

UVA Jackie lied about gang rape, terminal illness, and invented a dude, all to manipulate one guy into fucking her

January 10, 2016 | 615 upvotes | by [alpha_n3rd](#)

The house of cards has finally come crashing completely down. The latest investigative reporting from the Washington Post shows evidence that "Jackie", of the UVA/Rolling Stone gang-rape debacle, is a pathological liar, likely has BPD, and is basically a psycho hose beast.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/grade-point/wp/2016/01/08/catfishing-over-love-interest-might-have-spurred-u-va-gang-rape-debacle/>

Though she had only known Duffin for a few weeks, Jackie spent \$350 on a birthday trip

So far so good, getting your plate to spend money on you.

Just days after he met her, Duffin said, he was goaded into a text message conversation with a U-Va. junior named "Haven Monahan," whom Jackie said she knew from a chemistry class.

Uh oh, why is she trying to get him to text some weird guy he never met? Why would he want to do that? Who is this guy and why should he care what the fuck he says? His frame is weak...

Once he began exchanging text messages with "Haven Monahan," Duffin said he was struck by how the supposed U-Va. junior was infatuated with their mutual friend. But then Duffin noticed that Haven Monahan began talking about a freshman who Jackie had a crush on.

At one point, Haven Monahan confronted Duffin about his lack of interest in dating Jackie, urging Duffin to have more sympathy for her, claiming that she had a terminal illness. Surprised by the revelation, Duffin texted Jackie, who confirmed the diagnosis. "Ryan, it means I'm dying," she texted.

Whoa, this is getting weird.

Jackie had told Duffin that a date with Haven Monahan on Sept. 28, 2012, had gone terribly wrong, claiming that the upperclassman had forced her to perform oral sex on five other men

DANGER WILL ROBINSON! RED ALERT! WOOP WOOP!

"All available evidence demonstrates that 'Haven Monahan' was a fake suitor created by Jackie in a strange bid to earn the affections of a student named Ryan Duffin that Jackie was romantically interested in," Eramo's lawyers wrote in court papers filed this week.

So much for "rape culture", what you've got here is "rape accusation culture". She wanted this guy so bad that she was willing to tell any lie to try and earn his sympathy. Then she hamstered herself into believing them so hard that she was willing to tell this yarn to Rolling Stone without one second of thought about the potential consequences. The story fits the prevailing narrative so well that Rolling Stone goes with it unquestioningly (victims have a right to be believed, right Hillary?) Frats get cracked down on, lives get messed up, and everybody FREAKS out about campus rape, ALL OVER A BIG STEAMING PILE OF HORSE SHIT.

Jackie created

1. a fake person

2. a fake crush
3. a fake terminal illness
4. a fake gang rape

which led to

1. a very real national rape hysteria
2. new unjustified and ongoing restrictions on greek life at UVA
3. lord knows how much wasted time and money

all to manipulate [this one guy](#) into stuffing her box.

Takeaways:

1. Due-process exists for a reason
2. The hamster is unfathomably powerful
3. Don't stick your dick in crazy

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

MattyAnon • 236 points • 10 January, 2016 04:05 PM*

Mattress Girl... Rolling Stone gang rape scandal... (Edit: and Duke Lacrosse)... the list goes on.

And every time there is another one (Instagram shower girl this week), the media jumps on it as if *this one* is real.

Until we start prosecuting these nutjobs it will continue. Oh but we can't do that, it will "stop real victims coming forward"... forgetting of course that it will also mean less men get their lives destroyed.

hamsterbator • 137 points • 10 January, 2016 06:00 PM

as has often been stated, all public rape accusations are false:

<http://www.returnofkings.com/50215/all-public-rape-allegations-are-false>

Anyone who has actually worked with PTSD victims knows that they are generally people who are very closed off, shut down, and often on the verge of exploding violently. They are usually unwilling to talk about things like the weather much less parade around doing press conferences and carrying mattresses around.

They're generally afraid to open up to their spouses, siblings, family, counsellors.

It's really sad what these psychotic attention whores make a mockery of true victims out there.

jeffwingersballs • 42 points • 10 January, 2016 08:50 PM

I thought it was a little cold to say all public rape scandals are fake, but you bring up a good point about how a true victim would act and not feel the need to politicize it.

vengefully_yours • 36 points • 10 January, 2016 11:05 PM

It takes years to talk about some things, if you ever can. I talk about mine relatively easily, but most events are from 25 to 40 years ago. On top of that, I've been working hard to get to a point where my past doesn't affect me as much, that isn't easy to do. You have to face it, remember what happened, and diligently work to remove the emotions connected to the memory. Most people aren't strong enough to do that, so they avoid everything that might remind them.

I avoided thinking about things for decades before I started trying to fix it. It's not something you do for attention, and attention is the absolute last thing you want, especially if it relates to the event.

Like stolen valor assholes who tel big stories about combat, but either they were never in the military our never did anything during their time, these girls made the shit up.

traversecity • 23 points • 10 January, 2016 11:42 PM

This does ring true, comparing stolen valor to false rape victims. I have many relatives who saw combat, killed others in combat, they do NOT talk about these experiences, too horrible to share.

vengefully_yours • 21 points • 10 January, 2016 11:45 PM

We *might* talk about it among ourselves, but even that is rare. If the girl talks about being raped often and easily, she is full of shit.

tb87670 • 6 points • 11 January, 2016 07:30 AM

Took me a few years to talk with my father about why I'm fucked up with PTSD. He kept wondering, he himself is a hardcore military spec-ops vet from the 70's. Most he would

say is when he was put on a plane and wasn't told where he would be, full gear, your best mags loaded with live ammo and surrounded by the best men on the base and the hardest NCO's etc. he wouldn't talk about what happened when that plane landed.

