

# Alot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing shallow relationships.

April 10, 2020 | 295 upvotes | by [LillthOfBabylon](#)

---

Either get a hoe, or get a wife. Stop trying to make the hoe your wife. If your main goal is sex, then what the fuck are you doing getting married? Making long term choices based on short term climaxes is dumb. That's what hookers and fuckbuddies are for. So a guy could get laid without making any commitments to the other person.

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" *who told you to get married FOR SEX?!* BTW, that's called a Sugar Baby. Unless you can afford her, you really shouldn't get one. Your relationship should be more than just "I give resources, I get sex".

What about:

- HOBBIES
- PERSONALITY
- MORALS
- WORK ETHIC

I seldom hear guys here talk about these things when it comes to relationships. Just sex and resources.

"But men dont care about those other things"

Maybe they should care! Perhaps, they'd stop meeting all these shallow stupid bimbos they claim "AWALT". You'd be amaze the types of people you'd meet if you stop vetting for bra size and gag reflex.

"But I also want a woman who cooks and cleans."

You can also buy maids! If your dream girl is a Hooker-Maid, you can buy those things without "risking divorce rape". Wow, the judge reward your ex alimony because she made a fraction of what you and you DIDNT sign a prenup?! IMAGINE. MY. SHOCK. **BTW, how many men actually have to pay alimony?**

"Men shouldn't marry because it's not worth it"

It's not worth it TO YOU because you dont a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with. You just want to get your dick wet. And I'm sure you can pay hookers to indulge in your mommy fetish and take care of you.

Thats like paying \$20 for instant cup Ramen. You'd be better off getting \$1 Ramen or \$20 steak. Only idiots are paying steak-price for ramen-quality.

"But I actually want love!"

Then dont put looks and sex on a high priority. History has proven that lust clouds a man's judgement and he'll do very stupid things if he let's it control him.

"But women also want a super hot guy!"

If it's at the expense of other important traits or vetting, she's an massive idiot too.

**The memes I see MGTOW post that help me come to this conclusion:**

This stuck out to me as projection and speaking from a personal experience.

MGTOW getting pissy because a woman dared to tell her ex that she's a doctor. One comment saying that her head game is more valuable than her career and work ethic. I JUST CANT IMAGINE why these guys only see bimbos?!

Claiming love should have exclusive benefits instead of mutual benefits. The "only" excluding benefit for women is that her husband "wipes her ass" and "gives her money".

Putting a price tag on women based on height, hair length, and hair color.

Laughing because normal women dont look like Hollywood women, for some reason.

Objectifying both men and women. How sad is it they see relationships as loveless transactions?!

Literally calling dating the same as prostitution.

Getting pissy because her standards were lower in the past. How dare she mature out of that?!

MATURITY IS OVER-RATED! Women shouldn't change whatsoever when they get older!

Explaining why its horrible to have a hot girlfriend...because apparently you solely get a girlfriend because she's hot and nothing else.

Apparently all women have a harem of guys for sex, money, and attention.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

PM\_ME\_UR\_1ITIS\_SNAP • 10 points • 10 April, 2020 01:43 PM

Marriage is the deal you do for sex because it's hard to get sex. Male biology puts sex first. As hard as it is in the dating market, most men are going to commit to the first thing that comes their way. If you're BB, that's usually resources.

But finding women who are looking for resources at a certain time in their life, that can't score someone better, is also hard for men. They're already on the shit end of a deal, so they might as well pick the hottest they can get instead of telling their dick to get hard for some ugly girl that also likes golf.

Asking the man to subvert his biology, especially seeing that he wasn't getting sex in the past, is ultimately going to lead to a mediocre life. Where in the current BB situation, it's not ideal, but it's better than waiting for a significantly longer period of time to find someone who is just a shittier version of one of your man friends.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 01:51 PM

Marriage is the deal you do for sex because it's hard to get sex.

And then whine when she's less attractive, fatter, get divorced, lose the house, and lose the kids?

Male biology puts sex first.

So men dont have brains. Their IQ is on the same level of dogs in heat? No sense of logic? No sense of self-control?

Asking the man to subvert his biology, especially seeing that he wasn't getting sex in the past, is ultimately going to lead to a mediocre life.

Yeah. Sorry that most peoples lives are like Trump's or Heffner's!

PM\_ME\_UR\_1ITIS\_SNAP • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 02:10 PM

I'm not arguing that male biology is rational. That's the same logic as saying "why not just like all music so there's more of it to like." As far as self actualization goes, most men are better off getting sexual validation from someone with nothing in common than having sex with some ugly woman that doesn't sexually validate them at all. It's the hand that was dealt to the man and he's playing it as good as he can. It's a shitty hand though.

superincongruent • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:22 PM

Marriage is the deal you do for sex because it's hard to get sex.

lol. This place has got to be filled with weird mormons or something.

PM\_ME\_UR\_1ITIS\_SNAP • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 07:10 PM

Ha. Some men do get married for a consistent stream of sex. I'm a youngun I'm just trying to speak from the betas POV

anonymoushero1 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 06:16 AM

Male biology puts sex first.

Yes for 18 year olds and probably most 20-somethings. But as men age some this holds true and many it doesn't. Assuming a 30+ man puts sex ahead of all else is just stupid.

DangZagnut • 78 points • 10 April, 2020 01:23 PM

I'm pretty sure heartbreak isn't caused by casual relationships. Heartbreak is caused by a guy caring *way* too much about some girl treating him as a casual then dumping his ass.

Why would you get heartbreak over some bimbo you don't care about?

nickname\_this • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 04:16 PM

I think OP was using the word "heartbreak" in a more loose sense.

Barneysparky • 10 points • 10 April, 2020 02:24 PM

Men don't go there own way complaining like you do without being emotionally spent from their interactions with women they have chosen to be with.

Sometimes this heartbreak stems from something as simple as having a one-sided crush on a girl you know and taking it as a personal attack when she chooses not to date them.

Sometimes this emotional turmoil stems from only choosing women who are easy to have sex with, making the odds of their sex thing being someone with mental illness pretty darn high.

Sometimes this inner pain stems from never fitting in with other humans and realizing that means potential girlfriends as well.

You display yourself publicly as someone who is emotionally damaged and unable to connect or empathize with others. Perhaps the reasons I gave are just me trying to excuse a broken psyche which was that way before birth?

DangZagnut • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 03:49 PM

Men don't go there own way complaining like you do without being emotionally spent from their interactions with women they have chosen to be with.

You lack reading comprehension.

I've never complained once about women. I have repeatedly stated I don't care, yet, I just point it out to other men what reality is.

Saying the sun will give you a sunburn if you stand out in it for hours isn't "complaining", although you will claim it is because you have limited comprehension skills.

You're desperate to protect women *at all costs* so therefore *I* must have some sort of emotional issue.

Why?

Because if I don't there's two possible conclusions.

All your worshipping of women is based on lies, and you are psychologically incapable of handling that so as a defensive mechanism you must project emotional issues onto me.

women are just like I say they are.

Pick one, or both, as I said, I don't care.

Barneysparky • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:17 PM

You spend your entire day, every day here. You are one of the most prolific posters on a sub

dedicated to relationships between men and women.

Why are you doing something all day that is completely voluntary that you don't care about?

The last time I asked you that it was so young men wouldn't make the mistakes you made. Sounds like you care a great deal about convincing young men to stay away from relationships from women. Why?

DangZagnut • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 06:03 PM\*

I'm sure you live in shangrila but where I am we're quarantined at the city and state level so there's not a whole lot to do.

Also I have unlimited free time anyway, and it's a topic I enjoy.

And no, I didn't say I'm trying to help young men avoid mistakes I made, as I didn't make any mistakes. My life is great, precisely because I wasn't a dumbass who bought into a fantasy.

I try to stop them from making mistakes based on lies and illusions pushed on them.

Barneysparky • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 08:18 PM

Way to blame months of behaviour on a quarantine that started a few weeks ago!

DangZagnut • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 08:23 PM

I said I have unlimited free time. What else is there to do?

They make these things called phones and mobile devices which have internet connections for shit posting.

So I might be by the pool and shitposting drinking a margarita, or at a pub for lunch having Guinness and shitposting, or going fishing (if you've never been 99% of the time you do nothing) and shit posting.

Or riding the bus, taking an uber, or sitting on my ass and shitposting.

Barneysparky • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 09:06 PM

Ok.. So you are affecting people's lives for shits and giggles because you have nothing else to do.

Is there a reason you brought up quarantine?

DangZagnut • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 10:12 PM

Yes, because if you're under one, you probably aren't going out and doing other things, so a lot of people hang out on twitch, youtube, play video games, shitpost on reddit.

I'm not affecting anyone's life I'm sure, but sometimes you plant a seed and you save a life.

MGTOW saves lives.

Barneysparky • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 10:19 PM

That has nothing to do with you being here for months and now claiming you are here because of COVID.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 03:08 PM

Thanks for all that you do brother, tell them the way.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:23 PM

Aren't you the same guy who admitted to manipulating women so they'll sleep with you?

DangZagnut • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 06:00 PM

No you're thinking of someone else. I don't manipulate anyone to sleep with me.

RedPill\_Dragon • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:29 PM

Well stated

ResultsoverExcuses • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:15 PM

Nice

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 16 points • 10 April, 2020 01:36 PM

I'm pretty sure heartbreak isn't caused by casual relationships.

Never said it was.

Why would you get heartbreak over some bimbo you don't care about?

Because he's shallow and too stupid to realize that he'll attract shallow women.

It's like wanting a stripper and getting upset that she's not a doctor.

Cobra\_x30 • 33 points • 10 April, 2020 04:30 PM

You are assuming a specific scenario.

When I was young I did not marry the prettiest girl I could. I actually married the woman I had the greatest connection with. The woman who was my best friend and we shared everything together.

That did not stop her from cheating, and it made the end of the relationship significantly worse. I lost my first love, and my best friend all in one shot. Even worse the anger and bitterness drove us to fight the whole thing out in courts.

If I'm honest about this... love requires bravery. A lot of MGTOW guys use shallowness as a way to protect themselves from this kind of damage because they are cowards at heart.

Zaeobi • 10 points • 10 April, 2020 07:19 PM\*

I think not just bravery but more specifically *vulnerability*. I'm sorry about what your ex-wife did to you, but a relationship that's built on being guarded (about how much they let the other person into their life) usually isn't as deep as the one you had.

I guess what I'm trying to say is, as much as it hurt, you got a taste of the inside of a Cadbury Creme Egg, & therefore know what a proper one should taste like), but the MGTOW guys in your scenario will forever be relegated to licking the outside chocolate & not getting what the big deal is about.

ETA spelling

Cobra\_x30 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 07:37 PM

The issue of being vulnerable is very difficult and it's something both men and women grapple with all the time.

I've noticed a female tendency to build walls very far away from their core. This keeps the

majority of men out, but once you get past that wall they are totally vulnerable.

I'm the opposite. My walls are very close to my heart, so that you can actually get to know me well, and be very close to me and never realize that wall is there until you suddenly hit it.

Zaeobi • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 08:36 PM

Oh, definitely. I think part of the wall difference has to do with socialisation - since it's usually seen as more acceptable for a woman to wear her heart on her sleeve, that's how many of them get to know one another (whereas men may talk about hobbies & things that are otherwise separate to the inner core of the person itself).

Of course this is only a generalisation, since I've been told I apparently come across as a man at first (online) because even when I'm talking about personal things, I'm usually not inserting my feelings into my explanations. I've gotten into trouble with this in real life because most women see it as a sign of closeness to make your feelings explicitly known to one another (facial expressions etc) but I never grew up like that, so it's sometimes mistaken as me not liking that person or coming across as 'intimidating'. Stiff upper lip & all that, lol.

Cobra\_x30 • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 08:47 PM

Oh, definitely. I think part of the wall difference has to do with socialisation - since it's usually seen as more acceptable for a woman to wear her heart on her sleeve, that's how many of them get to know one another (whereas men may talk about hobbies & things that are otherwise separate to the inner core of the person itself).

I'm very emotionally expressive as a man, but also very fake about it. The emotions I show are often not really what's going on inside me. I know for fact that this is entirely due to socialization. There are simply some emotions that I have found other people... and women in particular... do not want to see from me. I most commonly hide negative emotions except for anger. I will instead present myself as jovial.

Isn't keeping a stiff upper lip more about class than gender? My experience with English folks in the past is that this is something the upper classes did, and the lower class folks were much more expressive.

Zaeobi • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:24 PM

I hear you - common socialisation dictates that anger is the only acceptable negative emotion to be displayed to be displayed by men. Similarly if I show anger instead of tears, I get painted as being unnecessarily temperamental or (my favourite) 'difficult'. I don't get that assessment if I'm crying, lol.

Oh I'm not in the UK now so I was talking about women from other cultures judging my demeanor as intimidating. Yes, it is affected by class but also the intersection of other factors that may affect your ability or want to emote - like your workplace, home or school environment. You can still be working class in a posh grammar school, for example.

Cobra\_x30 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 09:49 PM

I hear you - common socialisation dictates that anger is the only acceptable negative emotion to be displayed to be displayed by men. Similarly if I show anger instead of tears, I get painted as being unnecessarily temperamental or

(my favourite) 'difficult'. I don't get that assessment if I'm crying, lol.

It's kind of interesting. There are times and places where I can display anger as a man and it is acceptable to most. One of those place is within a relationship. I hate to say it, but expressing anger to a woman can be beneficial in many situations. I would say it probably has a similar effect as crying does when dealing with a man. However, there are lots of places where I absolutely must keep my anger in check. LOL... I yelled at a judge in court one time and got severely reprimanded. A similar outburst by a female prior to that was essentially ignored and not viewed as a challenge to authority.

I'm curious, what cultures tend to view your less expressive approach as intimidating?

Zaeobi • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 10:33 PM\*

Haha of course the environment affects things too - of course I don't cry at work (or much anywhere else, actually) but I do notice things like male colleagues branding me as 'difficult' when I call them out on their antics (for example, me getting irate at them for dodging important questions but they take it 'under advisement' when other male colleagues then exasperatedly ask the same thing).

My cultural experiences are all over the place, which no doubt clouds things (sorry if this is long & makes no sense as a result, I'm also sleep deprived lol):  
[Edit: Retracted because, looking back, this was just a longwinded pile of nonsensical navel gazing, haha. Sorry!]

Jakes1967 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 06:23 AM

I guess what I'm trying to say is, as much as it hurt, you got a taste of the inside of a Cadbury Creme Egg, & therefore know what a proper one should taste like), but the MGTOW guys in your scenario will forever be relegated to licking the outside chocolate & not getting what the big deal is about.

I personally can't stand the inside of a Cadbury Creme Egg, so to adapt your synopsis to real life..

Today, I know more men who aren't in relationships, than those who are. The troubling factor is that these men also aren't interested in LTR and are extremely Marriage averse.

Even twenty years ago, this was the exact opposite, where those not married or not in relationships, were keenly seeking them.

In the past 10 years, I've come across, more than a few relationships, where the men are in a LTR, but there's absolutely zero cohabitation. This is strictly from the males side, the women in these relationships want cohabitation and a few unsuccessfully tried ultimatata. Personally, I see absolutely no benefit to these relationships.

In 2000, I knew one man who had a vasectomy (married with 4 children). Today I know more than a dozen single childless men, who've had vasectomies.

Zaeobi • 1 point • 13 April, 2020 03:24 PM

I don't like them either lol but I was giving an analogy - you didn't adapt it, you spelt out the entire thing lol. But yes, that's what I was getting at - those guys are stuck on the outside ring



because they aren't willing to take the sacrifice required to get to the inner reward.

Jakes1967 • 2 points • 13 April, 2020 03:56 PM

But yes, that's what I was getting at - those guys are stuck on the outside ring because they aren't willing to take the sacrifice required to get to the inner reward.

Here I know you are wrong.

The men I'm talking about are very successful, own multiple properties etc.

These guys aren't stuck anywhere, they just see absolutely zero benefit or reason to marry. They are highly sought after, but prefer casual relationships.

I've seen them actively avoid the various pushy and desperate women at the social events, I'm sure you know the type (As there is a male equivalent).

They have a very strong male friends group and except for sex, have absolutely no need for a woman in their lives. Most actively avoid relationships.

There's a strong component of my friends, who are specialist contractors. They discard relationships, in favour of work. Thanks to Covid19, one has recently started a very lucrative contract, updating lab equipment all across the globe.

Zaeobi • 1 point • 16 April, 2020 05:52 PM

Here I know you skipped over the point. The original comment was literally referring to bravery in love.

Good for your examples if how they want to define success doesn't include relationships, but my analogy clearly isn't for those people.

TexasRose25 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 08:09 PM

That's the inescapable truth I come back to as well: you can't really have anything of value without being willing to risk something and invest in it.

Cobra\_x30 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:27 PM

I think there is more to it than risk/reward. Men feel love and validation through sex. These guys are very capable of receiving love from other people, but they have blocked themselves from giving it in return, because that path may end in very deep and painful rejection.

I view it as immature and childlike. Like a kid that gets excited for receiving presents on Christmas, but never stops to think or care about what they have given. In a different society and under different laws, these men would grow out of this childishness and be good partners.

TexasRose25 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:42 PM

That definitely rings true: what do you think it would take for things to change on a large scale? We all pursue pleasure and avoid pain, until we realize that growth necessitates bearing up under suffering. IMHO abject selfishness and pathological self-interest are the root cause of much unhappiness.

MerryVegetableGarden • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 11:56 PM

The legalization and widespread cultural acceptance of prostitution. If sex were available for ~\$60, as it is in enlightened European countries, men would seek the companionship of

women instead of just regular access to sex. Why go on Tinder and play cat-and-mouse for weeks on end when you can just let loose the juice in your local caboose. Men would start realizing and valuing women for things other than sex. Men who don't or can't would just stick to prostitutes.

wtfellification • 2 points • 12 April, 2020 01:49 PM

These guys are very capable of receiving love from other people, but they have blocked themselves from giving it in return

From reading your comments here you sound like a thoughtful and kind individual

But this is something I don't resonate with. Probably because of semantics and the meaning of the word "love" used in this context.. For instance, I wouldn't necessarily consider people pleasing, altruism, or the act of sensual pleasuring as "love". I also think (the willingness) to be vulnerable and selfless can be quite relative

In this way, giving and receiving love is not different, to me it just means leaving a space open for love to flourish. If you can't receive love, you can't give it and vice versa

TexasRose25 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 08:42 PM

That definitely rings true: what do you think it would take for things to change on a large scale? We all pursue pleasure and avoid pain, until we realize that growth necessitates bearing up under suffering. IMHO abject selfishness and pathological self-interest are the root cause of much unhappiness.

Cobra\_x30 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 09:05 PM

I see two main problems. The first is that we are surrounded everywhere by failed relationships and bitter divorces. We get together and then break up when it gets bumpy. We practice that, over and over, and over.... then we meet someone that fits us... but all of our experience is geared towards breaking up and moving on when it gets hard. So when tough times come, we do what we practiced... we split up.

The other main issue is as you say, our culture is absolutely narcissistic. Most of us have never faced true hardship or suffering. If you work at it, it's actually ridiculously easy to succeed here and we are never really taught to care about others as individuals.

I think that a lot of these MGTOW guys would melt if a woman approached them in the right way though.

TexasRose25 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:16 PM

One would hope that not everyone's heart is hard and jaded. I have a very tough time meeting people who are open and kind, but in a healthy place. I like how you express yourself, btw. ☐

Cobra\_x30 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 09:34 PM

Thanks. You seem like a very thoughtful and intelligent person.

Over the years I have dated quite a few women that were very tough and hard upfront. They seem cynical and jaded. They will often put a lot of effort into pushing you away. The truth though is that they are absolutely dying for someone to prove them wrong.

I think the men are the same way. You hear them express something bitter like "Women can't really love a man"... but deep down in their hearts they really want someone to come and prove them wrong. Which is ironic because presenting yourself as angry and bitter just keep women who could heal that wound away.

I can think of one woman in particular that when I was absolutely crushed and doubting everything, helped me put the pieces back together.

All I can say to you is that keep fighting for what you want and eventually you will get it. Try to accept people for who they are and sometimes try to look beyond whatever image they try to project of themselves.

