

Male porn stars should be paid the same as female porn stars

March 21, 2016 | 595 upvotes | by [MattyAnon](#)

Personally I don't give a fuck who gets paid what. Negotiate your pay according to the free market economy, and get paid what you're truly worth. That should be the end of it, but in 2016's victimocracy it isn't.

Male tennis players usually get paid more than female tennis players, because they are a bigger draw. More ticket sales. More TV sales. Why? Sexist TV viewers of both genders? No... it's because the best get paid the most. Female tennis is a separate division, and everyone knows who would win in a male vs. female tennis match.

Any second tier competition is less interesting and makes less money. Female tennis, local level tennis, dwarf tennis...you name it. While interesting, everyone knows that these events are not the ultimate level of human competition.

But women are now complaining that female tennis players are paid less. The claim is that the *personal merit* of female tennis players is the equal of men therefore they should be paid the same. Not their skill, not their ability to compete, but their *merit as people*. It's a struggle for women, they have to make sacrifices to be a top player, etc. All true of course, but the argument also applies to people (male and female) ranked 1000 in the world and no-one is talking about them.

Which brings me back to porn stars. Male porn stars are paid a LOT less than female porn stars, even though they usually have to take damaging drugs just perform their job (viagra) and they work *harder* (sorry, couldn't resist) than female stars.

So why are male porn actors paid less? Because even at the top of their game, they are less of a draw in terms of box office sales.

So if we allow that female tennis players should be paid the same as male tennis players, the same arguments apply to male porn stars.

Male porn stars should be paid the same as female porn stars, OR female tennis players should be paid less than male tennis players.

Personally I say let the market decide and once you make over \$100k per year you lose the right to be publicly bitchin' about how oppressed you are.

TL;DR male pornstars - I got your back.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

RaptorFalcon • 485 points • 21 March, 2016 04:00 PM

During the 1998 Australian Open, sisters Serena and Venus Williams boasted that they could beat any man ranked outside the world's top 200. The challenge was accepted by Karsten Braasch, a German player ranked No 203 (his highest ranking was No 38). Before the matches, Braasch played a round of golf in the morning, drank a couple of beers, smoked a few cigarettes, and then played the Williams sisters for a set each, one after the other. He defeated Serena, 6-1, and Venus, 6-2. Serena said afterwards "I didn't know it would be that hard. I hit shots that would have been winners on the women's tour and he got to them easily."

WAFC • 136 points • 21 March, 2016 06:14 PM

Braasch also claimed to be taking it easy on them to make it sporting, and when the Williams sisters claimed afterward that they could beat anyone ranked 500 or lower, he said they could have a rematch the next week, as he was slated to lose rank back to around that level.

therealpkg • 153 points • 21 March, 2016 04:29 PM

This dose of reality story always makes the female empowerment vomiting subside.

LoneStarG84 • 80 points • 21 March, 2016 05:52 PM

But... during the height of Ronda Rousey-mania I was told she could beat any man (someone on another subreddit actually wondered if she could beat a blindfolded Gorilla). Was I... led astray?!

[deleted] • 74 points • 21 March, 2016 06:18 PM

It wasn't any man, it was Floyd Mayweather aka possibly the best counter puncher in boxing history. When Holm put her down Mayweathers comments to rousey were surprisingly well crafted for a man who couldn't read a Dr Seuss book.

Classical_Liberale • 19 points • 21 March, 2016 07:20 PM

What did he comment? Mayweather is a super legend and unbeaten champion whereas Rousey is just mostly PR.

[deleted] • 27 points • 21 March, 2016 07:29 PM

Something to the extent of wishing her a fast recovery and that everyone loses.

selassiepickney • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 08:27 PM

He lost as an amateur. Just sayin'.

WASPandNOTsorry • 20 points • 21 March, 2016 09:20 PM

That shit don't count. But regardless, one of the reasons why he never lost is because boxing is rigged to the max these days. It's not even fun to watch. The UFC is good because every single event that they host has mostly good match ups. It's bad for the fighters because they have no leverage over the UFC but for the fans it's great. Boxing on the other hand has so many world titles and they rarely put their best up against each other, that's why I don't even watch boxing anymore. Back when in the day you'd have the best boxers beat the shit out of each other a couple times a year and people

paid big money for that. Boxing as a sport is in a shitty state right now.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 04:19 PM

Lol, don't talk about sports being rigged, it's like TRP. There are a lot of "blue pill" believers of sports. I remember the world cup 3 wc's ago, I got into a huge fight that fifa is set to be rigged like all other American sports. Unfortunately I said that around a group of top of the bell curve iq, and they wanted to get physical about it. Lol. I wonder if they recalled our conversation during the talks about extraditing the heads of fifa to the U.S. for corruption charges. Hmmm.

Btw, the horse races here (probably everywhere) are rigged too. I'm a statistical researcher and as a thesis project I worked with an avid pony player to statistically determine winners. Unfortunately... rigged. The data was compelling enough that I killed the thrill for a 30+ year pony gambler. Fwiw, that's why jockeys are paid so well.

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 09:22 PM

Curious as to which mayweather fight would you suggest was rigged?

WASPandNOTsorry • 7 points • 21 March, 2016 09:35 PM

It's not the fights that are rigged, the leagues are rigged. You won't see the same kind of boxing as you did with the fabulous 4 in the eighties because now the top boxers exclusively fight people below their skill level for money in their own leagues. I'm not saying that the people fighting Mayweather willfully lost - they just weren't really good enough to ever have a chance.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:22 PM

Don't be one of them.

You literally are on TRP. There's a red and blue pill in sports too... And you are mainlining, snorting, and smoking the blue pill here.

Whisper • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 12:24 AM

They're not "hard-rigged" in the sense that someone pre-arranges to take a dive. They're soft-rigged in the sense that managers duck fights they aren't certain their fighter will win, they pad fighters' records early in their careers by setting up fights with guys who are more like sparring partners, and lose fights for a living.

Boxing is as much about the metagame of arranging matches as it is about what happens in the ring.

nuesuh • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 09:56 PM

As he said: everyone loses.

No one goes through life without suffering defeat at least once.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 09:24 PM

That's the beauty of the comment. Was he showing humility and compassion for a

fellow fighter or was he rubbing his professional record in her shit talking face?

garlicextract • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:19 AM

who the fuck cares about an amateur match? Peyton manning was also a bad qb when he was 6 years old

RatsSewer • -1 points • 22 March, 2016 02:09 AM

He is a dodger and not really a fighter. If there was a mayweather vs mayweather fight, it would just consist of them running to the opposite sides.

[deleted] • -6 points • 21 March, 2016 09:31 PM

Um, Rousey was undefeated her entire career until like a few months ago. That's something.

Classical_Liberale • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 09:43 PM

Maybe calling her, as just PR, is hyperbole. But Mayweather is unbeaten since 1998(?) and that basically dwarfs the short history that Ronda currently has.

Whisper • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 12:25 AM

Everyone is undefeated until they aren't.

dankvibez • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 10:42 PM

People complain about boxing how its rigged and all hype. Yet the same morons watch the UFC. UFC is a closed system and its a lot easier to rig. Rousey was the perfect poster girl for "tough females". The media ate it up, she got tons of free coverage and hype. Meanwhile she wasn't even that good.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 11:26 PM

I was thinking she'd been fighting since 2007 and had like 33 wins and 1 loss

This is my error

She's only got 11 wins listed on wikiapedia total, so I don't know where I got those other numbers. My bad.

spotH3D • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 07:58 PM

She also said she could beat Cain Velasquez, former male heavyweight champion.

juiceperks • 31 points • 21 March, 2016 08:47 PM

He might actually kill her

NolanHarlow • 15 points • 21 March, 2016 10:02 PM

He might actually kill her while trying to go easy on her. If he were legitimately trying to destroy her, it would be a fucking bloodbath

123Jobber • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 10:07 AM

She couldn't take on a Gerard Moussasi sparring while he was going easy on her and barely exerting himself while she was on 100% trying every move at her arsenal and getting visibly upset as the practice session went along.

LoneStarG84 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 07:53 PM

Nah it wasn't just the Mayweather thing. I think Joe Rogan said she could beat half the men in her class? Granted, it's Rogan, but I didn't hear any corrections from the MSM...

ChadThundercockII • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 09:31 PM

The Mayweather can't read thing is false. How do you think he read the speech screen thing when he is on TV ? Plus, he needs to read in order to cash those 100 million checks.

throwaway-aa2 • -1 points • 22 March, 2016 04:29 AM

eh, Rousey could take him out... it's fairly straightforward. Floyd doesn't know how to defend against a takedown, or wrestle at all. Could he learn enough to destroy Ronda? Probably.

a_nus • 19 points • 21 March, 2016 07:01 PM **[recovered]**

There's a vid of her grappling Gerard Mousassi (probably fucked up the spelling) where he's visibly taking it easy while she's going balls to the wall. He still easily ragdolled her.

33a5t • 18 points • 21 March, 2016 10:16 PM **[recovered]**

Found it

trpthrowaway2003 • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 08:54 AM*

[deleted]

What is this?

theoctopuss • 1 point • 24 March, 2016 09:54 AM

Gerard Mousassi is a vet, and much better than rousey at every aspect of the game. He's no pushover.

RedMoonAscendant • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 01:39 AM

Make sure you watch it to the very end: "Good job Ronda!" I spent the whole video laughing my ass off.

I liked how she was turning redder than the mat behind them.

