

# It's Time to Admit the Truth about Dating Apps

June 5, 2017 | 634 upvotes | by [Wolverbeans](#)

---

Here's what you can look forward if men, by and large, continue feeding the beast of online dating (attention whoring apps)

- 1) Delaying of marriage
- 2) Fewer children born
- 3) Increased maternal age = greater prevalence of autism and other problems in children
- 4) Greatly educed ability to pair bond for both some men and majority women after spending a decade or more immersed in the hookup culture
- 5) Enormous stratification in the sexual marketplace (80/20 rule or even 90/10 - 95/5)
- 6) Massively overpumped egos on women resulting in sky high expectations and \*\*\*\*ty attitudes
- 7) Skewed sexual marketplace with illusion of massive abundance of alpha males on dating apps
- 8) Less incentive for females to compete based on appearance = more female fatties
- 9) More men looksmaxing to compete for mediocre chicks
- 10) Greater spread of venereal diseases, including strains of gonorrhea & chlamydia that are antibiotic resistant
- 11) More women replacing sex for the validation they receive online

We are essentially witnessing the complete destruction of the sexual marketplace that has been the norm for most of the past fifty years. This sexual marketplace was typified by a reliance on social circle and night time venues to facilitate hookups. Women's choices were constrained necessarily to the men within their immediate proximity. **More importantly, these men had to approach her.** If she wanted to meet a man she had to put in the effort to go out to a public venue with friends, or else rely on her social circle to introduce her to a man. This acted as an enormous brake on female hypergamy, and combined with social pressures and norms that encouraged early marriages (which have since decayed), the effect was that most young women did not have a high number of sexual partners and married by their early twenties.

Dating apps turn everything upside down. Women are given the illusion of having unlimited sexual options. They can engage in unimaginable levels of promiscuous behavior with complete impunity and secrecy - on the contrary only a small, and getting smaller, percentage of men can do this. Women now can easily \*\*\*\* a new guy every week for years at a time with their friends and family being none the wiser. And they can do so with essentially no investment of time or energy. It's an unlimited sexual buffet at women's fingertips. Can we really not expect them to gorge themselves until they've had their fill? Of course not, it's just human nature. And so they gorge. They get pumped and dumped by men with SMV's above their own who they have no hope of securing genuine commitment from. But they never forget that good fucking they got and will hold it against every subsequent man who doesn't measure up. Alpha widowhood is becoming the new normal, and all of its attendants follow: bitchy attitudes, enormous entitlement, selfishness, general unpleasantness, inability to love.

There's really no way around it. Dating apps have been a weapon of mass destruction dropped on the sexual marketplace. And most guys were left incinerated in a smoking crater. Of course, some men who have success online laud dating apps, but they're missing the bigger picture: what's good for the goose isn't good for the gander in this case. They're fortunate enough to be riding high on the blast wave of the explosion, but they're oblivious to the scorched earth behind them, and equally oblivious to the hard

landing ahead.

Self improvement can't defeat this beast either. In today's marketplace, If you have already maximized your value as much as you possibly can and you are coming across better than 95% of men, this is still often insufficient, because the combined effects of developments in technology are in more cases than not sufficient to nullify your improvements, even if you have improved massively. Even if you do see positive results from self-improvement, all other things being equal, you would have almost certainly received far greater positive results from that very same amount of self-improvement before chicks had access to 1000 thirsty dudes on their phones.

### **On a brighter note:**

Dating apps might be sowing the seeds of their own destruction. I'm seeing a lot of men getting frustrated with the return on investment from said apps all across the internet. Even extremely good looking men getting jaded of pumping 6's (which is the pinnacle of woman on these sites in 2017) only to get ghosted on later. Banning these apps isn't happening, but the conversation is worth starting simply to **implant the idea in peoples' heads that these dating apps highly distort the sexual marketplace** and result in great damage to individual men and women as well as society as a whole. What we can do about this gaping wound :

- 1) Delete these apps
- 2) Start talking to girls in real life
- 3) Have a low tolerance to the amount of shit you will take from any girl
- 4) Be quick to next chicks
- 5) Actively black knight fatties, by not so subtly shaming them publicly
- 6) Make your mission, not women, your goal
- 7) Don't give women cheap validation with nothing in return (that means stop liking profile pictures or commenting thirsty shit)

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

GrabHerByThePEPE • 284 points • 5 June, 2017 11:15 AM

Met a cute fit girl on tinder and banged her for 1-2 hours. Best sex ever. In the middle she asks me a couple of times "how do you not have a girlfriend?" Make plans for the same later next week, but she ghosted me. Blew my mind. She's probably doing this multiple times a week. But that's ok, I knew she was a tinder slut from just matching. Still...

askmrcia • 100 points • 5 June, 2017 12:42 PM

Had a similar experience. Cute fit girl that was into bouldering. We met at my place, hooked up. Hung out again and actually went bouldering the second date. Then she ghosted me after that.

[deleted] • 42 points • 5 June, 2017 01:14 PM

You didn't climb hard enough....or too hard!

Alphadestrious • 56 points • 5 June, 2017 02:43 PM

She moved on to bigger and better cocks bruh

zephyrprime • 87 points • 5 June, 2017 03:34 PM

Nah she moved on to bigger and better rocks, haha

d0lphinsex • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 06:42 PM

Yep.

metallicdrama • 13 points • 5 June, 2017 08:49 PM

Maybe OP was too big. Maybe he didn't stuff it in her ass in date 2. You never know with these bitches.

[deleted] 5 June, 2017 08:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

DigitallyDisrupt • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 04:45 AM

Right, could be a myriad of issues

Right, it's a myriad of issues.

FTFY

[deleted] • 34 points • 5 June, 2017 03:10 PM

Hung out again and actually went bouldering the second date.

Honestly this is my plan. I'm too old for Tinder.....if I fork out \$150 to OKC, I can message any women I want, such as women into climbing and under 28. It's cheaper than an hour with a pro, so fuck it.

I'm inspired by Blackdragon's 2% response rate on one blast.....which resulted in a 19 y/o smokeshow in his bed. We're not running for office here.

I'll post by the end of summer if it doesn't work.....or once I get two plates solidly in the air, if it does. I figure that by arranging things around outdoor adventures, I'm giving the hamsters enough room to spin....yeah, I'm older, but I'm both safe and fun, and not looking for any commitments.

brettfromtibet28 points 5 June, 2017 07:25 PM **[recovered]**

women don't use OKC anymore. It's a shame as it was better for men by a long shot. Back in 2009 it was loaded with semi-decent, approachable women you could send a thoughtful message to and set up a date with. Now it's just a few fat chicks with blue hair & noserings... and very little activity. Dating Apps killed dating "sites."

IGoYouStayTwoAutumns • 31 points • 5 June, 2017 07:52 PM

True. I was big into OKC and Match several years ago, past few years though, the entire market has shifted, women aren't really on dating sites anymore, they're on dating apps, and I doubt they'll ever go back.

The funniest thing about all this: I remember when Tinder first came out, everyone was like "This will never work, it's just a picture, how can you go on a date with someone without at least READING a bit about them first??"

I laughed and was like "Nah, women don't care, for them it's all looks anyway, they never read profile text. They're gonna LOVE this."

Of course my observation was met with oh-so-much scorn from all the women in my life (girls who were like "Noooo, we like to READ, we're READERS, we women need a deep, heartfelt CONNECTION with a guy, and that comes through in what and how he writes on his profile, not just his pics...")--and then, sure enough, about 10 seconds later they all hopped on Tinder, matched themselves with the top 2% of men (going by their pics alone), and never looked back.

kasper138 • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 08:02 PM

I use to think it was a quiz site. I didn't even realize the "OK cupid" part LOL

ayylma088 • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 01:57 PM

and those that are still on OKC seem to be getting waay too much attention as well I have the feeling.

MrTheFalcon • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 07:40 AM

I think it was Blackdragon's estimation that photos were worth 80% and text was 20%. If you're a top 2%-er, you just need any decent photos. The rest of us can pick a good set of photos, and fine tune text. It's still a shitshow.

IGoYouStayTwoAutumns • 4 points • 7 June, 2017 08:43 PM

I'd say it's 98% photos / 2% text, and that's being generous to text.

A few years ago I was knocking it out of the park on dating sites with the long, eloquent block of text (several paragraphs) I had attached to my dating profile--women would routinely open me with "Wow, I loved what you wrote here..." etc.

Nowadays, the long text profile just comes off as desperate and needy--instead it's all about writing two or three sentences tops, and you better make sure those sentences are light-hearted, flippant, and essentially meaningless. ("Professional parallel parker. Froyo. Baseball is life." etc)

We're definitely moving into a post-literate society (a la Neal Stephenson's THE DIAMOND AGE)--reminds me of the time (recently) when a friend of mine put up an ad online looking for a bookkeeper / personal assistant, and all the women who applied for the job did so with "No I don't have a written resume, here's my Instagram instead." No joke, **they thought the photos they'd taken of themselves over the past few years were a good and proper substitute for a WRITTEN WORK RESUME.**

Enjoy the decline, etc etc...

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 2 points • 8 June, 2017 04:25 PM

Our society has basically evolved to a point where we try to cut the fat or bullshit out of anything. We just want you to get to the point, not waste more of our precious time. Dating APPS took the same course by simplifying what was already being done on dating websites but just emphasizing the important parts which is photos and how quickly you can begin communicating with someone who has mutual interests in you.

IGoYouStayTwoAutumns • 2 points • 8 June, 2017 06:34 PM

True, but keep in mind the goal of a dating app is NOT to get you onto a date ASAP, or to get you to sex, or to a relationship--the goal of a dating app is **to get you to use the dating app as much as possible, and it does this by keeping you single for as long as possible.** That's pretty much it. That's their revenue stream: number of users multiplied by number of minutes spent by each user on the app each day. If you actually went on a date, had sex, and entered into an exclusive, committed, monogamous relationship, the dating app you were previously on would LOSE money (because you wouldn't be on it anymore, as you're no longer a customer).