TexasRose25 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 09:37 PM

I think you're very right; we all just want someone to see past the hurt and defenses, and see and accept us as we are. Sometimes you meet someone who melts through all of that effortlessly...and that is lovely indeed. □

Lovecraftian\_Daddy • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 07:34 PM

love requires bravery. A lot of MGTOW guys use shallowness as a way to protect themselves from this kind of damage because they are cowards at heart.

Truer words I have not seen on this sub.

If you don't open your heart, you will never feel love. Nothing ventured, nothing gained.

Cobra\_x30 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 07:42 PM

Yes, giving love and receiving love are a bit different. Anyone who is open to one and not the other is stopping themselves for a reason. Some men and women too are made of fragile stuff.

ashchelle • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:47 PM

I'm sorry you went through that pain. I hope you're doing better.

Cobra\_x30 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 07:04 PM

Thank you. Don't worry, this stuff is part of life. I appreciate your thoughts, the whole thing has made me much stronger in most ways. although always a bit damaged and broken.

ashchelle • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 07:37 PM

I think that's why I love kintsugi art. The breakage and repair is part of our history and makes/shapes us into who we are now.

Glad you are better.

Sir\_manalot • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:48 PM

The cowardly action is the smart and wise action, remember that.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:08 PM

Its not smart if it leads to a life of never going your own way and constant bitterness.

Sir\_manalot • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:26 PM

You are projecting.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 11:24 PM

r/MGTOW proves my point.

Sir\_manalot • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:19 AM

Ok

reluctantly\_red • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 05:48 PM

Guys be know that stripper is a stripper. No one gets upset if a side piece moves on.

DangZagnut • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 01:50 PM

But why would there be heartbreak? I change the oil in my car, I don't get emotional about something that means nothing to me.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 01:55 PM

But why would there be heartbreak?

Ask MGTOW. They're the ones whining.

anonymous\_9999999 • 23 points • 10 April, 2020 02:25 PM\*

OP sounds kinda stupid. The actual most prevalent problem is Hoes disguising themselves as wife material. We see so many cases of women hiding their past.

Most women don't have the guts to admit they lost attraction and are scared of being alone later in life where they have to work everyday like a man does.

This is the most common problem MGTOW's "Whine" about. Its a pretty justified whining. Stop covering up coward behaviour of women.

mangolover97 • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 02:44 PM

They'd have to work everyday regardless. Theres shrinking number of people that can afford not to.

anonymous\_9999999 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 02:47 PM

Men don't care if women have to work or not. Men can work better, hence the wage gap. Most women want a piece of the better pie. Hence the "hiding their past".

mangolover97 • 9 points • 10 April, 2020 02:49 PM

Most women don't have the guts to admit they lost attraction and are scared of being *alone later in life where they have to work everyday like a man does*.

I'm referring to this part of your post. They already have to work everyday so that's not the motivation. I'd say it's more so fear that they won't get commitment and a family. Not whether or not men care about women working.

therobincrow • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 04:21 PM

We see so many cases of women hiding their past.

Because insecure guys will get sad fee fees.

Most women don't have the guts to admit they lost attraction

Gonna need a source on that.

scared of being alone later in life where they have to work everyday like a man does.

This is how I know you've never talked to a woman. Do you really think they don't work?

Lmao

anonymous\_9999999 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 04:23 PM

Another stupid Shaming tactic. Insecurity or no insecurity, why woman so cowardly that they can't admit and be upfront about their past?

Because the betabuxx guy won't invest in you and make your life easier.Haha.

therobincrow • 9 points • 10 April, 2020 04:29 PM

why can't they admit and be upfront about their past?

As I said earlier: **Because insecure guys will get sad fee fees.**

because the betabuxx guy won't invest in you and make your life easier.Haha.

Cringe.

anonymous\_9999999 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:37 PM

Bruh is your brain working properly?

No decent Man in the world will put the effort into forming a relationship with a woman with a past. Women are "insecure" pathetic ones and want the effort hence they lie.

Seems like you are one of those insecure people.

therobincrow • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 04:55 PM

Bruh is your brain working properly?

Lmao is yours?

No decent Man in the world will put the effort into forming a relationship with a woman with a past.

I'll humour you. Where do you draw the line? One partner? Two?

Women are "insecure" pathetic ones and want the effort hence they lie.

You're just talking in circles here lol. If men weren't insecure, there would be no reason for women to lie about their body counts. There is no problem to body count.

TexasRose25 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:23 PM

"A woman with a past"...I guess, in order to have that expectation and standard, a man would have to offer the same, i.e., not having a past? Why this double standard? Is the issue here really that she has been intimate with "x number" of

men? That she has had relationships? And if it is, why does that invalidate her completely or diminish the man who is looking for “wife material” in any way? What are ppl supposed to do, not live at all and save themselves for “The One” so that when he asks for her papers, she can guarantee that she is a near-or-actual virgin and has never loved anyone but him? Will this man be ready to offer the same in return? A man won’t marry and turn to MGTOW unless they find perfection; are they offering it as well? I just genuinely wonder about how this logic plays out.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 03:17 PM

She is literally very bad with her points i admit.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:13 PM

Did she ACTUALLY disguise herself, or were he staring at her tits too long to see the signs? Because its common sense to get a prenup or a woman who had NO PROBLEM marrying you right after you destroy his family. Yeah, NO ONE could guess marrying a homewrecker is a bad idea. ABSOLUTELY NO ONE. And without a prenup.

Most women don’t have the guts to admit they lost attraction

Thats NORMAL. Thats why you dont marry for nonstop fucking. You get old, you have kids, the honeymoon phase FADES AWAY.

anonymous\_9999999 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 09:40 PM

Stop talking with your foot in your mouth.I didn’t say anything about after marriage.

Men mostly always ask about the body count in one way or another before marrying a woman.This the period when women lie or get insecure and use the shaming tactics.

Y’all just afraid to admit that if women were honest and open about your past y’all would get no commitments.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 11:22 PM

Men mostly always ask about the body count in one way or another

Then marry super christian virgins, you insecure children.

Edit: Im getting tired of this Picky Beggar attitude here. You guys whine about N count but you also whine that the bitch wont suck you off by date 2. Pick one. You cant have both.

anonymous\_9999999 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 04:24 AM

This is why I called you stupid. Let men worry about who they pick.

As a human being it’s cowardly to lie to form relationships.And women lie about body count when asked. Simple.

Can wrap your head around this logic? Or too brain dead?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 02:13 PM

Let men worry? Lol Then these throw fits at society and some of them become get violent. So no, when you bring everyone into your business, it stops being

your business. Especially if these guys try convincing teen boys to be as miserable as they are.

As a human being it's cowardly to lie to form relationships.

What does this have to do with being shallow stupid standards?

If a woman wants a thug, she cant be surprise by the cheating and beating.  
THAT'S WHAT THUGS DO.

If a man wants a sexy whore, he cant be surprised she's a gold digger loser.  
THAT'S WHAT WHORES DO.

What does "Amber lying" have to do with Depp abandoning his family for younger pussy and not getting a prenup? What?

Just because you could be hit hy a drunk driving on the sidewalk, DOESNT  
MEAN YOU JAY WALK IN BUSY TRAFFIC.

You're still responsible for taking precautions.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 03:27 PM

I would like a women with a reasonable body count, i am also not the kind of man to expect sex on the first date, so there is a balance between a virgin Christian, and a slutty promiscuous cum bucket.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:18 PM

where they have to work everyday like a man does.

I'm sorry what, do women just not work for the first half of our lives?

anonymous\_9999999 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:25 PM

All humans have to work. It almost seems like you are saying women are doing the world a favour by working.

By your logic,why do alimony and child support exist if women are so capable?

TexasRose25 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:27 PM

They exist because the state doesn't want to see either party destitute as a result of divorce. They came together freely; why does it not seem equitable to evenly split the assets accrued while together?

Jaktenba • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 01:08 AM

They exist because the state doesn't want to see either party destitute as a result of divorce.

HAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHA  
HAHAHAHAHAHHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHA!!!

The state is perfectly fine with the man becoming destitute in order to pay those bills, and sending him to prison if he can't afford to do so (despite the fact that debtor's prisons are supposedly outlawed).

They came together freely; why does it not seem equitable to evenly split the



assets accrued while together

The first half explains the second half, you came together freely, you leave freely. Though you're ignoring two other important points. One, the breakup is usually one-sided, so why should you be entitled to money for a relationship you chose to end? Why do you deserve to keep all the benefits had in the relationship, while removing any of the drawbacks?

Two, did you really contribute equally? Why should you get 50% if you only did 10% of the work?

Any other situation that is similar, gets completely different reactions. You wouldn't just automatically demand that if two men went into business together, one should get 50% no matter what they did if they unilaterally decide they don't want to work in the business anymore. If two men were roommates, you wouldn't say the one who decides he wants his own house/apartment is entitled to his ex-roommate's money for potentially years afterward. So why is a woman automatically entitled to a man's money just because she doesn't love him any more?

TexasRose25 • 2 points • 12 April, 2020 10:12 PM

Have you personally ever been married? Been a parent? Ever get divorced? Are you speaking from experience or is this armchair philosophizing and headline reading? It's one thing to have opinions and educated guesses, it's another to actually live through what you comment on. And every situation is different, circumstances are not all the same.

To your point about the business partnership, usually legal documents are drawn up detailing what each partner brings to the deal, and what role each one will play; that sets forth who gets what percentage should that partnership dissolve.

Marriage is different: there are intangible benefits as well as tangible goods. You really think that the only thing of value the man brings is a paycheck? Have you been married, ever? There are belongings, sometimes real estate, there is the promise of intending to stay together and investments in making that happen, both monetary and otherwise. This is not a business deal, this is a joining of two lives. If someone doesn't want to be with you anymore, there is nothing you can do but accept their decision!

There are also two things you're confused about: 1) You are biased because the breakup scenario you're painting is that it was one-sided; I'm pretty sure that even if one person suggests it, by the time they get divorced they both see that that is the only solution, or obviously they are in agreement. 2) Again, you insist that it's all about the material/ financial aspect of it - "10% of the work"?! What? So in your scenario only the man works full time and he contributes all of it, while the woman does nothing, doesn't work, doesn't contribute? Also what about intangible benefits of being married?

I don't know what to tell you, other than life is not black and white; there are lots of sides to every situation. Just because some people lie and are awful to their ex-spouses and abuse the system doesn't mean everyone does it.



BlackEmeraldMoon • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:28 PM

I think you misunderstood, I don't understand what you're implying when you say 'are scared of being alone later in life where they have to work everyday like a man does.' are you implying that women just do nothing for the first half of their life?

SartreK • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 03:35 AM

I wouldn't side with MGTOW, but you do realize that the only reason why DangZagnut doesn't see any risk for a heartbreak is that he's constantly advocating pump&dump and avoiding actual relationships...

Purplmonky • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:57 PM

Exactly. Took the words out of my mouth. Heart break occurs when you get disappointed in someone who you thought was better. I dated a party slut and liked her because she treated me well. In the end she broke my trust and I hated myself for falling for it. I learned my lesson and I needed to learn it. This whole comment bashing MGTOW is pointless. Anyone that takes a subreddits memes as proof of anything is plain stupid. Also women don't usually bring much to the table. They say they're emotional and that they can help with children but these are all skills that can be learned by men as well. Some of my best teachers have been male. Idk I feel like this whole discussion is sort of like ok? And?

Jujuj0 • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 02:18 PM\*

Men care a lot about your personality. But you shouldn't be projecting a woman's idea of "good personality" with a man's idea of "good personality".

When a man says he wants a woman with "good personality" what he actually means is kind, warm, nice, caring, ethical etc.

When a woman says she wants a man with "good personality", what she actually means is confident, strong, competent, assertive etc ...

Men and women often misunderstand what the opposite sex wants because we are projecting.

I often hear on this subreddit from women that a man doesn't get any points for "just being nice". Being nice doesn't bring anything to the table. Being nice is just a basic requirement. A lot of men are baffled when they hear this, because in our minds a woman gets a lot of o points for just being nice. The more nice she is, the more points she gets.

This is also why men talk about marriage like it's just a sex arrangement. Because women these days are not nice. They are chronic complainers and naggers. That's because they don't find "being nice" valuable when it comes to men, so they are projecting and think that they don't have to be nice either. So the only redeeming thing a guy finds in a marriage is an access to sex.

badgersonice • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:51 PM

These:

| kind, warm, nice, caring, ethical etc.

and these:

| confident, strong, competent, assertive etc ...

are not incompatible within the same person. You can want a nice, sweet, caring, warm, ethical woman who

isn't a weak, passive, self-ashamed, incompetent doormat. Or you can want a confident strong, confident, competent, assertive man who isn't cruel, frigid, mean, deceitful, and destructive.

It's actually helpful, in terms of being attractive even, for a woman to have the self-assurance and confidence and strength to act like she's not worthless and ugly and to be strong enough to stand up for what is ethical; and it's helpful for a man to be warm enough to socialize well with women and make them comfortable and to be gentle enough to not scare away a nice girl.

The more nice she is, the more points she gets.... Because women these days are not nice. They are chronic complainers and naggers. That's because they don't find "being nice" valuable when it comes to men

Part of the reason women are not so nice and compliant (especially not to all men) is because while men really really want a woman to be nice to him and try to please him, being compliant and eager to please is not actually that good for *her*. The fact that men might want her a little more for being nice does not offset the negative sides of being nice (like getting taken advantage of). And besides, making herself look hotter is much more effective at attracting men without all the negative drawbacks and vulnerabilities of being nice and people-pleasing.

Jujuj0 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 03:51 PM

are not incompatible within the same person.

People often say this, but the reality is that it's very hard to achieve this within the same person.

NOT being cruel, NOT being destructive and NOT being deceitful isn't the same as being caring and kind. But for a woman it's good enough to label the guy as "nice". From a woman's perspective a slightly assholeish behaviour isn't a bad thing and it adds to a man's masculinity.

In the same way from my point of view being weak and passive isn't necessarily a bad thing when it comes to women. I could reframe it as being submissive, which adds to a woman's femininity.

You might not understand why a man would like to be with somebody who is passive. I don't understand why a woman would like to be with somebody who is asshole. Men and women are just very incompatible.

badgersonice • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 04:34 PM

In the same way from my point of view being weak and passive isn't necessarily a bad thing when it comes to women. I could reframe it as being submissive, which adds to a woman's femininity.

It is bad *for the woman herself*. Being overly servile is actually very bad for getting what you need and want in life-- it makes you an easy target for abuse, and makes it easy for other people to destroy you, and it prevents you from pursuing your own desires and dreams while you give other people what they want. I'm not arguing that women should be selfish, but being weak and passive is a one way ticket to being taken advantage of with little reward or appreciation.

You might not understand why a man would like to be with somebody who is passive.

I don't have to understand why a man would want to be with someone passive to know that being passive myself is harmful to me. I don't particularly care if it pleases a man for me to be his voiceless, passive, devoted servant because it is deeply unpleasing to me. I cannot take pride or joy in being passive and weak, because I also know it is not possible to be morally upright or ethical if you're just a spineless weakling. Spineless weaklings do not deserve respect, and do not get it, whether they are

men or women.

I don't understand why a woman would like to be with somebody who is asshole.

I don't know what you mean by "asshole" here, but there are different kinds of "assholes"-- some are actually mean people, but many are charming, kind, and funny. You can be a confident smartass and also be kind and caring and ethical. Yes it's hard, but the kind of guy who pretends to be "an asshole" (if he thinks assholes are bad) just to get women isn't really the kind of guy who should be pretending he cared about being moral or kind to others or that had particularly strong personal ethics in the first place.

Being kind does not require groveling or ass-kissing-- if you're Christian, you know that Jesus was kind and nurturing and caring, and yet also strong and confident and ethical. Nobody thinks Jesus was a pathetic feminine weakling. And being warm is often very beneficial to men in social situations with women-- warm, socially engaging men get women all the time because women are attracted to men who can engage with them on a more personal, intimate level. Women don't dive in for cold, loner weirdos-- the most popular "Chad" frat guys usually are extroverted and open and expressive who have a huge social network because they're actually warm people who easily connect with others.

You really really don't have to be unkind and cold and unethical to be attractive as a man, although you do probably have to avoid being like the weak, servile doormats you wish women were, I guess. But imagining that women only like bad men is just a self-defeating excuse for the men who lack moral strength to stand up for their own values.

Jujuj0 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:48 PM

Being kind does not require groveling or ass-kissing-- if you're Christian, you know that Jesus was kind and nurturing and caring, and yet also strong and confident and ethical.

How many men can achieve that though? I think that you believe that you can be warm, nurturing and kind and still be confident, strong and independent. Maybe it's possible, but if you are confident, strong and independent, chances are that it takes away from your femininity.

But imagining that women only like bad men is just a self-defeating excuse for the men who lack moral strength to stand up for their own values.

All I am arguing is that men and women are projecting all the time, and you are doing that as well. Your whole argument is written from a woman's perspective.

You describe passive women as unethical spineless weaklings which I would never do. You also defend a man who I would call an asshole as someone who "stands up for their own values and is charming or passionate", which I would never do.

My original argument was to point out that men actually do care about a woman's personality despite of what OP believes. It's just that we don't share the same values.

You probably wish that men would like you for how strong, independent and confident you are, but that probably won't happen. I wish a woman would find me attractive despite not being as confident for how kind and loving I can be, but I know that won't happen either. I am not deluding myself.

badgersonice • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:09 PM\*

Maybe it's possible, but if you are confident, strong and independent, chances are that it

takes away from your femininity.

If I need to be weak, helpless, incompetent, and feel like I'm never good enough (unconfident) to be more feminine, then it's good for me to be less feminine. If what you say is true, then being more feminine is directly harmful to women.

You probably wish that men would like you for how strong, independent and confident you are, but that probably won't happen.

My husband loves me, and thinks I should be a little less nice, that I should be a little more selfish sometimes, and that it's good that I'm not a pushover, either. He likes that I'm competent at life, rather than a helpless childlike weakling who needs a man to boss her around to survive.

And that's so much better for me than being with the kind of jerk who can't get it up unless a woman is weak and incapable. I'd rather be single than be with a man who demands that I be "submissive", which is really just another term for someone who gets walked on. I'd be completely miserable having so little self-respect that I'd put up with such a complete asshole, honestly.

Edit: And finally, watch what men say, not what they do. Confident, high-quality men don't chase after quiet groveling church mice, they go for women who are confident enough to dress hot and flirt with them.

pervlibertarian • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:48 AM

No one is saying you need to be any of the negative things you describe to be considered nice by men. Either you are so nice IRL that the only way you could be nicer would be to break yourself as you describe, or you are so far from being a nice person(to men at least) that the thought of treating a man with the same enthusiasm and consideration you would a new(and welcome) neice, nephew, or, hell, a dog is a foreign concept to you.

Men are expected to buy flowers and make all sorts of grand gestures in pursuit of a long term relationship/marriage. Go on and retort with how men don't *have* to do that in modern society, or "why not have the woman do those things?" ... yeah, funny story, do YOU do those things? Have you even been asked or had suggested that you do those things by a well-wisher giving advice? If so, slap that person, but otherwise, how the fuck are you making the leap from "BE NICE, genuinely kind and considerate" to "be servile, weak, incabable, a doormat lacking in agency or personality beyond the desire to serve"?

If men want a waitress, as has been mentioned, hiring is an option. What we want is a woman who can be kind to us *and to the waitress, even if the waitress is hot and flirty, a potential threat*, because odds are, either she's not really a threat and he hasn't even noticed the things you have beyond maybe taking wry amusement or discomfort, or he's looking to cheat or swing or whatever. Any way you hack it, not really the waitress' fault, she can't actually control him, so there's no reason to get catty, and no reason to suggest he tip less. Yet, for some reason, *so many* women, "progressive" women even, will engage in toxic behavior towards their man, strangers around their man, his friends and his family, and never, not once, be called on it or think to change.

badgersonice • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:34 PM\*

No one is saying you need to be any of the negative things you describe to be

considered nice by men.

And neither am I. FYI, like I already said, I am a nice person (thanks for trying to imply i must either agree with your massive strawman of my argument or that I must be a massive bitch— a very unkind way of you to argue!); I just don't think men should shun being nice just because they think that being unkind will get them laid.

otherwise, how the fuck are you making the leap from "BE NICE, genuinely kind and considerate" to "be servile, weak, incapable, a doormat lacking in agency or personality beyond the desire to serve"?

