Girl Who Accused College Football Players of Rape Charged With Lying

February 23, 2017 | 1137 upvotes | by brinkleybuzz

Another RP horror story with a tragic ending.

CT Post Article.

Girl goes to football party at Sacred Heart University in Connecticut. She propositions two football players for sex. They go to a bathroom and she bangs both of them. Afterwards, she claims that the football players raped her. The players are charged with Title IX violations, stripped of their scholarships and kicked out of the university.

Here's where the story takes a twist. When detectives from the local police department questioned the girl her story unraveled. Turns out the detectives had evidence from witnesses that she initiated the gang bang. She later admitted to the police that she falsely accused the football players of rape because she "didn't want to lose another male student as a friend and potential boyfriend."

The accuser was charged with fale reporting and evidence tampering and it appears that she will go to trial. One of the accused men has been allowed to return to the university but his scholarship was not reinstated. It appears that their college football careers are over.

So many RP truths in this story. Where do I start?

- College is the cock carousel on roids. I see a lot of AskTRP posters asking "should I be concerned if my girl is in, going to or was in college?" Ha.
- "When the going gets tough, a woman will throw you under the bus." Let this sink in. This chick was willing to send two men to rot in jail to cover up her slootness from her beta boyfriend. And she's not the first chick to do this. For many women, their feelings are more important than your freedom. Remember that.
- Women will not tell the truth about their past. I'm always skeptical about women who tell me about past sexual abuse. I don't want to minimize the trauma experienced by women who have actually been abused, but I've learned from experience and stories like these that a woman who reveals past abuse or exploitation is very likely trying to manipulate her new man by redirecting his concern for her past sluttiness into sympathy for her.
- If you are a male college student on a U.S. campus, you have a target on your back. Watch your six.

This story is like a RP case study. So many lessons to be learned and so little time to post.

Archived from theredarchive.com

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 1 of 22

Comments

jizzledfreq • 269 points • 23 February, 2017 06:07 PM

I remember living in Montana, a star senior quarterback for the U of M was accused of raping a girl. Same thing, he was immediately suspended from the team, lost his scholarship, kicked out of school, reputation destroyed.

Well the trial goes on for some time, only for the girl to later admit to lying... If I remember correctly media essentially went silent after he was found **Not Guilty**. He got his scholarship back, he was allowed back on the football team (not as a starter), and that was that.

His potential career in the NFL ruined, his reputation at the University, destroyed, his education, fucked...

Ganaria Gente • 110 points • 23 February, 2017 06:31 PM

Sad about the media...unsurprising.

Here's the tragic thing: these misandrists and sjw's control

our education institutions

much of our media. Both traditional and alt media (you know who they are)

our major political parties (here in Canada, three!)

and of course, all the channels of information and communication under the control of Silicon Valley

Flynn-Lives • 25 points • 24 February, 2017 04:06 AM

Yes and I have no idea how we let this happen. My guess is that it began with feminists percolating into the social sciences because they're easy. This coupled with the fact that most scientists are seriously blue pilled sycophants with women allowed them to push their ideas under the guise of real science and thereby piggyback off its influence.

TedCruzEatsBoogers2 • 36 points • 24 February, 2017 05:19 AM*

My personal belief is that it is largely the result of the free market.

Women are much bigger "consumers" than men.

Something like 80% of purchases (even ones made by men) are directed at some level by women.

Result of this is that women are a more valuable demographic than men.

Companies figure that shit out and advertising efforts become disproportionately directed at women. Soon media (which is paid for by advertising) starts to direct its efforts primarily towards attracting women.

Pro-female media --> pro-female narrative

Now the standard culture is that anything anti-female = evil while anti-male = completely fair game.

With regard to science, anything anti-female is bad and attracts negative press. Therefore no institutions allow any "science" to be completed that might go against pro-female narratives for fear of negative press.

CQC3 • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 06:44 PM

Great summary. This is how I see it as well, I think it's a simplification (of course), and that it's not the *only* reason, but it's a major one for sure--one that is less sinister too.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 22

Also, I believe that men tend to be more idealistic and open minded, and we all decided let's give this feminism thing a shot, and it snowballed from there. The true nature of men and women is much more easily seen in times of struggle. We live in an age of unequaled peace in the west at the moment, and so we have plenty of carefree time to completely lose touch with reality and lose ourselves to idealistic fantasies. While women are largely emotional creatures, I'd say men are just as prone to losing touch with reality by getting lost in their ideals/principals/beliefs rather than emotions. They want to see the world a certain way, given the burden of performance men face it's no surprise they'd at some point buy into women being as capable as men in the same things (being men).

CHAD J THUNDERCOCK • 4 points • 24 February, 2017 09:19 AM

I think this is something to do with it too http://esr.ibiblio.org/?p=260

FakeGuru • 4 points • 24 February, 2017 10:25 AM

I would be highly sceptical of anything written by Eric Raymond outside his area of expertise, which is lobbying for open source software.

Like many technically educated people, he has started to learn about politics and history late in life.

What often happens with such people, is that they are shocked to find the world is not as they have been told (and believe me, the US tells people what to think as much as any dictatorship).

Then, they incorporate these new (to them) views into a belief system, which places themselves as specially virtuous players.

Lacking any self awareness, they condemn ideologues from other countries, while behaving in exactly the same way themselves.

In both cases, the ideologue has adapted a belief system that others have promoted and have no conception of where it came from

Ganaria_Gente • 10 points • 24 February, 2017 05:22 AM

and I have no idea how we let this happen

i do.

it's our post sec educational institutions. they are the 'motherbrain' of the cancer that is SJWs.

i remember when i first started uni: i was an SJW myself. by the end of uni, i was profoundly disillusioned. i could only stand in awe at the complete control and monopoly they had, not just of my uni, but almost all unis.

that's no exxageration: here in the western world: SJWs control almost all the uni's. they are literally ideological factories, pumping out younger generations of their own image: hateful, misandrist, anti intellectual, anti free speech bigots.

this really makes me depressed sometimes so i try not to think about it too much....the only solution is to take back control of our post sec institutions, but i know that's not gonna happen any time soon.

Foregone Destruction • 7 points • 24 February, 2017 09:06 AM

I assume its about control. Stronger women means weaker men, weaker men means weaker population; a mindless herd of work drones, easier to control. Politicians, the media, they all want

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 22

thoughtless consumers that nod their heads at everything they get told.

joeyjojosharknado • 9 points • 24 February, 2017 07:48 AM

Regarding the media, you have to look no further than the Milo Yiannopoulos case. If you look behind almost every claim about him in the media, you'll see that he is misquoted or selectively quoted in order to misrepresent and distort his public image. It's a campaign of character assassination. It's control of the public narrative through distortion and lies.

goldnhorde • 7 points • 24 February, 2017 02:55 PM

well ... when you bring up Milo. Milo's first mistake is being Milo.