Me, I finally talked but only with him. He heard my whole story once. He understood, we drank a beer in silence. My mother, brother, cousins etc. keep asking about it but it's pointless to talk to people who can't understand. Even years later the most I do is admit I have PTSD or maybe mention some of my experiences briefly and without much detail for the sake of reporting what helps me with it for example in the Phenibut reddit where a few other guys with PTSD benefit from taking that stuff like I do.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 03:17 PM

It was almost 20 years before I talked about anything war related, longer for the shit my older brother did. I'll talk a little about the wars now, but not very specific, just general stuff. The second one was better, went to Kuwait where nobody was shooting and made mad bank while staying in the Hilton and running the military side of the airport. I'd do that again no problem, fuck that was a bunch of money for a deployment.

Evileddiel3 • 4 points • 11 January, 2016 12:47 AM

Your reply eludes to you having worked with PTSD survivors, please elaborate. I am genuinely interested.

hamsterbator • 6 points • 11 January, 2016 03:13 AM

Not much else to say. Most are rightfully very disturbed and never want to talk about it. They hate the counselors who try to empathize with them but few have zero idea what the fuck it's like to go through what they've been through. They good put on a lot of medications that don't work very well.

Of course not everyone reacts the same. But most are closed off. Few publicize their experiences.

I'm sure there are a few true, public, rape allegations... but it is interesting how all the big ones end up being invalidated down the road.

dodgyasfuck • 8 points • 11 January, 2016 01:24 AM

Yes, generally. It's not universally true. You can't conclude that a claim is false because it has been publicised, just as you can't conclude it's true just because a woman said it.

Not everyone has PTSD about their rape. Some people are just really pissed off about it.

seekere • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 05:15 AM [recovered]

lol yeah its funny how people fight against generalizations with generalizations. self awareness is nice to have

juliusstreich • 1 point • 13 January, 2016 10:53 AM [recovered]

Yep. And, the fact that it is general means, generally, that it is universally true. That's what general means. Some minor, rare deviation is just that: a minor deviation.

dodgyasfuck • 1 point • 13 January, 2016 01:12 PM

When you are drawing conclusions from a generality and making blanket statements, it's

worth mentioning. Not every rape victim has PTSD, that's a fact, and not every PTSD patient is quiet and reserved, that's another. The only salient point is the provable facts. To claim that anyone accusing a rape must ipso facto be lying is nonsense.

NightwingTRP • 26 points • 10 January, 2016 04:53 PM

Until we start prosecuting these nutjobs it will continue.

I understand, in the UK at least, that there are some prosecutions of false rape accusations... but if there is, it's not publicised enough. We don't just need to prosecute them, we need to put the fear of God in these women who lie about rape and let them know they will be caught and they will be punished. Real victims will always be shown to be real victims when investigated.

[deleted] • 28 points • 10 January, 2016 05:40 PM

Rare, and they get a slap on the wrist. I forget the exact details, but in 2013 a girl had made something like 18 false rape allegations. On that 18th the judge finally had enough and sent her to prison for a few months. The reason he gave was - naturally - that her false rape allegations were making it more difficult for real rape victims. No mention of the lives of the 18 innocent men that she tried to send ruin. In a fair society, the first time it was *proved* that her allegation was false, she should have had the same conviction (including being put on the sex offender's register) that her intended victim would have suffered.

Another in the UK recently was a girl studying for her A-levels who didn't get a high enough grade to go to the university she wanted. So she made up a story of being raped in a local park. Naturally, lots of wasted police time. The only reason for it was to give her an excuse for failing and being able to repeat her school year.

mugatucrazypills • 6 points • 10 January, 2016 06:52 PM

I'm gonna try that next time I want a test do-over.

MattyAnon • 5 points • 10 January, 2016 05:56 PM

I understand, in the UK at least, that there are some prosecutions of false rape accusations

Yes, but alas it's extremely rare. I think it only happens where the guy got sent down and the incident was later proved to be totally false. This can only happen if sex didn't take place. If it did, it's unlikely that it could ever be proven that she was lying.

We don't just need to prosecute them, we need to put the fear of God in these women

Err... how exactly do we do that other than by prosecuting them?

NightwingTRP • 7 points • 10 January, 2016 05:58 PM

Err... how exactly do we do that other than by prosecuting them?

By publicising the prosecutions. That was kinda my entire point.

MattyAnon • 15 points • 10 January, 2016 06:08 PM

I'd like to see all accused people have their anonymity protected - especially men accused of rape.

Women campaigned for years to have their anonymity protected in rape cases. But men? Nah, men have to be publicly attacked for this, everyone believes it, and there are no comebacks against these women for destroying their lives.

So no, I don't think the prosecutions should be public. *Until* she's proved guilty.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 10:24 PM

Exactly. Anonymity in *any* trial should be a right afforded to all people until a verdict is reached. By all means, tape the trial, release the footage afterwards to ensure the process is transparent. The way things are now the media has convicted him long before he can prove his innocence. Even with these famous cases, the initial accusations were far more publicized than the final conclusions. In lesser cases, the media will publish your accusation so that it shows up on Google when your name is searched, but they will not publish your exoneration or even updates about the case.

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 13 January, 2016 10:54 AM

And lawsuits. Youtube. etc...

Goomich • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 08:52 PM

We don't just need to prosecute them, we need to put the fear of God in these women who lie about rape and let them know they will be caught and they will be punished.

Let them naked near Cologne cathedral.

[deleted] • 8 points • 11 January, 2016 02:06 AM

Duke Lacrosse before that.

