

Eternal Solipsism of the Female Mind

February 8, 2020 | 141 upvotes | by [bsutansalt](#)

This essay was originally published, in a slightly different form, at In Mala Fide on October 6, 2009, and the version you read here is from the now defunct "The Spearhead" and was posted in January 12, 2010. Some of the links are now defunct and I did my best to recreate them via the wayback machine. Much of the old school knowledge and writings are being erased from history, so if you find old posts like this please capture them so they aren't lost.

If I had to pick a random word to describe women, one of the ones I could choose is “solipsistic.” A definition of solipsism, from the [Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary](#):

Main Entry: so·lip·sism

Pronunciation: \ˈsō-ləp-,si-zəm, ˈsä-\

Function: noun

Etymology: Latin solus alone + ipse self

Date: 1874

: a theory holding that the self can know nothing but its own modifications and that the self is the only existent thing; also : extreme egocentrism

The female of the species is a master at absorbing herself in her own thoughts and neuroses and projecting them onto men. In the U.S., virtually all women are suffering from the unmentionable delusion that guys are just like them when it comes to sexual attraction. From career women who [lament that their accomplishments don't give men erections](#) to living lardballs claiming that men don't want them because of peer pressure, the female is unparalleled when it comes to assigning her prejudices and desires to the male. Even on issues on which men and women are visibly different, such as the effect of aging on sexual market value, women can't stop digging in their hole of projection, as is evidenced by the myth of “[male menopause](#)” and the ludicrous new claim that [men have a biological clock](#). Men seldom engage in sexual solipsism of this sort, and those who do are mocked – otherwise, we'd see a lot more guys [acting like this freak](#).

Female sexual solipsism and projection are the primary reasons why women's dating advice is useless. Let's look at some of *The Rules* again:

Rule 1: Be a “Creature Unlike Any Other”

Rule 3: Don't Stare at Men or Talk Too Much

Rule 4: Don't Meet Him Halfway or Go Dutch on a Date

Rule 5: Don't Call Him & Rarely Return His Calls

Rule 6: Always End Phone Calls First

Rule 7: Don't Accept a Saturday Night Date after Wednesday

Rule 11: Always End the Date First

Rewrite and rejigger these points in a couple of places, and they would work perfectly for guys. In fact, all of these points exist in the literature of game in some form. The fact that these are passing for rules WOMEN should follow is prime evidence of the deep ignorance of female thought on this subject.

For another example, here's a great post I dug up from Chuck Ross' archives:

Last week, I witnessed a female friend trying to give a mutual female friend of ours pointers on picking up our mutual male friend. The female friend's advice was similar to the stuff that works for guys when picking up girls. She advocated playing hard-to-get, not standing too close to him, and walking away whenever he came near. My advice was this: "Rub your tits on his nose." Plain. And. Simple.

Virtually all female-targeted relationship advice is flawed in the same way: women advise each other to assume behaviors for attracting men that they respond to when men use them. Of course, no man will ever get the hots for a chick because she plays hard-to-get. As I wrote in my essay "How Mainstream Dating Advice Harms Women":

Women ascertain a man's sexual desirability through his social dominance, and all of the various trials they put men through, such as shit tests, spring from this reality. Game is made possible through this quirk of women's psyche; a guy who is not naturally dominant can mold himself into a man that the ladies want by altering his behaviors.

Men ascertain a woman's sexual desirability through her looks. If she makes your penis hard, she's a worthy conquest. This ensures that women who aren't wildebeests and/or hippopotamuses will have an endless stream of dudes looking to bring their battering rams crashing through her iron gates of life, but it has a downside; a woman's options for improving her sexual market value are limited. The reason a 20-year old co-ed can play the coy coquette and a 35-year old cougar has to force herself onto guys is because the former has qualities men desire (youth and beauty) that the latter doesn't and can never get back. An unattractive woman who prances about like she's a 10, like The Rules says that ladies should do, isn't going to suddenly make men think that she is a 10 – they'll just avoid her in favor of girls who are still on the same plane of reality. Men instinctively know the difference between fool's gold and the real deal, and no games played by girls can convince them otherwise.

Furthermore, a man's willingness to put up with shit from a woman is inversely correlated with how good he is with them. Natural-born alphas, the guys who women want to ensnare, will not tolerate the behavior of a "Rules Girl." Only gameless schmucks will bother with chicks who won't pay for dates or refuse to do more "than casual kissing on the first date." The Rules ought to have been subtitled "Time-Tested Secrets for Capturing the Heart of Mr. Beta."

