

**You want to know why he's targeting woman's education specifically? It's not actually because he cares about women (though he's trying to get brownie points for the virtue signaling) — it's because women's education is the best predictor of lower fertility rates.**

75 upvotes | 9 April, 2020 | by banned\_by\_cucks

**jack** ✓  
@jack

I'm moving \$1B of my Square equity (~28% of my wealth) to [#startsmall](#) LLC to fund global COVID-19 relief. After we disarm this pandemic, the focus will shift to girl's health and education, and UBI. It will operate transparently, all flows tracked here:

 **Start Small tracking**  
Donations Current Value, \$ 1,000,000,028....  
[docs.google.com](#)

4:04 PM · Apr 7, 2020 · [Twitter for iPhone](#)

63.2K Retweets 270.7K Likes

**jack** ✓ @jack · Apr 7  
Replying to [@jack](#)

Why UBI and girl's health and education? I believe they represent the best long-term solutions to the existential problems facing the world. UBI is a great idea needing experimentation. Girl's health and education is critical to balance:





---

## Comments

---

weebs86 • 12 points • 9 April, 2020 01:23 AM

<https://www.zerohedge.com/technology/jack-dorseys-1bn-donation-actually-shady-vehicle-tax-avoidance>. I thought this article was interest about the 1 billion dollar pledge. Tax avoidance? Probably. Also it talks about he doesnt even have to use that money for anything. He can just let it sit there.

banned\_by\_cucks[S,□] • 6 points • 9 April, 2020 01:38 AM

Wouldn't doubt it. But there does come a point for a lot of elites where they reach a point of wealth where they care more about influence and power instead of optimizing wealth. Might be trying to kill two birds with one stone?

weebs86 • 2 points • 9 April, 2020 02:25 AM

Ummm...yeah i suppose two birds one stone. After avoiding income tax the next step is to avoid asset tax (estate tax) and yes maybe its more about using your wealth for a cause you belive in then it is just to keep wealth.

Friendlyfire8102 • 4 points • 9 April, 2020 02:26 AM

Thanks for bringing this to light. This quote from your link speaks volumes about him: "Remember when Jack Dorsey said he would give away nearly a third of his stake in Square? That was five years ago. Spoiler: He never did."

weebs86 • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:29 AM

You bet! It is telling that he still until maybe now. Oh wait its probably because he hasnt found a cause until now...muahah.

weebs86 • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:31 AM

Oh and warren buffet saying they should tax him more? It easy to say when you know just know a higher tax would not effect him.

DangZagnut • 4 points • 9 April, 2020 12:20 AM

UBI doesn't need experimentation, because logically it won't work. But whatever.

And education and reproductive control do solve poverty, since women are no longer required to have 30 children just because they had sex whether they wanted to or not.

The Catholic church find condoms so horrible they would rather people have AIDS and die rather than deny making more little Catholic slaves to make them money.

Education makes everyone better. Of course these days the education is terrible, but at least people might have a little light into how the world works instead of fables.

Educated people have less babies, because it turns out that having a bunch of babies you can't afford is retarded. There's no reason to do it.

The only reason fertility rates matter is to prop up government schemes dreamed up by retards too stupid to make a scheme that doesn't require the population to double every generation to pay for it.

Vaxel00 • 7 points • 9 April, 2020 01:06 AM

I probably won't be alive to see how the welfare state collapses. Which is a goddamn shame. It will happen regardless of who replaces the native population, educating women has the same result no matter where and when it's tried.

At least I got to see how women fare in a crisis and it's hilarious. Also, expected.

banned\_by\_cucks[S,□] • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 01:28 AM

I actually don't disagree at all. I think TFM makes a lot of bad assumptions in that current first world countries even need the population sizes they do to maintain society in the future.

roflcopt0rz\_returns • 3 points • 9 April, 2020 02:41 AM

The problem is right now the "wrong" people are the ones having all the kids.

The people who are having the kids are like the 3rd world mooslims, illegal immigrants, and people in poverty. Stupid people, terrorists, criminals, etc. are the ones having children. Children raised in this environment are more likely to grow up stupid, terrorists, criminals, etc.

The middle class are barely having any kids, which are the people you actually need to be having kids. They're the ones who can provide more stable environments for raising kids, not to mention are more likely to actually be smart and such.

What happens is that if you continue on this trajectory you're going to bring down the overall level of the species (the shitty poor stupid people will more likely produce lower quality offsprings than middle class people) and will eventually cause the demise of your civilization.

What you need to do is have a fertility rate high enough where you don't require importing in these shitty useless 3rd world shithole immigrants.

lestrategie • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:30 AM

UBI doesn't need experimentation, because logically it won't work. But whatever.

Whose logic?

DangZagnut • 3 points • 9 April, 2020 02:54 AM

General logic and math.

UBI is like Covid-19 right now, and having to spend trillions every single year while there is not productivity.

And they know it's a lie, that's why every single UBI test and example isn't anything like UBI.

