

# If You Want a Marriage of Equals, Then Date as Equals

February 17, 2020 | 311 upvotes | by [CultistHeadpiece](#)

---

Heterosexual women of a progressive bent often say they want equal partnerships with men. But dating is a different story entirely. The women I interviewed for a research project and book expected men to ask for, plan, and pay for dates; initiate sex; confirm the exclusivity of a relationship; and propose marriage. After setting all of those precedents, these women then wanted a marriage in which they shared the financial responsibilities, housework, and child care relatively equally. Almost none of my interviewees saw these dating practices as a threat to their feminist credentials or to their desire for egalitarian marriages. But they were wrong.

As a feminist sociologist, I've long been interested in how gender influences our behavior in romantic relationships. I was aware of the research that showed greater gains in gender equality at work than at home. Curious to explore some of the reasons behind these numbers, I spent the past several years talking with people about their dating lives and what they wanted from their marriages and partnerships. The heterosexual and LGBTQ people I interviewed—more than 100 in total—were highly educated, professional-track young adults who lived in the greater San Francisco Bay Area. This was not a cross section of America, for certain, but I did expect to hear progressive views. Most wanted equal partnerships where they could share both financial and family responsibilities. Almost everyone I interviewed was quite vocal in their support of gender equality and didn't shy away from the feminist label.

However, I noticed a glaring disconnect between the straight women's views on marriage and their thoughts on dating. Once these women were married, it was difficult to right the ship, so to speak. The same gender stereotypes that they adopted while dating played out in their long-term partnerships.

Three-quarters of Millennials in America support gender equality at work and home and agree that the ideal marriage is an equitable one. Consequently, I expected the young women I interviewed to epitomize feminist liberation. Yet, when they thought of equality among men and women, they focused more on professional opportunities than interpersonal dynamics. Americans with a college education now get married in their early 30s on average, as young adults put their love life on hold while they invest in their education and establish a career. Given the significant time, money, and effort they put into building this career, the women I spoke with expected to partner with people who would support their ambitious professional goals. The men said they desired and respected these independent, high-achieving women and actually saw them as more compatible partners as a result.

And yet in a throwback to an earlier era, many women I spoke with enacted strict dating rules. "It's a deal breaker if a man doesn't pay for a date," one woman, aged 29, told me. A 31-year-old said that if a man doesn't pay, "they just probably don't like you very much." A lot of men, they assumed, were looking for nothing more than a quick hookup, so some of these dating rituals were tests to see whether the man was truly interested in a commitment. A third woman, also 31, told me, "I feel like men need to feel like they are in control, and if you ask them out, you end up looking desperate and it's a turnoff to them."

On dates, the women talked about acting demure, and allowing men to do more of the talking. Women, they said, were more attractive to men when they appeared unattainable, so women preferred for the men to follow up after a date. None of the women considered proposing marriage; that was the man's job. "I know it feels counterintuitive ... I'm a feminist," the first woman said. "But I like to have a guy be chivalrous."

Not all of the heterosexual women I spoke with felt strongly about these dating rules. "Getting married

and having kids were probably, if they were even on the list, like number 99 and 100 on the list of 100,” one told me. “I think the men I was with knew. It would just be ridiculous if they were on a bended knee offering me a ring.” Yet even the few women who fell into this category tended to go along with traditional dating rituals anyway, arguing that the men they dated wanted them and the women “just didn’t care enough” to challenge the status quo.

The heterosexual men I interviewed claimed that a woman’s assertiveness took the pressure off them. While some liked paying for dates, feeling that the gesture was a nice way to show they cared, others were more resistant. One man told me he splits the cost of a date “Fifty-fifty. That goes right in line with my theory of the person I consider my equal. Just because I carry the penis does not mean that I need to buy your food for you. You’re a woman, you’re educated or want to be educated, you want to be independent—take your stance.”

But as the relationship progressed, the men I spoke with held persistent double standards. They expected women to walk a fine line between enough and too much sexual experience. They admitted to running into conflicts with “strong-willed” women. Men also wanted to be taller, stronger, and more masculine than their partners. And many of the men expected women to take their last names after marriage.

When men and women endorsed these traditional gender roles early in a relationship, undoing those views in marriage was difficult. The married men I interviewed often left caregiving and housework to the women, while the husbands considered themselves breadwinners and decision makers. This behavior fell in line with national trends. As American time-use surveys show, women still do about twice as much unpaid labor in the home as men.

One woman said of her husband, “He’ll take our son on bike rides with him. But in the middle of the night, I’m the one getting up. Like for me to be out like this on this interview, I had to make sure there was dinner stuff for him.”

A man expressed his resentment at not having an egalitarian relationship, saying, “That’s not the relationship I want for myself.” Yet he later added that his partner should do more of the household labor, because she was more invested in a clean house.

<https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/02/if-you-want-marriage-equals-then-date-equals/606568/>

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

PPD-Angel[M] [score hidden] 17 February, 2020 01:45 PM stickied comment

Just to be clear this is not the OP's view, but is the copy-pasted from the article that was linked below. Also:

Post titles must be neutral.

This is low-effort content and is no different than just dropping a link.

Posts like this will be removed in the future, I will leave it up because has generated some discussion. It will be reflaired as a discussion.

NarniaFox • 34 points • 17 February, 2020 03:02 AM\*

I agree with you to some extent. People can change their political views, they can think of themselves as just and progressive, but a few are ready to rethink their day to day life. We are strongly conditioned to have certain expectations from dates, the opposite gender, relationships, marriage, etc., and it takes a lot of time and energy to actually see the difference between what you believe in, what you value and what you do with your life.

People also tend to be hypocrites. Most people don't have a whole worldview, they have different beliefs and they hold two different statements, even if they can't put together and contradict each other. Look at some religious people, who are all about loving people and honoring God, but they'd spit on atheists/homosexuals, etc. It takes reflexive skills to be able to see flaws and contradictions in your beliefs and behavior, a lot of people have a lack of them.

It's even worse in Russia. Feminism isn't very popular here and most people hold to gender stereotypes and it combines with despise to every gender. Men are heads of families, but they're stupid and can't take care of themselves. Women are nice and caring, but they are stupid and can't take care of themselves either. I paid for myself on dates and some people were bewildered by that. They tried to explain me that a guy used me and if he got sex from me, so he should have paid for dinner at least and that's wild for me, we both wanted to see each other, we both wanted sex, why should he always have paid?

Edit: spelling

P.S. We're married now, we share household chores and I can't say that I do more work in any sense than my husband does. I don't think that paying for myself helped me to get an equal marriage, but it was a good place to start with.

deeman010 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 02:55 PM

Oh wow someone who understands that people can say one thing and do another. I agree with your view and thanks for sharing some personal thoughts.

CultistHeadpiece[S] • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 03:11 AM

Thanks for a nice lengthy reply ☺ □

QueenCousland86 • 21 points • 17 February, 2020 03:40 AM

I think a big part of the problem is a lack of realistic examination of their motives and desires. People are afraid to go against tradition or the status quo, and do things because "that's what you're supposed to do."

I personally don't value the idea of traditional marriage or dating because I put in the work to recognize my biases, where they conflicted with my goals and desires. I realized it wasn't for me and that I would have to look

outside the box to get what I wanted.

I have seen SO MANY relationships collapse spectacularly under the pressure of outside social enforcement and a lack of introspection. People getting married because "it's time" or because "it's expected," with almost zero discussion beforehand about how both parties see marriage, what roles they expect to play, what compromises will need to be made.

Everyone on Earth has a mental image of what a "wife" or a "husband" is. Your partner can't take on that role unless you communicate clearly, and you're willing to meet their expectations as well.

Short version- go to therapy and fix your shit before you hitch your wagon to someone else.

chadalwayswins098765 • 28 points • 17 February, 2020 08:06 AM

No, it's easy to go against tradition and the status quo *when it benefits you*.

The problem is that women want to go against tradition when it benefits them, and don't when it doesn't.

Luke-the-camera-guy • 12 points • 17 February, 2020 11:01 AM

But the op clearly showed that married men were unable to go against the status quo when it benefited them or made them feel better either.

the men I spoke with held persistent double standards. They expected women to walk a fine line between enough and too much sexual experience. They admitted to running into conflicts with "strong-willed" women. Men also wanted to be taller, stronger, and more masculine than their partners.

These men, who already in relationships, want to be seen as the traditional male figures of the household while preaching about how women don't actually want an egalitarian relationship. They're just as complicated as women who can't stick to their moral beliefs about gender equality.

chadalwayswins098765 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 07:49 PM

Men want to be taller, stronger, and more masculine than their partner *because they know if they aren't, they will be alone*. For most men, women set the rules of the dating game.

goldmedalflower • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 10:01 PM

Men also wanted to be taller, stronger, and more masculine than their partners

And women don't **demand** this overwhelmingly? This is her example of a *male* double standard?!?!?

YveisGrey • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 01:09 AM\*

Idk men probably want their wife bringing home a paycheck too but wont help with the house chores. Even in marriages where the wife is making slightly more she is doing more childcare and housework on average than her husband. This is why I am okay with more traditional division of labor I figure its hard to be a jack of all trades and split all the work even. I think it's less stressful if the husband knows his role and the wife hers. I'm probably always going to be working outside the home to some capacity but I am not making that my life's focus if (or when) I marry and I would let me husband know beforehand. I'm a Christian so it shouldn't really be an issue considering I marry someone traditional.

goldmedalflower • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:28 AM

Idk men probably want their wife bringing home a paycheck too but wont help with the

house chores.

Even in marriages where the wife is making slightly more she is doing more childcare and housework on average than her husband.

To whatever extent this may be true, doesn't the wife have a great deal of responsibility for creating and enabling her own situation? She picked the guy, right? She chose to date him, live with him, marry him, move in with him, to stay with him, etc, etc.

She knew exactly what his level of standards are for housework from day one.

YveisGrey • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:57 AM\*

Omg the tired “choose better” argument um how about be better? I love how when a woman is the “bad guy” in a relationship no one blames the man telling him he should have “chose better”, that he should’ve married the unattractive older woman who would have been grateful for him instead of the hot young woman that took advantage of him (cough cough Johnny Depp). It’s just a way to deflect and absolve the man, and here’s the thing if a woman demands to only date men making more than herself after realizing that factoid she is a “gold digger”. If she dates a guy he dotes on her while she makes the money she is emasculating him and he is a SIMP. Women are shamed for “choosing wrong” and at the same time shamed for having standards. We really cannot win. My point was men can really shut up about proposing since they know damn well they won’t be the main ones changing diapers and cleaning the toilet.

goldmedalflower • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 02:24 AM

None of what you wrote changes a thing. If I date a chain smoker who leaves cigarette butts all over the house and choose to later marry this person knowing full well what I'm getting into then, sorry, no sympathy.