I wonder how long a Wikipedia article on "notable public false rape allegations" would last before it got deleted?

jb_trp • 2 points • 13 January, 2016 11:41 PM

Don't forget the instances at Occidental College and Amherst University as well. This shit is sickening.

neoj8888 • 4 points • 11 January, 2016 07:55 AM

Psychopaths get all the attention, and they rarely get in trouble because they have no sense of morality, so they never falter on their misdeeds. They believe they're doing nothing wrong--because there is no "wrong." And when you actually believe you're in the right, you can be very persuasive. There will always be people who will fail to turn on even the worst psychopath because: what if?

jasongraham503 • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 07:21 AM

This has the feeling of a great shit test. Believe this story that's so full of obvious holes. Those who don't question, only believe, are the "good guys" and those who question are the bad. It's as if being willing to buy into these bullshit stories is what "they" really want most. It's some kind of great shit test or perhaps a fitness test. Are you dumb enough to buy this, show us how easily and willingly you will obey and follow. I could totally be wrong here, I just get the feeling that there is a greater game being played.

MattyAnon • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 10:42 AM

I just get the feeling that there is a greater game being played.

Just the usual lack of responsibility from these women, who then become used by the media for ad revenue, by feminists to further their own goals of female supremacy. Women side with the women, men largely do the same. Women are wonderful. Protect the innocent virgins. Etc. Etc. It plays out according

to all the well known TRP truths.

The greater game is female desperation to be the victim in order to get men to rescue them (provide security, attention, resources.) To do this some men must be villified in order to motivate the rest to comply: become a white knight feminist, or be labelled a rapist.

As the world gets better for women, they have to go to ever more elaborate, desperate and in many cases pathetic attempts to try to get themselves to be the victims that they need to be for all this to work. Hence all the claims of rape culture in American colleges despite the lowest rape rate in history and high safety for these girls. Women have been allowed to set the agenda, and this is what happens.

[deleted] • 6 points • 10 January, 2016 05:17 PM

lol that instagram shower one is a trip. Just heard of it now. It could be real but it seems so exploiting and manipulative it's hard to know

MattyAnon • 28 points • 10 January, 2016 05:54 PM

It could be real but it seems so exploiting and manipulative it's hard to know

It isn't real. Real rape victims go to the police, not plaster themselves crying all over Instagram. She's just getting support for her anti-assault campaign by manufacturing her victim status, and attention for herself.

The more I see of this, the more I support Roosh's statement that "All public rape announcements are false".

PictureTraveller • 8 points • 10 January, 2016 11:31 PM [recovered]

Also real rape victims didn't agree to take a shower with their rapist. How fucking retarded is that story

MattyAnon • 21 points • 10 January, 2016 11:57 PM [recovered]

I predict:

The police will dutifully investigate

More holes will become apparent in her story

The media will maintain that even though she lied at every stage, she still was probably technically raped

The police will eventually give up due to lack of evidence that it is actually rape but will not comment further

She'll use this to claim that the world is run by an evil patriarchy of rapists and that the world needs to take women more seriously regardless of the evidence

interestedplayer • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 02:28 PM

Have you ever heard of the big lie...?

MattyAnon • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 04:27 PM

Have you ever heard of the big lie...?

And every time we discover it's a lie... and every time the media (and all feminists, most women, and a lot of men) just assume the latest one is the *real* version of events.

Then it gets exposed, but by then a newer and more spectacular one pops up... feelz over reals ..

every. single. time.

interestedplayer • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 04:56 PM

The expression was coined by Adolf Hitler, when he dictated his 1925 book *Mein Kampf*, about the use of a lie so "colossal" that no one would believe that someone "could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously."

I mean, who would have the impudence to make out half of humanity to be evil to the root and basically no more than raping animals. Who would?

MattyAnon • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 05:38 PM

I mean, who would have the impudence to make out half of humanity to be evil to the root and basically no more than raping animals. Who would?

A large part of the problem is this:

Women are cohesive and defend each other to their mutual betterment, especially publicly (privately they'll cut each other's throats of course).

Men on the other hand are competitive with each other. Women only want the best men, so men literally have to compete in order to breed.

This leads to men saying "I am not like those other *bad* men... I am good and kind and therefore you should approve of me and/or fuck me".

This need (at least partly imposed on us by women) to compete with each other, to demonstrate the badness of other men in comparison with ourselves.... has led to men willingly joining in the vilification of men in general, and competing with each other over who can be the biggest white knight beta emotional tampon going (and wearing a "this is what a feminist looks like" TShirt to prove it).

It's a beta strategy, it's a losing strategy, it's a strategy that has been co-opted by women.... but sadly it must be said that men have willingly colluded with women to label *other men* as being basically bad.

Much though I'd love to blame solely the feminists for this, the white knights are also to blame.

Fuck the white knights.

interestedplayer • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 06:28 PM

You are very right! One good example of it is the refugee cologne story. If you go to faz.de or süddeutsche.de, you will see that any (!) criticism/ anti refugee articles are made on feminist arguments.

For christs sake, they are using the demise of our country to again further their myopic little personal goals. And MEN are SUPPORTING them. Suddenly everyone in Germany is a feminist and afraid that womens freedom of speech will be suppressed. LOL. that is the least of our fucking problems when we let in 2.000.000 men from the middle east, as Merkel is still fully intending to do this year.

Anyway, enough of a rant, thank you for the conversation, you made some very solid points!

cashmoney_x • 13 points • 10 January, 2016 08:47 PM

"I live blogged my rape?" Give me a fucking break. It's amazing that so many people fall for this obvious garbage- not to mention what taking it seriously would be implying about the severity of rape.

alpha_n3rd[S] • -2 points • 10 January, 2016 04:15 PM

crazy white girls get a pass b/c crazy and vagina

Borsao66 • 22 points • 10 January, 2016 04:37 PM*

The chick in the South African story was black.

So your post might more correctly read:

"crazy girls get a pass b/c crazy and vagina."

The__Tren__Train • 20 points • 10 January, 2016 04:53 PM

Isn't the duke lacrosse girl black as well?

