

“The Red Pill’s Guide to Online Dating”

September 15, 2015 | 790 upvotes | by [OmLaLa](#)

TL;DR- This is a concise and heavily updated guide on how to approach dating sites. Most of this guide has been altered based upon which strategies worked the most frequently and consistently from beginning to end.

“The Red Pill’s Guide to Online Dating”

Almost all of the women I’ve slept with/plated in the past several months I’ve met on dating sites. I’m going to explain how.

For the previous version of this guide, please see “The Comprehensive RP Guide to Dating Sites”. If you’ve read the previous version, I’d highly recommend you read this one as most of its content has changed.

Note: Several Addendums have been added since this article's original posting.

Key Notes

- *Don't start until you're ready.* A strong frame, attractive figure and abundance mentality are REQUIRED prior to attempting this guide. If you don't have these 3 qualities yet or you feel that they could use improvement, handle that first. A lot of how you'll be judged as an alpha from here on will be based solely on perception and not necessarily the reality of your situation. Because of this, these qualities need to become second nature and aspects of yourself you don't have to think about. You need to know your frame is strong, know you're attractive and know you could move on if you had to.
- *All women on dating sites are not innately serious about meeting you in-person.* Women use dating sites purely for validation. It is your goal to pull them from this mindset and into your frame. Once this has occurred, the rest becomes easy.
- *Understand your opponent.* Always think from the LSSW's (Local Sexy Single Woman's) perspective: they receive up to 50 messages a day, don't respond to most of them, but something about your profile picture and bios caught her attention. Find out what that something is and exploit it. Also, if you're noticing a point in the guide where a lot of LSSWs are dropping off, find out why and repair it.

- *Understand your SMV.* The hotter she is the higher her SMV range will be. You may be considered an 8 amongst the women you frequently see, but remember that if these women are less attractive compared to the LSSW you're pursuing, then that 8 will drop comparatively. For example, what I find hot *and attainable* is completely different compared to Brad Pitt.
- *Understand your competition.* Even if you're in the top 20% in your area, there are always hotter guys out there. Thanks to the globalization of the internet, women can see and judge any guy within a 100-mile radius. She is always weighing her options. Should one of these more-attractive guys reciprocate her interest, it's likely she'll suddenly drop off the face of the earth. Most times she's only picking you over the hotter guy because of limitations like range. Never over-invest, never forget hypergamy. Don't take it personally. Move on.
- *Learn from your opponent.* A lot of the successes from the strategies in this guide were inspired by how hot women reply to men they're not attracted to. You will be using their strategy against them. The key to understanding this guide is understanding the importance of fleeting investment and why overinvestment is often the silent killer to most of your attempts.
- *Be attractive.* Again, this is very important. This is a late-game strategy and can ONLY be implemented successfully if your body is in the right place. Physique is the main driving point of this strategy; most women I've encountered don't even read my bio or even open my profile (you get notified when they do). They'll look at my profile picture and decide from there.
- *Insecurity shows.* If you go into any encounter thinking, "this woman's *waay* out of my league, I hope I don't mess this up", you're going to mess it up. It's best to picture the woman you're messaging as fat or unattractive to keep your mind planted in a position of higher SMV.
- *Less is more.* Though we'll cover this more in the actual guide, the *less* you say in both messages and texts, the *less* you initiate as opposed to her, the more likely you are to succeed.
- *Don't creep.* Most dating sites will inform you when you have a visitor. Don't be that guy that visits her profile every hour. She will notice and it will put her off.
- *Approach dating sites like baking.* You don't begin baking a cake without all the necessary ingredients, you don't bake as soon as your starving and you don't only bake one cake in case something goes wrong. Approach multiple women at once, only approach women you'd be excited to fuck and be ready to let them "bake" for at least a few hours to days. Abundance mentality is a prerequisite to success.
- *Be ready to drop out at any time.* This is very important. I don't care how hot she was. I don't care how well it was going. I don't care how it seemed like a sure thing. Women and LSSWs are fickle, and remember that you are not real to them until you're standing in front of them. They don't feel the need to hamster or explain their actions to someone who doesn't exist. So until you're sitting in their living room sipping on whiskey and coke, don't expect any compassion or mercy from them.
- *Don't settle.* You'll notice that the higher your SMV appears on your profile, the more subpar women will begin outright chasing you. Do not engage. If you settle for less than you're capable of, more often than not you'll end up mistranslating the subpar women as "your league" and your *actual* league as unattainable. Long term this does more harm than good.
- *Don't be afraid of WonderTits™ one-word responses.* If she's responding to you at all, she's interested to some degree and the guide still stands. This goes double for WonderTits™, who probably get 10x the messages compared to ordinary women.
- *Don't flash.* Don't talk on how much you make or flash around your wealth. She'll perceive it as compensation for something else or perceive you as a potential provider, both of which you don't

want.

- *Be wary of the “easy lay”.* If something came too easy, there’s usually a reason. If she messages you first, quickly becomes sexual, gives out her number much faster than expected, begs to visit you with no shit tests or in a short amount of time, be skeptical. Ask for a picture, ask to Skype, whatever it takes to confirm her identity. You’d be surprised how many catfish there are.
- *Turn off those pesky notifications.* This one I’d also highly recommend. A watched pot never boils. If you see pending messages from POF, Tinder or OKC every time you check your phone, you’re pretty likely to respond too soon. Go into your phone’s settings and turn off those pesky notification pop-ups so pending messages can be addressed at your leisure.
- *Dicks don’t attract chicks.* Women don’t want to see your dick. Women are aroused by the high SMV man attached to your dick. Don’t go waving your dick around unless it’s explicitly asked for. Don’t be that dick. Dicks are like pens. Everyone’s got one, most people’ll loan you one if you ask for it and unless it’s super unique, nobody cares about it.
- *Know what signs to look for.* If her figure isn’t clearly shown in any pictures, if her pictures are taken at an obscure angle, if her pictures look dated and blurry, if all of her pictures are of just her face or in one post or just her ass, there’s a reason. You may think an LSSW has the traits you’d like in a woman, yet if you’re unsure you open yourself to being catfished by an unattractive woman with good photography skills.
- *Text carefully.* Read over your texts very carefully before sending them. Without the ability to read your body language to understand you on a covert level, women will attempt to do so through the texts you send. Small things give out huge messages to women if you’re not careful (more on this later). I’m not sure about iPhones, but on Androids there’s a “Text Delay” setting where you can type and send a text and it’ll give you up to 30 seconds to alter or change it before it’s actually sent out. I would highly recommend this to anyone attempting this guide.
- *Let convos die.* More on this in the guide, but if she’s attempting to push a subject matter that bores you, stop the conversation there and leave her be. Most likely she’ll message you again in several days with a much more erotic disposition.
- *Learn from your mistakes.* I’ve dropped the ball countless times and so will you. Figure out what you said, how you said it and why you said whatever you said that lost her interest. Maybe you responded too soon? Maybe you came off as insecure or desperate? Remember, for this to work her perception of you is what matters. I’ll be repeating this a lot.

And now for what’s been removed since the last version of the guide:

- *Organization is key.* Drop this advice. Documentation of various LSSWs you’ll encounter both a) causes overinvestment in any particular interaction with an LSSW and b) subconsciously causes you to approach LSSWs differently based on SMV, race, locale, etc.
- *Avoid single mothers.* While I’d highly advise caution when dealing with single mothers, complete avoidance is unnecessary so long as nothing other than sexual promiscuity is established between the two of you.
- *The entire calling section.* Calling can work for some people, but for others –especially the younger RPer- it’s wildly inconsistent and may communicate an overinvestment on the RPer’s part. This guide will aim to avoid calling altogether.

PART 1: DATING SITES

LSSWs aren't serious about meeting any of the guys they see online in-person. It's like a game to them; the more men in their inbox, the more attractive they perceive themselves and the more confident they feel.

You are not real to her until you are standing in front of her.

The goal of this guide is to make that happen in as short a time span as possible while cutting out as much "shit-test", "I have to get to know you first", "I'm not that type of girl" BS as possible.

The goal of this section will be to get her number as effortlessly as possible ALL WHILE MAINTAINING YOUR FRAME. I stress this because getting a number is meaningless if the LSSW's perception of you is beta or a validation resource. Until you're standing right in front of her, perception is all you have - false or otherwise. She must perceive you as alpha all the way through for this to succeed or else you'll all fall on your ass in Part 2.

--Building Your Profile--

1. When fishing for bass, use the right bait.

Minnows are a better bait for catching bass than worms. On the same note, shirtless, attractive pictures are a better bait when fishing for purely sexual encounters with women.

The idea here is selective marketing. If you own a restaurant and you want to attract hungry people, do you put a nuclear scientist conducting research as your commercial? No, as that would either attract the wrong demographic or misrepresent the goals and intentions of your restaurant.

The same applies for shirtless pictures. The key is to tap into the reptilian side of her mind. **Any LSSW that messages or responds to a message to a guy with a good shirtless picture has made it clear that your physique piqued her interest.**

Look confident. Relaxed posture, relaxed shoulders, relaxed palms, no head tilt, no deer in headlights stare.

Making your profile picture shirtless is making sexuality and physicality your thesis statement; most women typically don't look past the profile picture anyway, so she'll draw as much as she can from the profile picture alone. Make it a summation not of you or what you are but what you want and what you expect. A profile picture of you riding in a race car? "He's interesting and fun, but he's looking for women who also like that sort of thing and I don't care about cars." A shirtless picture in low-waist jeans at some beach in Maui? "He's attractive and comfortable with himself."

This will establish you as attractive, a woman's first alpha prerequisite.

NOTE: *I'm not implying that having pictures of you doing interesting things is a bad thing. Quite the opposite, I'd encourage it. What I am saying is that if sex is what you want from an LSSW, shirtless pictures will make that message clear.*

2. Pre-selection is powerful. Use it.

The second most potent statement one can make through pictures is displayed/"unintentional" pre-selection.

Preselection basically boils down to any evidence supporting you as a hot commodity, or in this case, pictures with women genuinely enjoying your company.

I've posted pictures of myself from the Toyko nightlife with a swarm of 8-10 women. I don't remember their names or how we'd met that night, but in reality, for what I use them for, that doesn't matter. All that matters is that by reading their body language in the pictures it was clear that they were having a good time and I was the cause. While they don't need to be Tonk Stark-esque pictures, you should post pictures like these.

NOTE: *Do not post pictures of you near women or with women where interest or attraction isn't blatantly displayed. The women need to seem enthralled just by being in your company. The truth of what's really happening in the picture doesn't matter, only how it appears. More pictures like [this](#) but none like [this](#) or [this](#) or [this](#). Watch for body language. That "they've probably boned before" look.*

3. Write about how you're the shit.

Juxtapose the vain shirtless selfies with a bio that tells about all the amazing hobbies, interests and accomplishments that represent you. Go as in depth as you can. Be cocky. Describe your life as the best thing since sliced bread.

This extravagant regaling of your life will be contrasted nicely by your short and rare responses while chatting with the LSSWs, causing them to hamster into thinking maybe they're not good enough for you. Why would this attractive, interesting, smart alpha want to spend time with a boring woman like me? And all this will come without you having to lift a finger.

This will establish you as higher value than her, a woman's second alpha prerequisite.

NOTE: *DO NOT talk about how much money you make, your high-paying corporate job, your nice car, your big house, etc. Only boast about YOU and things that can only be found within YOU. Otherwise, the LSSWs will immediately peg you as a potential high-SMV provider, the shit tests will get insane and same-night/short-term sex will be near impossible.*

4. Real you vs. digital you.

If you've continuously floundered on the first date every time you've met an LSSW in person, this could be your problem. If you're more attractive in your profile picture than you appear now, she'll brand you a

liar.

And she's not wrong in feeling that way.

If a HB9 agreed to meet you for coffee and a HB4 walked through the door, you would feel cheated.

Humble yourself a bit, be honest; are you as attractive as you're making yourself look? 1,000 numbers means nothing with 0 lays. **Attracting them is only the first step.** Maintaining their intrigue is the rest.

--Messaging--

Before we delve into messaging on dating sites, let's briefly discuss "baking".

Baking is the process of leaving messages or text messages unanswered for long periods of time to invoke intrigue.

Just like in actual baking, LSSWs can be left to bake for too long or not long enough.

Over-baking is leaving a text or message for too long, often leading to an unintended "soft" next. The resulting soft next isn't the same as one towards a known woman, however, and future interactions with the LSSW may be lost as you have yet to exist to her i.e. have yet to enter her perception.

Under-baking is how most guys handle messaging on dating sites; as soon as the notification of a new message arrives, they jump on the sight and reply on the spot. This invokes over-investment in her and significantly weakens your chances of success in any form. Remember, women watch actions over words; if you're responding to her immediately every time she messages you no matter the hour or day, she'll notice your over-abundance of interest and be put off.

You should bake a minimum of 15 minutes and a maximum of 24 hours. The more attractive you consider her, the longer you bake.

Why? Attractive women expect a certain level of investment from men. By separating yourself from this expectation, you effortlessly invoke intrigue putting you above whomever else she's considering.

Now back to the matter at hand.

5. Succinct and selective.

The shorter the message the better. Nowadays, I begin all encounters by sending "Hi" to every woman I'd enjoy fucking. No punctuations, no double messages, no questions.

This is the proverbial "casting of the net"; this part of the process is purely a numbers game.

You only engage the women who reply back. Those that don't have their reasons which you don't have time to dwell in.

