitting him and cussing at him. He pushed her back and she screamed at him for "starting on a girl" I was disgusted with her. It took me and her boyfriend to drag her away. If this bouncer and knocked her out, I wouldn't have held it against him.

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 12:40 PM

"starting on a girl"

She wasn't doing anything wrong. *He* started it. Yet another case where her actions don't count, but their reaction counts as a first offense. Face through palm

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 12:48 PM*

Complete lack of accountability. Even her man shouted at her. Women who act like this are worse than children who cause havoc thinking their age will protect them from any retaliation. I once saw a bus driver stop the bus to punch a teenage boy for throwing snow at him. The kid was genuinely shocked, as though it never even crossed his mind that his behaviour might have consequences. Women say men are childish, and in some ways, perhaps we are, but at least we understand cause and effect.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:18 PM

A boy becomes a man when he learns what cause-and-effect means. They give you 18 years for that.

A girl becomes a woman when she learns how to get away with whatever she pleases because of her gender. They also give you 18 years for that.

Difference is, one starts being punished, one learns how to avoid it.

Dev_on • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 11:41 AM **[recovered]**

the military has a natural bullshitmeter... hell, check out RMC when they had a femminist go in there and lambast them for 'rape culture' recently

Dildo_Saggins • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 01:00 PM

Could you post a link to that? Or give me some keyword to google?

gfhitme • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 08:12 AM

My ex hit me once, so I hit her back.

She hit me once again a year later and I reported her to the police. They said they took all domestic abuse seriously. It went to trial and she was convicted(correct term?) She got off easy though, with only a fine, but could have gotten six months in jail since it wasn't "minor abuse" but "heavy abuse"(can't think of the right word to translate it into).

She repeatedly said she she could report me too, but she never did.

MattyAnon • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 02:42 PM

She repeatedly said she she could report me too, but she never did.

Damn you for hurting her fist by not moving your face out of the way...

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 05:23 PM

Kudos for you doing that, but I really didn't want to risk her counter-accusing. Also, she would probably kill herself if she ever had any trouble with the law and I'm too empathetic to be ok with that happening.

colucci • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 02:17 PM

Doesn't TRP endorse accounting for the differences in genders?

SMEGMA_CHEESE • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:19 PM

If she uses weapons, the differences don't matter anymore.

[deleted] • 212 points • 29 May, 2015 04:31 AM

After Lena Dunham got a free pass for molesting her own fucking sister, this doesnt surprise me one bit.

GayLubeOil • 108 points • 29 May, 2015 05:43 AM

Putting coins in her vagina. Totally okay by feminist standards.

[deleted] • 97 points • 29 May, 2015 06:00 AM

Her only form of hamstering was accusing all "straight white christians" of being closet pedos.

Inevitably, all the whiteknights and feminists continue defending her.

Its amazing how Michael Jackson's molestation charges turned him into a walking punchline for the remainder of his life, while Lena Dunham openly admits to spending years molesting her sister, and now shes considered a hero.

[deleted] • 40 points • 29 May, 2015 07:25 AM

[permanently deleted]

moose_war • 47 points • 29 May, 2015 08:42 AM

I unfortunately read some Women Are So Fucking Great roundtable interview involving Amy Schumer and Lena Dunham yesterday. I'm a masochist. Their solipsism didn't disappoint. Here are some choice quotes

DUNHAM When I get comments like, "How did she wind up on the cover of a magazine? She's hideous," I'm like, "I may not have a perfect body, but I do have a really nice face." I'd love to see a hideous woman on the cover of a magazine. It doesn't happen.

She thinks this is a "really nice face" for a woman. Jesus, talk about low standards. I notice so many 4s and 5s are very quick to call themselves "pretty" nowadays without a second thought. And her body is a disaster; non-perfect body is a bit of an understatement.

Some more:

DUNHAM I stopped wearing the nude patch after the first season of Girls. There's not one guy who works on that show who hasn't seen the inside of my vagina.

SCHUMER They could draw your ovaries.

DUNHAM I used to wear the patch but ...

ROSS What's the patch?

DUNHAM This patch — you glue it over your vagina. It gets sweaty and always falls off. My male co-stars, at the end of the day, don't care.

Oh I have a feeling they care. They just want to keep their paychecks rolling in. First off, imagine how *utterly terrifying* that is. Being that close to this fat ugly slob's hairy vagina? I'd probably start throwing up. Secondly, imagine a fat disgusting male boss "not caring" when his cock sock falls off and his female costar has to deal with that shit? Nice to see the standards don't apply to women who make men uncomfortable with their unnecessary sexual creeeeeeeeeeepiness in the work place.

I'll leave you with this bit, which made the whole thing worth skimming through:

What's the most overtly sexist thing that's happened to you working in Hollywood?

DUNHAM I heard a guy on my show say into his microphone: "I hate this job. I can't wait to be back on a show where there's a man at the helm."

SCHUMER I hope you sent [Girls actor-comedian] Colin Quinn home for that. (Laughs.)

DUNHAM Colin is actually the world's biggest feminist! Later, that same guy came up to me at lunch and said, "You're really enjoying that buffet, aren't you?"

This guy sounds fucking awesome and I'd hire him in a heartbeat. He sounds alpha as fuck.

[deleted] • 26 points • 29 May, 2015 10:15 AM

Later, that same guy came up to me at lunch and said, "You're really enjoying that buffet, aren't you?"

This is incredible. I wish I could've witnessed her outrage. Oh man I hate Lena Dunham. Fuck.

thefisherman1961 • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 04:14 PM

She thinks this is a "really nice face" for a woman.

It's incredibly average looking I'd say.

AntixD • 19 points • 29 May, 2015 07:50 AM

yeah she's a fucking mess,shit tattoos and everything

[deleted] • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 07:56 AM

[permanently deleted]

AntixD • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 07:57 AM

oh I was referring to Lena Dunham,I don't think Amy has but she has put on weight recently

[deleted] • 14 points • 29 May, 2015 08:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

AntixD • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 08:11 AM

shit tattoos and short hair,her bf actually has value though

MHOOD01 • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 01:37 PM

Her boyfriend must be into dudes then.

thefisherman1961 • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 04:22 PM

Keyword- "charges". Michael Jackson always denied doing anything illegal with children and was found not guilty on all those charges in a court of law.

That doesn't matter though, because being the King of Pop made Michael Jackson a convenient target for the media and anybody else with an agenda who doesn't like his music. The facts are irrelevant to

these people.

cocoabeach • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 03:20 PM

I don't actually know who Lena Dunham is but just a little research shows she is accused of molesting her sister once and that is from details in her book. Dunham was seven. Hope I don't have to be held accountable for things I did when I was seven.

From Dunham's book.

"Do we all have uteruses?" I asked my mother when I was seven.

"Yes," she told me. "We're born with them, and with all our eggs, but they start out very small. And they aren't ready to make babies until we're older." I look at my sister, now a slim, tough one-year-old, and at her tiny belly. I imagined her eggs inside her, like the sack of spider eggs in Charlotte's Webb, and her uterus, the size of a thimble.

"Does her vagina look like mine?"

"I guess so," my mother said. "Just smaller."

One day, as I sat in our driveway in Long Island playing with blocks and buckets, my curiosity got the best of me. Grace was sitting up, babbling and smiling, and I leaned down between her legs and carefully spread open her vagina. She didn't resist and when I saw what was inside I shrieked.

My mother came running. "Mama, Mama! Grace has something in there!"