I love the guy and he is great to watch debate and I'm glad he is out there fighting the fight.

but he has laid on the fact that he is gay and Jewish and has a PC force field. I mean when the "shoving and shutting down" of the SJW begins (when you have made them look stupid and their beliefs ridiculous ... and they then call you whatever name they think wins the argument be it "racist, sexist, etc." he is able to stop and make their system look even more stupid as he uses their own train of logic to say "I can't be sexist ... I'm gay I can't be racist I'm Jewish". after all, that is THEIR game. and if trump showed us anything SJW types hate to have their own stupidity thrown in their face only to have their normal defenses thrown in their face as well. I mean that is all trump did was use the same false logics they did only beating them to the punch.

but Milo should have done one thing. he should have looked at the SJW side and taken examples of people who were in exactly the same situation and are "SJW" darlings. he should have semi stone walled and said that he was using the classic psychology stratagem of defusing tragedy with comedy and would think that with so many examples by SJW poster children ... this would be acceptable. I mean, it's not like he is a defined sexual offender like Lena Dunham.

Lena Dunham comes to mind immediately. she admits she actually molested her underage sister multiple times. uh why isn't this frumpy bitch in jail?

TheCoyPinch • 7 points • 24 February, 2017 09:33 AM

And they never bring up the abuse done to him. Maybe there's a reason he holds the views that he does...

cuteman • 71 points • 24 February, 2017 12:25 AM

Google Brian Banks.

Guy was going to the NFL. Made out with some girl and she ended up accusing him of rape. He was in high school at the time.

They threatened everything they could, 20 years, since he was a huge black guy and not overly intelligent. He took a plea for 10 years. I think he served 5.

The girl sued the school district and won \$1.5 or \$2.0M I forget which.

After he got out she sent him a Facebook message asking if he could let bygones be bygones (was she thinking he would be stupid enough to actually fuck her?).

Eventually, using a private investigator he was able to basically get a confession. He was later able to get the conviction overturned. 10 years after the original incident. 10 years of his life stolen, his future stolen, Pete Caroll himself wanted him for the NFL and later gave him a chance to try out but he was past his prime.

The fraudulent accuser was later ordered to pay \$2.6M but her and her family had spent the original

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 4 of 22

settlement.

And the world turns.

That's why we need to be vigilant. Thats why the justice system needs to be dispassionate and fact/evidence based without bias.

DesignerTom • 29 points • 24 February, 2017 04:04 AM

Over \$1 million for being raped (imaging it happened)? Rape is terrible, but seriously, isn't this payout excessive?

```
cuteman • 12 points • 24 February, 2017 05:31 AM
```

The logic is that since it happened on school grounds the district was responsible. Long Beach, CA is a fairly large school district. That's the entity that paid the settlement. Basically the entire county of Long Beach got fucked since ultimately the taxpayers foot the bill.

Not to discount the man's life that was utterly ruined. The best years of his life, forfeit. His 0.1% talented future shot. Over a petty pathetic lie.

H42 • points • 23 February, 2017 06:24 PM [recovered]

And of course, no charges filed against the female terrorist.

Luckyluke23 • 21 points • 24 February, 2017 04:06 AM* that's a good word to use. female terrorist

crimsonkodiak • 47 points • 23 February, 2017 09:05 PM

I remember living in Montana, a star senior quarterback for the U of M was accused of raping a girl. Same thing, he was immediately suspended from the team, lost his scholarship, kicked out of school, reputation destroyed. Well the trial goes on for some time, only for the girl to later admit to lying... If I remember correctly media essentially went silent after he was found Not Guilty. He got his scholarship back, he was allowed back on the football team (not as a starter), and that was that. His potential career in the NFL ruined, his reputation at the University, destroyed, his education, fucked...

I have a similar story. I interviewed a guy a couple years ago - most impressive interviewee I've ever seen. Was a multi-year starter on his Ivy League football team, had stellar grades, personable, 6'3" WASPy guy who you could easily roll into any meeting and have people love him.

The entire time I interviewed him I kept wondering "why are you here?"

Turns out his college girlfriend accused him of rape his senior year (after he'd broken up with her). The claim never went anywhere in the school's process (he said it was a complete BS claim) and he was never charged with any crime, but it was enough to get NFL teams to lose any interest in drafting him and for the big investment bank where he had a job offer to pull it.

```
Boovs4life • 4 points • 23 February, 2017 11:51 PM
Sorry what does WASP stand for?
```

GjonUjanaKeqe • 7 points • 23 February, 2017 11:58 PM

White Anglo-Saxon Protestant, i.e. man who benefits because of who he is.

bobsbigboi • 19 points • 24 February, 2017 12:31 AM

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 5 of 22

The only evidence we have of any bias is *against* white males. It's called affirmative action.

neveragoodtime • 10 points • 24 February, 2017 03:20 AM

Bias as in institutionalized racism.

tankriderr • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 06:18 AM

there is plenty of bias against Asian and Indian males too though

plenty of eesh • -11 points • 24 February, 2017 03:26 AM

The only???

Jesus, TRP. You observe some injustices against your gender and skin color and have to claim that these are the **only** injustices in the universe??

Grow up, bobsbigboi.

Reddit, don't upvote this shit.

bobsbigboi • 9 points • 24 February, 2017 05:16 AM

In the US yes, institutionalized racism is illegal against anyone but white males. If you're a dindu or a woman and you have solid evidence that you've been discriminated against, report it and the organization discriminating against you will be penalized.

tankriderr • -4 points • 24 February, 2017 06:19 AM

you are delusional mate, I'd say blacks and asian males are discriminated far more than white males

Canedude08 • 11 points • 24 February, 2017 07:13 AM

He is, but don't get in the way of the gripe. Affirmative Action is used as the copout whenever a white person loses out to a minority, even if the minority fairly won. Let's be honest, affirmative action is wrong not because it exists, but how it is applied. As a minority that grew up upper middle class, I always found it weird that I could qualify for affirmative action programs, even though poor white kids were disadvantaged compared to me.

Affirmative action should be applied on an ECONOMIC basis, not racial. If it is about giving those that were born in less than desirable circumstances a chance to climb out, then that is the way to manage the situation. The kid in the inner city housing project, and the kid living in a trailer park in Appalachia are fighting the same battles.

