

Elon Musk is Fucked

March 29, 2016 | 1311 upvotes | by [james-watson](#)

<https://ca.news.yahoo.com/elon-musks-divorce-could-cost-154020180.html>

Summary:

He's fucked.

Body:

Elon is the ultimate beta bucks. No matter how much money he made, he just couldn't control his heavily K selected emotions. The man is a Vulcan, he just wants a chick to do her wifely duty while he makes billions. Too bad for him, that is not possible due to the laws of our universe.

Lesson Learned:

Founding a billion dollar internet Startup? *No problem.*

Monopolizing the Rocket industry? *Fuckin joke.*

Building the first luxury electric car, and revolutionizing the auto industry? *Goddamn T-ball.*

Finding a hoe that's loyal? ***IMPOSSIBLE IN THE CURRENT ITERATION OF THE PHYSICAL UNIVERSE***

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

forgeror • 415 points • 29 March, 2016 06:04 AM

She also received a tender tweet from Musk: "It was an amazing four years. I will love you forever. You will make someone very happy one day." That someone was Musk again. They remarried in July 2013.

The oneitis is strong with this one.

[deleted] • 51 points • 29 March, 2016 12:38 PM

Musk is also a pretty big, recognizable name in the public sphere. I imagine that telling her "fuck off and die bitch" wouldn't go over well for him. He'd just be giving media outlets a free opportunity to roast him and tear him down.

[deleted] • 89 points • 29 March, 2016 01:15 PM

There's always a third option:

*If you don't have anything nice to say, don't say anything at all. *

By no means should he have publicly wished ill toward her but that shit he blasted was just pathetic.

[deleted] • 24 points • 29 March, 2016 06:37 PM

One of the (many) reasons I quit facebook. You don't need to comment publicly on everything. If your LTR breaks up with you, have the dignity to not write a passive aggressive screed. It's embarrassing.

I'll be over here doing real-life stuff. Get a hold of me if you have my info.

Scizzler • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 02:04 PM

Exactly. Obviously he shouldn't wish death on her but he didn't have to say that corny shit either.

MGHOW_ATL • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:18 PM

Once the papers are filed, talking to the ex other than through a lawyer is mistake #1.

Continues to amaze me that otherwise sharp individuals, esp the high net worth/famous/connected guys, get themselves in worse situations with women than ordinary guys. Do everything bigger, I suppose....

ShounenEgo • 264 points • 29 March, 2016 07:47 AM

"Awwww, how sweeeet!" - Probably my comment if I read that 5 years ago.

"How much retarded can you be?" - My comment now.

renzy77 • 110 points • 29 March, 2016 12:57 PM

Can you imagine how this would go over if it came out that the ex-wife wrote her husband this sort of sappy love letter after he fleeced her not once, but twice! for billions in two divorce settlements?

People would see it as Stockholm syndrome and feminists would be rioting in the streets if this sort of shit was the norm for women the way it is for men.

I honestly think one of the most important things TRP teaches men to do is to look after themselves. A society that treats its most successful men this way doesn't deserve to have men who contribute back to

that society.

[deleted] • 41 points • 29 March, 2016 01:45 PM

Why would anyone want to contribute back to society?

I have seen liars, cheaters get promotions and positive feedback from everyone.

Society screws you when you are not in the norm.

NevrEndr • 43 points • 29 March, 2016 02:30 PM

I got the talk at work that I am too assertive and it was intimidating other (female) employees.

Was asked to tone it down. Awesome

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 08:08 PM

FWIW, if the management chain up is male, you're ok, if any are female, expect to be fired for bullshit at some point.

KartagoPill • 20 points • 29 March, 2016 10:02 AM

It's her slave now. He doesn't think logically but emotionally

killm3throwaway • 12 points • 29 March, 2016 10:24 AM

Lol okay, a near genius level business tycoon with billion dollar companies flying out his ass in the most specific industries doesnt think logically... this is a special case of oneitis, he's obviously been able to keep his sex life anf work life sperarate.

ShounenEgo • 16 points • 29 March, 2016 01:31 PM

this is a special case of oneitis

There's only one case of oneitis, what changes is the amount of damage done.

pcadrian • 18 points • 29 March, 2016 01:45 PM **[recovered]**

Not necessarily, I used to say shit like that for damage control when I dropped plates. Set them down gently.

ArkAngeLEV • 21 points • 29 March, 2016 02:44 PM

Sometimes beta moves are the correct play for the long game. I get it, but he sounds like a fedora tipping, beta faggot

AmlanceJockey • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 08:27 PM

This absolutely. I've dated a few project chicks and breaking up with them is hell. They see me as alpha bucks. Someone they love who can also get them out of poverty. When you leave them, you shatter their dreams. It makes them angry. They gave you pussy so they could become queen of your empire. When that doesnt happen they are angry because to them it feelz like you cheated them out of what they were due. Its much easier to tell them you lost your job, need somewhere to stay, and cry about your situation and THEN break up with them. I would rather her and all her friends think I'm a beta loser than deal with her keying my car or telling the dudes on the block I beat her.

sfstexan • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 10:54 AM

A tweet from a public figure is a tweet from a public figure. Just that.

I mean... granted, they did get back together, but I personally wouldn't read too much into a tweet like that.

supersonic-turtle • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 03:41 PM

I feel like he was being polite and taking the bigger stance... Elon can get any woman he wants, he doesn't need loyalty from women.... He is literally being the better man right now, total RP truth is letting the woman think she got away easy... meanwhile you have been slamming her girlfriends for years. "I will love you forever. You will make someone very happy one day." hahah aka I don't think you are worth sharing my next 30 years with.

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 03:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:49 PM

Every time I see a tender dick response from a male to a female.... I always shake my head and quote Beyoncé.

"You must not know 'bout me You must not know 'bout me I could have another you in a minute Matter fact he'll be here in a minute, baby"

DforDeadpool • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:42 PM

Imagine her reading this tweet while getting railed by an alpha dude.

J_AsapGem • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 03:04 PM

BUAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA LOOOOL

mensafloyd • 103 points • 29 March, 2016 06:05 AM

Again, please hamster to me about what's wrong with hiring hookers? Please...anyone?...Bueller?

RojoEscarlata • 91 points • 29 March, 2016 02:50 PM

Hire them, just don't be like Musk and marry one too.

slay_it_forward • 36 points • 29 March, 2016 03:57 PM

It's not that satisfying. Another form of masturbation. Best sex is when there's a strong desire from each party and an emotional connection.

Fucking women that don't want to fuck you isn't very fun.

southernmost • 31 points • 29 March, 2016 08:09 PM

I disagree. Lap dances are better when the stripper's crying.

[deleted] • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 06:35 PM

What is this emotional connection you speak of?

You don't have to reply to that, I'm just kidding. But I will say I had a very good experience in Amsterdam.

CommanderBlurf • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 08:02 PM

It's there, it's fleeting, and it only serves to crush your spirit after she's done with you.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 July, 2016 05:05 AM

Fucking women that don't want to fuck you isn't very fun.

or dating, or having dinner, etc.

ELLEN_POO • 27 points • 29 March, 2016 11:03 AM

This guy doesn't need hookers. High class escorts are often vapid and superficial. This guy can just get whatever sugar baby he wants from seeking arrangement. He can get a mature woman or a girl fresh out of high school. He can have her around permanently or he can tell her to fuck off whenever he's tired of her. He can have multiple ones or just one. He can go bareback also. Just so much advantages compared to escorts especially for someone with his wealth. It's as close to a partner one can get safely with this wealth and it's also as redpill a relationship as it gets as both parties know exactly what their relationship is about, money in exchange for sex and companionship.

nzgs • 34 points • 29 March, 2016 01:35 PM

You're rationalising. The whores on seekingarrangements, or any sugar daddy site, are hookers.

redzorp • 41 points • 29 March, 2016 04:16 PM

Actually they are way worse and much more dangerous than hookers because they consider themselves "normal" girls who are always entitled to MORE. Exactly the type of personality that may drop hints of a false rape allegation, or some other blackmail scheme, if they don't feel their sugar daddy has given them MORE.

Hookers, on the other hand, set a very specific price.

Pay. Bang. Done.

Much safer, as long as you wrap up.

always-be-closing • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 10:56 PM

High class escorts are often sweethearts.

Plenty of them, especially the younger ones just trying to make some cash, are actually just girls who realize there's a monetary value to their pussy and are far more upfront about it.

You'd be amazed at how not bitchy and actually nice young girls who don't feel financially insecure because you've paid them and who aren't looking for commitment are in comparison to the run of the mill 25+ year old woman with just as much sexual baggage going husband hunting.

Escorts. I'm not talking about sugar daddy site girls. Fuck that entirely. Better off with streetwalkers.

[deleted] • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 04:06 AM*

You are correct. Some have said that "sugar babies" are just prostitutes. This is only half correct. Plenty of girls on seeking arrangement are just after money for sex. Others are after accommodation/ mentoring and others expect payment for no sex!!

However there are also plenty of "normal" girls who are on the site because friends or sisters told them about it. I estimate only 1 in 5 is worth the effort. You need to screen the girls brutally. You also need to game them hard.

If you screen and game then you are in for some of the best sex and relationships you can ever have with a woman. I had one girl who charged me less than \$80 an hour and did anything I asked, and I mean

anything. Sometimes I forgot to pay her and she let it go. This girl was 21 and an easy 9. She was so fun and free spirited. She would text me pics of herself and always text me back or answer me when I rang. She took her contraceptive pill in front of me and we both did blood screening for all STDs every 4-6 weeks and shared results. Result night was the best raw dog sex of my life.

This girl had a vagina the size of sideways nickel and her ass was a pin hole. She gave the best machine gun deepthroat oral in the whole world. If they ever need to clone someone for sex slaves then I submit that near perfect swedish goddess for cloning. The model would never be superceded.

We met plenty of times without any money changing hands. Indeed I swear she came very close to falling in love with me. She loved being with a smarter and older man who not only cared if she had an orgasm, but also knew how to give her orgasms. She moved back to Europe but we are still in touch, we have a pact that if we are ever in the same country then we are having sex even if one or both of us have a partner. I have never been with another woman in the world who was as beautiful and sexually satisfying as she was. She is the main default image burned into my spank bank.

Another girl I met on that site was horrified when I mentioned money. She was there to meet a mentor. She was hot and smart. I met another girl and there was no chemistry but we became amazing friends. She would come to my house and clean up for me, look after my kids, bring weed over for us to share.

Seeking arrangement is 80-90% whores and gold diggers. If you screen a lot and find the right girl it is the closest thing to a perfect a relationship that you can have. I still meet with these girls for drinks years later. Having them on my arm and kissing on me in nightclubs and pubs is the best preselection you can have. So guys, do not knock it until you try it. Just be prepared to screen, screen, screen. Let your cock make the decision. You will find that the ratios of whore: good girl are probably as good or better on that site as they are with tinderellas.

I have not used the site in years but I will definitely go back. Sometimes these plates drive me so insane that I want a long break from women, but not from sex. Sometimes I wonder why I ever left the site. Life was so much easier.....

Sir_Distic • 1 point • 18 April, 2016 09:19 PM

High class escorts are often intelligent and educated. That's why they are high class and not backpage hoes

InfiniteBauer • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 04:43 AM

I actually want to have kids :(

Danedina • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 07:03 AM

Ever get that terrifying look from a mechanic who rubs his stubble and says, "well, it's gonna run ya..."

trp_angry_dwarf • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 01:04 AM

A guy I knew (maths major, I think he went on to become an actuary) did the maths on relationships. Professional grade sex, couple of times a month, no holes barred (nyuk nyuk) was enough to get him through. Worked out cheaper in the long run than a steady girlfriend/wife.

CyberninjaZen[] • 491 points • 29 March, 2016 05:19 AM

Wtf. This is the 2nd divorce he is getting from the SAME woman! Can you imagine getting divorced raped twice from the same person? Wth.

james-watson[S] • 447 points • 29 March, 2016 05:33 AM*

It gets better. Since his pre-nup was used for the last divorce, rumor is he doesn't have one for this current marriage. Under California law, if they share a residence, all of the capital gains he's made since the marriage are up for asset division.

He will lose his massive influence at Tesla and SpaceX due to a loss of founder level shares.

This could sink both companies.

Do we love dem bitches? Yes we do!

letsdocrack • 547 points • 29 March, 2016 06:56 AM [recovered]

Wait seriously? You're telling me some divorce raping bitch could halt the single most stalwart force in green and sustainable energy along with space exploration? Can we charge with woman with crimes against humanity?