PS: I don't buy the, "I had no idea he was like this in the 7 years we dated" argument either

YveisGrey • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 04:20 AM

That’s not even a fair comparison since smoking is addictive and bad for the smoker mostly. Also that doesn’t show that smoking is good. Smokers can and do quit said smoker should be willing to quit to save their marriage (and their lungs). And none of what you said addressed the fact that women get shamed for having standards like in this very article. Of course we want men paying for dates (investing in us and pursuing us) and making more money because we know they won’t be doing “half of the childcare” and that we won’t be getting paid maternity leave. I’ll be damned if I’m rushing to get back to work after 6 weeks because I married a man who needed me to go half on everything. □□□

deeman010 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:03 PM

This is one thing that, I feel, a lot of RP peeps miss over here. How can you just end up believing that 1/2 of humanity is incompetent? I know the material and it is much more likely that we are all incompetent especially when we act in groups.

I don’t understand how these people refuse to look inwards towards themselves. Rather than being able to reflect they choose to blame others and refuse to move on from anger. Even RP says to move

on and do something.

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:13 AM

All of humanity is incompetent. The difference is women are allowed to be incompetent.

decoy88 • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 09:21 AM

That's very human. People rarely have the urge to call out the inequality that directly benefits them.

chadalwayswins098765 • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 09:22 AM

You're right. We should call them out for their hypocrisy, though.

jayval90 • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 03:58 PM

Or we could just live with the perceived inequality and recognize that we're not so incredibly smart as to come up with a system of egalitarianism that works better than what has been arrived at after countless thousands of years of social evolution? Maybe we're different because we mutually benefit from the differences in ways that don't make nice #equality slogans...

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 04:43 PM

Damn my boy with the factuals

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:48 PM

Sure, but on the other side that means women will have to accept inequality in other places, too, which they will not. So, we should continue to call them out for being hypocrites.

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:40 PM

Not if we our hypocrites ourselves, we need to think retrospectively. Then call shit out, it hypocrites calling out hypocrites doesnt do much.

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:49 PM

You should call out hypocrisy in general, and in general, women are much worse about it than men.

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:00 PM

Idk how you can say that, from this article it seems about even. I do think that with the feminist movement women can be more vocal about it. But men also are hypocritical as well. You cant want equal relationships but also want to be the leader all the time. Nor do no household chores.

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:16 PM

Not really. Men *have* to want to be certain things (taller, more dominant, etc.) because that is what women demand, and for most men women make the rules of the dating game.

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:46 PM

So you dont enjoy being the leader in the relationship you do all of it because women tell you?

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:19 PM

Whether or not someone enjoys it is irrelevant. That men have no choice in it unless he is Chad is the relevant factor.

defiant-beginning • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:10 AM

For most women, men make the rules of the dating game

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:37 PM

Also men too man. Like for real we could clean up abit more, I've lived in male only houses it can get dirty. I really do agree with going against status quo when it benefits you for sure.

I\_sort\_by\_new\_fam • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 08:53 AM

Spot on

Psych0\_W0lf • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 05:41 AM

I just found Tim Pool video of this article right after I found this on reddit

<https://youtu.be/xKbgNN3ZnbQ>

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:43 PM

He probably left the shit about men to he is the hypocrite that they talk about in the article. Does he call out men as well?

Psych0\_W0lf • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:47 AM

The way I see it & kinda agree with his point of view..... " A woman need a man as much as a fish ☐ needs a bicycle ☐ "..... Then why will these feminists settle for a traditional man ?!

New video on a article <https://youtu.be/FfeBT0uuIrw>

Women are more likely to get a divorce after getting a promotion

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:49 PM

Lmao im an avid tim pool listener and even i can feel my inner feminist lesbian screeching with rage whenever he starts venting his thoughts on dating

He's got the exact personality of the typical anti feminist LVM mgtow whose posts are maximally triggering to women, because he has the audacity to judge women as unworthy mates WHILE being a single bald manlet. Its just too much of a script-flip.

Psych0\_W0lf • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:39 AM

" A woman need a man as much as a fish ☐ needs a bicycle ☐ "..... Then why will these feminists settle for a traditional man ?!

Here is the new one <https://youtu.be/FfeBT0uuIrw> why am I not surprised ☐

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:40 AM

Pim Tool tellin it like it is. <3

Psych0\_W0lf • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:49 AM



These articles are kinda funny.

If women are more likely to get a divorce after getting a promotion why the hell a man will go for a suicide dive.

HumanSockPuppet • 13 points • 17 February, 2020 05:15 PM

Women don't want to be equals.

They want to feel dignified and respected the way men are, but they always buck and cry whenever they are subjected to real equal treatment.

Playfully belittle your woman and make her feel protected and loved by being in charge. That's what makes women happiest.

AnotherWildling • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 08:33 PM

No. I don't know anyone who would be happy being treated like less than an equal. We're not children you know...

i\_cri\_evry\_tim • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 11:13 PM

No. I don't know anyone who would be happy being treated like less than an equal.

You must know very few women.

Pretty much anyone who tells you "chivalry is nice" is telling you they don't want to be treated as equals.

TheColdestHand • 27 points • 17 February, 2020 08:29 AM

It's very simple: women don't want equality. They want all the benefits of the past, while being in the present. For the young men reading this: I've never 'paid for the first date', I've always paid my 50%, and they've paid theirs - just like my current gf.

If a women expects you to pay for the first date, this is what's essentially happening: "Pay me for the audition, if it goes well, pay for another, if it goes badly, you have to recoup all the losses".

Let me say it again in a different way: If you were attracted to someone, would you say to them, 'pay for the first date or there is no date'? USE YOUR BRAIN. STOP DELUDING YOURSELF. STOP SIMPING.

joesmithasdf • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 09:49 AM

Always have cheap first dates, and be quick and decisive: try to get out as quick as you can, if she fumbles around waiting for you to pay for her coffee. The counterintuitive right action is to pay and avoid her unless she changes that tune. A few bucks is a cheap price to pay to learn about someone, and dodge a bullet. No equal relationship will come out of unequal early dates.

One exception is casual sex on the first date from apps. If you learn how to do it, then buying some beers, having sex, ghosting each other, is a pretty good deal imo.

i\_cri\_evry\_tim • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:11 PM

Always have cheap first dates, and be quick and decisive. A few bucks is a cheap price to pay to learn about someone

This is pretty much everything a dude needs to know about the financials of first dates.



[deleted] 17 February, 2020 07:21 PM

[deleted]

Ireallyreallydontgaf • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 08:37 PM

Most people are selfish

diffdedbed • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 08:03 PM

I disagree on not paying. Paying is dominance and is a long known psychological trick to get people to give in return. Most people feel a sort of obligation if they get something free. Its why car dealers will have snacks and drinks available.

Pay like you aren't even thinking about money.

How they react to you paying tells you something too. I'd never LTR a gold digger. If she doesn't say something like "I'll get the next one" or "thanks" (or put out), then file that away to look for more gold digging behavior.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:25 AM\*

That's an overly cynical read of the situation.

A more fair read is that women who consent to date you, are trying to stay in the mindset of being wet and possibly wanting to have sex with you.

Being gender-egalitarian isn't panty moistening, even though it might satiate their cerebral, left brain desire to fulfill certain feminist objectives.

Women have a primal side, and that primal side is a bit more submissive and wants you to take the lead. In a way, its honoring you if she lets you do that. She's exposing the more vulnerable, nonsensical, animalistic, sexual side to you. Well worth the price of a few burritos or whatever.

I doubt **most** women are actively thinking "how much money can I use this guy for", although some undoubtedly are. It's more about creating a dynamic that is sexy, and that tends to involve the man being responsible, protective, leading, taking the risk/initiative, etc. It's not just about paying for things, although that's part of it. Paying for a meal is more about the gesture than the money.

Of course this flies in the face of feminism, but that's just life. Would you rather these women be hypocrits and wet for you, or perfectly ideologically consistent and have a miserable dry not-in-the-mood pussy that isn't happy with how her left brain is running things.

churnthrowaway123456 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:00 PM

If you're tripping over the cost of 2-4 drinks (i.e paying the entire bill on a first date), you need to reassess your priorities. Or at least quit bitching about how rough dating is.

throughcracker • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 01:48 PM

My view is that whoever invites somebody to an event should pay for it. This goes for friends, dates, family, whoever - if I make the invitation, it's my event, so I expect to pay. If a girlfriend invites me somewhere, she pays. Easy-peasy and it seems like FDS agrees with me.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 05:43 PM

[deleted]

goldmedalflower • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 06:01 PM

It's like stating, "Whoever has the most testosterone should pay". So egalitarian of them!

ZodiacBrave98 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:23 PM

How far does this idea take you? If you want to see a play and invite friends, do you pay for everyone's tickets, because it was your idea?

throughcracker • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:31 PM

Uh, yes.

TheColdestHand • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 03:39 PM

What a nonsensical attitude. I'm glad I don't know anyone like you in real life.

throughcracker • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:42 PM

Generosity is nonsensical now?

raginghamster • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:01 PM

Literally no one assumes generosity. If I invite 5 people to go to a movie theater no one expects me to pay for all the tickets. I mean... I could. But it isn't expected.

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:07 PM

Sure, I don't go into an event others have invited me to expecting that, but when I make the invitations I always pay.

raginghamster • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:53 PM

So you admit that you are the outlier and your behavior isn't representative of the general population?

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:54 PM

I think a lot of people think like me, but I also know a lot of people don't, so I'm prepared for both eventualities.

churnthrowaway123456 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:00 PM

I.e. men always pay because men extend the invitation the vast majority of the time.

Jaktenba • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:53 PM

Yeah because we all know there's no societal expectation on who should do the asking and it's totally a 50/50 split

dicklord\_airplane • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 05:47 PM

Yikes. FDS is a containment sub. You understand that, right?

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:51 PM

They're right about a lot of things

dicklord\_airplane • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:55 PM

Take a close look at the power users and "coaches". They are single old bitter women who hate men, and they spend all their time screaming into the void of the internet about their hatred of

men. I really hope that you don't end up like them. Get out before its too late.

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:56 PM

...I'm a man, how could I possibly end up a bitter old woman?

dicklord\_airplane • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:58 PM

Get out before you become a self-hating man, then.

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:02 PM

Have you actually spent any time looking at FDS? 90% of it is just "Hey, women, don't settle for people you don't like/who disrespect you", which is excellent advice.

dicklord\_airplane • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:11 PM\*

Sure, but that doesn't excuse all the virulent and obsessive anti-male bigotry amongst their user's commentary. Be careful that the bigotry doesn't rub off on you.

You can be against male assholes, but you do not have to buy into wholesale hatred against a gender. I tend to agree with all those stories about their asshole ex boyfriends. Those stories are appalling. But i am not going to accept hate speech and bigotry just because some people had asshole exes in the past.

My female exes literally beat me! Punched me across the face and kicked me in the balls. But i never decided hate the entire opposite sex because of it.

YveisGrey • 0 points • 18 February, 2020 01:36 AM

Paying for dates is now simping lol thats a new one. Do men help women push babies out or breastfeed? No. The least they can do is be chivalrous. "Equality" in this case meaning "sameness" does not exist between men and women so there's no point in trying. I have zero desire to be "equal" to my husband if I wanted my equality I would marry a woman.

goldmedalflower • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 02:37 AM

Why the enormous leap from those first few dates to childbirth and breastfeeding? A 23 y/o guy has to pay for everything because you might have a kid at some point later in your life?