Fact is it wouldn't be hard at all to make a dating app that actually helped you get and find dates--it could even be as simple as Tinder, but with a few tweaks: unlimited filtering options (height, weight, overall body mass, physical features, education, personality, likes, dislikes, hobbies, media consumption, politics, religion, and perhaps most importantly, DISTANCE), you could put in all the filters you require, get your matches, see who's interested in you BEFORE you match back (or you can signal that you're interested first and see what happens), etc etc. Then on top of that: limit the number of messages that can be sent per day (or charge for more) so each interaction has weight, have people rate each other so they're always on their best behavior, have the app follow up with you periodically ("It looks like this person abruptly ended her conversation with you, what happened?" "It looks like you had a date last night, how'd it go? Any plans to see her again?"), and so on. Your odds of finding a decent date (or repeat dates) would skyrocket under such a system. Tinder knows this. Thing is, Tinder also knows THERE'S NO MONEY IN THIS. So they keep it to their stripped down "slot machine" approach, because for them (and their business model) it's all about the "rush" you get whenever you score a match, not about actually going out and meeting these people (which only happens very, very rarely. Think about the number of people who see, vs the number you swipe right on, vs the number of matches you actually get, vs the number of conversations that actually happen, vs the number of dates you ultimately have, vs the number of the hookups you end up with. Contrast that last number with that first number and wow, what a difference).

Tinder knows their system doesn't work (though it gives you the illusion of the possibility of it working), and that's exactly why you'll stay on it forever (blaming yourself for not being good looking and / or cool enough, rather than blaming the app for not being properly designed in the first place).

MrTheFalcon • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 09:05 PM

It can't be this bad. Maybe a few Twitter sized stories that evoke what it's like to be with you. That's got to count for something. Then again, pictures say 1000 words.

[deleted] • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 07:42 PM

The problem is SITES aren't as good as APPs for us old dudes. Also, I think it's a geographic thing.....there are plenty of women in my area using OKC.

gg\_s • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 06:19 AM

They are using both. Tinder for alpha fucks, OKC for beta bucks.

RP\_Scotsman • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 07:30 AM

Perhaps. In LA it seems OKC has been left to the catladies and fatties.

metallicdrama • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:46 PM

fat chicks that don't even need to ever meet to get an ego boost lol. That site BLOWS. POF has never failed me. I still prefer it to tinder.

Luckyluke23 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:55 AM

this doesn't surprise me, man. why bother doing all that shit of setting up a profile when you can just swipe right.

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 1 point • 8 June, 2017 04:22 PM

Yeah OKC is the shit hole of online dating, not as bad as POF but all the fucked up women with no options plague OKC. Anything you end up fucking there comes with a risk.

drallcom39 points 6 June, 2017 12:26 AM\* **[recovered]**

The only time women from online dating aren't undatable garbage is when they're new in town. In every other case there's a reason they're single and the decent ones aren't and won't have to do online dating.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:45 AM

Good point.

People continue to flood into Denver. That's a strike for online dating.

[deleted] • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 04:49 PM

What's the name of the article you're referring to from black dragon?

[deleted] • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 07:13 PM

Try "Blackdragon get laid with 2%" and it should come up.

nightmancommeth • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 04:56 PM

I am older too. Plenty of Fish worked for me. It was more generic dating as send a message. OK Cupid had all these ratings and shit that i didnt want to devote the time to answering. Also one was \$15 and the other \$20

askmrcia • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 06:06 PM

Honestly when I was on OKcupid I spent more time answering the questions then actually looking at women's profiles and messaging them.

Answering the questions was the best thing about that app

metallicdrama • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:48 PM

I liked it only because you can find cheiks that answered certain ways to questions, like sex on first date. Most lie but if they actually say yes, you know thats a quick win.

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 07:43 PM

Wait, couldn't be younger women at PoF. They are shitty about that, unless you lie about your age.

metallicdrama • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:47 PM

Lie, and then mention your real age. Sluts love it.

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 08:55 PM

Fair. Although this thread makes me want to just go to a climbing gym and chat up girls.....that I actually climb with, of course. Then take them outside. Then home.

TryDoingSomethingNew • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 06:24 AM

Which is *precisely* what I did on OK cupid thanks to info I got here about here about the site trying to enforce "age appropriate" dating bullshit via limiting your search range if you're outside a certain age.

nightmancommeth • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:05 AM

Never even heard of that. I could talk to girls 18-50

TryDoingSomethingNew • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 12:10 PM

Interesting, I could be wrong but I distinctly remember reading a guy who said it was the case so I rolled with it.

Regardless, in general I've noticed on sites women often filter searches by those age ranges similarly, too.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:30 PM

Female searches are meaningless.

The only question is if they see your message.

From what I understand, if you pay up, not only they will see your message, it will be boosted to the top. If you don't pay, you don't get to message younger women.

Unless you lie, which I never do. Lying isn't a great way to get non-monogamous relationships going.



jewishsupremacist88 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:07 PM

pof is atrocious these days.

nightmancommeth • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:44 PM

I've been in a 2 year LTR now so I was on before it was bought by match. However I was spinning upwards of 6 plates off it in my prime. If you spend the 14 bucks they push your photos on girls and tell you who likes you. It was like fish in a barrel.

jewishsupremacist88 • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 01:10 AM

ive had luck in the past as well. i was just recently on it and it was proper sad. frustrating

thomasfromSA • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 04:38 PM

Girls don't want u they want Alex Hannold

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:23 PM

Can't blame them, balls of steel.

iThinkThisIsAGoodOne • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 08:39 PM

I know a good looking fit girl who gets ghosted all the time too.

It's not completely one sided, we just see our side as men. But I would say that men get ghosted more often, as men often want to keep the sexual tap open as long as possible

askmrcia • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 10:19 PM

But I would say that men get ghosted more often

Is that what she told you? I take her words with a grain of salt. Good looking girls don't get ghosted unless its guys far out of their league like pro athletes or something

iThinkThisIsAGoodOne • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 11:04 PM

She went on a few dates, then got ghosted by a guy who was telling her how great she was

It happens to everyone

[deleted] • 130 points • 5 June, 2017 01:16 PM

Exactly. I have met tinder sluts who will have sex and then apparently ghost you. The funny thing is that women are the ones who talk about how guys will pump and dump, yet women do it a lot.

sqr1 • 54 points • 5 June, 2017 03:51 PM

women are the ones who talk about how guys will pump and dump, yet women do it a lot.

They're projecting; accuse men of doing what they're doing all the time. It's like the lying kid that accuses the other person of lying first... plausible deniability.

[deleted] • 23 points • 5 June, 2017 04:02 PM

Exactly. It's the same as when a woman is cheating and they accuse their man of cheating and questioning everything he does, when they aren't together.



mrpCamper • 91 points • 5 June, 2017 02:20 PM

The funny thing is that women are the ones who talk about how guys will pump and dump, yet women do it a lot.

Welcome to TRP. This is the real truth my friend. Oh and one thing you got wrong. "Yet women do it a lot" should be "Yet women do it more."

[deleted] • 73 points • 5 June, 2017 03:04 PM

that's really not taking it far enough. I would be willing to bet that women do it 20-100 times more than guys do it. Women have zero honor and feel no remorse or obligation to guys they no longer want to date.

KnowBrainer • 63 points • 5 June, 2017 04:23 PM

For hundreds/thousands of years we had societies who's major religious book BEGAN with a story about how a woman knowingly did literally the only evil thing possible, royally screwing over her man.

Atheism might have its perks, but there are lessons in that book that, if forgotten, can cause uncountable damage.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 04:55 PM\*

I have no problem with that context/statement.

I have a problem with the concept of original sin.

Hi I'm God. I made everything, every law of physics, every law of the known universe, every synapse firing in your brain. I made you independent and have a will to learn and do things. I want you to decide things for yourself. BUT don't eat from the tree of knowledge. Why? Oh, because I told you so of course! OMS! (oh my self) you ate from the tree when I told you not to! Now you will die! You've never seen or known what death is until now so it may have seemed like a silly concept.. but haha it's the worst thing imaginable. Now I will also punish every generation of children that comes after you regardless of their involvement or ability to be involved in this decision. I won't kill you now; I want you to die slowly.. because I love you...and please have lots of babies!

So, yeah, I guess if you want to pull some insight from the text go ahead. I, for one, can't really take advice or insight from a text so horribly misaligned with my values.

L04TSK443 points 5 June, 2017 07:55 PM\* **[recovered]**

He's saying the ancients understood female behaviour/treachery very well, and they documented that in religious texts.

He's not asking you to believe in sky daddy.

[deleted] • -6 points • 5 June, 2017 08:03 PM

I get what he's saying. And I'm saying, why listen to someone who's either an idiot or lying to you?

DigitallyDisrupt • 11 points • 6 June, 2017 04:42 AM

Religious texts were created to guide the ignorant and feeble minded away from the destruction of civilization.

Not sure if you're familiar with psychology, but telling someone not to do something rarely works. However, telling them an omniscient being will smite them if they don't, your conversion factor goes up. I sure this was a lie of convenience.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 04:58 AM\*

Religious texts were created to guide the ignorant and feeble minded away from the destruction of civilization.

That's not really an honest statement. Do you *really* think the authors cared about civilization? How do you suppose to know why those texts were created? come on.

But since we're guessing, I agree that the texts were created to guide the ignorant and feeble minded... but only to their own slavery at the hands of the authors/creators. To think the authors cared anything about society is bias, most likely a result of the brainwashing from the texts and teachings of its followers.