Clint_Redwood • 160 points • 29 March, 2016 11:19 AM

If you Own A Business or Want to Start One, Don't get Married

Yep, a very common reason not to get married that isn't talked about here a lot.

If you own a business, it will be utterly wrecked if she gets 50% of it. My father taught me this and thank god I listened to him.

A bank appraiser will come in and ballpark all your assets, equipment, vehicles, buildings, land, everything. Which depending on the industry can be seriously over inflated. Then you have to come up with half of that figure and pay your wife.

A lot of times this results in liquidating your entire business, even then it can not be enough to hit the 50% mark. The bank and appraisers don't care what you can actually sell it for. They just guess as to what it's worth. Usually these people have no context or experience in the field. They are just paper pushers.

There are legal bypasses you can get around. Like selling your shares off to an entrusted friend or family member before filing a divorce, but you are essentially banking on someone else giving you back a company they acquired for free and could be worth \$X,XXX,XXX amount of money. Also, it is considered fraud if caught so get prepared to be royally fucked by the law if you try this.

[deleted] • 54 points • 29 March, 2016 03:01 PM

Why would any man get married ever? It's total blue pill to get married in modernity. It gives you nothing. Nothing.

Never get married.

[deleted] • 27 points • 29 March, 2016 05:29 PM

I was reading a post by a guy in another subreddit about that. I mean, the "gains" were basically "spouses can transfer things to each other tax free!" (which means men can give things to their women tax free) and otherwise shit that you could accomplish via power of attorney and other legal documents.

I made it quite clear to my current girlfriend that I have no intention of marrying. This bullshit stops when we make it stop.

KorianHUN • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 07:30 PM

Theoretically if i ever marry, can i get a contract that states i don't have to give her anything i own in case of a divorce?

truthyego • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 11:52 PM

Here's a hint. STOP INVOLVING THE FICTION KNOWN AS "THE STATE" IN YOUR VOWS OF FIDELITY.

And also STOP APPLYING FOR **PERMISSION** TO DEVOTE YOUR LIFE TO ANOTHER PERSON.

You know who needs to kept under control? It NOT women. It's pussy ass beta fags who make themselves a bitch for the state by becoming POLICY OFFICERS and AGENTS of this violent monopolistic criminal enterprise known as "the state." Those men are the ones who use GUNS to FORCE compliance with the edicts of some priest in black robes. They are the ones who will arrest or kill you for having forbidden plants.

As soon as the People no longer legitimize their "authority" there will be no such thing as divorce rape

KorianHUN • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 06:09 AM

I want children and without marriage the woman can just take them and i won't risk that.

redpillschool • 19 points • 29 March, 2016 01:57 PM

Like selling your shares off to an entrusted friend or family member before filing a divorce, This constitutes fraud, and family courts will rule on a much weaker burden of proof than criminal court.

Clint_Redwood • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 02:03 PM

I know, but you can still get away with it depending on the circumstances and the amount of time you do it in. Best to advice your accountant on your options. It's very contextual.

HS-Thompson • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 02:29 PM

The better way to do it is with trusts, but in both cases it's something you have to do well, well in advance of the divorce for it to be useful, which means it's unlikely to apply in most cases where it actually matters.

[deleted] • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 02:48 PM

It's time the law changed, how should she be entitled to half of his company earnings? She played no role in any of it and there is no reason to give her that much anyways. You decide not to be with the person fine, here's some cash and gtfo. You shouldn't get the best of both worlds. If you wanted to live like a billionaire then thats one of the perks of staying married to one.

thismaytakeawhile • 4 points • 31 March, 2016 11:27 PM*

[deleted]

What is this?

boscoist • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 11:52 PM

In CA for a longer marriage, the verbiage justifying 50% is to maintain the marital quality of life for the lower earning or unemployed spouse if memory serves.

[deleted] • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 12:54 AM

Still if you want a divorce you can't expect to live the same life style, that's part of being with that person. You shouldn't be able to pick and choose what parts of a relationship you want to keep, it's either all or nothing.

boscoist • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 01:49 AM

welcome to commie-fornia. just fyi, this law is not sexist guys who stay home get the same consideration.

Boozt • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 04:27 PM

In Atlanta, there was news recently of a bunch restaurants that "suddenly" closed down. Owner/Chef gets divorced, wife wants more, chef would rather give her the chain of restaurants than more money. She gets business, fails miserably from the start and when the doors suddenly shut a few months later it makes the news because none of the employees had any idea that the place was going south.

Canadaismyhat • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 03:59 PM

A bank appraiser will come in and ballpark all your assets, equipment, vehicles, buildings, land, everything. Which depending on the industry can be seriously over inflated. Then you have to come up with half of that figure and pay your wife.

OK so... you have no idea what you're talking about. If you agree to abide by the findings of a "neutral" third party appraiser you deserve to lose everything you do.

[deleted] • 211 points • 29 March, 2016 07:34 AM

makes me fucking sick. Even if this worthless bitch understood this she'd probably still be ok with it. I really hope she doesn't get half as much as people are predicting.

no_face • 70 points • 29 March, 2016 07:15 AM

Women are dangerous and unpredictable. Go close but don't get attached. Hit and Quit.

[deleted] • 12 points • 29 March, 2016 01:49 PM

Women are a risk with negative and positive consequences. It is up to you to minimize the negative impact on your life and projects and enhance the positive impact a woman can have on your life.

-TheOutsid3r • 86 points • 29 March, 2016 08:10 AM

Take a look at European ballots and the voting patterns of women. They're currently voting away the Western world and liberal progressive societies in overwhelming numbers. We're speaking 85% of Green Party voters being female, making up nearly 2/3rd of all women allowed to vote with the

majority of the rest falling on similar parties, single handedly propelling these parties into government.

You really think this women has any issues with sinking both these companies no matter what that means as long as it profits her personally?

kulrajiskulraj • 134 points • 29 March, 2016 08:50 AM

Man the biggest red pill I took was when I realized women's suffrage marks the beginning of the end for a civilization.

nrjk • 27 points • 29 March, 2016 10:55 AM

In my younger college days, I would have these long, drawn out discussions/arguments with my dad about various things and I remember him stating this fact and me trying to counter it. He went logically through the theory and now...well, let's say over the course of 10-12 years, I concede to his position.

xRadix • 51 points • 29 March, 2016 12:56 PM

"When I was a boy of fourteen, my father was so ignorant I couldn't stand it. When I turned 21, I was shocked at what the old man had learned in seven years"

Mark Twain I think.

Trigger_Warnings • 43 points • 29 March, 2016 11:59 AM

At 10 I thought my dad knew everything.

At 20 I thought my dad knew nothing.

At 30 I conceded my dad was right about some things.

At 40 I realized he was right all along.

renzy77 • 31 points • 29 March, 2016 12:59 PM

Here's the rub: by the time you realize you're dad was right about a lot of things, you generally have a son of your own who thinks you don't know shit.

sweetleef • 19 points • 29 March, 2016 12:23 PM

"When I was a boy of 14, my father was so ignorant I could hardly stand to have the old man around. But when I got to be 21, I was astonished at how much the old man had learned in seven years." — Mark Twain

Whitified • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 06:10 PM

Well my dad is extremely BP, so at 20 i thought he knew nothing. Now i know he knows nothing

thetotalpackage7 • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 12:43 AM

And at 60... wouldnt it be great if he was still around.

ITS_JUST_2015_BRO • 57 points • 29 March, 2016 09:38 AM*

Its great that people are waking up to this.

Ultimately I think the solution to most of our problems is changing voting rights to be like

those of Singapore. Give most voting power to married couples who own property and are in their 40s, with kids. This ensures stable, conservative values, with an interest in whats best for both children and future generations.

FUCK giving voting rights to KIDS who are 18.

[deleted] • 117 points • 29 March, 2016 10:45 AM

Baby boomers give zero fucks about future generations.

Organicdancemonkey- • 27 points • 29 March, 2016 11:35 AM

There are many diseases which plague our society.

Zeitgeist420 • 7 points • 30 March, 2016 01:18 AM

Sad that the "greatest generation" went home and made the generation that sold our country down the river to line their own pockets. Oh well, I didn't make it but it's still mine to destroy.

Whitified • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 06:20 PM

We are definitely not baby boomers. Our birth rate is at an all time low and our government is importing in foreigners by the thousands. Singapore is almost 50% expats as of today.

With that, comes all the political campaigns about how we shouldn't be "racist" or "xenophobic"

Just like every other country in the West, i guess.

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 07:15 PM

I am referencing a generation in the states that are commonly called the baby boomers who are in their 50s right now. The majority of people I meet in that age range are the most selfish, entitled, lazy people I've ever met.

Spidertech500 • 18 points • 29 March, 2016 10:16 AM **[recovered]**

Genuine question, as men aren't we partially to blame for not voting (except in this election (Trump support)) Men don't seem to turn out at all, women (especially white women) is the largest voting block there is. I mean you see the Democratic side attempting to import so many people that they won't ever need the white male vote but they can only die that because "good men do Nothing" or am missing something?

xBonerDetective • 16 points • 29 March, 2016 01:49 PM

Men are too busy working. At their jobs.

Spidertech500 • 18 points • 29 March, 2016 02:25 PM **[recovered]**

For a 30 min voting session once every 2 years? That secures the free world full of virtue and liberty? That sounds like a shitty excuse.

xBonerDetective • 16 points • 29 March, 2016 02:26 PM

It is. Voting should be a federal holiday.

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 03:32 PM*

[permanently deleted]

pancake_friday • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 11:49 AM

Singapore has moved away from that system. It's now everyone who is a citizen aged 21+.

ITS_JUST_2015_BRO • 23 points • 29 March, 2016 11:54 AM

They are starting to follow the globalist elites now. They will slowly kill themselves now that Lee Yuan Kew is gone. I hear much of their young population hate him for marxist reasons. They dont care that he took them from 3rd world to 1st in a single generation, its all "but freedom of press!".

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 12:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 02:10 PM

Singapore sluts are an absolute shitshow, I can confirm evrything in this comment.

On the one hand, they have a massive entitlement complex. They are not required to undergo mandatory military service like all the males, etc.

On the other hand, they have the same type of "Everyone is equal but I'm more equal than you" mentality that western feminists seem to have inherited from Orwell's *Animal Farm*.

So yeah, singapore girls are nothing special.

Singapore is just like LA except everyone is asian and the beaches are clean.

Whitified • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 06:28 PM

It's hard to believe but look up something called the Woman's charter, it makes divorce rape in the US look gentle lol.

LOL remember when they banned soldiers from singing "misogynistic" songs?

I wanted to kill someone

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 12:28 AM

Singapore is an authoritarian bureaucratic nightmare.

This is the place that charges you more for a certificate of registration on a car than the actual car itself. Where its illegal to fit an aftermarket exhaust when the OEM ones rots out and fails because the car is considered "modified" and forfeits its original registration document.

They also banned chewing gum without a prescription.

Whitified • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 06:16 PM*

Okay, Singaporean here. **You DON'T WANT TO BECOME LIKE US.**

Give most voting power to married couples who own property and are in their 40s, with kids.

I have no idea where you pulled this one out from. Every adult citizen can vote. Every one. Yes, all women, and even some foreigners.

Oh did i mention all male citizens also have to serve 2 years in the military? But EVERYONE gets to vote?

Did i also mention there's only ONE real party, and the other parties consist of amateurs/part-timers/janitors/taxi-drivers, who will return to their jobs immediately after the elections?? (and some opposition parties are probably even paid by the government to exist)

Like i said, you don't want your voting rights to be like us

IVlaskerade • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 02:42 PM

FUCK giving voting rights to KIDS who are 18.

I'd support something similar to the system outlined in Starship Troopers - basic citizenship does not come with voting rights, voting rights are granted after you complete your military service.

Not only would mandatory military training lead to a generation of people who aren't fucktards about guns and strengthen the second amendment, it would also beat the stupid out of them.

Inoffensiveparadox • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 02:27 AM

A mandate of military training/service for all only justifies continuing conflict to benefit the military industrial complex

destraht • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 12:24 PM

Men die young under communism due to ego rape and the women just live out to be even more miserable old women. Women are far more inclined to communism and all of that shit.

redpillschool • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 01:58 PM

We're speaking 85% of Green Party voters being female, making up nearly 2/3'rd of all women allowed to vote with the majority of the rest falling on similar parties, single handedly propelling these parties into government.