YveisGrey • 0 points • 18 February, 2020 04:15 AM

Yep! A man who wants to be in a relationship with me, who wants to have sex with me should absolutely be chasing me and paying for dates.

FacehuggersForALL • 13 points • 17 February, 2020 04:33 AM

I can only offer anecdotes here.

I have asked out the majority of men I've gone on dates with. I, typically, broach the topic of exclusivity. I'll very often pay for the date or go 50/50.

I feel very equal with my partner/fiancé. We split the house work - taking turns in different areas. If he does laundry, I'll meal prep for 2 weeks and do the dishes. We operate as a team with both team members being able to role switch when needed.

This has proved to be helpful in our hobbies. We ice climb, backcountry ski, and rock climb together. In those

activities, we must be equals for the sake of safety.

I don't know if I've done something particularly different in my dating life and relationship or with men in general, but I feel like an equal 95% of the time. I don't play games with people and as a result, I feel pretty respected.

But also, I see that women who play games exist and it just confuses me. The other day I overheard two women talking about Valentines Day and the conversation went something to the effect of: W1: "Well I told him not to get me anything for Valentines Day" W2: "of course that means he should get you something" W1: "well duh, if he doesn't it's proof he doesn't care"

So yeah, I don't know if women just don't realize or if people overall just want to play stupid games but I don't get it

joesmithasdf • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 09:59 AM

Betting on people being stupid is like the house always winning. The world is what it is.

Tomatoccino • 26 points • 17 February, 2020 02:39 AM

I think dating rituals are a bit like job interview rituals. No one expects you to be immaculately presented and word perfect on every day of your working life, but it's certainly expected in that interview.

No one expects a man to pay for everything, but he's generally expected to pay for the first date.

No one expects women to be permanently set to male ego massage setting, but a man paying for a first date expects that.

[deleted] • 17 points • 17 February, 2020 03:23 AM

You've been having some weird first dates. First date is generally man listening to woman, making jokes, display basic social competence and interest. Not her massaging his ego

Almondxxx • 16 points • 17 February, 2020 03:47 AM

All my first dates has been men speaking mostly about themselves. However, I don't talk that much about myself, so I prefer it lol

[deleted] • 21 points • 17 February, 2020 04:00 AM

I like to stare stone-faced at my date without saying anything to assert dominance

Rybka30 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 05:53 AM

User flair checks out

intersIn • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:52 AM

Lol. Does it work.

[deleted] • 12 points • 17 February, 2020 06:37 AM

Yes. Well at least in terms of asserting dominance.

In terms of facilitating sexual congress with an ovulating member of the feminine race, not so much.

cxj • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:48 PM

yes

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 06:50 PM

That image macro gets better every time.

i\_cri\_evry\_tim • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:15 PM

I like to stare stone-faced at my date without saying anything to assert dominance

Same. If they say they are going to the toilet I swiftly pee on their ankles before they have time to get up. To mark the territory.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 11:19 PM

If you arent marching into dates with a topped off bladder and unbuttoned fly then you just arent doing it right

i\_cri\_evry\_tim • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:22 PM

This guy knows his logistics

Almondxxx • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 04:12 AM

Yikes

ohheyhi99 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:56 AM

Yeah that struck me as weird too. I think the need for a massaged ego is present in both sexes and often influenced by personality type.

Tomatoccino • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:03 AM

I'm old. YMMV as a young man, but my children tell me that not much has changed.

decoy88 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:23 AM

Depends who likes who more and is willing to sacrifice to impress.

goldmedalflower • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 12:11 PM

No one expects a man to pay for everything

What do you think of this female dating strategy:

1. Woman makes no effort of any kind.
2. High value man comes along and showers the woman with gifts, meals and attention. Plans and pays for everything all the time, not just the first date. Christmas, Birthdays, Valentine's Day, etc. Everything must be 100% to 0% one sided of the man giving and the woman receiving.
3. Woman continues to make no effort of any kind, only now she withholds sex too.
4. This causes 'high value man' to become even more interested in her with even more gifts, prizes and attention because, you know, just because.
5. Eventually, after months and months, the woman finally decides it's time for her to contribute to the relationship by agreeing to have sex with him. That's it, though. Her generous contributions are now complete.

High value men would love this type of relationship, right?

Seems like a great 'strategy', right?

Cissnowflake • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 02:02 PM

You've described the "equality" of women who claim to be feminists but who still want chivalry.

TheOGJammies • -4 points • 17 February, 2020 02:26 PM

Women are higher risk dealing with men than the reverse and do vastly more childrearing and household labor, therefore, you pick up the slack by contributing more.

goldmedalflower • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 02:42 PM

It's not 'contributing more' it's contributing 100% vs 0%, right? And, ideally, that 0% includes withholding sex for 3 months ideally?

TheOGJammies • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:44 PM

I do 100% of carrying babies, and will probably do 80% of raising them so yeah, you need to show me you're not useless upfront.

i\_cri\_evry\_tim • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 11:19 PM

I do 100% of carrying babies

so yeah, you need to show me you're not useless upfront.

Free Womanese-English translation of today:

*I have vagina ergo I have inherent value*

OddButton6 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:59 PM

Some females aren't comfortable with number 2. I know I'm not. I told my guy that I didn't want to do anything for V-day because it's a cringe holiday. We stayed in and ordered postmates.

estrojennnn • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 12:07 AM

Who paid?

Tomatoccino • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:42 PM

I think it sounds like a strawman.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:31 AM

Yeah, and I can't stand rituals. That's why I avoid weddings and if I could without being called an asshole, I would avoid even funerals.

intersIn • 15 points • 17 February, 2020 03:33 AM

If someone is keeping a "score card" in their marriage they aren't going to have a successful Marriage and If they expect marriage to be an extension of dating, they are going to be in for one hell of a surprise.

TheOGJammies • 9 points • 17 February, 2020 02:25 PM

People who say this are almost always the slacking ass partner. In friendships, marriages, group projects,

without fail the “don’t be a scorekeeper” person is a lazy piece of shit.

OddButton6 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 05:02 PM

God I wish I could upvote this. My ex always said "don't keep score." He was the laziest, most delusional, drunk, abusive (BPD) man I have ever been around.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 05:37 PM

[deleted]

OddButton6 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:27 PM

I was deeply in love with him back in college (he was hard working and had his shit together) and when we got back together he had these plans that seemed great on paper. After a few months shit started to go downhill. I talked with him about it and he started to make some changes, but then he got worse. One thing about BPDs is that they are master manipulators. They are charming, great at sex, and the honeymoon period is very high.

Teflon08191 • 13 points • 17 February, 2020 04:33 AM

If they expect marriage to be an extension of dating, they are going to be in for one hell of a surprise.

Isn't this the leading reason women become dissatisfied with marriage? Because their husband stops treating every single day like it's a trial to prove his worthiness to her?

intersIn • 9 points • 17 February, 2020 04:49 AM

No. every woman I have ever known who was in a boring/deadbedroom was because their SO made them feel like their mom or needed to be the center of her attention. The latter comes off as clingy and the former is not a sexy feeling. The difference is men will and can sext clingy. It doesn't work that way for women.

When the above isn't the case it's because they thought marriage should "just happen" marriage isn't for the lazy and naive. If you are willing to do the work there are so many advantages. Marriage isn't for everyone.

parahacker • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 12:54 PM

Women can sext clingy just fine, if *they* are the clingy ones.

I'd chalk that one up to human nature, not gender specific. No one like to be sold goods, even if the goods are worth having the process of being 'sold' on them is uncomfortable. Does that make sense?

intersIn • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:52 PM

I somewhat agree.

In my mind no one likes clingy, it comes off as desperate, it's unattractive and sexually unappealing. However, I know a lot of guys who will sleep with desperate or air headed women. My guy friends will say something like "girl was stupid as hell, but hot, so I fucked her." A woman will see an attractive man and flirt with him, but if he comes off as desperate or stupid we lose our attraction to him. Given that men have a "spontaneous" sex drive vs a "reactive" one - I understand how this is possible.

In terms of marriage/LTR, I know a few men who still sleep with their SO even though she is clingy as hell. They bitch about how clingy she is, but they don't have a deadbedroom. I don't see



the inverse nearly as often.

I don't think this applies to AMALT nor AWALT. It's just something that I have experienced in my day to day life.

imnotfeelingsogood69 • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 11:28 AM

If someone is keeping a "score card" in their marriage

Women are the ones doing that with their insistence of splitting chores 50/50.

If they expect marriage to be an extension of dating

No one thinks they should be the same. We are merely criticizing women for being logically inconsistent and only wanting traditional gender roles when it benefits them.

TheOGJammies • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 02:59 PM

You all don't have the earning power to demand traditional roles that benefit you. If you want a traditional wife, you have to foot 100% of the bill. If you don't, then STFU.

chadalwayswins098765 • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:15 AM

You should always keep score with everyone you encounter, and never forget when someone owes you.

[deleted] • 17 points • 17 February, 2020 03:07 AM

I dated this one gal.. she said she was a feminist but dates traditionally.

She wanted to have all the privileges of traditional dating. Me pay for everything. So all the work . But play stupid feminist games.

Also. Every man on the planet would be flattered if a gal asked him out, planned the date, a paid for it. I would not feel emasculated at all.

I have not had a woman in the last 30 years, not once initiate sex. They would nag if I didn't initiate, but they never would.

Why are these common to your work? Because women are cheap and fear rejection.

Is that enough for a MS degree?

HollowLegMonk • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 08:45 AM

Women initiate sex all the time. It just depends on the situation and the person.

decoy88 • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 09:25 AM

Also. Every man on the planet would be flattered if a gal asked him out, planned the date, a paid for it. I would not feel emasculated at all.

I dunno. I've spoken to a number of dudes that would that that. But they were the type that were always overly preoccupied with their 'masculinity'

[deleted] • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 03:20 AM

Is that enough for a MS degree?

Apparently it's enough for a PhD and an assistant professorship at a state university! Seems like a waste of

money all around

ripdontcare • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 01:39 PM

I'm a feminist and I'm 61, and so far, men in my age group haven't been receptive to my paying for dates or my share, even after the first date. I paid for our drinks on a drink date, and the guy was shocked and handed me cash, he did not want me paying for anything! I have paid for inexpensive dinner dates with men in their 50s, even then, they seemed embarrassed that I was paying... I'm not made of money, but I'm not broke either.

I am assertive (not aggressive) about asking men out, planning with them what we do, and where we go. Some men do not like being asked out. But more have been receptive to us planning the date and location together, or me doing the planning.

As for sex, I am shy at first, but after we're in a relationship, I initiate often. I love sex, lol.

But I'm not looking for marriage, I dislike marriage intensely. I'm looking for a committed relationship.

FYI: At various times I've made more money than my male partners. Some actively hated it, after saying they were all for egalitarian relationships. Others were totally on board on working for mutual and individual goals, regardless of who was making the money.

Not all women (or men) or feminists are alike.

Purebredasianbro • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 02:45 AM

You're 61... That would explain the behaviour of the men you're hanging out with

ripdontcare • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 02:49 AM

I'm dating guys in their 50s, many of the guys in their 60s are very traditional and conservative where I live, while I am not.