Andramoiennepe • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:27 PM

Yes, it wouldn't be hard to enact it on an economic basis. For college acceptance, e.g., look at the ETS on the SAT's. There is a steady climb in SAT scores per \$20k of family income. Offer admissions consideration to kids (regardless of race, etc.) who far outperform their income level peers. This will give opportunity to those who deserve it and do not have it. Many blacks and other minorities will continue to benefit, but so will backwoods, white hillbillies who are every bit as poor and backwards in their upbringing as inner city minorities. As it is now--I work in the industry--the blacks who benefit are

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 6 of 22

rich already. They would be going to college with or without the help. But the Ivy leagues LOVE wealthy blacks because they help their bullshit diversity numbers, and culturally they are identical to the white majority: grew up in the same mansions, have the same manners, speak the same, drove the same fancy cars, went to the same fancy prep. schools, etc. I work with these kids. They don't need any help.

The argument above, by the way, is about two different things. There is obviously discrimination towards people of color. But the point above is that it is not institutionalized (it would be more accurate to say "no longer"). That does not mean that it is not unofficial in many areas of life. But the point remains that institutionalized discrimination does only still exist for white males. That just happens to be a fact. On the whole, however, it is generally not worse than the un-institutionalized racism that still exists in the US.

tankriderr • 0 points • 25 February, 2017 07:08 AM

If you think affirmative action hurts whites, try being an Asian and Indian. The universities won't even look at you unless you have 4.0 gpa+ extra cirruculars+ volunteering in some african shithole for 6 months+ juggling skills and on and on..

whites have it much much easier in academia and the job world. Candidates with non white sounding names aren't even called for an interview. But hey, lets ignore all that and bitch about the 3 or 4 people that are recruited under diversity quota, most of them are black anyway.

Luckyluke23 • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 04:07 AM

in other words he's white cis and male.

don't be white cis and male in 2017.

The_M0rning_Star • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 02:43 PM

Can we stop saying cis for the love of god. It's so cringey and redundant. Might as well add, "Also, he identifies as a human, and believes his planet of origin to be Earth"....only 0.3% of Americans identify as transgender.

(Williams Institute, Apr 2011)

Luckyluke23 • 3 points • 25 February, 2017 03:53 AM

then whey do i keep hearing about these 0.3% like they are starving kids in africa.

Seoul_Brother • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 05:09 PM

A lot of people complaining about the race thing, but I honestly don't see a point in why you even used the WASP descriptor. It was irrelevant to begin with.

logicalthinker1 • 14 points • 24 February, 2017 12:35 AM

That's where you sue the absolute fuck out of that school and fuck them 6 times til Sunday.

streetkingz • 11 points • 24 February, 2017 01:46 AM

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 7 of 22

alot of these big time recruits get Insurance that pays them large sums of money if something happens (injury that will not allow you to play anymore before you get drafted) I believe Leornard Fournette (LSU Running back) has one for like 30 million. I wonder if something like this, where you get falsely accused of something and in the end it gets proven false but ruins your NFL carreer in the process, would be covered. If there are clauses like that it just goes to show the importance of getting insurance if your a big time recruit.

```
franmonkey • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 03:05 PM lol you think the sjws would let something like that exist
```

askmrcia • 9 points • 24 February, 2017 12:21 PM*

Same exact thing happened to a teammate of mine that played safety in college. Before we even went to the bar he told me and another guy to keep an eye on him because he wanted to get blasted.

We go to the club, he sees a girl he knew, they make out and that was that. He ended up coming back with us back to the dorm room. So he didn't even have sex with her.

The next day he gets accused of sexual assault. Coach told us he was off the team and then later the school kicked him out.

The case went to trail, girl was proven to be lying, so he was let back into the school, but he was off permanently off the team.

Oh and of course nothing happened to the girl. If something did happened I don't know of it. But she was pretty much off Scott free for accusing a player of sexual assault.

Similar case happened with my cousin. Got accused of rape, evidence showed girl had three different different semen samples in her. Cousin got kicked out of college, lost his football scholarship of course, that shit is still on his record and now he's a Barber. Absolutely nothing happened to the woman, asked my cousin and his mom to take her to court, forgot their excuse but I'm sure it was something about them not having enough money to take her to trail and they didn't want to go through the process.

```
Andramoiennepe • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 07:09 PM*
```

We need to return to ancient legal practice, specifically that of Athens. If a charge was deemed false in court, the accuser would have to pay a fine. The fact that these sorts of life-destroying accusations can be made so flippantly is wrong and needs to be penalized.

```
[deleted] • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 08:39 PM
```

In ancient Israel (the Old Testament in the Bible), people who made false accusations suffered the *same punishment* as they were trying to inflict on their victim. This would stop false rape accusations overnight.

[deleted] • 92 points • 23 February, 2017 07:40 PM

Also look up Brian Banks. That story pissed me off. He was in high school and had a full ride scholarship to play at USC. Faced with a possible 41 years to life sentence, he accepted a plea deal that included five years in prison, five years of probation, and registering as a sex offender.

It was then found out that the woman lied about the rape. He had a bright future and was looking to play in the NFL before all that and it was basically ruined by one lie

```
SlothBabby • 82 points • 23 February, 2017 09:01 PM
```

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 8 of 22

It was then found out that the woman lied about the rape.

Not only was it "found out", she was recorded on tape admitting it was all a lie and saying she wouldn't retract her story because then she'd have to give back the settlement money she was given by the school district. She is a genuinely horrible person.

```
ammobox • 23 points • 23 February, 2017 11:36 PM
```

The girl in question that accused these two of raping her in LA actually left with her rapist, and allowed him to rape her again in another state.

I know that after I've been raped, I just head on over to another state with a person who assaulted me sexualy and let him do it again.

https://www.google.com/amp/amp.usatoday.com/story/90752364/

```
MAWL_SC • 6 points • 24 February, 2017 07:52 AM
WTF am I reading! Who believes this shit, mind = blown.
```

Popular-Culture • points • 23 February, 2017 06:06 PM [recovered]

In the grand scheme of things, it doesn't really matter. Because the mainstream media will continue to push their message, which is that women are getting raped left and right by evil, testosterone-crazed men.

It's absolutely terrifying to me that two men can expelled on the basis of a single person's **accusation**. No hard evidence, nothing. They lose their scholarships and college becomes unaffordable for them.

```
Ganaria Gente • 78 points • 23 February, 2017 06:28 PM
```

It's what pass me off about allegations for sexual crimes

It's mostly with sexual crimes whereby evidence is not required - just allegations. Oh she said you raped her? That's proof enough, arrest the man!

