

Too drunk to consent? You're still the rapist.

July 30, 2015 | 518 upvotes | by [lindependentmale](#)

TL;DR: Female initiates sex with a male who is blackout drunk and doesn't remember the incident. She texts her friend after, admitting she had been making out with him at a party earlier and that she knew he was too drunk to think straight. 21 months later, when her friends have all abandoned her for being a dirty whore who fucked her roommate's boyfriend, she files a rape claim. Despite ample evidence that *she* raped *him*, Amherst finds the male guilty and expels him.

[Here's what we know:](#)

- After a night of heavy drinking, two Amherst college students had sex.
- The male, "John Doe", a sophomore at the time, was so drunk he has no recollection of the experience. (Amherst's tribunal found this claim "credible.")
- After the encounter, the female, Sandra Jones (not her real name), texted her friend, "Ohmygod I jus did something so fuckig stupid." You see, John had a girlfriend, that girlfriend was Sandra's roommate and Sandra didn't want her reputation destroyed. Sandra and her friend [discussed plans to lie about the events of the evening](#). During this exchange, Sandra wrote, "Also I'm pretty sure (John Doe) was too drunk to make a good lie out of shit."
- Sandra invited another guy to her room that night and had sex with him, too. Her roommate would later sign [a written affidavit](#) regarding "Sandra's regular weekend practice meeting men and having brief sexual encounters with them."
- The truth eventually comes out and Sandra's friends abandon her and call her a slut.
- Sandra makes new friends with known victim's rights advocates and a leading anti-due process activist on campus named Liya Rechtman.
- 21 months after hooking up with her roommate's boyfriend, Sandra files a claim of sexual assault.
- In a kangaroo court hearing (no lawyer, no discovery, no direct cross-examination), John Doe is found guilty of rape and expelled.
- Even after learning of the damning texts, Amherst refuses to re-open the case and continues to blame John, claiming the process "worked as it should have in this case."
- John Doe has filed a lawsuit against Amherst.

During the internal college hearing, Sandra was assigned as an advocate a tenured professor, Rhonda Cobham-Sander. Rhonda was an influential figure on campus and Amherst's first "diversity czar" who had previously delivered a victims' rights-oriented address. John, on the other hand, was assigned an Amherst administrator named Torin Moore who lacked tenure protections and whose academic training was in "social justice education." Moore's performance was so lackluster that John is suing him as well. Once the hearing began, Sandra presented herself as too traumatized to articulate her thoughts verbally, though she had no such problem when the investigator initially questioned her.

Here are some direct quotes from the "victim" Sandra:

Asked whether the two went to her room voluntarily to hook up, Sandra replied: "Yes. Well—although in would like to say that I did feel some—I did like well feel like well some—I did... I did like well feel like well some like... well... some like... like... some like well pressure to do so."

A panel member wondered about this "pressure", as Sandra had not previously mentioned it. Her response to that questioning: "So as we were making out in the common room, so some of the students there, so I

think, so I think, so I think, [another student] included, were just like, well, chanting like well, things about me. Like, like, like, like, I mean, like, like, I mean, like, I mean like this, I mean like, I mean like, I mean like slut, and like that kind of thing. And they also like told us, get a room, so, yeah."

When a panel member wanted to know what Sandra did after the alleged assault, she responded, "So after he like walked out, I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't... So I didn't..." The panel then allowed Sandra to type a response, an option she repeated pursued during the hearing.

[Full transcript of the hearing here.](#)

I encourage you to [read this particularly damning article](#) on the incident.

Watch your backs, gentlemen. The deck is stacked against you in every way imaginable on the modern college campus.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

putsch80204 points 30 July, 2015 08:07 PM [\[recovered\]](#)

At some point, someone in the U.S. is going to remember that there is still due process guaranteed under our constitution, and these universities are going to find themselves on the receiving end of a pretty harsh financial fucking in lawsuits brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for deprivation of rights under color of law.

newls • 118 points • 30 July, 2015 09:24 PM

Money talks. I'd love to see these universities get a financial butt-fucking in court. For satisfaction but also for all the innocent young guys whose lives have been damaged by the kangaroo courts.

TruckerJohn • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 05:45 PM

And that financial buttfucking is going to result in our tuition increasing again :/

There's no winning.

TheSelfGoverned • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 11:05 PM

Unless you refuse(d) to buy into their awful heavily-propagandized educational system.

Crushric • 46 points • 30 July, 2015 09:26 PM

Aren't there already of number of lawsuits against universities for just such things? That's not counting the one revolving around mattress girl's university, since they didn't expel Paul, just humiliated and allowed a little liar to ruin his life.

lindependentmale[S] • 63 points • 30 July, 2015 09:49 PM

Aren't there already of number of lawsuits against universities for just such things?

There are. Several men have brought lawsuits against their universities under similar circumstances. I'd love to see the government step in and start nailing these universities to the wall, but I can't see that happening when our very own president is repeating the "one in five" bullshit.

Crushric • 15 points • 30 July, 2015 10:38 PM

As a side note, what are the chances of the lawsuits *winning* on behalf of the men? Since most schools are private business, a precedent for the falsely accused and expelled suing the schools and winning would put the universities into one hell of a bind. Comply with the "dear Colleagues" letter or risk being sued out the ass on a matter they are likely to lose on.

well-ok-then • 9 points • 31 July, 2015 03:39 AM

Coeducation is a failed experiment

xray77719 points 31 July, 2015 04:12 AM [\[recovered\]](#)

No one has ever done less work to get a degree than an attractive girl in an engineering class. Hooooly shit the stuff I saw (and did) as me and my beta peers attempted to get their attention. I cringe at how they viewed us.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 11:14 AM

I came to the same conclusion some time ago. Not just at university level, but at all levels. One problem we have at high school level is that teaching has become dominated by women, and with it has come a gynocentric attitude to everything, for example that competition is bad, there are no 'winners'; but boys love to compete, it is what drives them. Also, not enough physical exercise, which is fine for girls but boys find it hard to stay still for so long. It is not surprising that girls are now outperforming boys; we first put the two together, then changed it to suit girls. How could it end up otherwise? This is more serious than the reverse situation would be, because it is men that build and maintain civilizations, not women, and education is supposed to be training to do that.

TominatorXX • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 10:11 AM

The suits will win. A real court will look at this with horror and do the right thing. Generally.

real-boethius • 6 points • 31 July, 2015 01:54 AM

I'd love to see the government step in and start nailing these universities to the wall

It is precisely the government that is pushing this. Search "dear colleague letter".

Metalgear222 • 1 point • 3 August, 2015 12:50 AM

isn't the government in charge of the largest percent of student debt? Didn't they fight to keep local banks from lending to students directly? Sounds like that is a conflict of interest that ends in no action. I also heard this from an unreliable source so I do not know if what I'm saying is complete bull shit, but worth acknowledging.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 12:02 AM

I was suspended for a purely criminal offense (petty misd). I could probably bring something against them.

Captain_Unremarkable • 5 points • 30 July, 2015 10:53 PM

That's not how the Constitution works. Constitutional rights only apply to the government, and more specifically, the Federal government, albeit adapted to States through the doctrine of incorporation. As Amherst is a private university, they can kick out a student for any reason they wish.

This, on the other hand, is a tort, as Amherst may have breached their duty.