You really think this women has any issues with sinking both these companies no matter what that means as long as it profits her personally?

+1 Point

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 09:26 AM

[permanently deleted]

ArkAngelEV • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:45 PM

I would be in that courtroom with a bag of popcorn

questionthis • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:54 PM

No, she's probably gonna do one more personal and vindictive towards Elon.

She's gonna offer to let him keep his shares in exchange for everything he has. Make him choose between everything he's built or everything he stands to gain.

phrostbyt • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 04:15 PM

why not? why don't you sue her?

brodamon • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 04:44 PM

what if she was an agent of the worldwide oil interests? :O

rebuildingMyself • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:53 PM

Welcome to western civilization. Give women an inch, they'll take a mile.

BluepillProfessor • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:56 PM

you're telling me some divorce raping bitch could halt the single most stalwart force in green and sustainable energy along with space exploration?

People say the dire warnings by Terpers are overblown. I don't think so. I am just beginning to think the "women haters" of TRP and even MGTOW may be right after all.

This story is truly beyond words.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:26 PM

I'm seeing this a lot around here lately. An influx of people still caught in the anger phase.

Can we charge with woman with crimes against humanity?

This woman isn't hindering humanity's progress, Elon is. He has no one to blame but himself. He should have seen this coming, and now the world may very well suffer because of his weakness.

lyspr • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:11 PM

Yeah. It'd be a shame if she had an accident though. Big dangerous world out there, and all.

[deleted] 30 March, 2016 04:23 AM

[permanently deleted]

tripfecta • 1 point • 31 March, 2016 09:52 AM

Ridiculous, isn't it?

The progress of literally the ENTIRE human race may be impeded to address the selfishness and feels of ONE undeserving bitch.

Don't get married unless it's for direct gain in some form. Even then, do it wisely, and think with your brain, not with your dick or heart.

My ex wife of 5 years had secretly stopped taking her pill (after we had agreed on not having kids prior to getting married, and because she was becoming more and more of an insufferable bitch, and I was having none of it) trying to trap me. I called her on it (I used to check her pills every day) and we separated.. then I discovered she was dating someone while trying to reconcile with me.

I knew she'd try to get alimony (I had a decent IT tech support gig), so I bided my time:

When I couldn't stand it anymore, I quit my job, got a government loan, started grad school, THEN filed for divorce.

When she asked for alimony during the divorce hearing (predictable), the judge said,

"He's living on a government loan, he doesn't have a job, he's a full time student, AND you've kicked him out of the house.. are you serious? You can't get anything. "

THE look on her face was almost worth the years of suffering. That was the last time I saw her.

Point is I planned my escape to rectify my error. He could have planned his (twice?). He's certainly intelligent enough. Do it again? With the same bitch? Some people are brilliant, but just haven't suffered enough negative experiences at the hands of a woman to understand how they should handle them.

There's "book sense", and there's "common sense".

summersss • 1 point • 5 June, 2016 02:50 PM

wow. Never thought of it like that.

KurrKurr • 35 points • 29 March, 2016 08:05 AM

Hahahaha! This is golden! So these stupid, medieval divorce rape laws, that facilitate the promiscuity of todays women ultimately block progress for all of humanity.

Time to rethink this shit!

Goomich • 29 points • 29 March, 2016 10:05 AM

So these stupid, medieval divorce rape laws

They're actually very not medieval.

hyugafan • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 04:54 PM

Henry the 8th had his bitch beheaded and proceeded to keep his kingdom. I fucking wish divorce court was medieval.

IllimitableMan • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 09:17 PM*

Also created an entirely new sect of Christianity because the pope wouldn't give him an annulment, which later on caused massive religious division in Ireland between Catholics and protestants resulting in the division of Ireland into Ireland and Northern Ireland.

Yeah, because a king was horny and couldn't get a divorce centuries ago, he made an entirely new Church, which later on resulted in religious conflict between two different sects of the same religion. Is actually quite pathetic.

Entegrator • 49 points • 29 March, 2016 07:59 AM

Okay, okay. This will not affect Tesla or SpaceX. They will not loose 50% of founder shares lol. That is not capital gains and that is not how the market works. He will loose 50% of any profits from stock he has sold which is pretty much none. No companies will be sinking because of this.

sansuser • 29 points • 29 March, 2016 08:28 AM [recovered]

Unrealized gains can be captured.

redpillschool • 13 points • 29 March, 2016 02:02 PM

Unrealized gains can be captured.

Net worth of anything gained during the marriage is my understanding. If he holds an asset that gained value during the marriage, she owns half that gain. They will force him to sell if he can't get cash.

fullhalf • 20 points • 29 March, 2016 12:32 PM

do you know how the cfo of enron got out of it before it collapsed? he and his wife had an agreement to divorce, she got the judge to force him to sell all his shares in enron. so he wasn't an inside trader. he had to sell it for the divorce. a few months later, enron collapsed. so yes, a judge can force one to sell his shares in a company to split the assets.

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 07:25 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Ill_mumble_that • 48 points • 29 March, 2016 07:41 AM

Nah he'll just marry another hoe and lose it all to the next one.

[deleted] • 25 points • 29 March, 2016 07:45 AM

you're right... we need to teach him some RP, quick

Trigger_Warnings • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 12:01 PM

You can lead a horse to water but you can't make it drink.

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 March, 2016 08:19 AM

Nah let him perish. He doesn't want to be saved

akrebsie • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 10:08 AM

I don't think you are getting it dude, if he was a a raving lunatic or a screaming tumblerina feminist with what he is doing and has done he is more valuable than the next thousand people combined. Even if you don't believe climate change is man made or that space travel is important for humanity, the technology he and his company are producing and the people he is inspiring may save civilisation from completely self imploding.

xBonerDetective • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 01:48 PM

Whoa whoa whoa.

Share ownership isn't capital gains.

Musk's first wife went after his two companies in their divorce, and the court told her to go fuck herself.

I agree with your article, he's being/he is a fucking pussy, but his companies are not in any danger whatsoever from the divorce proceedings.

mcavvacm • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 09:05 AM

Well to be fair, if he got married again to the same woman it's his own fault for being a massive tool really.

No_NSWF_at_Work • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 03:51 PM

So you telling me that the future of humanity into a cleaner energy car and future of space exploration got fucked by a woman? FUCK

tedcase • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 01:39 PM

Tesla shareholder here.

Fuck.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 01:46 PM

This can't be true

mrj0ker • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:35 PM

Oh my fucking god, the one glimmer of hope for humanity's progress is going to get kneecapped. Great

Real_Pokemon • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 04:19 PM

Ayyy lpao.

Absolutely love it. Finally, we could catch a break from this guy.

mrkrabz1991 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:14 PM

This is flats out false. Only assets he aquired since the start of the marriage are up for division. He started SpaceX and Tesla over 10 years ago, so they aren't on the table.

Five_Decades • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:09 PM

That is really sad. Elon is a hero of mine because he sees what is wrong with the world, and then he goes out and he tries to fix it. I have neither the innate talent or ambition to do that. Most people don't, but people like Musk do.

I really hope this doesn't sink his companies. All over a small time actress. Sad stuff.

foldpak111 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:16 PM

Women, once again, ruin everything. I bet that little cumslut doesn't even care about Elon's plans for the future to catapult the human race. As long as she gets to live like the rich kids of Instagram, fuck it.

Women.

FortunateBum • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 12:13 AM

If I were a cynical person I'd say she tricked him into remarrying just to get around the pre-nup. Good thing I don't believe a woman could ever be this devious.

TheBeardedMarxist • 18 points • 29 March, 2016 09:30 AM

He didn't get raped the first time. She is back for more now. Lol

ArkAngelEV • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 02:50 PM

hold on to your butts! She's coming with a strap on

TheSelfGoverned • -1 points • 29 March, 2016 06:51 AM

I've just lost all respect for him.

grachuss • 27 points • 29 March, 2016 07:00 AM

All great men have flaws. His just happens to be the opposite of Arnold Schwarzenegger and Bill Clinton's

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:14 PM

wha? they all have the same flaw: they dont understand hoes.

Alphaspire • 56 points • 29 March, 2016 07:01 AM

The man has affected the course of humanity in a way >99.999% of people ever will. He's potentially losing more than almost any 1000 of us will ever gain. "Losing all respect" for him is idiotic. Learn from both his successes and his mistakes, but never believe is colossal achievements are somehow less commanding of respect because he wasn't RP enough in his relationships.

[deleted] • 42 points • 29 March, 2016 07:17 AM

I don't know.... didnt you lose a bit of respect for S.Jobs when it was found out his cancer was curable, but he insisted with that retarded natural medicines? Being brilliant in one sector doesnt make you any less of a dumbass in others. Musk is a brilliant entrepreneur, but obviously in his personal life is a bit naive and stupid.

Alphaspire • 25 points • 29 March, 2016 07:24 AM

Certainly, I lost respect for Jobs for many reasons, your reason among them. In fact, I think he was a real douchebag and don't like who he seemed to be, but I still have respect for him, his achievements, and many of his methods. The point I was responding to was "having lost all respect" for Musk.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 02:25 PM

there is nothing wrong with *natural* medicine. Aspirin is a natural medicine and it works fine. There is everything wrong with bogus cures and avoiding things that work for things that have no scientific basis. It just goes to show that these guys, Jobs and Musk, are the equivalent of idiot savants. Their brilliance is very vertical. Put them to a specific kind of problem and let them churn away and on the other end something beautiful will come out.

But these idiot savants need to have guidance away from their area of expertise. Once they start to believe in their own godhood, the inevitable result is disaster.

Everyone should look to their lessons and try to apply it to their own lives.

TheSelfGoverned • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 07:20 AM

If it was one, maybe two divorces, then he could simply be hopelessly optimistic about the true nature of women. But two times to the same woman? It is clear that he doesn't respect himself.

ArkAngeLEV • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 02:51 PM

I have to agree. Its like when women have a physical revulsion to weak pathetic men... men have a revulsion to other men who have no ... self pride

Jigsus • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 07:29 AM

I honestly think elon musk has some sort of autism spectrum disorder. If you see him responding to questions and anything other than a precanned speech you will see him stammer and stutter. Avoiding

eye contact is also one of his big things.

pachewychomp • 18 points • 29 March, 2016 08:00 AM

I think it is because he is carefully thinking about what he will say.

When he is just talking about normal shit, he can say whatever he wants. When he is talking about rockets, cars, hyperloops, and solar panels, the things he says are going to be scrutinized by scientists, investors, redditors, and in the end, stock prices can be affected with millions lost or gained in the ebb and flow of the media whims.

ArkAngelEV • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:44 PM

Some people truly are masochists

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:35 PM

Wtf. This is the 2nd divorce he is getting from the SAME woman! Can you imagine getting divorced raped twice from the same person? Wth.

Theres some snake oil mail order pill on the market for prostate problems called Super Beta Max. Now whenever I see an ad for it I'll be thinking of Elon Musk.

MattyAnon • 207 points • 29 March, 2016 06:48 AM

First divorce from her cost \$4.2 Million

Second divorce from her will cost him \$2-3 Billion

If he marries her a third time, this could start costing him serious money

lone_wanderer101 • 160 points • 29 March, 2016 11:46 AM **[recovered]**

So she will join the ranks of the ~1500 billionaires in the world by divorce raping. We have reached new lows.

LasherDeviance • 76 points • 29 March, 2016 04:33 PM

I find it funny that only one female billionaire in the world actually made her money herself. (Oprah Winfrey)

The rest of them got their money through inheritance or divorce.

AdamJaz • 101 points • 29 March, 2016 04:50 PM

Incorrect. See JK Rowling or Judy Faulkner

[deleted] • 19 points • 29 March, 2016 07:46 PM

I never thought of Rowling or Faulkner as billionaires... wow

lone_wanderer101 • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 01:42 PM

btw rowling lost her billionaire status because she gave away too much to charity..

RedPillHorse • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 08:35 PM

This is a woman?

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 02:16 AM

Still prettier than Australia's richest person.

Dextline • 16 points • 29 March, 2016 04:40 PM

If by funny you mean tragic.

It's an epidemic of gold digging whores, as a wise man once said.

KartagoPill • 43 points • 29 March, 2016 10:20 AM

Fool me once shame on you fool me twice shame on me

sisyphusthefool17 points 29 March, 2016 02:26 PM **[recovered]**

Besides this chick which he's now divorced 2 times, he also got divorced before from a different women.

