

Women value a task based on its perceived importance, not its difficulty

187 upvotes | 14 December, 2015 | by Archwinger

One of the reasons The Red Pill is at odds with the rest of society is that modern society has decided to declare war on the old-school society that came before it. (Despite the fact that the society that came before was so fabulously successful that it made modern society, with all of its radical notions and lavish extravagances, possible.) The notions set forth in The Red Pill run contrary to just about everything modern society would like to establish, but are actually quite in line with the old-school society that came before – with the main difference being that The Red Pill actually says this shit aloud, whereas back in the “good ol’ days”, nobody had to because that was just how things were understood to be. So I guess there’s an argument to be made that by actually putting words to these ideas that weren’t usually verbalized in the past, we’re somehow more radical than what came before.

Modern society believes that there is no such thing as gender. That we’re all just equal humans full of equal potential, equal opportunity, equal value, and if there’s any justice in the universe, equal achievements and outcomes. Part of this war on gender involves a societal push to eradicate gender roles. The Red Pill is really just a set of lessons in gender roles. How to be more stereotypically masculine in a world that pretends to piss all over masculinity (while secretly rewarding it behind the scenes), and how to recognize and pursue stereotypical femininity in a world that’s, sadly, done a pretty good job of stamping femininity out.

When I first married my wife, long ago, we didn’t stick to traditional gender roles in our marriage. I did the bulk of the traditional “woman’s work”. After working my ass off at the office all day, I came home and cooked dinner, washed the dishes, did the laundry, spearheaded our grocery trips on the weekend. We hired a service to clean – it took too long to clean the big-ass house I was paying for, and I suck at cleaning. My wife didn’t do nothing, mind you. We were playing toward our skills. She has a real mind for organization, so after I made her a kickass spreadsheet to keep track of everything, she would catalog receipts, keep track of our budget, and pay bills each month for us. She’d also keep track of our various service providers and when they were coming to fix or build or clean or maintain something, and after we had a kid, she’d plan kid events, find kid activities, plan our weekends, and set all of that up.

Everything worked really smoothly, yet our marriage was terrible. We fought constantly, we had sex less than once a month, my wife openly disrespected me in front of others and in front of our child, pissed on and minimized everything I did for our family. We’d make up afterward (in a non-sexual way, of course), usually involving a series of back-handed apologies from my wife. The usual woman way of apologizing: “You’re a good husband, but” followed by a politely-phrased criticism that justifies why she behaved the way she did.

I could never figure out why she was so angry when she had it so easy. I did the bulk of the housework, made a shit-ton of money, took good care of her and of our child, and she didn’t have to do all that much. Yet the few things she did have to do, she complained about constantly, like she didn’t feel she should have to do even that small handful of things. Like I had unfairly burdened her.

The fact is, she was right. I was just missing the message. My wife was not complaining about the quantities of work we were doing, nor the difficulty of the work involved. She was complaining because I had handed off all of the man-work to her, while hiding behind woman-work to look busy.

Honestly, going grocery shopping, planning, prepping, and cooking meals, doing laundry and folding clothes – a lot of that work is physically more tedious and more difficult than sitting at the computer

paying bills and doing our family budget. Calling up service providers and getting shit fixed around the house? Using the internet to find an activity for the family this weekend? That shit is easy to do. Much easier than the woman-work. I thought I was doing my wife a favor by taking care of all of this tedious stuff, and all she had to do was make a few administrative decisions and keep track of things for us.

Yes, in many ways, the man-work involved in leading the family is physically easier than the woman-work that maintains the house (excepting the obvious grueling man-work like building and repairing shit and moving heavy stuff around). Handling your family budget, planning family activities, coordinating what's going on in the house and when – that shit isn't hard to do. But it's *important*. The *responsibility* involved in that man-work is huge compared to the woman-work. If the laundry doesn't get done for a couple of weeks, it's not the end of the world. If dinner burns, your order pizza. But if the bills don't get paid, the lights get turned off and shit accrues interest and costs the family a lot of money. It's far more catastrophic.