The one who is now in prison for murder? (Lol).

RedSugarPill • 11 points • 10 January, 2016 05:00 PM

Mattress girl is Asian too.

juliusstreicher • 2 points • 13 January, 2016 10:57 AM

Sorry, you misspelled ugly.

alpha_n3rd[S] • -6 points • 10 January, 2016 05:32 PM

I think you just reinforced my point in that the black crazy chick is in jail.

Stories_of_Red • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 06:01 PM

Took a murder rap to get her there, though. Not one day in jail for crime of false rape charges.

BradPill • 10 points • 10 January, 2016 10:39 PM*

She's not South African - she's US (NYC). Living the high-life, touring the globe to chalk her 'art' and statements on pavements - happily smiling again shortly after her terrible 'rape'.

evergonitenitenigga • 36 points • 11 January, 2016 12:01 AM

i saw a meme where its says women who are convicted of false rape accusation should be on the sex offender list. i 100% agree.

tigerjaws • 10 points • 11 January, 2016 06:20 AM

Too bad they'll always have their Pussy Pass :(

Polishrifle • 26 points • 10 January, 2016 07:44 PM

AWALT.

Looks like she tried to manufacture jealousy by having this freshman talk to another non-existent male in an effort to make her seem more attractive. It's as hilarious as it is depressing.

Silly rabbit, dread game only works on girls. The solipsism is real here.

cocaine_face • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 10:24 PM

That was my first thought. "Why would a guy care that there's another guy interested in this girl? That isn't going to increase his interest or investment".

gekkozorz • 15 points • 11 January, 2016 03:39 AM

Solipsism.

Dread clearly works on Jackie, so Jackie tried it on her boy crush.

Trying to win a man's heart with Dread is like trying to win a woman's heart by being a Nice Guy.

cocaine_face • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 05:19 AM

Oh I know. I was asking rhetorically.

wattwatty • 9 points • 10 January, 2016 10:59 PM

I really do wonder if she got frustrated that her bizarrely-constructed, fake preselection gambit didn't seem to work the way she expected and she escalated to the batshit-crazy rape story. "I've got a disease, and he said the only cure is... being a bukkake target?"

Vietnom • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 08:22 PM

All women could not possibly be like this in terms of the level of insanity. I mean I get the sentiment but this is above and beyond. What's crazy is that Rolling Stone would publish based on this one person's fantasies. I just can't wrap my head around that.

Polishrifle • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 11:48 PM

Obviously most wouldn't take it THIS far, but you can imagine that a lot of them absolutely think that the things that get them going will arouse men that they are attracted to, in the same manner.

tb87670 • 82 points • 10 January, 2016 05:44 PM

Don't stick your dick in crazy

Every time someone says this I have to correct them. Are you a straight man? Well any time you have sex in a woman you are sticking your dick in crazy. I've seen logical fairly smart women turn emotional and incredibly dumb the moment something upsets them or gets them emotionally excited to the point of facts not mattering. That explains this whole case, a bitch went way too far with the false rape claims in order to gain emotional leverage over a beta and earn sympathy.

redpillschool • 85 points • 10 January, 2016 06:33 PM*

Are you a straight man? Well any time you have sex in a woman you are sticking your dick in crazy.

Right? It's like saying "oh, want to make money on the stock market? Only invest in stocks that will go up."

Thanks for the advice asshole.

vengefully_yours • 14 points • 10 January, 2016 11:09 PM

That's the most concise way of relating that I've seen yet. I'm stealing it.

max_peenor • 5 points • 11 January, 2016 05:46 PM

Which led to the Men Investing Their Own Way movement.

[deleted] • 1 point • 14 January, 2016 01:34 PM

This needs more upvotes - hilarious

skoobled • 23 points • 10 January, 2016 06:53 PM

Indeed. Not like crazy comes with a warning tag. Better advice is don't ignore red flags

vengefully_yours • 12 points • 10 January, 2016 11:11 PM

Every girl has red flags, some look like the May Day Parade in Red Square, but all of them have flags.

Morpheus-Man • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 01:36 AM

Yup. Every chick I've been with has had mental or emotional issues off the walls.

vengefully_yours • 5 points • 11 January, 2016 03:12 PM

When you're ruled by emotion, it's going to be like that.

WalterEArmstrong • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 02:57 AM

You need to be more selective about the women you're keeping company with. I've been around the block a time or two and yeah, there's plenty of phukked up people out there. Some of them are male and some female, keep your eyes open and you'll find the better quality person you're wanting to associate with.

momomotorboat • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 06:42 PM

This. Simply being a woman is not crazy. Irrational, emotional, solipsistic, sure. Some more than others. There are plenty that *are* crazy, don't get me wrong. I guess some people see irrationality as crazy. Or that they've only been able to bed the crazy ones.

I've had very good success reading what I'm getting into. The times I stuck my dick in a girl I was unsure about have usually been me ignoring warning signs. I saw them, but I downplayed them for some pussy. And that was me fucking up.

A good few of us need to work on our vetting skills.

[deleted] • 8 points • 10 January, 2016 07:33 PM

This is indeed the best advice.

Quick related story: Friends thought I was an idiot for dropping an 8/10 plate who had a couple red flags. Turns out she has had ongoing mental probs for years complete with meds and counseling. I'm sure she will make a fine date/spouse for someone but that someone ain't me!

dodgyasfuck • 5 points • 11 January, 2016 01:05 AM

My brother-in-law is REmarrying. FFS. He is a bravery award recipient, saved a kid from a car roof in a fast-moving flood. Legendary guy, fit, has his own business, the sort of guy you'd think commands respect. His first wife had an affair with some fucking yokel and he tolerated all sorts of shit from her, trying to repair the marriage (he's Catholic).