So...fool me 3 times? Seriously, I have no words

zylo47 • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 10:11 PM

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=eKgPY1adc0A>

[deleted] • 17 points • 29 March, 2016 02:39 PM

You tell me, what sort of pussy could you buy with 2-3 billion? I'd wager that it's of higher quality than this tired old hoe's.

bluedrygrass • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 08:38 PM

See, at least if she was a man, it could be argued he fucked perfectly good. But she's a woman, so she most likely cannot comprehend handjobs, gives sloppy blowjobs and can't fuck to save her life! Not even most pornstars are good at fucking or do anything more than just lie there! No fucking pussy is worth that money, a hole is a hole is a hole.

[deleted] • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 01:08 AM

For 500 million, he could easily have a 1000\$ an hour prostitute for 24/7 , every day for at least the rest of his life. Imagine 2-3 billion. Crazy fucking money.

A prostitute is cheaper than a wife.

alpha_buffalo • 2 points • 4 April, 2016 07:29 PM

With all the engineering and mathematics those are very simple simple calculations. He could honestly set up a company where 12 people rotate monthly shifts for 12 months, no one ever repeats a shift - a new person every month- each getting 1mil a month = p.a = 12,000,000 p.a on "pleasure expenses" assuming he still fucks till he's 80, that would cost \$432m he would have had 432 different partners. Along the way someone would accept just living with him with no marriage license ffs!

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:07 AM

For that kind of money you could buy a harem of women of all races and shapes, and then kill off their shitty female behavior.

eccentricrealist • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 08:42 PM

I think you could fuck absolutely any pussy you want. Married, single, hell, with that kind of money there's no limits. If you have enough to literally influence the world, you can get your wildest dreams

realized.

pcadrian • 20 points • 29 March, 2016 01:48 PM **[recovered]**

It's his fucking mistake for not learning his lesson the first time. Why would any one remarry a woman who divorced him.... it boggles my mind.

MattyAnon • 15 points • 29 March, 2016 04:27 PM

And without a prenup after losing so much cash the first time..

voomer53 • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 05:06 PM

Somebody please send him the link to TRP.....

WardlyHasted1 points 29 March, 2016 03:16 PM **[recovered]**

Maybe if she gets enough of his money, by the time the third divorce rolls around HE can divorce rape HER.

MattyAnon • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 04:29 PM

Except that women don't marry poorer men...

alpha_buffalo • 1 point • 4 April, 2016 07:18 PM

Makes me teary eyed. 2-3 whole Billion dollars? For what EXACTLY?

MattyAnon • 1 point • 4 April, 2016 08:43 PM

babysitting... and if she's like most women, nagging. Also, she's had to suffer being married to one of the richest and most respected men in the world.

As a man, I can't even begin to imagine her suffering.

Two thousand million.... she deserves more.

hailhailhailandkill • 152 points • 29 March, 2016 07:34 AM

IQ ≠ Social Intelligence

PUA Memorizing ≠ TRP Mindset (see Adam Lyons)

Wealth ≠ Abundance Mentality

Fame ≠ Game

PostingIsFutile • 47 points • 29 March, 2016 05:36 AM

I strongly hope he does have a second prenup. Just splitting the assets could end up wrecking his companies if control passes into the wrong hands.

yomo86 • 17 points • 29 March, 2016 06:23 AM

After she realized that you cannot get a beta box higher on the totem pole. And this usually happens after she found herself and made a 'mistake'.

trp_angry_dwarf • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 01:09 AM

Fuck me, I wish I could get \$4million for all the mistakes I've made.

macardent • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 06:57 AM

I think he did and it was for 10 million dollars or something. I remember reading about his first divorce. I follow this man a lot, he is a genius. Read manY books. Should have picked up a book on pickup and he wouldn't be in this ruin.

daedaluswing • 44 points • 29 March, 2016 06:27 AM [recovered]

It's not just his second time getting divorce-raped by an ungrateful woman, as mentioned in the article.

They have no children together, but Musk has five sons from a previous marriage to author Justine Musk (Marie Claire called theirs "America's messiest divorce").

Justine's own fucking article is here. It's actually a repost by her because she can't get enough of her own ego-stroking. Nevertheless, it's a great look into the minds of both betabuxes like Elon and these Amazonian warriors.

One excerpt that resonated with me:

I needed our life to change. I didn't want to be a sideline player in the multimillion-dollar spectacle of my husband's life. I wanted equality. I wanted partnership.

Pretty much exactly what I was told as a girl dumped me. This happened as I went from nonchalant alpha to needy, supplicating beta. The more I got sucked into her frame, the more my acts of alpha became unacceptable acts of rebellion to her. (ie. the more I actually treated her as my equal, the more she resented me)

TehRoot29 points 29 March, 2016 07:28 AM* [recovered]

When I first met Elon, I wasn't blonde, either. I was an aspiring writer in my first year at Queen's University in Ontario, Canada, sprung from a small hometown and recovering from a difficult case of first love with the older man I'd left behind. I liked older. I liked poetic and rebellious and tortured. I liked a guy who parked his motorcycle beneath my dorm-room window and called my name through the twilight: Romeo in a dark-brown leather jacket.

Jesus fucking christ the first two sentences alone.

TheVictor333 • 47 points • 29 March, 2016 05:40 AM

This woman is going to cost him millions (possibly billions), but if Musk is forced to sell his shares to satisfy the divorce settlement, then it may cost investors millions as well.

[deleted] • 46 points • 29 March, 2016 12:31 PM

Not even that... It could cost HUMANITY as a whole.

james-watson[S] • 26 points • 29 March, 2016 05:53 AM

The gift that keeps on giving...

[deleted] • 165 points • 29 March, 2016 06:43 AM

Space colonization gets set back decades because of a fucking divorce rape! Just fuck my shit up, fam!

[deleted] • 35 points • 29 March, 2016 07:15 AM

this bitch needs to be hanged.

steelerfaninperu • 32 points • 29 March, 2016 11:47 AM

Let's hold off before we see what she actually pushes for and gets.

Just because an article speculates that she's "entitled" to a certain amount doesn't mean she'll actually go for that amount. She took 4.5 million out of a whopping 600 the first time around. That's a great deal by modern standards.

boscoist • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 06:25 PM

Its CA, you are entitled to 50% +permanent support if the marriage was longer than 10 years IIRC.
Thats the default, she may agree to less.

VasilyZaitzev • 44 points • 29 March, 2016 06:28 AM*

No one to blame but himself.

The only positive for him is that he's rich beyond avarice.

California is one of the most fucked up states when it comes to divorce/alimony....and merely not getting married isn't enough, as, while California doesn't technically have "common law marriage", a person's property can still be subject to a "Marvin Claim", aka "Palimony" if a couple lives together.

I have a long term buddy - like from 1st grade - who turned out to be gay, and was a big SSM supporter. I used to tell him, *"You only want this because someone is telling you you can't have it. When it's finally legal in all 50 states there's going to be a triumphant shout and then you guys are all going to say... 'WHAT THE FUCK DID WE JUST DO?!?!' Srsly. I've been avoiding it for decades."*

james-watson[S] • 21 points • 29 March, 2016 06:32 AM

Silicon Valley has a massive Gay Mafia. Tim Cook, Peter Thiel, Sam Altman, etc etc

Shit is gonna get real when one of these guys discovers the "perks" of legal marriage...

Hoodwink • 45 points • 29 March, 2016 10:29 AM

If marriage is going to be fixed, it'll probably be because of homosexual men.

Seriously.

ArkAngelEV • 17 points • 29 March, 2016 02:57 PM

It will always be because men. We are the spear

[deleted] • 6 points • 30 March, 2016 04:29 AM

Damn straight. That is how badly men are losing the battle. It is like gay men are an emergency seed bank of masculinity which can help re-educate emasculated blue pill men. They can help to wake up the sheep and remind them they are really wolves.

Let's look at some of our favourite influences in the red pill. Milo Yiannopolous, Chuck Palahniuk (author of fight club), Bret Easton Ellis (author of American Psycho), Jack Donovan. All gay.

Red pill men have much to learn from the gay community. It makes perfect sense, they are men and there are no corrupting female influences. Feminism has nothing to say about gay men, gay men are immune to women's bullshit. That is why feminists hate gay men, they cannot shame or control them.

What a wonderful paradox. Two extremities of the masculine spectrum with a common enemy and similar goals. Our common enemy: misandry, the criminalisation of our sexuality and feminism, our common goals: muscles, parties and sex haha!!

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 02:41 PM

Can you tell me more about the Gay Mafia? I know of the Ebay Mafia but I've never heard of this one.

AndreNowzick2 points 29 March, 2016 10:39 PM* [recovered]

this if false. Marvin Claim was never accepted & California doesn't accept palimony. Basically impossible.

"a person's property can still be subject to a "Marvin Claim", aka "Palimony" if a couple lives together."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palimony#Marvin_v._Marvin

"The Court went on to explain that while the state abolished common law marriage in 1896, California law recognizes non-marital relationship contracts. These contracts may be express or implied, oral or written—but they must be provable in any case. The contract may also provide for a sexual relationship as long as it is not a contract for sexual services. **Eventually, the California Court of Appeal ruled that since Michelle Triola and Lee Marvin never had a contract, she was not entitled to any money.**[6]"

VasilyZaitzev • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 05:31 AM

While Michelle Triola did not prevail in her particular case, California does recognize "Palimony" if the right circumstances are present, and such a claim is called a "Marvin Claim" after that case.

[deleted] • 74 points • 29 March, 2016 06:05 AM

I know people who are genius entrepreneurs and make millions a year by innovating . . . but they are still clueless beta brainwashed slaves to women. It's like, you'd think they'd figure it out, but so much of their brainpower has been directed at their work, that they just take the image that women present to them for granted. What little they know of women comes from personal experience in succumbing to female artifice and the media, which bolsters said artifice.

Part of the problem is that in the past, there was no internet, so men couldn't compare notes. Also, even now that there is the internet, many men are still in the oldmedia habit of not ferretting out information valuable to them; instead they just passively consume whatever drek comes across their screen.

It's bad enough that he got married in the first place, let alone divorced raped, but now he's getting divorce raped again, and by the same woman. What sort of bullshit must he have swallowed to get suckered into remarrying her? Is it possible that a criminal gang is masterminding this? Are they slipping him drugs or something? It seriously makes NO sense that a guy with his intellect and accomplishments would make these kinds of mistakes, especially given his experience. Either that, or it's some sort of evolutionary display of plenty to attract more women, because you know, dumping bottles of expensive booze onto the floor is SO 1990's. In the 2010's, you display your massive wealth by allowing yourself to be repeatedly divorce-raped! Think about all the gold-diggers he's going to attract now, lololol, as if he doesn't have enough coming after him.

james-watson[S] • 64 points • 29 March, 2016 06:23 AM*

I'm a multi-banned ex-member of Hacker News. You will never find a more wretched hive of cucks and faggotry.

One random example of a conversation regarding gender roles:

<https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=11221055>

Note: "dang" is a moderator. Everyone on the pro-biology side of the argument was banned.

Tech geniuses tend to be quite far on the Autism spectrum, and have a very narrow breadth of expertise. Sheldon Cooper is a poor stereotype, because he's actually quite knowledgeable about all the sciences. Most tech spergs are monsters of math and computer science, but don't know their anus from a microbial cell.

My approach on Hacker News was always focused on genetics, sexual dimorphism, sex specialization, etc, but I was unable to unplug the cucks and was banned repetitively. They're hopeless.

karmalizing • 20 points • 29 March, 2016 07:26 AM

Same here. Dang banned me last month, and asked me to make my case on email. Where he just spouted more of the same specious rhetoric and never unbanned me. He's a piece of work. And by that I mean a piece of shit.

cucumber_vaccine • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 07:33 AM **[recovered]**

They're hopeless.

Not all of us (not that I comment on HN anymore). Some of us unplugged. It's interesting how many tech types you see on places like TRP.

nothere_ • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 01:41 PM

Analytical, logical, sexually deprived?

supergilbert • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 11:45 AM

Yeah I gave up on Hackernews as well.

The hivemind is now too strong and too predictable. I think their biggest pitfall is their arrogance.

Basically they think their intelligence can dissect every phenomenon in the universe. But they refuse to believe in and don't see the absolute forces moving the world (like let's say.. male/female forces).

HN lacks..poetry, real art and imagination. It's actually a sad place (reddit isn't much better). I now try to read great book classics. I feel like my time is less wasted.