Purebredasianbro • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 03:05 AM

They were brought up by parents born in the 40s and 50s so many of them still hold onto the traditional values like you identified

Almondxxx • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 04:01 AM

On the initiating sex thing, some people are *responders* to sex. It's just a preference. I understand it could be annoying to have to always do that though.

Personally, I am a woman and not a good initiator lol. On occasion I do & I TRY to but it does not feel like my place at all. It might just be shyness.

[deleted] • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 04:12 AM

It wasn't shyness. She was the man is supposed to be in charge type. When I didn't as in too tired she would nag and bitch. Well that isn't going to put me in the mood.

I felt unwanted. Like she was just doing her duty. I moved on.

Almondxxx • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:20 AM

Oh really? That sucks. Sorry. hard pass

chadalwayswins098765 • 0 points • 18 February, 2020 05:15 AM

Not really. It's funny how all these women who have "responsive" sexuality all of a sudden act like dogs

in heat because a tall guy with a defined jawline entered their field of view.

FimTown • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 01:15 PM

Also. Every man on the planet would be flattered if a gal asked him out, planned the date, a paid for it. I would not feel emasculated at all.

This is dead wrong. As someone who has had this happen multiple times, it immediately makes you value them less. It's involuntary but accurate.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 01:18 PM

Well I'd like the opportunity to find out

throughcracker • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 01:49 PM

Some people don't like it, some people do.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:10 AM

I get the sense you don't live in the west... maybe eastern europe?

There are certain cultures where women initiating sex is a nono.

Eastuss • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 09:29 AM

My equals never wanted me.

resolutions316 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 11:32 AM

I don't agree with the thrust of this article, but hey - congrats on getting published in the Atlantic! That's awesome.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:13 AM

lmao

sublimemongrel • 9 points • 17 February, 2020 02:46 AM

Each gender has expectations during dating the opposite gender and I don't think that alone is some big huge anti equality signal. Both genders expect effort although it may look different in form. Men may be expected to court more, some of this is clearly social but some of it is likely due also to how men and women are different biologically.

rus9384 • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 09:43 AM

Then it is not equality.

sublimemongrel • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 12:12 PM

I don't subscribe to the idea "equality" means "has to act and treat each other identically". But go ahead you shave half your body and spend an hour doing makeup and hair if you're so keen on the idea "equality" must mean "identical effort"

rus9384 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 12:13 PM

Me neither, but at least both parties should make about the same amount of effort for it to be considered equality.

sublimemongrel • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 12:24 PM

Well I think men tend to invest more in the initial courtship process. I don't think this is some awful terrible unfair thing but I suppose a man who does feel that way is free to pursue women who act more "equally" in that regard

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:31 PM

Now a genuine question: do you think men invest into the courtship process more because they are wired for it, or because women require it?

There are men who don't need equality and they are fine to date trad women, but the women in the topic are the ones who want to eat the cake and have it too.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:37 PM

Probably both but I don't subscribe to the idea that adhering to some level of gender norms (which we would be lying if we said we didn't do) makes you some big ol hypocrite just because you're progressive politically

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:39 PM

I mean, you want to be free, but don't want men to be free. It is overly selfish.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:40 PM

That's a silly uncharitable take

dlguitar64 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 01:48 PM

Sorry, but he has you nailed.

Bntt89 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 07:50 PM

Can you explain how? Removing gender roles only for yourself and keeping them for one gender because it benefits you is selfish. Its like men not letting women work because it's easier for women to depend on them.

rus9384 • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 01:41 PM

Look, if you think it is fine to exploit men, do it. I am not here to shame you.

El\_Serpiente\_Roja • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 11:09 AM

Yes but you are missing the point that the implication of the rituals and expectations in the dating scene are opposed to their so-called feminist internal philosophies and that they are really just lazy hypocrites that want the benefit of "equality" in the professional world but not re-evaluation of expectations in the dating/mating world.

sublimemongrel • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 12:20 PM

I do not believe that wanting "equal" opportunities in the professional world means you must also pursue men the way men pursue women lest you're a "lazy hypocrite".

Jaktenba • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:47 PM

Sure, but you have no argument for equality in the professional world if you don't want it elsewhere

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:49 PM

I disagree, I do not think arguments for equality under the law apply to interpersonal relationships the same way. Nor do I agree that it's necessarily unequal just because the genders have different expectations of each other. It can be at times sure but it isn't a given

chadalwayswins098765 • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 05:16 AM

Equality under the law does not mean equal opportunities in the workplace.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:18 AM

It does wrt discrimination law

chadalwayswins098765 • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 05:22 AM

No, equality under the law means that the law applies to you as well as me and you get the same punishments as I do. Of course, this doesn't happen as women get slapped on the wrist for things that get men life sentences.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:26 AM

Ok but I am referring to the equal protection clauses and the legislation and whole body of case law interpreting them. Which protect against illegal discrimination even amongst private employers of a certain size.

chadalwayswins098765 • 2 points • 18 February, 2020 05:35 AM

That's not equality under the law. That's just a law, and an unjust one at that.

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:47 PM

But then you cant expect equality. The idea that you want to be a equal in marriage, yet expect men to pretty much carry the relationship is hypocritical.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:55 PM

I don't think women who nevertheless like it when men pursue them in more traditional ways want some sort of marriage where everyone's role is identical in nature nor do I think courtship necessarily means "carrying the relationship"

Bntt89 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:04 PM

Yes if the women is traditional, but if they are progressive they are essentially just saying things without believing them. I understand owning that idea but these people arent.

How does it not if the guy is doing everything to get the women. Their should be an equal amount of courtship.

sublimemongrel • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:08 PM

Yeah I don't agree with that. Progressives believe people can make choices that they believe are best for themselves, which includes having more traditional family roles if they so choose. I see nothing hypocritical about this. It's about choice not demanding everyone else make the same choices you make for yourself

Even if men put more into courting that does not mean they do "everything" and she does nothing and it does not negate that there may be more disproportionate effort down the road in other

aspects of the relationship. But if one demands the effort be identical in nature they are free to pursue people who believe the same.

Gerealtor • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 12:47 PM

I want a relationship/marriage as equal *human beings*, but that doesn't mean I want to completely erase gender. There's a reason, beyond sex and sexual organs, that as a heterosexual woman, I won't be dating other women. Whether he pays or I pay doesn't really matter, but I wouldn't want to date a man who treats me like a "bro" in any way. I think it's more the element of feeling like a woman in his company, just as you want him to feel like a man in yours, that's important. If he offers to pay on the first date, even if you don't agree, it just gives you a little sense of him being aware that he's a man and you're a woman. It doesn't have to be about money, it could be the fact that he puts his arms around your shoulders if you're cold, or that he goes down to see what's going on if you hear a noise in the house and you're scared. These aren't things you ask for or always consciously think about, but all I know is that if we're planning to be in a romantic relationship, I'd like to feel like we're man and woman - not just person and person. It's a turn on.

xanacop • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 02:44 PM

Most of the things you list are nothing more than gender stereotypes or cultural traditions and nothing innate or biological. And that's the problem.

Like a man putting his arm around a woman is not something men do because of biological reasons but because of societal expectations, like how it used to be societal expectation that women be given off for marriage for financial reasons by their family.

Societal and cultural expectations change.

jayval90 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:02 PM

Societal and cultural expectations do change, but they can't change to whatever you want. They often have a basis in biological or societal realities, and just screeching "but that's not equal!" doesn't improve anything for anybody.

There may in fact be different societal and cultural expectations that kind of "work." However, that doesn't mean that *your* idea of what they should be is one of those.

Fichek • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:20 PM

Nothing wakes up a woman inside you like washing dishes for him or doing his laundry or cleaning his house!

CultistHeadpiece[S] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:46 PM

Nothing wakes up a woman inside you like fixing the blown head gasket in our car, or shooting the dog that needs to be put down.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:36 PM

"It doesn't have to be about the money, but yes, I need you to pay again so I feel like a woman."

[deleted] • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 03:25 AM

I think women would ideally like to be equal to men in... if not all, most respects.

They just don't have the actual capacity for it sometimes... and in no area is this more clear than the realm of dating and being forward, taking the social risk/responsibility of escalating the relationship.

chadalwayswins098765 • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 08:09 AM

Actually women are often escalating and being forward. They just only do it for Chad.

NeedingAdvice86 • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 01:20 PM

That isn't the real world but thanks for the reddit pretend world of "Chad".

You really should get out in the real world where 90% of women are more insecure and afraid of being used/hurt by your so-called "Chad" than even the most weak-minded reddit nerd is of talking to a girl at all.

I am always amused by the musings of these cave dwellers who see ALL women as these otherworld behemoths of social dominance...they so obviously have zero interaction with real life girls who are just as terrified and more so than even their lame asses sitting in their mom's basement afraid of little girls hurting their feelz.

Jaktenba • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:20 PM

Meteor hits Earth, women most effected.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 12:02 PM

Why would they escalate with someone undesirable if that's the definition of Chad?

TheOGJammies • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 02:27 PM

Women are higher risk dealing with men than the reverse and do vastly more childrearing and household labor, therefore, you pick up the slack by contributing more. Women have literally no use for men, whereas you need us for damn near everything.

[deleted] • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 03:14 PM

If marriage is a raw deal for women why do they keep doing it? Durr. Maybe the bitter anti male screeds of certain redditers isnt really representative of women. Maybe "i have literally no use for you, you need me for everything" is a retard tier approach to a lifelong romantic bond.

What do you think would happen if you had a husband and he saw that post? Unless he was the worlds biggest self hating cuck, he'd have some words for you, and like most people who talk a big game online i bet you'd be apologizing and explaining you were just fooling around on the internet or some shit and end up giving a makeup blowjob that very night.

TheOGJammies • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:46 PM

If marriage is a raw deal for women why do they keep doing it?

Patriarchal indoctrination, we talked about this.

Maybe the bitter anti male screeds of certain redditers isnt really representative of women. Maybe "i have literally no use for you, you need me for everything" is a retard tier approach to a lifelong romantic bond.

Who cares? You only suddenly care about "romantic bond" when it benefits you. women initiate most divorces, and that should tell you everything about the value of most men.

What do you think would happen if you had a husband and he saw that post? Unless he was the worlds biggest self hating cuck, he'd have some words for you, and like most people who talk a big game online i bet you'd be apologizing and explaining you were just fooling around on the



internet or some shit and end up giving a makeup blowjob that very night.

Lots of projection here and vivid imagination. You must like writing fan fiction.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 03:56 PM

Ahh the old patriarchal indoctrination excuse. Otherwise known as “and my gender was dumb enough to believe it, and weak enough to succumb to it.” If the patriarchy dominated you, then you deserve every ounce of it. Only sheep go willingly to the slaughter.

Marriage rates are going up in general, and divorce going down, so for every bitter Becky whose marriage was a clusterfuck, there are two of her sisters simply outperforming her. Besides, the financial and legal incentives to divorce skew female, they make out like bandits in divorce court, so its not a 1:1 comparison.