The constant promotion of these texts as having useful ideas is why I objected to begin with. These texts are interesting to study from a historical perspective on human psychology and manipulation. They are treacherous to use as guideposts for morality or decision making.

shroomsonpizza • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 07:40 PM

They also have a support group to back up their shitty behavior. Other girls and white knights. Dudes don't get shit and get shit on for reaching out.

mrpCamper • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 04:00 PM

agree. We'll never know the real number. but it could certainly be that high. Nor is this a new thing.

LuckyLuke23 • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 02:59 AM

in women mind, once you are done... YOU ARE DONE.

Boring\_Boris • -1 points • 5 June, 2017 05:24 PM

Real truth is you aren't plowing them hard enough.

mrpCamper • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:45 PM

I'm certain you are correct.

SocietalEngineering • 32 points • 5 June, 2017 05:06 PM

I genuinely don't get this. I have been online dating for a few years, met probably about 100 women, and almost every single one asks me "what are you looking for" as a sort of screening process. If my answer isn't an immediate "I'm looking to meet people and hopefully find a girlfriend" (or some other commitment-oriented BS) it's an instant ghosting. If I even mention sex or any hint of just wanting to get intimate quickly, again it's an instant ghosting, even if we've been talking for a while.

As much as the guys on here claim that women are into the pump and dump scene, I just haven't seen it.

Unless everyone here is just lying to these girls, then pumping and dumping, then claiming that that's what the girls really wanted the whole time.

It just really seems to me that girls just want a relationship slave - and if they need to trade sex to do that, they will.

ven5 • 26 points • 5 June, 2017 06:11 PM

It's their pride in themselves. Deep down, they just want to have fun, but they would feel like shit if they just go along with a guy that just wants sex. At least if you say you're looking for a girlfriend, they can pat themselves on the back for not being too slutty. Now whatever happens, it's your fault since she already did her due diligence.

DontTreadOnSnek • 45 points • 5 June, 2017 05:44 PM

"I'm looking for a genuine connection with someone" usually does the job.

You're not committing to anything, and a genuine connection in my case involves being balls deep in their vagina.

SocietalEngineering • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 06:02 PM

This is great, I'm going to try this. It looks both honest and effective.

youngzari • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 02:00 AM

straight forwardness is the key with me. My blurb is "Im looking for a good connection, someone I can potentially build with Im also looking for intimacy too" side-note: someone I can potentially build with is bullocks, its just the worm on the hook tbh

DigitallyDisrupt • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 04:44 AM

Blurb is a word, blurb is a sound a stone makes when thrown into a lake.

majaka1234 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 06:30 AM

"genuinely connect my shaft top to your cervix mucus hole"

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 1 point • 8 June, 2017 04:20 PM

My go to is "I'm not really looking for anything specifically. I'm not looking to find my next girlfriend. But if I do I'm not opposed to having one, but I'm not actively going out and looking for one. " It a great non answer to that kind of shit test.

DontTreadOnSnek • 1 point • 8 June, 2017 04:22 PM

too much of a mouthful in my opinion

[deleted] • 12 points • 5 June, 2017 05:28 PM\*

The thing is, that you shouldn't say anything about looking for a girlfriend. Talk about meeting new people and see what happens. On tinder, I have gotten bold and flat out ask if they like making out and escalate from there. The thing is depending on where you are doing it, you have to go through a number of people to find the slutty ones.

I'm sure there are posts on here about how to find online dating chicks for sex.

majaka1234 • 5 points • 6 June, 2017 06:32 AM

You have to be bold on tinder because they already have 30 guys saying "hey what's up?", 15 guys saying "drinks tonight?" etc etc. which means whilst your success rate probably isn't very high your "ey bb wan sum fuk" approach is still cutting through at least 95% of the shit that she gets.

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 3 points • 8 June, 2017 03:52 PM

The pump and dump scene is exclusively for top 10% men only. And I mean for the actually hot girls who have shit going on for them in their life. And no not the hoes, single moms, and barely 7's with a face full of caked up make up types, lots of guys can pump and dump those types with little to no hassle. I promise you girls move mountains for guys they REALLY want, they don't start with instant shit tests like what you described. If they do, then you aren't good enough yet to warrant that kind of desired behavior. Believe me, when you experience girls throwing themselves at you, that whole experience changes. That why you need to dick them hard if you have sex with any girl whom you want to fuck again, I never had a girl ghost me after some really good sex. And by really good I mean me basically using them as my personal slut/fuck toy. Women loved to be used sexually like that, they crave it. If you give them that kind of sex, and don't say or do some other stupid shit to term them off, you'll be like a drug to them.

kasper138 • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 07:58 PM

You're just not attractive enough(in whatever way).

My biggest beef is when I give them my number and then they give me theres instead of just texting. Already starting with the power game.

CaughtInTheNet • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 12:27 AM

What they want and what they say they want are two different things which are often dictated by who they have in front of them.

DontTreadOnSnek • 13 points • 5 June, 2017 05:42 PM

The funny thing is that women are the ones who talk about how guys will pump and dump, yet women do it a lot.

Ignore what they say, focus on their actions

metallicdrama • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:50 PM

Women project all that is wrong with them on to men. Ignore, go for anal on first date in case she ghosts.

Kommanderdude • 26 points • 5 June, 2017 01:35 PM

Yeah every tinder girl I met has asked me that. How come you don't have a gf? Why hasn't anyone locked you down yet?

SkorchZang • 34 points • 5 June, 2017 05:17 PM\*

Sounds like a wonderful compliment, but really that's their insecurity speaking. There's a lot to be insecure about:

Am I giving up the pussy too easily? Am I a typical tinder slut to him?

Will he probably be gone if I didn't give it up? Also I kinda want to give it up myself.

Guy has what seems like unbreakable frame. What's the catch? Where's the normal blue pill bullshit I am used to manipulating men with?

Is he actually married with 6 kids? Spinning me a yarn here, and will just abandon me after we fuck? (she may herself be out for a one nighter, but this fear is primal for women and does not go away even if she rationally knows it's a ONS. Hits them in the girl ego.)

If I hinted at this thing about him being in relationship (with me), it will stroke his ego, and he will think I'm a good girl just out looking for the right man, totally not a slut.

Etc. etc.

I think the bottom line is that it's not what you'd think, i.e. it's not an expression HER interest in locking you up. Mind boggling but true, she may be completely and utterly uninterested in that and would cringe, backpedal hard if you brought it up in a gracious offer to girlfriend her up. She will say something ambiguous but what she's really thinking is *"Omg nooo, I'm too young and too pretty for this!!"* I find you can bet on that and win it every time.

A good response is something like "aw, aren't you the little honey-dripper, nicest thing you said all night. Who knew?". You acknowledge her "compliment" jokingly and proceed to correctly give zero fucks about it.

GrabHerByThePEPE • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 06:13 PM

Overthinking it. If she ghosts after saying that, it's not really insecurity at being with a non-BP male after a dozen of em. And just laugh and shake your head, who cares what you say

talltexan123456 • 12 points • 5 June, 2017 06:57 PM

She probably thinks you're too good for her slutty ass. Everyone is insecure about something. I bet their whoring fucks with their minds more often than we think.

Kommanderdude • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 07:16 PM

Nice take on it. My current ltr has said this few times well after the 6 month mark. What is your take on that?

SkorchZang • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 11:01 PM

Ooh, delicious. I have a long term plate who said the same frequently. If you ask me, it's the same basic story, same difference, except that now after six months that may actually mean "I'm so aching to be promoted to First Wife". If you know what I mean. She understands you have several, but wants that promotion bad.

So in that situation I'm going to deliberately play stupid, with a huge grin, and say things like "oh really, what are you saying, exactly baby?" and "huh? is it just me or are we hinting at something, there maybe? just a little bit?". Make her **say it**. This is your moment of triumph, make her say "YES! I want you all to myself!!", she has to spell it out, embarassingly bare and real with zero girly double-speak. I find then it has more long term meaning to the girl herself!

Kommanderdude • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:08 AM

Yeah she already refers to our kids when she talks about having children. I just grin and say (who says they will be mine).

JackGetsIt • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 04:12 PM

I get this a lot too. What's you take on where this is coming from?

Kommanderdude • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 04:25 PM

I think it comes from a place of( Wow I really want this guys semen in me he's the kinda guy girls want to produce with, i.e Chad) she may not be saying it in her head but it's there subconsciously. She's probably wondering if she can take you off the market. There's just something about a high smv guy over 30 that hasn't been locked down and doesn't have kids that make bitches want to win you.

salezman12 • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 04:14 PM

This is your perfect opportunity to let her know you ain't havin that shit.

redafrp • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 04:52 PM

Ask her this: How do I benefit from getting locked down? I have more fun doing this every weekend...

GrabHerByThePEPE • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 06:15 PM

That's good if your goal is to blow off some steam and get ghosted

redafrp • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 07:13 PM

Sometimes that's all the girl is gonna be. Like it or not, men make better friends, cultivate lasting relationships with those that push you to be the best, and reap the rewards.

[deleted] • 30 points • 5 June, 2017 03:11 PM

In addition to Tinder etc. don't forget the paid apps like Seeking Arrangements, What's Your Price etc.

My main plate is on multiple sugar daddy dating websites. Multiple times per month she gets men paying hundreds *just for lunch*. They don't even get action out of it. One pays her \$2-3k per month to bust a couple nuts (with a condom). Plus he pays for expensive meals and gifts.

Meanwhile she comes over to my place 3-5 times per week and let's me fuck her any way I please for free. She's also gives it up to chads with good Game at the club.

This is much more common than a lot of people realize. I've known multiple girls on sugar daddy websites (if you act very non-judgemental, women will reveal everything...especially after sex). I created a fake profile on Seeking Areangements to see what's out there and spotted multiple girls I know.

textualintercourse • 31 points • 5 June, 2017 03:41 PM

(With a condom)

...of course it was.