My mother didn't bother asking why I had opened Grace's vagina. This was within the spectrum of things I did. She just on her knees and looked for herself. It quickly became apparent that Grace had stuffed six or seven pebbles in there. My mother removed them patiently while Grace cackled, thrilled that her prank had been a success.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 04:17 PM

she did it for years into her teens

cocoabeach • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 10:05 PM

Do you have a source for that? Not trying to be a white knight, just figure if someone makes a statement as harmful as this, a source should be included.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 03:52 PM

I think the only closeted christians are the ones ranting the loudest about gays. Protesting too much methinks. Every pedo is in the closet, doesn't mean they're christians, but being a mormon seems to help.

Hypocrisy is expected these days, the easiest way to tell what kind of person they are is to listen to them bitch. If they're acting morally superior, you can bet your ass it's something they themselves have been doing.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 09:38 PM

I just assume anyone who actively complains or preaches about them are idiots, writing off Christianity as a pedo orgy is just the sjw humiliation tactic to shut them up.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 01:46 PM

I can agree with that. Seems to be the go to for the sjw. I've been called a pedo, which is hilarious since the girls i fuck are mostly in their 20s.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 02:06 PM

Ive been called a closet gay before just because i said i think its stupid when straight guys go to gay bars to prove their "straightness". The "logic" behind the insult was that im scared id enjoy being gay which makes no sense at all.

The best response to the scare tactic is "you dont have to eat a piece of shit to know it tastes like shit" just like i know i dont have to go to a gay bar to prove i wouldn't enjoy it.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 02:18 PM

I have enough gay and trans friends that if I wanted to try some Y on Y I sure as hell could. Haven't met a man I've wanted to fuck, I could be getting more than twice as much sex if I loved the cock. I'm rather pissed I don't love it. Don't need to try it to see, and my gay friends agree, I'm sadly hardcore hetero.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 09:21 PM

exactly, do what you naturally enjoy

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 10:11 PM

Yep, I enjoy pussy, but not as much as I enjoy building shit and going fast.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:23 PM

Hey, it's all okay if it's for pay.

[deleted] • 13 points • 29 May, 2015 11:07 AM

Huh? That's not how I remember the story? Or were there several instances ;o?

One day, as I sat in our driveway in Long Island playing with blocks and buckets, my curiosity got the best of me. Grace was sitting up, babbling and smiling, and I leaned down between her legs and carefully spread open her vagina. She didn't resist and when I saw what was inside I shrieked.

My mother came running. "Mama, Mama! Grace has something in there!"

My mother didn't bother asking why I had opened Grace's vagina. This was within the spectrum of things I did. She just got on her knees and looked for herself. It quickly became apparent that Grace had stuffed six or seven pebbles in there. My mother removed them patiently while Grace cackled, thrilled that her prank had been a success.

WardlyHasted • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 03:05 PM **[recovered]**

I think the most frightening aspect of it is this: it's not that these women are being outed or someone stumbles upon evidence that she might have raped/molested someone. It's the fact that these women tell these stories, completely unprovoked, and are applauded for it.

Could you imagine a semi-famous male comedian or actor telling a story like this out of the blue? "Ya, so this girl was really drunk, but I just fucked her anyways." He would never work in Hollywood again, but Amy Schumer gets to turn her rape story into a funny joke.

RPthrowaway123 • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 03:17 PM

"She was really drunk but she was "seeking consent" from (sober) me so I fucked her anyways"

"RAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAPE!"

I love how feminism constantly trumpets that it wants equality, while creating rules that only apply to women.

foldpak111 • 15 points • 29 May, 2015 09:11 AM

She's lucky that we live in a matrix because if we lived in the animal kingdom still, i'd be coming for her with extremely violent intentions. You don't touch little kids.

[deleted] • 20 points • 29 May, 2015 10:08 AM

Alas, we live in feminist-dominated 2015 America, where the law (apparently) affords women a pussy pass for even the most heinous and horrific of crimes.

Dev_on • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 11:38 AM **[recovered]**

if you were in the animal kingdom, you'd kill all the children when you take over a harem...

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:15 PM

Spot on. I always love these imaginary lines in the sand people draw regarding the noble savage, or the harkening back to some non-existent days of old where people learned their lessons, got put in their place, or got along better.

foldpak111 • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 09:20 PM

Well to be more specific, if they were my kids. You guys called me out haha

TRPn00b • 131 points • 29 May, 2015 04:23 AM

If I ever get arrested for DUI I'll be sure to tell the judge that I was intoxicated and therefore could not consent to driving a car and I am not responsible for my actions. I'm sure he'll let me right off the hook.

Wrong_Opinion • 73 points • 29 May, 2015 04:30 AM **[recovered]**

"Hey dude, what's up? Uh, can you pick me up? No, my car got impounded. What? Oh, it got impounded for raping me. Yeah. I'm so traumatized, please come get me, all the cars passing on the street are eyeing me like I'm some kind of meatbag who's sole purpose is to grip a gear shift."

mryddlin • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 10:33 AM

Ford and Chevy are obvious shitlords after all :)

Never looking at cars the same way now haha

Sadpanda596 • 12 points • 29 May, 2015 01:33 PM

Lawyer here, just pointing out that there is a good reason consent works differently when you're the victim of others vs you're the one doing bad shit (not just talking about sexual assault here, you can't start getting a hammered person to start signing contracts with you, ect.). In the former case the consent law is meant to protect you, in the latter case you're the one fucking up.

It's the same deal with criminally charging a 17 year old as an adult vs a 17 year old not being able to sign contracts.

Fully agree that the "if she's drunk" rape stuff is all bullshit. Just pointing out that bad analogies like this undermine our point though.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 May, 2015 02:24 AM*

Ok, so why are drunk people allowed to gamble? They shouldn't be able to consent to gambling with their money and hand it over to the dealer.

Same thing with pretty much buying anything while you're drunk. So go back to the bar the morning after and demand your money back that you spent on all those drinks.

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 02:20 PM

Might work if you're a woman.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 09:50 PM

No but really, when did the alcohol = no consent come about?

You're fucking still a human when you're drunk. It's still YOU. You're being more honest than when you're sober. So I was drunk while I went into the wrong car, and the Barney Fife cop gave me tampering with a motor vehicle. This should be dropped right, I can't consent to entering a vehicle drunk! Of course if I was a woman, I wouldn't have been cited by the cop at all, let's be real.

MyReddit4 • 46 points • 29 May, 2015 05:27 AM

Don't buy into any of this or anything like it. I'm convinced that these sort of posts/jokes/stories from fems are part of a means of further distorting the definition of rape.

This is "re-definition" bait.

You see, if we take the bait and spin it to *If the guy was sober and the girl was drunk, it'd be rape* or we acknowledge her *he was drunk so, I like, totally raped him* - either side gives credence the new, rad-fem definition of rape which includes regret-me-nots and consensual vagueness due to intoxication.

It's lose/lose. The only way to win is to ignore or reiterate that rape is the violent, sexual penetration of another against their will.

DaphneDK • 11 points • 29 May, 2015 08:52 AM

Absolutely. The way to deal with whining feminists is not to buy into their agenda and become whining masculinists. That's the mensrights and relationships reddit way (i.e., <https://archive.is/EK9kN>) Those guys are always whining about one thing or another. The way to do it is to continue to refuse to acknowledge the new definitions that's always being put up. No the guy was not raped, and she was not a rapist. He called her, invited her to his room, and participated active in the sex act. It wouldn't have been rape if the roles had been reversed either. It would have been rape if she had found him blackout drunk lying on a bench somewhere, and had sex with him. But not sure that's even possible.

aphelion3342 • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 12:29 PM

Of course. The guy isn't doing this to call Amy Schumer a rapist, he's doing it to draw attention to the inconsistencies of the feminist definition of rape, to hoist them by their own petard so to speak.

UGoBoom • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 02:05 PM

This. What most people think is rape isn't really.

Think of that Pulp Fiction scene, that's what actual rape is like. The sex is only a byproduct of the main factor: non-consensual domination and humiliation.