[deleted] • 15 points • 30 July, 2015 11:21 PM

That's not how it works. If the institution receives federal funding, Title IX kicks in. Many of these lawsuits are ingeniously using Title IX as a weapon, claiming that the school's policies (which they adopted purportedly to comply with title ix to stop discrimination against women) violate title ix by denying men due process of law.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 05:05 AM

Which is why a boycott could be successful here.

KyfhoMyoba • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 12:51 AM

there is still due process guaranteed under our constitution, and these universities are going to find themselves on the receiving end of a pretty harsh financial fucking in lawsuits brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for deprivation of rights under color of law.

They already are. The problem is that the "Dear Colleague" letter the Dept of Ed. sent to the schools *mandates* that the schools use the "preponderance of evidence" standard, which, as is obvious to any school boy, violates said right to due process.

nantucketghost • 137 points • 30 July, 2015 07:32 PM*

deleted REMOVED BY AUTOSCRIPT - GOODBYE CRUEL WORLD

news • 60 points • 30 July, 2015 09:22 PM

He regained a reflexive, but unconscious, control of his body and through muscle spasms continued to hold her down, of course.

I don't know whether to laugh or cry.

[deleted] • 16 points • 31 July, 2015 12:02 AM

This isn't just some sadistic parody? This was accepted by sober adults? I hope he successfully sues the shit out of all of them.

news • 9 points • 31 July, 2015 05:52 AM

It was a sarcastic comment under the article, thankfully.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 02:53 AM

I have to physically hold down my vomit at that. What the fuck is up with people?

I think they still teach logic in schools right? So why can they not figure out when people are inventing ways to make a man look guilty?

Unconscious control? Muscle spasms? Jeezus...

ThePopeDoesUSA • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 05:24 AM

They've never taught logic in schools...

2012Aceman • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 09:50 PM

Never let your schooling interfere with your education.

IronMeltsinmyHands • -3 points • 31 July, 2015 05:30 AM

college. university. you know what i mean you jackass.

TheSelfGoverned • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 11:07 PM

They don't teach logic there either.

FACEisEVERYTHING • 41 points • 30 July, 2015 10:50 PM

that is the entire basis of feminism summed up in 1 analogy

now here the entire basis of feminism summed up in 1 pic

http://2.bp.blogspot.com/-4fZZubW1arE/VRvFPy_bl_I/AAAAAAAAAAR0/wHKPU1tPUmY/s800/robertc_personal_cartoon27.jpg

Bwhitty23 • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 08:25 AM

Exactly. The floodgates open once someone slightly above them comes walking by. No fucking

principles at all. Just throw it out and be that chameleon they are to attract the man. Feminism has and will always be a joke. Now we just need the government and MSM stop sucking their teat.

jtzabor • 13 points • 30 July, 2015 09:08 PM

It is insane to me that that logic is allowed to work anywhere.

eagle2401 • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 09:35 AM

I've never thought of this. So if someone gets drunk behind the wheel, it's still their fault but if a woman has sex with a man who was equally intoxicated, they can't consent? Fucking horseshit.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 10:54 AM

Of course they can't consent. Did you never take Feminism 101? You see, women need to be helped to become 'Strong, Independent ~~Children~~ Womyn'

Cyralea • 5 points • 31 July, 2015 01:36 PM

The interesting thing is that if you take the feminist interpretation to its logical conclusion, they're arguing that women are more mentally feeble than men. Men are expected to be personally accountable for their drinking, but women are inferior mentally, and thus incapable of such responsibility. We should accordingly afford them less rights, being the children that they are.

I have never seen anyone come up with a response to that.

BlueFreedom420 • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 05:24 AM

That should have been his whole defense.

dr_warlock • 80 points • 30 July, 2015 07:51 PM*

We're getting to the point where the false accusation and the actual crime are one in the same. Just look at Spain's situation. Mandatory 48 hr imprisonment when falsely accused by a *woman*, no evidence required and the burden of proof is on him. This kid was in jail because 13 girls conspired to falsely accuse him of raping all 13 of them "to teach him a lesson for being a player", **no physical evidence**. Women that intentionally falsely accuse men of rape and abuse, deserve to be raped and abused. Fuck you.

edit: Some people say that she should just serve the same sentence, but a man going to prison for rape is not equal to that a women would experience for any crime no matter how heinous. Man on woman rape is considered worse than murder. Gender incarceration experience is not equal. Not even close. She will never experience the fear inside or out. Not to mention what happens to him afterwards. No one's gonna whiteknight for him and give him a job and people often hunt down *men* on public sex offender's watch list to harass, vandalize, assault, or even killing them. Society never punishes women to the same extent as men. She deserves worse than what she'd recieve in practice.

[deleted] 30 July, 2015 09:22 PM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2015 09:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 6 points • 31 July, 2015 11:05 AM

This was covered in another comment some time ago. It is illegal to get a personal paternity test,

without a court order. However, if you apply for one you will get one. It is about not secretly taking DNA material and using it however you want, it isn't specifically about paternity testing. The mother would have to be informed, but her consent is not required if the man can give reasons for wanting the test. That is my understanding, anyway.

surfjihad • -6 points • 31 July, 2015 01:03 AM

But it is the coolest country in Europe in my humble opinion

IronMeltsinmyHands • 9 points • 31 July, 2015 02:54 AM

I dunno man.. I never liked the sound of Spanish in my ears. I prefer the gentle gargling of a german fraulein or a russian skank.

PlayerXz • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 09:04 AM

Netherlands, Amsterdam, Weed, Red light district.

outraged-man • 9 points • 30 July, 2015 08:15 PM

Europe is pretty culturally Marxist/radically feminist as it is but Spain takes it even further and is practically a failed state.

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 July, 2015 10:26 PM

Europe is pretty culturally Marxist/radically feminist

Seriously? In Europe, there are very few prominent feminazis in the media (Jessica Valenti being a notable exception), there are no "Yes means yes" laws (yet), and there are no kangaroo courts. This are all trends originating in the US.

99639 • 6 points • 30 July, 2015 11:20 PM

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/11633305/University-union-officer-who-wrote-kill-all-white-men-tweet-will-remain-in-post.html>

RomusLupos • 10 points • 30 July, 2015 08:17 PM

I would disagree with this, but I would go as far to say that if you falsely accuse a man of rape and abuse, you should have to serve the same punishment he would have faced if he were found guilty.

dr_warlock • 28 points • 30 July, 2015 08:33 PM

A man going to prison for rape is not equal to that a women would experience for any crime no matter how heinous. Gender incarceration experience is not equal. Not even close. Not to mention what happens to him afterwards. No one's gonna whiteknight for him and give him a job and people often hunt down men on public sex offender's watch list to harass, vandalize, assault, or even killing them. She deserves worse than what she'd recieve in practice.

99639 • 6 points • 30 July, 2015 11:19 PM

I think we should fit the punishment to the severity of the outcome to the victim (the falsely accused). What you essentially are doing is depriving a man of his liberty (jail) with the threat of violence (police and DOC) through abuse of the criminal justice system. To me it's clear the charges against a false accuser should be kidnapping with a deadly weapon, as well as separate charges for abuse of the system and false reports.