Your physique increases the number of initial responses, your frame increases the number of in-person meets, your SMV increases the number of sexual encounters.

If you're having trouble in any of these areas, check their corresponding causes.

Her first response will almost always be "Hey", "Hi", "Hello", etc. Follow it up with "What're you looking for" (no punctuation).

Starting a conversation with “Hi” and following with “Whatre you looking for” has rarely changed for me. It’s pretty standard.

Oh no, did she asked “How’re you?” or “How’re you doing?”

Respond with “Good you” (no punctuation).

Seems overly short and uninterested, right? That’s the point. We want her to feel as though you’ve got better people to message with. Too preoccupied to pay her any attention. She’ll say “good”, you say “What’re you looking for” and move forward.

6. “What’re you looking for” and the wonderful letter K.

This is the best point to judge her investment in you.

If she gives you some long-winded explanation about how she wants guy whose sweet, funny, caring, blah blah blah, you’re officially under-invested in comparison. That makes the rest of this guide very easy.

If she responds with one word like “Friends”, she’s still under-invested, but no problem. We’ll use the rest of the guide to appear even less invested than her.

No matter what her response is, I reply with the wonderful letter “K” (no punctuation).

Here's an actual exchange:

- *“I want a man would loves me for me and will be by my side no matter what.”*
- *“K”*
- *”So, what about you? What’re you looking for?”*

The fact that I completely ignored her desires for a man didn’t bother her in the slightest.

If you’re ever in a bind and don’t know how to respond, always always use the wonderful letter K.

Most times, because this mundane response is such a farcry from what they’re accustomed to, the LSSW will continue the conversation of her own volition. She desperately wants to get inside your head and see what makes you so different from the others.

And you’ll notice this *a lot*. It’ll seem like she’s having a conversation with herself. Just let it happen.

I was on Skype the other night with an LSSW and I played with my phone the entire time. I rarely looked at the screen.

She used this technique I like to call **“blind firing”** where she'd jump from topic to topic to see what grabbed my attention.

She brought up sex, I finally looked at the screen.

It’s sort of like a reverse psychology version of classical conditioning. Let her feel like she naturally reached the conclusion. Mind games through silence and succinctness.

NOTE: “K cool” and “K good” and “K great” (no punctuation) work as less-succinct variations. The K is what matters. It’s such an unimpressed and pedantic response, it’s hard not to use it.

7. “Friends”

Nobody joins on a dating site looking for friends. **"Friends" on dating sites is slang for sex partners. Remember that.**

If she asks what you're looking for—especially after she just described her Prince Charming- respond with “Friends” or “Friends maybe more” (no punctuation).

In the last guide I made the mistake of advising “I can manage that much” as an acceptable response. That implies interest in becoming whatever lollipop definition of a guy she described previously and invokes an over investment. Remember, Friends means sex buddies. You want friends for now.

NOTE: *If she says “Friends and you?”, you respond with “Same” (no punctuation).*

NOTE: **"Nothing serious right now" is an equally -if not more- effective response. [Addendum]**

8. “Whats your number”

You want to keep going until you get to the point where she has nothing left to say or the conversations hit an end. If she responds with “yeah” or “okay” or “cool” or anything that ends that arch of the conversation, respond with “Whats your number” (no punctuation).

If she follows up with “What do you do?” or “What’s your favorite color?” or “What’s your favorite movie?” respond with “Only in person” and keep going.

If she doesn't respond to your number request, fuck it and move on. If multiple LSSWs are non-responsive at this point, check the level of SMV you're displaying both in your pics and in your bios ad make some adjustments. Be honest and be objective.

If she gives you some schpeel about how “It’s too early to give out her number” or “she doesn’t give out her number after the first conversation” or she wants to “talk more on here more first to get to know you” or any other possible excuse, she either sees you as a potential provider, as a lower SMV compared to her or as desperate/sexually-depraved (comes across by responding too quickly; scarce mentality). This cake is bad, move on and work on yourself more.

PART 2: TEXTING

Unlike conversing on dating sites, texting is a bit trickier with someone you’ve never met, simply because this is usually when the real shit tests start. On top of that, ignoring, combating or brushing off these shit tests will usually lead to her cutting you off in an instant because **remember: you don’t exist to her until you’re standing in front of her.**

The goal of this section will be to establish your existence (and your frame) within her world by meeting in person, all-the-while avoiding those game-ending shit tests most people encounter at this stage.

Also, be very *very* succinct. Shorter the better. Think of what you want to say then shorten it as much as possible without using unnecessary abbreviations (u for you, wyd for what’re you doing, ur for your, etc.)

NOTE: *Some phones (all Androids I believe) have a text setting called “Text Delay”. It allows you to*

edit any text you send out 30 seconds after pressing send before the text completely leaves your phone. I highly recommend altering these settings in your phone to prevent premature text responses.

9. Make her remember you.

Once you've received her number, start off by texting "Name's ____" with a picture of your profile picture attached to the text. Do this so that after you leave this conversation to "bake", she'll remember what you look like.

Women rarely save the numbers of guys they haven't met. **Sending your picture solidifies a reference to your physique should a great deal of time pass and she choose to reach out to you again.**

Do this soon after receiving her number to keep it relevant.

10. Give her a taste.

If she responds, reply back with a "What're you up to" (no punctuation) to invoke a small level of interest.

This may sound odd, but start a conversation with the sole purpose of leaving her hanging mid-way through. For example, start talking about movies, ask what hers are, and when she responds drop the conversation for the day. After baking, do not continue or acknowledge this conversation.

This'll cause her to hamster, but the direction she hammers isn't really important. What's important is that now you've become relevant to her.

11. Bake at 450 degrees.

Bake for however long you deem appropriate do not continue or acknowledge the conversation you were having previously. Simply tell her "Hey" and move on to the next step.

12. "You free any this week"

Your variation may change from mine, but I've found "You free any this week" (no punctuation) after baking to be the best way to get an LSSW out the front door.

If she says she's free on a particular day, don't say "Let's [blank]" or "We should [blank]".

Say "Im free after " **(no punctuation)** or " __[time/day] works" (no punctuation).

Remember, women don't too much care for blunt, direct conversation. Imply what you want without outright saying it.

You asking if she's free is implication enough that you're interested, you're attracted to her and you want to meet her.

If she asks what you two will be doing, dance around it but stay succinct. "Whatever I want", "Whatever I

feel like”, “Things”, “Crazy shit”, “Cool stuff” are all evasive-type answers that can build up intrigue. If she asks when to meet up, either say “Whenever” (no punctuation) or just give a number, like “6”. If she asks where, just send the address.

As short and sweet as possible.

NOTE: "Variations like "hows your week look" are just as effective. [Addendum]

13. Make her ass worry you flaked.

Whether you’ve decided to meet back at her place or at some coffee shop, once the date/time has been finalized and the address decided, don’t bring it up again and if possible, stop texting her until that date (unless she reaches out).

Do not double-check the time you’re meeting, don’t check if she can still make it, don’t call her to say you’re on your way, etc.

She’ll do that for you and you need to allow her to. It’ll further her investment in meeting you.

NOTE: *I’ve noticed that reconfirming dates will increase an LSSW’s likelihood to flake, even if this guide is implemented perfectly. By not reconfirming and letting her reach out first, I’ve yet to see an LSSW flake.*

14. Flakes will happen.

For flaking, I like to use the College 20 rule. If she doesn’t show or contact me in 20 minutes after our arranged time, I’m gone.

Don’t get mad. Don’t get upset. Don’t reach out. Simply drive off and go do something else.

You’d be surprised how surprised they get when you don’t passive-aggressively call after being stood up.

If she calls later, act like it didn’t faze you.

Or even better, tell her you didn’t show up either! Show control of your emotions and she’ll repay you in kind.

An LSSW was supposed to meet me and my friends one weekend to attend a rave. She never showed nor called. I dropped it and we had a good time.

Two days later I get a half page message of how sorry she was that she’d forgotten. She invited me over as recompense. Maintain frame.

Don’t be shaken.

Always expect a flake and always have a back-up strategy.

A fun thing you can do should she not show up. This will keep you from making your happiness dependent on her arrival; sure having her around would be cool, but the mall is 5 minutes from here.

Lesson Learned

Behavioural patterns of LSSWs can be controlled for the experimenter's best possible outcome through a concise, repeatable cause-and-effect method developed through behavioural experimentation and approach modification.

For any further questions, I'm open to talking over Skype under Skype username OmLaLa2015. Please message me set up a meeting.

Addendum

This should suffice as proof of the usefulness of the wonderful letter K and succinct grammar when dealing with LSSWs.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

mugatucrazypills • 85 points • 16 September, 2015 12:42 AM

has anyone tried setting up overlapping "dates" ?

meet a woman at coffee shop and schedule more ladies to arrive at 20 min intervals

I doubt it would get you laid. But the social chaos would be awesome.

"You wanted to meet me *alone* for coffee ?"

"This isn't a date. I'm auditioning."

bobbybluepill • 54 points • 16 September, 2015 03:40 AM

There's a guy on here who books 3 dates over an hour and a half or something in order of hotness and flakes on the later dates if it's going well during an earlier date. Also, if he gets flaked on, he's got two backups.

I do like your idea though. "I'm auditioning." Hilarious.

If you try it, write a FR.

redadactyl • 18 points • 16 September, 2015 01:39 PM

I do this. Two or three at a time tops. I've never had more than one actually show up. I used to get butthurt over being stood up but now I just don't care. Its an intrinsic behavior of these women, I am not real to them.

mugatucrazypills • 5 points • 17 September, 2015 03:11 PM

would be better to sequence the ladies from doggie to hottie

that way every 20 minutes or so more social proof arrives. rapidly diminishing supply of mugatu, increasing demand

should get out from behind my keyboard and write something real world

94redstealth • 13 points • 21 September, 2015 01:30 PM

i disagree. If a hottie shows up with you chatting to someone she shes as lower SMV than her, she will think less of you.

But if a lower SMV rolls up on you with a higher SMV, you might have an instant plate as she tries to compete

Then if you bounce the higher SMV girl for the lower, her hamster will explode thinking shes not as high SMV as she thought

mugatucrazypills • 7 points • 21 September, 2015 02:45 PM

Your logic is sound.higher to lower SMV so long as you don't spoil hottie with attention (and she shows up)

[deleted] 1 October, 2015 09:01 PM

[permanently deleted]

bsutansalt • 9 points • 16 September, 2015 05:58 AM

has anyone tried setting up overlapping "dates" ?

I always do. Well, not always since it's actually tough to get them to come out on nights & times that actually overlaps. When I do though I follow Aaron Clarey's rule of whatever the hell it was. Set up 3 dates and you're lucky if one shows up. I've never had more than one show, but I have had all 3 flake before when i was getting the hang of Tinder and such.

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 September, 2015 12:22 PM

I could actually see this working. Doesn't this fit the pre-selection criteria. Women thinks "wow, this guy has many women after him". "I will act like he's an asshole now and leave, but will text him later about how his date went with that slut".

mugatucrazypills • 1 point • 28 September, 2015 02:24 PM

"Girls look at a game player like a stop sign and **play the role** of little-miss sweet. But [I] get the digits and the bitch all alone and end up hitting that ass with the meat"

[deleted] 15 September, 2015 10:17 PM

[permanently deleted]

bsutansalt • 23 points • 16 September, 2015 06:03 AM

Basic cat string theory. Give them too much and they get bored with it, but keep it bouncing around and just enough attention to spike their interest and they can't help but pounce.

[deleted] • 10 points • 16 September, 2015 11:23 AM*

I've often compared women to cats. If I'm petting a cat I always stop when they're enjoying it and then wait for them to ask for more. Getting a cat that doesn't know you to come sit on your lap requires patience. If you try to pick it up too soon it will run away and it will actually take quite a bit longer. Instead of pursuing a cat, I show interest and lure it in with something. And never touch the belly of a cat you don't know that well. They can turn on you in an instant and fuck your hand up.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 September, 2015 06:34 AM

My cat rolls on its belly when it wants to play fight. She is usually purring while she does it. I'm pretty sure you are misinterpreting the cat's behavior.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 September, 2015 09:27 AM*

I've literally known hundreds of cats and witnessed around a dozen litters of kittens. I'm also an expert animal behaviorist and dog trainer. Some cats not all, will bite and scratch enough to make you bleed, without warning after you've been playing gently with them for awhile. Trust me, I know what I'm talking about.

Soarinc • 1 point • 23 September, 2015 10:41 PM

I'm halfway in between the two of you on the cat expertise scale with 10 being the highest and 0 being the lowest I'm a solid 5.

I'm genuinely confused because I generally would agree that when cats roll onto their back they want you to rub their belly and let you "play-wrestling" with your hand and their belly kind of like how lion cubs roll on their back to provoke other animals to attack their tummies:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=98EAHADbIz0>

and towards the end of this video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBCsJorO4yM>

I'm looking forward to hearing if this general "cat knowledge" is still wrong, because I tend to err on the side of personal experience + common knowledge + youtube evidence.

redpillschool • 4 points • 17 September, 2015 07:44 PM

Drag a string around the corner, and then wait.

[deleted] • 10 points • 16 September, 2015 04:38 AM

This, right here, is fucking GOLD. Thank you.

Act like the pussy is in the bag -- in text as in life -- and good things will come to you.

[deleted] • 120 points • 15 September, 2015 09:34 PM

Funny how Men need to regress to caveman language to get younger women.

The End is near alright.

Great Post.

[deleted] • 44 points • 16 September, 2015 11:04 AM

There is no damn way I would forgo punctuation to get a woman.