Where does the extreme, unprecedented sexual solipsism of modern females come from? I blame the average woman's complete disconnect from reality. Owing to their status as the gatekeepers of sex, and the fact that their sexual value peaks before men's, most women, particularly young women, can spend a fair portion of their lives sailing on a cloud of fantasy. If a girl is reasonably attractive and sociable, she can sit back and watch the gentlemen callers pile up. Not only that, [the same factors](#) that have enabled unrestrained female hypergamy (legalized abortion, cheap and available contraception, economic emancipation) also shield women from the consequences of their bad behavior. Think of a spoiled brat who has everything he wants handed to him without complaint (lest he throw a tantrum) and who is rescued by daddy whenever he gets himself into trouble. In our modern culture, women are basically [spoiled children](#), and are as equally clueless about the real world.

We men are forced to confront reality from the outset because we are the dominant sex, expected to approach girls and direct our relationships with them. The overwhelming majority of guys have been slapped with rejections from girls from the day their mating careers began, forcing them to analyze their behaviors, actions, and attitudes. The only men who can afford to engage in woman-esque solipsism are

apex naturals who the ladies are natively attracted to (see: [Tucker Max](#)) – the rest of us grinders have to stay on our toes at all times.

Another factor in female sexual solipsism is increased promiscuity. The indispensable Lupo remarked here:

That may or may not be true: most slutty women I know could take on entire football teams without noticing the nature of male sexuality. They're that self absorbed in their emotional turmoil, they don't notice men look at them like a hungry man looks at a piece of meat. Prudes notice more, probably because they had fathers who were decent men.

The sexual double standard arises from the reality that getting sex is easy for women but hard for men. The reason studs are respected and sluts are derided is because being a stud requires skill, talent, and practice, while being a slut merely requires a pulse and a lack of impulse control. The unsung flip side of the double standard, however, is that female virgins are cherished whereas male virgins are ridiculed. A man who can't get laid signals to the world that he is a loser, while a woman who resists spreading her legs for every scumbag who winks at her shows herself to be a sober, selective person. Because a female's primary goal is getting the highest-quality man available to commit to her, women who cannot or will not stay in a relationship are poor sources of information on men. The male virgin and the female slut are mirror images of each other in terms of attractiveness to and knowledge of the opposite sex.

While we guys can have a good laugh at women who make poor choices, the solipsism of the fairer sex will ultimately be their undoing. An exchange I had with Obsidian ([visit his blog](#)) on LILGRL's inaugural post [at Girl Game](#):

Obsidian: Here's my thing, and maybe you ladies can help me out with this:

Whatever happened to the older, wiser Women in the world? The moms, grandmoms, aunties and the like, who pulled younger Women aside and told them these cold, often brutal, facts of life? Where'd they go? Why don't we see them around anymore? What happened?

Comments?

Ferdinand Bardamu: The sexual revolution happened. The women who came of age in that era junked the "old knowledge" of sexuality and bequeathed their ignorance to their children. There are exceptions here and there but for the most part, the mothers of today's young women are just as clueless as they are. As for grandmas and other extended family members, the replacement of the extended family with the nuclear family that occurred post-World War II combined with the mass entrance of women into the workplace removed any possibility of the wise women imparting their knowledge to the young'uns. For example, my mother relocated away from her hometown because of her job. We only saw the relatives on holidays and family reunions.

Obsidian: Hey Ferdi, thanks for the comment and also for the heads-up about Sofie's new blog-home.

You may know of one of my oft-repeated phrases:

In the New Girl Order, the biggest losers, will be Women.

NOT MEN.

And the reasons for why I say that, lie in my posts here. Simply put, the "game" is rigged for Today's Woman in ways that is even WORSE than for you and me. Why? Because a lot of Women are being sold a seriously bad bill of goods...and, like the economic meltdown we're seeing around us right now, the same will happen on the dating market. Because by design, only

a small number of people can ostensibly “win”—especially when it comes to Women. Most, the vast majority, will lose.

I feel deeply about this for several reasons. One, because had it NOT been for one of those Wise Women—in my case my great grandmother—I might have ended up a statistic. After a month-long courtship, my mom, who at the time was a 19 year old singlemom and a radiology nurse, was urged to marry my mid-30s aged stepdad. My GGM told me mom straightup, look, I know he’s not all that you want him to be. But he loves you and that child. And you need a father for your son. You’ll learn to love him.

Today Ferdi, those words don’t even exist in the American lexicon—and keep in mind, I’m only 40 years old! My God...how far have we done fell.