Kid_Crimson • 3 points • 30 July, 2015 10:31 PM

This! For so much of the SJW "muh feelz" crime-think addled world **the process is the punishment**. You may eventually get exonerated but not after having your reputation and finances.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 02:55 AM

Women that intentionally falsely accuse men of rape and abuse, deserve to be raped and abused.

Bring back The Code of Hammurabi and you'll bring back sanity.

Assassin1476 • 31 points • 30 July, 2015 08:21 PM

.....and people wonder why the birth rate is decreasing exponentially in certain countries.

theforgottenpeen-2 points 31 July, 2015 01:29 AM **[recovered]**

Honestly, the declining birth rate is probably a good thing. The current generation really shouldn't be reproducing.

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 02:18 PM

Birthrate is declining overall, immigration is rising. Do that maths

Cyralea • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 01:39 PM

Not for the economy, it isn't.

TheSelfGoverned • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 11:13 PM

Good. 3/4 of it is fake anyway. Let it die.

[deleted] • 30 points • 30 July, 2015 09:49 PM

This is getting to be an epidemic. It's open season on straight men on college campuses.

Here's another egregious case IMO that's even worse than the one OP posted.

A male Chinese student (3.8 GPA) at Vassar College who's on the rowing team attends a team party, gets drunk and hooks up with a female member of the team. HE loses his virginity that night.

The woman messages him multiple times on Facebook apologizing for taking advantage of him. Some quotes from her messages:

"I'm really sorry I led you on last night I should have known better than to let myself drink, yet I really don't want this to affect our team dynamic or friendship".

"I did not treat you very well, it was disrespectful on my part to do what I did because I was drunk."

"I care about you and I never ever meant to hurt you and we were both drunk."

Fast forward a year later, someone is accused of sexual misconduct and expelled from Vassar College. Must be the female student, right? According to the Facebook messages, she clearly took advantage of him and regretted it.

Fuck no, that would make too much sense. The female student pressed charges against the male student, claiming that the messages "did not correctly reflect her feelings" due to her being in a state of "shock and disbelief" about the encounter. The male student was expelled and recently lost a lawsuit against Vassar.

[deleted] • 17 points • 31 July, 2015 12:33 AM

That's my favorite fucking part. Ohhhh those messages are NORMAL for someone who just got raped. Appearing like a fucking liar is usually what happens!! Fucking joke dude.

edit: no they wouldn't dare say "usually happens" it's "any range of behavior could happen, including acting like a liar"

lindependentmale[S] • 11 points • 31 July, 2015 02:15 AM

Basically, nothing she says or does after the supposed rape counts, period. What a way to run a fucking system of "justice." This shit is whack.

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 03:32 AM

She was skipping around and laughing about how she got an innocent person arrested ---> OH THAT'S HOW SOME PEOPLE PROCESS THE EVENT!!

redpillschool • 5 points • 31 July, 2015 05:46 AM

Being a victim is basically like a giant full-time life mulligan.

[deleted] • 7 points • 31 July, 2015 06:08 AM

Women are appalled and shocked whenever they actually face consequences for any of their actions. Come to think of it I can't even think of any situations where women face real consequences. Legal system I guess, even though it's under half of what a man would face.

[deleted] 30 July, 2015 09:17 PM*

[permanently deleted]

Precocious_Kid • 44 points • 30 July, 2015 10:15 PM

Or allege she stuck a finger up your ass while you were intoxicated. That's female on male rape that you didn't consent to. File a rape charge to counter hers and watch the whole trial implode/devolve into a he-said-she-said cluster fuck.

[deleted] • 12 points • 31 July, 2015 01:21 AM

I think you're onto something here.

netgrey3 points 31 July, 2015 03:51 PM* [recovered]

Fuck that, come out as preop trans woman.

pilledwillingly • 22 points • 30 July, 2015 09:20 PM

If two lesbians get drunk and have sex, how do they know who the rapist is?

roseffin • 55 points • 30 July, 2015 10:01 PM

Whichever man is nearest them. ;-)

truthiesttruth • 57 points • 30 July, 2015 07:50 PM

Do NOT have sex on a university campus!

Leftist liberal feminists cunts have taken over the space and therefore all male rights ARE GONE. You are a rapist in their eye should any of them chose to say so, regardless of circumstances.

They will even police your language and prevent you from using words that potentially could harm feelz™ such as tramp/whore/slut/rape.

Your best bet? Move into a house off campus in your first year, dont even bother with residence. You will lose exposure to campus girls but its worth it to retain basic civil liberties.

I hope Amherst and this lying cunt get destroyed in the court system. The guy deserves a free meal ticket for the rest of his life.

It is only going to get worse from here on out.

[deleted] • 15 points • 30 July, 2015 10:38 PM

The wellesley college consent rules rules went public about a decade and a half ago when I hit college. I resolved never to have sex with a girl if she was drunk (the first time) that was a real game killer. I need two hands to count the pieces of ass that it cost me. And the kicker is that they got nailed by guys, often the next day.

Olipyr • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 12:29 AM*

This comment has been overwritten by an open source script to protect this user's privacy. It was created to help protect users from doxing, stalking, and harassment.

If you would also like to protect yourself, add the Chrome extension TamperMonkey, or the Firefox extension GreaseMonkey and add this open source script.

Then simply click on your username on Reddit, go to the comments tab, scroll down as far as possible (hint:use RES), and hit the new OVERWRITE button at the top.

Also, please consider using an alternative to Reddit - political censorship is unacceptable.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:26 AM

sober girl said she was a squirter. I feel like she pissed on me. no squirt.

redpillschool • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 05:46 AM

I'm pretty certain that's what it is.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 02:17 AM

Oh, yes, its most definitely pee. But it presented as incontinence, and not a powerful release.

[deleted] 30 July, 2015 11:13 PM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 10 points • 30 July, 2015 11:22 PM

eh, I'm not. I spent 15 years of my life in LTRs. At 33 I'm single after my divorce and Im looking to double my N count from 5 to 10 by years end. @ 7 since June. Decent, but not spectacular clip.

ioncloud9 • 5 points • 31 July, 2015 12:35 AM

Im well into the double digits. After a while its all the same. Focus on yourself instead of your count.

user_none • 7 points • 31 July, 2015 03:28 AM

Isn't that the truth?

I've been married, umm, let's leave it at "too many times" and during the single periods of my

life I've done quite well in the getting some strange department. I'm to the point now that it takes a special kind of freaky, or really awesome chick, to have me close to believing the sex was all that good.

"Do I go for a run, or do I go on a date? Eh, fuck it, go for a run."

waynebradysworld • 2 points • 30 July, 2015 11:51 PM

Fill those holes bruh, fill those holes

i_forget_my_userids • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 04:02 AM

5 in 5 months should be cake. Have faith.

NineInchPitchfork • 5 points • 30 July, 2015 08:24 PM

Idk if that resolves anything as most school's *Honor Codes* apply to relations between students regardless of location. If your desired approach is to avoid all possible risk on this issue, restrict your sexual activities to those with non-students.

truthiesttruth • 14 points • 30 July, 2015 08:43 PM

Its been my understanding that the bullshit kangaroo university court system only has jurisdiction over the campus, whatever happens off campus has to be dealt with by the actual law, in other words little ms lying cunt has to phone the police instead of the campus rape line. Filing a false police report has more legal ramifications than lying to the campus feminist feelz center.

Perhaps someone more versed in the law could weigh in and clear this up...