Fucking sick

Oh but hey, we're living in a "patriarchy" right?

```
duglles • points • 23 February, 2017 08:10 PM [recovered]
```

False rape accusations having this impact are exactly what the feminazi's want. It's total power over men. It creates an environment of fear that allows women to control men (socially).

Do anything I don't like, and I'll have you charged with rape. It doesn't matter what the facts are, the man will be convicted in the court of public opinion. That's the worst conviction possible. Your name, reputation and life are all ruined.

Female rapists though, nothing happens to them. Ever. They have turned consensual sex into "rape" and female on male rape into *female empowerment*

If you don't believe that, then you should look into Donna Hylton. You'll have to do some work to find out about this keynote speaker at the "women's march". She's a professional "victim" who was a sadistic perpetrator. She's whitewashed her history, but you can find it.

```
[deleted] • 30 points • 23 February, 2017 09:32 PM
```

Allow me.

http://www.wnd.com/2017/01/womens-march-speakera-kidnapper-murderer/

https://i.imgtc.com/vMYOqhf.png

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 9 of 22

To be fair, she did spend a good few years in gaol, although the amount was regarded as 'lenient', but she lies about it, acting as if her time in prison was because she was persecuted because of the colour of her skin. That she was invited to speak at the Women's March Against Democracy tells you everything you need to know about the morality of feminists.

And yes, we need to turn this around. A false rape accusation is as bad or worse than a rape, and should receive the sentence that the innocent man would have had if her lies had succeeded.

duglles • points • 23 February, 2017 09:43 PM [recovered]

She and her supporters lie to make her out to be the victim. What her and those other females did should have been a life sentence at least. But no, the pussy pass gave her a way to avoid responsibility for sadism, torture, rape and murder. All done in cold blood.

Her history should have been made a focal point, but no. She's hailed as a hero for assaulting, kidnapping, raping, torturing and murdering a man for over 20 days.

I say let the punishment fit the crime.

[deleted] • 9 points • 23 February, 2017 10:11 PM*

Well, she is made out as a *heroine* (not a hero, she is not a man) because she has adopted the feminist cause. Imagine if a man had gruesomely tortured and murdered a woman, spent many years in prison because of it, but then came out as a strong advocate of Men's Rights. On the basis of this, Trump had invited him to speak on a podium with him. What would the SJWs and Feminists have made of that? Yet they see no issue with having this vile specimen of inhumanity at their rally. It shows that feminists are without a shred of human decency, but full of hate.

duglles • points • 23 February, 2017 10:18 PM [recovered]

Thank you for the correction. The issue I think is paramount is that they didn't go into her history. Very few people actually know the name Donna Hylton and what she did. She has done an excellent job at whitewashing her history and few people have had the brass ones to dig up the truth.

They absolutely would have gone after the man in your analogy because men are evil, women are good. It would have nothing to do with a political ideology. It's that women are seen as above the law to a certain extent. Female abusers don't get arrested, the male victims do. Female sex offenders get light sentences or probation, a male gets 40 years. A female cries rape and the man is guilty, a man claims with proof that he was raped by a female, and he's told he should be thankful for it.

Feminists, in my view, think it's their *turn*. It's no different than the blacks who believe that whites should be made into slaves for reparations. Feminism has a smiley face out front, so most people don't look beyond the face to the content to see what their real agenda is.

[deleted] • 7 points • 23 February, 2017 10:52 PM

I agree with everything you said, except this

Feminism has a smiley face out front

That made me laugh! Is there any group more strident, hate-filled, obnoxious, and just plain ugly than feminists?

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 10 of 22

But I know what you mean. Feminists are vile, but they hide under the cloak of 'equality' and 'poor oppressed women', and so succeed in manipulating men into supporting them. I am surprised, though, at how often I look at web pages about feminist activities, that anti-feminism is really gaining ground, judging by the comments. People are waking up to the true nature of these harpies, and the falsity of their claims

MattyAnon • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:07 PM

It shows that feminists are without a shred of human decency, but full of hate

As I keep saying... if it was about equality it would be called equalism.

It's not called equalism, it's called **feminism**.

logicalthinker1 • 9 points • 24 February, 2017 12:33 AM

On top of this, women actually love rough sex and many have rape fantasies.

MattyAnon • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:05 PM

It's what pass me off about allegations for sexual crimes

The main factor is "is this usually a male on female crime".

All these crimes are "guilty until proved innocent", because society simply prioritises women.

For example, female on male sex crimes? Those are defined as "this never happens and besides the man always enjoys it and therefore no crime took place". Paternity fraud? No crime. Etc.

In short: it's not because it's a sex crime, it's because it's a male-against-female crime, even if no such event too place. Because we should always believe victims. Why? Because victims are female, that's why.

Eatinglue • 1 point • 8 May, 2017 02:13 AM

It's not about the arrest, one word: "settlement". Cheaper to settle than to prove your innocence in court.

Omnibrad • 33 points • 23 February, 2017 06:28 PM

"Whenever there is any kind of incident at Sacred Heart University, we go to great lengths to ensure due process for all parties involved," said SHU spokeswoman Deborah Noack. "The way that this particular case is playing out certainly demonstrates the validity of our procedures."

Even the college spokeswoman thinks this case certainly demonstrates the validity of their due process procedures.

I just don't have words for this.

Mail_Order_Lutefisk • 28 points • 23 February, 2017 07:00 PM

It certainly demonstrates a material weakness in the procedures, not the validity. The schools are in a terrible spot, though. You let the guys stay in school and you wind up with Mattress Girl, and if the claim is valid, possibly a Title IX violation. You kick the guys out unjustly and you anger the 20% of rationally thinking men -- you still have a Title IX violation but your fear of repercussions for that violation are far less than your fear of repercussions if the girl was telling the truth. It's all just a liability mitigating

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 11 of 22

technique by the schools.

I hate to say it, but if I were tasked with weighing the consequences of an action, I would probably hang the guys, too, because that is the perverse incentive that the current state of the legal and regulatory regime in the America have driven us to. Title IX, the 14th Amendment and various equal protection laws have dictated that some animals are more equal than others. As one of the 20% of rationally thinking males, you get this, I get this, we all get this on TRP, but 80% of dudes and almost of 100% of females will never address this misandry. Let us hope the courts make more examples out of schools so they can try to develop a better system that is actually equal.

```
Popular-Culture • points • 23 February, 2017 07:19 PM [recovered]
```

You're exactly right. People need to recall that American universities function as businesses. They have a budget and they have to meet a quota of incoming freshmen every year. If word hits the media that Sacred Heart let two evil masculine rapists off the hook, suddenly there's bad PR, enrollment numbers are down, and less money being paid in tuition.