Even though my wife's tasks around the house were technically easier to complete, she felt burdened by the responsibility. Responsibility she felt that she was forced to undertake while I busied myself with "easier" (e.g., less significant) work like dinner and laundry.

Women run from responsibility. You can barely get a woman to decide what restaurant to go to for dinner – she wants you to decide, because she doesn't want it to be her fault if dinner sucks. She wants you to lead. She wants you to make decisions. She wants you to shoulder the burden, so that if anything catastrophic happens, or fuck, if she just doesn't enjoy something, it's your fault instead of hers. If she has to choose which plumber to call and it's more expensive than the one your neighbor hired, or if she has to decide which of your creditors to pay off in which order, or if she has to decide where to take the kid this weekend and doesn't have fun – she is crushed under the weight of the responsibility. She can't blame you when shit goes wrong. But she *can* blame you for making her responsible.

Women love drama. They would much rather you lead, and have shit go wrong left and right, and have something to complain about to their friends, to yell at you about, to excite them – than to have you hide from your responsibility and make them do your job.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 61 points • 14 December, 2015 08:29 PM*

Women despise being "in charge" and will never forgive a mans inability to lead.

She will likley forgive infidelity and physical abuse before excusing a man for putting her in the uncomfortable position of responsibility

[deleted] • 26 points • 15 December, 2015 02:18 AM

This is a lesson I learned early on it dating.

I thought telling a girl, "we can do anything you want to do. Name any restaurant, any show, whatever!" would spread the legs like Moses and the Red Sea.

Turns out a girl would rather be told she is going to some mediocre restaurant/bar, taken to a movie, or informed what live show you are bringing her along to.

In my 16 year old mind this was a revelation. I would have loved for someone to be like, "whatever you want to do! Its your night!" and couldn't comprehend that girls want to be led around like puppies, not set free like wolves.

grewapair • 15 points • 15 December, 2015 05:37 AM

Unless she sees you as a wallet. Then, there's no way she accepts just anything.

Its a good test for how they see you: take them to an inexpensive restaurant.

vintagegirlgame • 6 points • 15 December, 2015 05:48 PM

My boyfriend once took me on a date to the Dollar Store. He was very funny and we were cracking up wandering the isles while he picked up all sorts of random stuff to buy me... Very unique and memorable date! (Btw a dozen of those tall prayer candle glass votives go a long way into making the bedroom sexy)

vengefully_yours • 6 points • 15 December, 2015 09:13 PM

The Jesus candles are the best for wax play, aren't they.

juliusstreicher • 3 points • 19 December, 2015 03:46 PM

No shit. I was mid 30s, and had a smoking hot babe on the line; I asked her where she wanted to go. Might have just as well called her a worthless cunt; it would have gotten me further.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 25 points • 15 December, 2015 04:03 AM

You are angry, I get it, was there myself. Just know that being angry with her is pointless.

Your anger stems from the disconnect between reality and your false expectations

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 5 points • 15 December, 2015 07:28 PM

I can comment on a few relevant experiences from my life.

I find that there are two types of men in this world, men with whom I am able to find mutual respect, and men with whom I am not. Most men of value fit into the former category. My peers usually have the same issue with the latter category.

It's an uncontrollable binary. Regardless of what I do, for the next man that I meet through social or professional means, we will either find mutual respect, or not.

With women, it's completely different. Everything depends on what I do. The shit tests try to feel out who I am and what my frame is. It's not overly complicated. I will have a preset such as that my time is valuable. Should a woman try to demonstrate otherwise, I will immediately correct the action.

You say that you are waiting, but you actually have to be doing something.

Improving your backbone. You know exactly what you want and how you want to live. You know what you accept in your life and what you eliminate from it. There is no bargaining about these things because they are your true desires.

Selfishness and acknowledgement of your thoughts. You set yourself as the primary beneficiary of your actions and you acknowledge what you are thinking. If you think that she doesn't realize what you've done for her, then you don't just brush it under the carpet, you acknowledge it.

You formulate a plan to bring your world to where you want it to be and you act on that plan.