Secondly, I have three sisters, and I love them dearly. I don’t want to see any of them serially and repeatedly pumped and dumped...riding the cock carousel into infinity...out there clawing and scratching for the few Alphas out there in a de facto harem...or worse. Don’t get it twisted, I’m a pretty practitioner of Game, but I see its real limitations and real dangers, too, and because I have some skin in the game, so to speak, I am concerned about the sexual market aping the economic one these days. Where there are precious few winners...and a hell of a lot of losers.

Because of the destruction of the “old knowledge” of human sexuality that occurred forty years ago, today’s women are woefully ignorant of the realities of the sexual marketplace. Men are ignorant too, but our status as nature’s guinea pigs gives us more leeway in our personal lives. A man’s sexual market value doesn’t peak until his early thirties, and the decline afterwards can be mitigated with fame and wealth (just look at all of the rich old farts with hot young wives). A woman’s value peaks in her late teens/early twenties, declines slowly during her late twenties, precipitously drops in her thirties, and effectively reaches zero (or close to it) in her early forties. Most women simply do not realize this cold fact until it’s too late, as The Fifth Horseman ([visit his blog](#)) wrote in the comment section [of this post](#):

Women, by definition, can’t grasp the hard reality of numbers and probabilities. That is why they often are caught by surprise when their attractiveness fades in their mid-30s.

Thus, women end up experiencing a Wile E. Coyote moment, when they suddenly realize men aren’t interested in them to the same degree, and that men 15 years older are going to be the norm for her henceforth.

That is why mother/Gmother mentorship is more important to a woman of 20-25 than father/Gfather mentorship is for a man of the same age.

Women were shielded from their Wife E. Coyote moment by the steady hand of their mothers and grandmothers. You, Sofia, LSB, and Bhatti still probably have this service available to you, but a lot of white urban women do not.

Yes, men never get a big falloff like that (unless they go bald, and even then, there are ways to reduce the impact of that).

But women are FAR more likely to go on match.com and get their ego boosted by the male-heavy ratio there making them think that their market value is higher than it is.

Men are less prone to getting so detached from reality.

The removal of the steady hand of mothers and grandmothers guiding the choices made by young women, has made them vulnerable to bad choices.

Hence, women go around in their 20s thinking that the treatment they are accustomed to will

never end, and that an Alpha who sleeps with them will actually marry them (not realizing that she is one of three at any given time). They use Match.com to generate a lot of emails from men, which happen due to the 2:1 ratio there. Women falsely interpret this attention driven from an unnatural ratio as a sign of their value being higher than it is.

Then, women face their Wife E. Coyote moment. They realize their attractiveness has plunge only after it is too late to avoid the fall. They did not see it coming, as the emails from match.com were still flowing steadily as a response to photos of her that are 5 years out of date.

In the old days, grandma knew that a girl's appeal was temporary, and made sure she got married off long before her appeal dropped. Today, women have no steady hand of yesteryear to warn them of the impending cliff. In fact, the media tries to paint a continued path in the air, in the form of shows that try to normalize cougarhood. Thus, more and more women face their Wife E. Coyote moment (age 34-37).

For this particular 'Girl Game' blog, I am cutting them slack, since Bhatti, Sofia, and Lilgirl are all 20-22, and have better values than white 'womyn' types. Lilgirl is engaged too. So this crowd doesn't irk me.

But yes, women who cross the age of 28-29 really have to get with it and realize that their time is very limited at that point. Online dating sites make it very easy for a woman to overrate her prospects (due to the ratios I described, and the inherent nature of the medium, where 20 emails from guys can *seem* like a lot, but really aren't).

The steady hand of mothers and grandmothers was important in keeping a young woman away from cads and married off to a 'good man', and already have kids by age 35. Now that this factor is absent, young women are extremely vulnerable to hitting their Wife E. Coyote moment. And they have themselves to blame...

Female fertility drops off a cliff by 35. White women get wrinkles by then. Colored women have skin that lasts much longer (until 45), but fertility is all but gone by 35 no matter what race the woman is.

With the coming economic collapse and the end of the "[sheconomy](#)", one hell of a lot of single, "confident," "independent" women are going to find themselves suffering on the streets because no man will look to them for anything more than a one-night stand. The biggest winners will be the good girls who had parents who taught them right, few as they may be. In the land of the starving sluts, the prudish virgin is queen.

For further reading:

"[When Shit Gets Sold as Soap...](#)" – Fedrz explains how feminists project their own behaviors, thoughts, and beliefs onto men. I was not aware of his post when I originally wrote this piece, though I wish I had been.