Fast forward to now. Family friends include this smoking hot blonde who's been the subject of lust from everyone who's ever met her. Listen to the red flags.

She's 40+ (so is he), has 4 kids aged 18 (total cunt), 15 (Aspergers but nice kid), 12 (really nice kid) and 10 (total cunt). His two kids are adults and have moved out of home. Her mother has lived with

her and her family for the past 10 years or so. Her first husband came out gay about 5 years ago. They were religious too, and had tried for a long time to pray away the gay, and he ultimately went "fuck this, I'm all about the cock" and now he's off and racing. He fucked her over financially in the settlement, left her the house but redrew all its equity and she couldn't afford the repayments, so she sold it for fuck all. Poor victim lady now is upset he won't pay 100% of the bills for the kids so the kids no longer go to private school, she has had to move into an affordable home (the horror) etc etc. She's a nice lady, but fucking blind to reality. Entitlement like a motherfucker, not at all helped by the enabling bullshit that comes from having Mummy dearest fucking *living* with her.

And he's marrying her. They've been fucking for a while, since about February last year. They're getting married this weekend. Her mother is moving out this week, she and he are both moving into a new house which is "theirs". She has fuck-all income, from cleaning a couple of days a week.

So he's finished his fatherly duty, and is now re-upping for at least another 10 years of more. Her youngest and eldest are both girls, and both absolute, total, utter, spoilt little cunts. It was interesting - one reason you should fear a new man entering your ex's life, if you have kids with her, is if she has custody of them and is letting them walk all over her (i.e. if she's a woman and parents like a woman) then the kids become spoilt little cunts. This is a good reason not to acquire a postwall whore with children, but the guys who do this will have a breaking point at some stage. So your powerful, real-woman's-body ex who deserves respect and dignity, and of course full custody of your kids and all your shit, who then raises them to have self-esteem and demand satisfaction, might actually get them drowned in a car. That's if she doesn't off them herself when she fears the new beta bux is going to leave her when he's finally had enough.

[deleted] • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 01:34 AM

Terrifying situation. No pre-nup I assume?

Best friend almost fell into a similar trap, red flags aplenty, invited her to move in with him kids plus animals, kid has Aspergers, etc. Thank goodness he got drunk and a huge argument ensued causing her to walk out on him before any marriage could take place. She was smoking hot but crazy as a loon!

momomotorboat • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 06:49 PM

Praying for your bil. Hope he survives.

dodgyasfuck • 2 points • 13 January, 2016 01:14 PM

Thanks mate. This one is a nice girl, just fucking blind to reality. She won't fuck him over, but she's pretty stupid.

surfjihad • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 08:37 PM

Yes, and this is exacerbated whenever a woman becomes a mother. Even the most seemingly sane ladies go utterly batshit

el_nikon • 20 points • 10 January, 2016 04:55 PM

Stories like Jackie's don't count in the "*2% of accusations are proven false*" narrative. Neither will Mattress Girl's or the other like it.

Its gotta be hard to move the goalposts so far to both claim the rate of convicted rapes are small in comparison to actual rapes, but ignore that proven false accusations are smaller than actual false accusations.

MattyAnon • 7 points • 10 January, 2016 07:38 PM

It might be only 2% *proven* false, but that says nothing about the true rate.

The *accusatory* rate is between 10 and 50% depending on which study you believe.

My guess is that the rate that is reported to the police is on the lower end of this, the rate reported to TitleIX hugbox anti-male feelz investigators is in the middle, and the ones that go straight to the media are almost all blatant lies.

In an effort to codify rape (what if she's petrified and dare not say no?) we have villified generations of men who have done nothing wrong except failing to get video proof of her screaming her consent.

trpalternate • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 10:37 PM*

This is the best takedown of this issue that I have seen to date

Basically, between 2-10 percent of cases that get reported to police are proven false. But only a fraction of reports have enough evidence to say for sure. Most of them are inconclusive either way.

dodgyasfuck • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 01:22 AM

There are some issues with this, although it is very good.

While it's correct to highlight the "unreported" cases are guesswork, it's also a matter of plain fact that there are rapes which are unreported. It's guesswork as to how many (people afraid to confront their attacker, people who have no evidence, people who are raped while senseless on drugs/alcohol and have no memory of it, ongoing abuse, etc.) Who the fuck knows how many there are, but there certainly are some.

So reducing the entire issue to "reported" and then moving the discussion to one in three resolved cases being demonstrably false actually says nothing about any other cases. It's an incomplete discussion. If the point of it is to offer a falsehood rate of one in three as a basis to extrapolate to all reported cases, that's as faulty as what the feminists are saying.

haxurmind • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 02:31 AM

Who the fuck knows how many there are, but there certainly are some.

Within the context of this infographic, it was meant to be presented as an image snapshot (or cheat sheet) of factual information. Adding unreported cases is guesswork and removes all value of it in the interests of providing factual information.

As such, the authors adding their guesstimate (ie; figures pulled out of their collectively upset backsides) changes the nature of the image from being one of worth to a piece of (IMO: worthless) propaganda.

No doubt there are some cases of rape that go unreported (noting other posts on the behaviors of victims of rape or other crime choosing to keep the matter private), but as there is no way to collect that data reliably it is unreasonable of the original authors to include such figures.

As an additional argument; reports on criminal matters should also operate on the presumption of innocence unless proven guilty. As no crime has been reported, we should defer to that same default state (innocent) with regards to information gathering on criminal matters.

I am therefore inclined to agree with their removal in the modified infograph linked by /u/trpalternate .

dodgyasfuck • 1 point • 13 January, 2016 01:09 PM

You can poll people and ask them if they have been raped but not reported it. A sufficiently large sample size and properly conducted and structured survey can certainly get you most of the way to knowing the a good approximation of the parameter.