The anger phase is necessary but also stupid. The sky is blue, deal with it. What you are actually angry at is most likely the societal perpetuation of falsehoods and your indoctrination into that system of order. And now you built your life on what you have learned and it turned out to be different from reality. You have wasted time, people have lied to you, and the whole system is there to keep you in line. But you got out of that thinking. You're lucky. It could have gone on for another 5 or 10 years, or even your whole life.

You know where you are, and the longer that you do nothing, the more dissatisfied you will get.

The older you are, the more you should understand your own situation and the options you have in dealing with it.

J_AsapGem • 4 points • 15 December, 2015 05:17 PM

brifault's law my friend, look it up, you won't be getting the appreciation you deserve. Sorry to inform you but we have no choice but to accept life as it is, not what we want it to be, then find a way to make it work in our favor.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 December, 2015 05:36 PM

You'll never get out of anger phase or give a fuck again by waiting for it. Also, it will lead to her eventually leaving, and hating you.

But fuck that bitch, AWALT. Go lift, start prepping for the next phase of your life. I've been where you're at. When you can't muster the effort to help her, when you just don't GAF to lead, criticize, or even manipulate her, that's when you know it's over.

It's not that you couldn't game her back, it's that you don't respect or care for her anymore, and that's not gonna change. Like I said, start prepping for the next phase in life. As you do, expect her attitude to improve slightly. but don't fall for it. Continue ignoring the bitch and move on, any changes she makes are temporary and fake.

squarehead93 • 3 points • 15 December, 2015 06:04 PM

She will likley forgive infidelity and physical abuse before excusing a man for putting her in the

uncomfortable position of responsibility

Spot on. I've seen women make all sorts of excuses for why their cheating or abusive piece of shit of a boyfriend is actually a good guy and stay with him. Whereas when they do break up with him, they can't get him out of their heads. Whereas if their boyfriend is too clingy or supplicating or boring, they have no problem dropping him with the utmost contempt and disgust. Being a beta is far more unforgivable because at least the cheater or abuser displays some alpha characteristics, albeit in a totally fucked up way. The beta is useless.

Everyone here, including myself, condemns physical abuse. You're a pathetic, disgusting excuse of a man if you do and should be prosecuted to the full extent of the law. An abused woman is a victim even if she was able to walk away. Most of us also find infidelity in either sex indefensible. But I've encountered so many scenarios where a couple isn't married, don't have kids, and don't cohabit or have any other condition complicating the possibility of her just walking away, yet she stays. Add this to the fact that they *do* walk away from betas with ease and without looking back, and you can't help but conclude women *do* have agency and freedom in who they stay with and just have more patience for badboy abusers and cheaters than boring stable guys who can't provide such drama and excitement but by all counts would treat her well.

jace81 • 1 point • 16 December, 2015 02:19 AM

How do you explain female management in the workforce? nearly every manager i have had has been female.

Cyralea • 65 points • 14 December, 2015 08:05 PM

Women do not have stress tolerance to the degree men do. Men are both culturally and genetically wired to handle stress better. As a man, making a wrong decision is a bummer; as a woman it ruins her entire day and likely has her running off to her Prozac cabinet.

Women will step up to responsibility when they have to, but they dread every second of it and will pass off that anguish to you. They don't want to lead. They don't want to decide. Making her do either is synonymous with giving her stress. That's why they get mad when you don't act like a masculine figure and get shit done around the house. She does not want an equal, she wants a problem solver that makes her life as easy and effortless as possible.

At the end of the day she's simply a child, and the one thing a child needs more than anything is someone to take care of her.

vox_veritas • 31 points • 14 December, 2015 10:09 PM

I love this post. I agree that it's perceived importance that seems to matter. Recently, my wife decided that she wanted a different light switch by the front door to control the outside porch light. Rather than a regular on/off switch, she wanted one that could be both programmed to go on and off at certain times as well as controlled manually.

That particular wall box had two switches, one for the porch and one for the inside foyer. After I opened the wall box, I realized that I had to do a lot of testing with my multimeter because whoever built our house didn't give a fuck about electrical codes and sprayed all the wires white, so it was nearly impossible to figure out what was hot, lead, load, etc without a multimeter. After I bought some new wire and other stuff from the hardware store, it took me about 45 minutes to install it once it came down to it. I'm not an expert, but I know enough about wiring and circuits to do something like this without outside help. (Plus it gave me an excuse to go to the hardware store).