Keep your junk wrapped at all times.

clint\_bronson • 18 points • 5 June, 2017 04:50 PM

Exaclty, why would any sane man, with obvious financial means, fuck a whore without one?

textualintercourse • 12 points • 5 June, 2017 04:52 PM

There are some thirsty thirsty men running around, and very few are self aware, nor very bright.

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 1 point • 8 June, 2017 04:30 PM

He's paying top dollar he's gonna wanna fuck that shit raw trust me. In my experience contrary to popular belief not all women want to trap you with a kid, especially not ones that get your money without having to give you a kid. AND the better the girl was brought up, and the higher classes she grew up in the less likely she is going to be trying to get knocked up. OF course if you're sugar daddying for some girl at the local strip club then you're taking a major risk, but if its some sorority slut just trying to make some cash to finance her expensive tastes then you shouldn't worry about her having your baby, she doesn't even want to have your baby. She just wants your money till she can settle down with a dude whom she actually wants to have kids with so she can forget about her past life.

[deleted] • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 07:12 PM

You are right. I usually keep it wrapped. I'm not going to lie though, once or twice when I was drunk I didn't (stupid, I know). She offers it up raw all the time though....."you don't need a condom, I just wanna feel you!"

[deleted] • 8 points • 5 June, 2017 04:04 PM

One pays her \$2-3k per month to bust a couple nuts

That's some expensive pussy. He would be better off going with an actual escort or prostitute. That's crazy. I am curious as to if I would actually spot any women I know.

Rubberlemons • 17 points • 5 June, 2017 05:37 PM

She is an actual prostitute though.

[deleted] • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 07:47 PM

I've actually created an account on Seeking Arrangements to see what's out there. And based on my impression of the local market, \$2-3k sounds about right. The market demand is what it is.

Her stats:

25, 5'7, fit/toned

gets pretty steady work doing modeling for local businesses such as car dealerships

won the city beauty pageant 2 years ago (this is a larger city with 2 major pro sports teams)

placed at the state pageant to represent the state at Miss USA

is actually highly educated and fairly successful; she went to a prestigious university and works as an architect at a major local firm

A lot of men are willing to pay a premium for a "classy lady." This is especially true in major cities where there are plenty of rich men with more money than time. The idea on these sugar daddy sites is that you are getting more than just a Backpage whore....whether or not that's actually true is up for debate I suppose.

You probably would find women you know on there. I've certainly seen a few that I know on Seeking Arrangements. Also, you can bet that a lot of the girls you see on Tinder will also be on the sugar daddy sites.

A lot of good looking women figure that they might as well get paid. Yes, they are ultimately whores but I can't say I completely blame them. If their pussies are in such high demand, they might as well use them while they can.

JackGetsIt • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 04:40 PM



These services probably wouldn't be as big if prostitution was legal. If you had a lot of money it would probably be worth the expense to have a full time trusted whore then to keep running the risks of finding escorts and prostitutes that could be undercover cops.

majaka1234 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 06:36 AM

Legal in Australia and the going rate for a 10/10 is like \$300-\$450. Any higher than that and you're probably paying more for the brand or fancy website or some shit.

I've seen that in Europe that pussy is so devalued it's like 50 euros a bang. Not even worth getting married at that point.

Free market works in some things.

JackGetsIt • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 02:37 PM

Not even worth getting married at that point.

Maybe this is what we need to get women to swing back to offering other tangible assets besides their pussys; like cooking, cleaning, personality, etc.

majaka1234 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:42 PM

Eh, you could just build a decent online business, escape the 9-5 and marry a nice girl in some country where the dating outlook isn't quite as fucked as it is in the west.

That's what I've done in the past and I'm going for my 2nd round now, and it's worked a treat.

Completely ruined women in the west for me as I just can't even deal with their shit any more knowing that I can literally fly over to asia, eastern europe or latin america and meet any number of high quality women instead of waiting for this latest round of feminazi shit to blow over.

JackGetsIt • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 02:50 PM

waiting for this latest round of feminazi shit to blow over.

I really don't think it will, so you've made the right decision, but there a backlash forming among the young.

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCLUrVTvTA3PnUFpYvpfMcpG>

<https://www.youtube.com/user/ShoeOnHead>

<https://www.youtube.com/user/MindfreakCM>

Even some liberals are starting to say that we've gone off the rails.

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hiVQ8vrGA\\_8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hiVQ8vrGA_8)

Feminism is a cult and it's going to hold onto power very tightly for a long time but I don't see myself making enough money to escape the west anytime soon but I'm definitely looking at some angles. The Cypto markets are an amazing opportunity right now...

If you live in the right parts of the US there are pocket of rational minded people. You just have to seek them out hardcore.

majaka1234 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 02:56 PM

Oh I'm really excited to see this movement.

Thank you for the channels, I'll have a look before bed tonight.

5 years ago when I made my first trip overseas with my first business nobody could get it; I didn't have anyone I could talk to, and my friends at the time said I was just nuts and obviously a loser because I couldn't "figure it out" with girls back home.

The difference is that I was exposed to girls from traditional backgrounds way earlier than they were, so when I saw the contrast between those girls and the ones the standard ones in the West it made me go "well hang on, wtf is going on here".

All of a sudden now that I'm back there's this growing movement and guys are figuring out out.

Unfortunately there's also this movement in the opposite direction - the dumbing down of education and the coming out of the professional victimhood movement.

I'm not sure where it's going to end up but it's good to see something happening.

I don't think it's going to fix itself any time soon, but it's certainly better than what we had before, which was a culture 99% in the camp of the feminazis where anything against the status quo would have you taken out back and shot.

Crypto is an interesting market to be in but unless you have money to speculate on it or have some sort of platform that can democratize it, I can't see there being a lot of money to be made there.

Personally I'm building a platform that allows small businesses to leverage big business level marketing automation - stuff that they would usually have to have 5-6 high salary marketing + content production people to produce each on \$80k a year salaries.

That's just one idea of a good niche as it's a market that I'm most familiar with.

I previously worked with dropshipping as that's another good one, but a market that is very saturated and one that is privy to the whims of currencies and physical products.

Going forward it's going to be digital and educational products with small bases of users that allow you to build a \$150k a year business that brings enough in for you to live comfortably in a nice place without stressing out too much.

When I lived in latin america you could comfortably get by on \$2k a month, and if you wanted to splurge you could probably double that.

okiedokie321 • 2 points • 9 June, 2017 06:45 AM

Where in Latin America did it require about \$2k/month?

People on Youtube have been harping up on Amazon FBA but I really think you're right. That niche has long been saturated and most good products that are profitable are long gone it seems.

jewishsupremacist88 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 11:08 PM

sugar dating is the new "dating"

those girls will fuck for \$\$ if you've got game. but lots of old creepy dudes are on there paying for lunch

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:37 AM

Wow you have low standards

twatbutters • 36 points • 5 June, 2017 11:50 AM

Online dating apps= good for hookups, that's it. Don't expect anything less than brazen whores from it, and you'll never be let down. Besides, if you're fit and moderately good looking, you should be sleeping with multiple women in a given month. Ghosting girls or getting ghosted shouldn't be a big deal.

GrabHerByThePEPE • 17 points • 5 June, 2017 11:54 AM

I'd prefer making them plates to maximize roi, but it is a big deal because I'm used to getting ghosted when it was clear the sex was just ok for either party. This was kinda unprecedented

fruguy • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 01:59 PM

I had an experience like that. Then we matched again a year later. We chatted a bit but I was turned off by her ghosting.

metallicdrama • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:45 PM

Lol. I would always ghost but respond if they initiated contact again. They'd still flake after bc its just an ego boost to them. Like yes, he liked me too like every other dick that used me lol. Now I just tell them a fake name, never go to my place, and gtfo after lol.

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:53 AM

hmmm... having read your post i think maybe there is a negative connotation from hooking up on Tinder in the girl's mind, rather than hooking up from a bar or " day game?"

redpillschool[M] [score hidden] 5 June, 2017 01:53 PM stickied comment

Everything that makes the dating market suck is magnified in dating apps. Women don't just have too many choices, they have unlimited choices. This means you're not competing with a couple dudes she's talked to this week, you're competing with a thousand dudes.

And even if you're top 1% and you can slay, the abundance goes to their heads and you'll never pull them back to earth.

J2Me • 12 points • 6 June, 2017 08:41 AM

Holy shit! Just like men are having an issue with porn overuse. Women, unknowingly, are getting a dopamine overdose from too much available attention.

Blew my mind!

ayylma088 • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 01:53 PM

I have been witnessing this with a few plates I used to have. When I met them they were still very new to the online dating and instagram. Now one of them has like 5000 instagram followers and is whoring around to get attention but basically treats the guys she sleeps with like shit

wohlstand19 points 5 June, 2017 02:57 PM\* **[recovered]**

Theres an even more satisfying way. Use some male models photos in a ferrari, with a beach house, travelling the world and you may find online dating apps actually fun. If all guys did this, they would die soon.

TunkaTun • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 06:49 PM

I might do this, sounds like a good time

[deleted] 6 June, 2017 07:53 AM

[permanently deleted]

ayylma088 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:45 PM

I am swiping on a friends profile sometimes ... and he still gets so many matches its crazy.

Funnily enough, he doesnt even need game in order to get the numbers just because of his 9/10 looks

Cookiest • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 02:36 PM

Everything about dating is magnified.

Including the awesome parts.

Use it as a supplement to your overall dating strategy, practice sticking points, and improve.

prodigy2throw • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 02:42 PM

Social media compounds this issue too.

itsawomensworld • 2 points • 9 June, 2017 05:47 AM

Social media is really the same thing as dating apps. They are just logical extensions of eachother.

ayylma088 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 01:44 PM

Is there a way to neg them or somehow bring them back to reality though?