NineInchPitchfork • 5 points • 30 July, 2015 08:51 PM

Those are two different matters. Campus police have jurisdiction over actions that occur on the university grounds and local law enforcement for those outside. That is geographical jurisdiction. However, the *Honor Code* governs behavior involving students. For instance, if you plagiarized your term paper but did so while sitting at an off-campus Starbucks it does not matter. That is offence jurisdiction.

In this instance, she made an academic complaint which means the *Honor Code* governs. She could have made a concurrent criminal complaint which would have been dealt with by the criminal justice system. Two different processes are in play in these cases.

unassumingusername7 • 1 point • 30 July, 2015 08:42 PM

Or students of other universities.

watch_ping • 2 points • 30 July, 2015 09:03 PM

It's not just that. It's anything related to students. I work for a university and I'm part of a university club team that is mostly students. If I even overhear a claim of abuse by anyone there, I'm required to report it or I could lose my job. It's just plain silly.

surfjihad • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:01 AM

I agree with what you're saying and your logic is sound but if you don't live in the dorms the first year how you going to make all those friends with whom you can room with off campus next year? Plus the dorms are a wild raging party

RealRational • 16 points • 30 July, 2015 08:33 PM

Man, I started thinking you fucked up on the keyboard or something... I can't even read that. Her quotes.

[deleted] • 8 points • 31 July, 2015 02:26 AM

Look at that strong and independent woman making great use of the expensive college education that Mommy and Daddy paid for, by hardly being able to formulate a complete or coherent goddamn sentence. Christ, if I interviewed someone for a position and that's how they responded to questions, that interview wouldn't last 5 minutes.

Melon_Balls3 points 30 July, 2015 09:33 PM [recovered]

Have you ever had a court case like this

RealRational • 4 points • 30 July, 2015 09:44 PM

lol, it's like they get stuck in a feedback loop. The oldest children in the house indeed.

Ibex3D • 3 points • 30 July, 2015 09:52 PM

I thought it was mocking her. Holy shit. Im not always the best with words but fuck, how do you screw up that bad?

Independentmale[S] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 02:12 AM

Easy to screw up that bad when you're a dumb, super basic bitch. What astounds me is that any of this nonsense was believable by the supposed adults running that college. They're so fucking *enamored* with these precious little snowflakes and their progressive, feminist ideologies they are literally blind to the truth.

cariboo_j • 24 points • 30 July, 2015 07:36 PM*

Historians will look back on this time period as McCarthyism 2.0.

Or maybe witchhunts 2.0.

razorwan • 8 points • 30 July, 2015 07:54 PM

Unfortunately that's unlikely, as much as whatever victim complex we may feel tells us. This is because the punishments aren't skewed enough, nor do they get enough attention. Not to mention that when you're targeting a spectrum as wide as males, it's hard to pinpoint specific demographics being mistreated. As such it's even less likely to be labeled as sexist.

Maybe in a decade or so people will shit on feminism for a variety of reasons, though. Already seeing seeds of discontent on popular media.

cariboo_j • 2 points • 30 July, 2015 11:34 PM

You're probably right... Rape hysteria is confined to universities and doesn't affect the general public all that much. It probably won't make it into the history textbooks.

jewishclaw • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 04:48 AM*

I'm not supporting or condemning McCarthy, but let the record show, after the fall of the Soviet Union, documents made it quite clear that there many paid soviet agents in the US, including members of congress. This is not controversial or conspiratorial. McCarthy's paranoia was very well founded and now supported by mountains of hard evidence. His methods, on the other hand...

evilquesadilla12 points 30 July, 2015 09:51 PM [recovered]

This will not stand up in court, which is why it never went to court as rape. This is only in colleges and universities, because they are taking the easy way out by sacrificing male students to get federal funding.

Eventually, they will stomp on students whose families have the financial means to fight back, as with a case I believe in UC San Diego. As more and more of these incidents happen, more and more lawsuits that colleges will lose, when finally it hit their pocket books in a negative manner, they will start to change their policies. It's not about ethics, what's right, what's wrong, it's about money. But we all know that. The number one goal of these education institutions is to make money.

Luckily this, so far, has not extended to outside of college campuses. And personally I believe it is extremely unlikely that it will. But bad news for any men going to college, or have a loved one that is.

tyrryt • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 03:38 AM

All the incentives are on one side, it's a no-brainer:

if they find the guy innocent, they risk a shitstorm from the sjw screeching whackos, mattress stunts, "activists" and politicians using the case for media opportunities, boycotts, etc.

if they find him "guilty", nothing happens, and they pick the next sucker in line to pony up the \$200k in loans.

Cyralea • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:49 PM

Thing is, it won't change anything. They've likely factored in lawsuit payouts as part of their operating costs. It's cheaper to pay the odd lawsuit here or there than to lose enrollment by women. They're the primary applicants, after all.

TruckerJohn • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 06:02 PM

I never even thought to follow the money trail in this situation. The more women their school has the more funding they get. Do you have a source for that?

NineInchPitchfork • 25 points • 30 July, 2015 08:45 PM

Coincidentally I was asked to review the transcripts and disclosure of this matter and write an article for a legal publication (about the denial of the right to have counsel present and assist during these hearings). That aside, I think there are some lessons to be learned here.

Allegations: she alleges that they hooked up at party, she was tipsy, he was drunk. She started performing oral sex on him in her room that she shares with roommate (who happens to be dude's gf). She says during this time she told him "what if E.K. shows up, we should stop." He ignores her. She then explicitly tells him "no" but he refuses and they continue until he ejaculates.

He says: I was so drunk I don't remember but I am not the kind of guy who would do something like this, so find me not guilty.

Lessons:

(1) He should have obtained legal advice prior to hearing, ideally the minute he first heard about this allegation through friends or social media. His approach at the hearing sucked and this could have gone way better.

(2) Do not talk about shit like this on social media. A tremendous portion of the evidence in this case was as a result of social media exchanges between the alleged victim, the accused and their friends. This is yet another instance where the preoccupation of a virtual life was at the expense of the real one. Stupid.

(3) Check your intoxication. If you get totally blasted to the extent you have no memory of events, you are

totally at the mercy of other peoples recollections. His defence was not a legal defence. People come to court and say I am a good person blah blah blah all the time. He gave no basis for the board to reject her version of events. Stupid.

(4) If you do have sex with another student, document it in some fashion. Even if it's simply a post-fucking text exchange that shows it was all nice and everyone was happy. Better than that though, is know the person you are having sex with. A random is risky.

(5) Curb your enthusiasm about bragging. In this case it was his repeating the story within the friends group that got the whole ball rolling. If he had shut up about it, she likely would never have made a complaint.

Bottom line: all told, these conduct hearings at universities are a joke when it comes to maintaining some sort of standard of fairness and due process. Avoid them by making smart decisions. If you get accused, shut your mouth and call a lawyer.

Independentmale[S] • 15 points • 30 July, 2015 09:44 PM

She says during this time she told him "what if E.K. shows up, we should stop." He ignores her. She then explicitly tells him "no" but he refuses and they continue until he ejaculates.

It was unclear to me whether they fucked or she just gave him head. If the latter is true, then how in the hell does a guy "refuse to stop" when she's the one sucking the dick? She didn't claim he used force or threatened her in any way. It sounds like she sucked his cock a bit, said "No, we should stop", then went right back to sucking... and this is somehow his fault?