OmLaLa[S] • 13 points • 16 September, 2015 11:46 AM*

Is a woman's opinion on your grammar so important to you that you'd forgo sex?

There is no damn way I would forgo punctuation to get a woman.

Communication with women is purely covert in the first place. Intention > Information. A lack of punctuation gives of the intention of under-investment to the point where your texts are short and brief. She's not the highest on your priority list. That's what women want.

Accurate grammar is an overt i.e. male construct of communication. The key is to communicate on their level.

[deleted] • 35 points • 16 September, 2015 11:59 AM*

It's not about her. I hold myself to that standard.

Edit: I'd also say that it makes negligible difference to your chances, in terms of quantity, but increases your chances in terms of quality. A lot of well educated women are put off by atrocious spelling and grammar. Upping your game in terms of perceived education, care and professionalism, and not sounding like a dead-beat degenerate, will target a greater number of quality females.

yumyumgivesome • 9 points • 16 September, 2015 05:26 PM

OP described his outline as a superficial numbers game for sex. That means her quality must meet the simple threshold of looking sexy and clean enough for the guy's standards. The rest of her could be anything.

If you either aren't merely looking for a bunch of sex or if other characteristics of a woman are more important to how you rate her overall quality in regard to sex, then you should tinker with or disregard his outline.

For example, if your goal is to acquire plates, then this guide won't necessarily give you the best

approach. Using decent grammar will decrease your number of hits but hopefully increase the average quality and thereby increase your likelihood of connecting with a plateable woman.

buddboy • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 07:49 PM

I thought this same thing, if a women texts like an 8th grader, spelling okay with "k" and using no punctuation and poor grammer, I assume she is either uninterested in me, unrespectful or undereducated. Any of these reasons is enough for me to next her. Therefore if I texted an woman like this and she goes for i, I would have to assume she has no respect for herself and there is something wrong with her

[deleted] 16 September, 2015 12:17 PM*

[permanently deleted]

1NV0K3R • 9 points • 16 September, 2015 12:40 PM

If she is basing your intellect off the way you text, you have a problem.

OmLaLa[S] • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:50 PM

Here here. I couldn't agree more.

Stonish • 2 points • 20 September, 2015 07:33 AM

I use punctuations since ever, it comes pretty much automatically without thinking... Not that easy to stop if you ask me but I get the point.

bsutansalt • 22 points • 16 September, 2015 05:57 AM

Action words are where it's at. I forget where I saw it, but there's science that talking like that actually works wonders, but it has to be used at the right times. For example...

You me my house now.

It very much sounds cavemanish, but it works.

[deleted] • 11 points • 16 September, 2015 08:01 AM

The message i sent to my current plate to arrange a date, two days after the first time we fucked:

Tonight, 9.30, xxx place, bring your jacket

No "hey" in the message, no smilies, no punctuation marks. Plus the fact that she was hamstering for the whole day on why does she needs a jacket in the middle of July

[deleted] • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 02:41 PM

If women responded to barking sounds men will start barking like dogs.

This proves why women leading societies always collapse in the end. We are regressing to accommodate women's preferences.

OmLaLa[S] • 11 points • 16 September, 2015 03:21 PM

I'd edit this to say beta men would bark like dogs. Alphas would meow and get laid regardless.

AllOrDeath • 9 points • 28 September, 2015 05:01 PM

You wrote a huge guide on how to appeal and cater to females and get them attracted. That's the

barking part. Betas meow and dont get laid. If simply doing what you wanted worked, this post and subreddit wouldnt exist.

Addictedtoiron • 3 points • 30 September, 2015 03:21 PM

If women responded to barking sounds men will start barking like dogs.

We do what women respond to because they're driven by nature. OP is not preaching to do what women want but instead to appeal to the irresistible subconscious instinct that drive woman's decisions.

Suppose women do respond to barking sound, then:

1. Woman would deny it
2. Society would create a taboo around barking
3. Betas would do what they've been told.

AllOrDeath • 3 points • 30 September, 2015 05:00 PM

Women want to be seduced. Successfully seducing a woman is giving her what she wants. Being alpha is giving her what she wants. There's no hamstering that can be done to escape that.

Addictedtoiron • 2 points • 30 September, 2015 11:06 PM

Women don't know what they want.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:33 AM

I realize that is the reality of the situation, but I'm not sure If I go to that level if I will be degrading and eroding all self respect.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 02:47 PM

It's a slippery slope. Sure you remember correct grammar from school but the younger guys eventually will become 100% fluent in retard-speak.

magus678 • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 01:27 PM

I notice this too. It all comes down to just flying the flag she needs.

Some girls (journalism/English lit types) will swing hard the other direction, talking about Oxford commas like some kind of horseshit deal breaker.

As always, be perceptive and adaptable.

[deleted] • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 02:29 PM

I think it has to do with the ghetto culture here in the USA. It's cool to be ghetto. Ghetto rap took a while to penetrate the American fabric and now it's there like a black virus.

OmLaLa[S] • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 03:22 PM*

I wouldn't go as far as to blame urban culture for poor grammatical practice. I'd say it's the birth of the digital age that caused the shift of importance.

The digital age and online globalization brought about an increase in speed in all things and a lack of patience as a result. In turn, certain things were deemed unimportant within the greater scheme. The

information was deemed more important than the grammar used to convey it so long as the core message was unaltered. "What're you doing?" and "wyd" convey the same message, so socially wyd was preferred.

cdtCPTret • 4 points • 20 September, 2015 04:52 AM

A while back I lost my phone downtown. I was still logged in to a bunch of my accounts like tinder. A day or so later I log in from another device and notice that the guy that found my phone was messaging some girls on there better than me. I particularly remember some stalled out conversation where I was using normal words. He messaged her "Wyd" and he replied a lot. Then something like "hbu" and she replied a ton. Whereas when I was doing it normally it was like pulling teeth. Eventually tracked down the phone to the shit part of town. Went in and demanded to search a couple of houses. That was dumb in retrospect. Never found it.

truchisoft • 4 points • 17 October, 2015 06:58 PM

Well I decided to implement the guide in Argentina, where we have no ghetto, and I am getting numbers left and right, so many that now I don't know what the fuck am I going to do with 15 numbers and three days next week.

rpscrote • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 04:01 PM

dude. If a girl gives you shit about your grammar it has nothing to do with your grammar. Its a shit test. She doesn't give 2 shits about grammar. I have impeccable grammar when necessary, which is not with some bitch I hardly care about. Perfect grammar signals overinvestment pretty consistently

OmLaLa[S] • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 04:21 PM

If a girl gives you shit about your grammar it has nothing to do with your grammar. Its a shit test. She doesn't give 2 shits about grammar.

I think this is a very accurate point.

I have impeccable grammar when necessary, which is not with some bitch I hardly care about. Perfect grammar signals overinvestment pretty consistently.

So it this. I have good grammar, but I'm not catering my grammar towards her. To do so would be an over-investment on my part.

She's not getting wet over my proper usage of you're and your. She's getting wet over my Adonis belt.

magus678 • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 04:07 PM

I have pretty good grammar effortlessly, and I am pretty funny effortlessly.

If a girl wants to think either means I'm overinvested, I don't particularly care; I will just get a new less retarded one.

In my situation acting otherwise is the labor. So I don't do it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 September, 2015 12:50 PM*

Well said man.

Tbh, I commend people for writing such long and "well thought" guides, but it's becoming borderline ridicule...

It's such a natural thing that I really have a hard time understanding how some people need to go

tu such EXTREME lengths just to get a date on a website? How desperate do you need to be?

It just overcomplicates things for people who aren't born with the natural skillset.

buddboy • -1 points • 16 September, 2015 07:56 PM

She won't give you shit for it, she'll think you're stupid and move on. If a girl txt'd me like this I would think she 14 yo. I'm a 23 year old man, and I communicate like one. If a girl's standards and self respect are low enough that she'll shrug off "k" and keep talking there must be something wrong with her. I get keeping the texts short, framing and using action words. But the difference between "k" and "okay" is the difference between a child and an adult, and I'm no pedophile

rpscrote • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 08:04 PM

Nobody said to text "txt'd me like this." Nice strawman.

Grammar, like proper ending punctuation, proper capitalization, watching out for run on sentences, proper use of commas, etc. is spergy to anyone under the age of 30 in texting and IM contexts. The difference between k and okay is not the difference between a child and an adult, you just tell yourself that to feel superior.

buddboy • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 08:16 PM

Well then maybe I am superior. I haven't seen "k" in a text since high school or when a girl is pissed off. Using good grammar is my way of polarizing. If it works for you then fine, but I'm telling you in my world the quality of girls that level of grammar would land me far below my standards.

It takes no time to type "okay" it is already a 1 word answer. People only type "k" when they are trying to make a point, it either comes off as stupid, rude, or trying too hard not to care. If any girl accepts this she is desperate and there must be a reason for it.

Edit; grammar lol

rpscrote • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 08:27 PM

People only type "k" when they are trying to make a point

yeah dude, that's exactly the point

buddboy • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 09:01 PM

K, good point. You kind of got me thinking differently. When I first read the op's use of "k" I got what he was doing but thought he was being way too obvious. The girl would instantly tell he was being short for the sake of being short, and therefore perceive him as overly invested in her since he is using a "strategy", the strategy of trying not to try or caring is too much about not caring. And that's on top of coming off as rude or undereducated. But you're implying she will know what you are doing, know you are "making a point" and still play along?

rpscrote • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 09:13 PM

most tactics we employ don't need her to be unaware they are going on -- a lot of them she knows what you're doing but she has no choice or her hamster overrides her logic.

I just dropped a K on a girl the other day when she was too short with me and she

knew the "k" was a message and immediately tried to "fix things" with me by explaining herself e.g. qualifying herself to me.

k is one of those blatant power moves that works because there is literally nothing to do but 1) respond, giving over the power regardless of what is said, or 2) not respond. It makes it obvious who is more willing to walk away

buddboy • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 09:40 PM

Based on your comment and the OP'S I am officially gonna give it a try. I Didn't read it as that type of strategy at first and now it's at least logical. In fact it actually supports something I told another redditor today about a ltr relationship strategy that will work even if she knows what ur doing.

So I have to say thanks for clearing that up, it really makes sense the more I think about it. Originally I was flawed by thinking about it from my perspective, a man's perspective. If a girl I don't know ever uses k with me, she's nexted. I have too much self respect to waste time on shit like that. Either she doesn't care, she's boring, she's too lazy to type "okay", she's ratchet, or she's shit testing me, whatever it is I'm not interested. But I forgot that girls will completely deprave themselves for an alpha. And if she plays along after you send "k", yeah she may have low standards for herself but she may also not and you are actually just giving her the tingles

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 18 October, 2015 05:10 PM

be perceptive and adaptable

be perceptive, and adaptable

Lol

Meristry • 30 points • 15 September, 2015 08:45 PM **[recovered]**

This is absolute gold. I'm going to implement this for sure. Thank you.

UmbrellaCorp1961 • 3 points • 11 December, 2015 09:45 PM

Sooo.....2 months gone. How did it work?

SwagYoloJesus • 2 points • 11 February, 2016 11:37 PM

Sooo.....4 months gone. How did it work?

Meristry2 points 12 February, 2016 09:04 AM **[recovered]**

Pretty well. Not all girls are receptive to it though so I'm not using it as much anymore. I'm not located in the US; the girls replying with 'looking for fun' aren't as prevalent here. Out of about 150 matches I've tested this on about 40 gave me their number.

Venomroach • 10 points • 1 October, 2015 10:34 PM

Warning: never use the super like feature that just rolled out. It paints your profile beta blue and let's the girl you super liked know you are eager. Counter intuitive to abundance mentality and just seems like a new feature to weed out the betas. The best part is it colours your profile blue. Does an RPer work at tinder?

time_to_MANUP • 7 points • 17 September, 2015 03:26 AM

Holy fuck, it's working...

"Hi girly"

"Hello"

"What're you looking for"

"Looking for new friends and you?"

"Same"

"So how long have you been here on dating site?"

This chick's pics are way hotter than the usual ones that message back. I'll probably fuck it up at some point, but hey - one step at a time. Thanks for the guide, bro.

putinbush10 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 09:13 AM

So how did it go? Did you sort it out?

AwesomeTheKid • 1 point • 7 February, 2016 04:58 PM

Rereplying for the other guy.

wehadtosaydickety • 31 points • 16 September, 2015 04:57 AM*

I'm slaying it at online dating and I really have to disagree with most of your advice. Responding with "K" or repeating "What's your number" is going to turn a lot of girls off, at this point you're just a random person on the internet and have little sway with them.

The goal of online dating is: get a face-to-face meeting with a woman with a romantic dynamic.

Ok, so rule number one is don't talk about sex. There are a bunch of creeps on these sites and women are mostly sick of them. You have NOTHING to gain by talking about sex before you meet the woman.

Then,

- a) Send a message that asks a question, pick out something in her profile and ask about it.
- b) Use her response to start a conversation, blablabla that's so interesting then ask another question
- c) Get her off the dating site. Ask for her number, or ask if she uses whatsapp or viber or whatever. Now you will not be a dating site guy but a 'new friend' to her
- d) Continue the conversation outside the dating site.
- e) ask for date

B and D should not be too long, about 2-4 responses from her is good enough for each. It depends on the situation. If she is really talkative you can go right for the date. If she is a little colder you need to get her talking more. When you ask for a date, it shouldn't even be a doubt in your mind she will say yes - when the woman is talking to you freely and is asking you questions also, they want to meet you.