First of all, maximum straw man here— I never said being nice made a person weak and incapable, I said that trying to be less confident, less strong, less competent and less assertive (all things you say make a woman more feminine) makes a person weak and incompetent (you know, simple synonyms for “not strong” and “not competent”) and that is what is harmful. And I mentioned servile, because you mentioned submissive— I couldn't tell you the difference based on how men in this sub describe “submissive”, at least. (And I remember what submissive means in religion— it means absolute, deferential obedience to a higher power... not what I want in a relationship with another human being.)

And second of all... if you're asking how did I get from nice to weak from this conversation... um, from you? Your argument originally was that if you are “kind, warm, nice, caring, ethical etc.” then it is really hard to also be “confident, strong, competent, assertive etc.”. Remember when I said that those qualities “are not incompatible within the same person,” and you replied “People often say this, but the reality is that it's very hard to achieve this within the same person”?

My argument is, and has been from the start, that those qualities are all achievable simultaneously in the same person— yes, being excellent is challenging, but it is rewarding. And I fully disagreed with your assertion that being less confident or less competent or weaker or more passive should ever be a goal for women. I don't care if it makes women more “feminine” as you claimed— those are bad human traits, and harmful to the person who exhibits them just as it's bad when men to deliberately try to be unkind, and unethical in a misguided perception that being a cruel piece of shit will make them more manly.

Yet, for some reason, so many women, "progressive" women even, will engage in toxic behavior towards their man, strangers around their man, his friends and his family, and never, not once, be called on it or think to change.

This whole waitress example is oddly specific feels like a total nonsequitur. But yeah, some women are awful shits, so maybe stop dating awful shits just because they're attractive. Plenty of men are awful shits and act awful towards the waitstaff, too— I'll gladly tell women to avoid them the same way I'm telling you.

Plenty of those shitty people do get called out and dropped from friend groups— those shitty people just move on to new groups rather than learn anything. You too can “call them out on it” yourself by dumping them or by rejecting them or by calling them out yourself! Nice people in general do not like people who are shitty to the waitstaff, so you're far from alone. Shitty people like that do get exiled (or never invited in the first place) from friend groups full of nice men and women.

[deleted] 11 April, 2020 08:24 PM

[removed]

PPD-Angel[M] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 01:20 AM

Be civil

DazzlingMolasses7 • 1 point • 22 April, 2020 09:26 PM

You're basically asking for women to sacrifice herself to be more attractive to men. Do you want to be submissive to a woman? Why not?

Same reasons why women don't want to do that for men. In the past we were forced to because there wasn't much of a choice.

Silas1067 • 40 points • 10 April, 2020 02:08 PM

Unfortunately, shallow relationships are the things shallow people get, and most people are pretty damn shallow. Men here complain about vapid, shallow, and ignorant women, but there are plenty of low-class, uncultured, and shallow men out there as well. This problem is not gender-specific.

Eventually, a guy is going to want to get married and have a family, despite all the MGTOW tantrums and RP obsessions. You don't want to be the 50 year old creepy uncle with no wife and kids, any more than a woman wants to be a cat-lady. Marriage is not a sex-party, but it is a partnership and project, and it is centered around children.

It is up to men to practice self-improvement, and by that, I don't mean "dude, go lift weights!"

Yeah, exercise is important, but you need to improve your mind, career prospects, attitude, style, etc. And when you reach certain goals, you then become a lot more discerning when it comes to women. The thots are kicked to the curb, and quality becomes the objective: girls from good families, with culture and intelligence, traditional values, femininity, etc.

bad female behavior happens because men allow it, and they do this because they lack self-esteem and self-restraint



PotOfBeans • 17 points • 10 April, 2020 11:57 PM\*

It is up to men to practice self-improvement, and by that, I don't mean "dude, go lift weights!"

Again this assumption that women are perfect the way they are and don't have to change anything but men are expected to change and improve themselves, don't get me wrong men should improve themselves but I hate this assumption that women are perfect the way they are and shouldn't be held to any standard.

bad female behavior happens because men allow it, and they do this because they lack self-esteem and self-restraint

No it's because women have given men an ultimatum, we're going to whore around while we're young and we'll marry you when we're done, leave it or take it, in this period of whoring around the men being ignored become broken men, they're so desperate for love and intimacy that they start to simp and settle down for whores, I mean you said it yourself most men don't want to stay single so what's a man meant to do after women have gone through their whoring stage? Reject them and stay single? It's become so prevalent that they don't have a choice, they're all whoring around.



Silas1067 • 10 points • 11 April, 2020 12:35 AM

OK, but not every girl is whoring around in her early 20s. Not every chick racks up a big N count. Yeah, RP guys will scoff at that, but it is the truth

are women more promiscuous these days? Probably. Is the whoring around a problem? Certainly. But making these universal generalizations are silly.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:22 PM

Are you under the assumption that men want to marry in their early 20s? Because they dont.

PotOfBeans • 3 points • 12 April, 2020 08:12 AM

No they want to get into casual relationships.

AdolfGandi • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 11:50 PM

Looks are the only thing women care about though so lifting is #1.

12112019 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 05:15 PM

You have a fundamental misunderstanding of the modern dating dynamics.

bad female behavior happens because men allow it, and they do this because they lack self-esteem and self-restraint

That is horse shit, top tier men benefit immensely from the way things are, they get to fuck attractive women no string attached for a decade or two.

On the other end, women get to pursue their hypergamous instincts until the very last second in which they are ejected from the ride.

Not all women of course, but this is the norm and sexually conservative women are being fucked over by the less conservative ones.

Eventually, a guy is going to want to get married and have a family, despite all the MGTOW tantrums and RP obsessions. You don't want to be the 50 year old creepy uncle with no wife and kids, any more than a woman wants to be a cat-lady. Marriage is not a sex-party, but it is a partnership and project, and it is centered around children.

So your reason for men to marry is that someone at their family dinner is going to say something? That is down right pathetic reason, and also social pressure is no near strong enough on men to ever contemplate such nonsense.

Yeah, exercise is important, but you need to improve your mind, career prospects, attitude, style, etc. And when you reach certain goals, you then become a lot more discerning when it comes to women. The thots are kicked to the curb, and quality becomes the objective: girls from good families, with culture and intelligence, traditional values, femininity, etc.

That is some what of cope from your end, unless you live in a conservative area in which case you might be correct. In a non conservative area I don't believe men will be ever able to tell if they are marrying a former slut or not, women are smart enough to hide something like that, and lots of educated ones are even bigger sluts.

In the mean while divorce statistics are showing how much of a shitty deal marriage truly is.

Silas1067 • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 07:31 PM

Considering I've been married for almost 20 years, and I am in a pretty liberal area, I think it is possible to find quality. And unless you are oblivious, and she is lying, you will know what kind of past a girl has. But expecting the hot woman with an N count of 2 when she is 28 and ready to settle down? Yeah, good luck with that. I've seen literally one example of that my entire life, and the girl was very religious (might have been a virgin). My wife's N count is higher than mine, but she didn't do anything crazy that would be a deal-breaker (that I know of).

Yeah, marriage can be a raw deal, but family certainly isn't. It is very rewarding to have a good family, and it will be important as you get older. It is easy for you to say, as a young guy, that you are going to be some lifelong bachelor, but give it a few years. Your tune will change

SartreK • 3 points • 11 April, 2020 03:19 AM\*

Considering I've been married for almost 20 years, and I am in a pretty liberal area, I think it is possible to find quality

No offense mate, but even if your experience wasn't just anecdotal but had some statistical representativity, it's only value would be to show that it was possible 20 years ago. I'm not going to go as far as to pretend it's impossible today, but it's enormously worse than 20 years ago.

Sir\_manalot • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 10:20 PM

So you are among the selfish, spoiled and naive boomer group then. You think that if you just leave the systems broken, men will eventually just suck it up and accept the terms.

Things are not going to just go the way you want just because you want it too.

To be clear, people thought young men in Japan were going to do the same thing in the 90s...but then they didn't as the system still didn't offer them anything after 10+ years.

12112019 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 09:48 PM\*

Had a feeling you are a boomer or x-gen.

But expecting the hot woman with an N count of 2 when she is 28 and ready to settle down? Yeah, good luck with that.

That is the point, why should she settle down on her terms? If for about a decade women choose to fuck the hottest men around, then at the last second when they are pressured by biology and society they suddenly discover the need for a relationship with an "accepting", "caring", "sensitive" man (aka betabux simp) then it should tell you everything you need to know.

Boomers and x-gens might be thinking that there is nothing wrong with that, but let me tell you that the simp betabux market will soon run into quite a large liquidity problem.

Your solution to this is for men to vet harder, but basically men that are willing to take such risks are either idiots or incompetent and poor since these transfer the risks to women.

Silas1067 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:11 PM

Gen X yes

I really think you need to stop feeling sorry for yourself and blaming everyone else for your neurosis

is AF/BB a real thing? Sure. Is it universal? Absolutely not. I know guys who got screwed in marriage, and others who are happily married to good women. Yes, you need to vet hard, and yes,



you need to improve yourself and your prospects

sorry kid, life isn't fair. You need to man up

Sir\_manalot • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 10:22 PM

Shame attacks have diminishing returns.

Also, you are right....Life isn't fair. So stfu and accept the fact that men are not going to become real men as you are not entitled to real men.

johnxwalker • 2 points • 12 April, 2020 03:36 PM

So life isn't fair so men have accept promiscuous women who were just having casual sex in their youth?. Jesus you are probably a boomer with that shit logic. Literally just Ok boomer.

Silas1067 • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 05:59 PM

no, men don't have to accept promiscuity, and women don't have to engage in it

you can do what you want, and remain unmarried

or you can try and fix the culture and society. Boomers don't want to fix anything, and Millennials and Gen Y just want to cry and whine about how things are unfair and will never change

johnxwalker • 2 points • 12 April, 2020 06:05 PM

This is what im going, you think me going with a woman that is highly promiscuous will make her learn that her behavior is off-putting for a long term relationship? No i would be rewarding her bad behavior with me as a potential husband. These girls need and will be alone or if they do get a husband he will be the most simp and unattractive man ever. That is how im changing the society.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 06:05 PM

Also Ok boomer.

Silas1067 • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 06:17 PM

I'm not a boomer, so ...

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 06:19 PM

Sure my boomer Friend.

12112019 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 10:16 PM

I really think you need to stop feeling sorry for yourself and blaming everyone else for your neurosis

Why should I feel sorry for myself, that is the greatest time there was to be someone like me?

There are absolutely no consequences for me not to live the conventional way of life, and instead sleep with whom ever I see fit for as long as I desire. I am enjoying the decline as they like to say on PPD.

sorry kid, life isn't fair. You need to man up

Ok boomer, as I said before simp machine will soon have some major malfunctions.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 11:33 PM

[removed]

AggravatingTartlet • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:52 AM

This whole subreddit is full of bachelors in their 30s and 40s having a great time with women.

No, it literally isn't. It's full of men bitching endlessly about women. Which, obviously, they wouldn't be doing if they were actually "having a great time with women".

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 12:34 PM

Be civil

Silas1067 • 0 points • 11 April, 2020 12:37 AM

not sure where you are getting that assumption. I assure you, things are just fine in my home, and no one bosses me around

and I have plenty of experience with women. You can either listen to guys who know what they are talking about, or frustrated incels and teenagers who don't have a clue. Up to you

anonymouhero1 • 3 points • 11 April, 2020 06:10 AM

top tier men benefit immensely from the way things are, they get to fuck attractive women no string attached for a decade or two.

This is me and I suppose I could, but I don't want to. Fucking a bunch of people isn't interesting to me. Having sex with someone is giving them a connection to you, whether we'd like to admit it or not. Maybe you give them a fake name and go to their place, that doesn't mean you won't run into them later or they have friends that know you or they can't stalk you. Sex never is truly NSA. There's always a string.

Women from my point of view are either hot and expect ridiculously unfair treatment (put their needs as #1 priority always) or they are less attractive and great personality, but then they are slowly more and more upset that you aren't a 'typical man' wanting to fuck them constantly.

SteveSan82 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 11:31 PM

Liberal or conservative area, women are still women. If you say the right words with the right look at the right time, you will get sex. Women are emotional first, not rational.

12112019 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 11:44 PM

I am with you on that, rational thing is to never marry in the first place.

AggravatingTartlet • 0 points • 11 April, 2020 12:55 AM

If you say the right words with the right look at the right time, you will get sex.

That sounds like something a 15 year old who has been reading RP would say.

Men don't hold the keys to "unlock" women for sex. Women hold their own keys, and most times, have already decided if they feel like having sex or not, way before a guy opens his mouth to say anything.

SteveSan82 • 3 points • 11 April, 2020 01:52 AM

You basically just disagreed but then agreed. So you just wanted to type for the sake of typing

CautiousPresent • 1 point • 10 May, 2020 02:08 AM\*

Yeah, exercise is important, but you need to improve your mind, career prospects, attitude, style, etc.

My main point, that you don't seem to understand, is that even after doing everything you're proposing, a shallow woman is the best they're going to be able to get when it comes to finding someone for a more-than-just-friends-relationship. Why? Because the "quality" women refuse to settle for anyone less than perfect (it is only when too late that they realize he's never coming, and this is where the "where are all the good men?!?" whining comes from).

Less important points:

Are women objects or people? If it just a matter of getting enough "self improvement points" and surely it will more or less work out for you in a reasonable amount of time, then you're objectifying women. You're saying women are just a video game, or a vending machine. *Get a high enough score or deposit enough coins, and you'll be rewarded.* If you insist women are people with the freedom to make their own choices, then your not really offering a meaningful solution (sometimes mocked with *just improve yourself, bro*).

Facts outside of a man's control can make what you're proposing nothing more than a recipe for a long life sacrificing, struggling, delaying gratification, etc. and it never ends up paying off. Maybe the point is not to actually get men what they want but just to motivate them to do a lot of hard work. *But you should improve yourself for yourself, don't do it to get women.* Then, by definition, a man is already doing that to the extent that "improvement" is worth it for it's own sake. How is a man supposed to improve his career prospects in an economic depression or a pandemic? Don't act like those things can't have long term impacts.

Then, there's the dynamic of: feel dissatisfied with life because you can't do the things you want to do (sex, relationships, whatever) --> functioning at less than top performance --> unable to "self-improve" --> unable to get the things you need to feel satisfied --> feel dissatisfied with life because you can't do the things you want to do (sex, relationships, whatever)

Part to whole fallacy: if every man did what you propose then none of them would be better off. Women will still only want (basically) the best 10% of men (however you define "best").

"Marriage is not a sex-party, but it is a partnership and project, and it is centered around children." No, marriage is not always centered around children.

SiotRucks • 0 points • 11 April, 2020 03:27 AM

Funny how all the red pill guys can't stand you. You got to close to reality. They don't like that. You should've talked more about the wall and how today's women are so whore-like.

Silas1067 • 2 points • 13 April, 2020 02:24 PM

While some of RP has legitimate complaints, it's members consist largely of sexually frustrated losers (incels), guys with mommy issues, and poorly educated teenagers

and if you really want to see that dregs of their community, go check out the married RP guys. What a collection of miserable humanity

intersIn • 2 points • 13 April, 2020 07:16 PM

And those guys have daughters. How screwed up is that.

resolutions316 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 03:04 PM

“When women hurt you, it’s YOUR fault for not picking better!”

AWALT. Hobbies don’t change it.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 03:37 PM

“When women hurt you, it’s YOUR fault for not picking better!”

There's a difference between a typical abused man and Johnny Depp.

No one's saying abuse was well-deserved. The issue with Depp is that he abandoned his wife for younger puss and didn't sign a prenup.

There's a difference between being a victim of stupidity and being a victim of circumstances.

resolutions316 • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 03:57 PM

Same goes for all women beaten by abusive husbands, right?

If you think abusers broadcast that fact before the abuse I would argue you are mistaken.

Do some people make terrible choices that put them in harms way? Yes. Does that excuse the abuser or make the abuse less wrong? No.

“She shouldn’t have dressed like that!” “She shouldn’t have been walking alone!” “She should’ve called the police!”

Victim blaming is gross for a reason.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:03 PM

Do some people make terrible choices that put them in harms way? Yes.

Then that's it.

resolutions316 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:20 PM

That’s it, but it assumes a great deal about what people can realistically know.

The “fog of war” is very real. Life is a probabilistic mess; there are different ways to assess risk, even assuming informational parity (which is rare). That doesn’t even begin to address the various cognitive biases and loopholes that have fucked it human decision making since the dawn of time.

Eventually, ethics settles on the abuser because they’re the only one making an active choice to do harm. And while all of the above applies to the abuser as well, that only brings us to “no one is to blame.”

Empathy is the only reasonable ethical stance if you accept the shortcomings of the human mind.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:33 PM

That’s it, but it assumes a great deal about what people can realistically know.

Yes. Dont marry a bitch 10+ years younger than you without a prenup. This is common sense.

What’s also common sense: Dont jaywalk in busy traffic.

Life is a probabilistic mess;

Stop being a little victim.

Just because a drunk driver COULD drive on the side walk, doesnt mean you jaywalk in busy

traffic.

Take responsibility for yourself.

Empathy those hit by the drunk drivers. Very little empathy for the jaywalkers.

If you dont like it, oh well.

resolutions316 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:46 PM

Ok, so we should all assume younger partners will take advantage of older ones? I thought it was older dudes who “manipulated” younger girls?

My wife’s mother was killed by a hit and run driver whole delivering the paper.  
“Should’ve gotten a different job!”

Every action carries risks. Some are known, some are unknown. That you equate marrying a younger woman with jaywalking into busy traffic says a lot about how you view women.  
I always come into these threads thinking you’re blue; I almost always learn you’re more red than I am.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 08:56 PM

Ok, so we should all assume younger partners will take advantage of older ones?

Most people prefer their own age group. If theyre not in the same age group, expect a Sugar Daddy/Baby relationship.

Every action carries risks

I already addressed not taking responsibility for yourself.

That you equate marrying a younger woman

He also abandoned his family for Amber. So yes, Depp jaywalked into busy traffic.

resolutions316 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 09:25 PM

If you apply this logic universally - ie, any woman getting a divorce is “abandoning her family” and therefore deserves an abusive relationship if she gets one - I’ve got no problem with it.

Cold worldview, but if it’s consistent, that’s your right.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:26 PM

I already addressed this.

Its not “cold” to not show empathy to idiots.

resolutions316 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:59 PM

By the way, I’m being pissier than normal in my replies. I’m having a bad day, and don’t mean for that be reflected here.

PotOfBeans • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 11:49 PM\*

Either get a hoe, or get a wife. Stop trying to make the hoe your wife. If your main goal is sex, then what the fuck are you doing getting married?

When you're a young man it's hard for you to pick up women but once a woman shows interest in you and will have sex with you, you assume that she has feelings for you so you marry her, compared to the women who had no sexual interest in you and rejected you, that's why men marry hoes.

So a guy could get laid without making any commitments to the other person.

Definitely written by a woman, you think sex can come casually, it isn't easy that's why prostitutes exist, if it were easy who'd pay for something they could get for free.

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" *who told you to get married FOR SEX?!*

This is the only way for many men to get sex, it is to commit to one woman, it's also a warning to newer guys to not think with their little heads.

Your relationship should be more than just "I give resources, I get sex".

So men should just get into sexless marriages because that's what it sounds like your saying, why would a women marry a man making each other exclusive to one another then turn around and reject any sex, putting the man in a shit sexless situation he didn't want to be in. I mean maybe you'd have a point if there wasn't an expectation for men to work and provide but we don't see women picking up homeless men because they have great personalities now do we.

I seldom hear guys here talk about these things when it comes to relationships. Just sex and resources.

Well yeah if you get together with a woman you're expected to be the work mule so talking about giving resources and receiving sex is normal if you're expected to provide, no guy wants to work in the corporate rat race just so they can have an asexual partner who's bond is as deep as a best friend. Wanting sex is a perfectly normal thing that shows you're a healthy human being, having sex helps maintain a satisfying and fulfilled life it's simply part of our biology.

"But men dont care about those other things"

Maybe they should care! Perhaps, they'd stop meeting all these shallow stupid bimbos they claim "AWALT". You'd be amaze the types of people you'd meet if you stop vetting for bra size and gag reflex.