It's 100000x easier two expel two students than to go through a tornado of lawsuits, bad publicity, and protests. We need to direct our anger at the courts, not the universities, who are just making a logical decision based on liability.

```
Omnibrad • 8 points • 23 February, 2017 08:18 PM
```

They have a budget and they have to meet a quota of incoming freshmen every year.

And of these college freshman, more and more of them are women than ever before.

```
Diarrhea Van Frank • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 04:01 PM
```

Because men are wising up to the fact that college is a waste of money and time. Most women want to waste money and time.

```
brinkleybuzz[S] • 7 points • 23 February, 2017 08:44 PM
```

Makes sense only if the goal of their so-called "due process procedures" is to persecute men. Welcome to the 21st century version of witch hunting. And people wonder why college attendance and graduation rates for men are plummeting?

```
[deleted] • 5 points • 23 February, 2017 11:18 PM
```

To be fair, I don't think any man has turned down college because he was scared of being accused of rape.

```
mbillion • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 01:05 PM
```

I'm guessing spit roasting is also against the Christian values

```
eccentricrealist • 10 points • 23 February, 2017 06:48 PM
```

It's their way. They put out an infuriating headline and even if they eat their word the damage and defamation is done. Fortunately less people are listening to the MSM but it's still a big problem.

```
Mail Order Lutefisk • 5 points • 23 February, 2017 07:04 PM
```

It's a huge problem because if you Google Reade Seligmann, you see a whole page about him being an accused rapist. He was one of the lucky ones who had good counsel that unraveled the case quickly, but

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 12 of 22

there are scores of other guys who will have an accusation trail them forever. HR departments aren't going to just overlook many of those cases and these guys lives are just shattered going forward.

logicalthinker1 • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 12:32 AM

And the school knows it's complete bullshit and enacted by kangaroo courts. The reason they allow this is because they get to virtue signal to the radical feminists "look what we're doing to fight rape culture!! Don't attack us!" They also limit the exposure of the school to bad press. Better to quietly snuff out the person charged than make national media and go to real court.

grewapair • 34 points • 23 February, 2017 08:45 PM

This is exactly like drunk driving: everyone knows it's wrong, nothing will be done until we stand up and do something more than talk about it.

In the 70s and 80s, drunk driving was seen like cheating on your boyfriend: a wrong for which there should be no repercussions. If the cops caught you drunk, they usually just drove you home.

The nation saw people getting killed left and right, everyone knew it was wrong, yet no one did anything about it at all. The attitudes hadn't changed in 50 years. Until one stay at home mom went on a crusade after her son was killed by a drunk driver, and Mothers Against Drunk Driving was born.

The reality is that the feminists, and SJWs get too much benefit out of perpetuating the myth that 99.999% of women are angels and all men are bad. This slut will get no jail time, just community service...that she'll use on her application to grad school.

Until we actually do something about it besides talk. Talk does nothing. Complaining does nothing. When the court room is packed with protesters at her sentencing, only then will things start to change.

Mail_Order_Lutefisk • 20 points • 23 February, 2017 08:51 PM

It's not her sentencing that should see a packed courtroom. That won't change anything. Change would come with a packed courtroom in a Title IX case brought against the school by the men and a jury full of egalitarian individuals who ruled that the school has to cut each one of them a check for \$20 million or something.

grewapair • 10 points • 23 February, 2017 08:54 PM

That's a good idea, but I think the schools won't care. They'll just appeal to their SJW donors to give them an extra allotment for the payout. The only way to stop this is when the women start spending years in jail.

rigbed • 4 points • 23 February, 2017 10:42 PM

This subreddit hates on trump a lot but our enemies are all the same: soros

[deleted] • 33 points • 23 February, 2017 09:16 PM

Film everything. Period.

Save all your texts, use a screen shot app and save all of your snap chat pics and conversations. File them on a hard drive with dates and names. I do not give a shit.... do all of this if possible, get her to talk about how fun the sex is every time. Cover your ass,

Avoid fucking married chicks. If you don't know you don't know, but if you don't and you will have "raped"her far far before she will admit to being a cheating whore when she gets caught.

And don't up load to the internet for fucking revenge porn, just keep it stowed away, in the just in case scenario.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 13 of 22

Keep it for years never deleated that shit, becaise the statute of limitations is going out the window when it comes to these cases. And if you every become wealthy or successful, or a celebrity or run for president all these bitches will come running out of the woodwork to fuck your life because

- A. Personal negitive conquences
- B. Feelings
- C. Monetary gain
- D. The noterity of being taken advantage of (that victim hood complex they so crave.

Additionally have you ever talked to a girl where her last BF wasn't a complete asshole? And you need to feel sorry for her because of it? It's rare and it's almost like they deserved it half the time... almost like she cheated, or was a bitch because I know very few guys that will kick a semi decent looking chick out of their life if the pussy is good and she isn't a Psycho. Just saying

Jaereth • 10 points • 23 February, 2017 10:13 PM

Avoid fucking married chicks. If you don't know you don't know, but if you don't and you will have "raped"her far far before she will admit to being a cheating whore when she gets caught.

You just set these up so they can't cry "rape" later. Like basically get them to confirm via Email or Text or whatever you are chatting on that she's coming over for sex. Lots of dirty flirty talk. This usually happens because A: it's a thrill for them to be sneaking around like that and B: they ARE cheating, and DO need to secretly set up a time/place with you.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 11:18 AM

I find the drama and the sneaking around quite tiresome, I can find a 18-20 year old on tinder and pof that like my house, car and truck, that I have enough money to take her out somewhere kinda nice.

Hasn't had a kid, doesn't weigh as much, hasn't had the "night club experience yet or as much" aka there is still traction on her tires so to speak.

If your seeing a married chick you know she's a whore, now that can be fun I just don't find that the work to get in her pants is worth it and the potential stick is way worse the any carrot that you get... you get a husband walking in on you with a gun it's not a good time.

asktrpthrow123 • 62 points • 23 February, 2017 07:48 PM

Any girl that claims to have been abused or sexually harassed or anything is literally not worth any of your time. If she's actually been through abuse, it's unfortunate, and my sympathies to her, but she probably has a lot of baggage, and she is not worth investing in further. And if she's lying about being abused, well, you already know why this is a bad idea.

Jaereth • 44 points • 23 February, 2017 10:09 PM

Any girl that claims to have been abused or sexually harassed or anything is literally not worth any of your time.

Biggest red flag in the book. Next one is "I only get along with guys/have guy friends"

theONE843663 • 13 points • 24 February, 2017 04:29 AM

Damn man that one rings a bell...

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 14 of 22

CUNTRY • 17 points • 23 February, 2017 07:59 PM

A good percentage of women simply do not consider men as equals. So fuck them right?