[deleted] • 23 points • 29 March, 2016 06:44 AM*

I just talked to a guy I've know for a few years, and some interesting details came to light. This guy is actually pretty alpha; an ex-bouncer who does martial arts; a guy who made enough money to buy several luxury cars and who lived in an affluent suburb. A guy with expertise in important technologies. Married a woman who didn't even give him his own children and who eventually left him. Raised a kid he adopted and the kid doesn't appreciate him and shits on him. Ex-wife still shits on him. He feeds them both with money and barely has any of his own. And he's completely okay with all of this and accepts it as normal. So, it's not just the sperg crowd. That's not the common denominator.

I think it's a combination of wishful thinking, hoping, fantasizing, imagining, and being fed a steady stream of myths from the mass culture. Also, and I know this is heresy, but NAWALT. There are women that are exceptions, or at least are exceptions for long enough periods of time to create hope. Like, you'll know a happy couple who have been married awhile, seem happy, seem to have an amazing sex life, have kids, the house, etc. Everything is perfect. And it gives you hope, so then you project all that onto some girl who doesn't even have 1/2 of the attributes of the girl in the successful relationship. Also, girls are constantly giving out fake signals.

IMO, a big part of dating is SIGINT; where both sides are constantly emitting a combination of false and true signals, and the winner discerns which is which and acts accordingly. Meaning, for example, a battle-scarred, veteran gold-digger happens upon an unassuming guy, and using her experience, winks out that he probably has money, and then runs her long con "I'm interested in you for YOU" game on him, and it works because she has learned to camouflage her true nature, and even though the unassuming guy has tried to camouflage his wealth, he still gets gold-dug because he leaked some important pieces of

info about his wealthy background; maybe it was shoes, or maybe he posted something on social media, or maybe a friend ratted him out. Conversely, we on TRP constantly talk about how to determine if a woman is a slut even if she appears not to be, etc. etc.

Overkillengine • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 07:57 AM

Doesn't help that natural human laziness leads a lot of men to try to use any form of preselection they can to avoid the effort of "forever vetting" a woman.

So they blindly trust a woman because she came from a particular background/culture/etc and get reamed when they should have been paying attention to the obvious red flags.

The irony of course being that just sticking to forever vetting would have cost far less over time.

kellykebab • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:07 PM

Conversely, we on TRP constantly talk about how to determine if a woman is a slut even if she appears not to be, etc. etc.

I've seen this topic come up casually in many threads, but have yet to run across credible-sounding, "definitive" posts, including anything in the sidebar. Are there any essays on TRP or elsewhere that you'd recommend that focus on this particular topic?

FortunateBum • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 12:21 AM

There are women that are exceptions, or at least are exceptions for long enough periods of time to create hope. Like, you'll know a happy couple who have been married awhile, seem happy, seem to have an amazing sex life, have kids, the house, etc. Everything is perfect. And it gives you hope, so then you project all that onto some girl who doesn't even have 1/2 of the attributes of the girl in the successful relationship.

I've got news for you. I've been around long enough to know that there aren't any perfect couples. They may appear that way for years. Decades even. But once they break up, you learn all the shit that was kept under wraps. AWALT

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 03:05 AM

Perception is reality though; if things appear perfect, then the mind registers them as perfect. Of course, you can speculate to yourself that they aren't, but if all you see is a happy couple, it creates the impression that you could attain that, or that the bad parts are outweighed by the good parts.

Clint_Redwood • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 11:33 AM

I can't understand how someone can blatantly disregard firmly understood principles of science and evolution? It's like everything applies to the animal kingdom except when it comes to humans. Somehow we are superior or above the millions of years of evolutionary design. Like our species just woke up one day with this fancy new brain and turned off all the stuff that got us there in the first place.

I've never visiting hacker news, I'm assuming it's tech centric sub of fairly educated individuals? Which baffles me even more.

ArkAngelEV • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 02:55 PM

And its hopeless to beat a lesson into stubborn children. I think we have to take the " when the student is

ready, a master will appear " philosophy

CommanderBlurf • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 08:21 PM

CleverNickName is Wil Wheaton's nick from Slashdot.

Rathadin • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:02 PM

Hmm, just read that exchange... he's an idiot.

Here's why. If you ignoring facts, even in pursuit of an agenda, you're a fucking idiot.

crazysiberian • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 11:19 AM

Haha, join the club. I've been hellbanned twice by dang (along with the IP I normally use) just for politely spelling out red-pill truths on Hacker News. That place is great for technology discussions... but on other topics it is a blue-pill circle jerk.

7yphoid • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:45 PM

Can confirm, Elon is pretty beta. He's a genius business and technology-wise, and he gets shit done, but beyond that, he's pretty awkward in his speech, sometimes makes crude and inappropriate jokes, and laughs with awkward timing. Basically every sign of a genius sperglord that made it because he's smart. Socially though, he's a bit behind, and evidently still has some life lessons to learn.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 01:00 AM

But the way many men become geniuses of science is by studying past science and scientists. Outside of the redpill, there is virtually ZERO reading material or real-world examples on how women and sexual attraction really work.

GayLubeOil • 67 points • 29 March, 2016 08:40 AM

Russian billionaires have a unique solution for this kind of situation.

Gelu_sf • 16 points • 29 March, 2016 10:38 AM

Would that be a concrete solution with a solid base under the arctic circle ?

nothere_ • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 02:28 PM

A tireless one with no breaks

ChadThundercockII • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 02:12 AM

I would relieve Musk of his back pain for a million dollars.

eccentricrealist • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 09:15 PM

A good billionaire has a good pig farm.

domoremath • 113 points • 29 March, 2016 05:37 AM

As someone going into tech soon, I'm quite pissed thinking about how much money he's going to lose, which could be used to fund new tech companies to benefit humanity.

TheSelfGoverned • 159 points • 29 March, 2016 06:56 AM*

But his wife *deserves* to buy a new \$10,000 Louis Vuitton handbag every weekend for life! After all, she is

the #1 beta bucks manipulator and divorce raper. She is at the top of her field, therefore she has earned the right to flaunt her wealth via massively overpriced consumerist garbage, and show her friends/peers that she is the best lying whore of them all.

rp_newdawn • 21 points • 29 March, 2016 04:26 PM

In a really fucked up way... Your sarcastic comment is absolutely right. She must be one of the most talented manipulators in the world today to get Musk to ignore the advice of EVERYBODY and marry her a SECOND time.

bluedrygrass • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 08:50 PM

The worst part is that she's probably not even that. You don't need skills or particular traits to get a male to get sick of that mortal morb called oneitis. Source: experience

FortunateBum • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 12:23 AM

Of course! Let's be honest, half of what he earned during their marriage is directly attributable to what she did. Marriage is a partnership, right?

bluedrygrass • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 08:49 PM

She is at the top of her field,

And in true womanly style, she did nothing in particular to end up there

TheSelfGoverned • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 09:42 PM

Besides talk and lay on her back. The usual.

trpthrowaway2003 • 82 points • 29 March, 2016 06:07 AM*

[deleted]

What is this?

ArkAngelEV • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:53 PM

wouldnt surprise me. You would think shes got the golden cunt

sansuser • 58 points • 29 March, 2016 08:24 AM **[recovered]**

THIS is the best argument against insane divorce settlements. Money should be at the hands of the person who made it.

A basketball player is likely to sponsor and setup a camp for kids to learn basketball.

A tech guy is going to fund the EFF, new technologies, new companies.

An industry captain is going to forge another new revolution by putting those dollars to proper use.

Think about the fucking difference Gates alone is making, he respects his dollar and is putting it to making the world a better place.

The best way to turn money into toilet paper is to give it to someone who doesn't respect it.

Every dollar that goes through my hands is multiplied in effect for my community, employees and providers. All my shit is locked up in undisclosed trusts, I know that Musk has a bit of his stuff secured away also, but he kept the majority in the open. He did the world a disservice by not proactively protecting his control of resources.

Musk in control of billions = better world. Wifey in control = more demand for high-end luxury products.

EDIT: "A" to "An" cause I'm fucking retarded.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 04:59 PM

Toilet paper is actually useful though.

lakalahehe • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:04 PM

putting it to making the world a better place.

Sure with depopulation and centralization of state power. 'better'

lone_wanderer101 • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 11:39 AM

im pissed because this will affect his influence at space x, which might sink the company..

dankvibez • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:41 PM

Tech... benefit humanity.... ROFL

We wouldn't have all this feminism bs if it weren't for technology. Feminism is the symptom, not the cause. If women are doing work high up on the pyramid they feel like they should have more. This is possible now because jobs do not require any physical strength. The further automation and technology advances women will become more powerful.

[deleted] • 62 points • 29 March, 2016 06:41 AM*

Hiring a tier-1 assassin to make an "accident" would be much more...financially sound.

I'm just saying...

Any person that's a threatening to take away millions/billions away from me will without a doubt be considered for assassination in my mind.

Edit: last line.

nzgs • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 01:37 PM

I agree, I'm shocked at just how few of these vapid women go "missing". Were men to take justice into their own hands more regularly then women might think twice before raping rich husbands. At least, in much of the world a woman would be risking her life to pursue a course of action like this, and rightly so.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 09:22 PM

You would think the Tesla/SpaceX/SolarCity board of directors and investors wouldn't just put a hit on her. She is potentially fucking with their company and their money.

7yphoid • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 11:51 PM

IDK man, ending someone's life is pretty fucked up. He had more money than he can spend anyway, and ending someone's life over something like this is pretty evil in my books. Besides, killing his eternal lover would probably bring him more unhappiness than just giving her a few billion. He's got plenty more where those came from.

WASPandNOTsorry • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:19 PM

It's too obvious and not worth the risk to be honest. Half of 10 billion is still 5 billion. It's better than rotting in prison for the rest of your life for murder.

It's unfair and infuriating but way too risky. They are gonna put a lot of men on your case because it's pretty much obvious that you had something to do with it.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:14 AM

5 billion in Musk's hands is not 5 billion in his bitch's hands. We are talking about human advancement here.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 May, 2016 09:09 PM

This, no question. For the betterment of humanity.

Oxdada • 30 points • 29 March, 2016 12:58 PM

A settlement in the *billions*. What is she, some kind of superhooker?

Guy builds multiple multi-billion dollar empires of technology that improves the lives of millions of people, has potential to mitigate global warming, and explore other planets, classic 100x genius that improves humanity.

Chick remarries him *a second time*, this time in California, rinses him for half of *estimated*, not net, value. Who's smart now?

You can see how this turns the guy into an evil super villain. Musk should take his companies and jobs and leave the U.S. as a matter of principle. Would love to see the scorched earth result of subjecting that guy to family court.

filling out evil henchmen application now...

goemon45 • 81 points • 29 March, 2016 06:02 AM

Fuck man I just wanna start a family one day

AmazonExplorer • 61 points • 29 March, 2016 06:07 AM

Me too. The only reason I haven't given up yet is that I strongly believe it has always been this way. Women have never been loyal, only difference is that men in the past have control and retribution for women who crossed them, either by violence or law.

I of course have entirely abandoned living a happy marriage with a nice wife, but I still want kids no matter what, especially a son. As fun a daughter would be, I'll probably just be disappointed in her becoming a "modern" woman, so I'd rather not have one.

[deleted] • 58 points • 29 March, 2016 06:25 AM

Good comment but you do want a daughter. I have a daughter and a son. I love them both equally. Have you heard the term "daddy's girl"? That is because girls worship their fathers. Apart from your mum (if you got lucky and had a great mum) your daughter will be the only female who loves you unconditionally. You will feel the same about her. I wish everyone here could experience having kids but romance and the nuclear family is being destroyed by feminism and online dating.

Anaxamandrous • 33 points • 29 March, 2016 06:42 AM

My older daughter is like this for me. Younger one doesn't love anything except pooping her diapers yet, but yeah, my older one thinks I'm fantastic.

rp_newdawn • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 04:29 PM

Look at Cristiano Ronaldo. He got the son he always wanted, no mother in sight, and he dates the

supermodel Irina Shayk. Patriarchy 2.0 will never exist, but high value men always have the option of refusing to play the matriarchy game (except for excessive taxation of course).