[deleted] • 10 points • 21 March, 2016 11:15 PM

It's so funny how little people understand about fighting and physical combat. I can't tell you how many girls I've dated that thought they could beat me up. It would always go the same way, I'd just get on my hands and knees and tell me to try to move me. Do anything to make me not in this position. They'd all roll around on top of me pulling at arms and legs and finally give up completely dejected and realize how different mens and womens bodies were.

a_nus • 17 points • 22 March, 2016 12:44 AM **[recovered]**

If you have a couple months of grappling experience, you could probably tool anyone your size, a little bit bigger, and anyone smaller of course.

If you have years of experience, you can tool most inexperienced people. Unless they're super strong, athletic freaks.

-- Lol at downvoters. I started grappling and MMA over a decade ago. Not talking out of my

ass. I've seen a lot of ignorant comments in this sub regarding self-defense and martial arts in general. I'd really like to hear what one of you has to say.

UCISee • 10 points • 22 March, 2016 03:37 AM [recovered]

Anyone who downvotes this is an absolute idiot who knows zilch. Back in HS I was shit talking some kid slightly smaller than me in woodshop. He got all ballsy and I told him to man up. We shoved like HS kids for about two seconds and the next thing I knew I was on the ground wrapped like a pretzel. Nothing teaches humility than being made to look like a bitch in front of a whole class. Turns out the kid was a wrestling genius. We were both freshman so he had at most two or three months of legit wrestling experience (Im not from PA or something where kids wrestle before they walk) but made me look like a punk because he had just that little bit of experience.

garlicextract • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 03:20 AM

uh how stupid were these people

seriously assuming you aren't a 110lb stick, they are fucking stupid.

[deleted] • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 03:40 AM

6' 185, decorated athlete. girls honestly just have **no** idea what even a 15 pound difference between two guys makes let alone 80 pounds between a man and a women. Just the other day I saw some video between some woman marine that thought she could tussle with a male marine.

[deleted] • 2 points • 23 March, 2016 02:57 AM

They don't know of this strength difference because they've been treated well by men their whole lives. There is also the lie circulated that women have greater lower body strength than men, when really it is that they are closer to men in lower body strength (compared to upper) but not nearly equal.

Google and read a study called

Hand-grip strength of young men, women and highly trained female athletes.

It was even more of a difference than I thought it would be.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 12:42 PM

they bought the lie of buffy the vampire slayer and other action flicks starring tiny twiggy girls who easily destroy mythical badasses twice their size.

in reality, these tiny girls under 120 lbs would get destroyed.

KlIdarkness • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 06:58 AM

She did an interview about possibly fighting Fallon Fox, a male to female transsexual.

<http://www.out.com/sports/2015/7/16/ufc-womens-champ-refuses-fight-trans-athlete-fallon-fox>

To paraphrase:

No, she wouldn't fight "her", because she'd have an unfair advantage having gone through puberty as a male.

Uh. If you think fighting a transvestite that's been on female hormone therapy for 20 years would put

you at a disadvantage, how the fuck could you win against any male mma fighter at the top of his game?

I'd be willing to bet she'd lose handily against any top 40 mma fighter, even a weight class lower than her.

Any male fighter in an equal weight class would rock her immediately.

TheCarm • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 01:46 AM

Some dude offered to fight her but said if he wins she has to make him a sandwich. A legit ufc fighter

trpthrowaway2003 • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 09:02 AM*

[deleted]

What is this?

theoctopuss • 2 points • 24 March, 2016 09:56 AM

This guy is still alive? I figured the brain damage would have got to him by now.

nzgs • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 12:19 PM

The Rousey-mania is truly disgusting. I think it's a case of blue-pilled men having a fetish for being beaten up by a girl, and severely inflating her fighting ability as a result. Honestly I think any male amateur fighter would easily beat her in a fight, official or not.

Whitified • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 07:49 PM

Any boxing/MMA fan can tell me how chance Ronda Rousey has against any top male boxer/fighter?

I too was told she is nigh invincible or something. Even saw an interview before where some male lightweight champion (forgot the name) said he wouldn't want to fight with Ronda. You can imagine how much that video is spread around by the feminist machine.

ItsOnlyTheTruth • 22 points • 21 March, 2016 08:23 PM

She would get fucking destroyed by any pro male in her weight class.

Cybralisk • 15 points • 21 March, 2016 09:21 PM

None whatsoever, she probably wouldn't even be able to beat a decent male amateur. Her striking is complete garbage, she only got this far because of the weak competition in women's mma and her superior grappling skills. Women just can't compete with men on a physical level in any sport, which is why they are separated.

Cashews4U • -2 points • 22 March, 2016 12:40 AM

The only sport that women come close to is track event sports

garlicextract • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 03:29 AM

are you retarded? the gap is bigger in track than basically any other sports

Cashews4U • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 04:33 AM

"The authors of the *Nature* paper graphed men's and women's world records through history for every event from the 200-meters to the marathon and saw that the

improvement in women's records was far steeper than the improvement in men's. By extrapolating the curves into the future, the authors determined that women would beat men in all running events in the first half of the 21st century. 'It is the *rates* of improvement that are so strikingly different,' the authors wrote. 'The gap is progressively closing.'" - *The Sports Gene* by David Epstein

This doesn't account for some women having more testosterone than others as well as the steroid era of the 70s and 80s, but I find it interesting nonetheless. Women's hockey and basketball players seem even further in this regard, let alone show any improvement in the gap of talent.

garlicextract • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 06:01 AM

lmao. The only reason the 'rate of improvement' was so great is because of how *bad* the previous women's records are.

Take any event from the 100m to the 5000m, or the pole vault, or the long jump, shot put, women's times are less competitive than men's by more than any other sport.

The US National Women's team has played competitive games against top-ranked high school boys' teams, and a WNBA all-star team could play a competitive game against a national-ranked boys team. The tennis story had the Williams sisters getting crushed, but they were playing against a professional man. They could have easily kept up with very talented high school boys, who rarely if ever are able to crack the top 200 in tennis like Braach was.

On the other hand the high school boys record in the one mile race is in another UNIVERSE compared to the women's world record. Same goes for the 100m. And the 200m. And every other track event.

women are farther behind in track than any other sport, except maybe football (of which there are no women's leagues).

Newbosterone • 5 points • 22 March, 2016 01:29 AM

You misspelled "equestrian".

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 04:31 PM*

Lol, I sit behind a computer screen all day, my mma days, two decades ago, and as long as I had a good trainer helmet on to protect my pretty face from a stray shot she might get, I'd get in the ring with her. Obviously, I still workout, I don't want to die, haha... but I doubt she'd get any good hits on me before she was taking a heel to the temple.

I'm blindingly fast. I've grabbed falling objects, seen from the corner of my eye, and people look at me agape like I did a superhero trick. [For a layperson, that means not only was I able to move faster than 32ft/sec, as it was a hypotenuse to the vector of gravity, I also had time to visually process and command muscle movement. So I'm obviously able to strike out (or block) laterally at less than a tenth of a second, consistently]

And she sure as fuck doesn't want to grapple with me, I'm bursty and have an iron monk core. Plus I do squats like an air force fighter pilot (my dad), and at 6'1" with my core and legs, there's a decent chance I could stand up with her grappled on me and do a destructive KO slam to get her off me. I've done it to guys in my class range before.

NolanHarlow • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 10:05 PM

I'll take this a step further. I see her getting beat by NCAA Div II wrestlers in her weight category with limited or no striking ability FAR more often than she would win.

Polaris382 • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 02:16 AM

Thats not "taking it a step further" that is stating the obvious.

NiceKicksGabe • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 11:00 PM

He wouldn't want to fight her, because you never win when you fight a girl.

Entropy-7 • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 11:20 PM

Funny story. Back in the day when I was in the army I hit on an army girl. On our second date she decides that unarmed combat is foreplay. She punched me in the shoulder, and then the game was on. It took less than 10 seconds for me to osoto gari her ass and go for the pin. What she found really infuriating is that once we were on the ground, I would pop up my head at random intervals, give her a kiss, and then turtle down so she could not move.

Cashews4U • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 12:41 AM

Did she give you verbal consent to pin her? I call submission rape!!!

Entropy-7 • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:01 AM

The kiss was stolen, but she started the brawl and I owned her.

Entropy-7 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 11:15 PM

If I recall, she admitted that she could not stand against a man, even in her own weight class. Maybe it was her, maybe it was another female MMA type; I don't have the citation at hand.

B_uckets • 71 points • 21 March, 2016 07:44 PM*

There was another match like this in 2003.

Yannick Noah (who peaked at #3 in 1986 **and retired in 1996 at rank 500+**) played the reigning women's singles champion, Justine Henin. He wore a dress and spent the whole match trying to pull off crazy trick shots but still won in the end.

If he had a rank at the time of that match, it would've been well into the thousands. She was #1.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 09:32 PM

Well then you get into social arguments like "not as many women were encouraged to go into tennis"

Where I live, which teams can compete against which is chosen by measuring the # of people that attend the school. These become your "weight classes"

Reason being, more people attending the school means you have more talent to choose from, even though a football team will always be 11 people

The__Tren__Train • 12 points • 21 March, 2016 10:35 PM

Well then you get into social arguments like "not as many women were encouraged to go into tennis"

had this conversation with a few liberal mangina friends of mine when discussing the wage gap. I brought up the point that women consciously choose majors in college that will end up paying less. their solution? we, as a society need to encourage women to study more productive things like mechanical engineering, finance, and accounting. essentially, it's always, ALWAYS the man's responsibility.