BENDERisGRREAT • 29 points • 23 February, 2017 08:55 PM actually they just dont consider any other human being as being important

icutrauma11 • 15 points • 23 February, 2017 09:19 PM

The UK is ahead of us culturally in this matter. Young men need to audio/video record to protect themselves as well as be witnessed by their friends as to what it's consensual before the act. The other problem is that there are too many beta dads not teaching their sons how to be men and their mother won't tell them because they don't want their sons deducing what kind of woman she possibly was.

Read this:

https://www.google.com/amp/s/amp.theguardian.com/law/2014/dec/01/109-women-prosecuted-false-rape-allegations

theONE843663 • 10 points • 24 February, 2017 04:41 AM

That last part hits home so good. Every woman is a whore even my mother. I love my mom... But she's a whore. My dad took her Virginity, married her at 17, she birthed me at 19... In patriarchal times... Never cheated (possibly)... But she's still a goddamn whore.

My father? 6'1" 205lbs Former heroin junkie and used for over 20 years, 7 years older than her, still smokes weed everyday and drink every week lol. Mind you, she picked him during the age of arranged marriages back in the day. It was a love marriage. My father has admitted to being a Chad before, and after the marriage. Marriage going 26 years strong.

Funny thing is, my mother always tries to steer me to being a responsible beta... But my dad taught me long time back not to listen to her bullshit lmfao.

Fucking AWALT!

.

MattyAnon • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:17 PM

That article is saying that they should never have been charged. They are defined as victims.

If approx 3700 rape prosecutions per year, only 109 false allegations? YEAH RIGHT.

These are the tip of the iceberg - women are only prosecuted where the man was sentenced *and then found innocent later*. Most of the false accusations are resulting in either no conviction or conviction with no subsequent overturning.

Only the rarest of cases are getting women prosecuted.

Women are protesting these convictions as a violation of human rights. In other words: making provably false rape accusations is seen by them as a fundamental human right.

Very, very few of those 109 convicted faced jail time.

CHIPPENDALESIXNINE • 38 points • 23 February, 2017 06:33 PM

I don't want to downplay rape, but I'm always skeptical if they admit that it wasn't forced. Imagine a gay dude saying he was raped but it wasn't a forceful rape, he was too drunk or on drugs. Nobody would care in that scenario and all the responsibility would be on the male. At what point will someone finally tell females, if you don't want to be put in these kinds of positions, don't dress like a slut, give yourself alcohol poisoning, do a bunch of illegal drugs, and try and fuck everyone at parties. It's like going to the bad part of town to buy crack

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 15 of 22

and then wondering why you found yourself with a gun pointed at you.

empatheticapathetic • 34 points • 23 February, 2017 08:56 PM

How...dare you. You misogynist pig. Typical man, trying to dictate and control the actions of women. You think you know better. Women should be free to dress how they want, to go to any part of town they want and not have to feel the oppression of men threatening their safety at any point. Uh its 2017, haven't you opened your mind to experimenting with drugs like crack yet?? I totes did it this one time with this dude called rapheal and he helped me meet like so many awesome hot dudes, well i think they were hot i was pretty high most of the time LOL! And the best part was they even bought me more crack! I never spent a penny. Anyway you sick men just love to take advantage of women in a vulnerable state, it's disgusting. My friend Brittany got drunk and met this guy at a party and he took her to his house after to charge her phone. She started sobering up and realised he had really big ears (eww) and then he was trying to kiss her and touch her leg so she screamed and called the police. That sicko nearly raped her! She is sooo brave to talk about it in public.

CHIPPENDALESIXNINE • 19 points • 23 February, 2017 09:24 PM

Hopefully that man gets sent to prison for his actions. Men should know better. When two people decide to mutually take part in extremely irresponsible actions like drugs and alcohol, it's known that it's the man's responsibility to stay level headed and not have his judgement clouded by the substances. Men are always giving consent when they have mutual drunken/drug induced sex with a woman, but the woman might not be in her right state of mind. She should be free to do as she pleases without repercussions because it's the man who should be thinking about both of their futures and how they'll feel in the morning, during that interaction. Remember, ask if they consent every 5 seconds during sex. That way they can still change their mind about it the next day if they please. Don't record it, because that would be illegal as well. The best thing is to carry around a waver that a woman has to sign before she has sex with you, however there's no telling if you faked her signature or if she was coherent when she signed, so really the man has no rights when it comes to sex whatsoever. As it should be.

askmrcia • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 12:39 PM

Then they say they want to be equal, lol go figure.

Yea this shit is absured, but I probably blame the white Knights more for letting it get this out of hand. Actually I do blame the white Knight more.

Mail Order Lutefisk • 16 points • 23 February, 2017 09:06 PM

He was trying to kiss her and he was ugly? You have a typo - you said he nearly raped her, but it sounds to me like he did. She is a survivor. Is she getting counseling?

askmrcia • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 12:37 PM

I hate this comment so much. Because it's exactly their argument every single time. We're the victim blamers and women refuse to take responsibility.

Everytime I see a comment like yours I always say "well if you leave your car door unlocked and house door unlocked is still not your fault if your shit gets stolen?"

Women's response? "Ughhh that's completely different and not even the same scenario."

That's when I stop the argument. Women take absolutely no responsibility when it comes to their mistakes. It's the world's fault and men's fault that they choose to major in easy shit and end up in a ton of college debt with a shitty job, it's our fault that they get cat called and sexually abused because they want

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 16 of 22

to dress like sluts and sleep around, but have no issue taking sexual pictures on social media.

I can keep going, but the comment you posted is what I see all the time from that skanks and it just irritates me. But yea you hit the nail on the head.

mbillion • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 01:03 PM

Well the problem really is a logical one. The drunk man is automatically the perpetrator. The drunk female is automatically the victim. And it's as simple as that, a drunk girl can't consent, not if the circumstances dictated it was a rape or not.

The logic is one directional. If it was equal any mf consensual drunk sex would result in two rape charges

Jaereth • -2 points • 23 February, 2017 10:07 PM

if you don't want to be put in these kinds of positions, don't dress like a slut, give yourself alcohol poisoning, do a bunch of illegal drugs, and try and fuck everyone at parties.

This is the first thing the feminist rape fetishists will cite that makes us look bad. And I tend to agree with them. Don't victim blame someone who got raped no matter what they were wearing or no matter how much alcohol or drugs they did. Men should still not rape women no matter how slutty and drunk she looks.