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 01:02 AM

Find a woman who was not raised in America, and consider reproducing with her.

silvertoken • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:57 PM

You could always become Amish.

bigcitytruth • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 08:39 PM

Your risk can be greatly reduced by holding your frame consistently, by treating the woman as a child and not an equal, by staying about 1-2 SMV points above the woman's and by injecting very subtle dread at regular intervals. Never emote or vent to her, or show any vulnerabilities (that's what your friends or shrink is for). Only choose a woman who embraces femininity (being "girly") and will take a man's last name in marriage, no hyphenated bullshit. While these points aren't a guarantee, you can bet this will greatly reduce your risk.

Sepean • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 06:47 AM

Just remember to not be a beta like Musk.

TheSelfGoverned • 12 points • 29 March, 2016 07:05 AM

And never sign any marriage documents.

Overkillengine • 13 points • 29 March, 2016 07:53 AM

Most worthless contract in existence right now. One would be better off financially finding someone they dislike and buying them a house.

Trigger_Warnings • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 12:06 PM

Meh. If you cohabit for more than two years here you still get divorce raped even if you never signed a contract.

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 03:24 PM

[permanently deleted]

Sepean • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 03:40 PM

Oh he told her! Was this on the first wedding night where he had just signed a contract entitling her to \$4 million whenever she wanted to leave, or the second wedding night where the amount was much higher?

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 12:30 PM

It's Russian roulette with 3 bullets instead of 1.

Purecorrupt • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:26 PM

Don't have bad luck. Don't be a loser. Don't be TOO successful?

always-be-closing • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:59 PM

Get your egg and surrogate fund started.

FortunateBum • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 12:24 AM

Surrogate mother, single dad. Only way.

[deleted] 29 March, 2016 08:42 AM

[permanently deleted]

KartagoPill • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 10:30 AM

Because Jesus and MURICA you commie.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 09:08 AM

I'm not from America so please educate me... Why does the man have to pay FIFTY PERCENT of his assets to the woman? I understand partially if there's a child involved, but why? What's the reasoning behind this law?

A woman can survive on her own, a child can't.

Does the same apply if a man divorces a woman? Does the woman have to give his man 50% as well?

This makes no sense, I'm trying to understand thid (I'm from China where patriarchy is still relatively strong)

Algernoq • 92 points • 29 March, 2016 06:08 AM

She claimed in interviews that she was a virgin when she married him, so presumably Elon Musk believes this, and will not adequately defend himself against the incoming divorce rape.

Maybe this time Musk will finally approach the problem of marriage with the same analysis and engineering he brings to rocket explosions.

I'm mailing a copy of "The Rational Male" to the SpaceX headquarters. Probably won't make it but Musk is my inspiration professionally, plus I might not get an electric car if he drives off a cliff into the Pacific.

pink_ego_box • 121 points • 29 March, 2016 07:49 AM

Yeah, right, a 25-year old English actress with Hollywood relations... She totally was a virgin.

midoriringo • 12 points • 29 March, 2016 07:38 AM

Include links to TRP, in case he hasn't found it yet.

lone_wanderer101 • 14 points • 29 March, 2016 11:43 AM [recovered]

doubt he wastes time surfing reddit, he has 2 companies to run.

Ika- • 1 point • 31 March, 2016 08:04 AM

Let us know how it goes. How sad

AnthonyMimming • 22 points • 29 March, 2016 09:51 AM [recovered]

Wouldnt it be amazing if Elons divorce settlement bullshit starts a nationwide public debate/revolution on asset and monetary splitting during divorce?

I mean there are millions of people all over the world who are emotionally involved in the actions of Elons companies.

This divorce *might* spark a change in divorce law if it becomes high profile enough.

I mean, imagine all those millions of people when they find out that Tesla and other companies no longer exist because of 1 bitch who did none of the work.

This might be a good thing. Not likely, but might.

shadowchicken85 • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 01:17 PM

Let us hope that you are right.

thefisherman1961 • 17 points • 29 March, 2016 06:02 AM

Lessons Learned: Don't get married!

FLFTW16 • 109 points • 29 March, 2016 07:05 AM

This woman needs to have an "accident." The break up of normal couples doesn't effect the trajectory of **the entire human species**. But this divorce will effect SpaceX and may therefore damage our transition into a spacefaring species. Is this the "great filter" great minds have postulated exists to stop intelligent lifeforms from expanding out beyond their home worlds? For the species--this woman must be sacrificed.

Clint_Redwood • 37 points • 29 March, 2016 11:25 AM*

I oddly agree with you but I'd rather see the divorce laws refined than just Elon getting an exemption.

No man has ever held this much influence to the human race and it's continuance. He's literally made it his life's work to colonize mars and further the human race. The laws in place are giving this woman the ability to destroy all of that.

cdtCPTret • 19 points • 29 March, 2016 01:50 PM

Well clearly his priorities were more along the lines of: 1) get married 2) colonize Mars if there's any money/etc. left over

saml01 • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 11:43 AM

"SpaceX begins manned test flights, wife first volunteer."

[deleted] • 21 points • 29 March, 2016 10:14 AM

Perhaps sexually dimorphic species all experience a great filter. I think reproduction and the drives and affects it has on humans could be a huge part of it.

The small weak reproductive sex that man can't help but love keeps fucking things up and holding us all back on trivial matters.

Maybe only a hivemind or asexually reproducing species can evolve to the point of space travel.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 02:58 PM

Also hivemind \neq redpill. An hivemind is the pipe dream of tyrants. Think 1984, The matrix, the Borg or Brave new world.

lemurmort • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:51 AM

The small weak reproductive sex that man can't help but love keeps fucking things up and holding us all back on trivial matters.

This also goes for low IQ people.

Linoran • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 08:23 AM

I see where you're coming from, but ultimately this is Elons fault. If not her then it would be someone else. Hopefully this 3rd divorce will wake him up, as you said, for the sake of our species.

nzgs • 7 points • 29 March, 2016 01:39 PM

Many scientists and geniuses have almost autistic social failings. It wouldn't surprise me if he learned nothing from this. It wouldn't be the first time a genius inventor was manipulated and exploited by others.

EvrythingISayIsRight • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:20 PM

Now I can see why OJ did what he did. He was about to get divorce raped from a cheating bitch

[deleted] • 32 points • 29 March, 2016 07:16 AM

Elon Musk is working towards humanity colonising Mars, and based on prior history he just may.

It may be a but hyperbolic, but if you were looking at the human race from a risk management perspective. Elon Musk would be the single most important human alive.

This divorce could put everything in jeopardy.

It's hard to say but the world and the species would be better off if this Chick just dropped dead.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 10:16 AM

It would be fucking miles better. This human may be worse for the world than most dictators. I genuinely wish death on her.

Hateblade • 29 points • 29 March, 2016 07:17 AM

Humanity's best and perhaps only chance at becoming an Interstellar race? Lost, at the tear-streaked whimsy of a female.

hyugafan • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 05:02 PM

We honestly don't deserve to be an interstellar species if that's all it takes

Cristoff13 • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 07:59 AM

This woman is a grandmaster gold digging psycho bitch. When a regular gold digger divorces a guy, she often allows herself to slip into hatred. Not Talulah.

When she divorced Elon the first time, she realized he still had some assets left and, against all logic, he still held feelings for her. So she kept things warm and friendly, and somehow convinced him to marry her again. She'll make sure to plunder him good and hard this time so she won't have to go back for round three.

I mean, this icky beta would have given her zero tingles, yet she would have had to fuck him at least a few times and pretend to like it. In her mind she earned her payday fair and square.

Rollo-Tomassi • 13 points • 29 March, 2016 03:58 PM

<http://therationalmale.com/2013/09/19/the-curse-of-potential/>

One of the most frustrating things I've had to deal with in this life is knowing men with incredible potential who, for whatever reason, never realize it (or as fully) because they deliberately limit themselves due to a Beta mindset. Whether it's potential for success due to a particular talent, the potential of their socio-economic state and affluence, or simply dumb luck that put them into a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity, their blue-pill ignorance or pride, or rule-bound duty to the feminine imperative thanks to their Beta frame of

mind, hold them back from really benefitting from it.

God forbid you'd have to cooperate with a guy like this in a business or creative endeavor where your own livelihood might be attached to his inability to move past his Beta frame or his feminine conditioning. One of the benefits of becoming red pill aware is a heightened sensitivity to how the feminized world we live in is organized; and part of that sensitivity is becoming a better judge of Beta character and avoiding it, or at least insofar as minimizing another man's liabilities as a Beta to how his malaise could affect you.

I used to work with a very rich man who owned a few of the brands I became involved with in my career. While he was wealthy and had a certain knack for developing some very creative and profitable products, the guy was a deplorable chump with regards to his personal and romantic life. He was very much a White Knight Beta bordering on martyrdom when it came to his wives and the women in his life, who were all too happy to capitalize on this very obvious flaw. At one point he was attempting to launch a new product for which he needed some financial backing, but simply couldn't get it from investors because they weren't convinced their part of his venture wouldn't end up as part of his next divorce settlement since he was planning his 3rd marriage.

His self-righteous 'love conquers all' White Knight idealism chaffed at the suggestion he would need a pre-nuptial affidavit for anyone to even chance being involved with him professionally, but his proven Beta mindset was a liability to his realizing his full potential. His story is an exceptional illustration of this Beta limitation dynamic, but there are far more common examples with everyday men I know, and you probably do too. That limitation may not even be recognizable until such a time that it becomes an impediment to some future opportunity that opens up to you.

TheDude41 • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 07:55 AM **[recovered]**

LOL so crazy.

Consider it a failure of the educational system, that women are taught endlessly about rape (either real or imagined), but men are left completely clueless about the matrimonial system of law and how it uses and abuses men on a daily basis -- unleashed on the cruel world like lambs to the slaughter.

Unfortunately, nobody gave him this man the following book when he was yet young & impressionable:

<http://clanrossi.org/Wordpress/lawrence-shannon-the-predatory-female.pdf>

If it had come from a place of authority when he was young, he might have believed it. Unfortunately, his only sources of information are routinely discredited and disbelieved.

renzy77 • 7 points • 29 March, 2016 02:32 PM

Consider it a failure of the educational system, that women are taught endlessly about rape (either real or imagined), but men are left completely clueless about the matrimonial system of law and how it uses and abuses men on a daily basis

It's only a failure if you view it from a man's perspective. For women, the system is a resounding success and working exactly as intended.

Elon's ex-wife is about to get a billion dollar payout for spreading her legs. I'm sure she wouldn't say the system that enables her to do this is a failure.

asrnx4 • 10 points • 29 March, 2016 10:22 AM

Jesus this is fucked. According to the article, she may be awarded billions. He worked his entire life to better himself and humanity and this bitch thinks she's entitled to his hard work. She's a fucking actress for God's sake. It takes a lot to get me angry, this sure as shit did the trick. I hope he hires a badass attorney who can put her the

fuck back in her place, but of course society may think "she deserves more". Unreal and sickening

obx808 • 11 points • 29 March, 2016 06:31 PM

I like the one Yahoo comment:

"At an insurance convention i attended (I'm an insurance agent) i met a high level exec, who i had a brief lunch with, exchanging quick personal info, I mentioned i was divorced, promising not to divulge his name or company, he told me what he described as the most interesting statistic he'd ever heard: Divorced men, who did not remarry, in their 40's and 50's, had the demographic with the fastest (sic) rising life expectancy. The reason? Significantly reduced stress in their lives. ask any Doctor, stress kills quicker than just about anything."

TL;DR? Don't remarry.

[deleted] • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 07:44 AM

For the love of humanity, we need to teach this poor (but genius) bastard some RP.

yoghurtorgan • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 08:10 AM

Waiting for Elon's transformation like this.<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4AHH0bdNKyM>

[deleted] • 9 points • 29 March, 2016 08:48 AM

Lulz. For a genius, he sure is one dumb ass motherfucker.

peruvianlurker • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:39 PM

Fuck man, thats really sad, if he had one real homie, one real man friend he would have called his shit out for real and saved humanity.

5t3fan0 • 8 points • 29 March, 2016 09:32 AM

i always wonder how many great men, inventors, scientist, artists and politicians... have been divorce raped to death throu history, losing their chance to better humanity.

she is a the top of the gold-digging race, just as musk is (was?) at the top of space race

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 10:16 AM*

Marcus Aurelius was supposedly blue pill (there was a superb post on here recently - search for 'St Jerome'), which is sad & surprising.

Dude had the wisdom of a thousand intelligent people combined.

[deleted] • 15 points • 29 March, 2016 11:13 AM

Now i understand why tesla chose to die a virgin

Lintmin • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 05:56 AM

TESLA.