I guess when you live in a fantasy world where women have no agency and are not responsible for their actions, this is what you end up with. "He wanted me to do it and I wanted him to like me so I did it even though I only kind of sort of wanted to, like not really wanted to, like, apparently, like not really, but I kind of did, so I did it because, like, yeah. So that's totally like rape, or something. He raped me. I was raped. Rape. Rape rape rape. Someone please give me attention?"

NineInchPitchfork • 10 points • 30 July, 2015 10:34 PM

It was just oral sex so her story is that she felt compelled to continue. You would have thought that would have raised some questions at the hearing but they just 'blew' right past that. Honestly, all kidding aside, it makes no fucking sense whatsoever. The only other times I have seen allegations of sexual assault by means of forced oral is where weapons were held on victim or violence used/threatened. This girl could have simply walked away. Ridiculous.

BluepillProfessor • 3 points • 30 July, 2015 11:19 PM

they just 'blew' right past that.

Both the victim and panel blew right past that.

cariboo_j • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 02:13 AM

she felt compelled to continue

Compelled? What does "feeling compelled" consist of? That's so vague it can be abused easily.

SolarLiner • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 12:20 AM

So we've come full circle. **Rape has become a weapon** against anyone, especially women against men. We men do have a weakness though, explained so well by that Robin Williams quote:

God has given us a brain and a penis, but not enough blood to run both at the same time.

Rape is pretty tough to talk about; there's a lot of victim blaming, and yet supposed "rapists" tend to be declared guilty most of the time, in spite of facts. And let's not forget that this is a taboo as well, and that "rape" has different meanings in different people.

The only way to be fully protected? Film it. Save it somewhere with correct metadata filled in, and backed up so that you have it as proof. Then call a lawyer to approve the footage as legal evidence.

Oh wait, she'll sue you anyway because you tried to film it and she didn't openly express her consent before the act, and is shocked to see that a condom is not the only thing he prepared his protection with ...

CS192837465 • 6 points • 30 July, 2015 09:47 PM*

[deleted]

What is this?

B_Campbell • 9 points • 31 July, 2015 01:10 AM

Two fucking years later she changes her mind? Only way after her friends started calling her a slut. Jesus Christ. This guy should get two million dollars. School needs to feel the pain. Take it out of activities and put a line item in every tuition bill "false rape claim settlement"

the_red_scimitar • 15 points • 31 July, 2015 01:41 AM

Note: Guaranteed unpopular opinions expressed herein.

Dear college-going men,

I finished college before you were born, and the one thing about the current crop of college-goers that I know is that you will become the new "general population", over time, and the values you have will influence what is considered normal.

I believe this culture of zero-tolerance, which really means zero justice, zero rationality, and zero common sense, is becoming more and more "normal". And honestly, if you want to blame women and feminism, then aren't YOU denying YOUR own agency? If it's "their fault", then only "they" can fix it.

But it's not, it's yours, and mine, and collectively "ours" as (hopefully) thinking men and humans. It is well understood and oft written about that women in our society have, through social feminism, created a huge safety net for themselves, almost entirely at the expense of men.

Where is the safety net WE made? Where are the organizations that will defend a man in such cases as this? Where is it's funding?

TRP is NOT going to fix this. It makes us painfully aware of this, and necessarily so, but it offers essentially no social solution, and I don't believe it was ever intended to. Which makes stories like this both illuminating, and seem like whining, at the same time.

"Watch your backs" is poor advice. We don't need to just become paranoid, frightened people. The advice is too general, and the tone of TRP often seems to take one that would foster actual *fear* of women. And I'm sure some of you think fear is exactly the right mindset, but I think it is entirely the feminine imperative's mindset. It is precisely how YOU get knocked down into beta-hood, grant your agency to them (who neither have nor want any, actually). I believe the sole power of feminism is men who have granted it that power, and that includes every TRP-er who feels that women are now dangerous. I'll tell you what is dangerous: beta, feminism-poisoned men who hold positions of control or power, and that would definitely include many prosecutors and judges. I work out regularly with an Assistant DA of a major metro area, and his perspective is entirely the one given him

through feminism. He is a danger to you and to me.

What good is all your PUA moves, if it results in an unacceptable increase in danger to YOU, of legal action and incarceration? It would seem to be of negative value, since by using your PUA skills, you increase the chance you will be called out as a "rapist", merely because you know how to get a woman to WANT to bed you (at least at that moment).

Yet, that is precisely what the feminine imperative mandates. Abandon your PUA stuff and get in line. YOU must *grant them your power*, in the form of time, attention, finance and largess of all kinds, physical prowess, protection, fatherhood, etc. And increasingly, what you are told to expect back is *nothing*. And TRP makes that point even more emphatically than the feminist agenda does.

The "men's rights" movement is, at best, a joke, no matter it's good intent. And I mean it is a joke at actually matching the kind of social pressures that feminism has. It simply has done nothing of the kind.

We need a real call to action. I personally know a man who, in his mid-20's (about 10 years ago) was falsely accused of domestic violence, and had his entire life essentially destroyed before the young lady admitted she'd made it all up because he broke up with her. And despite involving the legal and penal systems, and causing certainly thousands, and likely 10s of thousands of dollars (or more, for all I know) to be spent prosecuting and incarcerating my friend, there was exactly no blowback from the so-called "justice" system. He was released, and she never heard anything further about it.

That was 10 years ago. The situation on the college campuses seems to have become one in which this kind of reason-less knee-jerk reaction has become institutionalized.

I am not even offering a solution, but the problem is real, and if it is allowed to move into the mainstream as you (college men in general) graduate and permeate society, then much of TRP will become useless. I could easily envision laws enacted which would consider PUA information "terrorism", or at least on a par with such information as bomb making, or with threats of murder, etc. Can you not imagine feminist men, and gleeful, man-hating feminist women, saying how traumatized they were simply seeing a website of such information? How it made them distrust all men (lol) and ruined their lives, blah blah. And it would not only be believed, but would become a *cause célèbre*. It could become a point of evidence that you frequent the manosphere, read its books, etc. Frankly, I'm willing to bet it already has, if not in a court of law, then certainly in some university's "investigation" into a "rape" allegation.

And none of this says there isn't such a thing as rape, and that it isn't violent and frankly evil. But, as with the example OP has given us, the shape and color of rape has now been given to many things clearly not only not *rape*, but so far from it as to constitute a prejudice against men, on par with racism.

So, men in institutions of higher learning, the front lines of this battle: I see your generation as being more connected, more potentially powerful at leveraging ideas into social movements, than any past generation. Perhaps it's going to take some spectacularly unjust and hugely visible occurrence to start any momentum, but still - you guys are the vanguard of what comes next for our society. Be on the lookout for your opportunities.

GiraffeOfTheEndWorld • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 01:50 AM

I'm not even sure what to say.

You just make an incredibly good point, sir.

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:26 AM

It is precisely how YOU get knocked down into beta-hood, grant your agency to them (who neither have nor want any, actually).

Nope. Avoidance of risk is how you become a man going your own way - MGTOW, the core red pill path.

the_red_scimitar • 0 points • 1 August, 2015 08:55 PM

You missed the point. Also, you are aware the manosphere is not at all in accord on your point? There are many who see people using MGTOW as an excuse to just have no game. That's the type of risk avoidance that DOESN'T work.

oldredder • 1 point • 2 August, 2015 02:40 AM

No, you missed the point. My comment was **the** point. Clarified.