Drunken sex isn't rape at all, and good-intentioned people like OP forget that. Even though its a dude being "raped" doesn't change that fact.

LastRevision • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 08:11 PM

This should be the top comment, and my first thought on this also.

"Re-definition" is an awesome term for it.

[deleted] • 105 points • 29 May, 2015 03:35 AM

I don't even know where to start... The shit they pull is insane, almost to the point where it disgusts me. Fuck man, scientists better get the spaceships to Mars up and running soon, these asshats feminists make me wanna skip to outer space. Thank god I found TRP.

Mikesapien • 23 points • 29 May, 2015 06:09 AM

The Red Planet

bluedrygrass • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 12:40 PM

They would chase us. Females cannot exist in an all female society. Leeches needs someone to aspire blood.

moose_war • 52 points • 29 May, 2015 04:15 AM

it's funny when women do it! just like it's funny when a woman cuts off a guy's dick! you gooo grrrrrrl

foldpak111 • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 09:27 PM

That's like if I cut off a woman's clit and a male talk show started hooting and hollering about how hilarious it is, we'd all get assassinated. I like seeing this stuff though, it reminds me that women are vile creatures that should be treated as such.

moose_war • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 03:47 AM

You know, I whine about this kind of shit, not because I want to censor women from saying things, but because they're always trying to censor men, especially in places like this.

Sharon Osbourne has the right to joke about men getting their cocks cut off all she wants, but that also means SJWs should shut the fuck up when Daniel Tosh makes a rape joke to a heckler.

That's what pisses me off about this shit. Pick one side or another. Either chastise Schumer for this shit aggressively the way you would a man, or just be quiet about the issue.

Bonlocan • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 05:26 AM

Not surprising in the least. Earlier in the year there was an episode of Broad City in which one of the main characters is having sex with a guy and he passes out from the heat of the apartment. When she realizes that he's out she thinks for a moment and decides to continue despite his being unconscious. Later in the episode, the two girls are hanging out in a college dorm and one of them makes out with one a boy there who ends up being underage. The next day I was curious if there was going to be any outrage about what would undoubtedly be declared a rape and sexual assault of a minor were the genders reversed and found that not only was no one upset, but there was actually an article on The Slate titled "There was a rape on Broad City last night, and it was hilarious." Of course I'm not suggesting that the rape depicted needed to be outraged against (the man in the show when told what happened said he didn't mind), but the fact that if the genders were reversed the would've been a huge outcry really got me to realize the hypocrisy of how we view rape as a society. Seriously, just imagine a show in which a guy continues to have sex with a girl after she passes out and she tells him the next day that she was ok with what happened and that it's not a big deal- I think you can imagine the Jezebel headlines yourself.

PrinceofSpades • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 02:32 PM

I'm starting to believe the solution is to just make shows have episodes like that anyway and tell people to deal; shame we've gone too far in the opposite direction to point out the irony.

reddedo • 17 points • 29 May, 2015 04:44 AM

Is anybody surprised another feminist ideal is inconsistent with itself?

no, because they will happily twist everything to fit their narrative

and also, you forgot: men are always the criminals, women can never be the bad person

sanctionedmisanthrop • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 06:10 AM

Proud, strong wymyn can do no wrong. Listen and believe.

spicy_fries • 15 points • 29 May, 2015 04:55 AM

Essentially, it's men and cultures fault that female on male rape isn't handled seriously.

I'm sorry.. but did you just suggest that its men's fault for being raped? That sounds a LOT like victim shaming/blaming. Isn't that expressly something feminists are against?? Its like saying "its the womans fault for getting raped because she didn't cover herself up". It is a highly sexist statement.

Lol! That's some gold right there!

The hamster wheel only spins in one direction.

just_noob_things • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 05:57 AM

One such frontier has been deciding that drunk sex is rape- or to clarify, somebody who is intoxicated cannot give consent

that's been true for a while. if you're drunk to the point of being incoherent/passed out, consent isn't possible.

Now, putting aside the fact that this opens the door for two drunk people to simultaneously rape each other consensually,

this always gets a rise; usually, the responses i get are that the initiator (assumed to be the guy) is a rapist and the girl an innocent victim.

some even go so far to argue that she didn't enjoy it so he probably raped her

that's a new one. does that mean my ex who did starfish sex is a rapist?

deadlee_ • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 10:07 AM

Well apparently it's hilarious when women beat the shit out of you, so this isn't much of a surprise.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 23 points • 29 May, 2015 05:00 AM*

this is just.... its like the old wrinkled bats in the villages who like to hate on men. The only way to take care of this is to see it as a gigantic shit test and win it.

You don't win it by talking, you don't win it by playing cards with these cunts. You don't win this game by giving women a voice, or a platform for independence.

The only reason women gather together now is because we've shifted from a culture based around family, to a

culture based around the individual.

This is a giant shit test, where only beta men think they can pass if they give women more power.

Stop! You don't give a woman a sword. First of all, she doesn't even know how to hold a sword. She'll end up harming herself. Secondly, do you know the weight of that sword? [If you've never held a sword in your hand, ITS FUCKING HEAVY!] You give her that, she's gonna bitch and whine saying "YOU ASSHOLES MADE IT TOO HEAVY! I DEMAND MY FEELINGS BE ACKNOWLEDGED AND I BE GIVEN MY OWN POSSE OF BETA MALE SLAVES TO LIFT IT FOR ME!"

Giving women rights, is a good thing. But listening to their imagined slights, and delusions of patriarchy and how rape is a crime of power, and the new nonsense about consent, is useless, fruitless, and senseless.

Stop. Just stop. Learn how to pass shit tests. As a man, I say this to you. Learn to put your bitch in line.

Control your bitches.

-Quotidian • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 05:16 AM

Everyone seems to have forgotten Laws 5, 9, and 15. People who know you shouldn't believe for an instant that you're guilty, as Law 5 implies, but Laws 9 and 15 are the ones I want to focus on: **win through your actions, never your argument** and **crush your enemy completely**.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:29 PM

Feminism is no longer fighting for equality it's fighting for control, saying that's equal. Shame, really, as it only widens the progress towards any actual equality.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 06:28 PM

Well they're saying men have always had power and control, so women must also have it.

Power don't work like that.

Tainted_OneX • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:35 PM

The only reason women gather together now is because we've shifted from a culture based around family, to a culture based around the individual.

This isn't brought up enough, but it's really the root of this whole problem.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 07:26 PM

It's not really an issue. We can still go back to it. Except there's more money to be made off a Generation of lonely people than it is to make off of a well informed and established famiglia

zarus • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 03:27 AM

to a culture based around the individual.

I keep hearing this and somehow it never rings quite true.

Kynandra • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 08:51 AM

Feminism is such utter bullshit. Actually no, bullshit is at least useful for something.

snobocracy • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 06:30 AM

She did not have sex with him. At most she froze and let him have sex with her. If she was in full possession

of her faculties I would say she had raped him, but what she describes of herself are things that rape victims feel.

Holy fuck... It is 100% about the feeeeeeeeeeeelings!!!

mryddlin • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 11:18 AM

Wow, went through the livw thread.

The people supporting her are being down voted much stronger than I expected.

I honestly think the trend is starting to go the other way.

skipaBturner • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 11:32 AM

Where does she admit that she raped him??

I read the article. IMO there is no rape in this story, from either side. The guy invites her over, is absolutely 'plastered', tries to knob her, too drunk to do it properly, but because she was already infatuated with him she let it happen.

How can feminists say he raped her. She went to his house, she gave her consent. She said she wanted to have sex with him.. he couldn't even hold himself up, let alone force sex onto someone.