You know what you call someone you share interests with but are not in any type of sexual relationship? You call that person a friend, how does ones bond with someone go beyond that of a friend if you're only together because of common interests, is it deeper if you have common interests with a woman of the opposite sex? But if you have an asexual relationship with this person then what makes that different than being friends with someone of the same sex, you have similar interests and there's nothing sexual so it's the same thing.

You can also buy maids! If your dream girl is a Hooker-Maid, you can buy those things without "risking divorce rape".

Because men don't just want sex, they want sex with someone that loves them and not some prostitute.

Wow, the judge reward your ex alimony because she made a fraction of what you and you DIDNT sign a prenup?! IMAGINE. MY. SHOCK.

Alimony should be there to help the woman get on her feet but that's not how it's applied, you don't deserve near half someones wealth just because you took care of your own home that you live in whilst also taking for granted that the man pays for everything. Imagine thinking it's normal that women should get half the mans wealth by default, most men don't even know about this stuff till it's too late, I mean how do you even get a woman to sign a prenup without her throwing a tantrum anyway.

It's not worth it TO YOU because you don't have a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with. You just want to get your dick wet. And I'm sure you can pay hookers to indulge in your mommy fetish and take care of you.

Imagine thinking that guys get married to women just to get their dicks wet, as you said they could just buy a hooker, the difference is that when men marry they do want a companion and to build a relationship, that's why these men starved of love from the opposite sex will happily marry some hoe that shows them a fraction of attention and love.

Half of marriages end in divorce and the courts are biased against fathers so it definitely isn't worth it. Again men don't simply want sex from a hooker, they want sex from a woman who loves them, they go to hookers because most of the time they can't get love.

"But I actually want love!"

Then don't put looks and sex on a high priority. History has proven that lust clouds a man's judgement and he'll do very stupid things if he lets it control him.

Again the thing that differentiates between being close friends and being life partners is sex, expecting people to ignore that is ridiculous. Imagine some ugly guy calling a female model shallow for not looking past his looks and to look at his personality instead, demanding that she change the way she thinks. If you're getting into any type of serious relationship then sex is very important especially if you're a man these days who wants to get married.

Honestly if you just swapped the genders around this would sound like an incel post.

[deleted] 12 April, 2020 05:43 AM\*

[deleted]

PotOfBeans • 2 points • 12 April, 2020 08:12 AM

No one cares when a man says and does all the right things then abandon her when she gets pregnant. All they do is humiliate those women.

That's their fault for fucking chads, the only type of man who will leave a relationship with a woman is a man with options and the average man doesn't have options, if a man won't stay for the child then get an abortion or put the baby for adoption. Next time instead of picking the sociopath bad boy pick someone more realistic if you want a realistic relationship.

Keeping that same energy, why should anyone care about bad male decision making. Choose better. Isn't that what the entire manosphere says to us?

Yeah but most men don't have the luxury of choosing women, imagine the average young man saying 'I'll only date you if you're not a whore who sleeps around and can take care of a house, in exchange I'll take care of you financially', guess what most young women's response would be 'haha ok i'll just fuck chad'.

Aaren\_Augustine • 29 points • 10 April, 2020 01:38 PM

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" who told you to get married FOR SEX?!

This is the largest hamster misunderstanding of men I will ever see in women. The "Did you think marriage was a nonstop sex party" rationalization. It's for fools who don't in any way understand men or their needs.



The sad fact is most women, when it comes to being aroused by men, are very shallow in this aspect. VERY SHALLOW.

All that other stuff while comforting, won't get you far IF you aren't physically attractive. That doesn't mean a fat guys wife doesn't love her husband, it just means she doesn't want to fuck her husband.

This doesn't just go away when you marry a "nice woman". This doesn't go away if you'd only date a NOT shallow chick. The vast majority of women are like this.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 16 points • 10 April, 2020 01:46 PM

Its for fools who don't in any way understand men or their needs.

Men here explicitly say "Sex is a need" and that "You need to fuck him by date 3 or he should leave you". WTF do you think women will think if they hear that FROM MEN?

won't get you far IF you aren't physically attractive.

Most of you dont put in the effort to even be 5s. I've been on OKCupid, sir. They're not the best groomed.

it just means she doesn't want to fuck her husband.

Then how did Trump conceive Baron?

reluctantly\_red • 13 points • 10 April, 2020 02:27 PM

Men here explicitly say "Sex is a need" and that "You need to fuck him by date 3 or he should leave you".

No need for women to do anything they don't want to. If a woman doesn't want to fuck a particular guy she certainly doesn't have to. Women have free will. So do men. If I'm not getting what I need from a relationship its time to move on.

churnthrowaway123456 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 02:08 PM

OKCupid is bottom of the barrel.

Men here explicitly say "Sex is a need" and that "You need to fuck him by date 3 or he should leave you". WTF do you think women will think if they hear that FROM MEN?

Men give this advice because women tend to get bored and leave if we aren't sexual and making moves. It makes you look like a scared little boy if you don't make moves. Women have friends. They date because they want dick lmfao

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 11 points • 10 April, 2020 03:13 PM

Women have friends. They date because they want dick

No. Women date to have fun with a guy. That can evolve into marriage or some glorified fuckbuddy.

TomahawkSuppository • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 05:07 PM

No, women usually date for three things: 1. A free meal 2. sex 3. looking for a marriage

Anthony-waltzs • 9 points • 10 April, 2020 05:54 PM

Pretty much. Everything else is bullshit.

TomahawkSuppository • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 05:55 PM



True.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:05 PM

Jesus Christ, you guy date some really low quality women if she's with you for free dinner lol

TomahawkSuppository • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 09:37 PM

Umm, might want to open your eyes and look around sweetie. This is a real common thing in big metropolitan areas. I'm fact more than 10 years ago The NY Post did an article on dinner whores. You can google the term dinner whores and it shows up as the top most search selection. This has been going on for a long time and it's only gotten worse.

Now as for the quality, well it's not like the workmen come with a whorefax report to identify if they are a decent person or not. Even a person who looks and sounds sane in their profile might be a total asshole. That last one applies to people in general.

princessofdenmark\_\_ • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 10:15 PM

You can google the term dinner whores and it shows up as the top most search selection.

If this is the way that you are validating how FREQUENTLY it occurs or how COMMON it is, then you need to seriously revisit your personal knowledge of statistics.

TomahawkSuppository • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 10:38 PM

That it happens at any level is all I need to know about it. Just like I don't go to the ghetto so that I won't get mugged, don't need to know what frequency it happens.

princessofdenmark\_\_ • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 10:50 PM

So that fact that something occurs at all, even only once, means that you avoid the environment it might occur in completely? And that's it? That's how you make your decision?

How do you leave your house knowing that you're going to be hit by a car, raped or murdered, or even struck by lightning? Do you not shower to avoid drowning too?

SartreK • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:29 AM\*

All with you about food-diggers being low quality women. I consider myself lucky to not live in the USA (where that kind of behavior seems to be a thing - there's a whole rather big subreddit full of those trash queens, have a look at FDS) but in Western Europe (where it isn't and the norm is egalitarianism). That being said, I do pity the guys in America.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 11 April, 2020 02:21 PM\*

In other words, you've learned about the USA from the internet. By that logic, you can learn about girls from instagram and porn.

SartreK • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:38 PM\*

Apart from the fact that this is really rich coming from you right after you base your OP argument on... internet links...

You purposely misinterpret and project in absence of any knowledge about me... and basing your projections on total ignorance of who you write about unsurprisingly come to totally false conclusions. I studied in the USA and lived there for a while... and kept friends in the USA when I returned to Europe. The mention of FDS is not where I have my information from, but an illustrative example of some of the most crass shit visible right here on reddit. I can't link to my personal experience (and personal experience is anecdotal), whereas a sizeable cesspool of that shit right here on reddit does illustrate the problem. After totally basing your own argument on internet links it does come over as absurd and hypocritical to try to invalidate that illustrative reference to a sizeable sample because "internet".

And the generalization ("girls") is purely your own projection as well. I was very careful to avoid generalization and very specific about the subgroup type of women I wrote about.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 03:19 PM

Apart from the fact that this is really rich coming from you right after you base your OP argument on... internet links...

There's a difference between showing the behavior pattern of particular men who all form an internet group and judging 3.5 billion people.

I studied in the USA and lived there for a while... and kept friends in the USA when I returned to Europe.

Let me guess, a bunch of Globalists who "learned" that the USA is so inferior while most of the world depends on us. Lol

You do realize that the USA is a large country, right? You basically have 50 tiny countries trying to function as 1.

the\_calibre\_cat • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:51 PM

I'm pretty sure not having a job is a super turn off

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 03:42 PM

Do you even know any women? Cause you seem ignorant to the basics of women in the dating world.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 03:56 PM

Im "ignorant" to how bimbos date because Im art enough to avoid their asses. The women you guys put up with for a dick-sucking is the women my friends and I stop talking to real quick.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 04:05 PM

I don't put up with bimbos nore have i ever so this holds no water in my boat. Lol don't worry i know women dislike whores and sluts just like men do to. Also i am saying once again i only look for moral woman to make my future wife, i am not looking for a promiscuous woman.

RedPill\_Dragon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:33 PM

- 1) A free meal.
- 2) Looking for him to pay for her crap
- 3) Trying to get emotional support for her times with Chad/Tyrone

Women rarely date, if ever, in order to know and appreciate a good man.

TomahawkSuppository • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 07:50 PM

Yep. Save the money.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:06 PM

So you date bimbos, just like the guys in my evidence list.

RedPill\_Dragon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:07 PM

Usually is a big word here.

Around 95% of women in the US are worthless. Basically AWALT

I just date outside of the US, then plenty of excellent women.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:37 PM

So what you mean is that you date bimbos and youre too scared to admit it.

RedPill\_Dragon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:47 PM

No I date quality blondes with an accent.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 10:25 PM

[deleted]

TomahawkSuppository • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:39 PM

You are wrong. Go look up the term dinner whores.

Jaktenba • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:21 AM

No, u/clockworkshining is right. These women aren't really dating, they're just pretending to. What clock doesn't seem to understand though is that most don't do this regularly with a single man, they do it once or twice with dozens of men.

[deleted] 12 April, 2020 11:57 AM

[deleted]

Jaktenba • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 10:41 PM

these same men who fawn over these usually hot younger women also wouldn't go to the same expense and effort to date average looking women who would probably appreciate the effort more and be more likely to reciprocate in kind.

Plenty of men make the same argument against women, and women counterclaim that many of those men are apparently worse than the better men in more than just looks/money.

Finally the amount of women who would do such a thing (especially consciously) is so small

That is nothing more than your opinion.

mindsanitizer • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 02:19 PM

I've been on OKCupid, sir. They're not the best groomed.

Sick burn!

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 10:23 PM

[deleted]

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:18 PM

And? He gets super model puss, she gets good child support money (and a visa), and he can cheat on her with no problems. What's the problem?

Aaren\_Augustine • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 01:59 PM

"You need to fuck him by date 3 or he should leave you".

Their men, of course they want that. Doesn't mean it has to happen.

WTF do you think women will think if they hear that FROM MEN?

I'd hope they are adults that can properly express their boundaries and have adult thoughts about their own bodies. That they aren't some stupid idiot caricature that's so easily swindled into bed.

Most of you dont put in the effort to even be 5s. I've been on OKCupid, sir. They're not the best groomed.

So? And your answer is what, be more attractive so that they can APPEAL to women's more shallow nature? Are you serious? This coming from your mouth when you say don't date shallow women? Are you even listening to what you say?

Then how did Trump conceive Baron?

A pity fuck while the wife was black out drunk.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 9 points • 10 April, 2020 02:01 PM

Their men, of course they want that.

So there's no misunderstanding.

A pity fuck

Oh! You're talking about men wanting women to worship them. Yeah, not happening.

Aaren\_Augustine • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 02:15 PM

Worshiping men...WAT?! Are you intentionally misunderstanding what I am saying?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 03:18 PM

I dont understand why you guys would care that you're not the hottest guy she's fucked. It smells of insecurity. Does she love you? Still do things for you? Still fucks you? Then what's the problem? That she fucked Quarterbacks in her 20s?

Aaren\_Augustine • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 03:28 PM

This is why you don't do discussions, you have to listen.

Aaren\_Augustine • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:25 PM

I personally don't. This isn't even what we are talking about...

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:29 PM

Then who cares if “she’s not REALLY attracted to him”?

Jaktenba • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 01:23 AM

If she's not really attracted to him, she doesn't love him.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:24 AM

Have fun being married in your 40s with that mentality.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 04:48 PM

And your answer is what, be more attractive so that they can APPEAL to women's more shallow nature? Are you serious?

Looks matter to an extent to everyone, everyone should try to look their best regardless but looks shouldn't be the ONLY factor.

Aaren\_Augustine • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 05:02 PM

I agree, but OP is saying don't date shallow people or marry them and you won't have that problem. Which is false.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:17 PM

Your logic: You cant stop a drunk driver from hitting you on the sidewalk, so I'll jaywalk in busy traffic!

reluctantly\_red • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 02:18 PM

The sad fact is most women, when it comes to being aroused by men, are very shallow in this aspect. VERY SHALLOW.

Which is why the biggest most common mistake guys make is to let themselves get fat and lazy. Once she looses attraction nothing good ensues.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:46 PM

That doesn't mean a fat guys wife doesn't love her husband, it just means she doesn't want to fuck her husband.

So get in shape then? Letting yourself go in a marriage is a form of entitlement if you expect the other person to just stay sexually attracted to you, no matter what a fat slob you turn into.

Aaren\_Augustine • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:03 PM

You realize the OP is saying don't date shallow women to avoid this right? Which is crazy as you so easily point out.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 05:31 PM

There's a difference between marrying someone because your partner is hot and marrying someone you really like who also happens to be attractive to you (even if they don't look perfect)

Aaren\_Augustine • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 05:33 PM

Again, I agree with you. And like you say, fat slob won't fit into any of these categories, right?

BlackEmeraldMoon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:42 PM

Right.

Zaeobi • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 07:30 PM

I think the difference though is that OP is talking about being sexually attracted to someone *from the beginning* VS what's being discussed here ( *becoming* less sexually attractive to someone over time).

Digedag • 24 points • 10 April, 2020 01:19 PM

What about:

HOBBIES

PERSONALITY

MORALS

WORK ETHIC

That would mean increasing your standards. I doubt that's a viable option for men with non-top smv.

Visserman75 • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 01:21 PM

This. If you can afford to select on most of the things, you are already doing pretty good.

MooseKabo0se • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 01:33 PM

I didn't realize that not being a vapid sociopath was so rare and valuable

/s

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 01:37 PM

I doubt that's a viable option for men

It is. It's called: "Don't be a SIMP"

Trying to find a super hot 10/10 girlfriend is actually much harder.

Digedag • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 01:43 PM

explain how that is related to simping

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 11 points • 10 April, 2020 01:54 PM\*

Chasing after a loser just because her gag reflex is nice is the ultimate SIMPing. Just like a woman catering to a loser is a Pickmesha. Neither of them have self-respect and are so shallow that they're

risk their own resources and wasting time to pamper their pretty little trophies. At least with Sugar Mamas and Sugar Daddies, they understand the relationship they're in.

hammerhaunts • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:50 PM

just use TRP, you mean?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 01:55 PM

What TRP THINKS it is, yes. What TRP ACTUALLY is, no.

hammerhaunts • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 01:57 PM

fair

Darkseeker\_Mau • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:51 PM

"Don't be a SIMP"

I don't like to simp

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 08:19 PM

[deleted]

AidsVictim • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 09:08 PM

The average guy looking for relationships or even just sex does not have high physical standards. Most men who are failing in the dating market are not trying to pick up 8's.

Barely-moral • 21 points • 10 April, 2020 02:07 PM

Another version of this post?

Ok... I will respond to any and all points. I know you will bring those up again so next time I will just post a link to this comment.

Either get a hoe, or get a wife. Stop trying to make the hoe your wife.

Sounds good. It isn't easy. You are thinking only about HVM. Some men don't have as many options. I will come back to this point later.

If your main goal is sex, then what the fuck are you doing getting married? Making long term choices based on short term climaxes is dumb.

Again. Sounds right. It is not easy. You are only thinking about HVM. Some men don't have options. For those men getting married is the only way to get access to a barely stable if stable source of sex.

That's what hookers and fuckbuddies are for.

Plenty of men explained to you that hookers don't satisfy the needs that the majority of the male population is trying to adress through sex. For the last time (and I know this wont be the last time) hookers are not the solution.

About the fuckbuddies, again, you are only thinking about HVM. Most men don't have that option. They are not attractive enough.

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" who told you to get

| married FOR SEX?!

Two kind of guys say that kind of thing. The kind of guy that can get sex outside of marriage so he doesn't get married, and the kind of guy that can't get sex outside of marriage so he gave marriage a try and found that it isn't worth it.

Who told that second guy to marry for sex? No one. He found himself in a situation where the only way he could get access to sex was through marriage.

| BTW, that's called a Sugar Baby. Unless you can afford her, you really shouldn't get one.

I agree. But again, just saying this phrase without thinking is making the same mistake you are making since the beginning of this post. Not all men have access to those opportunities. And most men still have needs that they satisfy through sex. So they will do what they can to get sex.

| Your relationship should be more than just "I give resources, I get sex".

You are no one to tell anyone what their relationship should be about. Now that we got that out of the way lets continue.

| What about: HOBBIES, PERSONALITY, MORALS, WORK ETHIC.

Two things about this. If the man is in the position to care about those, he has options. If he has options, he is a HVM. Not all men are HVM. Some men have to settle for what they can get. Get it through your head for fucks sake. Options are a luxury. Caring about all those things is a luxury reserved for men with options.

Also, all of those things can be shared with male friends. Why should a man care about those in a relationship with a woman when he already shares those with his male friends? It is inefficient and stupid. If I am going to go through the hassle that is having a relationship with a woman I am focusing on getting the things I can't get through my male friends.

| "But men don't care about those other things"

Maybe they should care! Perhaps, they'd stop meeting all these shallow stupid bimbos they claim "AWALT". You'd be amazed the types of people you'd meet if you stop vetting for bra size and gag reflex.

Again. Caring about all those things is a luxury. Stop thinking all men have options. Also, see above. If a man can get something from his male friends he doesn't need to get that from a woman.

| "But I also want a woman who cooks and cleans."

You can also buy maids! If your dream girl is a Hooker-Maid, you can buy those things without "risking divorce rape"

I agree. But then we see the same problem that we have with prostitutes. The kind of man that cares about a woman that cooks and cleans in a similar way that he cares about sex is not going to have his needs met by paying a woman for the service.

| Wow, the judge reward your ex alimony because she made a fraction of what you and you DIDN'T sign a prenup?! IMAGINE. MY. SHOCK. BTW, how many men actually have to pay alimony?

Yes. The man should have seen that coming. That doesn't change that the law is unfair. The woman already got paid her fair price for being a SAHW. She got to live without working for the duration of the marriage. She shouldn't get anything else. Marriage is the only situation where someone is reimbursed the opportunity costs of their decisions. WTF? Why? When you decide to do X instead of Y then you lose the benefits of doing Y. No one should reimburse you for what you are not making doing Y. Doing X was your choice. No one forced you.



"Men shouldn't marry because it's not worth it"

It's not worth it TO YOU because you dont a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with. You just want to get your dick wet. And I'm sure you can pay hookers to indulge in your mommy fetish and take care of you.

HOOKERS. ARE. NOT. A. SOLUTION.

"But I actually want love!"

Then dont put looks and sex on a high priority. History has proven that lust clouds a man's judgement and he'll do very stupid things if he let's it control him.

This is actually good advice. Still ignores that most men don't have options though. Most guys don't have priorities. They settle for what they can get as long as a chance for sex is on the table.

The memes I see MGTOW post that help me come to this conclusion:

Great. Lets analyse some memes.

This stuck out to me as projection and speaking from a personal experience.

That woman can do all of that. Whether she wants to do it or not is not the issue. She can.

MGTOW getting pissy because a woman dared to tell her ex that she's a doctor. One comment saying that her head game is more valuable than her career and work ethic. I JUST CANT IMAGINE why these guys only see bimbos?!

I don't think I can say a lot here that I didn't say before in this post. And yes, for a man, her head game is more valuable than her career and work ethic. A man can get his own career and money. What he wants is his dick sucked. Nothing that a woman brings is truly valuable if I can get it on my own.