"The Wisdom of the Elders and its Decline" – Thursday expands on a comment he left on my post when it was first published.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

AutoModerator[M] [score hidden] 8 February, 2020 05:06 PM stickied comment

Why are we quarantined? The admin don't want you to know.

Register on our backup site: <https://www.trp.red> and reserve your reddit name today.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

Jail-Is-Just-A-Room • 32 points • 8 February, 2020 06:32 PM

Some of this stems from the ludicrous belief of equality in the form of sameness that society seems to push right now. Men and women are literally BIOLOGICALLY DIFFERENT. It would be insane to expect them to act the same. Thank you for this sanity!

swampbastard69 • 16 points • 10 February, 2020 04:31 PM

I dont know of any hot girl I have ever met that even thinks about girl game even in the slightest. They get dolled up, go out, and expect guys to speak to them, if they like that guy, they make it pretty easy for them. Occasionally they will stand close to a hot guy or use body language like flipping their eyes but he has to talk to her. The only 'game' they think of is they will strategize whether to sleep with him early if he is just an alpha fling, or not if they put him in the boyfriend category. Girls ask advise for relationships not for game.

rokr10 • 5 points • 11 February, 2020 12:01 AM

Women put A LOT of effort into getting noticed by men they are attracted to. One of my old platonic friends (I use that word lightly) used to ask me constantly which direction she should turn when looking at a guy, what she wore that would help her chances, what guys like (not a hard question, but they certainly don't want to hear the truth).

It's amazing how their frame of mind revolves around men, yet act like their priorities lie elsewhere.

swampbastard69 • 6 points • 11 February, 2020 03:27 AM

agreed, but this isnt game or strategy. all they talk about is guys when they are alone. they have nothing else, they are waiting for us to save them.

rokr10 • 3 points • 11 February, 2020 04:03 AM

Yes, and to be fair it's usually for the "big man on campus" are they so concerned for such trivial matters.

llwilderll • 1 point • 11 February, 2020 08:33 PM

Absolutely. This all ties into the idea that men and women are gatekeepers of what the opposite sex want. Women are the gatekeepers of sex and men are the gatekeepers of relationships.

quarthomon • 9 points • 8 February, 2020 06:27 PM

Thank you! This one is a classic well worth reading again.

[deleted] 8 February, 2020 07:03 PM*

[deleted]

PoonBuffet • 3 points • 10 February, 2020 06:18 AM

Just discovered FDS - holy shit what a trainwreck.

Okeydoka • 3 points • 8 February, 2020 06:57 PM

So.....you've met my wife?

when_its_too_late • 3 points • 8 February, 2020 09:03 PM

Solid writing. Thank you sir.

etorate • 3 points • 15 February, 2020 04:49 AM

I think a better way to think about it is that men and women do not have a theory of mind of each other's sexual thoughts and experiences so they think that men are like women until they learn otherwise.

A classic way that men do not have the theory of mind for a woman is in sexual relations where they rub woman's clit a lot and too hard like they are men. Or they find the fact that many women like getting choked in sex a bit disturbing.

Women also have a rich kid effect where they can't afford to be passive and have things come to them. While many men quickly learn or slowly that being passive will get them nowhere.

bsutansalt[S] • 6 points • 15 February, 2020 04:56 AM

Women are born rich and die poor, and men the opposite.

btrpb • 1 point • 8 February, 2020 10:12 PM

Great post.

eddielovett • 1 point • 10 February, 2020 07:43 AM

This all makes perfect sense however I've noticed in some respects that I am more solipsistic than my wife. For instance she is perpetually worried what other people think of her and how they perceive her whereas other people's thoughts about me are irrelevant and carry no weight.

Other people seem to matter to her but only in regards to their thoughts about HER so I guess it's still egocentric. I'm the opposite, other people matter to me EXCEPT for what they think of me.

[deleted] 14 February, 2020 10:46 AM

[deleted]

bsutansalt[S] • 1 point • 14 February, 2020 01:45 PM

IIRC the guy running it got burned out.

Skuggasveinn • 1 point • 8 February, 2020 08:49 PM

Can anyone do an ELI5 (explain it to me like I am 5 years old) for me. This was a tough read.

European-American69 • 10 points • 8 February, 2020 09:24 PM

The wall the sexual revolution. Sluts

Pretty routine stuff but good read

PoonBuffet • 6 points • 10 February, 2020 06:20 AM

You didn't read one god damn word did ya

EntropyForeverx • 1 point • 9 February, 2020 03:52 PM

Women trying to act like men in order to attract them, not being aware that there are biological differences and what they do doesn't work.