How is she raping him. Even though he is intoxicated, she explains that he kept trying to knob her without success, at one point going down on her. Let alone he invited her over at 8am.

iliketurtles123 • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 12:37 PM [recovered]

well i don't know about you, but i have a few times tried women absolutely plastered try to have sex with me, then get mad when I refused and then fall over and sleep. I didn't indulge them since it would be both bad sex and possibly exploitation/ false rape. She indulged him in what would be an emotional trainwreck for both of them afterwards, because she was desperate for validation. And now she's rephrasing the story as her being used for bad sex, when it's quite obvious she was attention and possibly sex-starved, went over and jumped a fall-over drunk guy, and now tries to make it sound like she's an emotional victim. Absolutely preposterous and hypocritical, and exemplary of how these kind of women (NAWALT in this case, some women actually have healthy, unbiased sexual integrity, SJW's do not) reduce being a woman to being a 15 year old naive girl running around getting abused by men. For example by trying to define rape as being sober and having sex with a dead-drunk person. PuhLEAAASE!

skipaBturner • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:16 PM*

Yes, but when i read her transcript, it sounded like she just told it how it was. I don't think she was twisting her words to negatively portray the dude. She didn't know that he wanted sex at 8am, fair enough, but even though he was drunk and was advancing on her, she let it happen cos she wanted frothed this guy (as she said). I didn't think she was portraying herself as a victim. She said she cried a few times, but only because of how unappealing this experience she dreamed of turned out to be, not because she was being victimised by the dude. I can't find anywhere where she portrays herself as being unfairly treated or taken advantage of that would position herself as a victim.

Feminists who say the guy raped her are dumb

Basically i was just disappointed when i read the article and found out she didn't say she raped a dude... that woulda been a hell of a story

[deleted] • 24 points • 29 May, 2015 04:09 AM

She is so motherfucking ugly, Jesus.

Looks like fetal alcohol syndrome, with that non-existent upper lip.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 11:10 AM

I wouldn't ordinarily attack a woman's looks, but Schumer looks like a thumb. Her attitude only serves to make her seem worse.

jewishclaw • 2 points • 15 June, 2015 02:02 AM

that is simultaneously the most vague and precise analogy I have ever heard. Jesus Christ I'm dying here.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 June, 2015 06:43 AM

Thanks. I don't find her funny, either. Some people would accuse me of being a sexist for this, but I genuinely don't think she's amusing. Not because she's a woman.

muddynips • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 01:17 PM

You can tell just by reading her speech. She's a woman who's a 4, who thinks she's a 7, who is mad at the world because she's not a 10.

"I say if I'm beautiful" I mean sure, if we're changing the definition of beauty to mean confidence. You can think of yourself however you want, but it doesn't change your bone structure or remove ovarian cysts.

tompanz • 16 points • 29 May, 2015 05:57 AM

Eeeh, she's a 6 dressed up. I've seen far worse.

hebola4lyfe • 9 points • 29 May, 2015 08:22 AM

You consider that a 6 ?!

Jesus fucking Christ man are we really this desperate ?

PrinceofSpades • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 02:24 PM

And people wonder why beauty standards are decreasing, and why those unshaven and ungroomed women walk around saying things like "I know I'm a catch."

This is why yes/no or bar systems (where the bars are the last and the hottest person you've had sex with) make for much better rating systems than the number game, and also keep it more personal which in turn keeps it more honest. A no is a no.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:43 PM

He's probably at the end of his male menstrual cycle, which is when you go a period of 2 months without getting laid, and your scale starts to slide. Then you hookup with one and regret it, and your scale adjusts itself again accurately, and hopefully stays there.

tompanz • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:18 AM

Desperate? I never said I would fuck her, just that in that picture she is at least dressed up and isn't obese. Being not super fat in the west today is practically an automatic 5.

[deleted] • 11 points • 29 May, 2015 07:30 AM

[permanently deleted]

AntixD • 18 points • 29 May, 2015 07:53 AM

she's already started

<http://cdn01.cdn.justjared.com/wp-content/uploads/headlines/2014/12/amy-schumer-host-of-mtv-movie-awards-2015.jpg>

tompanz • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:16 AM

For me , anything below a 3 is extremely ugly and have a body even worse. A 5 is just meh, forgettable. How do you go below a zero?

[deleted] • 1 points • 30 May, 2015 04:21 AM

[permanently deleted]

tompanz • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:26 AM

To me unfuckable and "would be ashamed of fucking" are two different things. There are women that no amount of alcohol, desert goggles or money would make it worth it. Unfuckable is 2 and 1, 3 and 4 are just embarrassing.

Tainted_OneX • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 04:34 PM

4-5 dressed up. That face is fucked.

tompanz • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:21 AM

I think a 4 is probably better because of her moonface. I went to a 6 because I like blonde hair and because it's done well.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 10:16 AM

Gotta be fucking kidding me. I know every guy has his own tastes, but I've seen piles of dogshit that look better than this creature.

tompanz • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:23 AM

There are some nasty looking women out there. At least she has nice hair and isn't morbidly obese.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:44 AM

I refuse to change my rating scale just because the average woman is getting fatter and uglier day by day. If you can ever make me believe that's a bona fide 6 I'll eat my hat. That bitch is a 2, maybe a 3 at best.

cariboo_j • 1 point • 2 June, 2015 01:16 AM

She looks like some sort of dwarven blacksmith.

FrameWalker • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 02:36 PM

Its just high bf. I wish she would lose weight and the writers could spend a season making fun of girls who are fat and can't change

sbdragonfruit • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 01:11 PM

Her tits ain't bad though. Still, evil cunt.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:28 PM

Holy shit she needs to put a paper bag on that face. Fuck.

[deleted] • -11 points • 29 May, 2015 05:03 AM

[permanently deleted]

EpicLevelCheater[M] • 31 points • 29 May, 2015 06:40 AM

posts like this give TRP a bad name

We don't care about our reputation. Nothing we say or think is even remotely close to being acceptable in the mainstream.

Do not concern troll. This is your only warning.

spicy_fries • 24 points • 29 May, 2015 05:06 AM

Save it for your Mangina Monologues.

psychedelicjourney • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 06:34 AM [recovered]

I also think she's fucking ugly. Her looks has nothing to do with TRP.

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 08:09 AM

I wouldn't turn around to look at her ass if we passed each other in a supermarket.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 05:13 AM

I'm not "attacking" anyone, I was just exclaiming on how disgustingly repulsive her face was. Such a punchable face.

markfeel • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 05:05 AM

Reading that thread...god damn... makes me want to blow my fucking brains out seeing the insane amounts of vacuous hamstering they use attempting to justify their ridiculous ideas.

balalasaurus • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 12:57 PM

Reading this stuff, it's not so difficult to understand. Women - feminists especially, cannot conceive their gender as aggressors. To do so would violate their self-conception as the victim which is, in essence, their basic survival strategy.

It's like Rollo says, in our society that's adopted the feminine reality, the female imperative to be realized, must sacrifice the male. Women like Schumer are indeed rapists to the rational mind. However our reality is a feminine one, thus she can never be an aggressor, and can only be a victim.

Posing the question of what would happen if the roles were reversed to a feminist, is an exercise in futility. This is because in their reality anyone who is not a victim, must be an aggressor. And because all women are victims, it follows that all men are aggressors. Thus, if the roles were reversed, a man would always, and undoubtedly be a rapist.

Stories like this are useful because they remind us to be vigilant. To be in control of yourself and to be wary of those things that you don't. Remember society is not going to help you. You've got to help yourself.

Betterthanuatlife • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 01:57 PM

Feminism = Female psychopathy

MattyAnon • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 02:30 PM

"Equality" is the sell to make feminism seem acceptable to men.

