

Would you be applauding if she weren't a woman?

January 10, 2013 | 8 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

In a thread that congratulated a female red-pill blogger, user PaterOmnipotens really drives something home that I think I momentarily lost sight of.

Would you be applauding if she weren't a woman?

Answering this question honestly during your day-to-day will give you a serious dose of red pill, when you realize just how much of an allowance society makes for women's inadequacies, that we actually lend credibility to women to appear "fair."

[My post yesterday](#) about never listening to a woman got a lot of blue-pill back-lash. I don't believe the people responding there asked themselves honestly *Would you be applauding if she weren't a woman?* in their every day interactions with women.

Pater also linked a brilliant sketch comedy group that inadvertently uncovers the truth.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gspaoaecNAg>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 11 points • 10 January, 2013 04:28 PM*

Perhaps because there are a plethora of male red pill blogs, but females who get the red pill are more rare? Being anti-feminist for a woman is kinda tough. Women have a herd mentality, and going against the herd quickly finds you alone with no friends. And even when you want to hang out with blue-pill friends, it becomes increasingly uncomfortable to do so when the favorite past time of boyfriend and husband bashing starts up. Ask me how I know. ;)

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 06:08 PM

I appreciate your work, and I'm happy your here. Just keeping perspective.

[deleted] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 06:18 PM

Just offering some experienced devil's advocate for y'all.

I appreciate the perspective more than you know.

Edited because I came across as bitchy, damn text with lack of voice inflection!

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 06:24 PM

Fine, I take it back. No appreciation. ;)

Edit: My joke made more sense when you were being bitchy.

[deleted] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 08:09 PM

Hahahaha :)

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 12:32 AM

Perhaps because there are a plethora of male red pill blogs, but females who get the red pill are more rare?

This is true, but it begs the question of where else does this happen and what does it affect? Mathematics coursework? Scientific discoveries? Nobel prize distribution? College admittance? Promotions at work? So the premise that this is a disturbing trend holds true nonetheless.

[deleted] • 2 points • 11 January, 2013 12:44 AM

Math and science and the like are different... There is no reason for women to reject the law of gravity or Pythagorean' theorem. There is nothing in those subjects that threatens our comfortably feminist way of life.

But college admittance and promotions? "Equal opportunity" laws and policies are bunk. The most qualified person should get the admission or the promotion, doesn't matter what equipment they're packin.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 01:04 AM

Math and science and the like are different... There is no reason for women to reject the law of gravity or Pythagorean' theorem.

I'm referring to the possibility of special treatment in certain fields. Like for example, a woman in college who has a 89 average in a class, and is the highest amongst females, getting a "bump" by her professor to an A on the grounds that she was impressive, for a girl. Entirely plausible, but the reverse would never happen, ever.

Affirmative actions is a touchy subject. In a perfect world it would be completely unnecessary, but racism and bias does create large scale oppression if it goes completely unchecked. Although I think affirmative action is an imperfect solution, having experienced extreme racism first hand for years, there is a soft spot in me for those who are truly oppressed.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 02:49 AM

I majored in math and physics when I was an undergrad... I can't say that I experienced much of that. I imagine it could happen, but as the two are so heavily facts based, it's hard to flub a test grade. Either you got it right or you didn't.

In fields like psychology, history, English, and the like, I could see that. I hated those classes. If you found yourself with a liberal professor (and you most likely would), expressing any sort of conservative opinion usually yielded in a lower grade. It's so arbitrary. I could definitely see that happening in those fields. And more women go after those fields, coincidence?

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 03:58 AM

I can't say that I experienced much of that.

I'm referring to the **possibility** of special treatment.

Although this claim is based on nothing other than what I would expect could occur with respect to the the phenomena we are talking about.

If you found yourself with a liberal professor (and you most likely would), expressing any sort of conservative opinion usually yielded in a lower grade.

I agree, it's definitely more likely to happen in **any scenario** where underlying human psychology creates a bias towards certain information and opinions.

PaterOmnipotens • 5 points • 10 January, 2013 10:06 PM*

It's sort of like how pathetic conservatives will trot out a black man to criticize affirmative action. They do that because:

1. Deep down, they feel they lack the moral authority to criticize affirmative action, and that only beneficiaries of racial preferences (i.e. blacks et al) can. If you get so obsequious towards woman posters, maybe it's because a part of you feels bad or wrong in making critical comments towards women, that you lack the grounds to do so. Or that your argument is incomplete without a woman to pose it for you.
2. They can blabber "OMG, LOOK, EVEN A BLACK PERSON DISLIKES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, WE MUST BE RIGHT!" Substitute 'woman' for 'black' and you have the same thing here.

It's comical, if only because it does nothing to persuade people who disagree with these cowardly critics of affirmative action - they just see the minority in question as a stooge or 'Uncle Tom.'

I objected to giving someone credit merely for being a woman - if that someone was male, they would garner little response, if not a negative one. In theory, a different perspective is valuable, but it must still be of good

quality. When female contributions are commendable, her sex is practically the last thing mentioned - her ideas take precedence - which is exactly what happens with quality male contributors.

IMO, if you find value in weak female contributors, because they are female, you may have some lurking (intellectual?) insecurity. Why do you need a woman to validate your choice of beliefs?

BRB trolling the manosphere with a blog by a phony female author, garnering hosannahs from indecisive men.
www.museumofhoaxes.com/hoax/weblog/permalink/libertarian_girl_unmasked/

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 10 January, 2013 10:26 PM

You're spot on.

Also, that phony bog- *Was that you?*

PaterOmnipotens • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 01:04 AM

Lol no, that was years ago. Not a libertarian.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2013 12:37 AM*

it's because a part of you feels bad or wrong in making critical comments towards women.