Also I should note - I never get flakes. And I get laid so much on the first date it's ridiculous. A big reason for this is I'm priming them before the date, I'm not bullying them into a meeting where they aren't comfortable. If you've been really cold and talking about sex or they haven't talked to you beyond giving you their number, the meeting is going to be like meeting a random person on the street. It's going to take more work at the date to get comfortable.

As a former salesman, I'd just add it's not just getting a 'yes' that is important, it's getting them to think 'YES!' It's easy to manipulate someone into saying yes, it's better to give them some motivation to meet you.

Cyralea • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 01:16 PM

I don't doubt that this worked for you but I've had more success focusing on hinting at sex. For reference I was getting more than a 50% response rate.

You don't want to be overt about it, no "u want sum fuk?", but hint at it in an amusing way. Something like "We're easily the hottest people on this site, I'm pretty sure it's our duty to create the next generation of models". The chicks that want to bone on the first date want guys that are sexual and fun.

I agree with the rest of your tips, but avoiding the sexual talk hasn't really worked for me in the past.

wehadtosaydickety • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 01:24 PM

yea sometimes I'll fit that type of talk in, especially if I have doubts about her purpose (e.g. vague profile, single photo, might want to gauge her reaction). But from what I've seen of the competition (girls have shown me messages), there is a temptation to search for spank bank material rather than to actually have sex. E.g. guys trying to start sex chat. That's what should be avoided.

and my approach doesn't work for everyone, I've been accused of seeming cold to girls because I didn't use pet names and talk a lot about their bodies when we first met. I guess I've got more of a quick strike mentality, where the goal is to get them to my house and make things sexual there.

OmLaLa[S] • 14 points • 16 September, 2015 12:41 PM

I must disagree with this response. The over-investment implied will serve as nothing more than a self-deprecation.

Send a message that asks a question, pick out something in her profile and ask about it.

I'd argue that this is beginning in an implied over-investment. You don't know this woman and immediately you're asking her details about herself.

You're also limiting your range across the board. You'd have to actually read the bios of every girl you're interested in, pick out something unique about each one, then cater a question to her. That's time consuming. It also significantly increases your actual investment in the entire process.

Use her response to start a conversation, blablabla that's so interesting then ask another question

Again, asking personal or detailed questions to a women you've never met conveys an over-investment. I've had fat chicks ask me about details on my bio and I've been immediately pu off, so I know other LSSWs think the same thing if we do it.

I'd argue most of what the rest of your advice implies is a catering to any particular LSSW.

I'm not bullying them into a meeting where they aren't comfortable

Their comfort shouldn't matter to you. Your convenience should. If they can't meet at a time or place convenient to you, move on.

I never get flakes

If you cater to each and every LSSW that responds, that may be. But that's an over-investment on your part. You're adapting your schedule and time to better suit theirs, which is a weakness in frame.

If you've been really cold and talking about sex or they haven't talked to you beyond giving you their number, the meeting is going to be like meeting a random person on the street.

Or you can skip the date altogether and invite them to your place. This statement feels like it stems from a

fear of confrontation; you're afraid of offending her, so you tell her what she likes to hear in order to get in her pants. The sex means too much to you.

It's going to take more work at the date to get comfortable.

Again, if your SMV is in check, she'll be comfortable in a dive bar amongst thieves and harlots. Her comfort isn't something you should have to work at to establish.

wehadtosaydickety • 17 points • 16 September, 2015 12:54 PM

Different strokes, I guess. I tried the tough guy approach and found it significantly limited my pool. As for overinvestment, it doesn't really take a lot of time to do what I said. The next girl I'm meeting? I told her her hat was awesome, and asked her where she bought it.

To me it's not an overinvestment, it's an excuse to initiate a conversation. If the girl is attracted to you, she'll appreciate it for what it is (no matter how stupid or inane) and engage.

I suppose this also depends on your preference - I like meeting new people, and talking to them, so for me it isn't an investment at all. If I can have an interesting conversation with someone, that fits my approach and is enjoyable for me.

Her comfort does matter to me insofar as she feels comfortable enough to decide to meet me and eventually have sex. And personally I'm not comfortable inviting a stranger to my house, I'd much rather meet them for coffee and have at least a short date to make everything feel less 'arranged.'

And it isn't all a manipulation on my part - I genuinely enjoy talking to new people, I'm good at it, so I'm playing to my strengths. I think any sort of one-size-fits-all, acronym-laden approach is going to not suit a lot of people.

poopcasso • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 10:11 PM

It's like you said, mate, different strokes. What works for you will work for you, and it's great. But I do think you have a lot of wrong ideas and approaches in your main post. And I don't think these approaches you preach is good for self-image.

It's not tough guy approach as you put it, it's simply an approach that caters your own instead of theirs. It's a real pain reading bios and trying to "come up" with shit. And your self-image is the most important thing. Just because you say that you feel great about it, doesn't mean you actually mean it. You will still subconsciously know that you're of low SMV, because you'll feel the over-investment everytime you sit around trying to get their attention. You also said it yourself, that you aren't comfortable inviting a stranger to your house. OP commented that you have a fear of offending her, which means that you don't think you're good enough to offend her. Why? Maybe it's related to your self-image.

From my experience, girls get a lot more comfortable with you, real quick, when you bring her up to your place as soon as you meet her (not necessarily to do anything sexual, just say you wanna pick up your sunglasses). IMO, the worst place to have a first date, is somewhere like a café. It's unnatural to sit with a table between you. Even if there's not a table between you, it's still unnatural to sit either towards each other (who the fuck wanna do that) or both facing straight not looking at each other (at least as fucked up as the other option). OP had a great advice, just skip the "date" and invite them to your place.

Limekill • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 02:40 PM

blackdragon agrees with OmLaLa - he recommends you don't even read the profile - too much

investment, so I'm with OmLaLa with this. And why?

It's a numbers game - imagine read a long rambling talk, messaging and (of course) she doesn't even bother replying to you to your crafted message.... no point in reading it in the first place.

[deleted] 23 September, 2015 02:37 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 October, 2015 06:14 AM

Sorry but you have a lot to gain when you talk about sex before you meet them. A lot. Don't make it THE topic of discussion the first time you introduce yourself online but make it flirty and gradually get more sexual when you get the number. By the time you end up meeting them in person you'll be pretty much guaranteed action because they understand the mindset you have for them. As cheesy as this sounds this requires texting game and risk is a must.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 18 October, 2015 05:41 PM

So many lies in this.

Highlighting one: "I never get flakes"

Everyone gets at least one flake, thus, never is a lie.

Gawernator • 13 points • 15 September, 2015 09:55 PM

Great post. I can't live without commas though. lol.

I always thought you should have a pretty minimalist profile, to be more vague/intriguing/stoic...

[deleted] • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 11:09 AM*

I agree about minimalist profiles. Going in depth about yourself shows over-investment and opens the door to saying something she doesn't like. It also looks like you're trying to impress. Women like mystery, then they can fill in the blanks on their own and imagination is often more interesting than reality.

On okcupid if you fill out every section and answer a certain number of questions then your profile will become more visible. This can be achieved with short one word or one sentence answers.

Gawernator • 0 points • 16 September, 2015 03:19 PM

Yeah. I usually don't say I'm looking for friends either. I imagine some women might take that quite literally. I usually say "a nice girl" which is both true and vague enough to not really mean anything. "A nice Latina" has worked well on some mexican girls here. Lol

trinitys_dildo • 7 points • 15 September, 2015 11:18 PM*

Thanks for another great guide/FR /u/OmLaLa.

RE:

I've dropped the ball countless times and so will you

If you were to go out and start matching/responding on dating sites with your current level of experience and knowledge what would be your hit rate roughly?

So if we count from when you send the first message what % would you end up meeting in person ? Of these what % would you end up bedding?

EDIT: "start" not "starting"

magus678 • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 01:48 PM

Not OP, but I have a fair bit of experience in the online arena and I would say for me it is:

20% or so of my messages get responses worth pursuing.

I can get about 75% of those to meet it I want to.

I bang at least 80% of the ones I end up meeting, and about 75% of those on the first meet.

Now, I screen pretty strongly for sex; I think that accounts for my numbers being a bit lopsided as the interaction moves forward. My initial success is a bit tougher, but it pays off later on.

trinitys_dildo • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 07:09 PM

Thanks for the stats.

I went on Tinder briefly. My profile pics were reasonable but no shirt off I'm not jacked yet and didn't have any pics with women that I felt OK about posting (only ex gf etc).

Still I got a fair amount of matches and replies to my messages (maybe 50%). After this it went downhill got almost no meets and no lays.

From OP:

Don't start until you're ready. A strong frame, attractive figure and abundance mentality are REQUIRED prior to attempting this guide

I wasn't ready and won't be going back until I am

OmLaLa[S] • 3 points • 18 September, 2015 03:05 PM

So if we count from when you send the first message what % would you end up meeting in person ? Of these what % would you end up bedding?

These are rough approximations:

At this point, I'd say ~75% of LSSWs I'll message respond initially.

60% of those give their number.

60% of those agree to meet up.

90% of those end up sexual.

50% of those become sexual by the initial engagement.

So, if I message 100 women a week...

75 respond initially, ~45 give their number, 27 agree to meet, ~25 typically become sexual after meeting, 12 become sexual on the first meet.

Granted, I don't meet up with 27 women every week, but going off of those that either agree or ask to meet, that's usually where it stands.

Once we've met, sex typically always happens save for one or two.

[deleted] • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 02:12 PM

the most distressing thing a man notices in his pursuit and evolution of online dating from 1st profile and message to maximizing his success rate is the painful realization of WHAT WORKS and what doesn't and how that reflects on women in society.

Initial Strategy: I'll create a profile with an emphasis on my personality, interests, likes/dislikes and what I am looking for in the opposite sex. I'll put some pictures up of me, they don't HAVE to be the best ones because women care about personality right? I'll message women I believe are in my league (not fat) with a well thought out highly intellectual message explaining to them why I am interested in them, what I liked about their profile, and why we should get a drink sometime.

End Strategy: Maximize your physical attraction in your pictures AS MUCH AS possible. Go as far as to use photoshop to hide blemishes. Covertly put shirtless pictures up in natural settings to show off your body. Forget highly intellectual message - be short, direct and brief. NEVER tell her that you find her attractive or pretty.

It's truly sad reflection of the sexual marketplace and what is in demand these days.

OmLaLa[S] • 10 points • 16 September, 2015 02:23 PM*

A man goes from presenting himself as the best person possible to presenting himself as the most attractive product on the shelf.

Women base their shopping off the label all the time with no appreciation for what's inside. It's why we've seen such a boom in Marketing during the last generation.

Wonderful summary.

[deleted] • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 03:05 PM

Marketing has harnessed the emotional circuitry of women to their advantage to maximize profits.

This guide is similar, except we use it to maximize lays.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 03:18 PM

Maximizing lays, as it should be.

-Johnny- • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 04:43 AM

Not if, but when she ask you about the past girl you where TALKING TO just tell her that the lady girl was boring. She will get very insecure and try harder. 95% of the time.

[deleted] • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 04:26 PM

Happened to me last night. I got asked almost immediately how many girls I've met so far. Told her I don't keep track but they were pretty boring. An hour later she was in my bed moaning.

-Johnny- • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 09:10 PM

Another tip: tell her something brutally honest you don't like about girls, bbbuuutttt make sure she doesn't have it. I tell girls im very particular about nipples. I hate big nipples, they will send nudes very soon after.

[deleted] • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 10:55 PM

LOL I like it, gonna try it

redadactyl • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 02:04 PM

This is a great point. keepin that in the back pocket,

-Johnny- • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 03:32 PM

I honestly use it on every girl I talk to online.

DeathByTeaCup • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 02:37 AM

How many girls will actually respond to "K"? This is a good guide overall, I just feel like many won't bother responding to a one word answer like that, especially when they (presumably) are getting hundreds of other messages.

OmLaLa[S] • 19 points • 16 September, 2015 02:38 AM

Try it and see. What've you got to lose?

Drekalo • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 06:56 AM

When your SMV is a margin above hers, and you're making it clear you know that, why wouldn't she respond?

Venomroach • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 06:21 AM

those hundreds of other messages usually have 100+ words in them. Yours will be different even if it's a single letter.

cdtCPTret • 2 points • 20 September, 2015 05:17 AM

Yet I'm pretty sure the majority are "hey" to start. It's even in their profile. "Don't message me with 'hey'"

drallcom3 • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 09:39 AM

If she responds it's a sure date. If she doesn't next her. I like to give those responses at one point to weed out the flakes.

Emporian6 points 16 September, 2015 11:45 AM **[recovered]**

I mean if she flakes you could just do daygame. Even better when she arrives and sees you talking to a girl.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 12:18 PM

That's a very viable option.

[deleted] • 11 points • 15 September, 2015 09:59 PM

I've followed your previous post's advice with great results so this is not a critique but more of clarifying questions.

1. "you free any time this week" - to me that implies going into her frame. I've seen other people recommend starting with "I'm free ____." If your strategy is working for you, why do you think that is? To me it seems like you're placating to the times she is available.
2. Same goes for "whenever" when being ask to meet up. To me this seems like it goes against the whole men are in control and women want to be led idea. Wouldn't it be more manly to dictate time and place? Responding with something like "X time at X location."
3. In the same vein as #2

Remember, women don't too much care for blunt, direct conversation. Imply what you want without outright saying it.