The reality is that the vast majority of women are deeply sexist and apply "equality" only to themselves.

mojo_juju • 3 points • 31 May, 2015 09:49 PM

I love this comment, which is sourced from:

<https://dontmarry.wordpress.com/>

"Every woman in Canada is a victim of rape every day. They can't board a bus, get in a car, pick up a book (like that's going to happen), or browse the internet without getting bent over the sink by a ravenous white male lurking behind them. The true rape rate is 10,000% – South Africa has nothing on the Canuck. Russian occupation of Berlin circa 1945? Mongol or Viking invasions? Pikers.

"The ancient world has nothing compared to Toronto today. Why you can't walk down the street without tripping over two or three rapes in progress. It often makes me miss my bus as being an evil white man I can't resist watching the foul proceedings. White men just can't resist ugly, man jawed, morbidly obese, smelly, hairy, mustache thick land whales who trundle around in mobility scooters. Its true – raping them can be a chore as it is often times difficult to find the correct fold in which to 'insert the patriarchy' but somehow it is managed."

"So the next time you visit Toronto be sure to shed a tear for the billions of daily rape victims you are constantly seeing bellyaching about all the forms of oppression they endure as they sip their overpriced milkshakes in Starbucks. No one has ever had it worse than them."

abcd_z • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 05:48 AM

The stupid comments in the AskFeminists thread were heavily downvoted, so don't assume that everybody (or even anybody) on that subreddit agreed with the comments made there.

It would be like somebody claiming that TRP is misogynistic because a comment with large amounts of negative karma advocated beating women.

ramot1 • 8 points • 29 May, 2015 06:02 AM*

I cleaned this up for even moderate language. From elsewhere on Reddit: Not my writing, but i forgot where on Reddit I found it: Maybe TRP.

I just wanted share my take on things:

Women,

I want you all to understand and remember the following:

Almost every single brick in every single building you have ever occupied was put there by a man. Almost every inch of road you have ever traveled on was put there by a man. Almost every watt of electricity you have ever used was carried by a system, almost every inch of which, was put there by a man. Almost every bullet fired (or suffered) to protect your safety and freedom was fired (or suffered) by a man.

The list goes on and on, and the point is that almost all of the most fundamental stuff you depend on was put there by a man.

Now I am not some kind of misogynist, and most men aren't either. I don't want to keep women "in the kitchen" or any other such retarded ideas, and most men don't either. So stop acting like we do.

There is, however, a natural order to things. Men end up doing the vast majority of these lousy difficult things because it must be done. Usually women are nowhere to be found when it's time to pour 3 miles of fresh asphalt. And I don't blame women for this - asphalt is nasty stuff. Of course, paving roads is just one of many examples, and the point here is to recognize that you owe men a debt of gratitude and recognition for certain things. You don't need to bow down and kiss our feet or anything. Just recognize that we take care of a lot of the worst stuff for you, in general. That's all. Not a big deal.

The OP's article indicates that women are not getting the idea discussed by the original author in the first part of my post. And I conclude they never will.

Edit: After having read the article, I can really decide who, if anyone, was at fault, if fault exists. Both made really bad decisions, but Amy leaving seems like the best decision out of many that led to this failure.

newls • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 08:55 AM

Well said. Men so often sacrifice and toil because they love their women. Only the most self-aware women even realise this.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 10:14 AM

It's naive to suggest women will ever again bother to recognize that men "take care of a lot of the worst stuff for you" unless (until?) western civilization collapses. The system we've set up allows them to maximize their natural solipsism and their sneering disdain for the countless beta males that turn the great wheels that move the machinery of our civilization. It's fucked, but there's literally nothing that can be done about it at this point except abuse the system for our own gain. That means gaming women, that means having plates, and that means never wifing a girl up and letting her take half your resources.

mryddlin • 0 points • 29 May, 2015 10:50 AM

Men do all that work because we have no inherent value, ie in society it's the men that have to build value for ourselves, women are instant born with value due to the birth ratio (1 woman, 1 baby, whereas 1 man to many babies).

We get *all* the shit jobs because of this, that is also why it's so much more impressive when a man makes a fortune.

We all know he didn't get free passes, or not many, on society to get there. With a woman you can usually trace it back to a man as well, there are very few self-made women in the world.

Why bother, they have value the moment they get born and live their entire lives having that reinforced.

Archwinger • 10 points • 29 May, 2015 02:01 PM

You guys are completely missing the point. For millions of years, men – the patriarchy – have defined what the sexual norms are and what is and what kinds of sexual acts are and are not acceptable in society. And that meant beating and raping women at will, because all men are sex-crazed perverted oppressors.

This B-rate female comedian (who would be a billionaire if society hadn't conditioned us to prefer male comedians, yet she bravely struggles in a male-dominated world) was raped.

She got a booty call communication from a drunk guy friend in college, showed up to his room in response to that booty call communication, and he reeked of alcohol and was clearly wasted. How offensive is that? He couldn't even try to fuck her sober and cleaned up? He tried to initiate sex and pull her toward the bed. He didn't force her or overpower her, but he definitely initiated. He was the aggressor! She just went along with it.

He did everything to her, except for the parts where he was flaccid due to his intoxication and the parts where he passed out entirely and she kept performing sexual acts on his passed out body anyway. But that's because she

froze up and panicked and was afraid of what might happen. You don't understand what it's like to be a woman and how afraid they are of all men all the time. Unconscious men are the worst. They could wake up at any time, refreshed from their rest, and beat and rape you harder than a non-rested man.

After the booty-call sex that she responded to, she felt kind of used, like a fuck-doll. She didn't enjoy the sex and felt bad about the sex.

Feeling bad about the sex is something rape victims also experience.

If she felt like a rape victim, then that means she was one.

This woman was raped, and you shithhead rape apologist man-children who are being critical of her are what's wrong with the world. Your time is over. The patriarchy doesn't define sex any more. Sex-positive feminists define the encounter and what it was. After the fact. Based on how they feel about it.

I had this friend back in college who was raped. She has the e-mails to prove it. She was dating this guy and told him she didn't want to have sex unless they were going to get married. He promised to marry her. In writing! He broke up with her six months later after she cheated on him during spring break, and she came to me in tears. He promised he would marry her and broke that promise. She consented to sex based on that promise, and he deceived her. I can't wait until rape-by-deception laws are passed so we can stop atrocities like this.

Cannon1 • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 04:38 PM

This world is so fucked up anymore I can't tell sincerity from sarcasm.

[deleted] • 1 points • 29 May, 2015 05:34 PM

[permanently deleted]

Archwinger • -1 points • 29 May, 2015 08:04 PM

Which one is that? I can't remember half the crap I've typed.

Cyralea • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 01:24 PM

If anyone cares, here's a deconstruction of "feminist" (aka hamster) logic. Recall that they're not looking for the truth, they're looking for any reason to feel good about their existing ideas:

There's a lot of sweeping statements about feminism in your question which aren't true at all: that feminists secretly want a war on men, that feminists applaud the rape of men, and so on.

Irrelevant point meant to weaken OP's argument. OP never actually suggested these were true, only that if they *weren't* true then Schumer's behaviour is incongruent with her beliefs.

Your post strikes me more as a rant than an actual question.

Translate: You have ulterior motives, so I can dismiss you and not your argument.

Regarding Amy Schumer: she is a comedian who, while talented, frequently makes problematic jokes about sex, race, gender, and so on. She is not some kind of feminist messiah.

She's No True Scotsman. It's a way to dismiss any behaviour on the part of feminists that paints them in a bad light.

From what I can tell from the (poorly cited) article you linked,

Translate: I'm going to say I don't believe your article is true, so I don't have to address your argument

she's not making any claims that this encounter was empowering or egalitarian in nature.

Translate: I'm going to deny a very obvious fact, as that lets me avoid addressing your argument. (Note at the end of the article Schumer says: "Now I feel strong and beautiful". It's clearly a self-empowerment speech)

But even if she had, she doesn't speak for all feminists.