The key point is THERE WAS NO RAPE here. She fucking asked these dudes to go have sex with her, then admitted she FABRICATED the rape part for social effect. She shouldn't even get the luxury of victim blaming because that implies there is a victim. She's just a slut that had a crisis of conscience when she realized how much of a slut she was.

CHIPPENDALESIXNINE • 7 points • 23 February, 2017 10:32 PM

Exactly. I'm not advocating rape by any means. It's a terrible act. I was pointing out that women tend to confuse rape with everything that isn't rape because it allows them to take back their consent as soon as they regret their actions the next day. If you put yourself in a situation like that and both of your judgments are impaired, you're equally to blame for the sex that you were willing to intoxicatingly have as the other person. If women were given the same privilege for other things while intoxicated, such as driving, they could take back their decisions as soon as they're sober, using the cop out of "I was drunk at the time, but really I didn't want to do it." You still wanted it at the time. If drunk or on drugs you isn't responsible enough to say no to things you would normally say no to, then maybe you're not responsible enough to be partaking in those actions. Especially if you're willing to ruin a man's life and take away his freedom, just to save a little face as a woman and continue to do the same thing down the road.

TedCruzEatsBoogers2 • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 05:34 AM

if you don't want to be put in these kinds of positions, don't dress like a slut, give yourself alcohol poisoning, do a bunch of illegal drugs, and try and fuck everyone at parties.

Men should still not rape women no matter how slutty and drunk she looks.

Why can't both of these be true?

Jaereth • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 04:49 PM

I guess it comes down to if you think women are people too and not inherently "lesser" than men.

I think they should be able to wild out and party their ass off if they so chose without that being considered as a factor if a rape happens. I think we need to really differentiate between actual rape, and the "two drunk people had sex the guy raped her the girl is innocent" which is EXACTLY the

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 17 of 22

narrative that's pushed everywhere in the media and to kids in school. This is the real problem with the issue of rape today.

Victim blaming goes absolutely against that goal. Makes you look like a real neckbeard to everyone who really understand the issue.

I guess they both can be true, or they both can not be true, or one or the other. It's not mutually exclusive. ANY condition of the two though doesn't lessen the criminality of a real rape though, and should't be bantered around like it does.

The M0rning Star • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 04:18 PM

No, fuck that. No one is responsible for your personal safety, let alone some strange man you don't even know. Bottom line, rape is about dominance. Two women walk by. The first limping by like a wounded gazelle, eyes wide and trembling body, purse clutched close to her body. The next strides by like a cheetah hunting for prey, sleek steps and unwavering gaze. Guess which one the rapist is going to go for. And therein lies the fault that they try to absolve themselves from - *they choose to be prey*

Is their fault they got raped? **NOOOO**. That would be the fault of the pos rapist. Is it their fault they are prey? Yes, it is. That seems really harsh, but then. So is the entire fucking world. It's a different time now. Back in the 60's and 70's when everyone was naive? A lot more understandable. Now days? I've got the internet, I know you didn't actually lose your puppy. Also I wear a big metal needle in my hair, and I'll just fucking stab you in the eye.

[deleted] • 16 points • 23 February, 2017 10:57 PM

This is the prime example for how you know feminists are full of shit. THIS is what causes things like victim blaming, they should be up in arms about this, but since women are infallible you hear nothing.

Seriously, does nobody read The Boy Who Cried Wolf anymore? This is precisely the lesson we were all supposed to take from it. Actual rape victims are hurt by false accusations.

MattyAnon • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:18 PM

Actual rape victims are hurt by false accusations

They get around this by demanding everyone forget about the false allegations, rather than trying to stop them.

Men must be imprisoned whether guilty or not, women must never be asked to tell the truth.

It's fucking endless.

VanityKings • 16 points • 23 February, 2017 08:44 PM

Well, looks like these boys have a strong case for a lawsuit against the school

whenfoom • 10 points • 24 February, 2017 12:01 AM

The best part is when she said she lied so she could continue to cuck her BB.

ozaku7 • 10 points • 23 February, 2017 07:51 PM

I never hear something like this in Europe. Is this another American case?

prodigy2throw • 9 points • 23 February, 2017 09:40 PM

It's pretty fucked up how a woman has the power to ruin any mans life simply by saying rape. It's the one crime

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 18 of 22

where you are guilty until proven innocent and even then you're still guilty in the eyes of society.

All a woman needs is social media, a picture of you or your name, and you're fucked. One social media message about you raping her and its over.

Be careful out there boys. Don't make enemies with someone who has the power to destroy you.

There's a reason why we say leave her better than you found her.

```
godfatherchimp • 9 points • 24 February, 2017 02:51 AM
```

She is the definition of "dark triad woman". Look into her eyes...there's no soul. She was willing to send two men to jail to be raped *for the rest of their lives* entirely because her anti-slut defense kicked in and she didn't want her *potential* beta bucks to find out she gangbanged two football players.

She should be locked up for life before she reproduces. Truly the scum of the earth

```
godfatherchimp • 6 points • 24 February, 2017 04:21 AM
```

A good time to remind everyone that rape is necessarily beta behavior. You think our alpha archetype Chad Thundercock rapes women? No, because any woman he wants to fuck also wants to fuck him. It's logically impossible for Chad to rape a woman. Rape is for men whose SMV is not high enough to attract the women they want to bang.

```
HerrAdventure • 8 points • 24 February, 2017 04:35 AM
```

My two cents to back up the third bullet:

Early last spring, started hanging out with a chick. She seemed fun, intelligent, well traveled. Time goes on, more past stories come up. She mentions her time going through India and Egypt and says she had to get an abortion due to rape while out there.

The first time I met her. We banged that night. I kept a skeptical mind, as I have been sexually abused as well. I went ahead and asked around about her life, many...many men have fucked her it turns out. Parties, orgies...whatever.

I totally agree with your post mon frere.

```
slcjosh • 6 points • 24 February, 2017 01:21 AM
```

Duke Lacrosse case forever shaped my life. Shit was so fucked up.

```
blacwidonsfw • 6 points • 24 February, 2017 01:36 AM
```

Happy to be in an ltr after reading posts like this. Fuck this bullshit that goes with fucking random chicks

```
abbafishhead • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 12:28 PM
Chicks in LTRs accuse their boyfriends of rape all the time.
```

logicalthinker1 • 5 points • 24 February, 2017 12:45 AM

Great post. Can't stress this enough.

College can be the best time of your life if you are just careful. Women will never be sluttier in their lives. Parties will never be that fun. You have tons of freedom and free time.