It's just communism pushed with a new name, which doesn't work, which is entirely marketed, and "tested" using fake tests that don't even test what they're claiming it does. They only discuss the positives and never the negatives.

lestrategie • -1 points • 9 April, 2020 05:05 AM

Your comment doesn't address anything about universal basic income.

Also you seem to think that money and productivity is the same or that one perfectly reflects the other. Newsflash: productivity and money are not the same, hence productivity and income are not the same. Let's not confuse heat and temperature.

trancedj • 3 points • 9 April, 2020 06:21 AM

Please elaborate on how ubi differs from socialism / communism.

lestratego • 0 points • 9 April, 2020 11:14 AM

Communism is about the common property of the means of production. UBI does not address the same thing. It can leave the means of production in private hands, it just guarantees a basic income.

It's what happens already in many countries with all the different sorts of welfare handouts, food tickets, etc.

mgtow4ever • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:54 PM

you have no clue what you are talking about which means you have never lived in a "Socialist" system.

lestratego • 0 points • 10 April, 2020 12:20 AM

I am overwhelmed by the power of your argument.

mgtow4ever • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:53 PM

Communist logic.. Oh wait it all collapsed.

roflcopt0rz\_returns • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 02:42 AM

Educated women have less children, but not just because "having too many babies you can't afford is retarded." Educated women have less children because when you spend the majority of your fertility window studying in college, then going to work and starting a career, before you even begin to think about having children, the majority of your fertility window is gone and you physically only have time for 1-2 kids.

The actual real solution to poverty would be more capitalism and more soft patriarchy, and less government hand outs, less diversity quotas, less welfare, etc. A lot of construction workers don't even finish college and a booming economy will tend to have a lot of men doing said construction work for example.

[deleted] 9 April, 2020 02:55 AM\*

[deleted]

roflcopt0rz\_returns • 3 points • 9 April, 2020 03:04 AM

We don't need women having 10+ kids, but what we need is at least replacement level birth rate (somewhere around 2.1). That way we can at least stay at a stable population.

More importantly, what we need is the RIGHT people to have kids. We need the middle class to have the children, not the poor people or the illegal immigrants or the mooslims.

Bitcoin\_Bastard • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 11:46 AM

to prop up government schemes dreamed up by retards too stupid to make a scheme that doesn't require the population to double every generation to pay for it.

Bitcoin fixes this. Maybe.

DangZagnut • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 12:04 PM

How? bitcoin doesn't help government schemes. The schemes are dreamed up by very low IQ people that just make a lot of assumptions about how things work. Like assuming population doubling will create

more taxpayers no matter what to pay for the lack of the existing people.

It's almost like government schemes shouldn't exist in the first place.

Bitcoin\_Bastard • 2 points • 9 April, 2020 12:11 PM

How?

I haven't got a clue lol. It's just a meme.

100% agree Govt schemes are killing the economy. I don't need persuading I'm a right wing libertarian

Psychoalphadisco • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:26 AM

I don't think you need to be religious to not use protection.

DangZagnut • 1 point • 11 April, 2020 02:51 AM

No, but they're not actually preaching using protection as evil.

HiveMindKing • 4 points • 9 April, 2020 06:50 AM

Educated women have the illusion that they are competing with men, blind to the fact that they have been shielded from true competition their entire life.

Why are there separate chess leagues if women are equal, where are the squads of pro gamer girl teams winning events. The only way women can compete is by bending men to their will and turning them into tools and stools that they use to prop themselves up.

istira\_balegina • 3 points • 9 April, 2020 09:46 AM

Yea, let me donate to the half of society that lives five years longer and outnumbers the other half 3:2 in higher education.

That makes sense.

TheTastelessBatman • 2 points • 9 April, 2020 06:45 AM

Jack: I am supporting wahmen can I sniff your puss puss, please? \*woof woof\* LMFAO.

mgtow4ever • 2 points • 9 April, 2020 02:55 PM

What are the existential threats.. Please do tell. Everyone tells me there is these things yet they cannot name them.

ramblin\_man\_\_ • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 12:20 AM

I'm glad I'm not the only one who thought of this as well.

ThrowawayGhostGuy1 • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 12:51 AM

Boys must suffer for "balance."

DankMemer9\_11 • 1 point • 9 April, 2020 12:24 PM

fat feminist brainwashed fucks will study gender studies to whine more about not getting free stuffs or become onlyfan whores

There is a symbiosis between feminism and corporation, where corporations encourages women to go to work and build up their career.

Corporations does not give a shit about women's rights, they just do it to lower the price of goods and service. As many young women are indoctrinated to pursue expensive (or worthless) degrees in which they have very little interest. Many of them realising years later about their mistakes, and at that point is too late.

For example the jobs which are historically dominated by men's (stem) are extremely expensive (for corporations). By introducing and pushing women to pursue those careers, corporations cut their expenses.

Another example, being a lawyer during 30-40-50s was incredibly lucrative, as the field was completely dominated by males. After the second wave feminism, the job market was flooded by women and more people were competing for the same job.

That's why back then only the man of the house worked, and everything was smooth. Nowadays, both partners has to work to stay afloat.