Shifting goalposts.

In my opinion, if the consent was as murky in this case as the article* makes it sound, no true feminist should be praising her.

Only honest bit in her diatribe.

Nor have I actually seen any doing so.

Except, you know, Schumer herself. She's a public feminist figure with a decently large following. But really, no one was praising her...except a large national newspaper. But if she hasn't seen it, that means it doesn't exist, so she won't go looking for it.

It should be noted that anyone can post to Thought Catalog and it shouldn't be relied upon as unbiased or an authority, despite the pretty website.

Translate: Attack the source, so I don't have to address the argument.

When we say that women are mentally inferior, this is why. She is completely incapable of addressing the argument but feels this is a good, "logical" response to a difficult question.

ShitfacedBatman • 4 points • 29 May, 2015 05:59 AM **[recovered]**

Straight-up victim-wannabe too. A quote from a Huffpo interview: "I Think People Hate Women." And she babbles about sexism in Hollywood. Give me a goddamn break.

What a cunt.

screamingATtrees • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 06:47 PM

Women hate women.

If men truly hated women we would not get so angry when we learn that we have been lied too.

UzairDjillaliYahud • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 06:46 AM **[recovered]**

are women even worth the effort nowadays?

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 May, 2015 07:39 AM

[permanently deleted]

UzairDjillaliYahud • 1 points • 29 May, 2015 08:53 AM **[recovered]**

i'm actually thinking about getting a vasectomy in the future. Childs are high risk just like marriage.

mryddlin • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 10:53 AM

Wait for the temporary one, hopefully it won't be much longer getting to market.

shoonx • 0 points • 29 May, 2015 01:17 PM

Neither am I, brother.

A vasectomy is in order, too.

No way in hell will I live my life having to tend to dependents.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 04:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

shoonx • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 04:18 PM

Meh, it depends on the man, I suppose.

I've never really been much of a guy who wants children.

I could always adopt a child, should the need to tend to one arises.

Shankar_ • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 06:24 AM

Hamster's spinnin' on overdrive.

Techngro • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 06:35 AM

That article was actually just sad. I kinda like Amy Schumer, but...sad.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 10:25 AM

This is pathetic.

Okay, imagine for a second, genders reversed, and this were a rendition. The scene in a movie, portrayed as a mortified woman, who's had her dignity shamefully trampled upon by some ignorant and seemingly stupid drunk.

Oh but wait, it's not, in fact it's spun like in a comedic, self liberating way, that feminists can happily cherry pick as victimisation and misunderstanding so that society excuses Amy Schumer, in line with decorum.

Where's the so called 'equality' in that? It's bullshit hypocrisy.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 11:01 AM

Can I just love say that I love the guy who asked the question on the AskFeminists link?

I'm sorry.. but did you just suggest that its men's fault for being raped?

That sounds a LOT like victim shaming/blaming. Isn't that expressly something feminists are against??

Instead of attacking the website or the person, care to address the concerns and points being raised?

If Adolf Hitler says $2 + 2 = 4$, it doesn't make him wrong because he is adolf hitler. the person making a point is irrelevant to the truth or rational value of the point being made.

If you are unable to make your point without being calm, then perhaps your point is not worth making or listening to.

Why aren't feminists calling for that womans head? I mean... they called for the head of a guy wearing the wrong shirt on tv, is this issue less important?

dandar4600 • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:21 PM

The answer, of course, is never be alone with a woman. They represent a legal liability in any and every scenario.

There is a head engineer where I work that lives by that motto. He will never allow himself to be alone with one of the females. When he was leaving the office and I was staying (different department) he was joking saying "Oh, you can stay. All females are gone for the day." It's sad that it's coming down to that. I work for a small private company so almost no HR. I can just imagine how bad it must be at bigger places where one female complaint will get you canned no questions asked.

thefisherman1961 • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 04:10 PM

One such frontier has been deciding that drunk sex is rape- or to clarify, somebody who is intoxicated cannot give consent, therefore having sex with somebody intoxicated is rape.

Also depends entirely on your definition of "intoxicated". Suppose I am with an alcoholic chick who can drink half a fifth of vodka and not feel anything. She is legally intoxicated, but I can't tell the difference as she seems sober to me. We consent to having sex. That means I raped her, despite the fact that we consented.

Aside from that, at what magical threshold does somebody cross from being sober enough to consent and too intoxicated to consent? Suppose it's 0.08. If she's 0.07, we're good, but if she's 0.08 that means I raped her. Having sex with a girl with a difference of **0.01** BAC is the difference between having consensual sex, and being accused of rape and getting sent to jail to be raped for decades (ironically). Do I need to start breathalizing every girl I sleep with before having sex?

What if she's intoxicated on something else besides alcohol? Why should I be expected to know that? According to feminist logic, shouldn't I be able to retroactively revoke consent because she mislead me into believing that she was sober enough to consent when she wasn't, meaning she is also rapist?

There are way too many holes that can be poked in that argument. You're responsible for your own actions, being intoxicated doesn't give you a pass. If you give consent while intoxicated, no matter how intoxicated, then later regret it once you sober up, that's your problem. Regretting sex you consented to does not make it rape.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 10:02 AM

The realistic advice is to secretly film any and all sexual encounters with women. It's the only sane way to play in a world where a woman's word is enough to get you sent to prison for decades.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:04 PM

I fucking hate Amy Schumer. All her jokes can be summed up as "I'm such an unattractive slut! My vagina!" Now everyone's on her dick because she's trying to do this feminist shit on her show, the show called "Inside Amy Schumer", which I'm sure is another reference to her pussy.

Goddamn I hate her fucking face.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 05:00 AM

Latest episode of her show has a lengthy sketch about society giving Cosby a pass on his "rapes." Frankly, I don't care about his rapes or her rapes, but there may be something wrong with me.

NidStyles • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 09:06 AM

I swear, it's like these bitches have had their brains plunged out of their heads from all of the blowjobs...

CptFizz • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 10:17 AM

Nobody here should be surprised. You can't expect reason from ideologs. It's like discussing Judasim with anti-semites. They'll twist and turn every fact until it fits their ideology.

[deleted] • 1 points • 29 May, 2015 06:03 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 06:36 AM

I'm subscribed here so that I can see different perspectives on most topics regarding women, even if they are usually with generalizations and other fallacies, but this one actually brings up a good point.

pennypuptech • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 11:27 AM

My favorite comment:

"What the OP is failing to say is that Amy Schumer was responding to a booty call by a drunken dude when she was a young college student feeling thrilled that an older student was paying attention to her. If you read the speech that she gave recounting this incident, you will quickly see that the guy was not only the initiator of the sexual encounter, he was the aggressor through it all. She was essentially his fuck-doll and she got no pleasure from it at all. The little boys on reddit like to call it rape because Amy was sober while the guy was drunk and stinking of booze."

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 01:38 PM

TIL I can be the aggressor while I am asleep with a flaccid dick

DPestWork • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 01:25 PM

Wow, just wow. I might have to go looking for comments these people wrote in the past to copy-pasta and prove their hypocrisy.

[deleted] • 1 points • 29 May, 2015 01:50 PM

[permanently deleted]

HellbillyDeluxe • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:08 PM*

When I found TRP and began reading about how women perceive reality based on their feelings I inherently knew that to some extent, but I doubted how far it went. However I came to see that their feelings are all that matter to them in any given situation, whatever they are that is reality even if it is not in any objective way. This was confirmed upon reading the comments to OP's post. In it a situation that was clearly what femmenist would call rape if roles were reversed was judged not to be such based not on the facts of what happened, not on how the victim himself even felt; but rather how the rapist (Amy) felt. Amy described herself as feeling bad about it having anxiety, etc. thus it was not rape because she felt this way. There ya go men as long as you feel bad and hate yourself having sex with a woman who is blasted drunk is ok according to feminists. I really wish I would have found TRP many years ago before my 30's and marriage, better late than never I suppose.