However, these never are properly conducted or structured, because they are always hack jobs. This is why you see things like "attempted unwanted kissing" bundled in with "raped at knife point" or "know someone who says they were victims if any form of unwanted attention" or bundling in sexual assault with strident criticism or even just mocking. It's never scientific. However, it could be. I don't agree that we can never know.

My issue is this type of infographic tends to appear to present the whole picture. We could have one colour for reported rapes, as you say, with the presumption of innocence, another for a responsibly gathered statistic estimating unreported rapes and thrn shade in other details.

But this also fails, I think. The point of this IG is to say, here, look, almost nobody gets convicted, here look, the false reporting rate pales into insignificance to the ones getting away with it. We get no discussion of family abuse, which is a totally different type of risk. We get no breakdown of what cases police believed were rape but dropped, which were believed to be total bullshit, nothing. At best this can be a blunt instrument. Real rapes which weren't reported should be as significant as falsely reported rapes, though.

It is certainly an improvement on the appallingly bad analysis presented by the original IG.

[deleted] • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 05:15 PM

[permanently deleted]

tb87670 • 6 points • 10 January, 2016 10:27 PM

Justice system will adapt and stop this tomfuckery once guys start dealing out justice on their own bypassing the broken justice system. Until that happens nothing will change. UK has laws to punish false accusers but another user here posted how this one woman false accused 18 times before getting a few months in jail, so it's broken there as well. We need swift and harsh punishment for false rape claim shit this like this, no equivocation. And change needs to come soon because this shit is happening way too much with the current leftist/progressive/liberal fucktard environment we are currently in.

johnyann • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 10:55 PM

Imagine if the police had tried to arrest HAVEN MONTANA.

[deleted] • 14 points • 10 January, 2016 05:39 PM

If those girls put half as much of the energy they put up into being better women/people then there wouldn't be any need for this complex set of plans to get the one they want. But they have to do these things the hard way because programming and they saw that they can win big right now by throwing accusations of rape.

T0000009 • 5 points • 10 January, 2016 06:49 PM

If those girls put half as much of the energy

Odd how there are so many crooks who should have done the same thing. It's the high road or the low one, and no female is ever willing to take the high road.

balalasaurus • 30 points • 10 January, 2016 07:29 PM

These BPD diagnoses need to stop. Not a single mention of the consequences she faces for this was mentioned? And why? Because she was 'bi-polar'. It's become the go to defense for any transgression. This shit needs to stop.

Roman_Statuesque • 28 points • 10 January, 2016 08:20 PM

They are referring to Borderline Personality Disorder, not Bipolar Disorder. People who have Borderline often lie, manipulate, engage in dangerous/risk taking behavior, and often show no remorse for their actions. Borderline is a cluster B Personality Disorder alongside Histrionic and Narcissistic. Bipolar is a mood disorder. They have similar acronyms though.

TitsAndWhiskey • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 08:30 PM

I heard somewhere that cluster B disorders are considered "sexist" by feminists because, well, pretty much any woman could be diagnosed with one or more of these mental disorders.

Roman_Statuesque • 7 points • 10 January, 2016 09:02 PM

According to my abnormal psych professor, at least one or two Cluster B disorders are more common in women. Though as I said in another reply, the realm of Personality Disorders is murky. Most people exhibit a few symptoms of one disorder or another. You could say I exhibit a few symptoms of Schizoid PD, but that does not necessarily mean I have it.

Archterus • 4 points • 10 January, 2016 10:02 PM [recovered]

The personality disorders are murky. There are no 'zones of rarity', features that allow one to reliably discriminate between one or another (hence the clusters) or indeed between a personality disorder and any other mental illness, particularly bipolar affective disorder. There are of course ways of arriving at diagnoses, but one must be aware that these diagnoses, particularly as presented within nosologies such as DSM are constructs and nothing more. They are not true entities. That is not to say that people don't suffer and that an illness is not present, it rather challenges what is going on in terms of a disease process. One reason why the US institute for mental health (NIMH) use research domain criteria as the basis for research.

friendlysociopathic • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 10:40 PM [recovered]

They're just different dainty little ways of diagnosing psychopathy that cover the personality types in which it can manifest.

Roman_Statuesque • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 10:24 PM

Correct. There is often comorbidity between different disorders as well, which does not lead to easy diagnoses most of the time.

friendlysociopathic • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 10:39 PM

BPD and HPD are massively female, ASPD and NPD are massively male.

Roman_Statuesque • 0 points • 10 January, 2016 11:49 PM

Checked my old notes, you are correct.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 08:19 PM

BPD cases seem to be especially drawn to identity politics shit - so a lot of your feminist crowd are actually batshit.

Hoodwink • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 08:45 PM

Yep.

But, most feminists are cluster B in my experience. A portion of them are just naive/idealistic/misinformed or just assume everybody was raised right like they were. But, you should be able to spot those girls very easily.

TRPn00b • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 04:41 AM

At first when I saw it referenced here I thought it meant "Cluster Bitch" and assumed it was TRP lingo not an actual legit diagnosis.

Hoodwink • 12 points • 10 January, 2016 08:40 PM

I think Borderline and Narcissism can be very similar to each other because I think female Narcs will go 'emotionally crazy' to manipulate someone. Lots of people seem to give in to women when they act like a toddler.

I have a personal theory that there are actually many more narcissistic personality disordered women than there are men. (The science says there are more men that are diagnosed narcissists because most of them are diagnosed in prison.) But, we label them as other things because their main mode of manipulation is going emotionally unhinged or is accepted as something women do (socially manipulate, gossip).

Roman_Statuesque • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 08:55 PM

The Personality Disorders are a murkier area of abnormal psychology. There is definitely overlap within the clusters. According to a professor of mine, it can be common to diagnose a person with just "Personality Disorder with X and Y traits". If I recall correctly, she also said Cluster B Disorders occur more frequently in women. And generally in more social people.