Having game or not is **never important**, having your life in order is what's important. Worrying about having game to pick up women is like worrying if you have skill as a carpenter. You can pick & choose your skills as suits you.

just have no game. That's the type of risk avoidance that DOESN'T work.

It works 100%.

the_red_scimitar • 1 point • 3 August, 2015 02:39 AM

We aren't going to agree, and we aren't even arguing. Thanks for your perspective.

libertypole • 7 points • 30 July, 2015 11:47 PM

Amherst College is the epitome of the disgustingly leftist elitist liberal arts colleges. you cannot go there unless you're a special snowflake. rich and you spent your high school years chained to a desk. it's not well adjusted people.

cosmicartery3 points 31 July, 2015 01:07 AM **[recovered]**

Not just Amherst, I visited Emory University (ranked 21st) for a semester and a half and boy are there some elitist fucks there. Everyone was fake. Everyone. There was something off about them all... my guess was everyone was on some kind of medication to cope with the amount of fucked up

DylanRed • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 05:35 PM

Friend from high school goes there and she brought back a friend to a party in our home town and that's the same vibe I got from him

ProudRedPill6 points 30 July, 2015 10:17 PM* **[recovered]**

Man its shit like this that makes me want to just not try to learn game anymore because god damn these kind of girls sound like they could be anyone.

That cute girl from your class or that girl that is a hot barristo.

I mean these people get their LIVES fucked up because of a one night stand.

AND IN THIS CASE THE MAN IS NOT EVEN THE GUILTY ONE. Like how the fuck is this even possible?

jewishclaw • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 05:07 AM

For \$300, you can record every sexual encounter you have. At some point, signal her to get on top. Even in this femitard wasteland, no one is going to convict you of raping a girl with video evidence of you on your back and her riding your dick screaming for more.

[deleted] • 5 points • 31 July, 2015 07:57 AM

WOW, do I have something to say about this story. For those of you who do not know, please allow me to

describe the reputation of Amherst College to all of you. As an undergraduate student at UMASS Amherst (About a mile or so away from AC), I've been to more than my fair share of AC parties. AC has a few student dorms that they allow students to throw parties in under the guise that the parties are "under control" because they're technically on campus property. But that is far from the case. Every weekend during the academic year these party dorms turn into an absolute shitshow. Screaming girls, shirtless bros, packed dance floors, you name it. Oh, and the kids are constantly hooking up with each other. In fact, a decent portion of the kids partying at AC are actually UMASS kids; because AC has a reputation that it's relatively easy to pull girls out of the parties there. And to be honest, it really is that easy. One time, i pulled a girl from one of those parties and banged her in her dorm room. When I told her I didn't go to AC, she started to get upset and remorseful; and that's when I decided to save my ass and run out of her dorm. From what I've experienced, a large portion of the women at AC are catty, bitchy, entitled idiots that think they can just sail through college on daddy's money while heavily riding the CC. Amherst College, of course, wants to save their reputation so they did the only politically correct thing to do: punish the guy. An unfortunate tale, to say the least.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 10:51 AM

Smart move to run dude, seriously.

PlayerXz • 5 points • 31 July, 2015 09:34 AM

The thing that scares me most about this is that she only files a rape claim 21 months later and is still taken serious. How the fuck is that possible? Wouldn't you think that if a woman got actually raped she would press charges straight away?

What is the time limit for these kind of accusations? Or do women have an unlimited time span to accuse you of rape if you ever had sex with them?

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 03:51 PM

Like at some point, if someone wronged you, you have to come out and say it. Let's be fucking real 2 years is a goddamn joke. This is fucking insanity dude. Jesus Christ.

antihostile • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 12:40 AM

Every man in this society lives with the Sword of Femocles above his head. At any time, that thing could drop.

surfjihad • 4 points • 31 July, 2015 12:59 AM

I have taken heart that a lot of these men that are unfairly accused of rape and expelled from college are turning around and suing the shit out of the colleges or Rolling Stone and making legal progress

MrRexels • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 12:44 AM

How the hell do you drink so much you don't remember anything or blackout? Did I just get lucky genetically and was born with an iron liver or something? Like, I drink in a way that would make an Irishman jealous and I've never had that kind of shit happen to me.

imthemanysesiam • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 04:31 AM

Fraternity pledging helps

20+ shots in a half hour can do it

GiraffeOfTheEndWorld • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:52 AM

Probably, I mean holy shit. My Love can drink half a bottle of jack and be drunk but not sick or passing out,

yet he doesn't remember half of anything.

Most people experience lots of memory loss during heavy drinking.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 05:03 AM

Men, stop going to Amherst. Its really that simple. Not like its the only university in the US.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 01:13 PM

Crying rape is the greatest form of ASD the woman has ever come up with.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 04:05 PM

The amount of false rape accusations are undeniable proof women don't give a flying fuck about men.

indlife3 points 31 July, 2015 02:20 PM **[recovered]**

It sucks that a young guy can't have fun with girls willing to do the same because now more than ever there is always the possibility that a young woman will claim what was a consensual sexual act was really her being taken advantage of in some fashion. You get kicked out of school after spending tens of thousands. The DOJ and DOE at the federal level are putting all these rules upon higher education because they don't like what they are seeing. The way I see, these "everyone is equal" types want young women to be able to drink at the same level as the guys. However, they want it put upon the men to not be men when it comes to hooking up, but they want young women to be given a pass. They want it to be OK for young women to flirt, twerk, etc. while drinking, but demand that young men "do the right thing" and not be tempted by this obvious flirting.

The thing is, stuff like this will just continue to harm the US (and likely other countries as well). Oh well, I'm as prepared as I can be for the eventual collapse of this country. Destroying your male population is one sure fire way to make sure a country has no future. We are pretty much there right now. Young men will tread carefully in college, and once they have that degree and money, they will be able to finally go out to the bars to have fun. Of course this will likely push the age of marriage back closer to 40, as these young men will have years to make up for, which will be added to the back-end of the traditional "slowing down" age most follow. This will result in us seeing even more articles from 30 and 40 something women complaining about men not "growing up" and giving them the life they want with a devoted, rich husband and two kids.

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:23 AM

Yup. If a drunk girl tries to pick me up at the bar I have to make sure she has zero ability to trace back to me or I dodge. The risk of false rape accusation is too high. The society i'm in says she can strip naked and beg for sex but if she's drunk, it's rape.

raceAround126 • 2 points • 30 July, 2015 10:20 PM

Gentlement, cameras. The camera never lies.

The real shame is drunk fucking. The times I have drunk fucked with a girl, it's been awesome. Complete loss of inhibitions, letting loose and crazy... it's shit like this that will end a man and woman to have good old fashioned drunk sex.

This has really gone too far. Women need a solid fucking kick up the arse and learn that shit in life have consequences and not every sexual encounter is rape.

Until that day, if you want to fuck a girl, take her to your place, cameras all over the fucking place.

I currently have five cameras around my place all dumping to hard disk. It's shit like that which makes it necessary.

SolarLiner • 3 points • 31 July, 2015 12:24 AM

But then she enters, notices, and sues you because she didn't openly consent in being filmed. She wins because this is technically porn material acquired without consent.