TL;DR TRP is right about how women operate in this world. This post is a great example.

bombilla42 • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 02:19 PM

I hate to be a douche, but where in the transcript of the speech does the admitting of "rape" occur? Everything I just read was a bunch of woe-is-me bullshit about how she's not pretty, just wants to be loved, etc.

But seriously... someone point out the exact sentence/paragraph where her rape of Matt is explicitly mentioned.

redpillschool[S] • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 02:39 PM

She didn't call it rape. She just had sex with a super drunk guy who wouldn't stay awake.

bombilla42 • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 03:10 PM

Did you write "Amy Schumer admits to raping a guy" for the article title?

redpillschool[S] • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 03:13 PM

If I said "I took a knife and stabbed a guy to death" do I need to use the word "murder" for it to be an admission?

cover20 • 2 points • 30 May, 2015 01:30 PM

She admitted the facts of rape, even though she probably didn't think of it that way herself.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse, for men or for women.

KenuR • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:14 PM

Redpillers tend to disagree with that definition of rape (that sex between a sober and an intoxicated person is automatically rape)

I feel like people in this thread are being hypocritical as fuck, I mean come on she didn't actually rape him.

A_Becker • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 04:13 PM

I'm glad to see a female (Amy, in this case) stand up and admit wrongdoing. Most women today just try to shove the blame on men then pretend like it's all in the name of equality.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 May, 2015 05:19 PM

stand up and admit wrongdoing

She's only doing so because she knows there won't be any repercussions.

If she was subject to the same standard as a man, bitch would be hiding under a rock with her mouth shut.

A_Becker • 2 points • 29 May, 2015 05:22 PM

For that you should also blame the sexist legal system for not caring when women rape men, but going nuts when a man has consensual sex with a woman.

I agree though, she had nothing to fear in doing so.

cover20 • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 01:28 PM

She only did it because she doesn't even realize that a female is subject to that law. She never thought of it that way.

Well a lot of men get surprised by the insane laws and there's no mercy for them, so there should be no mercy for Amy. Until there's a mass exoneration and they ALL have their convictions overturned.

KenuR • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 04:15 PM

She didn't do anything wrong as far as I'm concerned.

screamingATtrees • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:18 PM

I starting to see that women truly believe they aren't ever accountable for their actions...always something else they blame.

ZackCross • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 07:02 PM

That thread confirmed the core of feminist belief for me;
It's not about what was done, it's how the woman FEELS about it.
She "feels" like a rape victim, even though she could have left when she saw he was wasted (or better yet when he was literally Unconscious), so therefore she is the one that was raped and not him.
It's sad how women badly women say they want "equality" yet they try so hard to dodge any sort of consequences for their actions.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 07:15 PM

This is one those things where we need to copy this nearly word for word, only changing some grammar and shifting the genders around. Then we post it in the same place and see what the responses are.
Predictably, I would say they will argue the guy raped her even though she was the drunk one forcing herself on the guy.
Then we post a side by side comparison so they can see their own hypocritical bullshit.
Unfortunately, it most likely won't do anything though.

processedfoodkills • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 07:18 PM

False rape allegations are a problem, but not that big of one. You have a better chance of contracting a deadly disease, but you don't worry about that when going outside. Just don't get with crazy chicks and don't make them feel like they need to defend their "honor" by saying they were raped.

despisedlove2 • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 01:43 AM

I didn't think that any shit that modern feminists could pull could get past my cynicism, but this did.
Every single feminist on that thread is a psychopath.

cover20 • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 01:19 PM

I admit that reading her article, I would not think of "rape". I would put myself in the drunk guy's shoes and wish he could get it up properly.
But it's not about feelings. It is about the law. The law says she raped him.
She should be prosecuted, convicted and put on lifetime sex offender status, forced to register ... all the shit that happens. Maybe if that happens, a few more SJW's will stop being poisonous and support changing the ridiculous laws, instead.

Mr_Andry • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 09:27 AM

So painful to read. A bunch of those people responding apparently cannot hold two thoughts in their head at the same time. Respond to one and the other pops up, and vice versa.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 July, 2015 10:59 AM

Hey guys, if you do something that is rape by our definition, it's cute, empowering and a coming out story.

squirtmasterd • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 08:11 AM

Why are you bothering trying to rationalise with mental extremists, some of those feminists are the breed that thinks all rape is sex, so yes, by their insane definition, she was raped. These extremists very rarely impact real life and when they do it's big news.

mryddlin • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 11:44 AM

Haha I got banned for posting in it.

Comment was pretty neutral, obvious TRP based ban :)

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 05:16 PM

Ironic because we're getting brigaded by them right now lol. Bunch of downvotes, obviously some bitter-poon feminist.

elili • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 12:14 PM

So from now on, girls will be afraid of getting drunk and then rapped, and guys will be afraid of getting drunk, some girl approaching them and wake up with a rape lawsuit

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 12:17 PM

TIL That no matter the situation, when a woman has sex, she's raped. Gotta lock my son up so he doesn't get accused of rape.

RPthrowaway123 • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 12:34 PM*

Wow - the comments amaze me. "She's not making any statements about empowerment" one person says. Oh really?

Now I feel strong and beautiful. I walk proudly down the streets of Manhattan. The people I love, love me. I make the funniest people in the country laugh, and they are my friends. I am a great friend and an even better sister. I have fought my way through harsh criticism and death threats for speaking my mind. I am alive, like the strong women in this room before me. I am a hot-blooded fighter and I am fearless.

That sounds pretty fucking empowering to me, and good for her for being successful but don't try and tell me she's not talking about women's empowerment. She's just trying to contrast it with the fact that she got shitty sex from some guy in college that she is embarrassed to have had drunk sex with.

Oh and this gem is my favorite:

Essentially, it's men and cultures fault that female on male rape isn't handled seriously.

Never forget that as a man, it is pretty much always your fault!

JumpXVI • 1 point • 29 May, 2015 06:20 PM

The title of this article is irresponsible clickbait. I read Schumer's gala speech, and nobody "raped" anyone. I don't care what was posted in AskFeminists, but we here should know better.

We should be very annoyed at the "if the genders were reversed" scenario, and very annoyed at AskFeminists spinning it that he raped her, like /u/EeeeeevilMan said. But saying she "raped" him is playing right into "their own retarded feminist definition of rape" as he put it, and that's even worse because it's exactly what they want.

cover20 • 1 point • 30 May, 2015 01:25 PM

She did rape him.

Get it through your thick skull. The law says she raped him. This is indeed analyzed in the thread, and even most of the females posting (at the top which is all I read) agree that it was rape. Women are not so forgiving of other women by the way. They mostly agree it is rape, because it is rape, under the law.

We should use the hell out of the "if the genders were reversed" scenario, because it's the way we will get

support for improving the laws, which will benefit reasonable men as well as reasonable women. Amy didn't do anything really bad here. But she has to be sacrificed for a cause, as so many men are sacrificed without a second thought. She has to be prosecuted for the sake of the law, and the sake of pressure to change the law. She can always have her conviction overturned later, along with about a million men who have similarly been victimized by a bad law.

Women see my argument easier than men, because women don't have the inborn "sympathy to women" reaction that men, including myself, do.

JumpXVI • 1 point • 31 May, 2015 08:35 PM

She did rape him.

Amy didn't do anything really bad here.

My skull may be too thick to comprehend this, but I thought rape was bad?