I dont need to be an author to understand your character. You have so much in common with the “loser” RP men you despise its not even funny, ie, beyond satire. Both of you sit around bitching about the opposite sex all day putting the blame for every social ill on them. Its never your own fault that youre bitter, always some external group. Id spend longer on this but eh, at the end of the day youre just a troll whether you realize it or not, and not even a very entertaining one. You get the point. Your screeching about how men suck is really all about how you suck, and its dead obvious to anyone who has seen the type of the whiney online shit talker who cant accomplish jack shit in the real world.

TheOGJammies • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:12 PM\*

Ahh the old patriarchal indoctrination excuse. Otherwise known as “and my gender was dumb enough to believe it, and weak enough to succumb to it.” If the patriarchy dominated you, then you deserve every ounce of it. Only sheep go willingly to the slaughter.

Or, you know, men are psychotic and depraved and it’s not worth the battle when you have kids to birth and raise who are dependent on you for everything.

Marriage rates are going up in general, and divorce going down, so for every bitter Becky whose marriage was a clusterfuck, there are two of her sisters simply outperforming her. Besides, the financial and legal incentives to divorce skew female, they make out like bandits in divorce court, so its not a 1:1 comparison.

LOL what? Marriage rates have been declining VASTLY worldwide, so you can kill that delusion and lie. Divorce is going down because marriage is going down, mostly because women find you overwhelmingly subpar mates to be bothered with. All most of you ever had going was a paycheck, which you know, which is why you used statewide oppression called patriarchy to force women to be dependent on you.

I dont need to be an author to understand your character. You have so much in common with the “loser” RP men you despise its not even funny, ie, beyond satire. Both of you sit around bitching about the opposite sex all day putting the blame for every social ill on them.

Except I have about 10,000 years of recorded history which details exactly why men are to blame for just about everything.

Its never your own fault that youre bitter, always some external group. Id spend longer on

this but eh, at the end of the day youre just a troll whether you realize it or not, and not even a very entertaining one.

You're also a massive troll who clearly thinks far too much of himself, but go off Breh.

You get the point. Your screeching about how men suck is really all about how you suck, and its dead obvious to anyone who has seen the type of the whiney online shit talker who cant accomplish jack shit in the real world.

Nah men definitely suck, which is why as soon as women get a little economic freedom they ditch marriage and have less kids. Being tied to a man is a lifelong burden and now that we can control our fertility and live comfortable solo much less are willing to do it. This is 100% the case worldwide.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:31 PM

You love men deep down though, you legit write 10 paragraph posts about them on the reg. Thin line between love and hate, which explains why you also show up to my posts like they were catnip

TheOGJammies • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 07:24 PM

No, people keep tagging me love because I run these PPD streets; don't flatter yourself.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:27 PM

I dont need to, you do a fine job of flattering me all on your own

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 07:27 PM

[deleted]

TheOGJammies • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:28 PM

You all think any accurate representation of what you are like sounds hateful.

HollowLegMonk • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 08:38 AM

I've known, met, and dated plenty of women in life who claim to be feminists but they are attracted to misogynistic men.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:13 AM

Fits my perceptions as well. Feminine submission and desire to yield to the masculine must find an outlet *somewhere*, if it can't be her public/social persona than it'll be her private/sexual one

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 11:55 AM

Tbh any dating strategy out there is bs and will only attract crazy coocoos (in TRP case) or a sociopath (FDS). No dating strategy or ideology or whatever works in reality.

As for the whole dating more traditionaly it could because putting in courtship efforts come off as the potential mate being intrested and giving a shit to the point if paying for things (even though it's the norm to split things 50/50 for most people). This interest and willingness to do it despite it being unnecessary is a sign the mate wants a LTR. Its not that difficult to process. Nor is it some grand scheme to scam men

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 02:36 AM

[deleted]

OfSpock • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 11:44 AM

Come over and see mine any time you want.

Aaren\_Augustine • 14 points • 17 February, 2020 03:07 AM

An equal marriage is a women trying to over take the relationship in power plays. It's a miserable affair for everyone involved.

If you are happily married, you aren't interested in power plays. This is why women looking for men to do their fair share of household chores are so miserable.

throughcracker • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 01:50 PM

Or...they're miserable because they're tired of doing everything? I don't think it's a power play to expect your husband to get off the couch and do the laundry.

Aaren\_Augustine • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 06:09 PM

Why have a husband like this? This boggles my mind that women put up with a lazy husband.

throughcracker • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:57 PM

Lazy men are common both in real life and in media - many women grow up thinking that's all there is.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 03:06 AM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:09 AM

Automod please

sadomasochrist • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:11 AM

At the time it didn't even have itself branded as CMV.

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:12 AM

A simple report could have fixed that, instead of whining.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 03:20 AM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:21 AM

Take it to modmail.

RF111164 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:40 PM

The women I interviewed for a research project and book expected men to ask for, plan, and pay for dates; initiate sex; confirm the exclusivity of a relationship; and propose marriage.

“I know it feels counterintuitive ... I’m a feminist,” the first woman said. “But I like to have a guy be

chivalrous.”

The benefits without the costs - just lol  
also

They expected women to walk a fine line between enough and too much sexual experience.

ColomarOlivia • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 04:22 AM

Thats why I don't consider myself a feminist. I don't wanna be equal to my/a man. I'm going to cook, clean, be responsible for most of the home management and I expect him to provide and lead. I would be a hypocrite if I demanded equality and yet searched for a relationship like that. If I wanna get traditional gender role benefits then I should give traditional gender role benefits. The issue is that progressists can't understand that it's totally possible to exist respect in a traditional relationship. Not being equal to a man as in duties and skills doesn't mean I'm not worthy of or not given any respect, that I'm not treated humanely.

CultistHeadpiece[S] • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 04:40 AM

The issue is that progressists can't understand that it's totally possible to exist respect in a traditional relationship.



r/RedPillWomen

I\_sort\_by\_new\_fam • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:56 AM

Yep they would love to discuss more

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 06:05 AM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:14 AM

In Russian there is a saying, "На Хуй сесть и рыбку съесть". It means she wants to sit on a cock while eating delicious smoked fish

Damn I clearly hail from the wrong part of the world. I should've been a Russian, I was just born in America by mistake.

felis\_magnetus • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 05:30 AM

The society I live in has adopted feminist ideas earlier and easier than the US, but when it comes to dating, at least for my generation, there was rarely such a thing as feminism. And from what I get from talking to younger people, that hasn't changed at all.

Younger me was extremely political in all kinds of aspects of life and I routinely rejected women for not being emancipated enough. Yup, seriously. You expect me to pay for dinner? OK. You're not going to pay next time? Fine, you're out. When you're operating in a socio-cultural and professional bracket, where the gender distribution is skewed in favor of men by 2 to 1 easily, you get away with that and it does even make you look better. At least to that specific demographic.

I went further. I applied the same approach to initiating. Did that make me miss out on some pussy? Definitely. But it also got me some extremely intense and uninhibited sexual experiences I never would have made

otherwise.

So, is that the secret then? Hell no. Turned out each and every women I got into something you'd consider a LTR with was batcrap crazy. Yeah, well... common denominators... Oops. I created a filter for, and this is likely going to piss somebody off again, borderline women. Now, if you want some really intense fucks, I'd highly recommend that, but you better be prepared for unending drama and regularly moving away to somewhere the crazy bitch won't find you easily. Obviously, insisting on equality in dating was only one factor at work there, but the result was invariably nothing even close to a stable relationship.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:45 AM

created a filter for borderline women

I wonder why that works out that way

felis\_magnetus • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:25 AM

Part of it were issues of my own - largely addressed now, thank the gods I don't believe in - and another part is how borderline works with intimacy. Nobody can rush into physical and emotional intimacy like a borderline person. And then we get into the not quite so brilliant aspects of it, push-pull dynamics, shifting responsibility of their emotional state on to the partner and so on. I wouldn't recommend the experience, all in all.

SherbertBacon[ ] • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 04:08 AM

Men invest "more" in dating and the dating process because women risk more by dating at all. "Equality" and "sameness" are not interchangeable terms. Things can be equal but come from different investments. Once marriage is on the table, the dynamic changes and what makes for "equal" does as well.

NB: Most studies indicate that women still put more effort and energy into a marriage than their partners, so things aren't equal. And there's a reason why you see instances of women wanting "traditional" dating practices, but then feel cheated when they marry and there isn't a sense of equality.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 05:58 AM

[removed]

SherbertBacon[ ] • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 05:59 AM

Women can do no wrong and men can never work hard enough

Prime grade idiotic mischaracterization. Congrats. Fantastic job debating. You really contributed to the argument there.

Yes, princess, we get it.

I'm a guy, chief.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 06:00 AM

[removed]

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 06:03 AM\*

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:16 AM

Be civil

isweartoofuckingmuch • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:56 AM

Tbf you haven't really contributed to the argument either. You just made some vague statements that say nothing at all

SherbertBacon[ ] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:22 PM

I put my forth position. A debate is where the opposition disagrees, shares theirs, and says why mine may be wrong. Throwing a hissy fit and creating strew men by putting words in my mouth is not even close to a "fair" comparison.

Thetrivler • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 07:24 AM

The problem with letting women choose men through dating apps is:

1. Women are extremely shallow and will mostly go after the top 10% of men.
2. Women have no true awareness of anything outside of what they want and never consider reality- these guys literally have their pick of women and have no reason to put any effort in (paying for dates/planning for dates/etc).
3. Disney, social media, and feminism has hyped up their hypergamous nature ten-fold to where most women would rather only go for men out of their league than a guy who is clearly in her league.
4. By the time ANY reality has time to set in, some thirsty beta will validate them in believing they're worth more than they are (if a woman is stringing you along/making you wait, she's keeping you as her personal "ego-booster" until she can find a man "better" than you. Some men are so desperate they'll completely overlook this clear action then when the woman finally comes around months/ years later, they think they've "finally won" her [ ] [ ] [ ].) The dating market is nothing but a joke nowadays. This is why I literally just pump and dump/ghost women. They think men don't notice their actions. We do. And their actions are disgusting.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:45 AM

Literally nothing you've claimed is true for the vast majority of the population, and you admit to p&d, yet you're entire ramble comes off as being mad at degeneracy. You're part of the problem

Thetrivler • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 12:10 PM\*

Pump and dump is the only logical thing if you have your shit together as a man. Women are completely ruined for anything too far after dumping sperm in them.

The guys who are always in desperation for female attention are always hoping a woman will stay around. The ones with options aren't too worried about any single one. We know they'll be another one down the street.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:41 AM

Okay coomer

lilsaijah • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 02:48 AM

Yeah but I don't want a marriage of equals. I want specialisation.

taapy234 • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 03:15 AM

Insightful article.

This is another reason why I don't bother with women who talk about marriages or "long term" or any of those keywords that supplicate to beta bucks behavior during the first dates or within one year of meeting them.

Women don't even pretend they want to be an equal when they are desperate and hunting for a long term partner. They demand all these unreasonable gendered masculine social expectations that they *claimed* they were against in the past, but think less of you if you don't play your masculine gender role the way they expected you to. And get this, they don't reciprocate this back! They are coddled so much by this gynocentric society that they don't care if they are hypocritical. Fuck that.

joesmithasdf • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:24 AM

You want to see a woman aged 30-40, desperate to settle, go absolutely bananas? Tell her you're seeing younger women. It's a guaranteed kaboom, you can see them here on ppd often.