CommanderBlurf • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 11:16 PM

Engineer here, recent grad. We already do encourage them, most that drop out either can't hack it or are simply more interested in other fields.

Given that my degree has a 90% attrition rate anyway, the gender ratio gets even more skewed.

Azphreal • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 07:02 AM

Also engineering. I could find three programs catered to getting women into STEM within two minutes just by looking through my emails. Still have 85%+ male in any given degree.

truthyego • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 05:18 PM*

Electrical Enginerd grad here (from 2006). Can confirm. 1:7 ratio seems about right (class of couple hundred at a state college). And only 2 cute ones. I actually LTRd one of them at graduation, spent 8 years on/off with her.

Our experiences? She spent the whole time studying and having all the boys hand over their homework with lots of free tutoring. We weren't exactly dating yet, but she'd usually call me up after bilking the homework from smart guys... for the occassions when i bothered to do homework. I spent most of my time partying and half assing it (not recommended, I could've learned a lot more, and also bulked up better).

Results? Her 3.4 GPA beats my 3.0, but ask her ANYTHING about electrical (beyond $V=IR$) and she knows fuck all. She spent her time memorizing for tests, to regurgitate specific problem solutions. I prioritized internalizing theory and abstract conceptualization, ahead of solution memorization.

Nowadays I'm a semicon engineer. She's a PM, never to return to engineering (how can you when u don't remember shit from ur major). Ironically, the skillz she gained in college was how to manage nerd boys who are technically proficient and love to please, which actually makes her a decent PM.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 07:52 PM

She spent her time memorizing for tests, to regurgitate specific problem solutions. I prioritized internalizing theory and abstract conceptualization, ahead of solution memorization.

Ex nursing student here (went into law instead). About 85 percent of my class was female. Literally every single test in every class could be passed with straight up memorization. I maintained a 3.8 GPA up until the last year of school before I swapped to law (I hated it that much) and let me tell you, it was WAY faster to think critically and ask WHY does this happen, or WHY is this the right answer as opposed to this is the right answer because the books say so. The few men in my pathology class would always study about 1/3 the amount of the women because we studied critically instead of memorizing every single symptom of every single disease.

MEN: "Well influenza reproduces this way under these conditions, so lets discover how that will affect the human body so we don't need to memorize the entire book symptoms, we can just determine them independently"

WOMEN: "These are all the symptoms of every disease ever, so lets make 2000 flashcards"

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:08 PM

I was in a class like yours, started with 70 people the first year. By the end of the second year, 6 guys, one girl. Two of the guys carried her to the end. Since it was 7 people, I always opted to work on my own for team projects, and thus, highest ending GPA by far. She never went into that field.

The__Tren__Train • -1 points • 22 March, 2016 12:36 AM

We already do encourage them

I brought this up as well, all the scholarship programs/preferential acceptance into STEM fields.

their solution?

"well.. like.. we need to do more"

boscoist • -2 points • 22 March, 2016 12:10 AM

Are you a nuke? I've never heard of a 90% attrition rate. I thought mine was pretty near the top with 50-60% total loss over the course of the BS. I was Aerospace.

NiceKicksGabe • -4 points • 21 March, 2016 11:05 PM

"Encouraged"? Such bullshit. Go out and "encourage" a girl to have sex with you. Pretty sure that's classified as rape these days.

Clint_Redwood • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 01:16 AM

Easily solution, play them at volleyball, traditional female dominated sport.

jb_trp • 77 points • 21 March, 2016 04:55 PM

The Canadian Women's Hockey team would play high school boys to get ready for the Olympics and would get *crushed* almost every time.

I would put a decent high school boys basketball team against a WNBA team any day of the week. Shoot, if I were feeling cruel I'd make the ladies play against Oak Hill Academy.

widespreadhammock • 48 points • 21 March, 2016 05:54 PM*

My freshman year of high school, the Girl's varsity basketball team wanted to play us to get a more physical match-up for a tune-up sort of game (this was still preseason). They were actually pretty good in our division too, so they were working that season to make the playoffs. So Girl's Variety vs. Freshman Boys...

Outcome was actually kinda sad. They only scored 2 baskets, and both were from their best player shooting outside (a freshman girl ironically- she played rec league with all the boys growing up so she was actually ready for the game). The coaches called the game early because two of the seniors started

throwing temper tantrums after they got blocked for like 10 straight possessions. Score was something like 54-4 at that point.

Moral of the story: Keep the sports guys and gals separate in sports.

Whitified • 30 points • 21 March, 2016 08:02 PM

two of the seniors started throwing temper tantrums

This. I always refuse to play girls. A weaker referee would even start to let the girls have an unfair advantage or get away with bad fouls, because "you're a man". If you go in hard, the girls will even play the "crying" card.

You just can't win

JustClickingButtons • -1 points • 22 March, 2016 05:54 AM

I've played plenty of girls teams at hockey as training drills like mentioned above. Not national teams but just below that. It's a pretty stupid drill if the coaches keep the game going to get to the point of 54-4.

Yes they're obviously less athletic, slower and weaker. But often they play smarter. None have ever had temper tantrums. One did get a little pissy at me once. But I did accidentally knock her unconscious.

I've often thought how nice it would be to hamstring (say with a women's physical capabilities) an athletic young male player for 6months or so, so they can learn how to play rather than rely on their athleticism.

Whitified • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 06:47 AM

A better way would be simply to put them up against much more athletic male opponents. They will then need to adapt to compete

JustClickingButtons • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 07:20 AM

Easier said then done. It's not like there's another stronger sex for males to play against...

Whitified • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 09:50 AM

There's always someone taller/stronger/faster but not as skilled. That's how they train professionals

JustClickingButtons • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 10:23 AM

I'm talking semi-pro/pro level. When I said young, I was thinking a young man, 18-22.

It's simply not possible to have access to "more athletic opponents that aren't as skilled" that are better players so as to be useful for training purposes. They just don't exist.

I understand you want to be right and I didn't put enough 'womens hate' in my initial post for everyone to feel good about. Sometimes the truth isn't what gives one the feelz one wants, for men as well as women.

edit: if you could give me an example of how professionals are trained like this I'd love to hear it?

Whitified • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 11:57 AM

It's simply not possible to have access to "more athletic opponents that aren't as skilled" that are better players so as to be useful for training purposes. They just don't exist.

Of course they don't exist. If they are less skilled, then technically they aren't better players to begin with. I meant players worse than the professionals, but who have the physical advantage.

I understand you want to be right and I didn't put enough 'women's hate' in my initial post for everyone to feel good about.

Errrrrm.... i don't hate women. In fact i think TRP has too much women's hate. In this regard i agree with you

if you could give me an example of how professionals are trained like this I'd love to hear it

Okay..... errrrm. I know in soccer at least, at least one coach i know of likes to let his team play against opponents from a lower level, but who are much better physically (taller, bigger defenders, sprinter wingers) In such a case, the more technical team will definitely have to play more technical, not get into physical situations, even turning corners into simple short passes, control the ball and avoid situations that will turn into 50-50 confrontations... etc. And 9 out of 10 times they would win.

I agree that women who trained with men more definitely play smarter, but smarter play can be trained in men too.

Besides, even on a purely technical level, men are superior too, and you can't change that during training.

Im not sure what you meant by "hamstring" though. Are you suggesting injure the hamstring on purpose?! Cos that won't help anyone play better

omnicidal • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 08:26 PM

My cousin used to come shoot with us and play pickup 2v2 and 3v3 games on outdoor courts in high school when she was a senior and I was a freshman. We beat her and the other senior girls up, we had a lot of size on them and didn't take it easy.

She went on to 3 national titles in college basketball with the University of TN.

MakeEmSayAyy • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 07:13 PM

Imfao yeah when i was in 7th grade on a rec team we played the 9th grade girls and I was like oh shit we gotta win, it was fucking pathetically easy.

Entropy-7 • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 11:25 PM

When I was about 10 years old I was a yellow belt in judo. In my class there was a 16 year old girl who was a green belt. But for my young age, the way she threw me around was rather kinky.

[deleted] • 24 points • 21 March, 2016 05:03 PM

The USA womens soccer team also got hammered by the mens U-17 if i remember correctly as well.

<http://forums.bigsoccer.com/threads/uswnt-vs-u-17-usmnt.1939180/>

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 03:45 AM

My neighbor played varsity hockey in high school, she was pretty good. Played with the boys one day.. got checked into the boards and got a bruise the size of a melon, and could barely walk for months. Kind of sad actually :(

selassiepickney • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 08:29 PM

Hah. I played pick up basketball with the women's basketball team at Duke my freshman year when they lost in the tournament championship game. I didn't even play varsity high school basketball.

NiceKicksGabe • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 11:03 PM

I played against some UH basketball chicks when I was in Houston. I haven't played organized basketball since 8th grade. They were PISSED when I scored at ease and blocked their shots. They resorted to just forcefully pushing me because I refused to call foul.

[deleted] • 29 points • 21 March, 2016 05:33 PM

I got a good one.

The German women's team used to be pretty big over here (for betas I guess). In 2003 the German women won the world cup. The women thought they were the best in world and decided to have a fun match against a male youth team of VfB Stuttgart (a minor team in Germany). These boys were age 14-15. To keep it short, the boys beat the best team in the world by 6-0 or more (apparently they didnt finish the game.).