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 July, 2015 12:09 PM

Women ask to be treated as equals which is cool and im all for. so why is it day after day I lose more and more respect for them and think them less and less intelligent. its seems all the work they put into changing societies views is having the opposite effect on me.

infantinemovie5 • 0 points • 29 May, 2015 11:29 AM

I need to stop coming on this sub, because it fucking infuriates me everytime I do.

iliketurdles123 • -2 points • 29 May, 2015 12:38 PM **[recovered]**

yeah, how DARE people have differing opinions.

FrameWalker • -1 points • 29 May, 2015 02:27 PM

Amy Schumer is an interesting beast. She's a comedian, and her writing staff does a decent job of showing the absurdity on both ends, even if there is a bit of a feminist swing the overall theme is that american sexuality is absurd. She ridicules AWALT behavior all the time. There's a great nice guy sketch too.

Ycjcyad • -22 points • 29 May, 2015 08:45 AM

I think this is way off topic now...

For hundreds of years women have been objectified and oppressed by men. If you guys read some history on women and their rolls in society you will see that they have suffered a great deal at the hands of men.

Yes, there are laws that are very unjust and biased toward women but in a world where man governs who are we really going to blame but ourselves?

I say this because I read a lot of comments on here that are very unfair and generalize too much, making men victims in scenarios where they as their part.

I see a lot of men complaining about women threatening to take away their kids or women being * bat shit crazy*, we'll if you date a woman for a reasonable amount of time before you marry her or get her pregnant than maybe you'd of seen the crazy and you could of avoided it.

If men had more control over their hormones and exercised restraint when dealing with women then all this would be a lot different.

I think it's unfair to sit here and get off topic and try convince ourselves that were victims to women when we've done so much worse to them and continue to.

Yes, a lot of feminists are bat shit crazy but I think we, as men, have played our part in them being so.

[deleted] • 12 points • 29 May, 2015 10:22 AM

Historically everybody, men and women alike, had shitty lots in life for a variety of reasons - war, lack of resources, lack of technology, etc. However, as long as a woman was marginally attractive, I guarantee statistically her life was loads better than any average man's throughout humanity's long history.

You honestly think women's "rolls" (you've been looking at Amy Schumer too much, I take it) in society constitute "suffering a great deal at the hands of men"? Please. Being a glorified babysitter and housekeeper are not suffering - suffering is taking a bullet for your country, or working yourself literally to death in a coal mine for your family. Why come here and preach this bullshit? Seriously fucking lame.

Yejcyad • -5 points • 29 May, 2015 07:03 PM

Amy is an idiot, and she isn't even funny. I don't find women who praise their vagina funny.

90% of the men out there * taking bullets* wrote a contract where they agreed to it. They get paid and they get benefits, and for all those stupid ones, they get to brag about it, so that's a poor excuse.

Miners also got paid, also a choice to do so- if you took that job because there was nothing else, then once again, your choice. They could of moved, sold shoe laces, whatever, it was a choice.

Women have always had the short end of the stick in life. You think working a 12 hr day is rough? Why don't you go get raped on a daily basis because someone sold you like property and now your only function in life is to screw for money that someone else makes on you- and this isn't something of the past, it's happening now.

You think it's fair for some women to live in fear of abusive husbands? To get beat on a daily basis, to be scared of speaking out, to have to lay with that man and make him happy while he destroys your life?

Oh how about the women in the worse force, who get less than most man on the dollar, who can't advance in their career because they don't have a penis, play golf or watch sports.

Your examples are ridiculous and once again, off topic.

Women raping men, very real. A lot of feminists being idiots- very real. All the other stuff posted here- off topic and wildly over exaggerated in some posts.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 07:11 PM

90% of the men out there * taking bullets* wrote a contract where they agreed to it. They get paid and they get benefits, and for all those stupid ones, they get to brag about it, so that's a poor excuse.

Okay dude, you are waffling back and forth like a woman. Be rational for a minute. We were specifically talking about your incredibly dumb statement about women:

For hundreds of years women have been objectified and oppressed by men. If you guys read some history on women and their rolls in society you will see that they have suffered a great deal at the hands of men.

So if we're speaking historically - as a man, you got drafted into the army and you just had to deal with it. Maybe you got some decent benefits (perhaps some land), but mostly you didn't.

Actually, reading the rest of your post, I'm convinced you're just trolling. Daily rapes? Fucking

please. The wage gap, *really*? You believe in that myth? You're ignorant, man. What are you even doing here? Judging from your posts, you're a feminist. This isn't a feminist sub, if you haven't noticed. And I've noticed you only have like five posts in your posting history, so I'm guessing this is a throwaway account created specifically to troll TRP.

Yejcyad • -2 points • 29 May, 2015 11:11 PM

Yes, I'm trolling to get people back on subject. You're a moron.

[deleted] • 4 points • 30 May, 2015 12:48 AM

Uh huh. Maybe you should fuck off back to r/feminism, srs, or whatever similar shithole you came from.

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 01:36 PM

For hundreds of years women have been objectified and oppressed by men. If you guys read some history on women and their rolls in society you will see that they have suffered a great deal at the hands of men.

For most of history most men suffered a great deal at the hands of other men. For most of history everyone was on the verge of starvation all the time. For most of history men were doing majority of the physical labour. A lot of women were sex slaves, and a lot of men were slaves forced to work until their backs broke, then they were executed. I really do not think its one sided oppression here, or that you can really accurately compare 'oppression' on each side.

Objectified and oppressed? You know that office jobs have only really been around for like a generation or two? There had to be a person tending to the house because we didn't have washing machines, vacuum cleaners, etc. My granddad worked in a coal mine and died of lung cancer, my grandma looked after the seven kids in the house and spent all day cooking and cleaning. Was he oppressing her from achieving her career ambitions?

I think it's unfair to sit here and get off topic and try convince ourselves that were victims to women when we've done so much worse to them and continue to.

Youve completely bought into the marxist mentality of us vs. them, which only serves to divide us and turn us against each other. We are in many ways victims to women and they are in many ways victims to us, but we are also allies much of the time. And women can be victims/allies to other women, and men victims/allies to other men.

I see a lot of men complaining about women threatening to take away their kids or women being * bat shit crazy*, we'll if you date a woman for a reasonable amount of time before you marry her or get her pregnant than maybe you'd of seen the crazy and you could of avoided it.

Come back here once you have had a divorce (50% chance) and lost everything you worked for, and cannot see your kids any more, and then tell us that again. I dare you, cocksucker.

Yejcyad • -1 points • 29 May, 2015 06:53 PM

These laws were put in place because of the roles women have played in life. Women have always been presumed to be home wives- they're supposed to cook and clean and bare children, when divorces occur, a woman usually has no career, no money, no home, no way of making a living for herself once she has divorced, so those things are awarded to her. Guess why this is?! Because of men.

I have a mangina- read the comments here and tell me who sounds like the biggest pussy. As I said, you all got off tonic and started ranting and crying about all the injustices women do that are completely off topic.

When is this going to get racist and someone is going to start saying blacks have no reason to complain, they're rich basketball players now! 'Cause all black people play basketball and make millions and their history wasn't cruel, right? Hah.

I feel bad for those who have been taken advantage by the system against women- but once again, generalizing and making it sound like all women are demons is unjust.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 May, 2015 05:12 PM

Jesus, what a mangina.

The women that were oppressed are now dead. They no longer exist. The past is past. Period.

Today's women are doing just fine. They don't need reparations. Calm your tits white knight.

Yeicyad • -3 points • 29 May, 2015 06:42 PM

You're stupid. * the women that were oppressed are all dead.* HAHA. Please, keep going this is great.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 May, 2015 06:48 PM

For hundreds of years

Show me the oppressed women that are still alive **from hundreds of years ago**.

Go on, I'm waiting LOL!

Do you even read the shit you write faggot? lol

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 May, 2015 02:46 AM

yo, that's offensive to faggots.