The weird thing is a bunch of radical conservative men agree with them no age gap shall be allowed.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 05:39 AM

Traditional feminists exist.

Atlas\_B\_Shruggin • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 01:16 PM

Traditional and feminism are contradictory

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 02:59 PM

Not really, a woman can choose to be traditional thanks to feminism. It's not expected of her anymore but she can choose the housewife life.

Atlas\_B\_Shruggin • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:00 PM

nah that smade up internet play feminism

"Tradition" is patriarchy

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:39 PM

Depends on how you view tradition. A woman fulfilling her goals of becoming a housewife and taking care of her family still benefits her. Her husband and her are of equal, but complimentary value.

Atlas\_B\_Shruggin • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:46 PM

Traditional gender roles is patriarchy, sorry

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 01:20 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 02:57 PM

How come?

sadomasochrist • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:12 AM

I don't see what there is to even CMV here?



Men don't want these things and it's their fault?

decoy88 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:19 AM

Why the fuck are you always on the defensive?

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 03:41 AM\*

[deleted]

MakeMoneyNotWar • 31 points • 17 February, 2020 04:16 AM

Wait a second. The women of PPD keep telling me that women put on make up for their own self confidence and for impressing other women, not to impress men. Huh.

intersIn • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 04:30 AM

It's both. Sometimes it's too attract men and sometimes it has nothing to do with men.

Fichek • 15 points • 17 February, 2020 08:45 AM

But when it's about attracting men, they need to pay for that via dinner dates?

The same way man is not owed sex when he pays for dinner, a woman is not owed paid dinner when she puts on makeup.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 04:26 AM\*

[deleted]

MakeMoneyNotWar • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 06:55 PM

Then from a cost perspective, if she goes out on a date 5 days a month, and puts on makeup 30 days a month, the true cost to her is 16.7% of her monthly cost of makeup.

I'll go further. Branded high end fashion house make up is marked up way higher than generics despite probably being marginally better in actual results. The man receives none of the value of that premium.

OTOH, the woman receives the full value of the premium of higher end restaurants the guy pays for.

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:03 PM

Nobody should just exclusively going to high end restaurants as dates though. Eating out even at good restaurants is a calorie bomb.

Also, long term, the better she takes of her skin like using the more expensive spf and moisturizing brands the better she'll look as she ages, and if they are both playing the long term, he does benefit.

I'm not saying the guy has to pay for her every time or he's on the hook for all costs. I'm saying woman put a lot more effort into being attractive then men for a date. Most guys are t-shirt and jeans guys. Not "try on six bras to see what one makes my boobs look the best but also doesn't clash with my panties" level. That's fine. But if he isn't going to show her effort in other areas at least, then it's not really a date of equals is it?

MakeMoneyNotWar • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:21 PM

Jeez, this just sounds like offloading the costs of her entire lifestyle into the date.

Maybe the guy should start counting the cost of college tuition and working long hours since

career success makes him more attractive, and in the long term if she's with him, she also benefits.

Fact of the matter is, if the woman is dressing up to go on a date with a guy who only bothers to show up in t-shirt and jeans, then his clothing attire is not what is attracting her to go on the date in the first place. His attire is not what she's selecting for. She's actually selecting for his height, abs, and good looks, and height and good looks are priceless. How is it then fair for a woman to complain about the guy not putting on nice clothes after the fact?

CatchPhrase • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 07:50 PM

"I exist and therefore should be good enough"

Does not sound like something a high value person would say. It sounds like sexual communism.

MakeMoneyNotWar • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:13 PM\*

Nowhere do I suggest that women should date betas. Women date using whatever criteria they want.

I just think it's hypocritical that women would select based on factors like height, abs, and good looks while at the same time complaining that their selections aren't putting in equal efforts in areas women never demanded in the first place.

Basically I only want to eat cake, but I'm gonna complain about cake not having sufficient vitamins.

The insidious part is the propaganda messaging which tells beta providers that what women *really* care about is how much men should be putting into dating in terms of attire, or generosity, or "emotional investment" or whatever factors that are *nice to have but completely not necessary* for women to want to date them. What's necessary are factors like height, abs, good looks, and in a few cases, status.

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:19 PM

Boy, do you think bambi eyes, nice hair and skin aren't a part of "good looks" some how? I give a fuck less about abs i can't see till i can. I see his face always. That's important.

chadalwayswins098765 • 9 points • 17 February, 2020 08:08 AM

Painting your face for an hour in the morning does not compare with the effort required to have a sexually attractive body as a man, if it's even possible for you to do so.

goldmedalflower • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 01:07 PM

You could also argue makeup is a privilege for women. Being able to cover up bad skin, being able to go from a 5 to an 8 with the right makeup. Men don't have this option.

CatchPhrase • -1 points • 17 February, 2020 04:01 PM

Yes they do? They choose not to. Homie do you think male models Kpop and rockstars aren't using makeup? Hahah

thedrcubed • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:54 AM

That only works cause they are famous and rich. Normal dudes going out and wearing make up would get clowned on by men and women. Just look at how men wearing hairpieces get ridiculed. If a video comes out where a womans wig comes off no one beats an eye. If a dudes wig comes off it goes virile and everyone including myself has a good laugh

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 02:41 AM

they chuckle at woman to, the funny part is the fail, not the fact he owns one, unless its done poorly.

Physiologist21 • 25 points • 17 February, 2020 04:47 AM

Most men would rather you do none of that and instead use that 260 for a few good books so you could carry a god damned conversation.

CatchPhraze • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 05:28 AM

Maybe you should find the book that mentions beauty and culture are not mutually exclusive.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 05:59 AM

[removed]

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 06:14 AM

[removed]

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 06:16 AM

[removed]

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 08:06 AM

[removed]

tritter211 • 11 points • 17 February, 2020 06:17 AM

The day a man slaps on a \$45 primer, \$15 lashes, \$50 nails and \$150 in hair extensions for ME for a date. I'll fucking pony up the \$20 ice tea and salad we ordered in an instant.

Thats not how any of this works.

Are you a child or something?

why the hell do you expect random men pay for your makeup accessories? I don't give a fuck about any of that as long as you look presentable.

The only reason you spend that much is because you are insecure about yourself, and you are trying to artificially raise yourself into someone when you aren't. And also because, the competition from other women is making you to compete.

if anything, blame other women who engage in complex makeup routine and blow women like you away in the dating scene with their superior looks.

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:47 AM

Calls me a child then fails to read properly. Ironic. Nobody said buy those for me, I purposely choose items that increases male attractiveness as well. Like good skin and hair.

The amount of men responding to me insisting I'm unattractive because I mentioned grooming well is funny.

tritter211 • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 06:50 AM

he amount of men responding to me insisting I'm unattractive because I mentioned grooming well is funny.

Its probably because you are asking money like a gold digger lol

Don't beg for money next time from men.

CatchPhraze • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 07:22 AM

Whose asking? You so broke and busted a woman asking you to spend money on grooming yourself got you mad?

tritter211 • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 07:28 AM

you literally did, you desperate, desperate woman. The only reason you are acting this mad is because it hit a little close to home. You don't meet too many non simp men, do you?

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:03 PM

You feel like pointing out the "literally did " part cowboy?

LeanLoner • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 11:23 AM

The day a man slaps on a \$45 primer, \$15 lashes, \$50 nails and \$150 in hair extensions for ME for a date. I'll fucking pony up the \$20 ice tea and salad we ordered in an instant.

That's 260 bucks. It's what some people in countries like Ukraine and Serbia make in a month. Explain to me how they still look beautiful and you're not lying? :D

CatchPhraze • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:56 PM

Because those things are cheaper in countries with lower wages? Nails in China cost \$5 bucks.

Talk about not understanding economics

LeanLoner • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:19 PM

Because those things are cheaper in countries with lower wages?Nails in China cost \$5 bucks.

**Talk about not understanding economics**

You are clueless. They are more expensive. Literally every beauty product is slightly more expensive in Serbia than the US and women still look great. If you really understood economics you'd understand how small markets affect prices of impoted goods. And it's all made in China anyway, so price of labour is the same.

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:18 PM

Boy the labor isn't the Chinese person making it, it's the person doing the lashes hair or nails.

None of those besides the primer at do it yourself. My god men have no clue

LeanLoner • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:09 PM

You can't do your own lashes, nails and hair? Fucking lol.

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:10 PM

...no? I can't. Did you go to cosmetology school?

nevomintoarce • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 12:40 PM

Explain to me how they still look beautiful and you're not lying? :D

Naturally good looking + doing basic upkeep and makeup. Slavic women make face and hair treatments from stuff in their kitchen.

ohheyhi99 • 12 points • 17 February, 2020 04:53 AM\*

"The day a man slaps on a \$45 primer, \$15 lashes, \$50 nails and \$150 in hair extensions for ME for a date. I'll fucking pony up the \$20 ice tea and salad we ordered in an instant."

"Woman put a ton more effort in looking good for their partners"

It's 2020. You could get a date without those accessories. You don't do those things for him. You do those things because of what looking better can get you. It's the same reason why I don't wear sweatpants every day.

"as well as emotion labor in a relationship."

This has become a bit of a meme. There are plenty of relationships where the woman is the more emotional or erratic one and the man is doing more "emotional labor." It depends on the people. Edit: And hopefully, both partners are willing to do a healthy amount of "emotional labor" for the other.

"Expecting a man to do those things you mentioned isn't asking more of him, it's asking him to meet your effort levels. It's pretty obvious."

Are we talking effort or money? Plenty of men also find that they're expected to put in disproportionate effort for strangers who they'll probably never see again.

Reverend\_Vader • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 11:02 AM

Emotional labour can only affect women according to reddit, I've yet to see it EVER used in relation to anything a man does

In addition that make up argument can gtfo as well, there 's a reason I've never judged a woman's looks until I've seen her without her fake face on, the more used the more I see insecurity, my last date was a "if I get run over I'm putting on my face before I get in the ambulance type"

I really want a partner that has no ability to be spontaneous because everything requires 30m in front of a mirror first .....

Since I became voluntarily single my emotional labour has gone back to zero because it was always built around keeping my partner happy or listening to the same fucking complaints day in and day out, not to mention navigating the "what mood will she be in today"

SmoothPrimal • 13 points • 17 February, 2020 04:59 AM

Quit your bs \$45, \$15, \$50 nails and \$150 hair extensions lasts for a few months. Next time you order a burger, we'll make sure to charge for the entire cow.

Not to mention most guys don't want to take a wax museum mannequin to dinner. If it takes that much effort for you to be tolerable, everyone gives you permission to not date.

CatchPhrase • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 05:27 AM

Lmao. This gross ass using the same eyelashes for months? Ratchet

SmoothPrimal • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 08:08 AM

Haha yeah that would be weird. But I think you'll probably be fine when you have 10 inches of makeup between your skin and the world.

I bet we can send you into Chernobyl and come back fine. A little bit of germ on your falsies won't do anything to you.