As far as I know, the only sport where women "beat" men, is kayaking, based on the weight/speed ratio.

[deleted] • 14 points • 21 March, 2016 05:54 PM

LOL 14 year old boys beat them hardcore.

I think long distance swimming is another one where girls can compete with men and win sometimes.

I think it has to do with the tits/body fat acting like flotation devices?

[deleted] • 13 points • 21 March, 2016 10:19 PM

1500m freestyle. Men's world record: 14.31, women: 15:25. More than a minute is quite a difference.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 March, 2016 11:16 PM

I think they meant like *long* distance.

Its one of those things girls pull out when faced with the reality that men are better at sports.

They point out the exception and think that somehow negates the fact that literally every other way men are better.

boscoist • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 12:20 AM

have you ever swam 1500m in one go? thats nearly a mile, and the longest competitive swimming event.

garlicextract • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 03:32 AM

No you don't get it. LONG distance. As in the swimming equivalent of ultramarathons

(where women also do well). As in swimming the english channel. It's an extreme edge case that is likely more skewed by small sample size than anything else.

boscoist • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 03:45 AM

I swam in school, 1500m in one go is A LONG DISTANCE. Swimming is exhausting compared with running or biking. Swimming the English channel is on par with climbing Everest, rather than a sporting or competitive event. The goal is surviving the trip rather than going for time. Your times for that are going to be insanely skewed by the prevailing currents during your attempt as well.

garlicextract • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 05:56 AM

Dude, I know. There are ultra-swimming events (such as McCardel's 78 mile swim) that /u/Derbi50 was referring to. The fact that the times are insanely skewed and it's not a traditional 'race'... that's exactly my fucking point. Why is this so difficult to grasp? I'm simply explaining what the guy you responded to was talking about.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 10:14 PM

Also a woman won the bowling championship a few years back. Anything with aiming and no strength needs allow women to compete

avoiceofreason • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 10:24 PM

In darts the men and women are split up to keep the men from dominating.

Tscio • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 02:08 AM

You'd think so, but even in domains where men and women are on equal ground physically, such as e-sports, there are no girls playing at the highest levels.

Granted there are less female gamers than male, but still you'd think that with how big e-sports is getting there'd be at least one girl who could give guys a run for their money in competitive play.

LukesLikeIt • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 05:59 AM

There is one girl that can actually compete in sc2, Scarlet. I found out she(he) is actually trans.

Tscio • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 12:48 PM

I thought there was a North American LoL pro, but it turns out "she" too was born a male.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 March, 2016 10:16 PM

And discus, until you discover that women's discus weighs 1kg and men's 2kg. Men: 243ft, women: 251ft.

Cashews4U • 4 points • 22 March, 2016 12:42 AM

Metric to American is weird.

fingerthemoon • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 11:26 PM

This 14-Year-Old Girl Is One of the Best Rock Climbers in the World

Whitified • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 08:04 PM

I think its very telling that many women, at the top of their game, will eventually want to take on the boys. Its like that's their whole reason of playing the sport in the first place. Male recognition.

Sir_Distic • 16 points • 21 March, 2016 09:17 PM

I disagree. I think it's ego. They are treated like men's champions and get a stroked ego. So they feel above all the women. The only thing left is men. Until reality catches up with them.

Whitified • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 09:46 PM

Possible.

At the same time, during my time as a youth team player, (table tennis, lol) i realized that girls just don't have the same desire to keep looking for new competition. They seem almost happy to stay No.1, and similarly you will never hear any stories of any female warrior seeking to "die by a worthy blade"...

But i did notice that girls love proving that they can "compete with the boys". Even when they know they can't.

RatsSewer • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 02:16 AM

I disagree. They want a tougher challenge, since they beat all the women.

Eugenics2015 • 8 points • 21 March, 2016 05:47 PM*

We all remember that fag in the 70s who purposely lost to a chick. Forgot the names of both.

Either way, girl power is here to stay because of BP men.

Edit: Here it is

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2402318/Battle-Sexes-tennis-match-new-claims-emerge-Billie-Jean-Kings-opponent-deliberately-lost.html>

Leviticus59 • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 06:00 PM

Bobby Riggs.

He did purposely throw the match, after having beaten the number one women's seed at the time. He was in his mid-50's when all that happened.

vaelamin • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 08:13 PM

If i owed the mob money i would have done the same.

BeachBum09 • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 01:51 PM

The women's world cup team scrimmaged a team made up of the best 15 year old players in southern california. The 15 year old boys team beat the us women's national team 6-0. Also during training for the world cup at around 1999 they would regularly scrimmage the boys under 16 team. Apparently they were in the same camp so it was convenient. The boys team won a majority of these scrimmages and they have only been playing together for a few months while the women's team had been playing together for years.

It's just a simple fact that men are more athletically gifted and capable than women. It's not even close. The games they play are also not even close in comparison. Even if it's the same sport. You have women's basketball which is an utter bore to watch. These are women who are the tallest women in their sport and most athletically gifted. The average female basketball player is about 6 feet and a little under. Only a

handful of wnba players can dunk or have dunked and when they do it is a sports news story likely to hit sportscenter top 10. That's how rare it is. Yet male players 6 foot and under in the NBA can consistently dunk. It all comes down to the level the game is played and the desire of the public to watch them. I mean you even see this in the lower rankings of tennis with the men. It's essentially the same thing minus the gender. Novak gets paid a shit ton of money because he is number 1. You don't see number 200 bitching about his sponsorship deal and why he doesn't get paid the same. Well he just doesn't play to that level of competition. If one of the Williams sisters could beat Novak then I'd say they deserve to get paid that dollar amount. But watching the 2 top tennis players in the world in men's compete and then watching the top 2 women compete you notice a stark difference in the intensity of play, range of shots, and range of power. Nobody wants to pay the same amount to see a lesser product. That's not how supply and demand works. You can't just try to say "because I'm a girl" and expect to change inherent laws of society.

truegemred • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 05:00 PM

| said they could have a rematch the next week, as he was slated to lose rank back to around that level.

that is actually so embarrassing for the sisters. I never realised the difference between men and women in sport was that huge until I watched the england women play football. First I started enthusiastic being a football fan it was a sunday like 6pm I had nothing else to do. I started watching these girls struggle to kick the ball around with any purpose and I couldn't watch it anymore

Mattpilf • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:55 AM

We should remember these were 16 and 17 year olds. Of course they were full of themselves. Realistically they were probably 500th at best.

RaptorFalcon • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:02 AM

They were also at the top of the woman's division

occupythekitchen • -1 points • 22 March, 2016 12:40 AM

Maybe have the woman and men winners play against each other for the bigger prize of the two

[deleted] • 169 points • 21 March, 2016 12:16 PM

Just like how consumers don't want to watch women play tennis, they don't care about how hard a dude works in porn.

fearachieved • 65 points • 21 March, 2016 04:09 PM

I applied to be a male porn star once. I was approved, but only for gay work. I was told that's where the money is.

I decided against it in the end

rebuildingMyself • 126 points • 21 March, 2016 05:30 PM

I'm sure your end appreciates that.

[deleted] • 33 points • 21 March, 2016 07:24 PM

One might say the ends didn't justify the means.

evoblade • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 08:29 PM

That's where the saying gay for pay comes from.

harami_number1 • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 11:26 AM

Where did you apply? Brazzers? AnalDestruction.com?

PrinceofSpades • -17 points • 21 March, 2016 05:11 PM

You get into the business to fuck hundreds, possibly thousands, of hot as fuck women. Not for a paycheck.

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 05:16 PM

and then these women take shit on you

PrinceofSpades • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 05:18 PM

Yes. Yes they do. At least you get paid extra for that.

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 05:23 PM

Source: <https://youtu.be/-XA5j6PBe2s> at 5:46

Eugenics2015 • 10 points • 21 March, 2016 05:44 PM

Anyone who would get into porn just for sex is a loser. You might as well masturbate because you are not fucking a living thing in either case.

theubernoob • -7 points • 21 March, 2016 06:52 PM **[recovered]**

I disagree. We all love sex right? Like it's a hobby that we love doing right? Now if you could make a living out of any other hobbies that you love that would be awesome. Like If you could get paid to work out you would seek employment for working out and that would be totally cool. So what's the difference in getting into porn just for the sex? Well it's your opinion on what sex means to you.

I just thought your opinion on the matter was a bit harsh and could use some outside perspective.

Eugenics2015 • 7 points • 21 March, 2016 09:10 PM **[recovered]**

Like no. If you get into porn just to have sex you are a loser.

While people are out here being doctors and lawyers... your ambition in life is to brainlessly fuck women who are pretending to orgasm for like \$5 an hour.

Waste of time, waste of life.

theubernoob • -3 points • 21 March, 2016 10:09 PM **[recovered]**

So anybody who doesn't hold a prestigious position is wasting their time and life? If you don't make six figures you're a loser?

Don't get me wrong, I'd love it if everyone aspired to be doctors or engineers, but that's just not how it works. People need entertainment and some of that entertainment is porn and someone has to supply the dicks. If there weren't "losers" "wasting their lives" doing porn, you'd have nothing to jerk it to.

Eugenics2015 • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 10:26 PM **[recovered]**

Give it a rest. I'm not going to argue about this with you.

I don't need to jerk it to anything because i'm successful enough to meet and bang high quality women in real life. I would never make that shit my career because the purpose of work is to make money, not to have fun banging plastic bitches and live in a trailer park because you have no money.