Fun fact: I dated a girl who had strong Borderline and Histrionic tendencies.

dodgyasfuck • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 12:50 AM

She must have been an absolute firecracker in the sack, and an absolute pain in the ass outside it.

balalasaurus • 4 points • 10 January, 2016 09:35 PM

Fair enough though the point still stands that the burden of culpability is too easily removed by pleading BPD.

Roman_Statuesque • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 10:21 PM

You are very right. I wasn't trying to contradict that point. Explanations are not excuses.

dodgyasfuck • 4 points • 11 January, 2016 12:47 AM

I know a girl with histrionic personality disorder. She, despite being half my age and my niece's friend, took a real shine to me and wanted the cock. Apparently this is routine - inappropriate relationships and expressions followed by total change of direction and then the drama of confessing the sins. Lots of attention. I never did anything with her and the drama pissed me off because I didn't deserve it, but it's a genuine condition.

The lesson here is you should never accept claims without evidence. As others stated above, due process exists for a reason.

I have previously been "almost-accused" of regret rape by a girl whose friends were shaming her for

being a slut (I did cut someone else's grass with this blind date, but only because I was drunk and confused her for another woman in a red dress; we did fuck and it was consensual).

Another time, falsely accused of assault - crazy bitch who was stalking me started picking physical fights with me when I rejected her, at a club tried to slap me 100+ times, I stood there warning her, blocking them, ultimately slapped her myself about as hard as you'd slap someone's hand off your pizza. She collapsed in a heap, Meryl Streep-like, I left. Lo and behold she calls police to report three clear punch marks to her face. Mad whore actually punched herself Fight Club style to get me arrested. Cops interviewed me, no charges pressed - had records of being called to my house to arrest her for attacking me.

Due process, motherfuckers. And when we agree to this, this is why we then get pissed off when women want quotas because they can't compete on terms of merit.

Roman_Statuesque • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 01:54 AM

Dated a borderline girl with histrionic tendencies. Threats of self harm, drama, being excessively sexual all the time but saying she wouldn't fuck me because of my virginity. Yeah, girls like that are more than a handful.

johnnyann • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 10:56 PM

I just think the Thirst scale was off the charts and she made a lie that got completely out of control. You have the lib media that just wants to make a big story, so they get ahold of it, and suddenly everything goes tits up.

Nickleback4life • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 02:23 AM

Basically being diagnosed with that means you're allowed to be a scumbag bc the doctor said so.

Roman_Statuesque • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 05:30 AM

And you have no accountability whatsoever! /s

AcrossHallowedGround • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 09:10 AM

Lol. Ok, I moderate a for a group of borderlines. Couple of things, the people in our group mostly know that they have it, leading to a bit more self awareness, and on average less douchenozzling than the average bordie. Lie? Not any more often than anyone else. Manipulate I've seen plenty of horror stories, personally, one in ten, maaaaybe. Dangerous behavior/Risk taking? Oh fuck yes, that ones totally correct. Show no remorse? HA HA HA. Dude I fucking wish. I feel bad about things that I did that didn't even do any harm or even inconvenience anyone.

Jackie is fucking psychotic. I'd be willing to bet she's closer to Narcissistic PD and Histrionic PD than BPD; if we're going to play pretend psychiatrist, may as well get it right.

Roman_Statuesque • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 05:45 PM

Yeah I got that bit wrong. That I mixed up some symptoms from Anti-social PD. And not trying to play psychiatrist here. I was just clearing up some confusion in regards to which Disorder was being discussed.

MattyAnon • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 02:26 AM

These BPD diagnoses need to stop

All female crimes are excused. Women are so perfect, that if they do bad things they must be ill.

All male crimes are punished. Men are evil, so we don't need to look into the reasons for their actions.

favours_of_the_moon • 13 points • 10 January, 2016 05:10 PM

The damage has been done. The social engineering objective has been met.

Watch your backs, my brothers.

NolanKnowstheTruth • 11 points • 10 January, 2016 09:08 PM

Jacqueline "Jackie" Coakley

ChopperNator • 16 points • 10 January, 2016 06:52 PM

I've always believed that when someone gets caught for a false accusation, their punishment should be the same as the person falsely accused would have received.

4benny2lava0 • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 08:38 PM

Amen. I have been saying this since i swallowed the pill. There have been a few solid points as to why not but i can't remember any of them right now.

RedPill808 • 8 points • 10 January, 2016 10:35 PM*

Consequences for UVA Jackie?

We don't even know if that's her real name. The names of every accused man is public record. We even know the name of her uninvolved 'boyfriend'. She should be named and shamed so that every guy on the planet knows to steer clear of this psycho bitch.

chances_are_ur_a_fag • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 10:47 PM

interview on a women talk show about her problems and to further gain sympathy from the public

[deleted] • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 08:24 PM

gotnews.com/breaking-wedding-photos-of-jackiecoakley-uva-rape-hoax/

TOO LATE

doublereignbeau • 8 points • 11 January, 2016 01:03 AM

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rape_by_deception

So the victim of the fake gang rape staged it so that she could rape someone else.

cover20 • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 05:51 AM

According to your link (and my prior understanding) in the USA at least, "rape by deception" just refers to impersonating someone's lover.

According to some other info I saw, in Alabama a man cannot lie to obtain consent (else the consent is invalid) although a woman can. In every other state anyone can lie to obtain consent, except in some states you can't lie about being someone's regular lover when you're not.

I don't think this applies to the current story though.