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:50 AM

so what you are saying is, The dick pics keep coming?

RedPillFusion • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 05:08 AM

Note: this does not apply to those of us thriving in the online arena with no intentions of monogamy.

[deleted] • 231 points • 5 June, 2017 12:33 PM

I would take this even further and say we are witnessing the complete destruction of human interaction because of Social Media, period.

UnKnownSurviving • 128 points • 5 June, 2017 01:01 PM

Yep. Not only that, but with men increasingly becoming MGTOWs. Frustrated men are deciding to make their own happiness. Sometime, they can pump and dump a chick, but they're not gonna commit to the bitch. Their happiness is valued more than the happiness of that bitch. And women wondered why they can't find "good" men, or "where are the good men?", once they hit the wall.

Nah, ain't gonna stick or commit. The system is heavily rigged in women's favor, and they wonder why they can't get good men. Very few women change for the better, and most are just stuck at being a whore, forever.

czech\_man • 52 points • 5 June, 2017 02:08 PM

We are facing a shakedown of western society. AWALT - you would be surprised how many young men are aware of the nature of females these days.

DontTreadOnSnek • 23 points • 5 June, 2017 06:16 PM

Most intelligent guys have innately realized by this point that marriage is sham that gives you a ball and chain on one ankle, and future headaches over divorce, alimony, child support, and joint custody down the road

[deleted] • 17 points • 5 June, 2017 10:25 PM

Ding ding ding. Even some of my friends who are in committed relationships and might consider marriage, know how dangerous marriage can be financially. Not just the alimony and child support, but the lawyers alone cost thousands of dollars.

Even men who aren't or I wouldn't consider as having "alpha" traits, know that divorce can break a man. Look at the suicide rates in divorced men.

UnKnownSurviving • 16 points • 5 June, 2017 10:40 PM

It's fucking crazy. Just look at Tiger Woods. He got fucking destroyed. Not that I care, but there was video of him in pain, just got an extensive back surgery, and they posted it and humiliated him, by showing it publicly, while he was arrested for DUI.

He should have never married that blonde bitch. Somehow, she was able to make herself a victim, and him, abusive asshole, which in my opinion is false.

[deleted] • 8 points • 5 June, 2017 11:04 PM

I read somewhere that she got \$100 million. That's some expensive pussy. There are countless examples of multi-millionaires like Tiger Woods, who get divorced and the ex-wife gets a boatload of money to "maintain the lifestyle" and then she moves on to some other dude.

It's ridiculous. Not just millionaires, but men who are going to be earning a good amount of money should never get married. Especially if you don't want kids. Obviously don't marry, but especially don't do it if you have a considerable amount in assets.

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 3 points • 8 June, 2017 04:35 PM

Go look at Mariah Carey and Janet Jackson. Literally disgusting. Celeb billionaire gold diggers. That's next level crazy psychopath.

[deleted] • 4 points • 8 June, 2017 04:51 PM

It really is disgusting, but the thing is, I can't completely blame them because men enable this behavior. I don't approve of it though.

Marriage for men is like giving someone access to all your bank and investments accounts and trusting them to not take half.

UnKnownSurviving • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 06:25 PM\*

Hell, yeah. Which is why I'm not gonna destroy myself with that. Why should I destroy myself? For her? And she bears no responsibility?

Yeah, not having this.

DontTreadOnSnek • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 07:02 PM

No guy should put up with it, if a woman wants to stay then she'll stay, don't need to put some overpriced rock on her finger and sign a legal contract for that.

UnKnownSurviving • 8 points • 5 June, 2017 06:16 PM\*

Yeah. It's just not worth it. Too many goddamn dramas. I've heard some men, only in their early twenties, completely drained of their energy, looking 40s and 50s, due to having a relationship with a woman. I wanted to help them out, but I had to care of myself, first. I found this, here, on RedPill, 21 Convention & 21 Studios, and they're pretty good in helping men. The founder is in this sub-reddit. I can't afford to pay to watch lectures, at this point, but I do have books, like "The Way of Men", and the RedPill Handbook, (including the SideBar) to get a basic understanding, to survive as man. I want to get "The Rational Male", next. Right now, I'm busy building my life from scratch.

[deleted] • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 04:06 PM

Exactly. It's one of the reasons the marriage rate is dropping.

UnKnownSurviving • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:59 PM\*

Yeah. I've had one guy told me here, that I need to get married and "carry the family line", and I told him off, "NO". Then the guy has the nerve to say, that my genes must be defected or something along the lines. Had I met him in person, I'd beat him to a pulp. My genes are just fine. I choose to not have a wife or children. I told him off, that I prefer money over women, that money will never nag the fuck out of my bones, not to mention, it will give me infinite peace and happiness. He mentioned "purpose" in having a family (for some reason, I sense weakness from this guy, a clink in the armor), but I told him off, "My purpose here, in life is to make money, get myself established, well-known, might even possibly give people jobs, and having a family, to me, is simply an option, but will not take. In fact, never will, now that women, can hold their own, and I don't fucking care. I value complete freedom and money over women. If you want a family, *that's your purpose*. **Not mine.**"

After that, the guy went silence. He never responded. I think he sense hostility from me, and couldn't find anything else to ridicule, though, he could, saying NAWALTs, but I wasn't attacking women at this point, nor do I care about them. All I want is my own happiness, and don't give a fuck about women. I went through the rage stage, and it's less rage now, given the fact that all women are like that, but I did use rage to build myself, and still using them. It's just at a lesser degree. But it's still there.

People don't push back, if they find out the guy isn't to be trifled with, and is deadly. Same thing in prison. Prisoners don't fuck with someone, who has high status. They might do it with new inmates, but if the new inmate is found to be potentially deadly, they stay the fuck away.

Right now, I'm actually HAPPIER, without women. I'll date here and there, some fun, but the marriage will never be on the table. These women has destroyed their own future. It is unlikely they will ever take responsibility. Also, men that ridicule and attack me, for my own choices, and they have ended up in heart-breaking situations, I will not give any empathy. I'm done with White Knights, and their queens.

[deleted] • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 06:23 PM\*



I know exactly what you mean. I don't want marriage or kids, but I don't berate people who want either of those things. Reason is because I am at peace with my decision. The thing I hate is when I tell some people that, they keep saying I will "change my mind" or that other bull crap about find "the one." I prefer having more money to myself and casually dating or going "on the prowl" at a bar/club or other type of venue on a night out.

The thing about marriage is that my parents are in a good marriage and know plenty of other couples that are in great marriages, but have seen what can happen when divorce happens. My uncle went through a divorce and he has to pay a good amount in alimony to his crazy ex.

Even before marriage, it's crazy how much people spend on relationships. I live with three guys who are all now in relationships and I see it indirectly. It's ridiculous how much women like eating out. It's also annoying the subtle pressure you get from family or friends about getting a girlfriend.

Me personally, I care about making money (why I love investing), having a great career, have some fun, experience new things while expanding my knowledge and travel more. While my friends with girlfriends might get laid more, I don't have to deal with that drama and I can do whatever I want. Many times, the people in relationships who attack single men for their choices, are the ones who aren't completely sure if they made the right decision. I value my freedom too much to just settle for some chick that isn't good quality.

UnKnownSurviving • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 06:55 PM\*

Many times, the people in relationships who attack single men for their choices, are the ones who aren't completely sure if they made the right decision.

Haha! They're very uncertain, and they have huge amount of regrets and worries.

Meanwhile, I'm working on my shit, and it's actually freeing. Sure, managing money might be just a tad difficult, yet it is manageable for a single guy. Having a wife, children, all those variables, is a no-go for me. I have families, that I can visit, and that's enough for me. I actually have a second cousin, who's my age, and decent looking, and married to a well-do guy (super overweight). I've noticed she "playfully" ranted on Facebook, that her husband making ridiculous decisions, on package order or something, and she didn't like it. She wanted him to not buy it. Whatever. If he likes it, he should get it.

But he is a nice guy, and "smart". He has a bachelor's degree in some shit, I don't even know nor do I care to know. But I have a feeling it'll get worse in later years. I don't like him, all that well, perhaps it was his weights, but it's the knowledge of women's nature, that he lacked of. And he only dated for six months. And he got married? Whatever his choice. I don't care. But he didn't take care of his weight, and he is completely overweight. I don't care what he does with his weight, but in this case, with marriage, I was concerned. I mean, I've heard and seen women unhappy with her marriage, because her husband is overweight, and isn't "mysterious and fun" anymore, and starts looking for a hotter, mysterious guy.

One guy responded to me and, state it perfectly, "Married women, unhappy with her husband, yet very secure to his money."

Seriously. Why get married? I don't understand that. I know the saying goes like this, "Happy wife, Happy Life". But is it true? Are you, **the man**, happy with your life?



[deleted] • 66 points • 5 June, 2017 01:19 PM\*

Exactly. There are actually "good men" out there, but they have realized that committing to a woman is many times not worth the drama, financial and mental commitment. They would rather focus on things they can control, like their health and career. It's funny how some guys will act like they are happy in a relationship, then talk about how their girlfriend is mad for no reason or they can't do something because their girlfriend wants to spend more time with them.

Don't get me wrong, I would love to have a girlfriend for feminine "companionship" and sex, but only if she's worth it. Unfortunately, even getting the first date is a hassle, given how many women flake and all that. The system is definitely rigged in women's favor. Maybe I will find a great woman and enter a relationship with her, but for now, I just focus on myself. I don't consider myself MGTOW, but definitely never getting married.

UnKnownSurviving • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 06:03 PM

It's fine you're not MGTOW. I'm fine with it. I just don't like seeing all this assault on men, and I've experience some disturbance with women, and I've always had non-relationship with women, and I saw how they are. How they are really, like. I won't go MGTOW completely, but marriage is definitely off the table.