This seems to be against the consensus in most RP materials. Outright saying your wants is successful, or at least has been for me. I guess I'm just interested in a discussion of how and why this has been successful for you since

it does not seem to be the norm.

BaconEggsAndSleaze7 points • 15 September, 2015 11:48 PM [recovered]

Stating your intentions in person is crucial. Online, not so much.

They are using dating sites/apps; your intentions are pretty obvious.

Wouldn't it be more manly to dictate time and place? Responding with something like "X time at X location."

Hb7's+ have their cream of the crop, 99% of the time if they initiate a meet up with a guy online they would immediately get a response with a time and place.

How often do you think they get "maybe", or "whenever"?

Let their hamster work for you.

Venomroach • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 06:25 AM

I think the main point here is being different, and in this day and age, being different means showing you don't really give a shit. "I don't give a fuck" should be the underlying tone in these messages.

yumyumgivemesome • 2 points • 15 September, 2015 11:05 PM

I am very interested to hear the discussion of #s 1 and 2.

As for 3, one point of distinction is texting **before she considers us a real person** versus when she has met us and knows we exist. The vagueness and flakiness is perhaps better for the former in order to spin the hamster wheel and force her to think about us as real people and wonder what we're thinking about.

trinity_dildo • 2 points • 15 September, 2015 11:11 PM*

I'm just interested in a discussion of how and why this has been successful for you since it does not seem to be the norm

Me also...

I read the original LSSW series that OP wrote and I was struck by how much of the details (but not the big picture) seemed to contradict things I understand about TRP.

For example sometimes he seemed to **put himself** in the friendzone at the earliest opportunity when first chatting to girls on dating sites/apps. Some of his convos went something like:

him: "what are you looking for?" her: "blah blah. And you?" him: "yeah similar. Do you want to hang out and be friends ?

cdtCPTret • 2 points • 20 September, 2015 05:09 AM

Saw an RSD video about "let's meet up as friends." It's like a technique to get her to "leave her bitch shield at home" so she comes just for fun. "But little does she know, you're gonna fuck her." The quintessential PUA.

[deleted] • 10 points • 16 September, 2015 06:47 PM

Online dating is a great teaching tool for guys who still struggle with putting women on a pedestal.

Read those profiles, and you will quickly learn how boring and fucking basic the majority of them are. You realize quickly just how more interesting you are compared to them. It helps you realize that girls are really looking for an interesting guy so they can join in on the activities.

cdtCPTret • 9 points • 20 September, 2015 05:15 AM

RSD Tyler puts it as 'a man is an island with a strong grounded reality. A woman is a boat with no reality and she goes from island to island experiencing different realities. But she has no reality of her own.' And a mistake is to consider yourself the boat that's visiting the woman islands and adapting to their realities to Try to fuck em. Because they have none, and you just became an orbiter/giving up your identity. That tidbit is actually striking me much harder than any TRP theory short of the misandry bubble.

Redasshole • 8 points • 16 September, 2015 03:19 AM

For any further questions, I'm open to talking over Skype under Skype username OmLaLa2015. Please message me set up a meeting.

Lol are you going to sell shirts soon?

OmLaLa[S] • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 12:43 PM

There's a special going on today.

doctorlw • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 03:35 AM

I have a very good amount of success with online dating, getting more dates per week than I can actually go on. That said, I don't agree with many of these statements, though I do see the logic and reasoning in them. Many of them I also agree with whole-heartedly.

Either way, good post.

TheGillos • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 06:01 AM

Could you give a quick list of points you agree with, point you disagree with? Or a long post, haha, you sound successful in the game.

doctorlw • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 12:42 PM*

His strongest point was the baking analogy in my opinion. Absolutely great way to state that, best way I've seen it conveyed. Obviously reinforcing a strong frame and value is important as well, but everyone on this site should be familiar with that at this point.

Basically, as he stated you shouldn't answer too soon (of course, there are no set rules... sometimes you can answer right away). But more importantly you can't wait too long (like he said more than a day). When I first started, waiting too long was my biggest mistake. Because there are so many people messaging them, if you don't respond and they aren't seeing your photo pop up inevitably they will just move on to the next guy talking to them. Only exception to this is if you have their number... then you can wait a while to text them. Even still I usually give them a heads up when I know I'm too busy to schedule a date for a couple weeks though.

I don't agree with making girls think you flaked. Or being deliberately vague on what you will be doing. Not that those things don't work, I just don't find them necessary. Once you meet up with the girl, the ball is 100% in your court so that should be the goal. Getting them to do this shouldn't require many tricks. I actually think the hardest part of online dating isn't the 1st date, it is the 2nd (assuming you didn't hook up on #1 and want a #2). The baking principle still applies... generally I find that if you need to set the 2nd date within 3 days of the 1st date while the girl is still giddy about you. This is obviously VERY hard to do if you have a busy or non-static work schedule. The longer you let things sit, the less she will remember about you and the harder it will be to drag her out again, and once you start spending time trying to drag her out you start down the inevitable path of lowering your value. Once you've gone on 2

dates and they've gone well you can pretty much throw everything out the window, at this point they like you and you can say/do whatever you feel like and probably be OK. This is also true if you slept together on the first date usually.

I don't agree with deliberately dropping convoys or even having them, even if they are nondescript. Ideally you aren't having more than one conversation before you ask for drinks. I'm trying to get a number set a day to get drinks and let our first convos be on the date itself. If you don't get a number the first time you ask, they will usually carry the conversation forward. I usually respond to their conversation for one response, then in my next message later that day or the next day call them out on ignoring / not willing to give out their number by saying something like "Tinder must not have been too kind to you if you are afraid to give out your #..." etc, obviously not stated exactly like that as it depends on what they said, but you get the general idea. Then after they respond I ask again. I've not had anyone not give it the 2nd time.

General things I've found that work for me:

My advice goes mainly for Tinder, I think most other dating tools now are inferior. The quality of girls on Tinder is much better, and you can get numbers much faster. The others require more effort with less results... in other words, there is no point to using anything but Tinder.

You have to feel out the girl, but the best night to go out on dates in my opinion is Friday, no obligations for either generally and if things are going well you can continually progress them forward whereas weekdays are more restrictive. Weekdays are better for girls you aren't completely sure about, have more boring looking pictures, or you think might be more timid.

Ask for the number quickly, and do it in the context of getting drinks soon... the less you talk about the more exciting it is for both YOU and her on the first date. That doesn't mean don't screen them... what they are doing in their pictures and what they write in their about section are actually useful for this purpose. Also, if girls don't use good punctuation that is a red flag to me that they are stupid.

One thing I do to "bake" at the right rate is to check my phone when I'm at the gym in the morning, and once at night after work. Since I work out at 5am in the morning, that usually also adds some intrigue as to why the hell you are up that early. Also if they ask why I'm only responding at those times I tell them I only use Tinder between sets while I'm working out or while I'm on public transit. Of course, there are always exceptions you should never be following set rules but doing whatever you feel is right for the situation. None of these things are necessary and really everyone will just find out what things work for them with practice.

TheGillos • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 05:15 PM

Great counter points, thanks for the writeup!

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 03:37 PM

I'd say your online approach is very similiar to mine and you concerns with my some of my approaches are sound.

I believe it comes down to a matter of personality; the way I approach fits my personality and I've tailored this guide to fit the common denominator of RPer's. Small changes for sake of personal accomodation are bound to happen.

Drekalo • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 06:54 AM

I'm not a fan of the 'no punctuation' remarks. Thoughts?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 03:45 PM

Explained here:

Seems overly short and uninterested, right? That's the point. We want her to feel as though you've got better people to message with. Too preoccupied to pay her any attention. She'll say "good", you say "What're you looking for" and move forward.

It's to convey a sense of under-investment regarding your interaction with her. She's not important enough to you to illicit long, grammatically correct messages or immediate responses.

Why? Attractive women expect a certain level of investment from men. By separating yourself from this expectation, you effortlessly invoke intrigue putting you above whomever else she's considering.

PanzerBatallion • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:21 PM

I don't know that it generates intrigue. It definitely generates a sense of "This person is a dumbass," though. Goes for both sexes. If you can't be bothered to put forth basic effort for spelling/grammar, why would I want to have a conversation with you?

I suppose it's different if you're ONLY looking to bang chicks. But if you expect to spend any amount of time bonding with people, I'd much rather do it with people who know the difference between a hammer and a nail.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:39 PM

And why do you assume she's basing whether or not you're worth sleeping with solely on your grammatical prowess?

Grammatical accuracy is something men harp on about because men communicate through facts and facts require an accurate interpretation, leading towards the frustration involved in misinterpretations caused by poor grammar.

Women DO NOT communicate this way. Women communicate through body language and intention. The minimalistic grammatical approach I'm suggesting translates an intention of under-investment.

If a woman says she won't sleep with you because you left out the apostrophe in "what're" or in "don't", it's either shit test or you have deeper issues at play.

If its on the basis of some grammatical morality, I'd argue that weighing grammatical perfection over sex doesn't reflect positively on your priorities.

PanzerBatallion • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:43 PM

This may be heresy around here, but sex isn't the only goal. Especially if you're titling the article "online dating" and not "online hookups."

Dating implies a relationship. Hookups obviously imply fucking. If you're looking for bitches to fuck, yeah, obviously go for the best looking vapid cunts that will fuck you. If you're looking for a relationship though, well, I guess it's no surprise this subreddit exists if what you're looking for in a relationship is a vapid cunt.

OmLaLa[S] • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 04:46 PM

Especially if you're titling the article "online dating" and not "online hookups."

Dating implies a relationship. Hookups obviously imply fucking.

"Online dating" is the appropriate surname for any social interaction on a dating site. According to your notion, "sleeping with" someone should only involve sleep.

PanzerBatallion • -1 points • 16 September, 2015 04:55 PM

You're pretty quick to downvote anyone who disagrees with you.

Discussion apparently isn't your thing, that's cool.

Good luck with the vapid cunts.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:59 PM

I haven't ever downvoted someone for disagreeing with me. Quite the opposite; I welcome discussions different than my own.

I downvote comments that are either baseless, unnecessary, overly-biased or fail to add to the conversation at hand.

doctorlw • 0 points • 16 September, 2015 12:06 PM

That was one of the points I disagreed on most.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:26 PM

I don't normally quote myself outside of my post, but I feel this is an accurate answer in this case.

I have good grammar, but I'm not catering my grammar towards her. To do so would be an over-investment on my part.

She's not getting wet over my proper usage of you're and your. She's getting wet over my Adonis belt.

rpscrote • -1 points • 16 September, 2015 04:07 PM

All I know is that in digital media it's almost always neckbeards that are sticklers for grammar, and I have no idea why you'd want to throw yourself in with that crowd. Is grammar probably good? Yeah. But the neckbeards ruined it for everyone

[deleted] • 4 points • 17 September, 2015 10:29 PM

This has more valid, useful information than, most PUA/Online dating courses sold... :D

OmLaLa[S] • 6 points • 18 September, 2015 02:34 PM

Thank you. I feel like human behavioural analysis is becoming a strength of mine.

truchisoft • 4 points • 25 September, 2015 08:04 PM

Man this is **tight** I have been implemeting this the past few days with my tinder matches, and hell its really useful to weed out quickly non interested girls.

[deleted] • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 12:27 AM

you are the real red pill god. can we give you gaylubeoil's flair?

OmLaLa[S] • 11 points • 16 September, 2015 02:03 AM

I'd rather have a Machiavellian flair.

[deleted] • 7 points • 16 September, 2015 02:46 AM

TRP has shocked me with how little time and energy I can invest in a woman and still get laid. Your last guide put that at an all-time maximum efficiency. This guide looks like it'll cut my time investment further. Thanks, OmLaLa. Your guides are doing the world a service, on several different levels.

drallcom3 • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 09:40 AM [recovered]

You call all those hours of gym and eating "little"? And the rest of the day to make you a more interesting man?

[deleted] • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 09:46 AM

Ah, I enjoy the gym and my hobbies. It's the talking to new prospects that's usually a chore.

drallcom37 points 16 September, 2015 10:15 AM* [recovered]

In that sense you're right.

One big time saver: Go for the kiss on the first date. Saves so much time and it tells your her real intentions.

[deleted] • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 10:44 AM

I don't really do "dates" it's f-close after meet up or I don't talk to her again.

cdtCPTret • 3 points • 20 September, 2015 05:06 AM

What do you do? Invite them over every time?

juanappleseed • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 01:34 AM

Does this work for you? I want to do the same thing but have been having trouble becoming adept at making sure I'm not perceived as trying to "date" them.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 01:51 AM

Then don't date them. Keep it as casual as possible. Meet her someplace free, or a place you were already going, invite her to your place afterwards, get in her pants, and kick her out.

If she gets lovey-dovey, tell her you noticed and you're not the boyfriend type. Easy. I usually don't even have to say that much. Girls know their place. It's when you start treating them like they're special when they expect to be treated better than other people.

juanappleseed • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 01:55 AM

Is this your MO? I'm assuming with your conviction this works well for you consistently. Is this correct?

And thanks for the answer man.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 02:59 AM

Yeah probably 80% of the time I don't even have to acknowledge it. I think there's something about them giving it up so early that just makes them "along for the ride" and they just don't cause any problems and we don't talk about what this is.

Unfortunately, this "don't ask; don't tell" seems like a good way to get involved with women living with a dude. I had three turn out to be married, two were

engaged, and I think one of my plates now at least has a boyfriend(some bodies taking her out to fancy restaurants and she keeps putting pics on instagram). I don't have any gripes with fucking taken women, but, I dunno... when RP truth is so clear and in your face... it's a lot to take in.