PrinceofSpades • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 06:50 AM*

I think you underestimate how much some of these people bring in, and I think it's fucking hilarious I got downvoted into oblivion simply for shedding light on why these men join the profession. Did I advocate for it, no. Did you all assume I was, yes, which is hilarious. Sure, I've legit fucked girls who've done amateur porn; what do you think those chaturbate girls do on a Friday night after working all day? Go get some proper dick.

Regardless, plenty of men make money for the sake of fucking hotter women. We at TRP just know how to lure them in with it without letting them see a dime of it.

Still, most pornstars live in LA. In nice places. Entertainment is America's #1 export, and it pays well. Trailer parks are for idiots who don't know how to work, or budget money. It would be just as easy for me to work my day job and be a pornstar by night for extra cash and guaranteed lays as it would be for me to work on my book and have almost guaranteed lays from my plates when I text them over like I do currently.

Not to mention that doctors and lawyers are some of the absolutely most beta professions around unless you had a healthy dosage of red pill from dear old dad or somewhere like here early on, long before you got dragged too deep into your studies. Must be nice having all that money and still having no idea how to talk to a girl who's actually in your league, so you settle for the slutty nurse who's on the verge of the wall because you two "have so much in common helping people" and "she's certainly not marrying me for my money." Spare me, doc.

I've got two teachers and two nurses on speed dial right now; I call, they show up ~75% of the time. Nurses are some of the sluttiest girls I know. One's married, to a beta fuck doctor, yet she still fucks my 24 year old body because I'm not complacent and never buy her shit like he does.

Most everyone in difficult fields are beta as fuck and never get laid. Those that are alpha in those professions are literally drowning in female classmates and peers throwing themselves at him.

Money doesn't mean shit when it comes to getting laid in this day and age thanks to feminism. I spent 3 dollars on my own hot chocolate on Friday, had my date pay for probably 40\$ worth of things, then went out later, had my cover fee and my drink paid for by a different girl, who I then fucked. I make decent enough money for my age, but it has little to nothing to do with being alpha. Alpha is a social construct. Successful people are alpha because of their social ability, and they turn that into profit. They work to help themselves, and make way more than the average beta doctor or lawyer or accountant. Very few men reach that tier. I can tell you, honestly though, that no amount of career-only focus will make you an alpha if you aren't already one, because you're that many years behind everyone else playing the game when it comes to society.

Being in porn simply cheats the system as a guy; you end up having so much sex that women perceive you as alpha (because women have that 6th sense for when you are taken already, and that's when they want you the most because they love causing drama in other people's lives and love fucking over other women. When you do 500+ porn videos, you always stink of that taken scent that drives women nuts, even if you got into it for all the wrong reasons, and even if you're still a beta deep down. It's like the easiest way to "fake it until you make it" out there available to men, and some people don't mind taking shortcuts to reach their success. No matter how successful *you* are, I guarantee you haven't fucked 1,000 women. You may have more sex than some of these guys, but you don't "spread your seed" to as many of them. And caveman theory always applies; the basic biological imperative is the most important driving factor for every aspect of society. It defines "success," since having more modern wealth simply gives you access to more potential mates.

Whiteouter • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 07:43 PM

The thing, for male pornstars porn is less about sex and more about staying hard for incredibly long times, repeatedly stopping and changing positions and depending on the type of porn trying to appear like you are not even there

Linrraba • 8 points • 21 March, 2016 02:14 PM

Partially true. Boy on girl scenes draw more attention (therefore pay more) than girl on girl scenes. Also, there are women watching porn too. So a number of people care for how "hard" the dude works.

baube19 • 27 points • 21 March, 2016 02:31 PM

hahha ask around females friends you are comfortable with if they watch porn.. sure they do then ask if they EVER spent a DIME on porn. or even a trial you have to pull=out your credit card before the 30 days is over just to see what's on the other side of the paywall.. NONE.. I'am not saying no females pay for porn but their revenue share in the industry is ABYSMAL

Linrraba • 8 points • 21 March, 2016 02:37 PM

Those who pay for porn don't walk around talking about it (they should. they're heroes who give us free porn). However, there are porn genres made exclusive for women (like porn for couples by studios such sweet sinner).

baube19 • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 02:51 PM

It's hard to bring my sources because it was from a french radio / podcast talkshow. Of the paid accounts on theses "made for women" porn site they ask the gender when you create a profile and it's but 90% men that are just fed-up with mainstream porn. the 10% left is a mix of refused to answer and females. This was conducted over the course of a year of new sign-ups on 4 different websites.

Linrraba • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 03:58 PM

I guess we can check that data on adultdvdtalk. Regardless, boy girl scenes still pays more

baube19 • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:08 PM

Regardless of the actors in the scene nothing is free IRL and men are the one ultimately paying for most of it.

Eugenics2015 • 9 points • 21 March, 2016 05:45 PM*

The majority of women who watch porn are more interested in the woman than the man. This is the main reason why demand is so disproportionate.

Women are bisexual, there are more chicks subscribed to playboy than guys.

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/12/fashion/12bisex.html?_r=0

RedBigMan • -3 points • 21 March, 2016 07:09 PM

Women watch gay male porn more than lesbian porn btw.

I guess it's the same reason why dudes watch lesbian porn. They don't want to see some dude's junk on the screen. Likewise women probably watch gay male porn more because they don't want to see some porn star's DD tits bouncing around.

Eugenics2015 • 7 points • 21 March, 2016 09:08 PM

This is completely untrue. The majority of women would rather see another girls tits than see a man naked. When I say the "majority" im talking about 9/10 women.

You dont know anything about female sexuality

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/12/fashion/12bisex.html?_r=0

mister_dice • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 07:47 PM

Or they want to see hot guys, which I believe is the main reason.

vandaalen • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 10:04 PM

There is also something which draws them to dudes sucking and fucking each other.

Friend of mine had kind of an ambivalent relationship with his best friend and they liked to pick up one chick and take her home.

They all got more than horny over the two sucking each others dicks. They often even directly asked for it, once the guys would kiss each other.

awalt_cupcake • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 11:12 PM

Women are just *gay* every which way

Women just want everything to fuck and be able to fuck everything

Thetman38 • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 08:50 PM

Exactly, by this logic then women athletes should be paid the same as men. It's a basic supply and demand.

[deleted] • -4 points • 21 March, 2016 05:28 PM

Except that women tennis players get 50% of the prize money. Or was that the joke?

LoneStarG84 • 76 points • 21 March, 2016 01:47 PM

Relevant: (VERY NSFW)

http://m.efukt.com/21134_The_Duke_University_Feminist_Pornstar.html

Watch it for the interviews...

[deleted] • 83 points • 21 March, 2016 02:46 PM*

OMFG, that last part... a complete 180 degree change from her saying that there should be equality in pay when the camera man brought up the fact that women are paid more in porn, then she disagrees that there should be equality in pay because this is a "capitalistic society."

(Personally, I don't even hate this hamstering anymore. I expect it. I understand it. I am at peace with it. So, now I can go about my day and about my business.)

LoneStarG84 • 52 points • 21 March, 2016 04:03 PM*

My favorite part is towards the end when he says "That might be women's fault." That look she gives him, that sneer/smile, that says "You've challenged me, I have nothing to say so I'm going to fall back on my cuteness and hope you change the subject."

If had a nickel for the amount of times I've seen that look...

rebuildingMyself • 25 points • 21 March, 2016 05:34 PM

I don't even hate this hamstering anymore

The only reason I still get angry is that my vote counts as much as hers.

truthyego • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 06:22 PM

Which is pretty close to nothing. If anything, voting is a liability, a form of consent to the criminal delusion known as government.

jb_trp • 15 points • 21 March, 2016 04:51 PM

Personally, I don't even hate this hamstering anymore. I expect it. I understand it.

Truth is a very "fluid" concept for women.

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 05:07 PM

For everybody.

Don't act like men aren't emotional creatures.

We sometimes make shit up too based on feels and then use our logic to hamster it away later ex-post facto.

Thaweed • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 05:22 PM

Sometimes is the key word here, we do it in a weak moment or while we feel subordinate.

Women on the other hand use it as their go to move, it s their first line of ~~defence~~ offence.

look_good • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 05:47 PM

No need to be a white knight. Yes, all people will be people but we're talking about the female hamster here.

[deleted] • 2 points • 23 March, 2016 04:22 PM

Not white knighting. I just know myself and my friends, they all do this shit, you do too.

Exactly Because you are better at logical rationalizations, you are also better at backwards rationalizations. I would say the smarter you are, the better you are at fooling yourself and

your peers about your own biases.

look_good • 2 points • 23 March, 2016 04:36 PM

We talk about how the female hamster operates in this sub. I'm glad you see there is a male hamster as well, don't feel enlightened for recognizing that.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 09:36 PM

I have on truth. I don't fuck married women, if I ever do it then it's a mistake that will take a toll on my conscience.

This is very different from the hamstering women do. The OP is right.

Thaweed • 8 points • 21 March, 2016 05:19 PM

Yes dude, exactly.

Years ago i was furious about the hamstering and the way girls function, but now it s cute, i love it.

It makes you powerfull knowing what they gonna do and when they are gonna do it, even before they know it.

Thaweed • 31 points • 21 March, 2016 05:15 PM

do you like it when a dude blasts his load all over your face?

mhm

does it make you feel empowered?