ReasonFreak • 4 points • 10 January, 2016 05:24 PM

It's good to see this information in mainstream media sources but this has all been floating around the

Manosphere for months now. I read all about Jackie's uber drama queen catfishing play half a year ago.

alpha_n3rd[S] • 4 points • 10 January, 2016 05:28 PM

yeah it's coming out in court now including SMS transcripts

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 08:17 PM

gotnews.com/breaking-wedding-photos-of-jackiecoakley-uva-rape-hoax/

Vietnom • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 08:23 PM

Where did you read it then? Would love original source.

trpalternate • 6 points • 10 January, 2016 11:00 PM

And guess who is stepping up to Jackie's defense?

<http://now.org/media-center/press-release/an-open-letter-to-uva-president-teresa-a-sullivan/>

tallwheel • 1 point • 12 January, 2016 08:41 AM

Oh, but those are not the *real* feminists. It's just the largest feminist organization in the U.S. /s

trpalternate • 2 points • 12 January, 2016 09:32 PM

Feminism cares about men too! /s

[deleted] • 4 points • 11 January, 2016 04:04 AM

I don't want to post the link but NOW (the Deichstag for the feminazis) published an "open letter" to UVA president.

The basic gist is that even though its completely made up, she should still be believed and afforded all kinds of preferential treatment.

Like literally their position is even if a rape claim is 100% falsified, its still legit.

Laughable.

BlueFreedom420 • 7 points • 11 January, 2016 06:24 AM

Why isn't she in prison? She almost got alot men put in prison for the rest of their lives. You know some shit fuck prosecutor was ready to do that.

The__Tren__Train • 18 points • 10 January, 2016 05:25 PM

the real "rape culture" in the US, is the one involving adult women raping little boys.

not only do these pedophiles RARELY ever see real punishment (like, you know, what a man would get for the same crime if the genders were reversed), their names rarely make it into the media (even after being proven guilty, whereas the men's names are posted everywhere before he even sets foot in court), and their reputations aren't dragged through the mud.

What's more, they aren't crucified in social media, they are defended, both by the vast majority of women, and their beta manginas. Pretty shocking to hear these people claim that the little boys "wanted it" and "were probably high-fiving their friends afterwards".

can you imagine the fallout if men said that about little girls being raped by adult men? lmao.. the world would stand still.

it is pretty telling to see that women are guilty of the EXACT things they claim the men to be guilty of (rape,

victim blaming, defending the perpetrator etc.)..

and this "rape culture" - where men are apparently able to rape women with impunity... when in reality, even men who are falsely accused go to prison for decades, and women who rape little boys are the ones who are defended for their disgusting acts.

what a time to be alive.

interestedplayer • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 03:31 PM

come on now, that's just absurd. I have never heard of cases of women raping pre pubescent boys, I mean how would that even work? and the truth is if they are adolescent then propably they really wouldn't say no, would they? to conflate statutory rape with rape is kind of obscene, especially for trp.

The__Tren__Train • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 03:52 PM

Have a look at the pussy pass subreddit. A man had a consensual sexual relationship with a girl who is a minor, and he got 10 years in prison. Switch the genders and it's no big deal.

Regardless of whether the boys want it or not, minors can't consent, and it's still pedophilia. Are you defending pedophiles?

Elodrian • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 05:37 PM

The word you should use to describe these cases is "ephebophilia", and it's a statute based on a completely arbitrary demarcation point (6574 days old: crime; 6575 days old: legal). I agree the law should be applied equally to men and women, but in this case, it shouldn't be a crime at all.

Who decided that 17 year olds are incapable of making decisions? For 99% of the history of our species, people who lived to 17 years old were parents. Just two-hundred years ago it wouldn't be unusual to encounter a 17 year old master of a plantation.

interestedplayer • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 03:59 PM

Do you or do you not understand what pedophilia means? Its being sexual with a prepubescent child. Find me a case where a woman has sexual relations with a prepubescent child...

and no, 17 year olds are not prepubescent just cause the USA decided that being under 18 means its rape to have sex.

The__Tren__Train • 3 points • 11 January, 2016 08:02 PM

I stand corrected. But the primary point stands.. Women getting a slap on the wrist for the same crime (statutory rape) that men go to prison for decades for.. Nothing revolutionary of course since women aren't held accountable for their actions, but it is ironic when juxtaposed with the "rape culture" idea.

Bhiim • 7 points • 11 January, 2016 02:02 AM

I laugh with delight every time you wankers manage to get a TRP post to the Top 50 of the reddit front page.

Vietnom • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 08:08 PM

This is absolutely unbelievable. That a major media source would believe this person and publish an big time article condemning the so-called perpetrators makes me feel like I'm living in a society with no rules at all. It is truly modern day witch hunting, to a T.

Absolutely shocking.

johnnyann • 3 points • 10 January, 2016 10:49 PM*

I am amazed that this isn't being turned into a movie.

Like this is actually the most insane thing I've ever read in my life.

BacoBabies • 2 points • 11 January, 2016 06:06 PM

Check out this - Feminist organization is supporting her.

<http://dailycaller.com/2016/01/10/national-organization-for-women-defends-rolling-stone-gang-rape-fabricator/>

[deleted] • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 06:23 PM

but... but.... but.... "something happened" so its all okay

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 12:32 AM

Some girl tried to convince me she was dying/suicidal/had cancer to get me to sleep with her lol. I probably would have if she were hot but she was 2/10 lol

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 04:34 PM

Takeaways:

Due-process exists for a reason The hamster is unfathomably powerful Don't stick your dick in crazy

Reposting for emphasis

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2016 08:13 PM

www.gotnews.com/breaking-wedding-photos-of-jackiecoakley-uva-rape-hoax/

She got fat and locked down a beta.

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 13 January, 2016 11:08 AM

Damn! She got fat, and STILL has small tits!

J_AsapGem • -10 points • 10 January, 2016 04:50 PM

put the flaire under MGTOW.

alpha_n3rd[S] • 5 points • 10 January, 2016 05:30 PM

why? this has nothing to do with mgtow culture.