Claiming love should have exclusive benefits instead of mutual benefits. The "only" excluding benefit for women is that her husband "wipes her ass" and "gives her money".

You are missing the point. That meme is showing how many benefits each gender gets from marriage. And accentuates the point that the only benefits a man get are mutual benefits while women get benefits that men don't get. Read it again. Think about it.

Putting a price tag on women based on height, hair length, and hair color.

WTF? No... the joke is that the men that have partners spend more money and for that reason are more likely to be in debt while the men that don't have partners are in a better financial situation because even if they earn less they don't have to spend money on women and children.

Laughing because normal women dont look like Hollywood women, for some reason.

Yeah this one is stupid. I guess the idea is mocking women that believed that they would get to live a "sex in the city kind of lifestyle" but as I said... it is a stupid meme.

Objectifying both men and women. How sad is it they see relationships as loveless transactions?!

Not sad at all. Love is a feeling. Feelings are irrational. The only way to see te truth for what it is is removing feelings from the equation.

Literally calling dating the same as prostitution.

I don't agree with this meme. Because a relationship is not the same as prostitution. In a relationship there is a

promise of exclusivity, in prostitution it is known that there is no exclusivity. But the transaction of resources and sex is basically the same.

Getting pissy because her standards were lower in the past. How dare she mature out of that?! MATURITY IS OVER-RATED! Women shouldn't change whatsoever when they get older!

You are making a Straw-man. Address the argument. Why should a man work hard to get from a woman what she gave to others without expectations nor demands?

Explaining why its horrible to have a hot girlfriend...because apparently you solely get a girlfriend because she's hot and nothing else.

Address the arguments. As far as I know almost all of those are true.

Apparently all women have a harem of guys for sex, money, and attention.

All attractive women can easily find themselves on that situation. The more attractive the woman is the more likely men are to bend over backwards for her. You can't be so blind to deny that.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:16 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:17 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:16 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 08:51 PM

[removed]

Sir\_manalot • 13 points • 10 April, 2020 08:54 PM

She is never going to challenge this post (if she does respond, she will just pull shame attacks again).

She keeps making the same thread over and over while camouflaging it (or changing it ever so slightly) in hopes of getting the answers she wants.

Perhaps if she repeats herself enough times, the opposition will misspeak once and she can then use that as a way to excuse her poor choices.

Barely-moral • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 09:03 PM

I refuse to give up on people. If there is a way to change their mind the only way is through dialogue. And the only dialogue I can control is my own.

If anything this may be useful to to others.

Also, there is a chance that some day she will make an argument that will change my mind. And I am waiting for that day, it would be a good one.

AchromaticFade • -2 points • 10 April, 2020 05:01 PM

Excuses, excuses

Barely-moral • 13 points • 10 April, 2020 05:02 PM

That is all? Are those two words supposed to affect any of the arguments I presented?

If all of those arguments are excuses then at least do me the favour to say what do you think is behind the excuse.

ModernMedia • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 05:39 PM

It's just a single word and no

Sir\_manalot • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 09:32 PM

And yet, you are refusing to challenge Barely-moral's arguments either.

So your "excuses, excuses" comment is a fraud as you are the one looking for excuses.

The FDS women here keep posting the same threads and they dodge all of the good counter arguments every single time.

Which I am thankful for, as it proves mgtow right every single time.

AchromaticFade • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 07:27 AM

Reactions are not opinions. Learn and move on.

[deleted] 11 April, 2020 09:39 AM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 12:34 PM

Be civil

Jake\_Chavira • 14 points • 10 April, 2020 05:15 PM

Inversely, a lot of women would have easier lives if they didn't put out to shitty men.

It goes both ways. It is not that easy.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 06:23 PM

It is easy. Vet for personality.

Inversely, a lot of women would have easier lives if they didn't put out to shitty men.

Funny you say that because it gets men here angry when suggest not putting out until 3 months in the relationship.

"So disgusting how women have sex with shitty men!" "What?! No sex for 3 months?! How dare you not trust me?! I have a 3 date policy, bitch!"

PotOfBeans • 4 points • 11 April, 2020 12:14 AM

Well I think there's a difference between being a 18 year old virgin who rejects every man regardless of looks and only has sex in a committed relationship, opposed to a 28 year old woman who's had her fun whoring around giving sex for free but doesn't give you sex expecting you to wait for 3 months. It's about being consistent, if you whored around you don't have much credibility withholding sex, but if you've always withheld sex it shows commitment and loyalty.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:19 AM

It's about being consistent

That's a lie, because guys here will just assume that the virgins are lying sluts, putting all women in lose-lose situations.

Also, if all youre getting is 28 year old losers, you need to re-evaluate your life.

Diet\_Dr\_dew • 4 points • 11 April, 2020 02:38 AM

I might be alone in the sentiment or might not be yet jaded, but if a woman tells me she has always waited for sex, then I can believe her. Trust is what relationships are based on. I myself don't like to sleep around and I feel pretty strong about it. If I find out later down the line that that she told me is a lie, then I reserve the right to divorce/breakup with her. If she knew that was a principal that I felt strongly about, and betrayed my trust, how can I trust her with infidelity or a range of other issues I feel strongly about. I'm not being hypocritical in this. If you're basing your arguments on hypocritical guys, I understand. But there are a large portion, likely majority of guys who feel the way I do, on and off Reddit.

SteveSan82 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 11:36 PM

You can't vet personality with women, especially older a woman as they hide who they are to get the man so he commits to her. Only to show her true colors after the wedding contract is signed.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 11:38 PM

Then what makes you think men dont hide who they are? If your judgement is that poor, then I would suggest therapy. Thats not normal.

901735 • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 01:30 PM\*

Alot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing shallow relationships.

Yes. The same goes for females. TRP is fixing that.

Either get a hoe, or get a wife. Stop trying to make the hoe your wife.

From that pov, sure. But casual sex is cheaper, especially now as her paying is becoming the norm.

If your main goal is sex, then what the fuck are you doing getting married?

That's a "little bit" simple, don't you think? It's not the MAIN goal, what IS the problem, however, is the weaponization of (withdrawal) of "sexual expression" - he just wants her to TRULY express herself, and not to stop it. "Silence" is not as fun as "discussing" things is, no? Why would she feel so insecure to close herself off, you know? "You never discuss things sexually with me, babe." It's communication, bodily emotional communication. =]

I think in many cases this fear females have is unjustified. And her inability to talk about it openly with him is underperformace on her part - might be a big deal, I understand, but if she is incapable of addressing it [which can be a problem, as there are not rare cases of him having to "pull things out of her", of her being a shitty communicator] she might want to seek instructions on how to "woman up".

LurkingLion26 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 01:34 PM

So true, women pick based solely on looks and get surprised that the 6'1 + with good looks is a serial cheater.

901735 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 01:39 PM

Another thing to consider is herd mentality / pre-approval, which means he has OPTIONS.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:26 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:41 PM\*

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:42 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:47 AM

Don't make this personal.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 01:34 PM

TRP is fixing that.

They're not.

901735 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 01:38 PM

I think otherwise.

CommanderOfTheDeath • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:55 PM

Not so many arguments at once please. /s

pooppeebuttmcnuggets • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 06:49 PM

There certainly is a demographic of men who pursue slutty, shallow woman who are only after sex but they are a reasonably small minority. The majority of men just want a woman who is attractive to them and has common interests that's it. I hope your not telling men to go for woman they dont find attractive that will be a dead bedroom situation in a few weeks, and just for the record just because a womans slim or skinny dosent mean shes by default hot or even attractive. I dont find the woman in your picture attractive but due to black obesity being at 85% I can see her being a hot commodity.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 3 points • 14 April, 2020 07:41 PM

I always love that there's always one racist person that has to talk shit about black people even when race isnt the topic.

toasterchild • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 08:03 PM

Im not sure about hobbies, I've seen plenty of shallow relationships built around a single hobby.

mindsanitizer • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 10:37 PM

I'm really digging how Lillth is becoming a full time advocate for the hookers-and-maids lifestyle.

MistaStealYoSock • 3 points • 11 April, 2020 02:17 AM

I am SO sorry you are exposed to that toxicity! Some guys are interested only in sex and get angry when they don't get it, I suppose! I assure you, we aren't all like that, and a lot of us really do care. I read a comment just

the other day that really resonated with me, you know. It said, "Some men are raised by their parents, and some are raised my their porn site."

PierceTheEye • 13 points • 10 April, 2020 03:30 PM

A lot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing women.

"Now for the matters you wrote about: "It is good for a man not to have sexual relations with a woman."

-1 Corinthians 7:1.

So go monk. Ask any women here on PPD and they'd be happy if you stopped.

gershmonite • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 08:23 PM

Can confirm: I swore off relationships about six years ago and haven't had my heart broken once. A lot of people don't realize that *they are* "the one." Learning to live with yourself happily brings about indescribable peace and contentment.

PierceTheEye • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 03:16 AM

I'm happy for you man! Life's usually the best when I've been single too.

akihonj • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 03:32 PM

Agree many studies have shown that women prefer pets to a man and therefore you can only conclude that they want a man only when there are resources that they can't provide for themselves.

Men are a tool for women and society.

RedPill\_Dragon • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:37 PM

Agreed. IT is much better when the man lives alone, monk mode. Then his resources are for himself only and he doesn't even care what a woman thinks/does. The older he becomes, the more poor, powerless, and fragile women he will encounter, which is good justice.

MarTweFah • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 10:26 PM

Lmao... this mentality is as useless for men as telling ugly guys to work out is.

In today's society, the majority of young men live at home and can't afford to move out. That is a big barrier to a lot of men getting laid.

PierceTheEye • 0 points • 11 April, 2020 03:21 AM

Really? There are studies that show women prefer pets to men. Ahahaha that's pretty funny honestly :D Perhaps the world would be a better place if we all got pets instead of trying to date. Men have a choice, nobody is forcing anyone to 'be a tool'. If you want to 'be a tool' then be one.

[deleted] 11 April, 2020 07:44 AM

[removed]

Mrs\_Dgree[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:37 PM

Be civil

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 03:40 PM

A lot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing women.

Yes, but they dont do, honestly. They just complain about women 24/7 and trying to convince other men (EVEN TEENAGERS) that all women are evil.

In fact, I talked to ex-MGTOW who said that MGTOW made them more angry, lonely, and didn't really address the problem. If they are happier being single, MORE POWER TO THEM. But that's not what I see.

PierceTheEye • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 03:18 AM

Well, I guess some men do actually go their own way and we would never know. Why? Because those men would not lurk on forums or create MGTOW content. All we see are the men who create the content and lurk on forums. I think we all should stop get offline, stop browsing Reddit and just enjoy life.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 02:15 PM

The. Theyre probably not MGTOW. We're not doing this one true scotsman fallacy. If the "real MGTOWs" are the ones we cant see, that's piss poor evidence for MGTOW.

Sir\_manalot • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:50 PM

This.

Men should just stop dating. Everyone is happy then.

Neverbiden • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 01:44 PM

This was a weird one to make gendered

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 01:54 PM

*Look at the evidence*

Neverbiden • 9 points • 10 April, 2020 02:53 PM

*evidence*

"why won't he commiitttt" □□

Because he's hotter than you. Problem solved where my Nobel at.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • -1 points • 10 April, 2020 03:30 PM

I meant the links I provided. IDK why mods bother me to have examples or proof. Hardly anyone really looks at them .

Neverbiden • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 03:39 PM\*

Your stated view is

a lot of men could avoid heartbreak I'd they stopped pursuing shallow relationships

I would think the obvious view is "shallow relationships cause people heartbreak"

I'd add the caveat that "they tend to cause the most heartbreak to the one who has the fewest viable alternatives\*"

ModernMedia • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 05:50 PM

This might be a specific issue with your posts. I'd figure at this point your rehashed bullshit is established enough, that most people just glance over the entire post. But keep doing you



LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:27 PM

You tell the mods that.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:09 PM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:29 PM

Automod please

ChooseAndAct • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:56 PM

Your husband is slacking.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 04:20 PM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 07:07 PM

Automod please

MuleFool42 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 02:46 PM

These rants are inaccurate and show a strong antipathy toward men in the manosphere. Why do you care so much?

The manosphere wouldn't exist if it weren't for men having real life experiences that they decided they would not tolerate any more. It's new, it's crude, but it's real and their lived experiences are real. And each individual is in a different phase of growth and understanding.

You are not a man and don't know what it's like, and that's fine. But you're not the person to tell men what they *should* be like, or how to think or behave. Feminists have been doing that for 60 years and men are done listening to them and instead, listening to other men. You might think your advice is helpful but it's not, men in the manosphere are done listening to anyone but other men going through the same things.

If you don't like what they say, too bad, it's not about you anyway. I'm not sure why you care so much, what harm does it do if men compare notes about their lives and give each other advice based on their lived experiences? Women do that all the time, get over it.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 04:44 PM

[deleted]

MuleFool42 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:46 PM

Read it again. LOL

therobincrow • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:25 PM

What did you take umbridge with?

MuleFool42 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:01 PM

The manosphere is by men, about men, and for men, and that should be ok.

It seems as though people really have a problem with men sharing information, learning from each others' experiences, and changing their views about what's best for them rather than accepting what they

may have been taught.

Men talking to each other is apparently a threat. This really isn't a CMV post, it's just a rant against men that OP hates.

therobincrow • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:19 PM

Nobody has an issue with men discussing things with other men.

Some of the ideas in "the manosphere" are just cancer as fuck and don't actually help anyone.

MuleFool42 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:49 PM

Which is the case in literally every group. There are always extremists. No group gets a pass on that.

therobincrow • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:39 PM

Yeah but I said cancer is prevalent. I see so many posts about how single mothers are supposedly ruining the world, posts about how women are sluts, etc. Idk. I sincerely wish I could agree that it's just a fringe minority but it's all too common for me to do that

MuleFool42 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 07:33 PM

You pretty much relegated the cancer to the manosphere. If now you're saying that cancer is everywhere, that's fine, I can go with that.

Anyone who sites blame on others for their own woes should be ignored. If someone is complaining about how sluts or single mothers are ruining the world then they have the wrong focus. That focus needs to be on the self in order to achieve any kind of meaningful happiness. Blaming other individuals for your own issues is ignorant and juvenile and I just ignore those types of post.

That said, there are laws and cultural norms that are real and play a negative role in the lives of men. Both genders have privileges and disadvantages. TRP, and for me, MGTOW, is just a recognition of what disadvantages men have to watch out for in order to live the best possible life.

So I admit that there is some hate and regressive bs in the manosphere, but I also wouldn't want to throw the baby out with the bathwater. There are things worth knowing when it comes to TRP and MGTOW.

therobincrow • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:01 PM

You pretty much relegated the cancer to the manosphere. If now you're saying that cancer is everywhere, that's fine, I can go with that.

I didn't say that lol I said it's prevalent in the manosphere

Anyone who sites blame on others for their own woes should be ignored.

There are very good reasons why one person's woes can be attributed to another person's actions.

That said, there are laws and cultural norms that are real and play a negative role in the lives of men. Both genders have privileges and disadvantages. TRP, and for me, MGTOW, is just a recognition of what disadvantages men have to watch out for in order to live the best possible life.

I can agree with that.

There are things worth knowing when it comes to TRP and MGTOW.

Yeah of course. However, it is hard for newcomers to separate the realistic from the bullshit in those circles.

hammerhaunts • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 01:20 PM

#### HOBBIES PERSONALITY MORALS WORK ETHIC

have fun trying to find those

901735 • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 01:40 PM

It's not worth it TO YOU because you dont a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with. You just want to get your dick wet.

If that's your fear, maybe you should address it. Him "just getting his dick wet" is what females are insecure about.

mangolover97 • 11 points • 10 April, 2020 02:45 PM

Yeah. It's why women keep inventing ways to vet for it.

901735 • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 02:58 PM

It sounds somewhat like anti-rape laws - the non-rapists get punished, withdraw further, while criminals didn't bother in the 1st place and neither will they now.

Hey, ladies, if when he passes your test, do you reward him?

arizona204 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 03:05 PM

Yes. The higher the committment, the better the treatment. Comes in the nature of feeling safe enough to do so. And its not a "reward" thats doled out, sex and affection is just naturally better in a relationship than in a casual context because you dont have to downplay your feelings anymore.

901735 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:34 PM

The higher the committment, the better the treatment.

"Patience, understanding, love, most of all you need love." ...in return to which, queen Mab screamed Anastasia to death and took Merlin.

Comes in the nature of feeling safe enough to do so.

Agreed. Not the cause.

sex and affection is just naturally better in a relationship than in a casual context because you dont have to downplay your feelings anymore.

The way I see it is the reward is the fact that the ship of relations gets it's sails deployed by trust and can start taking you places.

arizona204 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:20 PM

"Patience, understanding, love, most of all you need love." ...in return to which, queen

Mab screamed Anastasia to death and took Merlin.

You'll have to explain this one to me.

The way I see it is the reward is the fact that the ship of relations gets its sails deployed by trust and can start taking you places.

In the same lines you can say a man "rewards" his wife for her commitment by buying her a house etc. treating her better, etc. that he wouldn't do for a female friend

901735 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:28 PM

You'll have to explain this one to me.

"Have to."? I take your "have to" and donate an ' ]=) and raise you one

'Have to' is for strangers, 'get to' is for loved ones.

Short version, 8m33s, but music taste,...

Enjoy. Youtube and chill, to fall asleep with the one you've chosen. Long version, 3h30s  
It's somewhere in there.

In the same lines you can say a man "rewards" his wife for her commitment by ~~buying her a house etc. treating her better, etc. that he wouldn't do for a female friend~~

hugging her, before, during, after, irrelevant, yes, just like he usually does. Doesn't he?  
Just,... make sure he doesn't "have to". And if you're lucky he'll see it as he "gets to". We often forget that simplicity IS the ultimate sophistication. "More" too often ends up collecting dust. And it's one fire away from, well, gone.

Oh,... and

his wife

is just a word. Her here and now with me (truly, "her" and "here and now"), is good enough. Be honest, it's not rarely more than we can handle, me AND her?!?! Pffff! The few fortunate ones even get to what "us" really is about...

better

is undefined.

the\_calibre\_cat • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:55 PM

And its not a "reward" thats doled out

You might not think of it that way, but it is received that way, and behavior is adjusted according to it being distributed that way. That's how economics works, incentives matter. Doesn't matter that you don't want to think of it with that label, that's how it's taken.

arizona204 • 1 point • 5 May, 2020 10:26 AM

Would you gift a woman who's not your wife a car? How about treat her to a fancy vacation? Pay for a renovation to her liking? Will her your assets in death?

Would you say he's rewarding her too? Or would you say he feels safe enough to trust her to spend more on her? Let's not pretend men dont have tiers to their committment too.

mangolover97 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 03:03 PM

Typically yes.

901735 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 03:06 PM

What did you reward him with, if I may ask. Just for the record, I am not fragile will not be insulted, if you (anyone) decide for whatever reason, you can end the convo whenever you like. Reason why I am saying this is I'm rather inquisitive and "sometimes" tend to drill deeper.

mangolover97 • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 03:07 PM

For me personally, commitment, sex, affection, food, gifts and acts of service. Every woman is different though.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 6 points • 10 April, 2020 04:25 PM

You shouldn't treat sex like that. It should be something you do together because you care about each other, it's not a dog treat you give to men.

mangolover97 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:31 PM

Well it doesn't do anything for me. I only do it if I really care about a guy, but I have to make sure he cares about me too if I'm going to sleep with him. Otherwise I'm just setting myself up for heartache.

Jaktenba • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:46 AM

Contradictory, if it doesn't matter to you then it won't cause you heartache.

mangolover97 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 01:51 AM

The sex itself won't. The whole dynamic around it would.

BlackEmeraldMoon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:34 PM

Well it doesn't do anything for me

Sorry, what? what do you mean by 'doesn't do anything for you?'

mangolover97 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:36 PM

Beyond the satisfaction of knowing my partner enjoyed themselves I don't get anything out of sex. It's something I do for them if it's important to them. For me personally though I would be fine without it.

Sir\_manalot • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:56 PM

So you treat him like a dog? Use "treats" to take him...and probably then pull back on the rewards.

How misandrism.

mangolover97 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:00 PM

Not like a dog, like a person who's love and trust I have to earn if I want to be with, just like he has to earn mine. Once that's done love and intimacy can be given freely. If I feel the need to pull away then it's time for some self reflection and a serious conversation together. If talking about it doesn't fix anything then that's a sign the

relationship is over.