Honestly I think it's more that we are truly amazed when a woman contributes something of substance, because they rarely do. If one of the male bloggers contributes something awesome, it doesn't get the same type of attention unless it's truly a mind blowing and near perfect contribution.

I know this comes off as extremely sexist but it seems to hold true in my observations. If a woman in my workplace came up with an even semi-awesome algorithm to solve a problem, I would be shocked, but if it were coming from a man, especially the ones who regularly do such work, it would seem like a normal day.

An example: who are the three most famous chess players of all times? Bobby Fischer, Gary Kasparov and Judit Polgar, and which of these three was the least impressive? You guessed it, and if she was a man none of us would know her name. And can you name the current most impressive chess player in the world? Hint: at age 19 he was world champion.

It's actually ironic because "TheRedPill" might make us more susceptible to this type of behavior. Many blue pillers truly believe that women are equals and hence might take less notice of their accomplishments. It's also funny that many blue pillers who converted over to red cite a lack of visible female accomplishments in the real world as part of what brought them here to begin with. Like men who were surrounded by seemingly intelligent women as a child, who then entered the work place and suddenly realized the true nature of the beast. This seems like a natural course of events, due to the slower development of the male brain which doesn't come into full mental maturity until their early to mid twenties or perhaps even later, creating a rift in high school to early university where females appear to be mental equals. This phenomenon seems to provide both an easy conduit to bring more blue pillers into the world (to support the feminist movement), and disingenuous research to "prove" that men are mentally inferior to women. Notice that almost all of this research focusses on the age groups where men are mentally at a disadvantage.

PaterOmnipotens • 4 points • 11 January, 2013 01:19 AM*

I'd say the opposite. Plenty of men view women as equals, and yet they are genuinely surprised when equaled or bested by women. These men are desperate for confirmation of their cherished belief in equality, because the evidence is so hard to come by.* So when they come upon the slightest morsel of proof of equality of the sexes, they latch on to it and start hyperventilating about how brilliant some woman is.

By contrast, the men with more realistic views of women, and the experience to match, don't get excited just because a woman (or a man for that matter) agrees with them. If you're confident in your beliefs, hearing some glib confirmation of them isn't all that inspiring. They've garnered enough attention from women, *as women*, that more of it isn't all that necessary, unless, perhaps, it will result in real sex.

Honestly, I feel about these female bloggers a little like how I feel about most American women nowadays as friends. A few are rewarding and interesting, but most are just attention whores. Unless you're fucking them, they're emotionally draining, even emasculating. It's not that they are boring so much as they are constantly soliciting attention, so that without any sex to even things out, paying them any heed is just tiring.

*I'm not saying men are superior to women per se, but that men are looking to women for qualities more common among men. Disenchantment or denial are the inevitable outcomes.

[deleted] • 2 points • 11 January, 2013 01:27 AM

These men are desperate for confirmation of their cherished belief in equality, because the evidence is so hard to come by.

This is certainly another possibility, especially for life long blue pillers who as you said, might be looking for confirmation.

In my own personal experience I feel as if I was less easily "impressed" as a young adult compared to now, because I truly believed that women were equal and their contributions didn't register to me. Now when a woman contributes it does register, because like I said, it just doesn't happen often.

zionController • 3 points • 10 January, 2013 03:13 PM

when you realize just how much of an allowance society makes for women's inadequacies, that we actually lend credibility to women to appear "fair."

Ahem... Employment Equity

DEVi4TION • 3 points • 10 January, 2013 06:06 PM

to answer your question, no, I wouldn't be. Because its a given that redpill bloggers are mostly men. Its a breath of fresh air to see a strong woman who can see through the bullshit.

rooshv • 3 points • 10 January, 2013 08:43 PM

Even in the most alpha of communities, men will rush to lavish attention on a scarce female due to her gender instead of the value of her contribution.

[deleted] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 09:17 PM

Biological imperative. This is why I advocate separate spaces for men and women, it is the only way men can have genuine and enlightening conversations while women satisfy their own group gender preference.

PaterOmnipotens • 2 points • 11 January, 2013 01:35 AM

I'm starting to finger the sexual integration of our society as one of the chief culprits for the sad state of men and women today. The failings of men and women that we discuss would have far far less room to grow if men and women did not spend so much time platonically with each other.

itsmehobnob • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 03:58 PM

~~Where is this thread, I can't seem to find anything posted by that user. High five reddit search!~~
nevermind, found it

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 February, 2013 06:11 AM

First time poster. Tryin to get a feel for the place. Sounds like it's along my line of thinking.

[deleted] • 1 point • 10 January, 2013 06:54 PM

I think this goes both ways. The modern woman is broken and we all know it. The *vast* majority of women aren't doing shit to fix it, in fact they would rather sink with the U.S.S. Feminist Cunt. Fine. That is their decision and their fate. However, there are a few women out there who are starting to get it. They are starting to realize you can fight biology tooth and nail, but ultimately you lose in the end. Women like Redpillwifey, GirlWritesWhat, and JudgyBitch are unplugged. Sure, they're not perfect, but what woman is? Hell, what man is?

Women have own group gender preference while men do not. It is built into their brains and won't be going anywhere anytime ever. This is the same mechanism that allows the lies and mental gymnastics which have made the modern feminist movement so powerful. Women take advice, good or bad, better from women. Women inherently trust each other above all else. (Preselection.) We *need* (yes, fellow Alphas, you heard me. NEED.) women such as these to get out there and spread the gospel. Sure, they may not do it as well as we do, but the message will be far more receptive to potential Blue Pill women converts. This doesn't mean we stop our message or lower the bar, but when your dog stops shitting all over the rug do you also stop smacking it on the nose? I think you do.