The dude was the old school mgtow total wizard

Gioware • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 10:07 AM

That's what I don't understand about him, I doubt he is beta bucks, everyone mentions how he is ultimate leader and alpha when it comes to business and stuff.

Same time he clings to this really shitty woman, unless she is some sort of alien that reveals alien technology to

him, I can't understand this.

johngalt1234 • 1 point • 31 March, 2016 03:34 AM

Many men are Alpha with anyone else but submits to their wife.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 01:02 PM*

deleted

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 02:24 PM

EVERYONE NEEDS TO REMEMBER

That when Elon Musk was dancing with his first wife at their wedding reception, he looked into her eyes and told her *"Im the alpha in this relationship."*

Why is this relevant?

Because people only say they're "The Alpha"...

...**when they're not the Alpha.**

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 03:26 PM

Now instead of the money being re-invested into some new ground breaking technology by musk, his ex-wife will have it and squander it on ivory dildos

aholeguy • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 03:59 PM

dude has 5 kids by his first wife. he married the same actress twice, should have stuck with the loyal breeder 1st wife.

Darth_Sin • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 04:11 PM*

Elon Musk is a fine example of how pussy can make a man stupid, no matter how innovative and intelligent the said man is in other areas of life.

hyugafan • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 04:40 PM

At this point monogamy and marriage in general are a fucking joke. I mean seriously, how is this fair?

willofthemonk5 points 29 March, 2016 07:13 PM **[recovered]**

He could have a 10K\$ super hooker a day and it would take him 273 years to spend 1 billion dollars, no emotional problems whatsoever, and he would have the best nights a man can have... so he could focus on sending mankind to Mars... But nope, he had to marry.

This bitch shouldn't have shit, this guy's personal problems could easily delay some important technological changes by years.

And stop saying he's a beta buck, if the guy spent his high-school years banging hot chicks, he wouldn't be where he is now, plus a Lot of us here have been more beta than him, even while having a shit ton of free time that we spend browsing the web and playing video games.

berlinbrown • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:45 AM

Some people may get tired of that. If I were him, I would have like 4-5 super model wives

IndyBrodaSolo • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 08:05 AM*

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B2wRvvhbJIQQ>

Watch this video where Elon Musk interacts with this woman. He maybe alpha when it comes to business, but he seems like beta when it comes to women.

_Ozu_5 points 29 March, 2016 10:53 AM **[recovered]**

He is one of the richest men in the world and a role model for many of us, but when it comes to women, he is truly unremarkable. He might have had to neglect his game in the beginning because he was too busy getting rich, but now there is no excuse, he has the wealth and time to learn from the best.

WASPandNOTsorry • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:27 PM

Time? He doesn't have time. That's the last thing that he has. Dude probably pulls 18hrs work days every fucking day.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 March, 2016 10:59 AM

Posts from an account called ElonTRP incoming in.. 3.. 2.. 1..

steelerfaninperu • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 11:49 AM

Quick comment here:

Let's hold off on some of the vitriol. This article is *speculation* about what she **could** theoretically receive. This still all depends on whether she pushes for it all or not.

Clearly in the first divorce she didn't take as much as she could.

So, if she does in fact destroy the future of the world, *then* we can get our pitchforks and torches. For now, let's just shake our head at Musk's poor decision making.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 03:11 PM

Didn't take as much as she could, or took everything allowed under the pre-nup and went back for second helpings, this time making sure there was no pre-nup? We will see.

steelerfaninperu • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:28 PM

Yep, that's absolutely within the realm of possibility.

Again, I'll withhold judgment on her until I see how things play out. Then again, it's Musk's fault and society's fault. Can you blame someone for walking off with half a fortune?

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 06:15 PM

I can't say I entirely blame her, in the sense that pretty much any woman would do the same. She certainly comes across as a gold-digger. I mean, marrying someone for 2 years, walking off with 4 million, then going back for more. In fact, so far as I can see, in the present state of laws, marriage is *purely* to support gold-diggers. If she was just interested in the man, having a binding contract like that, entirely for her benefit and to his detriment, wouldn't be a goal. You don't need a marriage contract to love someone, to live with them, even to have children with them. They will say it makes them feel 'more secure' or something, but of course it is women who initiate divorces, not men. So, she was strongly incentivized by society to be a gold-digging, disloyal whore. That is the fault of society, and as you say, Musk himself for being an idiot. Doesn't make her a good person, though, she is still a scumbag; but if society says, 'here, earn billions just by opening your legs for a little while', few would say no. Loyalty and honour are masculine traits, after all, not feminine ones.

bergkampinthesheets • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 12:39 PM

The article doesn't state (and doesn't know) whether there was a second prenup. It also speculates that someone like Musk would definitely have made a prenup. So chances are that he won't be fucked.

Moreover, while I don't know California Marriage Laws, there are some facts which will work in his favor: - he hasn't cheated - they don't have kids together - she is educated, is able to work, and earns plenty on her own.

That doesn't mean that I live in la-la land. Pretty sure he's gonna get divorce raped if there's no prenup. Would love to see what the feminist take on this is, that she wants "spousal support" even though she is a modern independent woman who is educated and can find work on her own.

Sherlock--Holmes • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 02:30 PM

"irreconcilable differences" = "I get billions of your dollars if I divorce you"

Whisper • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 09:06 PM

Women and power do not mix.

The microsecond a woman has power she ruins everything she touches with it. She simply does not understand how to act with restraint, or that restraint is a *thing*. She believes that anything she is *allowed* to do is *okay* for her to do.

Women are not inherently toxic, but giving them power is like throwing alkali metal into water. The instant they have they will abuse it, not out of malice, but because *they simply do not understand the difference* between use of power and abuse of it.

I recently watched a good friend of mine, who is generally quite wise, be overcome by his own good nature and place two highly-trustworthy-appearing women in a position where they had access to tools that gave them a slight degree of power over others. Within days, they abused those tools to go after one of his right-hand guys, and were *puzzled and hurt* when everyone reacted with repugnance and rage, and took the controls away again.

They honestly had no idea that they had done anything wrong, and the idea was so foreign to them that it couldn't successfully even be explained.

He had given them a crown, right? And now they were queens and could do anything they wanted, right?

Women aren't divided into untrustworthy bad women and trustworthy good ones. Even the nicest, sweetest, most well-intentioned woman is no better at handling power than the most rotten, bitchy, toxic skank.

Because the sheer magnitude of their incompetence at handling power eclipses any ill intentions they could possibly have.

And **this is why you do not get married**. It has nothing to do with whether the woman is "good" or "bad" or somewhere in between. Modern family law gives women power over their husbands. And no woman is equipped to properly use that power.

james-watson[S] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 11:20 PM

This is an incredibly insightful comment on the issue. You should flesh this out into a post of its own. My thinking is absolutely in line with yours. Women have no skin in the game of cooperative societies, because if the society fails, they just submit to the new male conquerors. As a result, any power they are given is exercised to the tune of whatever emotional whim they are experiencing at any given moment.

Someone said something mean to her? OFF WITH HIS HEAD!

Puppy is hurt? MOBILIZE THE ARMY TO SAVE ALL PUPPIES IN THE NATION

She is horny? BRING ME ALL THE CHADS AND LET THEM FIGHT TO THE DEATH UNTIL THE

STRONGEST REMAINS!

They are like the paperclip problem for artificial intelligence. No boundaries, no control, and no wisdom.

Whisper • 2 points • 31 March, 2016 12:15 AM

You should flesh this out into a post of its own.

Thanks, I think I will.

Women have no skin in the game of cooperative societies, because if the society fails, they just submit to the new male conquerors.

I'm also going to steal this quote. But not before paging a random mod, is this case /u/bsutansalt , to add a flair point for it. (Thanks.)

UcDat • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 08:01 AM

and none of you alpha smart mofoes can conceive they are playing you all she's securing half his assets before the fall. well I guess we'll know soon enough give it a year or less if not you can take pride in that beta downvote

osama_bin_lefty2 • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 11:17 AM

At this point I have no fucking sympathy for men getting divorced fucked. WHY ARE YOU GETTING MARRIED? You have EVERYTHING to loose and nothing to gain.

BluepillProfessor • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 05:49 PM

If it were me? The next propulsive landing would be programmed rather differently. Those Falcon-9's can't seem to sink the drone ship, but I bet a rocket hitting the marital home at Mach 5 would do a satisfying amount of damage.

His prenup was good for the first divorce-rape. It may very well NOT be any good for the second. Plus she gets to add her time married with the guy the second time so her second short term (4 year) marriage metastasizes into a "long term" marriage.

This cunt had the entire strategy planned from the beginning. This is one time when it didn't "just happen." Count on it.

HoundDogs • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 09:36 PM

Jesus, this is disgusting. There's no excuse for this. He's literally changing the fucking world and the state is going to give half his wealth to a woman who's accomplished absolutely nothing notable.

B_Campbell • 4 points • 30 March, 2016 01:25 AM

There's absolutely no way he doesn't have a prenup.

LuckyLuke23 • 5 points • 30 March, 2016 03:32 AM

he just wants a chick to do her wifely duty while he makes billions.

this is the fucking problem. because women are now "liberated" and everyone has to be a "strong independent women" it fucked the dynamic up.

she can have her cake and eat it too. instead of toeing the line and being a good little wifey and reap the benefits. now all she has to do is divorce rape him and ride the CC and it's the same thing.

[deleted] • 12 points • 29 March, 2016 07:25 AM

Oh fuck no, if this fish fucks with SpaceX because she wants to go on a thirty million dollar dick shopping spree, I will fucking assassinate her.

Interversity • 17 points • 29 March, 2016 07:39 AM

Why blame her? Musk is the dumbass who married her a *second time*. He's not responsible for being so reckless?

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 March, 2016 10:55 AM

Society still gonna pay for it (As well as Elon Musk)

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 06:09 AM

Yeah but I realllllllly want to go to Mars in my lifetime. Fuck women if they fuck that up for me.

rockmasterflex • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 08:16 AM

Who the fuck remarries somebody they already divorced?

berlinbrown • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:45 AM

I thought this guy was the smartest guy of this generation. He has totally lost cool points.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 05:46 PM

Women are no longer there to support great men.

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 March, 2016 01:17 AM

They never have been. They're parasite pretenders

bittr_n_swt • 4 points • 29 March, 2016 09:19 AM

I have no sympathy for him, he's truly an idiot. Maybe he'll learn his lesson now

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 08:55 AM*

[deleted]

What is this?

cantFindValidNam • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 10:24 AM

Im not american nor very familiar with divorce law. Can someone please explain what's the reasoning here that makes a divorcing wife entitled to her husband's assests? Shouldn't the husband in the worst case just pay some monthly fee as child support? (which are a function of the cost of living and not the husband's value?)

TheDarkTriad • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 10:51 AM

That's the entire issue with American divorce laws. She gets at least half of everything sometimes more and you may have to pay alimony (monthly financial support to her). All of this regardless of why you're divorcing. Found her in the bathroom of a club with a dude giving it to her and now you want to divorce her? Well then she gets rewarded with half of everything and alimony.

WhyNotJustEnjoyLife • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 11:40 AM

Carl the cuck would be proud.

Tarnsman4Life • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 12:23 PM

What a fucking idiot for not getting a pre-nump, I mean she has shown you that she is gonna buck, yet you trust her not to again? Smart guys are really fucking stupid sometimes.

berlinbrown • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 01:22 PM

Why would you divorce the guy?

TRUMP_WINS3 points 29 March, 2016 03:27 PM [recovered]

If you've got a billion dollar prize incentive to, with no repercussions, why not?

berlinbrown • 2 points • 30 March, 2016 02:43 AM

I just realized something.

Elon Musk is one of the coolest, smartest guys of our generation. Just based on the things that he had done. He is pioneering a private space program for fucksakes.

If you can't make it work with this guy, then it just confirms that marriage is the biggest sham out there.

On Musk, how does he play it. Maybe some guys would get tired of stuck up super models being around all the time. I don't know how these super rich/famous guys operate. Either way, I don't think they should get married at least to one woman anyway.

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 02:47 PM

Marriage 1.0 - In a divorce a woman gets nothing.

Marriage 2.0 - In a divorce a woman gets half plus alimony and child support.

Child Support Laws - Invented in 1950, now unmarried women can gain male slaves if they extract the man's sperm and use it for a child.

So Elon Musk *never got the memo* that things have changed.