Sir\_manalot • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:12 PM

So like a dog.

mangolover97 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:52 PM

Not like a dog. It's not a master-pet dynamic. They're a human being and things are on mutual terms.

901735 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 03:36 PM

What is commitment?

food

Do you cook together?

commitment, sex, affection, food, gifts and acts of service

The 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 languages of love, huh? ;)

Every woman is different though.

Yeah, when taken good care off, you all purr differently, yes.

mangolover97 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 03:48 PM\*

Loyalty and exclusivity until the guy does something to violate the agreement from his end or there's some external or internal factors that prevent this( if he cheats, both decide we can't do long distance etc.) then the relationship would end.

No I've never had a guy cook for me. I always cook and bake for them.

Of course I want to be treated that way and I treat the person in dating that way unless they communicate otherwise. When I love someone passionately I get euphoric from knowing I did something to make them happy.

901735 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 03:51 PM

Loyalty and exclusivity until the guy does something to violate the agreement

\*other one

No I've never had a guy cook for me. I always cool and bake for them.

Awwww, you're missing out. And it's not for, it's with, that's the fun part.

When I love someone passionately I get euphoric from knowing I did something to make them happy.

=] I wish you you g(o/e)t what you deserve.

mangolover97 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 03:59 PM

Other one?

Idk I think the guys I've dated so far just didn't know how to cook lol. I'd let them try to help but it's faster if I did it so then just being there talking to me

was enough.

Aw thanks!

Smoogs2 • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 01:43 PM

That doesn't make sense. Why would I get heartbreak over banging a prostitute (the shallowest of relationships)? Similarly, I would be less heartbroken if I only wanting a girl for sex (ONS and FWB).

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:16 PM

[removed]

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:56 PM

Ask MGTOW. They never shut up about women despite "Going their own way".

Smoogs2 • 12 points • 10 April, 2020 01:57 PM

Why would I ask MGTOW? I am arguing against your premise.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 01:59 PM

No you're not. You're asking why men would have heartbreak over shallow relationships. That's not the premise.

Smoogs2 • 10 points • 10 April, 2020 02:01 PM

Your premise is that men have heartbreak over shallow relationships. I think that is false or else they would have heartbreak when they sleep with prostitutes. Your premise is wrong. Men don't enter into marriage on principles of shallowness to begin with.

reluctantly\_red • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 02:35 PM

Your premise is that men have heartbreak over shallow relationships.

You'd have thought the illogic of the OP's statement would have been self-evident. A deep relationship is a condition precedent of heartbreak.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 02:04 PM

Men don't enter into marriage on principles shallowness.

Then why do MGTOW constantly complain about women only good for sex and "Sex isn't worth marriage"? Seem suspicious if they weren't ONLY going for sex. That's not what you hear happily married men say at all.

Smoogs2 • 10 points • 10 April, 2020 02:11 PM\*

Convince me that MGTOW is representative of "men" then maybe you would have a point.

Even if you did, MGTOW believes that marriage isn't worth even sex. So your point makes no sense, unless you are actually agreeing with MGTOW here. MGTOW says **not** to get married and to **only** pursue shallow relationships (ONS/FWB) just for sex. MGTOW does **not** advocate **marrying** women just for sex as your premise claims.



TomahawkSuppository • 8 points • 10 April, 2020 05:23 PM

Actually MGTOW don't even tell you to have shallow relationships. They just warn against cohabitating and marriage. Outside of that you are free to do whatever you want.

TomahawkSuppository • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 05:22 PM

Well as a person who identifies with the MGTOW lifestyle, I do believe that with respect to my life the only thing women bring is sex. Outside of that I have no use for a woman, and I don't mean that in a malicious way. And no, sex isn't worth marriage especially given what you stand to lose when the marriage is ended. Sorry but I don't think losing half my stuff is worth the sex that was gained from marriage - not to mention the possible alimony payments, like making payments to an annuity for the past use of pussy. Plus, I love my freedom to do things when I want. I want to have a drink I don't need to check in with the wife, I feel like gaming all weekend, done. Marriage as far as I can see is for men who don't have the capacity to be alone and direct their lives.

Sir\_manalot • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 09:01 PM

Why are you so afraid of mgtow?

Even if they hate women 24/7 (they don't, criticism and even complaining isn't hate), why should anybody care? In order to get annoyed with mgtow, you would have to purposefully seek them out and spend a significant portion of your life looking at the things they say...

...which is worse then mgtow.

Mgtow doesn't care when people hate them

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 09:16 PM

Because theyre now trying to make TGTOW (MGTOW for teens). No child should be taught to be a bitter jackass when their life has JUST begun.

Also, its a great way to help my guy friends when they feel blue.

Sir\_manalot • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 10:15 PM

Mgtow cannot and does not try to make anybody become anything.

Women are the ones that push men to quit the game. If women want men to stop going mgtow, then start proving us wrong with women's actions.

Nothing else will work.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:20 PM

Mgtow cannot and does not try to make anybody become anything.

I have videos of men trying to convince teenagers to be MGTOW and MGTOW (deadbeat) dads teaching their sons to not trust women. Quit your bullshit.

Sir\_manalot • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:21 AM

Because women are not trust worthy because of there actions.

If it wasn't true, boys would ignore it.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:24 AM

If it wasn't true, boys would ignore it.

Dude. You convince a 5 year old to fuck you. That doesnt make it right either.

Using your logic, its fine to fuck teen boys too because "if they didnt want it, they'd just ignore it!"

THEYRE STUPID. Thats why they need parental consent for everything.

Sir\_manalot • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:30 AM

Teenagers are not 5 year olds.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:25 AM

Try using that excuse in court.

reluctantly\_red • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 02:29 PM

Ask MGTOW.

Who the fuck cares what those guys think?

TomahawkSuppository • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:11 PM

Going your own way doesn't mean you don't earn men of the dangers of cohabitating and marriage. It also does not mean one can't earn other men about basic female nature and to guard against it.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:20 PM

In other words, MGTOW isnt about freedom. Its about bitterness and paranoria.

asdf333aza • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:06 PM

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" who told you to get married FOR SEX?! BTW, that's called a Sugar Baby. Unless you can afford her, you really shouldn't get one. Your relationship should be more than just "I give resources, I get sex".

Society. Men used to have to get married to get sex cause women weren't putting out like they are now. They weren't as slutty. That's how your grandparents and maybe even your parent did it. That's culture and tradition. And feminism is a voice that tells girls they don't have to follow those traditions or cultures. Boys however, don't have any mainstream voices on popular media telling them they don't have to follow their traditional rolls. Girls are told to pursue their dreams and are constantly being uplifted. While boys are constantly being told to do less to give someone else a chance and to sacrifice for others. Boys are stil being instilled with some fantasy of saving a female in distress (aka a hoe, a slut, a broke bitch, or whatever) and being her Knight in shining armor and eventually getting sex and married. Boys are still being taught that kind of shit, while girls are constantly being told to be their own heroes. Boys are being socialized to want to save girls and girls are being socialized to want to save themselves. Boys aren't being told to live their lives for themselves. Girls have a public voice telling them to fuck tradition and their societal expectations, while guys don't. Feminism is being taught in public education and basically given to girls, while any knowledge from the manosphere has to be sought after. The mainstream media's msg to girls it to be free and do what you want, but the msg to guys is submissions, service and responsibility. Some guys catch on to game, but a lot of boys are still being taught that they have to bend to societal expectations, like paying for dates, helping girls, protecting girls and settling down.

What about:

## HOBBIES PERSONALITY MORALS WORK ETHIC

I seldom hear guys here talk about these things when it comes to relationships. Just sex and resources.

Every time u feminist bring the imaginary wage gap, you will hear men bring up personality, morals and work ethic. In terms of relationships, a lot of you girls don't have personalities or hobbies or talents. The modern 20 something year old female's best talent is taking selfies, ass pictures, and reposting memes. Personality my ass. How many female tinder profiles have a similar phrase to "I like hiking" or "follow my ig". We have a whole cookie cutter term for that fits most females nowadays and it's called "a basic bitch".

"But men dont care about those other things"

Maybe they should care! Perhaps, they'd stop meeting all these shallow stupid bimbos they claim "AWALT". You'd be amaze the types of people you'd meet if you stop vetting for bra size and gag reflex.

As soon as ya'll stop vetting about height, dick size and a man's finances. You females are guilty of the same shallowness that ya'll complain about.

"But I also want a woman who cooks and cleans."

You can also buy maids! If your dream girl is a Hooker-Maid, you can buy those things without "risking divorce rape". Wow, the judge reward your ex alimony because she made a fraction of what you and you DIDNT sign a prenup?! IMAGINE. MY. SHOCK. BTW, how many men actually have to pay alimony?

So because women don't want to do their traditional roles, men have to pay for maids? How about men stop doing their traditional roles and stop protecting women. You know how Brock Turner got caught? Two men came to a females aid and helped her. If men shrugged of their traditional roles then those guys would og turned the other way and that women would of been told that she should just get a bodyguard.

As for the marriage, that's hypergamy, females marrying guys with more resources than themselves to secure their future. Thats a disgusting truth about women that men aren't usually taught about. Women are usually looking for guys who have more than them. And these poor gullible men think the women actually like them, when the women just likes what they can provide. Men and boys aren't taught about that side of female nature. And 80% of all divorce proceedings involve a request for alimony. . And of all alimony payments. Only 3% go to men. The other 97% of the time is men paying alimoney to females. And you girls don't want equality, that's why all the females were butt hurt about Adele losing a lot of money to her low level husband. Newsflash, what happened to adele is what has been happening to men for decades. That's the kind of crap that redpilled a lot of men. AND THEN THERES CHILD SUPPORT, which is whole other can of worms. If females weren't all hookers and gold diggers trying to disguise themselves as ladies seeking a serious relationship when they're really looking for a support, or paycheck, it wouldn't be a problem. But once again mainstream media and society isn't telling boys that girls chasing them for their money and resources. A lot of these guys THINK women love them for them, and they THINK their wives will stay with them even if they didn't have money. And that isn't the case and 70% of divorces are started by women and one of the top leading reasons for divorce is finances. . Society doesn't even attempt to educate men on the nature and bad parts of women. Boys are being lied to and told to believe all women, support all women, help all women and that women most women are good and deserve respect and love. When in reality, ya'll are just as fucked up as the other half of the species, but society hides that aspect from men. Men have to actually scavenge for that information. They have to learn from bad experiences. Media only talks about the horrors of what a man does to a women, but never about the horrors of what women brings to men. PANDORAS BOX. The men simply didn't know any better and weren't taught about women. Feminism and the mainstream only address the bad parts of men and calles themselves the face of equality, but they never bring up the bad parts of women. *cough* Amber Heard.

"Men shouldn't marry because it's not worth it"

It's not worth it TO YOU because you don't have a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with. You just want to get your dick wet. And I'm sure you can pay hookers to indulge in your mommy fetish and take care of you.

That's like paying \$20 for instant cup Ramen. You'd be better off getting \$1 Ramen or \$20 steak. Only idiots are paying steak-price for ramen-quality.

It's not worth it all. You can have a perfectly fine relationship, without the government being involved. Why are u females so desperate for commitment? Always trying to lock down and secure resources. You want to get your government daddy involved in the relationship cause you know the government will protect u if shit hits the fan, and again guys aren't taught about the horrible things that women do, so they just go right along with it thinking that their female truly loves them and that she would never betray him when in fact it's only a matter of time. Women are the ones pushing for marriage and the ones pushing for divorce. And guys are usually just along for the ride cause they don't know better, cause society never educated them how a woman might try to ruin their life. He has no idea until one actually does.

RedPill\_Dragon • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:40 PM

oh..... this comment was fire.....

asdf333aza • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:43 PM

Just telling it how it is.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 14 April, 2020 07:47 PM

And feminism is a voice that tells girls they don't have to follow those traditions or cultures. Boys however, don't have any mainstream voices on popular media telling them they don't have to follow their traditional rolls.

Google Toxic masculinity and drag kids. Feminism encourages men to embrace their feminine side. Where have you been?

Girls are told to pursue their dreams and are constantly being uplifted. While boys are constantly being told to do less to give someone else a chance and to sacrifice for others.

Proof? Because I can give you examples of the opposite as well.

Boys are still being instilled with some fantasy of saving a female in distress (aka a hoe, a slut, a broke bitch, or whatever)

Proof?

asdf333aza • 2 points • 14 April, 2020 08:10 PM

Google Toxic masculinity and drag kids. Feminism encourages men to embrace their feminine side. Where have you been?

Why would I do your job for you? You said something is true and yet you can't find your own source to support what you're saying? No credible sources, per usual.

Feminism encourages men to embrace their feminine side.

And that's your problem. You're telling men to "embrace their feminine side" and to be more feminine,

when they typically don't want to be. They want to be masculine and be themselves, but they can't do that cause sjw infiltrate everything and label normal male behavior as "toxic" like you just did. Telling men to be more feminine is like us telling you to be more masculine and to grow a beard.

Proof? Because I can give you examples of the opposite as well.

If you can, why didn't you?

Boys are still being instilled with some fantasy of saving a female in distress (aka a hoe, a slut, a broke bitch, or whatever)

Proof?

Let's list a few children's shows and games for you.

Zelda - man saves princess. Adventure time - boy saving princess. Mario - boy saving princess. Sword art Online - boy saved princess. Superman - man repeatedly saves women. Sleeping beauty - man saves princess. Cinderella - man saves princess from her poor life.

There are numerous forms of media that depict the damsel in distress trope with men swooping in to save women. Female are being told to reject that trope and save themselves, but men aren't being told what their other options are. If women don't want to be saved that is fine, but then the men can focus on bettering themselves and not chasing women which is what mgmtow tries to tell men, but feminism is against that too and even label that as toxic.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 14 April, 2020 08:40 PM

Why would I do your job for you?

As long as you admit that have no clue about feminism, then I will. I have reason to believe you're lying, because toxic masculinity, and encouraging boys to dress like girls are very hot topic, so someone who's done basic research on feminism would know about this.

You're telling men to "embrace their feminine side" and to be more feminine, when they typically don't want to be.

So you were lying about "Men are still taught tradition". As I expect.

Zelda - man saves princess. Adventure time - boy saving princess. Mario - boy saving princess. Sword art Online - boy saved princess. Superman - man repeatedly saves women. Sleeping beauty - man saves princess. Cinderella - man saves princess from her poor life.

Superman was created in the 1930s. So that trope has been around for a long time.

Mulan - Risks her life for her father, who sees her as a disappointment, and China. A country that wanted to kill her for wanting to join the war in place of her aging father.

Love how you brought up Adventure Time, and not Steven Universe, a show about a 13 year old boy who's more mature than his FEMALE alien moms and his has-been rocker dad. The sequel series is about how the alien moms and has-been dad did such a horrible job supporting him through his trauma, that his PTSD turned him into a literal monster. SPOILER ALERT, the sequel ends with Steven, who's 17, going on a roadtrip to discover who he is.

Frozen 1 - Anna's on a quest to save her sister....from herself, and for a moment, she DIED protecting the sister that caused her death.

Scott Pilgrim vs The World - Scott got stronger once he stopped using the power of love and started

using the power of self-respect.

Trojan War - Paris killed his whole family for pussy and destroyed a peace treaty.

Samson - lost his powers because he fell for an obvious honey pot sex trap.

King David - Fell from grace because he got his BFF's wife pregnant and killed the friend to cover it up.

What did the links I provided have anything to do with betterment?

asdf333aza • 2 points • 15 April, 2020 12:15 PM

Superman was created in the 1930s. So that trope has been around for a long time.

Exactly the point. It's been around forever and it is still around. His TV shows, movies and comics are still the things that boys look up to. A powerful man, who doesn't use his power for himself, but instead sacrifices himself for society and specifically goes out of his way to help his lady of interest.

Mulan - Risks her life for her father, who sees her as a disappointment, and China. A country that wanted to kill her for wanting to join the war in place of her aging father.

Females being encouraged to do more and be their own heroes. Exactly, the point made earlier.

Love how you brought up Adventure Time, and not Steven Universe, a show about a 13 year old boy who's more mature than his FEMALE alien moms and his has-been rocker dad. The sequel series is about how the alien moms and has-been dad did such a horrible job supporting him through his trauma, that his PTSD turned him into a literal monster. SPOILER ALERT, the sequel ends with Steven, who's 17, going on a roadtrip to discover who he is.

Spoilers: Boys being displayed as more feminine than typical. And his primary color is pink of all things. And seems like you watch the show. Do you not recall the last season??? He wants to help people! HE WANTED TO HELP PEOPLE! He felt like his entire life was based on helping people! But no one needed his help anymore. So he didn't know what to do with himself. And then he tried to help folks anyways and made things worse around town. And then the part where he asked Connie to marry him, and he got rejected, and let the anger sit inside him until it started causing problems and he lashed out at people. He is a male and his entire life was devoted toward helping others. The girl he was into turned him down and gave him a "not right now". And when no one needed his help, he didn't know what to do and became lost. That's literally the point. Men are told to be sacrificial and go out of their way to help people, specifically girls (like all of the gems portrayed genders). And when they can't do that anymore they don't know what to do. And him taking a road trip to find himself could be considered mgtow, as he literally left a gynocentric society to find his own purpose in life. But again, feminist label that as toxic.

Frozen 1 - Anna's on a quest to save her sister....from herself, and for a moment, she DIED protecting the sister that caused her death.

Females being encouraged to do more and be their own hero. My point.

Scott Pilgrim vs The World - Scott got stronger once he stopped using the power of love and started using the power of self-respect.

The guy who cheated on his gf in order to chase the toxic female who took too many trips on the CC, but majority of the movie is him fighting for a Chick that isn't really all that great. He was



basically simping for 95% of the movie and didn't get the "power of self respect until like the last 4mins". If a 10 year old saw that, what do you think the most likely msg is going to be to him? 95% of the movie is the typical damsel in distress thing, which is exactly my point.

Trojan War - Paris killed his whole family for pussy and destroyed a peace treaty.

Samson - lost his powers because he fell for an obvious honey pot sex trap.

King David - Fell from grace because he got his BFF's wife pregnant and killed the friend to cover it up.

Powerful men sacrificing and losing thing for mediocre women. Sounds like they should stop doing things for women and focus on themselves, but that sounds like mgtow? Crazy, right.

What did the links I provided have anything to do with betterment?

You have no links. Not a single link was provided? Did you mean examples? Are examples and links the same to you? That's very telling.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 15 April, 2020 12:30 PM

Females being encouraged to do more and be their own heroes. Exactly, the point made earlier.

And heroes SACRIFICE. I love how you're trying to change the definition in order to not admit that you're wrong.

Steven's girlfriend Connie is a human and she has placed herself in danger many times, even took an oath prepared to die for Steven. She took up sword fighting JUST FOR STEVEN.

Powerful men sacrificing and losing thing for mediocre women.

Is THAT what you call chasing pussy? "Sacrificing"? A great way to prove that men have huge egos that thinking chasing pussy at the expense of everyone's lives is "doing things for women".

I bet you dont have know the stories Im talking about. Otherwise , you'd know they did nothing "for women", they did these things FOR THEMSELVES.

Paris took Hellen with him FOR HIMSELF. David killed his best friend FOR HIMSELF.

"But that sounds like mgtow? Crazy, right."

MGTOW always like to paint themselves as valiant heroes helping women, when theyre actually just SIMPs desperately seeking pussy. So no, its an accurate comparision. Especially when you look at how wntitled David and Paris actedz

asdf333aza • 2 points • 15 April, 2020 10:11 PM\*

And heroes SACRIFICE. I love how you're trying to change the definition in order to not admit that you're wrong.

Steven's girlfriend Connie is a human and she has placed herself in danger many times, even took an oath prepared to die for Steven. She took up sword fighting JUST FOR STEVEN.

Exact quote from my first comment was "while girls are constantly being told to be their own heroes". You listed stories where women are told to go off and be the hero of their own stories, which backs my original point. Sorry, you failed to read, like you repeatedly



failed to find any sources for your arguments. More meme's perhaps? And all you're doing by explaining Connie is showing girls being empowered to be the heroes. Like I said in my last two comments. You just keep missing the point or maybe you didn't read it. While Steven the boy, still didn't know what to do with his life once no one needed any saving. Which is also what I described in my last two comments, but again you probably didn't read it. You just missed the point, again. And you didn't even try to address the fact that Steven's whole life revolved around saving other and how lost he was without it. You just ignored it cause you know you're wrong and have no argument against it. But by all means carry on.