I fucking lost it right there.

eviledy • 15 points • 21 March, 2016 02:35 PM

"Women's studies.. ahahahahaahhaah"

WhySoRuff • 12 points • 21 March, 2016 03:42 PM*

Well, my nofap streak just went down the drain.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:52 PM

down the drain got a good chuckle from me

fingerthemoon • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 02:42 PM*

Holy shit! I'm not sure what's more disturbing, the cognitive dissonance of this woman or the fact that I found myself turned on by this.

The neurological circuits of sex and anger must be closely related in men. Probably women as well. It puts me in a place of internal conflict because half of me wants to go back and watch the whole video and the other half says stay away. Fuck man, thanks for messing with me.

Edit: I've decided not to go down that path. I have a friend who got into this kind of aggressive degrading sex with a women who said she was totally into it. When he broke up with her she accused him of rape. He still has internal struggle over whether or not he raped her but according to his testimony of events it doesn't sound like it to me. She was consensual. Just seems like a convoluted fucked up place to get yourself into.

MelodyMyst • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 05:40 PM

There was a great joke/one liner I heard once...

Let a woman act however she wants, take it out on her in the bedroom.

This could be related to what you say.

tb87670 • 2 points • 23 March, 2016 12:08 PM

This almost needs to be required study for RP newbies to watch, this is the female hamster caught on camera in action flipping it's switch right in front of you between "I fight for women's rights" and "I enjoy being degraded" I mean the guy literally at one point asked if she like being degraded by men while her face was being blasted by a dick and she just smiled, this right after her going on and on about taking women's studies and being a feminist. It's almost like she forgot that cameras record this shit forever so she can't just lie and say it never happened.

BEWARE_OF_BEARD • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 03:01 PM

Holy fuck, that was uncomfortable to watch.

RedMoonAscendant • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 01:50 AM

Oh man. That scene about halfway through is the best porn scene I've ever seen in my entire fucking life:

Him: "Your hair is stringy and nasty."

Her: "Ouch!" (as male fucking her goes too deep?)

Male: Slaps her on ass.

Cameraman: "Here is a hair brush. Brush your nasty stringy hair while he fucks you. Keep fucking her dude. Take the brush. Brush your nasty stringy hair so it doesn't look so shit."

Her: takes brush, brushes hair.

Words cannot express how awesome that is. I wish all porn was like this.

tedcase • 35 points • 21 March, 2016 05:59 PM

"Male tennis players usually get paid more than female tennis players, because they are a bigger draw. More ticket sales. More TV sales."

Also, they play more games per tournament.

They actually get less money on average per game played than women players.

MattyAnon[S] • 16 points • 21 March, 2016 07:25 PM

Also, they play more games per tournament. They actually get less money on average per game played than women players.

This is a great point, that strangely enough has not been mentioned by the feminists trying for "equal" pay.

tedcase • 23 points • 21 March, 2016 07:47 PM

you mean to tell me that the feminists have been selectively picking and choosing facts to misrepresent their arguments??!

I'm shocked and appalled!

benuntu • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 10:04 PM

Very good point, and it's also true for working men vs. women in general. Link to stats

Full-time average day for men: 8.4 hours

Full-time average day for women: 7.8 hours

AcrossHallowedGround • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 07:45 AM

Somehow it doesn't surprise me at all. 8 hours is a 'normal' work day. According to that, women clock out 12 minutes early, while men stay an extra 24, on average. Seems about right.

TheRedStoic • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 05:33 PM

Remember, that's also without breaks and coaching available in match.

Unlike the women...

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 10:25 PM*

they get paid the same amount (although men play more games and bring in more ticket sales) at the majors, the big opens. At other events women get less money, because the events attract less money.

Clint_Redwood • 30 points • 21 March, 2016 02:07 PM

Not their skill, not their ability to compete, but their merit as people.

Ya, there was a massive society wide sociological test that tried this to see if it worked back in the day.

Can't recall but i believe it was called Communism.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 05:57 PM

Minor pet peeve, but those weren't communist societies. They never made it that far, they got bogged down on the dictatorship of the proletariat step on the way to a communist society.

recon_johnny • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 03:23 PM

Socialism.

Communism is a political system, Socialism is the economic one. Communism can use Socialism, Socialism does not depend on Communism.

However, the concept that the collective output be distributed equally is Communist.

Whiteouter • 8 points • 21 March, 2016 08:44 PM

This is incorrect. Both socialism and communism are economic systems, according to Marx, socialism precedes communism. Socialism = everybody gets paid the same, the capital is owned by the state.

Communism = nobody gets paid. Everybody owns everything.

Source: Living in ex-communist country and Wikipedia.

recon_johnny • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 10:01 PM

Fair enough, as you state you lived there. I was in East Germany as a Socialist state (not Communist), but I was young at the time.

My information comes from classes I took and general knowledge. I just googled the shit out of this, and most places says Communism has an emphasis on the political, Socialist as economic, but there's a blending.

Regardless, I sure as shit don't want to live in either place.

leftajar • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 09:18 PM

Imagine there's a third gender. We've got males, females, and HE-males. (That's right, motherfucker.)

He-males are as much stronger and faster than men, as men are stronger and faster than women.

I guarantee that men would make much, much less competing in sports than he-men would.

Point is, it's nothing to do with discrimination against women, and everything to do with people wanting to see the absolute pinnacle of human performance.

omgimbackagain • 11 points • 21 March, 2016 09:37 PM

The fact is its already like this. Dudes who are professional athletes are "He Males". The days of guys with sub par athletic genetics rising to the top through hard work are over.

Do i deserve a shot on merit even though i dont have elite athletic genetics?

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:35 AM

These He-males exist and are dudes who are on tons of performance enhancing drugs that give them an edge that men naturally could not achieve. If there was an all doping league where athletes could take anything and everything they wanted and there were no rules regarding steroids the he-men that would result from it would destroy most men most of the time.

prodigy2throw • 12 points • 21 March, 2016 02:18 PM

Simple supply and demand dilemma. No nefarious conspiracy against men. More men in the job market and they're the elastic product. Easily interchangeable except for the top 10 or so guys who've made a market for themselves.

In tennis they are trying to manipulate the market by giving women equal pay as men even though men are the sought after product in this industry.

slay_it_forward • 10 points • 21 March, 2016 03:05 PM

A men's player ranked around 200 in the world showed why women get paid less by thumping both Williams sisters half drunk and playing at 60% capacity.

It's like saying the hockey players playing in some B league in Germany should get paid the same as NHL superstars just because they're good people. No, you get paid based on the revenue you generate.

gebrial • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 04:25 AM

once you make 100k per year you lose the right to be publicly bitchin' about how oppressed you are

Just like how if you're a man you lose the right to bitch about oppression too? Or if you live in the Western world? Or if you're white? Everyone has problems, and everyone thinks their problems are the worst. Fact is bitching about it never really made anything better, only action does and you never lose the right to action

fingerthemoon • 10 points • 21 March, 2016 02:28 PM

male pornstars - I got your back.

Hey man if that's what you're into I don't judge.

recon_johnny • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 03:24 PM

No shit. I was going to say: "I bet he does".

BoogersAreTasty • 3 points • 22 March, 2016 01:45 AM

I've told this story before, but I'll retell it again here.

When I was a freshman in high school I played basketball. It was tradition that the boys freshman team played the girls varsity team each year in an inaugural game to kick off the season.

Here we were, 12 freshman boys who had only been playing together for a couple of weeks, going up against junior and senior girls who had been playing together for several years and who had an awesome team (they came in first place in the state the year before and would come in second place in the state that year).

On this girls team was one girl who went on to play Division 1 basketball and 2 other girls who went on to play Division 2 basketball and several other girls who were real athletes in other sorts such as volleyball and field hockey that they played at the college level.

Everyone in the school was looking forward to this game so that this "stud team" of girls could whip our freshman butts. Everyone at school was mocking us telling us that the girls were going to beat us and that we didn't stand a chance.

To honest, I was a little nervous about being beaten by girls.

So game time came and.....we annihilated them. We didn't just beat them, we throttled them.

A girls team that would go on to be the second best in the state, with girls that were that going to go on and have prolific D1 and D2 careers....and we crushed them.

I remember, I had to go against the girl who went to to play D1 basketball and she was helpless against me. I was stronger, faster, quicker and more aggressive than she was.....by a mile.

After one quarter, we put in our scrubs against their starters....and our scrubs continued the route. It was ugly, ugly, ugly.

Women just can't compete with men in most sports.

You may call me crazy, but I posit to the group that a state championship caliber high school boys varsity basketball team could probably beat most WNBA teams.....but I could be wrong.

rebuildingMyself • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 05:30 PM

But women are now complaining that female tennis players are paid less. The claim is that the personal merit of female tennis players is the equal of men therefore they should be paid the same.

If that's true, then why not play five sets like men do?

hungershit • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 03:32 AM

TBH I've watched dwarf tennis and it is hella interesting. Anyone who doesn't prefer dwarfs over those women tennis in their skirts and ladybras is an embarrassment to humans. We have to have more faith for the dwarfs.

ppanther92 • 2 points • 24 March, 2016 09:47 AM

I have got two examples myself. A friend of mine played with his U17 team against arguable Austrians best women football team as there origin is in the same city. Their trainer told them to keep it easy and not go into tackles at all to hurt no woman. They led 6:0 at Halftime and won 7:0 and that was a random boys youth team.

Second example - few years ago Sybille Bammer, best ranked female Austrian Tennis player, played Thomes Muster, retired former Nr. 1 but overweight and heavy smoking at this time. Needless to say Muster won 6:0 6:1, despite the media hyping the match to be super close and even giving Bammer a slightly edge before the match.