No hate though. Stay sexy. You do you.

CatchPhrase • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 04:02 PM

Boo none of those things I mentioned are makeup in fact their there so you look bomb without it. Hollyyyy talk about not knowing the subject matter

SmoothPrimal • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:11 PM

The fact that you don't consider primer and falsies as makeup tells me you put on A LOT of make up.

Also you just called me Boo so I know for sure I'm talking to a hoochie mama ☐

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:14 PM

I boo'd you. Not called you boo.

Til all skincare is makeup.

SmoothPrimal • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:20 PM

Well now I'm just sad. The emotional high from being called boo on the internet is only comparable to snorting Cheap drugstore foundation by Nyx, which I'm sure you can easily finance.

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:48 PM

I'm not familiar with the brand sorry.

SmoothPrimal • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:20 PM

Oh yeah, brand doesn't matter when you buy stuff in bulk. Econ 101 duh, I'm so dumb.

DraconianDebate • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 05:30 AM

You could also try not doing any of that.

CatchPhrase • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 05:46 AM

Sure, but if I dont put my best efforts in, why should I expect him too?

isweartoofuckingmuch • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 11:59 AM

So all you have to offer in your best efforts is... make up?

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:54 PM

Yeah that's exactly what I saud

isweartoofuckingmuch • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:21 PM

You sound like a catch

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:15 PM

You don't

nevomintoarce • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 06:46 AM

There are drugstore options and why do you need to put fake eyelashes on top of your existing eyelashes? Or fake hair? Or fake nails? Most women don't do this.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 07:23 AM\*

[deleted]

nevomintoarce • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 09:47 AM

I'm a girl and you didn't explain why you use fake hair, nails or eyelashes.

isweartoofuckingmuch • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 12:20 PM

Because undateable thots will make every effort to secure someone and then justify the "efforts" as doing it for HIM so HE should be grateful and indulge her with everything he can

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:00 PM

Because that's the effort levels of top tier women. You don't have too, but if I want a man who looks good I should try and do the same.

nevomintoarce • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 04:34 PM

Top tier women have good genes and are healthy (due to diet and grooming). Meaning that their hair grows long and thick and their facial features can be highlighted with makeup, not reinvented. No one cares about nails.

raginghamster • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 05:20 PM

Top tier women use minimal makeup. Makeup can accent beauty, but it can also hide imperfections

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:14 PM

That's cute

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 07:53 PM

[deleted]

CatchPhrase • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 08:04 PM

<https://i.pinimg.com/474x/69/c7/8c/69c78ca9d7f2defdd29da5a2e4edfe3f.jpg>

This one, where she still has her eyebrows threaded, skin clear because of her routine, hair extensions and eyelash extensions in? Yes she does. The SAME things I mentioned.

oh my god

Texastentialism • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:09 PM



lololol this is hilarious

[deleted] • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 03:45 AM

Hair extensions?

Almondxxx • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 04:08 AM

Yup some girls have em! My friend actually made this point to me. Before she goes on dates, she makes sure she presents very well. She gets lash extensions, top shelf make up and gets her nails done!

I don't wear that much makeup and I do not get my nails done. HOWEVER every time I see her, I think I should do that! However, it's expensive! And I would personally look silly!

It depends on the person.

[deleted] • 6 points • 17 February, 2020 04:13 AM

That's too much upkeep for me. A nice lipstick is more than sufficient.

Almondxxx • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:20 AM

good to know! I do like a neutral lip color. I get a bit insecure about not wearing make up because I don't want people to think I don't care. Usually cover up if I need to, clear mascara and a lippy.

I just think make up makes me look like a child who got into her mothers make up bag. I'll pass :)

felis\_magnetus • 7 points • 17 February, 2020 05:04 AM

Would immediately reduce my attraction levels to near zero. I'm not looking for a representative presentation piece, but a real person. All kinds of connotations there, none of them pleasant to deal with. Not even in the short run. Go away, plastic women.

CatchPhrase • 3 points • 17 February, 2020 05:31 AM

Lol at dudes thinking it's clown makeup. Done right you don't even know she has them. Boys are silly.

felis\_magnetus • 8 points • 17 February, 2020 06:27 AM

Just because we don't comment, doesn't mean we don't notice. But most of the time it means we could have done without, but are too polite to make it an issue.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 10:45 AM\*

[deleted]

CatchPhrase • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:58 PM

...do you think nails, hair/lash extensions and treated skin disappear the next day? Hahaha

CG8514 • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 06:05 AM

You doing makeup/hair/nails/whatever primer is has nothing to do with what a man owes you. It's what you're choosing to do before the date.

CatchPhrase • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 06:15 AM

Nobody said he owes me anything. I simply said if he matched my efforts in the same areas I'd be happy

to match his in the others.

darksoldierk • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:51 PM

Uh, according to women, women do all that stuff for themselves not for men. Remember when men would say "well, if women didn't want us to stare at their chest, they shouldn't wear a dress that shows so much cleavage" and women went "I wore that dress because **IIII** Liked it, am I supposed to not wear the things I like because you can't control your dick?".

You can't have it both ways, either you spend the money to look good for men, meaning that men can and should look at you in lustful ways, or you spent the money to look good for yourself, meaning that you can't expect men to reimburse you for your expenditure.

The other thing is, men don't care about any of that stuff you mentioned. Most men don't even know what the fuck a primer is, and most didn't even notice your \$50 nails or \$150 hair extension. Just throw on some make up if you want, or don't, no one cares, and you aren't owed a reimbursement.

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 06:57 PM

the money to look good for men, meaning that men can and should look at you in lustful ways, or you spent the money to look good for yourself, meaning that you can't expect men to reimburse you for your expenditure.

The other thing is, men don't care about any of that stuff you mentioned. Most men don't even know what the fuck a primer is, and most didn't even notice your \$50 nails or \$1

What I give to one man, the rest are not entitled to. Simple. Be worthy of my effort and I'll gladly make it for you.

darksoldierk • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 07:08 PM

> What I give to one man, the rest are not entitled to. Simple. Be worthy of my effort and I'll gladly make it for you.

Except, men don't care about the effort your putting in. They'd rather if you put in the effort in paying for what you had for dinner. Ask any man alive "would you prefer a woman to put on make-up and pay \$50 for nails before a date, or would you rather she pays for her own dinner", and I guarentee you most will say "I'd prefer if she pays for her share".

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:52 PM

That's why they unload millions of dollars to sugarbabbies and twitch thots right?

"LoOK aT WHat tHeY dO nOT wHaT tHEy SaY"

darksoldierk • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:22 PM

The average guy doesn't spend a penny on his girlfriend's makeup unless it's for a gift or something.

CG8514 • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 05:02 AM

Well there's your answer (in her reply), she's an FDS. Majority of which have dated such low value men that they basically hate all men at this point. Helpless.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 03:45 AM

[removed]

joesmithasdf • 4 points • 17 February, 2020 10:14 AM

Hey, there's a good lesson here. Guys, run the other way when you see women wearing so much makeup on the first date.

Some women are right on one point: men usually don't know what good makeup looks like nowadays.

[deleted] 17 February, 2020 10:43 AM\*

[deleted]

CatchPhraze • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:59 PM

No, 65 and 60 % of women and men are fat

isweartoofuckingmuch • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:58 AM

Hahahaha that's your own choice, no one asks you to do that. If you're undateable without spending \$250 on a date (lmao) then you should probably lower your standards. And pony up for the ice tea and salad, everything would be perfect

CatchPhraze • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:55 PM

Just put more effort in all around said the lazy man

isweartoofuckingmuch • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 04:20 PM

I told you to put in less effort not more lol

goldmedalflower • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:05 PM

You would wear all that hair and makeup stuff anyway, right? Like if you were meeting your mom and sister for lunch? Why make your voluntary decision to wear all this makeup a man/woman/dating thing?

You would wear that same makeup anyway even if there were no dates or men in sight.

CatchPhraze • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 03:54 PM

No I wouldn't, do you not put more effort in on a date? Smh.

AutoModerator[M] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:31 AM

### **Attention!**

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

*I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.*

Aaren\_Augustine • 12 points • 17 February, 2020 03:15 AM

I've never had any woman, let alone my wife go "shit, you know what Aaren, you've been mowing the lawn for 10 years and do 99 percent of the lawn mowing so it's my turn."

But then I don't give a shit because I'm more invested in my own happiness to bitch about stupid shit.

Tomatoccino • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 05:15 AM

I used to say "daughter 1, dad works hard all week, so you can go mow the lawn while daughter 2 trims the edges and daughters 3 and 4 help me dead head the flowers. Dad can start the mower and supervise." It usually took about fifteen minutes before he couldn't bear it any longer and I'd have four kids wielding secateurs.

Million-Suns • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 03:18 AM

Good post OP. That reminded me why i'm so done with that clown dance.

panchaladitya1996 • 5 points • 17 February, 2020 07:20 AM

PPD is for a group of people slinging metaphorical poo at each other with their anecdotal experience. What the fuck is a sociologist doing here?

panchaladitya1996 • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 07:23 AM

Nice way to get citations on your research.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 07:26 AM

I've always thought the way women approach dating guarantees their biggest issues will carry on ad infinitum. If they want shit to improve for themselves, they gon' need get pro-active.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:19 AM

Accuse her in not being a true feminist and so on. Ideology is a strong thing - if you happen to convince someone that doing something is necessary for the idea, they will do that thing, whatever that thing is.

LifterofThings • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 01:56 PM

"We want reality to work our way, but it doesn't! WHAT GIVES?!"

fredthebetty • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 07:49 AM

It doesn't have to do with gender. It has to do with gender roles and other misinformation which permeate all aspects of culture... people are made to believe things that are not true. It's about the way power relationships take take implicit knowledge and make it appear as natural and true according to Foucault.

If individuals are not given the tools to discern knowledge for themselves and trust the perception of their own experiences, exercise critical thinking on all aspects of culture and self experience than they will certainly become victims of circumstance. This scenario results in cognitive dissonance. These viruses can be found morphing all throughout culture.

We can see this idea on the macro level as well - pervasive culturally induced ignorance... Agnotolgy is the study of this phenomena. We can see it with economic production of Facebook (spoiler it's mass audience that is the product) and the reality of automated data mining. We can see jt with fake news. We can also see it used for political gain, and to keep subsets of the population suppressed. On this level it's increasingly complex and permeates all aspects of culture making it extremely difficult to break the cycle.

The example of an individual wanting to be free from gender roles / norms yet still complying with them is just one tiny example of the cognitive dissonance that is a result of such cultural mutations.

I\_sort\_by\_new\_fam • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:53 AM

I think it begs the question if equal dating is even *possible*, as a micro individualistic process, i would tend to argue that there is indeed a minimum of involvement and interest in the matter, but relies a lot on context.

My dates with both men and women weren't based on who would pay first or that crap. (although almost everytime people paid what they order but that may be irrelevant since it might be tied to my culture).

I'm sure that we're getting somewhere. But perfectly equal dating seems impossible (to me).