Or, she doesn't, then sues you for raping, you throw your "I recorded everything" card, and then get put in jail because you don't have the right to film her.

Either way, she wins, you lose, feminists are happy because "another rapist thrown into jail", and your whole life is fucked up because once you took one too many sip.

cosmicartery5 points 31 July, 2015 01:03 AM [recovered]

You don't have the right to film on your own property? I know so many families who have cameras around their property, whether or not ppl know they're there.

SolarLiner • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 12:35 PM

You can use video-surveillance for security purposes, however filming sex acts is pornography.

Disclaimer: Not American, but that's how it works here in France, I'd assume that would be somewhat similar. And if she sues you for raping, she sure will try (and will most likely win) to take down the recordings.

raceAround126 • 2 points • 1 August, 2015 12:27 AM*

The UK law is open to interpretation on this matter. The bedroom camera is there for security purposes as are all other cameras in my property. Protection against being wrongfully accused of a crime falls under that category. While I have not checked this with a lawyer, I would happily present the case that my justification for security is valid as the footage disproved a serious and damaging claim about my behavior and character.

Maybe I should explain what prompted me to do this.

I had a FWB for a few months. She was sweet, good looking, we fucked like bunnies, all the rest of it. She wanted the girlfriend upgrade. Moreover, she wanted her own key kinda girlfriend upgrade and was pretty serious about it. I played it down the first few times until I eventually had to be firm and reiterate that I was not into a long term relationship with anybody and no interest in sharing my life to that degree.

Against my better judgement, we went out together the week after. She had a little too much to drink, as far as I was concerned there was no way I was going to sleep with her like that. My plan was to put her in the guest room to sleep it off.

She was in my living room and demanded why I wouldn't man up and be exclusive with her. I had to tell her again and she got pretty angry really quickly. At that point she hit me across the face. I said right then and there that she was leaving and she could wait outside for a taxi I would call for her.

She got even more confrontational and actually threatened to call the police on me. She threatened to call the cops on me. She even dared me to hit her back and at that point there was a tonne of shouting, etc.

I walked out my front door so the no looking neighbours could see me outside. I spoke to her from the front door as she stood there drunk shouting at me. I told her to leave my house and that was that.

Eventually she stormed out and sauntered away. I closed and latched the door on her.

The whole experience shook me badly. Stories of fake rape cries and cops knocking on my door played with my mind. I didn't get a wink of sleep that night. This after an early start and big workout that day too.

The way I see it, I shaved a bollock on that one. She turned from cute and cuddly to fucking scary in a millisecond. And the reality is, if she had called the cops, she could have told them ANYTHING and I would not have been able to say blue duck quack fuck about it.

I ordered the cameras the next day and took the next off work to install them all. There is no way ever at all that I will ever take another chance like that again. Not a hope in hell will I.

So frankly, I would quite happily welcome a follow up suit of filming a sex act without permission, or however you want to call it and defend that. Because it's simply far easier for me to defend this rather than a he said she said rape case which, as is evidenced by countless kangaroo courts, I am not going to stand a chance in. While I would like to trust that not all girls are that psychotic and that our friends with benefits trust level extends to the realms of good fun and consensual sex, I cannot trust women enough. There is just too much evidence to the contrary in the world. And the way I see it, I already had my warning shot. You don't need to give me a second warning.

So really, the tables are reversed. Girls just have to trust that I won't make any footage available to the world. And I will not. But the fact is, I don't trust women enough anymore.

Don't get me wrong. I like the girls I'm with. As far as I know, they're all straight up girls who like fucking me and that's it. I have no intention of making sex tapes available to anybody ever. And to be honest, I am far more reliant of the cameras downstairs. Simply because that's where this girl turned into she-beast and threatened me.

She has contacted me since, but my radio silence I think has told her for certain I want nothing at all to do with her.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 03:59 PM

It's insanity that you can't in some places use cameras to exonerate yourself. I'd say that's more "home security purpose" than ANYTHING. It's a lot fucking more urgent of a need than preventing a robbery is, fuck.

And what the FUCK do you want us to do? If a girl wants to say "he hit me" or "he raped me" with no evidence, WE'RE FUCKED. Like literally what are we supposed to do? Oh you can't film in your own home, that's illeeeegaaal. But we also don't require any evidence to put you away for 40 years and ruin your life. FFS man goddamn

raceAround126 • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 07:57 PM

Well it does serve a double purpose. Thinking about the ultra-negative, if a girl does pull the rape card and a judge dismisses my video evidence, I am perfectly fine with posting the video of the so-called rape up on the Internet for the world to see.

I never thought anything like a girl threatening to set the law on me would ever happen. I figured it was the trope of Internet folklore and unfortunate circumstances. That was until I had it in my very own living room in my own home. And there was absolutely no warning shot, she turned within seconds. I made the good decision by walking outside the front of my house the second she wouldn't leave after slapping me.

Luckily she never followed through on any threats; but I only have the rest of my life to worry about if one day she isn't going to hamster up a story to get back at me for not

falling on my knees to commit to her.

cosmicartery1 points 31 July, 2015 04:01 PM [recovered]

This might just be pointless debate, but I believe my logic still stands: First of all, the recordings won't be up anywhere (except in court if proof is necessary). And second, the recordings WOULD be for security purposes, i.e. in showing proof in a court that it wasn't rape and that it was consensual.

And isn't filming sex acts only pornography if *intended* for a pornographic audience? I mean look at the movie Nymph()maniac--real sex scenes, yet you wouldn't classify it as porn, but as cinema. Just sayin

SolarLiner • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 08:22 PM

I did oversimplify it, yeah. Of course not every footage of sexual acts is pornography, however this is how it can be perceived, especially by a girl (and her lawyer) that sued you over a night of sex.

What I'm saying is that you gotta be careful when you start recording stuff people don't want to see being filmed.

cosmicartery1 points 31 July, 2015 08:29 PM [recovered]

I would assume hard logic would ultimately win in court over subjective perceptions. But hey I hear you. I wouldn't film my dog and I getting it on

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:29 AM

France is fucked up - how it works in other countries is a better baseline. In France you can't even force a paternity test - a court will deny it for the good of the woman and les enfants.

raceAround126 • 3 points • 1 August, 2015 12:08 AM

This is the UK. I don't need anybody's consent to record them in my own home. I don't need to inform them nor warn them of their presence.

The cameras can be set for either motion sensing, continuous or off entirely. The camera in the bedroom is very well hidden. It doesn't actually even look like a camera.

I'm not interested in making secret porn videos and have no intention of uploading anything to anywhere. The machine the cameras dump to are on a private network that has no connectivity with the outside world.

They are there for my protection. If somebody breaks into my home and i have to defend myself, the camera evidence is there. If a girl cries rape, then the cameras will prove otherwise. And, "Sorry, officer. I must have forgotten to turn the home surveillance system off in the heat of passion when I came in the door."

OrpheusV • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 05:13 AM [recovered]

Most sane courts will throw that out if there is a sign posted near the entrance stating "These premises are being recorded". Although at that point that's too much just to cover our own asses.

Shit's fucked.

SolarLiner • 0 points • 31 July, 2015 12:28 PM

Lol, the moment you plant that sign, is the moment you can also say RIP to your sexual life. Or, you'll have to find women that fits into a very specific category ... not sure if want.