My wife was gushing when it was done. Luckily I didn't say, "but it was so easy!" The value to her was

apparently that it was so fucking important to get that done, not that it was relatively easy for me. I just smiled when she bragged about it to her family at a holiday party later that week. Her dad, with whom I have a great relationship, laughed and said, "Sometimes it doesn't take much, huh?" when we were alone grabbing a beer with the girls in a different room. He then said something about not letting on how easy it is and letting them coo over stuff like that.

[deleted] • 18 points • 15 December, 2015 02:23 AM

One thing to keep in mind is that a lot (the majority?) of the male population would have been completely incapable of even attempting that task. The western male (especially those who grew up with a little money) are completely helpless when it comes to handimanisms.

PantsonFire1234 • 9 points • 15 December, 2015 12:13 AM

Just keep in mind that women do have a knack for management (ie: keeping track of every little thing). Have you ever seen a women in a crisis? Like during an earthquake for example. They have no clue what to do. Just like you said, they can't handle the pressure.

So for a woman to keep track of money, the family agenda, dates and reservations etc. It relieves allot of inner tension those OCD crackheads can't let go of. Women desire control, honesty and stability. They will fight for it tooth and nail.

But like a small child they suddenly realize eating that whole bag of candy might have removed some of that magic and now it's ruined. It takes a red pill kind of woman to surrender herself more freely toward a man. That's why it's fucking impossible to have a relationship with a dominant woman.

Danr630 • 8 points • 15 December, 2015 05:28 AM

This brings up a good point. A few years ago when I was still with my ex, we were kayaking with a friend of ours. Shortly after noticing that he neglected to put on his life jacket he managed to flip his kayak over.

She starting completely freaking out while I casually paddled over to use my kayak to assist said friend in getting back into his.

Always seemed that she wanted to be in control, absolutely useless at anything requiring level-headed thought.

redpillbanana • 8 points • 15 December, 2015 04:55 AM

To be fair, pretty much everyone does this.

The CEO of a mining company has a relatively easy job - he doesn't have to drive any trucks, drill any holes, or work underground and "shake hands with the devil" to quote Bill Burr. All he does is give talks, hold meetings, study reports, and make decisions.

However, when he makes his decisions, he has the weight of all those miners on his shoulders, as well as the board of directors, the shareholders, the government regulators, and the general public affected by his decisions.

thisornthing • 2 points • 15 December, 2015 09:39 AM

Well, he should do. I like and agree with your example a lot - but the watered down, rigged version of capitalism we operate in doesn't impose any serious burden on the CEO.

Capitalism is a great system, it's just a shame we've never really given it a shot.

Code_Bordeauxx • 4 points • 15 December, 2015 11:18 AM

Capitalism is a great system... as long as it operates on a relatively personal level. Small to medium scale.

If the CEO knows the employees and the town folks know the CEO you have built in protection against employees being reduced to tools, as well as excessive corporate greed. When a bad rep is a danger to your immediate social life you'll be forced to act reasonable.

It's when companies grow too big that capitalism turns ugly. When employers don't know their employees personally they are reduced to numbers on a spreadsheet, mere tools for one purpose: profit. Secondly, you now have one CEO and a small board of directors for god-knows-how-many store branches. Nobody ever sees those in charge, they've become virtutally isolated. So there is no one to hold accountable when their business turn inhumane. Up to a very high degree at least.

But big multinationals are here, and there's only going to be more of them. All that can be done is regulation. And while I have some ideas on that, I don't have the solution either. All I know is that a lot more should be done about it if countries want to maintain their precious middle class.

thisornothing • 1 point • 15 December, 2015 12:51 PM

Yeah, you're entirely right. Not to completely derail this is into a discussion about capitalism - but you've struck upon the point I guess ties into TRP. Accountability and responsibility. I don't think it's any coincidence that we see a distinct lack of these two traits in both individuals and multi-national corporations in modern society.