Wille-zur-Macht • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 02:48 AM

I too was flabbergasted at how efficient OPs lines are.

drallcom3 • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 09:28 AM [recovered]

I did plenty of online dating and honestly, don't.

All the women there have a very good reason that they need to online date. They're fat or ugly or single moms or insane or boring or lazy or sluts or gold diggers or even dangerous. Pick a few traits and add way too high expectations and you have your typical online dating woman. Most of them are just fat and boring btw, they could easily get a man by losing 20-30 pounds and going outside.

There are some decent women, but it's like diving for gold in an ocean of rancid fat.

(Tinder is something different as it's Chad's personal booty call app and not really a dating app)

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 11:57 AM

Same. Tinder is the only one I bother with anymore. I think the social stigma against online dating is much less with Tinder. I've seen way more attractive girls there. I think it's because they can only receive messages from guys they like and match with instead of weeding through hundreds. The fact that it's just an app on your phone with little investment as well.

blkbullmentor • 11 points • 16 September, 2015 01:37 AM [recovered]

Fuck online dating.

<http://no-maam.blogspot.com/2010/09/bonecrker-34-online-dating-not.html>

vengefully_yours • 22 points • 16 September, 2015 03:14 AM

As you get older the usefulness of online dating drops precipitously. After 37 the chances of you pulling 18-25 age group girls are going to be much lower. Just having that number there is enough to make them go eeewww creepy.

I have zero problems getting the same age group to show interest in person, but online all I get is wrinkled up hags and fat single mothers. Unless you look like a movie star, that 40+ age will work against you. They don't want to know, they want to assume you're just prematurely gray.

Unless I want to fuck a 45 year old single mom with a mustache, online dating is absolutely useless.

bsutansalt • 6 points • 16 September, 2015 06:01 AM

After 37 the chances of you pulling 18-25 age group girls are going to be much lower.

Meh. If you're not looking for relationships and just to hook up, then lower your age (so long as you can look the part in person).

vengefully_yours • 9 points • 16 September, 2015 11:50 PM

Gray hair and I'm obviously not 30 anymore. Five years ago I could pull off 30, but no longer at 46.

All I do is fuck buddies and short term, I have no use for an LTR. No bitch will ever hear me tell her I

love her or anything close to it.

Online is nowhere as effective as walking my dog.

bobbybluepill • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:14 PM

Bingo. I'm in my early 30s but am constantly told I look mid-20s. I set my online stuff to "30" because a *lot* of girls under 25 will set 30 as their highest age limit.

blkbullmentor • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 03:50 AM **[recovered]**

"After 37 the chances of you pulling 18-25 age group girls are going to be much lower."

Maybe for you.....

vengefully_yours • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 11:38 PM

Definitely for me. You hit 40 and the 20 somethings look at you as either a creepy old guy or bb. In person, whole different world.

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 1 point • 28 September, 2015 08:16 PM

While I *always* love seeing Bonecrcker brought up, I must disagree with you here. That was written before 2004. Online dating has changed tremendously. Normal people use it too now. Tinder happened. Social stigma went.

You have better luck finding LTRs by daygaming after work or in libraries/museums/cafes. But online dating isn't a non-starter, and it has a lot of uses.

loin_fruit • 3 points • 15 September, 2015 11:37 PM

This is great. Been looking around for a Tinder post for awhile now that covered almost every aspect. This is perfect. Thanks man.

my_redpill_account • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:44 AM

For shirt less pics, do you have to have chiseled abs? While I have muscle I have some body fat so you can see the abs are there, you just don't see the six pack very well.

[deleted] 16 September, 2015 03:13 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Cyrlea • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 01:19 PM

That and having your forearm musculature clearly showing. It sounds retarded, I know, but it's something more than a handful of women have commented on.

my_redpill_account • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 05:38 AM

Thanks. Regardless I'm going to keep lifting.

Drekalo • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 07:11 AM

Abdominal vacuums and planks focusing on the vacuum muscles bud. Transverse Abdominis.

SonicTRP • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 03:05 AM

Where's this android delay option?

Boovs4life • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 04:31 AM

Saving this and will come back to it when i have improved myself enough. Just started lifting and working on myself thanks to this subreddit otherwise I'd still be watching porn and fapping away my chances of getting laid.

Drekalo • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 06:51 AM

Progress report!? 5 lbs a month bro.

DigitalTherapy • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 11:35 AM

Good luck man, don't give up. Don't let your dreams be dreams.

throwawayyourliberty • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 09:31 AM

Set up a bitcoin account darling.

OmLaLa[S] • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 05:56 PM

Alrighty. My Bitcoin address is 14V3TcExEZPMf6oyRKvxflaQu2eaMBabhN

RICCIedm • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 02:56 PM

OmLaLa makes the best guides EVER, very practical writings. I'll get back to using Tinder as I'm working too much and can't to go out 3x a week. Feeling confident with my new knowledge.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 03:19 PM

I'm in a similar situation. Effective online dating makes it all so much easier.

RICCIedm • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 03:46 PM

Daygame is way more fun and challenging, though.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:32 PM

It's not about the challenge, it's about the result.

Well, for me anyway. I don't knock daygamers though.

I still daygame occasionally, I just never go out of my way to. House parties v. clubs.

RICCIedm • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:46 PM

"I just never go out of my way to". That is the ideal daygame scenario: becoming a naturally social and flirty person.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:48 PM

I'm as extroverted as the day is long.

But on that note, I think daygame is something everyone should try at least a few times, especially while they're young.

bigcitytruth • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 03:33 PM*

Regarding flaking --

If you're out getting dates, you'll have a number of flaked meetups. The way to counter this is to double or even triple-book. Yes, this means you'll have to flake on *them*.

Give about 1 hour intervals on your date meetup times. You can tell within a half hour whether a date is

promising. If date 1 is definitely going good (and I mean, you're about to make out), then excuse yourself to the bathroom and tell date 2 something came up and you want to reschedule for another time. If date 1 is neutral, say you have to leave, go on to date 2. Let's say date 2 stands you up, no problem, you still have date 3. If date 3 flakes, that's okay, just call up a pal around the corner and start picking up more women in the vicinity. Rinse, repeat. If you consistently do this at least a couple times a week, your abundance will build up to a crescendo. Yes, this might piss off your other dates but that's the reality of the game.

ProfDiddy • 3 points • 27 September, 2015 09:15 PM

Hey shitlords I am going on a tinder date. 1st one ever in fact. I have done okc and pof on several occasions. Will report back at some point in the week.

Metalgear222 • 4 points • 17 September, 2015 04:14 PM

Having multiple girls tell me they are looking for hot dirty sex. Please advise, instructions unclear. Dick stuck in fan.

This shit works.

OmLaLa[S] • 0 points • 18 September, 2015 02:38 PM

I'm glad to hear it. All I want is for TRP to experience the same amount of successes I have online.

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 September, 2015 11:37 PM

I hooked up with 25-30 girls over summer from Tinder. I agree with everything you said except the opener of "hi". If you can come up with something clever that'll always be the better than "hi".

I'll try out your way of setting up the date, but I usually invite them to do something I'm already going/wanting to do. I tell them, "I'm going to grab lunch at whatever at 1pm. Come with me". I tell them. I never ask them anything. If they can't make it then I don't reply back. I'll try again in a few days. Note: Before you start telling them make sure your SMV is there. Otherwise, like OP said they won't like it.

If your SMV is there then BE A FUCKING LION with these women! Don't be scared of it going south. Abundance mentality my friends.

One more thing: after I get the number. I text them "Hey it's Grifter. Save my number. You're going to need it". They love that. It's never failed me.

NiceTryDisaster • 2 points • 15 September, 2015 09:48 PM

Thank you so much. Great post.

SonicTRP • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 03:01 AM

loving how you always revise these dating guides OmLaLa. Since I'm feeling a bit more confident with my smv now I'm going in.

exceptionalEBOLA • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 03:53 AM

Does the texting section apply to girls you've met once and exchanged numbers with?

OmLaLa[S] • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:45 PM

Only towards women you haven't met.

u/dr_warlock does a great job at addressing texting women you've already met.

exceptionalEBOLA • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 01:54 PM

Do you mean his post on gaming your ex?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:29 PM

That's the one. Although it caters to exes, the approach should be similar if I'm not mistaken.

Dbrown15 • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 03:56 AM

I've seen where a solid 50% of attractive women online make it a point to add, "if you just say hello or what's up, I won't reply." Granted, words don't mean much to women, but have you encountered this directly?

Drekalo • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 06:53 AM

hah, I hopped on eHarmony for a weekend and apparently they have an option to limit the minimum # of words they receive. Chicks can be like, "nuhuh, you gotta say at least 100 words to get MY attention!"

Promptly closed the tab.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 11:53 AM

No coincidence this pops up from you right when I'm about to dive back into online dating.

Well played universe, great post.

kaljanas • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 12:54 PM

Makes a lot of sense. Thank you for sharing your experience. Btw love all of your posts, pure gold + highly entertaining to read.

ChairBorneMGTOW • 2 points • 17 September, 2015 01:37 AM

This is really solid work. Thanks!

Moldy_Gecko • 2 points • 18 September, 2015 12:40 PM

Quick question. You put to say hi, but 70% or so of their shit says don't say just hi. What do you do then? My opener has always been just their name. It has garnered a huge response rate.

OmLaLa[S] • 4 points • 18 September, 2015 02:22 PM

Response is nothing without effective under-investment control. You'll want your messages to be as under-customized/tailored to the LSSW as possible.

I wouldn't send their name as an initial message as it's tailored to that particular LSSW. Also, ignore headlines/bios that specifies not to say just "Hi". I see those all the time and still get to a close of some sort.

If you're going to read an LSSW's bio/headline the key thing to watch for is for whom the message is intended.

If it says things like "don't message me hi" or "not looking for just a hook-up", it's a message directed at men she considers of lower value than herself. If it says things like "message me" or "inbox me" or "stop looking you've found me" it's meant for men above her perceived SMV.

Her response or lack thereof will tell you what she considers you, so it's a good way to gauge your perceived SMV amongst LSSW of a similar SMV when compared to hers. If she says "don't just say hi", you just say hi and she responds, phisically she considers you more attractive than her. If she doesn't respond, she considers you just as attract (women don't fuck down or parallel) or less attractive than her.

Moldy_Gecko • 2 points • 18 September, 2015 12:47 PM

Do you always just say K? Or do you engage as well? I just had a girl say I was a Leo, her favorite sign. I was thinking to reply with Yeah, We have a reputation. Or should I just K it? I would think just K would cause disinterest.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 18 September, 2015 02:33 PM

I've heard this question a lot.

Continuing on tangents like this will likely lead to becoming a source of validation instead of a sexual one.

Here's how it'd possibly play out (and you may have already had this happen before): the conversation concludes, you ask for her number and since she's been validated through your light convo on astrology, she'll only look for more of the same. Remember, you don't exist to her as a person until you've actually met. Until then, you're nothing more than another "feel-good" stimuli source no matter how attractive you may be. Since sex isn't possible with an imaginary friend, she'll stick to what you were just good for moments ago: a source of validation. She might then disregard your request for her number or your request to meet in person as meeting a validationary source in person is unnecessary.

Though you can't see it from a male's bio, there are TONS -literally TONS- of guys on dating sites pouring validation like this onto these girls on a daily basis. That's why women will go days without checking their profile/inbox; they get so much validation that they'll only check their inbox when they need more.

She feels ugly because she stood by some sexy girl at the gym the past two hours? Better check my dating profile and read through the hundreds of messages from guys who love me as I am.

You don't want to be mistaken as one of these guys.

Moldy_Gecko • 1 point • 18 September, 2015 03:03 PM

So, how would you respond to that without validation?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 18 September, 2015 03:09 PM

I'd just say "K" or "Cool" honestly.

SeekingTheWay • 2 points • 21 September, 2015 09:08 AM

I know this guide is already really comprehensive but I am missing one point after the "you free this week". When she says "yes" or "yes, thursday" and doesn't ask what will you be doing or where to meet, how to best continue?

I always felt like displaying dominance by saying "ok, meet me by XXX at 8" is the best way but you kinda make it sound you have better ways... please advice

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2015 12:29 PM

I think "ok, meet me by XXX at 8" is an appropriate response, sans the "ok," at the beginning and change the "by" to "at".

That simple leaves "meet me at XXX at 8".

Personally, I'd leave grammar completely absent are reply with "[address] [time of meet]". Remember, the shorter the better.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 September, 2015 06:17 PM

Some of this guide conflicts drastically with my experiences. Example, opening with "Hi" has never gotten a response, ever. Then again, I don't have a shirtless pic, or anything high SMV either. I suspect if you're successful with that profile pic you can open with anything "boring" and atill get a positive (any) response.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 21 September, 2015 09:15 PM

That's not necessarily true. Positive maybe, but a highly invested message and an attractive photo leads them to label you as "boyfriend material". That is what we're actively aiming to avoid.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 September, 2015 05:58 PM

True, that is the goal.

I was (and still am) struggling with online sites, so I tested AF profiles. Basically I found that you have to trigger sexual arousal somehow, and if people are on a forum to discuss sexual strategy, it's likely not going to be their looks that does it. Yet.

The profile pics that were linked in the original post show this. The unsuccessful ones are just slightly "off". Yet it's clear there's no sexual attraction.