[deleted] • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 06:37 PM

I don't consider myself MGTOW in the sense that I have completely ruled out a committed relationship, but I definitely understand the reason men are going MGTOW and I'm in no rush to even get a girlfriend. Heck, even getting a woman who doesn't flake these days is a challenge. Women in the US can be freaking crazy. Especially with the feminism bullshit that is infecting our society. Marriage is definitely off the table for me.

My ideal scenario would be at some point making six figures, living on my own, having a group of friends and having a consistent fuck buddy/buddies that I don't have to do the bullshit dating stuff for. We have consistent fun sex, without the drama.

pencilcasserole • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 09:43 PM

This. Growing up as a bloopie fag hag to your female friends, they pull the claws out and let the bile spew cause you're a sexual non-entity. One of the fastest ways to get red pilld if you're able to break your mental hamstering and actually think about they awful shit they said.

UnKnownSurviving • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 10:15 PM

That's why I got out of the friend-zone status after what, 10-16 years? I'm going monk mode, right now, this year. And going on for while now. Couple of months. At first, it hurt, with all the dead silence. Then couple months later, I got up and running. Jut all alone, though, which I got used to. I realized there's no female distractions, and I actually have the time, to work on myself, plan up the life I want, while earning and saving money for the future. Girls don't even text me on facebook, or even call, and that's when I realized, I'm a low-status male, which to them, they could friend-zone me, for anything they want to use for. A shoulder to cry on or any other shit.

I plan to delete women off my Facebook's friend list, sometime, when I get SMV high enough, but then again, I could be "friends" with them, only if I have no interest in plating or not attracted to them enough to do it. And I wouldn't invite my plates to facebook, either.

MrTheFalcon • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 07:57 AM

Or just deactivate Facebook, which you should do while in monk mode.

UnKnownSurviving • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 01:54 PM

.....kinda of goes without saying, buddy.....

[deleted] • 2 points • 27 June, 2017 06:12 AM

If post wall women understood their value and latched onto a low value man they'd find there are plenty of super caring, romantic, responsible and respectful men who would love to get their dick wet once a week/month.

But sadly those girls forgo what they could get in a dream of a time past.

TheYambag • 37 points • 5 June, 2017 04:47 PM

I would take this even further and say we are witnessing the complete destruction of human interaction because of Social Media, period.

Social Media isn't the problem, there have always been whores since the dawn of time. The problem is that we have incentivized the breakdown of the nuclear family. The solution is to end entitlement spending, and yes, I'm 100% serious. The reality is that with welfare programs, and female ability to cuck society into paying for their children, there is now literally no incentive for them to stick with one man. As long as society is willing to fund this degeneracy, we will **NEVER** fix the root of the problem.

It may sound cruel to allow a female and a child, the child having no fair say in the matter, live in squalor or possibly even perish due to lack of support and ability to care for themselves, but the cold, hard, harsh reality is that those cases will be very small, as women in dire need of support will change their tune real fast. When they realize that they must choose between literally living on the street and not being able to give their baby girl food for the night, and giving up the cock carousel, the vast, overwhelming majority will give up the cock carousel.

[deleted] • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 05:26 PM

Ubiquitous in the UK, and can be spotted a mile off by their plumage and markings.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 12:29 AM

Or rather, just kill alimony. And divorce marriage from finance - 50% of assets, fuck that shit.

Welfare's fine. We need people fucking and having kids in order to grow economies and pay for my retirement.

TheYambag • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 01:47 AM

If agree only of welfare promoted having kids and staying together.

Right now, you get more welfare if you're single. We need to deincentivise that.

NietzscheExplosion • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 04:16 PM

Vote up. Social media is a vacant vacuole vacuum of ideas.

MoronicBobbin • 5 points • 11 June, 2017 07:42 AM

Sadly have to agree with this. Women are just happy sharing a few "hawt" Chad's on Tinder while ignoring

90% of men. 50% of clubs in the UK have closed down since 2008 and the ones left open are sausage fests where women enjoy ignoring guys as they have their dating apps filled with suitors. PUA died as a result of all this.

In 2017 for a normal guy his best bets of meeting someone is via hobbies or while going about his daily business. Alternatively he can wait until all these women turn 30 and decide jump off the CC and find a beta to settle down with.

GrabHerByThePEPE • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 06:27 PM

I've been thinking this has been changing since the development of language, agriculture, migration to city centers, industrialism, and finally the "death of god". It's all a more corrupt version of what preceded it.

We're entering the era of the digital and transforming into something totally different, but once/if we end this cycle of anti-intellectualism (BLM, fake news, Antigua, feminism, tranny culture) we will become the next humans. All at the cost of the past, but it's at our genetic roots forever nonetheless.

Edit: then again, we would certainly find something excellent about ourselves from a few centuries of a new dark age, as Jack Donovan puts it.

pabloethcobar • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 01:22 AM

it's fucking great. I can witness it with my own eyes! its actually hilarious to see how brainwashed people are.

Bing4001 points 5 June, 2017 10:48 PM [recovered]

Something is going to fill that hole. We are witnessing something being created, we shouldn't try to oppose progress, but what do I know

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 11:02 PM

Pussy is going to fill that hole.

Bing4001 points 5 June, 2017 11:22 PM [recovered]

Or maybe godholedennis is going to fill that hole

Archwinger • 181 points • 5 June, 2017 02:27 PM

Dating applications aren't the source of the problem, per se. They just turn the entire city a girl lives in into one large bar.

Women live lives of abundance. The same abundance mentality we strive to develop, or at least fake, women are just born into automatically. This is the primary source of the disconnect between the sexes.

Every single time a woman wants to have sex, she can find *someone* willing to have sex with her. It doesn't matter whether she's in a bar, a club, a coffee shop, or online. With just a little bit of effort and time, even a below-average-looking woman can find at least one man willing to fuck her.

This abundance of sexual availability leads women to take sex for granted. Even find it kind of annoying. Because thanks to this abundance, women can afford to be picky. Women only bother saying yes to the top X percent of men. Whether that's 20, 40, 10, or 5 doesn't matter. Whatever it is, or even if it varies from woman to woman, a woman is only going to bother with guys who meet or exceed her standards, and because she has sexual abundance, her standards can be high. It doesn't matter if she doesn't find a guy who's good enough for her at the bar tonight. She can go home alone and shrug, because she knows that if she really wants to have sex, she can find another guy tomorrow, or the next day, or the next, with fairly minimal time and effort. And if she

really gets horny or lonely, then fine, she can fudge on her standards for one night and fuck a normal guy, scratch the itch, then go back to being picky.

In fact, The Red Pill really ought to dedicate some focus toward identifying women who are lonely or horny and willing to drop their normal standards for a night.

This makes sex nearly completely value-less for women, to the point where they find the abundant opportunities for sex kind of annoying and wish that guys who don't measure up would just weed themselves out and quit wasting her time, so that she only has to comb through the resumes of the upper echelon.

This situation is exacerbated by the modern sex-positive mentality. Now, being a slut is considered a positive, enriching experience that women are encouraged to embark upon. Drunk on the attention from many men instead of just one husband, women are marrying later, having kids later (which means more birth defects and fewer children overall, as noted in the OP), and so on.

It's not online dating that's doing this, directly. It's our modern sex-positive society. If women didn't do it online, they'd do it in their nearest bar or club. Online dating apps just turn the entire city into one big bar.

prodigy2throw • 44 points • 5 June, 2017 03:13 PM

This should be its own post

bsutansalt • 4 points • 6 June, 2017 03:46 AM\*

Women live lives of abundance.

They are born rich and die poor, whereas guys are born poor and *may* die rich... if they follow our tenets and do their due diligence (or hit the financial and/or genetic lottery).

Point being, women do live lives of abundance... until they don't and for many it seems to be a huge shock to them that guys don't want them like they used to. It's completely out of their realm of understanding that the wall is lurking a few years down the road.

This makes sex nearly completely value-less for women

That's the real problem, isn't it? By the time they realize what's what, they're damage goods and not LTR material guys desire.

---

The Red Pill really ought to dedicate some focus toward identifying women who are lonely or horny and willing to drop their normal standards for a night.

1. Look for women showing lots of skin. It's a hint they're ovulating. This is counter to "dropping standards" because they'll be more likely to be down for guys who are dominant and jacked, something guys drawn to this community often lack.
2. Women go out in groups. When one of them is on the flank looking around the bar/club, she's bored and looking for someone to talk to. Now maybe she's not the one you want to fuck, but in either case she's your "in" for the group as she's likely more open to being approached.

redpill77 • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 08:43 PM

"The Red Pill really ought to dedicate some focus toward identifying women who are lonely or horny and willing to drop their normal standards for a night."

This addresses how to observe when she's horny:

crimsonkodiak • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 03:14 PM

Women live lives of abundance. The same abundance mentality we strive to develop, or at least fake, women are just born into automatically. This is the primary source of the disconnect between the sexes. Every single time a woman wants to have sex, she can find someone willing to have sex with her. It doesn't matter whether she's in a bar, a club, a coffee shop, or online. With just a little bit of effort and time, even a below-average-looking woman can find at least one man willing to fuck her.

That's true, but you're missing an important distinction. Women have opportunities for meaningless sex in abundance, but they don't value meaningless sex (in fact, that's why it's in abundance). The corollary is true of men with long-term relationships. It's easy for men to sustain long-term relationships, but many men don't want them.

The modern sex positive society has been a boon for men in this respect. It has pushed more women into the sexual marketplace despite the fact it's not something they really want and it's made it harder for them to convince men to enter into long-term relationships.

Stop envying women for having something they don't want and just realize the game for what it is.

Five\_Decades • 15 points • 5 June, 2017 07:25 PM\*

It has been a boon for the top men. They fuck endless women and if they want, they can settle into ltrs. For the other 80-95% of us it means fighting for lower and lower quality women (meaner older, uglier, crazier, more used up, single parents, etc) until we tap out and quit trying.