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 19 December, 2015 09:20 PM*

Nope. Capitalism's job isn't to 'maintain a middle class'. The middle class came about as a result of capitalism, not as a goal. Income equality is not a goal of capitalism. Corporate greed is a demagogic term of 'activists' to get state control over privately held companies. Corporations are an entity unto themselves for a reason; not to provide jobs for people, not to give people a sense of worth, and not to provide for the common weal, but, to provide for the corporation.

Contrast that with a mom and pop operation. Mom and Pop do not open a business so they can provide jobs and make the local working stiffs self actualized; they do it for themselves alone. Does this equal "Mom and Pop greed"? Should the government get involved if M&P make X bucks and LeRoy, the dolt who cleans the floors for them, makes X/10 bucks?

Capitalism, being based on free trade and merit, doesn't need a solution; it IS the solution.

Accountability and Responsibility have nothing to fucking do with TRP! What the fuck are you thinking??????????

(A bit disjointed, but, time is a factor.)

Dickwad • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 02:30 AM

Hate to break it to ya bud but you're a corporatist not a capitalist. Which is how socialists try to depict capitalism in order to demonise it. Fuck corporate personhood.

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 07:13 AM

Hate to break it to YA, bud, but, you don't know shit and you can't read.

I will act, however, as though you are capable of learning, in my mercy: Learn to read for understanding-don't take one word, that you don't like and just go off on an illiterate tangent. Read to find out what is written, not to find a jump-off point from which to make a bunch of incoherent noise.

Bah! I can't go on...get a dictionary.

rockumsockumrobots • 4 points • 15 December, 2015 10:33 AM

This 1000x!!!

I know we have all heard a woman complaining at one point or another about indecision in dating with the dreaded "Where do you want to eat?/It doesn't matter to me where we go etc."

Women have no greater revulsion than for this failed shit test. I don't ever ask a woman what she wants to do. I tell her we are doing X or going to Y, usually because I enjoy it myself and want the company (otherwise why the fuck would I spend money on her?). If she says no, then I'll give her some options. However, I never let her take the reins and plan.

Sometimes it goes to shit and I don't even have a good time, but I have never had an objection.

FeeFeeFeaster • 5 points • 14 December, 2015 11:03 PM

if she has to decide where to take the kid this weekend and doesn't have fun – she is crushed under the weight of the responsibility.

I'm going slightly off-topic here - Is it because I don't want kids that I find it incomprehensible how much importance some people place on their kid's happiness? When I was a kid my parents planned activities (often farm work & housework) and vacations that they knew we would get lifelong knowledge & experiences from. If we happened to not be out of our heads with joy over a particular activity, it was tough fucking shit for us.

Being grown up, I see the benefit of that work and those vacation destinations. My parents did the right thing because there was no way in hell they were going to be led around solely by their children's happiness.

Jojobelle[] • 4 points • 15 December, 2015 01:16 AM

Yeah we never went to Disney land. Ever. We drove around south of France villages everyyear. Now I cherish my love of good quality food and intermediate French abilities

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 December, 2015 11:29 PM

I think too many people confuse pleasure with happiness.

RamsayRedbeard • 3 points • 14 December, 2015 09:44 PM

Title is too quotable - keep it up, man

merciless_smelliot • 3 points • 14 December, 2015 07:35 PM **[recovered]**

If you do allow her to do masculine tasks it's important to demean her and strip them of the societally perceived value. Be sure to tell her she's a "good girl" and your "proud that she was able to do that so well, considering it's not where her aptitudes lie." We need to start making women feel like women again. She needs to have a case of imposter syndrome smacked upside her head every time she engages with a typically masculine task. This needs to be meted out to female co-workers too. It's time to stop pretending women can keep pace with men in any context other than in the domestic sphere. Their heads and egos need to be re-calibrated to match their abilities. There's a really big elephant in the room that pussified bluepill faggots fail to address to all of our detriment (hint: female intellectual inferiority). Until it gets dealt with, women/feminists will happily continue along as insufferable cunts, sound in their beliefs that they are our equals. They are not and we are primed for the first time in a long time to call them out and demand their obedience per their measurable lack of intelligence.