Additionally i want to say that Tennis is probably one of the most equal payed sports in the world. At Grand Slam level, the price money is exactly the same, so i find it pretty amusing when female topplayers complain.

My two cents.

Entropy-7 • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 12:57 PM

The tennis associations totally fuck up the market dynamics with all their goofy rules. I think a lot of women tennis players would get paid as much as the men (but for the totally different reasons) because they run their pussies about the court and make fuck grunts as they hit the ball.

I've mentioned elsewhere that women's tennis can actually be more entertaining because they don't have the power and speed of men, so there are fewer aces and the rallies are longer.

But forget tennis, if you want to see a real dichotomy watch women's MMA.

sassypaella • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 05:44 PM

You could see local tennis matches to the same effect.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 05:29 PM

It's funny how the feminazi's don't get up in arms about this. You know, since they're all about gender equality.

NiftyDolphin • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 04:01 PM

We need a new sub: RPTwoXStyleVictimPosts

plenkton • 5 points • 21 March, 2016 03:57 PM

Welcome to the Labor Theory of Value- where a brain surgeon and a fast food worker are paid the same.

sociosexualstatus • 1 points • 21 March, 2016 07:17 PM **[recovered]**

They aren't paid the same under the Labor Theory of Value.

People are paid by the value they create according to the "total amount of socially necessary labor" required to produce it.

The brain surgeon required several years of med schools and training to do the surgery he's doing, so the Labor theory of value says that the brain surgeon should be paid more.

plenkton • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:16 AM **[recovered]**

They aren't paid the same under the Labor Theory of Value.

Labor Theory of Value: The labor theory of value is the economic theory that stipulates that the value of a good or service is dependent upon the labor used in its production. The labor theory of value suggests that goods which take the same amount of time to produce should cost the same.

The brain surgeon required several years of med schools and training to do the surgery he's doing, so the Labor theory of value says that the brain surgeon should be paid more.

Is this how the LTV is applied on practice?

Kalepsis • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 09:37 PM

So why don't female construction workers make the same as males? Or female coal miners? Or female hot-tar roofers? Or female lumberjacks?

Oh, yeah, I remember now... Because none of those things exist.

Pay equity would not be such an issue if both genders were equally capable and willing to do the same jobs. But

they're not. I guarantee you will never, ever see female oil derrick workers complaining about equal pay for equal work. On the other hand, male cashiers, bank tellers, waiters, and yes, porn stars always make less money than their female counterparts.

I'm so tired of the whole bullshit pay equity conversation.

Linrraba • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 01:56 PM*

They can just make their own movies and keep all the money to them. Buy a camera, pay an internet server, pay someone to design your site, hire a girl a week to pop up a scene, charge accordingly (or don't charge at all and use ad streams for revenue), profit. If i lived in US i'd be doing this right now.

[deleted] • 17 points • 21 March, 2016 02:20 PM

May have this in the works atm. Joked with a plate who was tight on cash and she said she was down as long as nobody saw her face. Then I kidded her about doing furry shit and she said "DOES THAT MEAN I GET TO WEAR KITTEN EARS AND A TAIL?!" So, may break into that niche market relatively soon... I mean, fuck, some of these camgirls make decent money just laying around naked. I need a slice of that pie.

Fatvod • 6 points • 21 March, 2016 05:40 PM

Link the site when it's up

Morpheus-Man • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 08:45 PM

Do it bro, if you get the right web designer you can be making thousands of dollars a day from visitor streams and advertising companies. The Niche is a cash cow

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 06:08 PM

There are tons of dudes who do this.

One of my favorites (well was back when I watched porn a lot) was the guy who runs "Amateur Creampies". He is this short Asian (I think?) guy with a small dick who just raw dogs these skanks in hotel rooms. Apparently he has knocked up several of them too.

I guess he just takes out internet ads all over the country, flies them out, fucks them raw, creampiees them, and pays them.

I mean if that guy can do it, ANYONE can do it. He isn't in good shape, he isn't handsome, he doesn't have a big dick, he doesn't have a stuido, etc.

ChadThundercockII • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 09:46 PM

That guy has seen more pussy than Brad Pitt.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 11:14 PM

A lot of them were obviously like straight up meth/crack/heroin whores, and I couldn't raw dog one of those, but a surprising number of them were aight and a few were even hot.

Now that they have that drug that stops you from getting AIDs it seems pretty attractive. Creampieing strange girls is seriously my favorite thing on Earth. There is absolutely nothing as sweet as raw dogging and creampieing a girl you just met or barely know or only met a couple times.

ChadThundercockII • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 01:59 PM

That and some bondage thrown in. That's my dream ONS

Linrraba • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 06:27 PM

Apparently he has knocked up several of them too

Never heard of it but ill look it up. One of my professional dream was doing this but the knocked up part discouraged me.

nuesuh • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 09:52 PM

idk man. I'd watch the shit out of dwarf tennis

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 04:03 PM

A much more comical example is the WNBA. I've watched it a few times out of curiosity and it's hilariously bad. As in, I am not an amazing ball player by any means and I could wipe the floor with any of them.

If you want to get paid to have people watch you do something, you kinda have to be better at it than the people watching.

fartag1994 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 04:22 PM

No way lol they'd fuck you up

PaulHarden • 4 points • 21 March, 2016 04:33 PM

There are a few that are good, but for the most part they really aren't.

The best team in women's college basketball (UCONN) goes undefeated damn near every year, but they almost always lose to teams of random dudes at the uni courts.

fartag1994 • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:44 PM

Physically they'd be overmatched but they can shoot pretty well. Some random dude who doesn't hoop would get destroyed

PaulHarden • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 04:47 PM

You don't have to be that good if you have the size advantage. I'm pretty shitty at basketball, but I used to always beat my friends (who were much better) because I was bigger and would murder them in the post.

Now they're on gear and they fucking rape me, lol

I'm not saying some dude who doesn't play could beat a WNBA player, of course they couldn't, but a guy who played in highschool and plays a few hours a week would stand a pretty damn good chance against most WNBA players.

fartag1994 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 05:14 PM

Dude i play ball everyday . You are wrong . Someone who's played there whole life and is a pro will out dribble and outshoot you. This only stands for wnba pros and college players though .

fartag1994 • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 05:15 PM

If that women uconn's team losing to random dudes is true and you prove it then ill definitely admit your right lol

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 06:08 PM

I'm bigger, stronger, faster and just flat-out better than almost all of them (just a few taller than I am).
And I'm just decent by male standards.

I dunno what kind of pickup ball you play that WNBA players are better than you.

fartag1994 • -2 points • 21 March, 2016 06:39 PM

Lmao okay dude. Not delusional at all

Jaereth • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 08:14 PM

Do you play basketball? Like have you ever played on a competitive team?

I was captain of my varsity team in HS, and my girlfriend at the time played on the girls team. It's just a night and day difference.

fartag1994 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 08:30 PM

. Is your gf in the wnba? Because that's what im arguing .

hostileguy • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:24 AM

Can't forget that the men are working more. They mostly play 5 set matches. Women always play 3. Longer hours deserves more pay.

changshuaidiao • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 04:16 AM

If you want to land a gig as a straight male pornstar, generally you have to first take more dicks then your female peers do.

masnera • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 05:59 AM

TL;DR male pornstars - I got your back.

pornstars ? haha

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 07:06 AM

Male tennis players usually get paid more than female tennis players, because they are a bigger draw. More ticket sales. More TV sales. Why? Sexist TV viewers of both genders? No... it's because the best get paid the most. Female tennis is a separate division, and everyone knows who would win in a male vs. female tennis match.

Actually they also play 5 sets as opposed to Women 3.

Fuck_shadow_bans • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 12:11 PM

Male tennis players usually get paid more than female tennis players,

Not true, really. They get more in total compensation (from endorsements, etc), but all the Grand Slam events and most of the major tournaments pay the same in prize money to the men's and women's champion, despite the men drawing roughly 6 times more viewers/attendees.

OShaughnessy • 1 point • 23 March, 2016 12:57 PM

I always thought the women got paid less because, they played less tennis?

3 Set max v. 5 Set max.

Giga7777 • 1 point • 4 April, 2016 03:23 PM

I think, actually hell i'm pretty certain there is plenty of men lined up to be a male porn star. Most betas would do this job for minimum wages

NeoreactionSafe • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 03:12 PM

Porn is free these days.

If people make **any** money that should be a surprise.

Robx9001 • 6 points • 21 March, 2016 04:45 PM

It's advertising for escorts.

[deleted] • 1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:04 PM

[permanently deleted]

MattyAnon[S] • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 07:28 PM

And you are right. But this reasoning is not applied to tennis. Or in other words: supply and demand is not applied to women.

redpillbanana • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 03:10 PM

There was a good discussion here a while back: Porn casting director breaks feminist hamster with a few simple questions

Toxicbutt • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 07:02 PM

I like the last part about the no bitchen if you already make bank.

mister_dice • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 07:44 PM

If Manuel Ferrara, Keiran Lee and Danny D are considered the best in the business then I honestly don't believe that they should get a raise. If you allow male pornstars to get fat, trashy tattoos and being generally unappealing to watch then why would get paid more? It has happened to me many times that I switched to a lesbian scene instead of watching some guy with a tire around his belly fuck my favourite pornstar.