All im saying is if the profile pic won't give her tingles, "hi" sure as hell won't help.

plentyoffishes • 2 points • 23 September, 2015 12:00 AM

"Don't be afraid of WonderTits™ one-word responses."

I say, don't reward that behavior. If you are writing in sentences and she is sending back one word, sure she is interested but she will be a huge pain in the butt and probably not worth dating. If this happens, NEXT her.

__ROOSTER__ • 2 points • 23 September, 2015 05:29 PM

I can't read this now, but will get back to it.

Three years ago I screwed two new women a week for about six months and got most of them online. I learned a lot from it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 September, 2015 03:45 PM

The way to succeed at online dating? Have good looks.

Knownformadness • 2 points • 27 September, 2015 04:36 PM

My biggest problem with tinder is the messaging. I just don't know how to keep the ball movin, most girls in my country want to have a week long chat before anything yet talking to them is like throwing ball with a wall. I tried your example: * Me: Hi * Her: hello! :victorysign: :square: * Me: What are you looking for? * Her: What you are looking for! * Me: So you love playing with lego too? * Her: Haha for real! As long as the peices are small! * Me: I dont have those. I thought girls only liked big peices Her_ No but then there is no challenge! That was some minutes ago so we'll see if I get a response. I guess the main thing I'm lacking, and would require advice on, is how to bring the chat from hi how are you and worthless small talk to an actual date

Wille-zur-Macht • 2 points • 7 October, 2015 04:33 AM*

Damn, give this man a medal! Not gonna lie... first time I read this, I called it BS. Specially considering these lines sound extremely awkward in portuguese (my language) and contradict a lot of RP wisdom. Boy, was I wrong... It's bloody crazy how I can see them gushing their panties over the tubes. AWALT and AWALTE, I guess. Only part I disagree is about ugly girls. They're what I call fodder: worthy for practice and experimenting new lines, as well as distracting from the good ones, so you don't overgive them attention and better "bake" them, as OP says.

Syn3rgetic • 2 points • 7 October, 2015 11:07 PM

Noticed you go to raves too. Been seeing a lot of dudes at the clubs/raves trying to hit on the girls I bring with

me to them. Been going almost every month for almost three years and I have never seen a single cold-approach at a rave work. I usually bring my own women (BYOW) and basically use the rave as a date. I've been having moderate success closing but could use tips to increase my chances of you have any.

Wille-zur-Macht • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 02:45 AM*

Lol, This "what you looking for" line gives margin to the most hilarious replies. Some so far include "someone. (new message) to pay me a pizza" and "not nemo". Mostly they just answer "don't really know, you?", to which I'm experimenting some lines, including "strong, independent wymen", just for laughs, and "right now, your phone number", but by default I stick to just "Women".

trpfieldreport • 5 points • 21 September, 2015 08:06 PM

Regular tinderer, decided to try "Hi" followed by "What are you looking for?"

First girl I tried on "Not you lol" and blocked. 10/10 will do again haha.

Legit made me laugh. Always looking for fun new ways to plate em.

Wille-zur-Macht • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 02:42 AM

Damn. Just laugh it off, mate. Seems like you saved yourself from a bloody self-conceited bitch there.

tomysotomayorfluxboys • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 05:03 AM

Don't

That sums up online dating IMO. Seriously, though, if it works for you, more power to you. I've found more effective routes to get what I want. Online dating doesn't provide enough reward for effort to be worth my time.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 03:40 PM

I'd argue that this approach takes a very minute amount of effort all things considered. But to each his own.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 11:40 AM

Online dating is great for guys with low standards. HB9-10s don't need anymore validation and already have many options from RL.

OmLaLa[S] • 5 points • 16 September, 2015 12:23 PM*

There are definitely HB9s and 10s on online dating sites.

Validation isn't like hunger; it isn't just sated and then they're satisfied for a few months. Women always crave a better source.

In addition the fact that online dating is catered to women (many "premium" features a woman's profile starts out with) leads to why the process of browsing online dating sites is so easy for them. Why wouldn't they at least join one to see if there's someone better than the Chad they're currently sleeping with?

If you're only surrounded by HB4 and want to fuck an HB8, it makes sense. If you're surrounded by HB7s and you want to fuck HB9s, it makes sense. It's not that these hot women aren't being validated enough, they just want more. They want better.

It's not only female nature, it's human nature.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 12:44 PM

I think it depends on where you live. My town has about 100k people and I found no quality on okcupid that wasn't fake. Tinder is much better. I've had a couple tinder matches that never responded to me on

okcupid (HB7-8) and there are many more 8-10s which are nonexistent on cupid. Tons of spambots though.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 12:49 PM

I think it depends on where you live. My town has about 100k people and I found no quality on okcupid that wasn't fake.

OKCupid is a trashcan where I live too. POF and Tinder are my Amazons for pussy at the moment.

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 October, 2015 03:19 AM

Wow. POF has just the lowest common denominator of female in my area.

OKCupid on the other hand is loaded with attractive women, many of whom are joining a recent polyamory movement.

bigcitytruth • 0 points • 16 September, 2015 03:44 PM*

If you live in a reasonably-sized city, I recommend investing no more than about 30% of dating effort with online dating. The rest of the 70%, do it in person. Online dating gives the *illusion* of having a lot of opportunities. All those matches with hot chicks gives us hope that she's actually someone we "got." That's what makes it addicting. But it's an illusion of abundance. She's just pixels on a screen. Most of them just want validation. You might not even meet her. A good number of women join these sites because they just got dumped by their alpha ex, and need a quick boost of validation. She'll do it for a month, then quit her account. That's not a the best dating pool to work in. That's why there are so many unexplained soft nexts on these dating apps.

If you do the math, with the actual number of minutes invested in texting/responding/swiping/waiting for dates, etc, and the actual *number of closes* it's no more effective than if you went out for a drink with a good wingman and did cold approaches during the week, every week. In fact, the loss of productivity at work or during projects while you answer texts from women takes time out of your day. At least in person, you know you'll just be doing it during happy hour, which is a designated time to let loose and not deal with work.

The advantage of meeting women in person is that you *immediately* know how it's going and are automatically a notch higher in SMV because you're right there, in-the-flesh, and she doesn't have to be embarrassed that she met you online -- which only a few short years ago was considered "nerdy." Also, there is something to be said about being out in the real world and developing people skills. Sometimes, you may even gain a good male friend and wingman in the process. You can't get that hunched over a phone in a dark room.

The only advantage online dating has vs real life is if your real-life approach skills are abysmal. But what I found is, when you can do it in real life really well, you will meet women far better than any you can meet online.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:17 PM

I have several problems with this response.

If you live in a reasonably-sized city, I recommend investing no more than about 30% of dating effort with online dating. The rest of the 70%, do it in person. Online dating gives the illusion of having a lot of opportunities.

100% of my dating efforts are online as being able to reach so many women with such minimal effort

in such a small time span makes the whole process of sex much easier.

Intentional day game requires travel to a locale and approaching 1 girl at a time with mixed results and across a long amount of time. Effective online dating sites allows me to approach upwards of 50 women at once, allows more elements of the encounters to be controlled i.e. what she see vs. what she doesn't, takes minimal time/effort and best of all leads directly into multiple plate spinning.

Just this week I've attained 3 plates from POF and 1 plate from Tinder without ever having to go out on a date or leave my apartment. We message, we text, I invite them over, we have sex, they come back a couple days later.

On terms of ease it's like ordering a pizza every day vs. driving to the pizzeria daily and waiting.

If you do the math, with the actual number of minutes invested in texting/responding/swiping/waiting for dates, etc, and the actual number of closes it's actually less efficient than just going out for a drink and doing cold approaches during the week.

Sending girls one word responses is the brunt of communication involved in this online dating approach. While the guide is long, the process itself is very simple and convenient. Going out to a bar or club is not. You're still the victim of extraneous circumstances outside of your control which drastically effect your likelihood of success.

She could be having a bad day. Her father could've just died. ASD could be in overdrive as her friends are present. She could've come with her boyfriend.

Not all women in the bar/club are open to being approached. There are women in relationship abound in clubs.

At least with online dating, she's more likely to choose to get on the site when she's in a good mood and open to the possibility of meeting someone. If she's on a dating site, you already know that she's openly identifying as single without needlessly putting up a facade as she might do in a club (ASD, around friends, came with another guy, etc.). It removes a lot of guesswork.

The advantage of meeting women in person is that you immediately know how it's going and are automatically a notch higher in SMV because you're right there, in-the-flesh, and she doesn't have to be embarrassed that she met you online

Women aren't inherently ashamed about meeting a guy online, especially if he's attractive. And if they are, they'll just lie.

I've heard it multiple times: "If people ask, we meet at a bookstore."

Hell, Fine China and I met off of Tinder and we've told people we met in a bookstore for months. The story gets more and more convoluted every time she tells it.

So long as we're having sex, what she tells other people doesn't matter in the slightest. I personally find it hilarious.

which only a few short years ago was considered "nerdy."

No to nitpick, but there have been a lot changes in "a few short years", yet the concept of online dating has always been prevalent.

A "few short years" ago, Myspace was the only true dominant social media site. And yet, even on Myspace, people met and fucked all the time.

The only difference between then and now is that sites like Tinder and POF are solely dedicated to that interaction, while sites like Myspace and Facebook remain multifaceted. But even still, people

meet up on Facebook and fuck to this day. New sites, same strategy.

Also, there is something to be said about being out in the real world and developing people skills.

If you mean day game, okay. But it's not as though the women you meet up with off of dating sites aren't going to talk with you after meeting in person. Or that dates won't arise from some of the interactions.

Sometimes, you may even gain a good male friend and wingman in the process.

If you can avoid the crutch of needing another guy in order to get laid, why wouldn't you?

You can't get that hunched over a phone in a dark room.

Most people wouldn't arrive at a club, meet a guy and ask him to wingman for him. They'd bring a friend they had prior that they felt they could trust. Even then, you're needlessly crutching yourself in a process that only requires one person.

bigcitytruth • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 04:33 PM*

To use your pizza analogy, yes sometimes I like a quick slice or a sloppy delivery, but the absolute best pies are the ones you have to go in person, sit and wait. Some of the best restaurants in the city don't even deliver.

I'm not disagreeing with the fundamentals of anything you've said about online dating itself, except for one main thing -- the recommend amount of time for the average man to dedicate using it. I'm not talking about *you*, I'm talking about men in general. If *you* are doing well with 100% online effort, then by all means god bless you.

But if the average man (the ones you're *giving advice to* by the way) spends 100% of his time getting dates online and 0% of his time getting women out in real life, it severely stunts his approach skills. Also, there are plenty of hot women who will never, ever be online, ever. To a man who spends 100% of his dating effort online, these women don't even "exist" and thus he doesn't know what he's missing. Believe me, there's a lot of them out there, I've met, talked to and fucked them. They are not online. They think it's scary. Or they tried it and erased it after a week. Why would she be online if she's getting a hundred approaches *every day*? I know one plate who has never been online. She just tried OKCupid a few weeks ago. Ran into her, she said she has over 3000 messages and she doesn't know what to do, she says she's probably going to delete it, it's taking too much time out of her day. She still texts me regularly for me to come over, because I met her in real life.

But again, this is totally based on where you live. I live in a large city, so going out is actually pretty enjoyable and not a chore, it's literally around the corner. I can understand if one lives in a small community where staying at home and chatting with women while sitting on the couch eating cheetos is favored.

What some of my buddies do is, we will go out early, and fiddle with our apps for the first half hour before any real women show up at whatever place we're at. Then we put away our phones and go in for the real stuff -- the unexpected. The real stuff is almost always better. In all sincerity, give it a shot.

H42 • 2 points • 15 September, 2015 09:17 PM [recovered]

Since women need so much validation, here is a stamp to post in their social streams.

Validated stamp:

<http://www.bfpe.org/en/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2014/11/Validated-stamp.png>

Why say more?

akjoltoy • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 08:40 AM

Can't think of many ways to look more invested.

[deleted] • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 04:56 PM

Thanks for this. It's so hard because as far as your advice on messaging, it flies in the face of what women say they want, and what I was stupid enough to listen to for so long. No wonder I had no success.

MAGIC_FLIGHT • 1 point • 17 September, 2015 04:32 AM

In regards to text game, when should I text first?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 17 September, 2015 11:56 AM

Soon after receiving her number.

MAGIC_FLIGHT • 1 point • 17 September, 2015 03:46 PM

I'm talking about when the conversation dies. Am I the only one that is supposed to initiate?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 18 September, 2015 02:40 PM

If the conversation dies online, you ask for her number. If it dies and you wait too long to ask, you're done.

If you're texting and it dies, that's fine. More often than not, she'll reach back out in a few days. Most women aren't used to guys killing convos. It'll intrigue her if anything.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 September, 2015 04:19 PM

What do you say after you answered with "Same" here?

NOTE: If she says "Friends and you?", you respond with "Same" (no punctuation).

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 18 September, 2015 02:37 PM

If she ends with "yeah" or "ok" or anything that ends the conversation, you ask for her number. If she asks you more questions, you say "only in person" or some similarly succinct response until she reaches a conversational conclusion.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 September, 2015 04:48 PM

also after she asked you what you're looking for and you say "friends" what do you usually say after? what's your next topic?

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 18 September, 2015 02:37 PM

Depends on her response. If she ends with "yeah" or "ok" or anything that ends the conversation, you ask for her number. If she asks you more questions, you say "only in person" or some similarly succinct response until she reaches a conversational conclusion.