Is THAT what you call chasing pussy? "Sacrificing"? A great way to prove that men have huge egos that thinking chasing pussy at the expense of everyone's lives is "doing things for women".

I bet you dont have know the stories Im talking about. Otherwise , you'd know they did nothing "for women", they did these things FOR THEMSELVES. Paris took Hellen with him FOR HIMSELF. David killed his best friend FOR HIMSELF.

Literacy seems to be a real issue with your responses. I said "sacrificing AND LOSING". Do you not know what was lost as a result Paris pursuing Helen?

Paris of Troy got his city invaded and destroyed by chasing a women. The Trojan Horse one of histories greatest tricks, was played on the Trojans because Paris wanted a female. Troy was conquered because Paris wanted a female. An entire territory was lost because Paris was chaisng a female. Troy will forever be known as the dummies who fell for the horse because Paris chasing a female. That was a lost as a result of chasing women. Mgtow literally tells men not to do that, but you don't seem to know what mgtow is, so understand how you missed that connection. No problems. These men lost things because they chased women or were involved with women. Pandora's box repeating itself. If only they had mgtow.

MGTOW always like to paint themselves as valiant heroes helping women, when theyre actually just SIMPs desperately seeking pussy. So no, its an accurate comparision. Especially when you look at how wntitled David and Paris actedz

You're so far off the edge of the map. These are your exact words when describing mgtow. **"Heroes helping women" and "Simps desperately seeking pussy"**.

Those are your words. That's literally how you just described men going their own way. MGTOW is literally the exact opposite of that. You're talking about an accurate comparison of something you know nothing about. MGTOW literally tells men not to help women and not to chase pussy, but you just described them as the exact opposite. That's your argument? Laughable, Lilith. Very laughable.

asdf333aza • 1 point • 15 April, 2020 10:17 PM\*

Tell me more about how links and examples are the same things to you. Maybe some internet memes for creditable sources? How about you talk about how mgtow tells men to help women and to chase pussy?□

You can have the last word. I'm satisfied with your inability to source anything you say and your description of mgtow being on public display. Catch you on the next post.

MGTOW always like to paint themselves as valiant heroes **helping women**, when theyre actually just SIMPs desperately **seeking pussy**. - LillthOfBabylon, 2020

AutoModerator[M] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:10 PM

### Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

*I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.*

Tyler\_Gatsby • 7 points • 10 April, 2020 01:19 PM

How many versions of this post do you have... I'm almost curious enough to look.

CommanderOfTheDeath • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 01:38 PM

Didn't she already post the exact same post?

Tyler\_Gatsby • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:52 PM

Multiple times I think. I've done that a time or two though as well, about issues I wanted to pick a fight with:D

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 01:57 PM

I didn't. Shows how well your memory is.

CommanderOfTheDeath • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 02:00 PM

Oh I have 100% already seen atleast some of the exact same sentences losted here before. I clearly remember the phrasing.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 02:03 PM

So NOT the exact post?

CommanderOfTheDeath • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 02:05 PM

Idk, I don't have a photographic memory, I don't know if every single word is the same but most of this has definitely already been posted.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 02:07 PM

Added posts for evidence, which was a waste of time because most people never actually read the description.

CommanderOfTheDeath • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 02:10 PM

You can't expect people to read your redundant posts.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:15 PM

You're trying to insult me, but that says something more about them. That their reading comprehension is quite low.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 01:49 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:51 AM

Don't make this personal.

geyges • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:53 AM

I think that any sub that has moderators whose names start with a w and end with a t, must have fundamentally horrible judgement, and tend to be incredibly overzealous in their enforcement of rules. Especially for shit that's probably not even being reported.

Jip\_Jaap\_Stam • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:17 PM

For once, I actually agree with you. If a man's only looking for glamorous, polished and overtly-sexual, there's a good chance the women he's focussing on will be appearance-obsessed, materialistic and vacuous.

CuriousIncel2 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 01:49 PM

Completely agree. Although women are responsible to a degree, constantly blaming them changes nothing. We consider sex so valuable that it blindsides us to red flags.

Just get a sex doll, then you can consider other purposes you might want a woman in your life, if at all.

YoureNotAverageLoser • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:00 PM

Found the inkwel guys

InertBrian • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 02:36 PM

This post is operating under the assumption that every man truly wants a relationship that isn't shallow..that's why there's the meme of the guy gets rich and dumps his wife for a hot-young 20 something year old.

The issue for the MGTOW men; it is because they're basically at the bottom of the food chain (harsh, but it's true on average), they have little to no sexual choice in terms of who they can select to wife up. More attractive men have more ability to be patient and vet for good personalities because they have more people interested in them.

A good analogy is Google gets more applicants than a small tech startup. Google has more leeway in terms of putting their candidates through a rigorous process to vet for the smartest people with the best work ethic. The small tech startup isn't getting as many candidates and is going to have a good candidate, but not a top-tier one. And will have way less opportunities to find a worker with a good work ethic (personality) that is very intelligent (very attractive).

Edit: women have this same issue. It's not really gender-specific. That's why men complain about single mothers in here, because there was likely flags suggesting that the husband would leave at any time or the woman in question didn't spend enough time vetting the guy. And in that case the child gets effed over not

having a father figure

ElGupo1978 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 10:35 PM

Be honest for a second. How many couples are these douchebag type Chad and Stacy super hot sexy people , those people have the most drama bs and are the last people anyone would want to model their relationship on the reality tv style of hot blooded passionate sexy torrid none sense ? Men in mass aren't trying to emulate these weird toxic slick Hollywood type intrigues and dangerous liaisons. But to be able to mate at all you have to put on this act that you would be able to pull off one of these penthouse forum type escapes. Becky Bibliophile is as far out of reach as the über thot Instagram succubi.

SteveSan82 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 11:27 PM

Even if you pursue a relationship to start a family, that will still happen. Ugly or not. Religious or atheist. The goal is always the same with women.

If you apply TRP you can avoid all that nonsense.

Zippo-Cat • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 05:12 AM

All real romantic relationships are based on sexual attraction.

Anything else is just playing house.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:58 PM

Ignoring 100 redflags for a nice pair of tits is stupid that guy will not get my sympathy.

dalia-chan • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 06:43 PM

Redpillers and especially mgtows don't want a relationship or an emotional connection. They want to be an alpha fucks, which mean fucking as much women as they can, you constantly see them throwing sentences like ( never ever get married) it's not they they fear to be divorce raped, it's just that they don't know why would they spend time with a woman if it's not to rip her pussy appart. But the thing is that most of them aren't that good looking to get casual sex easily or rich enough to afford a sugar baby so they go on and use some weird tactics to get women to give them sex for free. It's so obvious □. They constantly tell how love is a blue pill fantasy lmfao. Why would someone wanna get married if he doesn't believe in love and companionship? They're just mad that the hot hoes don't allow them to fuck them easily the same way they do with chads.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 02:29 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:21 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:50 AM

No personal attacks

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 01:41 PM

[removed]

OddButton6 • 5 points • 10 April, 2020 03:14 PM

I can answer the last part. After college for me at least, my parents cut me off and I had bills to pay. I pretty

much stop playing the sports I did in college, because the adult leagues were too expensive and/or the meet up times was during work. So for about 3+ years all I did in early to mid twenties was work, pay bills, gym, go to concerts, smoke weed, and Netflix. I didn't have money to go out to bars, so I drank at home by myself. Plus I didn't have many friends. I didn't even have time nor money to date. Dating is expensive sadly. I'm just now able to afford my hobbies again. Collecting vintage coins, playing sports, etc. What sucks is the virus that put a Pause to everything when I'm now able to afford shit. It's a cycle sadly.

As far as girls not having hobbies. I'd say extremely attractive girls don't have hobbies because all throughout life boys and men are in their faces and consumes time. They don't feel left out on Friday nights because most likely someone invited them out to a party or what not. They don't have to channel their feels into an obscure outlet. It's like the popular kids in high school, they are at the cool party while the nerds are playing video games at home alone.

dubby\_space • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 04:52 PM

Pertaining to the first half it must be a person by person thing. I just graduated and make *decent* money starting out in a finance adjacent field . I'm a beer guy and hate boujee places so hitting the bars has never been expensive in my city. My hobbies are all free/don't cost much (skateboarding, bike riding, walking around parks, making music, arts n crafts). My friends and i would be perfectly fine with getting high, waking around a park, and cooking dinner in someone's basement or apartment.

At best I plan on taking 1-2 vacations per year, maybe a weekend in some city and something else.

As far as dating goes, i've never had to spend more than \$150. First dates are always some local coffee shop or happy hour spot for me (side note: Happy hour spots are clutch; theres an expensive Brazilian grill spot near me with a decently priced happy hour). First round dates rarely break the \$75 mark.

Anyhow, yeah its case by case. Given my current situation I can save a good chunk of money even after bills, rent, food, personal spending. I have so much time after work its actually absurd sometimes. I also don't have/need a car so thats probably helping.

Anyone working an office based job or set 9-5 in a specialized area has no reason to not have some type of personal hobby at least on Fri-Sunday. Shit is only as expensive as you make it/can find.

OddButton6 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:04 PM

Oh I agree with you in this stuff 100%. After college I had a hard time finding a job so I had to take a job stocking groceries and making \$8.40 per hour for a couple of months. It was humbling and hard work. It wasn't the 9-5 schedule. I took up running as a hobby because it was "cheap" and I became mad fit by it. Any hobby can get expensive, just like running. When you add in race fees, new shoes after certain mileage (lol at that red pill) socks, clothing, etc it gets pricey.

dubby\_space • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 05:45 PM

True, thankfully skateboarding and soon to be biking never did me wrong. Not very competitive anyhow lol.

Side note but i also had trouble finding work for a year and a half. A year i was living with my Dad in a different state and all i'd do was go to the skatepark, drink beer, eat at cookout, and maybe smoke. Peak scumbag mode but it was fun lmao

OddButton6 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:37 PM

Ahhh I miss cookout (I'm from the south)! Those were the days :) Scumbag mode is fun, but after a certain age it's not cool lol!

dubby\_space • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 07:37 PM

After a good session, nothing hits better than their Fries, and a 40oz lol. I was chilling compared to some of the other guys, this is in Riverdale area so i'm pretty sure one guy was serving dime bags, couch hopping cause he was homeless, and possibly hitting licks every once in a while. The younger guys were funny to watch; they'd start getting into it for no reason. We'd stop them if it looked too serious though.

NixieNik99 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:53 PM

I agree ☐

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:13 PM

Automod, please.

mindsanitizer • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 02:23 PM

I don't get the hobbies obsession.

Only a boring wage slave or a retired person has the time in their life for hobbies. If you're doing some real shit, it takes up 99% of your life.

mangolover97 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:53 PM

Some real ish like what?

dubby\_space • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:54 PM

You must be part of LLC gang lmao. While it is true that anybody who owns their own business of some capacity probably has little free time, the reality of it is that many people have no interest in their own full business. Personally, i'm quite comfortable being debt free working in finance/accounting with plenty of personal time, money to save/invest, and ample time for a side business should i chose.

Again, the reality of it is that most people *aren't* some entrepreneur, so from that perspective you should at least be doing something for yourself.

mindsanitizer • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:31 PM

Yeah for sure. I guess the only time I've had real time for hobbies was when my work life was pretty slack (like now).

OddButton6 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:22 PM

Well there are weekend warriors in my state. The ones that works 40 hours and has time to run a marathon. Yeah those peeps exist.

aspiringdruglord • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 02:17 PM

On OLD at least, coming across a guy who actually states minimum standards for compatible values or at least a filter to ensure someone isn't completely vapid, is like finding a unicorn. Never found myself passing over these people because they're not tall enough, rich enough, formally educated enough. The most common reason is because their profile is practically empty and I have no idea who this person *is* other than a human male who has girlfriend vacancy for any human female who isn't a serial killer or fat. The most you'll see about values is the occasional guy virtue signalling about what a big feminist he is. Also pass.

It's all bland nothingburger profiles just trying to cast the widest net, or the occasional crazy rant about mostly

superficial shit from some guy with no self-awareness of how they're insanely beyond anything he can offer himself. People seem to simultaneously whine that they can't get the kind of person they want, while afraid to actually outline what's important to them. I used to get semi frequent messages complaining about my own profile for being like this, as if I'm shooting myself in the foot by putting off... people I'd never be interested in anyway. Or sentiments about how picky you can "afford" to be, like you're losing anything of value if it's not what you want, at least in terms of serious long-term relationships. I've heard the same from the handful of guys who do display (reasonable) standards. It invites hate mail.

nickname\_this • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:15 PM\*

All of your main points are true, but your efforts are wasted because the stuff you quoted are the viewpoints from guys who have very limited relationship and sexual experience(I'm assuming they do at least have some). It's all about perspective.

You talk about how these guys should just buy a hooker who cooks and cleans if that's all they want from their wife, but that's just relative to the position they're currently in. If they have a wife then they can get sex regularly and have constant companionship. That's a big step for men who struggle to even get sex *occasionally*.

Once you're able to at least have a healthy dating life, purely sexual relationships become boring and you start looking for more than that. But that only becomes possible if you're able to get into those purely sexual relationships to begin with.

You have to take it one step at a time. Don't tell a man not to make looks a priority for him, when that man has a hard time getting even average-looking women.

TheBookOfSeil • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:48 PM\*

Show some studies where shallow relationships and heartbreak are correlated where non-shallow relationships and heartbreak are not.

These things aren't mutually exclusive. You can be in a non-shallow relationship and just as easily get your heart broken. People aren't obligated to stay with others, and most people would rather be with people that want to be with them and not those who are desperate to be with someone because they're lonely and nobody has shown them any interest. Anyone is capable of being a shitty person.

This post is just a plea from the unattractive side saying "*We're not like Becky! We won't hurt you, so come hit on us plz! We will give you sum fucc! Becky is a hoe!*"

Most guys with rather be in a frustrating relationship with an attractive woman than be in a frustrating relationship, or even a sometimes pleasant, sometimes unpleasant relationship, with an unattractive woman.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:05 PM

What are you arguing against?

I mean, there isnt 100% guarentee that walking on the sidewalk will keep you safe. Does that mean you jaywalk in a busy road?

TheBookOfSeil • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 07:04 PM

What are you arguing against?

Your post.

I mean, there isnt 100% guarentee that walking on the sidewalk will keep you safe. Does that mean you jaywalk in a busy road?



This translates to me as “Sure, shallow relationships with attractive people aren’t guaranteed to end in heartbreak but do you really want to take that risk?” A lot of people seem to want to. Whether they’re expecting it to end at all is a different question. A lot of guys are content with shallow relationships because they got to bang attractive women. If they suck as people, then that’s really all they were good for, right? Although women really wanted commitment from the attractive men they pursued a shallow relationship with, I’m sure it’s not much different for them, too.

Saying “ugly women won’t betray you” is obviously not as true as you’re implying it is, so for the sake of honesty, post actual studies showing a correlation or else you’re basically exposing your argument to have no merit.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 08:46 PM

A lot of guys are content with shallow relationships because they got to bang attractive women.

Then cue the “I GOT DIVORCED RAPED BY A BITCH WHO CHEATED! AND SHE TOOK MY MONEY!” And do they ever blame themselves for this? NOPE.

Saying “ugly women won’t betray you”

Nope. No one said that.

TheBookOfSeil • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 04:30 AM

Then cue the “I GOT DIVORCED RAPED BY A BITCH WHO CHEATED! AND SHE TOOK MY MONEY!” And do they ever blame themselves for this? NOPE.

Yeah, no. Again, anyone is capable of saying this because even lesser-attractive women can be just in it for the money. Also, marriage isn’t even a requirement nor is it that common in these scenarios. They could be dating for a few months, they split up, guy says “well she was a shitty person, but at least she was hot.” I’ve seen women say the same. In these situations though, it’s more women who are complaining that they got “pumped and dumped by some asshole.”

Nope. No one said that.

You’re certainly implying it here.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:05 PM

You’re certainly implying it here.

Get a better translator.

Yeah, no. Again, anyone is capable of saying this because even lesser-attractive women can be just in it for the money.

You missed the point.

Re-read my links.

TheBookOfSeil • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 03:19 PM

Your links are basically all memes. Nothing of validity in them whatsoever. They say what you want them to say and that’s it. There is no point. You’re equating “hot women” with “broken-hearted men” and I’m telling you that it’s not that simple. You’re arguing for a black and white view of these types of relationships and I don’t know how many times I should have to tell you that you’re wrong, and also that some men aren’t bothered

by getting broken-hearted because they're only in it for sex with hot women anyway. A small group of dudes upset over the halo effect warping their views of women and filling their heads with the fantasy that hot women are everything they've ever dreamed of is not even a good point to center an argument around. You're reaching for a bad argument based on a minority and it shows.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 14 April, 2020 07:55 PM

Your links are basically all memes. Nothing of validity in them whatsoever. They say what you want them to say and that's it

I didnt make them. MGTOW did. Hence, why I showed them. So they say whatever MGTOW wanted them to say and that's it.

You're arguing for a black and white view of these types of relationships

Because it is.

When a guy says "I just want a hot chick that fucks me. Dont care about all that "morals and career shit" " I cant be surprised that every woman he know screwed him over. He wanted a skank, he got a skank.

A small group of dudes upset over the halo effect warping their views of women and filling their heads with the fantasy that hot women are everything they've ever dreamed of is not even a good point to center an argument around.

So you agree with me that it happens.

You're reaching for a bad argument based on a minority and it shows.

Reread the title.

TheBookOfSeil • 2 points • 14 April, 2020 09:23 PM

I didnt make them. MGTOW did. Hence, why I showed them. So they say whatever MGTOW wanted them to say and that's it.

I never said you made them. I said you only showed them because they say whatever you want them to say without any actual data to back anything up. You're essentially using the ramblings of MGTOW as "proof" when they are not scientifically valid forms of data.

When a guy says "I just want a hot chick that fucks me. Dont care about all that "morals and career shit" " I cant be surprised that every woman he know screwed him over. He wanted a skank, he got a skank.

That's not black and white. That's just confirmation bias. Not surprised.

So you agree with me that it happens.

It happens, yes. However, it doesn't happen to the degree which you believe it happens. It's a small subset/group of people that you're inflating to be more significant than they actually are.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 14 April, 2020 09:35 PM

It happens, yes. However, it doesn't happen to the degree which you believe it happens

If you have an entire group of men whining about the exact same type of women, I imagine it's because they had the same experiences.

You're essentially using the ramblings of MGTOW as "proof" when they are not scientifically valid forms of data.

And?

That's not black and white.

It is. He wanted someone hot and didn't vet her personality and morals because he's too focused on her tits.

asdf333aza • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:09 PM

We will now commence with the weekly dragging of the OP. In C minor. Begin!

This long post about men is based on internet memes. Not a single news article, database, or peer-reviewed source. It's basically shit. A legitimate shit post of nothing but opinions and no actual facts. Fake news, if you will. You are attempting to use memes to back your point of view. Funniest part about it is that OP doesn't even know how silly it looks.

"I think the manosphere is this and that because an internet meme." - OP 2020

piguy31415 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 06:11 PM

It's pretty funny for women to explain away the rise in male sexlessness with "men aren't getting married anymore" and then also say "don't get married if you want to have sex."

Also this post massively reeks of apex fallacy. The entire thing seems to be a screed for misbehaving attractive men and basically completely ignores the lived experience of average and below-average men (surprise surprise women forget we exist)

Grub09 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:28 PM

It's not "don't get married if you want to have sex".

It's "you married a human, not a sex slave/fleshlight"

piguy31415 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:56 PM

Seems to work out pretty good for the woman: doesn't have to fuck a guy she's not attracted to and gets half his shit if he chooses to leave.

Grub09 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 07:33 PM

Do people never amicably divorce?

Do all men marry at gunpoint?

piguy31415 • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 07:44 PM

They don't marry at gunpoint; they assume good faith on the part of the woman and then at some point they realize they are living with a gun pointed at their head.

Grub09 • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 07:54 PM

Well, women marry under the good faith assumption that their husbands won't let themselves go physically and treat them like a bangmaid nanny-mommy, but are often disappointed as well.