Linrraba • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 02:42 AM

If Manuel Ferrara

He was rated the best porn star to work with by his female counterparts so deserves his money. Keiran Lee and Danny D are kept for Brazzers for a reason: they make them money.

mister_dice • 1 point • 24 March, 2016 04:44 PM

Yes he WAS, now he's got fat, but he is still considered one of the best...

Linrraba • 1 point • 24 March, 2016 04:49 PM

If the girls dig him why would I complain?

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 09:05 PM*

At the end of the day, the tennis players who bring in the money should get paid the most, no matter the gender. Just like how Ronda Rousey gets paid more than many male fighters, she generates the more capital. It just happens that more male tennis players generate more viewings. The same for porn. If a pornstar has a massive following, they should get paid accordingly, regardless of gender.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 03:39 AM

When you think about it, Ronda Rousey's job was to put paying butts into expensive seats and to get people to order pay per views which featured her in it. She only did this by fighting but her real job was a sales/marketing job. And she was better at this than most men were in the UFC. She was the biggest draw during her invincible prime streak.

aazav • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 10:54 PM

Unless you believe in supply and demand.

digitalmasta1 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 11:01 PM

Apparently the Japanese porn industry has too many women and not enough men so pay is actually better for men when compared to the States.

foldpak111 • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 12:44 AM

The gender pay gap does exist--just reverse the genders.

God the misandry is becoming too much to bare. Now I understand why guys just lose it and start shooting motherfuckers. Can't say I'd lose it in that sense, but in the sense that I just give up on society. I'm going to make enough money to retire in Thailand and just peace out once everything is set up.

TheCarm • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 01:47 AM

The historic battle of the sexes match where Billy Jean won was also reportedly rigged by the mob.

<http://abcnews.go.com/blogs/headlines/2013/08/billie-jean-kings-battle-of-the-sexes-win-reportedly-rigged/>

TRPmmm • 1 point • 22 March, 2016 02:04 AM

Not to mention, the women only play 3 sets. That's no way near as grueling as the men's matches can be (see Nadal v Djokovic in the 2012 Aus Open final which lasted just shy of 6 hours). The women simply don't pull the same viewership and thus they don't deserve to be paid as such. If you take the approach that the personal merit of the player justifies their pay grade, then you also have to agree that a running back deserves to be paid equal salary to the star quarterback of an NFL team. I see no feminists vehemently pushing that agenda.

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

cynicalsimon • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 06:26 PM

They should be paid MUCH, MUCH MORE! Male porn stars do 300% of the work while the female porn star sits in doggy and gets fucked.

ThrowingMyselfOutther • 2 points • 22 March, 2016 05:04 PM

Seriously, that's a ton of repetitive motion. Maybe with this new twerking shit, she twerks for 30 seconds, but the guy does 96% of the work.

jorgander • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 06:29 PM

You get what you negotiate, not what you deserve.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 10:12 PM

[permanently deleted]

MattyAnon[S] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 11:14 PM

Couldn't help but notice using "should" when talking about society is ...

The title is obviously satire. Read the first and last paragraph again...

Anyways, there's nothing related to men's right about this;

And what flair do YOU think it should have?

Worst part is, the link you made between porn and tennis is also irrelevant.

Indeed so. Unless I'm mocking SJW's of course...

kremer5 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 02:30 PM

Sounds like an equality rant. All I heard was WAHH

Gwarek2 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 02:51 PM

The porn industry is also racist... Black women get paid the least, white women get paid the most.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 03:45 PM

Given that black women are on average behave more masculine than white women, it seems that the correlation is rather direct.

Linrraba • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 03:53 PM

I heard that before but I wonder to which extent "white women " include latinas (since they're mostly light skinned) and how is the rate for asians.

elchucknorris300 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 03:15 PM

The market should decide everything. If the market doesn't want to pay more for female pornstars, and male tennis players so be it. But supply and demand should dictate. Higher supply and lower demand of male pornstars = less pay.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 04:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

wreave • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 06:12 PM

Agreed. And if they have to offer higher wages to attract more men, then so be it. And if that means that more women are suddenly interested as well, then too bad - there will have to be a cap on the number of women that are allowed to enter the profession until men are fairly represented.

MattyAnon[S] • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 07:27 PM

while we're on the subject of work equality, only 10% of education teachers are men

We should have quotas to fix this, just like gets imposed on the IT industry.

[deleted] • 1 points • 23 March, 2016 02:01 PM

[permanently deleted]

MattyAnon[S] • 1 point • 23 March, 2016 03:11 PM

I've seen a steady increase of women in IT most parachute in as product or team managers or other non-technical positions and prevent hardcore techies from being promoted

Obviously this discrimination against men is just fine.... because err.... PATRIARCHY

Apexk9 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 08:23 PM

Male talent has no leverage. Any dude with a large dick plus viagra can do porn and its easier to find comparative to hot young pussy

sickofallofyou • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 08:38 PM

Supply and demand. There's no shortage of men willing to do porn. There is a shortage of women (well... there used to be)

Question: How does the compensation for gay male porn stars compare to straight male porn stars?

ChadThundercockII • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 08:38 PM

Not only Viagra but also steroids. They have to take them to have a high libido, to be shredded to please the female audience. Of course, steroids mean a workout regimen and a strict diet. They have it *hard*. lel

Hollerich • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 12:15 AM

Wow. I never thought about this. I am too used to women screaming about wage disparity.

Male models, too, are under paid.

Feminists never mentioned that!

Entropy-7 • -4 points • 21 March, 2016 12:49 PM

Most male porn stars are also producers, so they actually get a double paycheck. If you are just a hired dick, then yes you get maybe half or less than the pussy you pound. As a producer, you get access to all sorts of revenue streams.

Ron Jeremy is a classic piece of work. A high school teacher with a 10 inch dick, he started doing porn and stopped doing it well after his best-before date. But one of his insights was "I am a prop". Guys watch porn to see the girls; the male porn guys should be largely invisible other than seeing their schlong violating all of the girl's holes.

Hell, if you just want to get laid then do amateur porn. You can get a girl for as little as 300 bucks a session. In many jurisdictions, prostitution is illegal but my understanding of the 1st Amendment is that porn is sacrosanct. It's not like you even have to release the videos: you pay for play, get laid and then lock it away in your hard drive.

Linrraba • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 02:01 PM

It's not like you even have to release the videos: you pay for play, get laid and then lock it away in your hard drive.

If i recall correctly there were a controversy with porn actress sarah shevon regarding to it. She sued a guy whom she shooted because he didn't released the video and feared being liable for prostitution. So i don't know about that.

Entropy-7 • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 03:15 PM

That is new to me. Do you have a citation?

Linrraba • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 05:07 PM

I could find this now

Linrraba • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 01:59 PM

On top of that, their careers last way longer than the average girl. Think about it: james deen, manuel ferrara, mike adriano, to quote a few, got payed to fuck at least one different girl per day for more than a decade right now (more than you can say for most girls in porn). I'm sure they raised some solid money with it.

NaughtyFred • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 02:44 PM

According to his AMA British male star Kieran Lee earns \$1300 per scene (scene is roughly a 4 hour shoot). He does around 3 per week.

\$202,800 per year, I would not turn it down or complain!

joseph_fuzzco_Jr • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 07:05 PM

Hey, his dick's insured for a million dollars. I don't think he's going away any time soon...

Entropy-7 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 03:19 PM

Doing my math . . . a new girl a day for a decade means over 3000 bangs.

I have two roads open to me: either I lock down a girl in the next few months or I fuck LBFMs and start my own porn site.

candyman420 • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 02:51 PM

Guys watch porn to see the girls; the male porn guys should be largely invisible other than seeing their schlong violating all of the girl's holes.

I remember the 90s porn I used to watch with long close-ups of the dude's face while he was blowing a load.. haha really?

Entropy-7 • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 03:11 PM

That slam cut to the "OMG" look on the dude's face just before he blows is all but gone from 21st century porn.

TheMountainWhoDews • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:41 PM

Completely agree with the sentiment but its an unwinnable battle. Tennis pay will be equalised soon, despite the obvious difference in revenue and airtime. Moaning about pornstars is funny, but a little pointless.

[deleted] • -9 points • 21 March, 2016 03:16 PM

[permanently deleted]

Eugenics2015 • 3 points • 21 March, 2016 05:54 PM

Are you fucking retarded? Learn how to actually read a thread before writing an entire paragraph of irrelevant garbage.

GiDaDrummaSum • 2 points • 21 March, 2016 05:13 PM

Next time read the post not just the title. You missed the whole point of the thread and wasted your time writing all of that.

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 04:38 PM

[permanently deleted]

Male_strom • -1 points • 21 March, 2016 05:38 PM

I can't believe it's not Fabio

[deleted] • -5 points • 21 March, 2016 04:57 PM

Not everything has to be equal. Male pornstars aren't as valuable as female ones for the same reason most people consider vagina>penis. Let the free market run dude, it's not a big deal.

Eugenics2015 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 05:57 PM

hey retard, why dont you actually read the post?

wildlight • -5 points • 21 March, 2016 06:02 PM

Male or female tennis players are pretty much performing the exact same work. Port starts on the other hand, female port stars a much more likely to preform a much wider verity of actions the majority of male port start are either unwilling to preform or are asked to.

MakeEmSayAyy • 0 points • 21 March, 2016 07:25 PM

she doesnt have to hold a boner, that right there is way easier.

tallwheel • 0 points • 22 March, 2016 02:32 AM

I agree. The Port Star deserves more recognition!