And we can't all be in the top 5-20% of smv. Women judge male smv relative to other men, if all men improve then women just select the top 5-20% of the new crop. If a genie showed up and magically made all 3.5 billion men on earth fit, women would just select the top 5-20% of those men.

crimsonkodiak • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 08:38 PM

And we can't all be in the top 5-20% of smv. Women judge male smv relative to other men, if all men improve then women just select the top 5-20% of the new crop.

Meh - self improvement is easy. Most people aren't willing to put in even a modest amount of work. If they choose not to do that, that's on them. I mean sure, that doesn't change the equation for the collective, but the individual only has to worry about themselves and most people can't be bothered to do that.

jewishsupremacist88 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:12 PM

just like income inequality. the spoils go to the top while the rest of us are down here in the gutter.

Archwinger • 27 points • 5 June, 2017 03:56 PM\*

You probably should have read a few more lines and you'd have come across the point you made.

Anyway, I'd point out that you're wrong about relationships. The idea that women sell sex and men sell relationships is incorrect.

Women do sell sex, but women also sell relationships. There are countless thirsty men out there who would give their left nut just to have a girlfriend or even a date. Women can find dates and relationships with only slightly more difficulty than they can find sex. But with the same proviso I noted above: Because women have so much abundance in this regard, the opportunity is completely value-less for

women and a woman finds it annoying when unworthy men waste her time instead of weeding themselves out for her. Women have such an abundance of dating and relationship opportunities that they can afford to be picky.

Women most certainly are NOT willing to be a guy's girlfriend -- not even a sexless, take-your-money girlfriend -- unless the guy meets her standards. Getting into and maintaining relationships isn't something guys do easily and effortlessly. Sex and relationships are not mirror images of each other across the two sexes. Women are the gatekeepers of BOTH sex and relationships.

[deleted] • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 04:06 PM

Other than ones who were raised right, they tend to avoid getting tied down, until they lose their ability to have 'abundance' with dick.

Between educating ones self out of 'need of provision', the constant validation of thirsty betas, dick on tap of guys +2 above her SMV etc.

There is 0 reason for one to settle down, until she gets her 'alpha fux' or is getting too old to capitalize on the AF, and MUST switch to BB.

Rollo and Roosh had this discussion years back. Men are the gatekeepers to neither sex, nor relationships. Women don't ask for the key until they have no choice.

Now, there are exceptions. I entered mine when she was 23, others in my friend circle were comparable. Others not so much They were fucking alpha men, by any stretch of the term, and the women were raised by good dads.

So if you have that 8/10 SMV, have a girl who is raised well, strong father, and even then, theres an element of chance with it as well.

So they are always the gatekeepers. The only way that shifts is if you have many options, and her competitive nature wants to 'win' before that other bitch locks you down.

And by then, you probably won't want to, not without her working her ass off to 'retain' you

It's hard to describe, until you see it in action.

JackGetsIt • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 04:24 PM

There is 0 reason for one to settle down, until she gets her 'alpha fux' or is getting too old to capitalize on the AF, and MUST switch to BB.

Before third wave feminism this use to be the 22-26 range where women decided to make this switch. Now it's the 31-36 range.

[deleted] • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 04:27 PM

General health too.

I remember in the 80s, girls who were 35 looked old as fuck. Nowadays, they have learned to hold it together longer, so long as they can keep the hohos out of their mouth

crimsonkodiak • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 04:13 PM

Women most certainly are NOT willing to be a guy's girlfriend -- not even a sexless, take-your-money girlfriend -- unless the guy meets her standards.

Sure. The same is true of men.



Getting into and maintaining relationships isn't something guys do easily and effortlessly.

I guess we'll just have to agree to disagree on this. Call it anecdotal if you'd like, but I have lots of friends who fit the profile (guys who are constantly having girls trying to lock them down, girls who can't keep guys committed).

Most of the Tinder game is oriented around that fact - women are trying to figure out which guys are relationship material and which ones are just looking for a hookup.

See for yourself - go on Tinder and go straight for sex with half the women and do the relationship dance with the other half. Report back on results.

bsutansalt • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 04:14 AM

they don't value meaningless sex

And so begins "that guy didn't count" and why their numbers are by rule of thumb 3x or more what they admit to.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 June, 2017 06:10 AM

Yep, don't see how anyone can complain about dating apps. Does it stroke women's egos? Absolutely, I have seen first hand chubby 4s get match after match from Thirsty guys

But myself if I want to get laid in 24 hours I usually can, with doing most of the work from the comfort of my own home plus the cost of a few drinks. I'm not saying it's good for society overall but if you're a quality guy it's a feeding frenzy

48756394573902 • 1 point • 23 June, 2017 10:13 AM

The Red Pill really ought to dedicate some focus toward identifying women who are lonely or horny and willing to drop their normal standards for a night.

That is what the red pill does. Aggressively nexting girls who dont immediately show IOIs, or accept your frame is the process of selecting for lonely horny women with lower standards.

exit\_sandman • 23 points • 5 June, 2017 10:23 AM

2) Fewer children born

3) Increased maternal age = greater prevalence of autism and other problems in children

I dare to say that (2) is a bigger problem than (3). Or, put differently: those women who postpone having children until a very advanced age are more likely to not succeeding at getting knocked up at all than to have a disabled kid.

Dating apps turn everything upside down. Women are given the illusion of having unlimited sexual options.

Not exactly - as you described it yourself further down your post, the sexual options for all intents and purposes *are* truly unlimited for most women. It's the fact that this abundance of attention a woman enjoys online has the potential to **completely** obscure her perception regarding her odds on the RMP.

[deleted] • 152 points • 5 June, 2017 12:58 PM\*

Met a girl on tinder, go to her place after a short conversation, get undressed, she is impressed by my fit body and I start making out with her and ripping off her clothes. So I'm finger blasting her sucking on a titty and her



hand never even goes for my dick. Confused I ask her to give me head, she begrudgingly says yes and then gives me a lackluster blowie with no motivation. I go soft in her mouth and pull my dick out of it and say this isn't going to work and start getting dressed. She tells me to wait and to at least eat her out first. I tell her to finish herself off and I'm going to the gym. I walk out the door after taking a bottle of liquor from her freezer. Delete her number and unmatched her.

Moral of the story is, chicks on tinder will not be motivated to make you cum and will only use you as a human dildo unless you build up some emotional connection first.

officerkondo • 116 points • 5 June, 2017 02:44 PM

after taking a bottle of liquor from her freezer.

This is my favorite part of the FR.

CrazedHyperion • 12 points • 5 June, 2017 03:12 PM

Must have been vodka. What other liquor do you keep in the freezer? Was it Absolut or Grey Goose?

[deleted] • 41 points • 5 June, 2017 03:40 PM

Grey Goose? How classy do you think this whore was?

MelodyMyst • 18 points • 5 June, 2017 03:24 PM

Tequila. 1800 Silver to be exact.

officerkondo • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:09 PM

I see no benefit of storing vodka in a freezer. It disturbs the balance of any vodka-based cocktail. (the water from the melting ice is part of the recipe)

CrazedHyperion • -1 points • 6 June, 2017 06:49 AM

Some people do, to separate the water from the alcohol more. As you've heard, the lady was keeping tequila Silver in the freezer. That's even more strange.

Slut\_Slayer9000 • 1 point • 8 June, 2017 04:46 PM

Bitches keep pretty much every liquor in the freezer. I don't even know why, but they do.

Olga\_Schmutzer • 24 points • 5 June, 2017 05:18 PM

This. I experienced it firsthand, also with a girl from Tinder. We had a couple of meetups, but everytime I was fingering the girl until she came squirting and she wanted even more. Me suggesting to her she can suck my cock was met with disbelief from her. My dick got unstiff as a result, which she used to shame me, which didn't work because idgaf. I told her I'm not a robot, I need to get something in return before I can function properly. I started putting on my clothes, she tried to shame me even more. I started to roll a joint, and she kept pushing and pushing verbally. In the meantime, I was in a state of complete clarity, thinking about TRP, just shutting the fuck up and listening/processing every stupid thing she said.

I think your moral of the story is spot on. Until I established some emotional connection with her (it took some dates), she was not willing to do anything to help me sexually. I was there for her, the other way around was not even something she considered.

[deleted] • 14 points • 5 June, 2017 02:46 PM

Agressive dry hand job city

facelessbastard • 22 points • 5 June, 2017 02:17 PM

Topkek. Thanks for making me laugh

maxytracks • 11 points • 5 June, 2017 03:37 PM

I walk out the door after taking a bottle of liquor from her freezer

Lol you're a horrible person.

TheRiseAndFall • 26 points • 5 June, 2017 05:03 PM

For saving her brain and liver? Dude's a hero!

maxytracks • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 07:43 AM

And he drank the bottle himself, putting his own brains and live at risk so she doesn't have to.

Chivalry right there

redafterp • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 04:54 PM

My favorite kind of person

blackwater23 • 2 points • 11 June, 2017 10:49 PM

Lmao oh come on now. "Finish yourself off, I'm going to the gym"? You gotta be a troll

[deleted] • 19 points • 5 June, 2017 01:39 PM

Definitely skewed young on this one, which is fine. I think that dating apps are one thing, dating sites another....dating sites are often pretty clearly skewed towards Provider Hunters of both genders....think match and eharemony. As a guy in his 40's, I have to fend off Provider Hunters even on OKC....I set specific physical requirements, the ability to climb rocks & backpack. That should keep the fatties down.

I do feel sorry for men who want children and am at a loss. I don't think about the problem much, as I don't have kids and can't have kids, so my game is avoiding them. Blackdragons current or most recent piece has to do with kids. It can probably be done, but it's not my problem.