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:28 AM

yes sure if want - women who want to be filmed having sex is awesome.

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:28 AM

if there's signs up her consent isn't required

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:28 AM

good but only if you publish. Any judge can dismiss it.

favours_of_the_moon • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 12:50 AM

Amherst is gonna lose that case.

What would happen if the girl put something in the dude's butt? Would SHE then be guilty?

lesleysnipes • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 11:24 AM

Reminds me of Ched Evans. UK law.

Promising young British footballer has threesome with male friend and one night stand female in hotel room.

Female wakes up in hotel alone. Doesn't cry rape only that drink was spiked. Officers find traces off weed alcohol and cocaine but no roofies.

Both males cooperate with police and admit they had consensual sex with female. Female then changes her story to rape. Friend of ched who also had sex not charged, Ched

Evans a £20,000 a week footballer convicted of rape as female was too intoxicated to consent. Sentenced to 2 years in prison and now is not allowed to play professional football. Might I add he would of gone on to play in the top tier EPL no doubt.

<https://www.crimeline.info/case/r-v-ched-evans-chedwyn-evans>

Tqbfjotlds • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 01:09 PM **[recovered]**

The deck is stacked against you in every way imaginable on the modern college campus.

These people are graduating and bringing this indoctrination into the real world. It won't be long before this ideology infects the corporate world and justice system.

1independentmale[S] • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 04:45 PM

Nah; in the real world we still have this little thing called the Constitution. None of this shit would fly in an actual court of law, which is why these dumb ho's won't go to the police with their lies.

My first rule of rape accusations: If she won't file a police report, she's a fucking liar and it didn't happen the way she claims.

oldredder • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 06:23 AM

actual courts of law have already stomped all over the 1st, 2nd, 4th amendments with glee.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2015 04:04 PM

a-fucking-men.

Oh but but but she didn't want the traauma, no fuck you, you're a fucking lying cunt. fuck off.

BlackHeart89 • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 05:39 PM

Its a good thing I never get that fucked up. Not even about getting accused of rape. Rather, I don't trust anybody around enough for that. People out here are full of shit, bro.

You have to always watch your back. Sort of hard to do when you're fucked up.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 08:33 PM

Hi... Just a quick question... How bout filing a false rape accusation claim as soon he knew he's accused of rape and going to the kangaroo court??? I mean the police definitely has the jurisdiction over the campus... Isn't that a way to say "Fuck you... We are going to a real court..."???

[deleted] 30 July, 2015 09:21 PM

[permanently deleted]

psycho-logical • 8 points • 30 July, 2015 11:24 PM

That's a rational mentality. Get the fuck out of here.

YoungRedOne • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:11 PM

So he was blackout drunk and couldn't defend himself from a slut and got taking advantage of big deal he's wrong and he's a man...he should just man up and take responsibility for what he did and rot in prison. Filthy bastard....

mr_one_liner • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 06:36 PM

The literal transcription got me laughing. Nice.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 01:10 AM

God Americans are fucking dumb. Bury your heads in the sand until all your men leave.

[deleted] • -1 points • 30 July, 2015 09:25 PM

I think the real point of these policies is to act as a deterrent to young males who aren't otherwise frightened off by the prospect of potentially having to support a kid they didn't want or weren't ready for, or from having to go on the lam.

Society doesn't want men to knock women up UNLESS they can support the child. Some might say that they want a bunch of single mothers dependent on the government and the private sector. But how much sweeter to have DIVORCED single mothers. Children had out of wedlock can only get child support payments. Also, think of the earnings power of some teenager versus a guy in his thirties having a kid for the first time. A teenaged father will be barely scraping by, especially in this economy.

Therefore, they put most of the responsibility on the male to discourage them from trying to have sex when they are relatively young. Also corporate American wants the young women in the workforce on the treadmill for as long as possible to keep that labor pool nice and large, as well as divided.

[deleted] • 6 points • 30 July, 2015 10:38 PM*

You're giving way too much credit to the people who thought up these policies.

That's not how this country works. There's no long term, deep thinking in the US Government or on college

campuses about how society should develop. It's more like you've got this group lobbying for shit and that group, and some of those groups are stronger or more organized than others. People in power want to remain in power, so they make knee-jerk fixes to placate the more powerful groups. Then you end up with a patchwork array of laws with different methods of enforcement, some of which are grossly unfair.

Women's advocates are far more organized, vocal, and numerous than men's advocates are. That's the root cause of most of our problems here.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 05:16 PM

Eh, it's not just the women's advocacy groups, it's the men in power that go along with their agenda to fuck over other men. In the same way people say there is no sisterhood between women, there is also no brotherhood between men, because the strong men bully and oppress the weaker men in various ways. They don't uplift all men, only the few they see potential in. The rest get beaten down, and feminism is yet another tool in the arsenal, aside from factory labor, slave-jobs, prisons, the military, taxes, religious institutions, years of compulsory formal education as requirements for initiation into aforementioned institutions.

Lots of guys on here talk about men being powerless, but that's bullshit. The men at the top "get it," and they know that they're largely immune from the rules, and in any case, their wealth can make problems go away if their power can't. Men at the top who don't act like flagrant assholes all the time are getting harems of women who basically pay their own way and compete for the privilege of riding elite cock. Men at the bottom get internet porn and video-games as virtual replacements for the wives and jobs their fathers and grandfathers had because no woman worth a damn would want anything to do with them unless they had giant monster cocks.

fortifiedoranges • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 12:07 AM

You have underestimated and misunderstood the enemy. These people play the long games. Decades or even centuries. This was put into motion long before we were born. Hitler tried to stop the Bolsheviks, they just came here instead.

SolarLiner • 2 points • 31 July, 2015 12:29 AM

But, the whole answer to "let's not have young dad who can't support the child" is contraceptives! Guys use condoms, girls use pills. Plan B is there if the condom failed and that she didn't somehow take the "normal" pill.

Using rape charges to hold men off is NOT an acceptable way of doing it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 05:10 PM

Ideally, this would be true. The harsh reality is that people often DON'T use condoms because of the heat of the moment, the superior sensations, trust in the other person, cumbersome nature of condoms, etc.

Also, it's about terrorizing low-value men. Women may have sex with them under the influence, but they'll regret it later. Drugs and alcohol are social equalizers, so low-value people appear better than they are, especially if the setting is conducive, such as dim lighting, and higher value people have lower inhibitions and want to follow their feels.

I've heard plenty of homely women aggressively grope me and hit on me because they know from experience that it works eventually if they do it enough. They also are more into initiating kino. The only difference is, nobody will say I was raped if I regret the sex after the fact. They'll just smirk and make a joke about a dry spell or beer goggles. Men are brainwashed to look at regret-sex as a story with or without a moral, or an experience, and women are brainwashed into constructing it as a rape because of

the implications that come with having some omega's child. Since women are way more valuable than omegas, the omegas are terrorized with the threat of being charged with rape. It's also about discouraging them from reproducing. It's just the high school dynamic writ large, where rather than bully the weak with shoves and punches and lower their self esteem with insults to discourage them, the strong actually criminalize their behavior.

BlueFreedom420 • 0 points • 31 July, 2015 05:24 AM

I hope he gets a alot of dough in the lawsuit.