BenitoKLA • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 04:26 PM

Who in their right mind would marry the same hoe twice? If you ask me this guy played himself

throwaway_advice4 • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 04:42 PM

Idk, I read a postmortem from his first wife. Dude seemed pretty alpha.

Also he pretty much got his second wife to raise 5 children from his first wife while he's out building companies. It's not over yet.

Indigo_Monkey • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 07:26 PM

What a fucking idiot. Second time. I would want him to get rinsed if it weren't for his value in the technological arena.

This is just beyond belief.

DiscoNinja • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 07:38 PM

They originally married in 2010, the year she played the Hot-as-fuck blonde in Inception.

But the Blonde wasn't real. It was just something Tom Hardy came up with to help trick a Billionaire into dismantling his empire.

Life imitates Art.

DJGammaRabbit • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 08:50 PM

For being that smart he's pretty fucking stupid! I guess anyone like that would fall under those polarities.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 09:19 PM*

Okay we need to fucking immediately end this K-selection=betabux bullshit.

Not true at all. K-selected are predators, R-selected are prey therefore procreate as fast as possible before you die.

Chad is R-selected. You do not want to be Chad. You are not a moron poolboy. You are a fucking wolf. You have a high ability to recognize danger, that is why you are on TRP. You are K-selected.

summersss • 2 points • 5 June, 2016 02:48 PM

she ran out of money so they "fell back together" how romantic. dont feel sorry for him. He didn't learn the first time.

lawrencep93 • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 10:19 AM

I hope this hoe doesn't slow his projects down, I have a bit of money invested in Lithium mines for Musk's electric car and battery projects

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:37 AM

I have a bit of money invested in Lithium mines

Thats a good idea I want to do that

Sepean • 3 points • 29 March, 2016 11:50 AM

Probably no one else thought of that, so the market undervalues it. That's your thinking?

lawrencep93 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:02 AM

Go find some lithium stocks, research them and buy one you like

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:51 AM

Elon probably tried the same shit he tried to pull with Geohotz over self-driving cars. Geohotz walked away making a bet, but this female will walk away with half his money.....again!

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:08 AM

Being successful professionally is already an accomplishment and it's even harder to find a good wife.

I hope it is a plan to evade taxes or some intelligent scheme like that.

I can't believe a successful entrepreneur making the same mistake twice.

Or Life is just a fucking joke

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:13 AM

It may be some "deal" definitely. But what, will become apparent a while later. Somehow, I find it hard to believe that such smart and rich guys don't have access to amazing lawyers and advisers.

On the other hand..why do smart rich guys need a wife?

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 10:49 AM

Status, social acceptance, and sex ? (sometimes ;))

sirmadam • 2 points • 29 March, 2016 12:30 PM

Sex, go to a hooker. How is going to a hooker any different than this setup? She's clearly in it for the pay day.

Sepean • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:51 AM

It's certainly A LOT easier to find a good wife than get the success he had.

But most people have very domain specific skills. He's a good entrepreneur, that doesn't mean he knows the first thing about women.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:56 AM

She also received a tender tweet from Musk: "It was an amazing four years. I will love you forever. You will make someone very happy one day." Nigga please. How can someone that brilliant be that fucking stupid.

DaphneDK • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 12:05 PM

Well at least he now *"shot to the top of T&C's list of the most eligible bachelors."* Lucky guy. Isn't he a S. African btw. But I guess it won't save him if he has residency in California.

iliketohumblebrag • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 12:41 PM

South African born and raised to approx. the age of 18, but living in the US since, so at least residency, but probably citizenship in America. Anyway, very clever and rich, but no common sense. C'est la vie, you can't make a horse drink.

nuesuh • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 01:00 PM

She already got 4,2M, a car and expensive watches in her first divorce.

It's never enough with these women. Nothing can satisfy them.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 01:46 PM

IMPOSSIBLE IN THE CURRENT ITERATION OF THE PHYSICAL UNIVERSE

I'm sorry, TRP, but I don't understand why you guys are so vehement about this point. It's simply not true. I can speak from personal experience.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 01:53 PM

It is likely this bitch is going to slow the pace of human progress because she totally deserves half his self-made fortune.

Sherlock--Holmes • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:00 PM

"There is no such thing as female privilege."

"There is no equality in this world for women!"

ExamplePrime • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:00 PM

How is this nonsense legal?

This is basically straight up theft.

tones2013 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:14 PM

Wow. Since trump the comments in this sub have taken a massive quality dive

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:15 PM

Tweet him on Twitter directing to this.

EscortSportage • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:35 PM

He and many other men didnt learn the first time.

FFXIV_Machinist • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:50 PM

idk that you can call musk beta.... he put fuckers in space. thats a pretty alpha achievement.

satanicpriest13 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:50 PM

Don't get married. Fucking hell how can such geniuses make the mistake. Simple. Do not get married. Make money, lift weights, spin plates.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 03:10 PM

Having a family could be cool.

satanicpriest13 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 04:22 PM

Get surrogate and have kids. And get a dog.

Cobra32233 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 02:51 PM

Poor Musk, he's going to learn the true fate of all beta bucks, even the billion dollar class BB.

F_Dingo • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 03:26 PM

After the first divorce I'm sure Musk wised up. The bitch won't be a billionaire from a divorce.

penis_butter_n_jelly • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 03:55 PM

This thread reads like XX Chromosomes echo chamber. Musk will likely pay a negligible amount. Both of his previous divorce settlements were in his favor to a shocking degree. He offered his first ex-wife 80 million and when she fought for more, he made sure she only got 20 million. This is pure speculation on the part of the author. Musk most certainly had a prenup and though his net worth has increased, he hasn't actually posted capital gains. He has the same shares he entered the marriage with.

Is it stupid that Musk got married the first, second, and especially tird time? Obviously. Are family court laws stacked against men? Absolutely. Is there a reason to believe this divorce settlement will be different than the previous? No, unless you mean it will likely be less than the previous settlements.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:13 PM

The outrage is not so much at what *will* happen in this case, but what *can* happen under the law of the land.

Prenups get tossed out all of the time (not saying it's going to happen; I agree that there is a low chance Musk didn't cover his ass). The outrage is rather that these kind of guys are even at risk of losing billions to people who have done nothing to deserve those billions, and have to take legal/contractual actions to just be protected against unjustified and ridiculous asset-stripping.

penis_butter_n_jelly • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 12:33 AM

Prenups DO NOT get tossed out all the time. I challenge you to find one, just one, that was tossed out AND prepared by a reputable attorney. Yeah, sure, if you get your drunk fiancée to scribble her name on a napkin the night before the wedding like James Cameron, it may just get tossed out.

Any attorney knows you have satisfy a few conditions: adequate time for her to consider, she has independent legal counsel, nothing unreasonable (think employment contract--if you can't fire someone for getting fat, you can't cut their future divorce settlement), you fully disclose your assets.

Believe me, I'm 1000% on board, but there's no reason for RP to start echoing outright falsehoods. This fucking clam already got millions for being the warm wet hole that Elon decided to fuck. Its total bullshit, but that doesn't excuse the exaggerations in this thread.

No_NSFW_at_Work • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 03:57 PM

It's because shit like this that Alien don't contact us, and now we can't contact them. FUCK THIS BITCH

benuntu • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 04:04 PM

I've seen enough examples of abuse and manipulation of married women to justify awarding pretty hefty divorce settlements. These cases are few and far between, however. There are also cases where both the man and the woman earn similar amounts in their jobs and have purchased assets together. It makes sense to split up property and gains that they have made during their relationship. I also understand in those cases about ensuring two safe and comfortable living situations for children that might be involved.

But this shit right here? The money involved goes far beyond cost of living, there was no abuse involved, and this woman hasn't contributed enough to the businesses to lay claim to any profits made. Show me what his wife has done to "earn" her possibly hundreds of millions of dollars? What did she bring to the table? And more importantly, what prevented her from making her own money during the time of the marriage?

And yes, Elon is a goddamned idiot for letting this happen twice. And double damned for not having a prenup this second time.

St_OP_to_u_chin_me • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:27 PM

He could of done better. She ain't all that.

futianze • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:39 PM

It says she wants spousal fees and attorney fees. Although there is no mention of a prenup in the divorce filing, what are the odds she actually goes after assets/shares?

RealRational • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 05:45 PM

The societal and economic repercussions of treating this as "A California divorce" will be severe enough that I hope, I pray that some reform is achieved.

I mean, this will cost so many people so much. This will destroy so many jobs and so much creation. If this isn't enough to change divorce law then frankly, nothing is.

FillingInTheSkanks • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:04 PM

This here is an MPV in today's women game. She deserves a godamn medal

cali8112 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:18 PM

Sad. This guy is one of the most innovative people alive today. With what he ends up paying her, it could really impede whatever great things he was coming up with.

mil0333 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 06:28 PM

Why is everyone assuming that he doesn't have a second prenup? The article only says that the first one does not transfer to the second marriage. If he was smart enough to get it the first time, I'm pretty sure he's smart enough to get it again.

Moneyley • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:19 PM

I dont believe any of this...she's a strong independent woman who dont need no man!
anymore *for 10,000 of her lifetimes over

joseph_fuzzco_Jr • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:23 PM

"irreconcilable differences as legal ground for the divorce" Wait, that's a thing? Well, nice to know you can marry a guy, then split with half his cash for no valid reason.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:26 PM

Can we get Elon Musk to take the red pill?

TheZooveGroover • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 07:30 PM

On a slightly less serious note, have any of you watched "Love Sucks?"

nuffsaidoe • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 08:22 PM

How is it possible none of you have mentioned the lack of info on the second pre-nump?

We dont even know if he has a pre-nump for this marriage. Its too early to say hes completely fucked.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 08:25 PM

Haha, if she gets half of Musk's assets after the divorce, then does Musk still get to have court ordered sex with her until she turns 30?

wastagaswe • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 08:28 PM

My dad invested a few hundred thousand in Tesla a couple years ago. Fantastic to hear the owner is a beta bucks..

unlockedshrine • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:08 PM

Not that it matters at this amount of money.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:33 PM

I'm not a godly man, but I am praying right now that Musk has a prenup. If he doesn't, this could be a setback for humanity akin to the Mongol siege of Baghdad that sent Islam back into the dark ages.

MakeAChoice9 • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:41 PM

Honour, loyalty and perseverance 99.999% of modern women have no idea what those terms mean.

Madison • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 09:42 PM

You can tell Elon is a complete beta from his craptacular public speaking skills.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:17 PM

Certainly hasn't worked through his Competent Communicator book at Toastmasters yet.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:23 PM

I look forward to Popps doing a vidjazo on this one, Musk could be paying over \$200,000.00 per thrust!

ktchong • 1 point • 29 March, 2016 11:57 PM*

Pretty sure he made her sign a prenuptial agreement. Of course *her* divorce filing does not mention a prenup, which would be unfavorable to her.

carnut88 • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 01:26 AM

Bill Burr's epidemic of gold digging whores - <http://youtu.be/x0gaYyNk7QA>

Disclaimer: of course I don't know either of the party involved on a personal level so don't take my post too seriously

ecgsmithy • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 01:31 AM

<http://www.marieclaire.com/sex-love/advice/a5380/millionaire-starter-wife/>

Interesting.. Musk's first wife talks in the above article about how she was upset at signing a prenup.. Then she states she is friendly with the new wife.. Thats a serious red flag

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:11 AM

Can you imagine how much this guy could have achieved if it weren't for his three failed marriages?

A guy with his money could just keep a paid girlfriend and a servant for a tiny fraction of the cost of his divorces. A guy as busy as Elon doesn't have time for this sort of shit. He must put 80 hours a week into running Tesla and SpaceX. Plus he has like 7 children.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 02:21 AM

It is time, shitlords, to start a Internet-wide crusade on divorce laws. Write facebook posts, write on Twitter, write on Reddit, write magazines, newspapers... etc. DO SOMETHING. It is time to change the status quo and this is our chance. Elon Musk is far more important to the powers-to-be than the feminist narrative. Move or lose our chance to space faring.

P.S: I'm not even a Westerner.

CastratedBetaOrbiter • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 07:15 AM

tldr: The Tesla founder is divorcing Talulah Riley for the second time.

Second time?

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 March, 2016 11:16 AM

Musk actually had a decent wife before he fell for this one

tolerantman • 1 point • 31 March, 2016 04:34 PM

So she sucked a cock and that granted her billions of dollars. And women say its hard having a vagina.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 August, 2016 07:49 PM

Any billionaire should always know to get an ironclad prenup.