Always have provable evidence that sex between you and a girl was consensual. It may feel weird trying to do this but it only takes one crazy bitch to literally ruin the rest of your life.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 19 of 22

```
rigbed • 6 points • 23 February, 2017 10:51 PM
```

You know sometimes the nihilism of this sub annoys me. The people in congress now are on our side. We can petition Devos we can petition Jeff sessions, they're against kangaroo courts for these college rape cases. We don't need to acquiesce to the soros globalist feminazi agenda. We can fight. Watching the decline will not save us. The decline will mean invasion via Muslim caliphate.

```
monadyne • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 06:42 AM
```

With respect, rigbed, I think you've been drinking the Kool Aid. The cunts who have been empowered by Trump are still cunts, dancing to the tune of their self-interests. Believing that by belonging to a group --even a group who appears on the surface to reflect your own values-- is still collectivism. A man's true power and authority come from himself, not from some group he belongs to. The group will ~always~ betray the individual if the individual's will diverges from the group will. The most honorable path is to unplug from all such social systems and make your own way through the world, being true only to yourself, and ~owing no allegiance to anyone other than yourself.~

```
rigbed • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 11:52 AM
```

No no no, I completely agree. But for the greater good, I believe this war needs to be fought.

Dsalter123 • 3 points • 24 February, 2017 04:42 AM

Didn't a girl try to get Peyton Manning for rape too?

```
[deleted] • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 09:14 AM
```

Do your best to avoid any girl who goes to college. They get brainwashed, fucked fifteen ways from Sunday, and come with a huge amount of debt. College girls are not worth dating. Plus high school dropouts have the lowest divorce rate. Something you'll never read in any media publication but true none the less.

```
Tommy 407 • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 02:49 PM
```

I believe that about hs dropouts having low divorce rate, but, thats likely because a girl or guy who dropped out of high school could have had very few options, been a social outcast, had a baby out of wedlock, never could afford to goto college, etc

Kudos to you for pointing that out, but, the girls that goto college i personally believe would have a higher smv on average. The dropouts in many cases settle down early because of how insanely tumultuous their teenage years were.

TheCello • 3 points • 23 February, 2017 07:52 PM

Zomg she's not even a 6 LOL

```
Luckyluke23 • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 04:04 AM
```

well... if this was me i would sue that school into the fucking ground. and when i say in the fucking ground it would burn so hot because i sued it into the molten core of the earth.

2 guys just lost their nfl careers because someone lied. this is not cool and makes me wish i was lawyer.

```
ImamMahdi • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 08:39 AM
```

the power is with the people...man i just want to be of help to people like these. like someone mentioned below, nothing will change until people actually start acting. By showing support in court rooms, or protesting, etc.

There are too many 'women' 'female' organizations and not enough for men. Does anyone know of any good

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 20 of 22

ones? We all should be having each others backs, because we are not perfect, and we don't know the future, some dumb thing like this can happen to the best of us....

FredWeedMax • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 01:05 PM

I don't get it, why doesn't SHE get charged with rape, she basically raped him of his life status until proven innocent, and even then his life status won't go back to what it once was

She'd get a low sentence anyways because pussypass

The Morning Star • 2 points • 24 February, 2017 03:03 PM

I've met a woman who was violently raped. It took her 15 years to tell me, and she cried like it happened yesterday. Everytime I hear stories like this one, where women use rape to try and defend their slutty behavior, I think of her. I think about how 15 years still wasn't enough time to take away the horror she felt.

This chick needs to be punished. She ruined two people's careers, and spit in the face of actual rape victims. Jesus this shit makes my blood boil.

GoodKingWenceslaus • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 12:07 PM

So bad. I really don't want to be involved with women at college if that's how they'll be.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 12:35 PM

Every time I hear some abuse history from a woman she is immediately put in the pump and dump bucket.

Doesn't matter if she is from fucking Russia which so many people here pedestalize.

mbillion • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 12:57 PM

While I'm generally in favor of free sexual expression if you have anything to lose like a scholarship or good job sometimes you gotta think about the possibilities.

I tell my little bros in college... Get consent in text message. You need to cover your ass straight up

brinkleybuzz[S] • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:02 PM

I hear you. I've been out of college for a while, but I tell younger guys that I know to be wary of thot traps.

mbillion • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 12:59 PM

Also I would imagine that if they are in for it, and I would be filling papers so fast, to sue her life from underneath her.

This would be a shut down case of personal damages. Done right these dudes should own this woman's life.

Llamada • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 02:45 PM

I honeslt think the second worst part about these women is: I become skeptical about women talking about their sexual abuse past and i will never believe them 100%. So these bitches ruines it for women who actually got raped. You want men to treat rape as something not serious, that's how you do it women! Good job. You fucked it up for the rest

ArkAngelEV • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 03:45 PM

Horrible. Isn't the upside that these 2 guys able to sue the LIVING SHIT out of the university for numerous discriminations/defamation/libel/all real and suffering damages from the ordeal? Or are we not there yet

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 21 of 22

```
[deleted] • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 04:34 PM
```

The worst part about this misandrist system is that the all-women-are-wonderful school staff who stripped them of everything will in no way retract their action and give back to these men what they rightfully earned. Even if they get any of it back, they'll be past their prime, they may be able to finish school but their football career is over.

Women like this are disgusting and all too often get a slap on the wrist. She's up against felony charges, but one big cry-it-out session and she'll get an easy walk. What a fucking cunt.

```
MattyAnon • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 07:01 PM
```

The accuser was charged with fale reporting and evidence tampering and it appears that she will go to trial.

She won't get jail, they never do. Worst case she'll get a suspended sentence that will never translate to jail time.

When women do something wrong it's because of society/upbringing/parents/bad experiences and they get let off. When men do something wrong it's *no excuses*, *go to jail*.

```
"When the going gets tough, a woman will throw you under the bus."
```

They don't even need the going to get tough. They just need their best option to be throwing you under a bus. If women could get paid for pushing men under buses, there would be no men left.

```
Women will not tell the truth about their past
```

Women will not tell the truth about *anything*. Literally every word they say is designed to paint themselves in the best possible light.

```
clearsmoke • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 08:16 PM
```

It was said here before. The accuser needs more accountability. If the woman cries rape and it's false, the minimum sentence should be 5 years or the equivalent of the rape sentence. Gentleman if you ever get accused of rape, the first thing you should do is hire a lawyer and file a lawsuit for defamation and slander. Nothing is more important than a man's reputation. I SAY IT AGAIN: If you're accused of rape, sue for defamation and slander. Always.

```
twofones • 1 point • 24 February, 2017 11:39 PM
```

Record everything

It's better to deal with surveillance issues than rape charges

```
tolerantman • 1 point • 1 March, 2017 03:31 PM
```

When I see cases like this, I understand why some countries throw acid on women's faces

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 22 of 22