MortalSisyphus • 17 points • 15 December, 2015 12:55 AM **[recovered]**

I feel like I'm reading satire on a feminist blog.

RedDeadlift • 1 point • 14 December, 2015 10:06 PM

Very well written and great info. Your first paragraph is absolutely spot-on, I never thought of how RP society was in the past. It really is the natural way of things. Great analysis on tasks too. Easier tasks may come with more responsibility, those things are not mutually exclusive. And as a man, it is better to take the tasks with responsibility (and the burden with them) in her eyes.

Great read. Thanks.

Kyfhomyoba • 2 points • 15 December, 2015 12:10 AM

Sooooo. Have you fixed it yet?

Primemale • 1 point • 15 December, 2015 06:37 PM

I know a man who is in the exact situation you stated you were in with regards to chores and gender roles. His wife treats him like a door mat, she literally screams his name when she wants him. You can tell she caves under all of the pressure of organising everything and then melts down AT HIM, of course.

And even him doing all of the more obvious man stuff like building/maintaining the house, dealing with critters etc does not make up for the fact that he doesn't lead. Someone has to be the man and woman in the relationship, in lesbian couples it's shown that one acts male and one acts female, and their testosterone levels correspond with their role too, i.e. the more butch one has higher test levels and vice versa. Fuck with nature and nature fucks with you.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 15 December, 2015 08:15 PM*

Let's look at two extremes.

Gaining 150 pounds of muscle, making it on to an NFL team, and becoming the world's best linebacker is very, very difficult, and you are pretty highly compensated for doing so. It's not very important. You play a game for a living and play a fairly minor role as one individual on one team in one country.

Opening your mail, locating your electric bill, writing a check for an amount equal to what your bill says you owe, putting it in the envelope, putting a stamp on said envelope, and putting the stamped envelope in the mailbox is pretty damn easy. But if you don't do it on time, your service gets cut off, your credit rating tanks, and your life gets a lot worse.

Obviously, you prioritize the task that's actually important. It's more important to pay your electric bill than to work out, play football, or watch the sport. But you recognize that paying the bills is fucking easy and mindless, while being an athlete is kind of hard to do.

Your wife, however, will be a mountain of uncontrollable stress that is absolutely impossible to deal with if she's the one who has to write checks and place them into envelopes and keep track of how much money is in the bank account. It doesn't matter if you took the trash out, did the laundry, cooked dinner, made six figures, mopped the floors, sewed the holes in her panties for her, and built a deck in your back yard. She will think that her life is hard and she is unfairly burdened by the difficult tasks you've selfishly left for her to do.

Because in her subconscious mind, she shouldn't have to handle the stress of the bills and the budget. It's too important for her.

DoesNotMatterAnymore • -1 points • 15 December, 2015 12:05 PM

I'm visiting a shrink in the past couple of months. I have shit to be fixed, and I can't burden my friends all the

time. The psychiatrist does couple therapy as well. Occasionally we discuss gender dynamics too. So i share my red tinted views on things, he usually disagrees, arguing with me with his baffling BP knowledge.

Sometimes i just smile at him, thinking: "Here you are, 55 years old, with 20 something years of experience, and the truth is that you have no idea how the two gender interact with each other."

So why this matters?

Well, here i am, reading some random lawyer from the US, sharing more valuable knowledge, that my "experienced" shrink.

Vigilo_Infinite • 0 points • 15 December, 2015 12:15 AM

Would love to know you you solved these problems, Archwinger.

TheAureate • 0 points • 15 December, 2015 12:42 AM

Wonderful post Archwinger!

You've just explained the concept I tried to cover in my last post, but far greater than I currently can.

Thanks for your time and wisdom, man!

Ali_s1987[] • 0 points • 15 December, 2015 06:04 AM

So have you done something about it? You see the problem now.....did you solve it?

[deleted] • 0 points • 15 December, 2015 09:43 AM

and how to recognize and pursue stereotypical femininity in a world that's, sadly, done a pretty good job of stamping femininity out.

... of women while encouraging it in men, resulting in the appalling state of modern relationships and the fortune of divorce lawyers.