Or perhaps the lesson is pay for what you order. My version of equality Cheers

Algedonic\_Zeit • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:11 AM

> A man expressed his resentment at not having an egalitarian relationship, saying, "That's not the relationship I want for myself." Yet he later added that his partner should do more of the household labor, because she was more invested in a clean house.

Just an aside from my marriage, I was never good enough to do a lot of the house stuff, and I did it wrong even when she showed me how. Now imagine I asked my wife to fix the blown head gasket in our car, or shoot the dog that needs to be put down. We are born for some jobs, and pretending that isn't the case isn't helpful for women or men.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:18 PM

TBF, both men and women in the last paragraphs just admitted they want to eat the cake and have it too.

Men want inequality when it benefits them, women want inequality when it benefits them.

Dating as equals would not help these people because they don't want to have an equal marriage.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:35 PM

The difference being: men don't get it, women do every day.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 08:36 PM

Men get it: women still perform more unpaid labor (read "house chores") than men do.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:27 PM

Because they want to. Because unless your home resembles a museum, they're not happy.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:15 PM

It is not only cleaning. It is also taking care of children and cooking.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:22 PM

Your body. Your choice.

rus9384 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 10:23 PM

I mean, men benefit from that, men actually want their wives to cook and take care of their children (I am not talking about men who did not want children, these men probably do not live with their children).

Quantentheorie • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:58 PM

I wonder where they get all those women with outdated gender expectations for dates. I've never had a female friend or even older woman express this sentiment to me.

That he has to pay, or women cant ask out a guy is something I wouldnt believe is a mindset actual people hold just based off the social relations I have and it's odd to me to see an article like this talking like it's still a common issue/hypocrisy.

jayval90 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:04 PM

Cue responses from every woman in this sub who actually offered to pay half the tab that one time.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 08:34 PM

10 years ago, but didn't like the response, so feels completely justified in never trying again.

MissBriteside • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:07 PM

I guess it might also depend on people's personality traits? Idk, I'm (21F) a very straight-forward, opinionated person. I where my heart on my sleeve and I don't like misunderstandings or miscommunication. I was the one that initiated our (23M) first kiss. I asked him, "So when are we going to make it official?" When I wanted to start being exclusive. He initiated taking me to see his parents and saying "I love you" first.

We both have our strength and weaknesses, we talk about what we would rather one take care of for the most part in a relationship and what to share responsibilities on. Reading this is kinda odd to me haha.

i-have-toes • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:43 PM

You are right!! In so many ways! When I wanted to get engaged with my boyfriend, I talked to him about it, and hinted at it, hoping he would propose. We finally (december 2017) agreed to get engaged before june 2018. And the months went, and I thought about it a lot, but no engagement came.

In early june i brought it up. And he said; well, you know you can propose also, this does not just fall on me.. and .. that honestly opened my eyes. And all the stress of it all, the planning, buying rings, timing etc all came to me.

Shit, this is actually a little hard to do, i though. I Had Not thought of it that way before. I just wanted a romantic proposal.

So I stepped up, booked a cabin, started planning, but i included him in the process. So when the day came, we both fully aware of what was about to happen, went grocery shopping, bought some fake plastic rings and went our way.

We got to our cabin, had a Lovely dinner and, picked out a ring each (5 in the packet) and proposed to each other.

And every time I tell the story, i love it more and more! Cause we were a team, we decided together, we had the journey of being nervous together. And it was a little awkward, but we love it!

And I wouldnt have it any other way!

And i will teach this to my future children. Disney movies are movies and not real life. If you want something, regardless of gender, go get it!

YveisGrey • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 01:47 AM

This article missed a glaringly obvious reality about men and women. They like being distinct from one another, men actually do enjoy being the strong protector/provider and women enjoy being the nurturer for the most part anyways. What fuels attraction is the differences between us like two poles of a magnet. Equality doesn't take

into account our actual desires men and women can't have the same mating strategy (though this is resolved with traditional monogamy/marriage), we obviously aren't attracted to the same qualities in each other for ex women clearly prefer men who are bigger and taller than they and men do tend to prefer smaller women. That's why no matter how much it is pushed down our throats men and women (obviously not all but most) basically fall back into their roles to some extent. I really haven't met a man who wants to be proposed to, they also usually prefer to be the one with more sexual experience if not at least equal, and I even had a male friend tell me he felt intimidated by a woman who he dated that was making a lot more than him. It is what it is. We can only fight our nature for so long, men and women are simply not the same and that's actually okay.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:31 AM

I'd consider such strict dating rules as minor red flags. I usually prepare to pay for the date but will accept if the woman offers to split or pay the whole amount.

I don't see how paying is a commitment or an investment. Often I have paid for a date and not called her back again. With my current partner, on the first date we went 50/50 but I texted her within a few days (which showed I was interested in meeting her again).

I am not a big believer in 'roles', I think they likely speak to people who have insecurities. Or at least I think it is dumb to make them very explicit. If I want to have a clean house then I am going to clean it. If I feel like buying my partner dinner I am going to buy it without expecting anything in return. If she has a better idea about money then I'll go with that.

I don't even know if it's 'equal', I just think that narrowing your relationship down into gender roles is limiting in reality. So again, having very strict rules that relate to dating might indicate a too narrow view of the world.

mandoa\_sky • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:15 AM

What if I'm a lady who cares less about having a clean house?

Yianna\_F • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:39 AM

Have you seen this u/theogjammies?

TheOGJammies • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 02:30 PM

Yeah, I think we need to rework what equality means because liberal feminists try to pretend gender doesn't exist and it's stupid.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:16 PM

I knew this post would be like crack for the FDS hood rats. I look forward to you financially and emotionally stunted loons trying to bring your garbage grade, mental gymnastics logic to the table on this one.

TheOGJammies • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 02:21 PM

What mental gymnastics? Women are higher risk dealing with men than the reverse and do vastly more childrearing and household labor, therefore, you pick up the slack by contributing more. Millennial men don't have the earning power to demand throwback values.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 02:36 PM

Lol. You and your parasitic ilk just want an excuse to be lazy and get a meal ticket. Problem is, most of you aren't in the top 5% looks wise, so it will never happen for many of you.

The majority of you are lazy and entitled, and the women that actually put forth an effort will be the ones that get wifed up and go on to have healthy relationships.



TheOGJammies • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 02:43 PM

LOL that's where you're wrong, but go off

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:46 PM

Good job on countering with actual points and logic. The argumentative limits of you idiots on FDS and Pink Pill is pathetic. Always go the juvenile route without actually explaining.

TheOGJammies • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 02:47 PM

I don't need to, it's not for you.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 03:04 PM

You're just so used to your easy target, angry neckbeard counterparts attacking you, that you're afraid that someone with a brain would destroy your obviously bigoted and sexist views in an instant.

prunusamygdalis • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:05 PM

But... men don't like that. Seriously. The high value ones will want to feed you and spoil you. They put their money where their mouth is if they see "wife" potential.

Women are not just acting this way to save \$50-\$100 every once in a while on dates. MOST men don't like being openly pursued/when a female initiates a relationship and they want to provide and take the "manly" role. Personally I find it comfortable to be in the feminine role so I just roll with it. Of course I pay sometimes and bring him a 6 pack of nice beer or bottle of wine frequently, buy him nice gifts for holidays, etc, but I've found that if a man values you and has a decent career he WANTS to provide, prove his worth to both you & him that he's ready for a wife and potentially a family. Just my 2c

CultistHeadpiece[S] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 09:10 PM

Exactly. Well said.

LadiesHomeCompanion • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 04:16 AM

Stopped reading at "feminist credentials"

I guess you were forced to take their badges and clearance away? Tsk, tsk. Shame.

weag51 • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 09:57 AM

Equality is a retarded social justice religion that assumes people are the same. Newsflash: no two groups of people are less the same than men and women. Equality is therefore impossible.

darksoldierk • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:57 PM

Well, society has gone into great strength to tell us otherwise, and they've forced the equality of women often times by taking from men. So, although you are right, since society started on this path, it needs to either abandon it completely, which won't happen, or continue to force equality, even when that equality doesn't benefit women.

weag51 • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:14 PM

It's not possible for apples and oranges to be equal at anything. You can only negotiate things until both the apples and the oranges think things are fair.

joesmithasdf • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 10:03 AM

There's one piece of advice most men don't get. Try to lower your standards as much as you can, seriously. Work on yourself, or course, so decent women will be interested. And get used to hypergamy, kicking and screaming won't help.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:18 PM

I have to lower my standards.

So I'm a average five in looks. I go after 5s. So you are saying i should go after 3s and 2s? I'll pass.

joesmithasdf • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:25 PM

You should go after 4s, if you really are a 5 man. How do you know that to begin with? 4s and 3s make themselves available to you? Unless you're willing to put in a lot of work, chase like a sucker and monkey dance.

Downvoting won't change female hypergamy, as much as you can't change gravity or thermodynamics.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 02:28 PM

Down voting is against the rules here.

So fat and ugly is my only choice? That's why I gave up a long time ago.

joesmithasdf • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 04:15 PM

Lots of people have no clue or don't care about rules.

Find your sweet spot. You could try a 4 face and a good body, a 6 face fat body. There are many other factors to date down to, class, academics, age. Try to be someone's type or fetish, if you're really a nondescript average man, things will be harder. Try to figure out your own types and fetishes.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 12:54 AM

Thanks for the encouragement but I'll pass.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 09:26 PM

Nah. The average woman offers so little and demands so much, she better be a stunner if she wants to touch this bank roll lol.

joesmithasdf • 1 point • 17 February, 2020 11:37 PM

Marriage? I was thinking casual actually.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 February, 2020 12:34 AM

Either/or

leftajar • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 03:46 PM\*

Everyone here is way over-analyzing this.

All this says, is that even in the neo-Liberal capital of San Francisco, male/female biological roles are more powerful than Leftist Postmodern social engineering.

Or, a less charitable way of putting it, is that women want to have their cake and eat it too -- they want to signal independence and equity, while still benefitting from the expectation of being provided for.

Rather than dispensing with the biological roles and doubling down on social engineering, how about we dispense with the bullshit and admit that men and women are different?

Bntt89 • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 07:35 PM

This is actually perfect if we actually get rid of gender roles for both people then these gendered expectations will be removed. When a guy says if women want to be equal then they should pay for dates, yet doesn't even bother to clean up after himself and expects a woman to be hypocritical.

A woman saying she wants to be equal in marriage and wants to be standing next to their partner is cool. But if you do nothing of an equal setting in dating aspects then how the fuck can that be considered equal? You can approach, you are a grown woman.

I personally believe feminism did and continues to do, a shit job of removing male gender roles. They haven't really gotten rid of any of them, nothing will really change if you only get rid of female ones, both sides will resent the other. It's the reason why dating for men feels so shit and the reason why women come home and have to clean themselves.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 10:28 PM

I feel like you are not counting for emotional labor.

CultistHeadpiece[S] • 2 points • 17 February, 2020 10:30 PM

You're right. The unpaid labour of dealing with insufferable emotional women.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 February, 2020 11:26 PM

Thanks! I'll never be back to this sub because I don't enjoy spending my free time dealing with angry people. When you wonder why it seems like most people here agree with you just remember it's probably because others don't want to hang out with you.