So everyone is miserable! Equality!!!!

piguy31415 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:05 AM

The men are still working and paying the bills. We don't get to shirk our duty to provide you don't get to shirk your duty to fuck us.

Grub09 • 2 points • 11 April, 2020 12:45 AM\*

I think you are overestimating the number of housewives that exist, m'friend.

The majority of women work

reluctantly\_red • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 02:23 PM

If your main goal is sex, then what the fuck are you doing getting married?

Why else would you have a woman move in? If you're not getting fucked at least 10 times per week what's the point?

[deleted] • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 04:20 PM

10 times a week is 5,200 a year. No sex is that good to have that often. That's tedium at best and torture at worst.

Marry for the good company and mutual support, tax breaks and shared responsibilities.

takeshyperbolelitera • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 06:56 PM

10 times a week is 5,200 a year

10 times per week times ~52 weeks a year = 520

sirpsychosexy8 • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:01 AM

Lol

Grub09 • 4 points • 10 April, 2020 05:00 PM

I'm fine with men pursuing whatever they want. No harm, no foul

I'm not fine with them pretending they have no agency, or blaming us for their decisions

abaxeron • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 07:29 PM

Stop trying to make the hoe your wife.

"All women who betrayed their men were hoes"; more at 11. No, I like your logic, it throws out the entirety of whining and excuses about how they all "had good reasons".

coconutismyreligion • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 04:54 PM\*

Men dont care about hobbies, ext ect, because... surprise surprise, they dont care lol.

Men care about sex. Alot. Let them suffer. Who the fucks cares. I surely dont.

And just as easily they have no shame asking me for sex EVERY opportunity they get, I have no sympathy throwing my bills in their face. Just like men, eventually a woman will say yes to their low quality advances and they will get lucky. But you wont get any yes's if you dont ask ! I dont have a shred of sympathy, I'll take your whole pay cheque. In my hand. Now. Thank you:) Dick carousel's dont deserve the attention of virtuous women. The high quality men will figure it out, they dont need posts like these. They're good □□□

churnthrowaway123456 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:05 PM

Most women don't want a relationship. And a man who *wants* commitment is a huge, huge turnoff

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 3 points • 10 April, 2020 03:31 PM

Most women don't want a relationship.

Proof?

[deleted] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:39 PM

No one can 'avoid heartbreak' Life and our relationships with people are not predictable.

Christian\_Kong • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:53 PM

Most guys don't really have that many options. Gotta take what you can get or it could be months to a year(+) until you find something else. Most men don't have the option to choose a shallow relationship over another more compatible one.

akihonj • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 02:59 PM

I have to be honest I agree with your post. It's reasonable that people should put what they want first, if you just want cheap thrills and sex then either pay for it or hook up don't wife up a hook up

If you want something long term then that too is ok but in that case look for things that matter. This I think has always been the case. I think it might have got lost along the way somewhere but I think overall bits always been that way.

Where I have an issue is that the cases, and there are more than enough of them, where people have done exactly that and still gone through the mill. That's partly because of group biases and government support systems so then regardless of who a man chooses to marry he's always running the risk that he's going to get screwed over by that woman simply because no matter how good he is as a man she will always be told she can do so much better.

Even in cases where numbers within the group for that woman want that men for themselves, telling her she can do better is almost one of the easiest ways to drive a wedge into that relationship, something I've seen happen more than a few times. That's a problem that neither trading up nor down is going to solve.

TheEuropeanVirgin • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 03:06 PM\*

What if every kind of woman has already rejected you but every kind of woman you see also chases top-tier men? No matter her looks, most women have had multiple guys crushing on them during their lives, on the other hand only "chads" can boast this. It's clear that men are already attracted to several kinds of woman but the same doesn't apply to women. Then of course there's the simp who worked his ass just to end up beta buxxing.

This is dating in 2020, this is how the dating market ends up without any cultural or social regulation. **I don't think this is inherently wrong - it's just nature. It's definitely bad for some but it's good for some others.**

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:42 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:49 AM

Be civil

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:47 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 03:50 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 05:10 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:48 PM

Don't make this personal.

xslcx • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 10:32 PM

I have to pay alimony.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 10:34 PM

[deleted]

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:15 PM

Wow! Nice to see how little this subreddit think of men. Am I sure Im not in r/FDS?

Mescalan • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:13 PM

So what of the whole “whore in sheeps clothing” scenario???

This scenario kind of relies on the the thought women never/will never lie to manipulate a partner/interest.

People don’t lie about intentions? Women are wonderful fallacy? Come on now Lil.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 11:36 PM

Depends on what you mean!

If you look at my links, its showing how certain men would put sex and looks on a pedestal.

Kind of like asking for a girl who can pole dance and whining these girls are always strippers.

Mescalan • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:04 AM

So outliers? Because I know plenty of men who don’t do that. Maybe 1 or 2 who do. I want to say 1.

What ever happened to the “lady in the streets freak in the sheets” bit? I wouldn’t say a woman who can explore sexually is a “hoe”. Behavior in the outside world not the bedroom equates fo how behavior.

This whole argument relies on you looking at the extreme, very shallow people.

I’m happy with a woman who takes care of herself, isn’t “prudent”, and enjoys exploring like me. I enjoy eating mushrooms in my free time and playing carnival with a girlfriend. Doesn’t mean I want a woman who takes her clothes off for money or enjoys multiple partners/polygamy.

To me it sounds like you think sex should stop after marriage and kids? Am I misreading the message?

MerryVegetableGarden • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:47 PM

Marriage should only be done if you're interested in children, with the mentality that it *should* last till the grave or, at the very least, when the youngest moves out. A long-term relationship without plans for children and marriage should proceed with the expectation that this isn't a permanent thing. We're together as long as we both desire to be. People are more likely to cheat in long-term relationships when compared to marriage, but the financial fallout from separation is far less pronounced.

TokusatauGunMan • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:38 AM

I do try to get a wife, but women are shit. How am I supposed to find the golden snatch Harry Potter?

The ugly ones? You can be a whore and be ugly.

The ones with bad hygiene? Again, I've seen it. In fact those tend to be the skankiest. Imagine my shock.

Oh I know, let me go looking for the rare Pepe meme woman who likes quantum physics. I'll just go to the quantum physics store... lol

Probably one of my hobbies I'm not proud of I could meet some girl at a gaming shop with but the kinda girls that like those things are the whores mentioned above, and they know they have a lot of low hanging fruit of thirsty Idubbz looking motherfuckers to choose from

This ain't purple pill. This ain't even gotten the doctor's prescription yet

[deleted] 11 April, 2020 01:25 AM

[removed]

[deleted] 11 April, 2020 02:25 AM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:35 PM

Be civil

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:35 PM

Don't make things personal.

MonkeyOnMyBack1111 • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:02 AM

Genius.

jayval90 • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:12 AM

I don't go after shallow girls, and I still ended up lots of heartbreak. The antifeminist stuff has really helped me see past the bullsh\*t and actually have a modicum of real respect (both self and for them) and realistic expectations around women.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:19 PM

If its "All women are evil money-sucking whores" you didnt adopt realistic expectations about women.

Heartbreak is a normal part of life. You still should take precautions to avoid it.

jayval90 • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 02:13 AM



You still should take precautions to avoid it.

I overdid the precautions. That caused a different kind of heartbreak. And no, I don't believe that "All women are evil money-sucking whores." But if you had asked me long enough ago, I wouldn't have been able to say that any women were evil money-sucking whores, when clearly some are. I was raised full WAW (Women Are Wonderful), at least, compared to me.

twennysvn • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 05:06 AM

Alot of women could avoid heartbreak by not chasing 1%ers.

But they wont.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:03 PM

We dont. Sounds more like you chase women who chase 1%ers. Why are you chasing gold diggers?

twennysvn • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 07:46 PM

Im 20. Its funny that you believe i was talking about financial 1%ers.

Why is money the first thing that comes to your mind when you think about men?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 01:26 AM

What?

anonymoushero1 • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 06:05 AM

I have had long term relationships with women because I loved their personality. Their hobbies. Their interests. Their sense of humor.

But they weren't as attractive.

So I've taken your advice. You know what happened? They were begging me for sex and affection. And it was a chore fucking them because desperation is not attractive.

You can't have it both ways.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:02 PM\*

The problem is that you're looking at it black-and-white. You actually didnt takw my advice. You went from one extreme to another. Also, if your standard is "Must be a supermodel", and you're not a supermodel yourself, then you're going to have a bad time.

If a guy cant get over wanting 9s and 10s while he's a 5, he 's gonna have to become a sugar daddy.

SelenityMoon • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 10:05 AM

Conversely, if sex and hobbies are both important to you, how about you find a wifey material with a high sex drive? You seem to imply that being wife material and being high libido are mutual exclusive, when they definitely aren't. In my opinion, men in general should have higher standards for the women that they date. Don't accept a dead bedroom, but don't marry someone you have nothing in common with. I don't why that's so unreasonable to you.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 01:57 PM

how about you find a wifey material with a high sex drive?

People with high sex drives typically lack impulse control and lack what it takes to have self-discipline or

commitment. Their behavior is linked with mental illnesses like Bipolar.

You seem to imply that being wife material and being high libido are mutual exclusive

Statistics also prove that they are. People with a high n count have are less satisfied in their marriage.

And 90% of sex addist experienced abuse in their childhood.

And speaking from experience, the friends who placed sex on a high pedastal, were the same friends who keep dating dysfunctional men. They basically have the same problem guys here do.

when they definitely aren't.

Its like finding a pole dancer who's mot a stripper. You're gonna have to do some digging.

Don't accept a dead bedroom

Those stories are one-sided, so I dont know why you bother bringing them up.

the\_calibre\_cat • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:49 PM

I think if we've learned one thing over the past few months, it's that "shallowness" is vapid, empty, bad label on a reasonable relationship requirement aka "standard".

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 03:14 PM

Reasonable to ignore all her red flags because she's hot? Really?

the\_calibre\_cat • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 09:55 PM

Feel free to point out where I said that in my *one, single, sentence*.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 14 April, 2020 07:33 PM

So then what does your sentence have to do with my post?

On\_here\_for\_study • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 05:15 AM

This entire thread is bullshit. This entire sub is bullshit. This is nothing more than blue pill clown shit.

Plutonic\_blue • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 10:30 AM

Ah yes, the good ol "Everything is mens fault". Dude men are humans (Shocking I know) and a lot of men don't get heartbroken from shallow relationships. A lot of times the women really woo'd them over then did a complete 180 and stabbed them in the back (Yes I know it happens to women too). In short, sometimes men get played bad, it happens, and it's not always their fault.

One of my ex's broke up with me the worst way possible. And our relationship had a lot of substance. We'd spend a lot of time talking, spending time together, worked with each other, hell even moved in together. She started changing then did a complete 180 and she didn't give a fuck about me anymore. But yeah Im a man so it was my fault right?

Now imagine if I told women that got heartbroken it was their fault? Yeah, wouldn't be so cool then would it?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 02:04 PM

A lot of times the women really woo'd them over then did a complete 180 and stabbed them in the back

Woo'd with big tits and nice gag reflex, I know.

(Yes I know it happens to women too).

“OMG! THAT VIOLENT FELON I DATED WAS ABUSING ME! I COULD HAVE NEVER KNOWN!”

In short, sometimes men get played bad, it happens, and it's not always their fault.

Except guys here dont even acknowledge when it is the guy's fault!

“I GOT DIVORCED RAPED! All I asked for is a hot 20 year old wife who fucks me on day 1! How was I suppose to know she was a gold digger?! ALL WOMEN ARE EVIL! And its women's fault that I didnt get a prenup!”

Plutonic\_blue • 1 point • 12 April, 2020 02:38 PM

Listen to closely lol. Youre missing my entire point. Men get played. We werent woo'd over by big tits, I feel like thats projection from what you think men want. My first love was kind, sweet, not controlling at all, gave me space, checked up on me, would remember special events in my life and she stayed like that for a good while but I know, my fault right lmao.

Anyways keep blaming everything on men. Im glad im past all this lol. Keep generalizing all men while also hating people for generalizing women, it's a good strategy lol. Peace

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 18 April, 2020 03:08 PM

Keep in mind, Depp probably “truly loved” Amber Heard after he left his family for her. Just because a man confuses lust for love doesnt mean he was actually in love.

Also. i didnt generalize all men. I said “alot of men” not “most men”. Semantics matter.

If he truly cared for her as a person and she did a 180, then Im not talking about him. Im talking about guys, like the ones in my link, that obsess over sex and “a woman's prime years”.

Simp\_Gulag • 1 point • 13 April, 2020 09:02 AM

They can treat certain men like crap. Most men have to deal with crap from regular women instead.

Xombie0991 • 1 point • 14 April, 2020 05:27 PM

Same could be said about women

12112019 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:01 PM

Once again I agree, you make excellent points on why most men shouldn't marry, the fact that they do just proves how beaten and irrational these men are.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 08:51 PM

Most men arent this stupid, though. Marriage is great....when you're not stupid.

12112019 • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 09:55 PM

Nope, don't think that marriage is all that good at all compared to the alternatives at the moment.

Very poor risk/benefit unless we are talking about a traditional area with a classically feminine non degenerate woman, then I could maybe see the appeal for the majority of men again.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 11:21 PM

Thats your opinion.

retallator • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:04 PM

Yes, I agree with what is said here. Keep in mind however that men don't really only marry for sex, but also for cuddles, attention, love, and for having someone to protect and nurture. No one acknowledges that for societal pressure and expectations.

We are hard wired to take care of someone, and I think that's even more tempting for us, at least it is for me.

Sex after a certain age becomes less interesting, but the need for a companion remains.

Bottom line, I wish men would select women for more than just looks. I prioritize kindness, temperament, loyalty, and compatibility over look and it worked decently for me.

Men definitely need to distance themselves from appearance and push women to become better companions. The world needs more than just cum dumpsters.

mrCS84usn • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 04:19 PM

Either get a hoe, or get a wife.

There are a lot of hoes that are good at hiding their hoe-tivity long enough to lock down a man.

It baffles me when I hear guys in the manosphere say "Sex isn't worth the marriage" who told you to get married FOR SEX?!

Most of them AREN'T getting married, so what's your point? Also, TRP and PPD has talked ad nauseum about why paying for sex isn't nearly as fulfilling as having a woman actually desire you.

(Hobbies, Personality, Morals, Work Ethic) I seldom hear guys here talk about these things when it comes to relationships. Just sex and resources.

You clearly haven't been paying attention if you honestly believe that.

You can also buy maids! If your dream girl is a Hooker-Maid, you can buy those things without "risking divorce rape".

Pretty sure TRP promotes self-sufficiency, and getting a maid is a needless expense. It doesn't take very long to deep clean your house once and then maintain it from then on. And every man should have at least a short list of recipes that he's able to handle, especially if he's meal prepping.

It's not worth it TO YOU because you don't have a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with.

There's a difference between wanting a life long companion and wanting the government involved in your love life.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 08:50 PM

There are a lot of hoes that are good at hiding their hoe-tivity long enough to lock down a man.

To the extent MGTOW claim? Doubt it.

Most of them AREN'T getting married

Then why don't they shut up about relationships?

Also, TRP and PPD has talked ad nauseum about why paying for sex isn't nearly as fulfilling as having a woman actually desire you.

r/Choosingbeggars

Pretty sure TRP promotes self-sufficiency

It doesnt. There's as many SIMPs in TRP as there is in Feminism.

mrcs84usn • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 06:04 PM

Then why dont they shut up about relationships?

You can get into a relationship without being married.

r/Choosingbeggars

It's hardly begging to not want to buy feigned affection.

It doesnt. There's as many SIMPs in TRP as there is in Feminism.

Really makes me question how much you actually read in the sub.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 0 points • 12 April, 2020 01:42 AM

It's hardly begging to not want to buy feigned affection.

If they bothered being affectionate to other people, I would believe it.

Really makes me question how much you actually read in the sub.

"BUT SEX IS A NEED!" I read plenty.

TomahawkSuppository • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:04 PM

Yea, because women always tell you the truth about their past. How dare you men not have the ability to know the woman's past without needing to ask?

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:08 PM

What are you talking about?

Million-Suns • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:05 PM

It's not worth it TO YOU because you dont a companion to spend the rest of your life with nor to build a family with

Honestly, regardless of how awesome the partner is, marriage and kids is never worth it.

People do it because Lifescript™, tradition, religion etc. aka all the wrong reasons.

You can perfectly be content with a LTR without having to sign a paper or an expensive wedding ceremony. In my environment, I have seen marriages crumble and LTR staying solid, because the latter allows for a more straightforward relationship as it is deprived of the entitlement you demand from a business partner.

Innovative\_being • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 08:16 PM

So basically you're saying men shouldn't take sluts seriously and only LTR wholesome women? I already knew that

peachycreaam • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 09:00 PM

men's love is conditional based a on a woman's looks, a sad biological truth.

[deleted] 10 April, 2020 09:22 PM

[removed]

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 12:36 PM

Automod please

CHAD\_TDK • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 03:37 PM

the first line of your commentary

Either get a hoe, or get a wife.

contradicts your statement

Alot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing shallow relationships.

Assuming that by get a hoe you mean:

One Night Stands

Friends With Benefits

Fuck Buddies

Hookups

Sugar Dating

Mercenary Sex

Sex Work

and similar

Those - to me - are "shallow" relationships, and if a guy were to pursue these shallow relationships in lieu of a *committed monogamous relationship* they (these men) would avoid heartbreaks.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 03:44 PM

contradicts your statement Alot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing shallow relationships.

Read beyond that. I explain in detail what I mean.

if a guy were to pursue these shallow relationships in lieu of a committed monogamous relationship they (these men) would avoid heartbreaks.

Other than Sugar Dating, none of those are relationships. They're "relations" or just plain fucking. I dont put sugar dating as a solution because I doubt any of these guys would afford buying women jewelry and clothes as an allowance. They even get stingy about buying dinner!

ILikeToArgueALot • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 03:54 PM

Didnt you already post this last week

LotBuilder • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:41 PM

All of these idealistic threads ignore human behavior and natural polarity. In every relationship there's a giver and a taker. They seek each other out and either consciously or subconsciously enjoy their role. The more you do for a taker, the more they take. The more you do for a giver, the more they try to give back to you.

Grub09 • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 05:34 PM

Maybe in your relationships. People have lots of choices now, and would probably not enter unequal relationships if they didn't have to. Most of us have give and take within the relationship, not over the relationship as a whole

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:28 PM

You just described a very unhealthy relationship.

LotBuilder • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 07:25 PM

What I described is the most common relationship dynamic. Two takers clash and two givers get bored with each other.

RedPill\_Dragon • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 04:42 PM

**Alot of men could avoid heartbreak if they stopped pursuing shallow relationships.**

FIFY

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:06 PM

Clearly, thats not a good solution because women are still waiting for MGTOW to go their own way and stop badgering us.

RedPill\_Dragon • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:10 PM

Most MGTOW ignore women. Online, we can talk, that's different, but in real life, I would treat you as if you don't exist, even if you were naked. So, don't be all like, "Stop badgering us" honey, it's already in effect.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2020 06:14 PM

Online, we can talk, that's different,

No its not. Shows that you're still thirsty for us.

I have guy friends who werent MGTOW. They dont spend their time whining about women.

So, don't be all like, "Stop badgering us" honey, it's already in effect.

Check my links. Also, as soon as a female youtuber calls out RADICAL Mgtow, a huge wave of MGTOW yell how she's a feminist bitch. Even though she's clearly conservative. For example, Daisy Cousons.

RedPill\_Dragon • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:24 PM

I don't check links. I only talk on certain subs and post in others.

No its not. Shows that you're still thirsty for us.

Don't delude yourself. I didn't even know you were a woman. I treat you as I would anyone online: Just a person.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:24 PM

I don't check links.

BYE.



PickleLine • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 05:35 PM

This rarely happens. If a man seriously considers a slutty woman for a relationship, then the slut is less likely to sleep with him much less get in a relationship with him.

On the other hand, if a woman seriously considers a man for a relationship, then the man will at least string her along for sex and pretend to be interested in a relationship with her.

LillthOfBabylon[S] • 2 points • 10 April, 2020 06:26 PM

Why do you think they whine about divorce and alimony?

LitPepe • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 09:56 PM

i'm just in for the sex. I know it, she knows it.