Stonish • 1 point • 20 September, 2015 07:31 AM

In case of no 14 I tend to chose location in which there is also something else to do so in case she didn't show up I don't have the time wasted (I always need to drive up to the city)

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 September, 2015 11:09 PM

Are you confident that going straight to "What're you looking for" is always the best approach?

I just had a girl message me first, complimenting my picture where I'm shirtless. Then a couple messages later I said "what're you looking for on tinder" and she stopped responding.

OmLaLa[S] • 2 points • 25 September, 2015 01:09 AM

Why'd you add the "on tinder" portion?

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 September, 2015 01:20 AM

No reason. Do you think it makes a big difference to leave it out?

aditas • 1 point • 25 September, 2015 02:40 AM

Yes, you are thinking like a man. You asked in a clarifying way that merits a concise and rigid answer. Keep things open and fluid.

Wille-zur-Macht • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 02:38 AM*

Probably an outlier, though. Had no problem with this line so far.

TinderHelpNeeded • 1 point • 25 September, 2015 09:38 AM

Girls keep asking me, "am I supposed to be looking for something?" after I ask them "what're you looking for". How should I respond?

Venomroach • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 10:26 PM

Just be a little more direct "what kind of man are you looking for" seems to work for me

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 September, 2015 12:16 PM

Does anyone have mock Bios for these dating site?

Like OKC asks you a ton of stupid questions and I think it's one of the worst for what our goals are here. I think Hot or Not / Blendr / Badoo are pretty solid, though it's mainly latin girls on Badoo for me for some reason. I'm in Orlando, Florida. Hot or Not has your younger girls of all ethnicities; not to mention a lot of them might be jail bait. POF is solid; I do like the voice message option; though it might curtail some women from giving out their numbers. (They just send VM after VM which sucks too). Then there's Tinder. Definitely not great, I can feel it's been on a steady decline since they made the premium version. Are there any other sites you guys recommend?

Here is my bio. I definitely don't think it's elite but I do seem to get a solid rate of return. Thoughts on how to improve? Thanks!

"Name of college I went to" and business executive by day, college football fanatic and secret agent by night. Animals are cool. I like to surf and drive fast. I am very bold and I just don't give a f*ck. Anything else, just ask.

sushisection • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 09:22 PM

I actually have more success on OKC than on other apps.

It's all about mating markets.

OKC caters more towards the artsy/intellectual types whereas pof and tinder cater towards the Jocks. So for example a guy like me, who isn't in as good of shape as Omlala, can't really compete on Tinder and POF where girls are totally focused on physical attraction. On OKC, other attractive traits are more important, such as humor and intelligence.

And you don't really need to put that much effort into OKC either. I don't answer any of those questions and only have minimal info on my profile, just enough for them to get an idea of who I am.

And as proof, I got 3 *dates* last week within days of creating a new profile. When I messaged girls, I was more focused on having an actual conversation with them. But the key is inner game and being outcome independent. Don't worry about getting their number immediately and just enjoy a friendly conversation with a stranger, the number exchange will come about naturally. In my experience, OKC girls just respond better to this approach than with the caveman, minimal messaging approach Omlala uses.

Omlala's guide helps immensely too. I took a lot of his advice and added some twist to it to fit *me* and my attractive traits.

So yeah in conclusion, mating markets. OKC works, you just gotta be the right type of guy for it. And follow Omlala's profile layout but add your own flavor to it.

AlwaysSometimesMe • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 02:37 AM

What about the infamous potassium deflection? I thought that's why K died out. Too many wordplay aficionados.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 11:22 AM

Nice guide op, but i have a few inquiries.

1 why the no punctuation by default thing

2 what difference does "interested in friends/dating" have if they both mean the same? Being too blunt might make a visitor back off?

3 say i juggle a few LSWs. I add them on facebook yadayada. One of them posts something on my wall or the idiotic fb algorithm shows the others my like to one's post/icon. What do, especially if they try to casually interrogate you in their jellyness?

4 what is the best single way to trigger intrerest in a Wtits? Not the maintain intrigue part, simply the first encounter.

pepti • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 01:18 PM

I assume no punctuation because it implies lack of interest/time to properly message the LSSW. It shows you don't really care

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 05:40 PM

That sounds plausible, but the potasium is known as the universal convo kill. Sure this is good?

pepti • 2 points • 29 September, 2015 05:43 PM

Honestly, I don't know. I'm going to try it out tonight so I'll let you know what results I get. But I would always take templates like this with a pinch of salt since different places/age groups tend to have different social norms for conversation.

youcantdenythat • 1 point • 4 October, 2015 04:03 PM

If you set up a date for a few days out, and don't talk to them in between, do you find they flake?

What do you do if they make convo with you after you set up the date?

What would you say if they ask you why you are so brief with texting?

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 08:26 PM

If you set up a date for a few days out, and don't talk to them in between, do you find they flake?

I find that they flake less this way. Build intrigue high enough to make them curious, then disappear and make them chase.

What do you do if they make convo with you after you set up the date?

I keep it as brief as possible and cut it short often and early. Don't give them the benefit of validation without ever meeting you. It removes the level of mystery/intrigue you've established thus far.

What would you say if they ask you why you are so brief with texting?

I say I don't text often.

RICCIedm • 1 point • 7 October, 2015 08:57 PM

more chat examples? love'em.

HappyMGTOw • -1 points • 16 September, 2015 04:51 AM

Too much energy required and all for a 5 second O into a stinky, smelly hole! No thanks. Porn!

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 September, 2015 10:33 PM

May I ask your justification for omitting punctuation??

I can understand leaving out the question mark, but leaving out apostrophes... that shit bothers me =D

yumyumgivesome • 8 points • 15 September, 2015 11:00 PM

He explained it with:

Seems overly short and uninterested, right? That's the point. We want her to feel as though you've got better people to message with. Too preoccupied to pay her any attention. She'll say "good", you say "What're you looking for" and move forward.

I don't think he ever mentioned omitting apostrophes (besides, most phones will automatically put those in). Anyway, most non-punctuation bothers me too. However, I'm starting to understand why we must initially abandon even simple things like commas. It comes down to showing under-investment. She knows that you're super smart and educated, yet you still typed out that awful sentence?? *This dude must be so busy with better things/women that he can't even be bothered to use a comma.*

TheGillos • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 06:00 AM

I actually write slower using improper punctuation, spelling and grammar. Ever since I started typing I've been typing correctly; or at least as correctly as I can. Was that semicolon supposed to be a full colon or a comma? I don't know.

yumyumgivesome • 1 point • 15 September, 2015 10:46 PM

Beautiful post. It's hitting right at where along the way I've been screwing up lately.

Quick question. Is this the actual statement for setting up a meetup supposed to be "You free any this week" or is that a typo in your post?

Is it supposed to be "You free any day this week" or "You free anytime this week" ...I ask because you normally point out when you are purposely excluding punctuation.

BaconEggsAndSleaze • 6 points • 15 September, 2015 11:42 PM [recovered]

What he typed is what he intended.

Really, the whole point is to convince them that although you know better, you could care less what she thinks.

You're a busy man, no time to make sure everything is perfect.

mugatucrazypills • 4 points • 16 September, 2015 12:45 AM

the balance is to come across as DGAF, but not illiterate

Reddthrown • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 10:42 AM

My approach was radically different and worked quite well:

Good photos;

Generic profile (I like good food and travel);

Exchange 3-4 messages max before organising a meeting;

Have a brief coffee/drink where nothing usually happens;

Kiss on second date;

Third date at my place with sex.

It worked at about 90%, although I had to filter out about 80% of the girls for being too ugly or annoying.

OmLaLa[S] • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:47 PM

You're approach isn't radically different. Only a bit slower.

Reddthrown • 2 points • 16 September, 2015 01:04 PM

Yeah, "radically" is excessive.

The slight difference was that my profile was vague, I would write full sentences, meet fast, and filter asap (70% are pretty horrid).

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 02:26 PM

I'm my guide I specify that you should only message women you find attractive.

See? We're not so different, you and I.

Reddthrown • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 02:35 PM

Yep. Even based on photos, I get disappointed more often than not.

[deleted] 16 September, 2015 11:59 AM

[permanently deleted]

Reddthrown • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 12:10 PM

I'm sure it can - I had a different approach.

Metalgear222 • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 07:45 PM

Couldn't read the whole thing cause of Narcos you son ova bitch :) got me hooked

Stargazer34 • 1 point • 25 September, 2015 02:31 PM

Oh my goodness. THIS can't possibly be what the Red Pill is for. There is no value here, if you go to these extents, I mean just LOOK at the length and detail on just online dating some sloor. Wow.

Venomroach • 1 point • 1 October, 2015 10:25 PM

This is just one small part of the red pill

[deleted] • -3 points • 16 September, 2015 02:08 PM*

your opponent

I cringe every time I see this. Women aren't the enemy, your own shortcomings are.

OmLaLa[S] • 1 point • 16 September, 2015 02:25 PM*

The game is called the game for a reason. You're actively competing against women in a struggle between the desires for sex and validation.

Whether or not you actually consider them a *worthy* opponent is a different matter. But so long as you're sexually active, they're your opponent nonetheless.

[deleted] • -1 points • 18 September, 2015 12:47 AM

All you have to do to be successful on online dating is have something that they want to get from you.

- 1.) You're attractive. They want to use that to feel good about themselves.
- 2.) You have money. They want your money.
- 3.) You have an active social life. They want to co-opt it. We're all brainwashed, but especially women, to believe our lives should be like teen movies. Most women are not going to the club with attractive people on the majority of weekends.
- 4.) Status. Anything that you can see them saying to their friends or family, "this guy I've been seeing does _____"

No connection. Connection is something women feel when they realize they want something from a man. No, you can't be interesting. Being interesting is what women call wanting something from a man.

All this talk of text game is 95% bullshit. All you have to do if you're attractive is facilitate the date. Let it happen. They've already decided before you even begin to talk.

You can't be funny, you can't be charming, you can't be witty UNLESS they want something from you. There is no such thing as objectivity when it comes to a man interacting with a woman.

Most men don't have these things I am talking about to offer, and if they're straight beta buxs they're going to be ignored unless the woman really is just a gold digging whore because there are other guys that are more alpha messaging her, and she won't be able to help herself.

[deleted] • 0 points • 16 September, 2015 06:52 AM

You said to tell her "whenever" for when to meet up and just give her your address, but then how will you go the whole day of without texting her and confirming the date if she does not know when you want her to show up?

Or is the whole point to see if she texts you?

[deleted] 16 September, 2015 11:51 PM

[permanently deleted]

Freddy_Fedora • 0 points • 17 September, 2015 01:22 AM

Yea never saw the point in online dating guides. Literally the first tip is to not try until you have abundance mentality. Then why would you need a guide if you already find it easy to get laid?

Also if you are getting laid WITHOUT online dating that what in the world would possess you to actually fucking try it.

[deleted] • 0 points • 24 September, 2015 07:09 PM

With all the effort that online dating requires to get good, I'd say better advice is go find women in the real world to approach. It always comes down to face-to-face interactions anyway, so why waste your time online.

sushisection • 1 point • 29 September, 2015 09:38 PM

It's the same thing. Everything that makes someone good face to face carries over online. Confidence in your appearance. Doing dope shit. Being funny. Being intelligent. Girls respond to the same attractive traits regardless of whether it's on pof or in the cafe.

pepti • 0 points • 30 September, 2015 01:03 PM

Have many people had success from saying they're looking for 'friends'? I think a lot of girls would miss the subtlety of the answer and genuinely believe you're looking for a new, non sexual pal.

TrimHer • 0 points • 6 October, 2015 02:10 AM

One thing I would change, add this rule: No cell number exchanges until you meet in person. Message exclusively on the dating site. Reason: You will pop up on her Facebook suggested friends feed once the FB app detects her number on your phone. Realized this once a couple of these broads showed up on mine after we had only texted. Side Bonus: if it goes south or she gets vindictive for some reason, she has no access to your friends or family.

my_monkey_loves_me • -1 points • 16 September, 2015 12:01 PM

You're stance here is so aggressive no wonder people don't want to be involved. Half of the stuff you said would be non existent if you didn't give a fuck. Being assertive and being aggressive are two totally different things. As much as you think this is a giant game the entire process needs to be as organic as possible. Anyone (women included) will see through this. What are people supposed to print this out and bring it with them on dates?

my_monkey_loves_me • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:03 PM

Incorporating positive traits into your own personality that come naturally to attract anyone is the greatest gift anyone can give you.

OmLaLa[S] • 3 points • 16 September, 2015 12:15 PM

Please explain how this guide is aggressive.

Codename-18 • 1 point • 17 December, 2022 10:40 AM

I disagree with not calling them out for standing you/ghosting, I've had countless lays by passive-aggressively calling them out but you need to be strong on dark-triad game. Something like "Ok no problem if you didn't show up. But you wronged me, so if you want there to ever be a date next time you're coming" (don't say where),

you'll be amazed how many times this works. Also remember that when you bruise someone's ego more often than not that stings so bad that they need you... That's right they NEED YOU to take back what you said so they will actively pursue you to make you "confess" that you didn't mean it and it was just a moment of anger, but if you keep being elusive, never look into her eyes if she confronts you and never say you're sorry, she'll keep trying and trying and will end up hamstering that as you being higher SMV.

There's no harm in trying since the situation is already compromised and you don't owe her shit. She doesn't owe you sex, you don't owe her understanding nor courtesy nor politeness.

I don't advocate for using dark-triad game on people who did you no wrong, but it's a beneficial comeback more often than not