Anyway, to the young dudes here, if you can get laid on tinder, fucking do it and have fun while you can. I'm jealous. I have to work way harder to fuck the same girls. Otherwise, I think the OP's 7 takeaways are solid, if typical advance....outside of point 5 black knightng fat chicks. I love it. My primary way of doing that is extolling the virtues of dietary fat...honestly they could improve themselves if they listened.

segagaga • 16 points • 5 June, 2017 02:21 PM

As someone who wants kids of my own, and fast approaching my 40s, this is why I avoid dating sites, its just a bunch of women looking for a walking ATM for their chadspawn. Why would I want to pay for someone else's kids? I despair at finding a decent sensible woman with an actual future in these places and have more than a few sleepless nights worried about this.

[deleted] • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 02:46 PM

I'm guessing that if you're successful in life and are attractive mate, dating sites might just be your thing. Focus on women under 30 who don't have kids and want kids. You can easily set those filters.

You'll get daily emails from Provider Hunters. I do, and my profile is all about outdoor adventuring.....we

are no more obliged to answer every email as they are.

If your SMV isn't high enough, you might need to deal with the fact that the mother of your children has others from other men. It all depends on how hard of a bargain you can drive.

I do recommend at least looking at Blackdragon's stuff on kids. Disney monogamy is terrible on children. As a child of a "broken" marriage, I really wish my folks would have had the emotional maturity to split amicably.

Humans are poorly wired for monogamy. What's most important is positioning yourself to have lifelong positive influence over your children. Therefore, plan to fail, make sure you're with someone who is at least somewhat amenable to reason, make sure full joint custody would be the only reasonable back up plan, etc.....and of course, execute on it if need be.

Before anyone excoriates me, make sure she has a god-damned career. The best defense against divorce rape (not that you have to marry to have kids) is to make sure she's not dependent on your income. Paid child care, nannies or legit businesses, are fine. Yes, they are expensive, but as a lover of women they would be better off sticking with their careers, even if they pay all of their income to child care. It pays off in a big way later in life, while reducing the burden on men.

Alas, many beta's will say "don't work, I want a stay at home mother for my kids" and fuck everyone over in the process.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:33 PM

its just a bunch of women looking for a walking ATM for their chadspawn. Why would I want to pay for someone else's kids?

And are often losers with it that don't seem to have a life.

sadomasochrist[ ] • 87 points • 5 June, 2017 12:37 PM

Your post is built on a false assumption.

*That online dating is a primary dating mechanism for neurotypical, women worth dating.*

It's not.

They don't make it past the social circle. Online dating is a market for lemons.

MattyAnon • 17 points • 5 June, 2017 02:00 PM

implant the idea in peoples' heads that these dating apps highly distort the sexual marketplace an

This won't work. The SMP will have moved on again before people figure this out.

People don't care - people do not modify their private behaviour for the greater good. This level of self sacrifice for others long term benefit is not in the nature of people.

Agree with most of your points though, including what to do about it.

JackGetsIt • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 04:30 PM

people do not modify their private behaviour for the greater good

I agree with this unless it's in some type of tribal group setting. People will certainly modify their behavior and sometimes quite drastically if they think they will gain status and feeling of belonging within a group they admire.

MattyAnon • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 05:49 PM

Right.

*Private behaviour* however - not so much.

nightmancommeth • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 04:47 PM

Lol at the blackknighting fatties. Ive had girls whose photos are 8/10 show up 50 lbs heavier and i straight up tell them that's BS. I can agree with 90% of this post. I havent had a problem on them but the # of fatties who think we are in the same league just blows my mind. I workout 5x a week and follow an extremely disciplined diet to look the way I do. You think some French Fry eating fatty has the right to be with me?

brettfromtibet1 points 5 June, 2017 05:51 PM **[recovered]**

I am a 6'1" man who eats a perfect diet and lifts regularly for 1.5 years now. I'm neither huge or ripped... but I am certainly above the average for men my age (40). Apparently you have to be top 10% to get matched with younger (than you - meaning 30s) women of a similar body type (slim, healthy eaters).

It's very frustrating to get matched with fat, older, darker women who are entitled and ghosty.

nightmancommeth • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:58 PM

Man tell me about it. I have been catfished a few times by those exact types. Yes that was you in your profile picture 4 years and 40lbs ago. Its like an insult.

nonstoplife • 12 points • 5 June, 2017 05:02 PM

After my separation from my very long marriage, I went on several dating apps. I got lots of responses and thought, this is great and a lot easier than hooking up in person. But after some time on dating apps, it turned out to be a big waste of time. So much false advertising going on in profiles. Women who said they could dance, and loved to dance, turned out they meant about 20 or 30 years ago. Women who said they were active and liked to hike, turned out they meant years ago. Now, hiking is a walk around a park or a trip to the mall. I became totally disillusioned with dating apps. In economics, they talk about searching cost. Dating apps impose a huge searching cost. I wasted so much time for so little return. A poor investment. I stopped using dating apps after less than a year. I find it better to meet women at places where we share a common interest. For example, at dances, on a local hike, even at a bar. My advice, get out there and do stuff for yourself, that makes you happy. In mixed environments you have the best chance to meet and assess a woman. Forget the dating apps, they are just women embellishing who they want to be, not who they actually are in real life.

[deleted] • 54 points • 5 June, 2017 09:02 AM\*

First of all, great post and I completely agree with everything you said. I will add however that although online dating has absolutely destroyed the sexual marketplace, it has done wonders for TRP dudes and any guy willing to put more than .02 seconds worth of work into himself. Women are almost so sucked into the online world now, when you actually **do** have the balls to approach them in real life they are easy as hell to get.

I believe they are actually more receptive and more thirsty than they were say 20-30 years ago. Once you looksmax and get attractive, you can literally just roll out of the house and spam approach and end up with pussy the same night and its not a big deal. As much as online dating is messing it up for guys who are average, it is giving above average guys an advantage actually.

It's just like being a hunter. Which would you prefer and which would make you more successful? Hunting with a ton of other people also taking shots, or hunting by yourself because everyone is to scared to do it? The number of whatever animal(lets just say deer) doesnt change, but if the amount of people hunting has then it

makes it 10x easier for you. That's the situation we see ourselves in right now. Most guys are so comfortable getting their 1 Tinder date a month (that they get flaked on) and never leaving the house, that anyone who is willing to put in any effort is a prize.

psychyness • 19 points • 5 June, 2017 01:12 PM

I literally had this revelation last night and went on to explain it to my buddy. Not sure if he understood but it's good to see someone else understands

moonpodrising • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 08:39 PM

Sound analysis. Going back to real-life-based meeting doesn't need to be some sort of revolt against technology. It's just, very possibly, a better solution for the individual guy. Dating apps have indeed changed the game. I think it's going to get 'worse' as the technology evolves. Adapt or die.

Now in the west, in the young adult age group, IRL approaching sets a man apart from the crowd: so it IS adaptive. Without shouldering any burden of 'fixing the system'. A humble, realistic approach.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 05:31 PM

Yep, in the flesh flirts have a novelty now.

MangoInHand8 points 5 June, 2017 03:12 PM **[recovered]**

How would I get unlimited easy sex if I stopped using these apps?

NeoreactionSafe • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 04:29 PM

This sexual marketplace was typified by a reliance on social circle and night time venues to facilitate hookups. Women's choices were constrained necessarily to the men within their immediate proximity.

It was "Real"... based in the real world of the body and what was near it locally.

The online dating creates a "Virtual World" of what "seems" like an abundance of options for females and a shortage of men.

Objectively there are about 50% male and 50% female.

But in the imaginary reality the SMV 5 female (who should be able to get up to SMV 5 males) can come to believe she is really SMV 9 if she gives her vagina to a SMV 9 guy for one night.

Her ability to *deceive herself* is increased.

Female minds have the Hamster Wheel logic based on subjective experience so one distortion of her SMV (a 5 fucking a 9) will forever warp her self perception.

This warped view creates the *Alpha Widow* or what might be called a "persistent illusion".

She was *never* a SMV 9... but she felt like one for that one night with Chad.

Anonoguy88 • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 04:17 PM

I like this "Alpha widowhood" term, hearing it for the first time and it is striking the center of it's problem. This is the thing I'm afraid the most and it stops me from ever trying any LTR in my life. Past some age where she's after her prime chad hunter mode, you are just another failure in her line of attempts and trials to return to her

beloved thunder cock that pumped and dumped.

Man, It makes you basically sort of cucked by the past, by the time and memory itself. Like one of my co-workers still keeping in her wallet a photo of her hot ex that cheated on her and then dumped her while she remains dissatisfied with her current beta bf.

This shit carries heavy burn risk.

Five\_Decades • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 07:15 PM

Some people don't believe me, but I remember what women were like before all these dating apps. Tinder only came out in 2012 and not long before that online dating was mostly ignored. A decade ago the only validation and options a woman had were men who approached her in real life. Back then women who were 7-8 were humble and respectful.

Now the market is full of 3-5 women with huge egos and there is no winning move for men. We have no desire to clean up after these women after they've become jaded and bitter by chasing men way out of her smv. For a lot of guys the only real option is mgtow or hope you find a woman who isn't fucked up by modern dating culture.

justgotalpha • 16 points • 5 June, 2017 03:03 PM

dating apps= COMPLETE WASTE OF TIME trust me guys this shit its not for everyone the dating market place is flawed .women have expectations way over the reality line to the point where even fat single moms are demanding tall handsome man who make 100k a year,are you FUCKING KIDDING ME!!!! i tried tinder one time(i knew it was a waste of time but i did anyways ) and i was just shocked of what i saw,fatties ,single moms,straight 4's looking for top 10% males, im like are these bitches for real? women with a market value of near to zero demanding high quality males, thats what feminist america has done to their women

Five\_Decades • 11 points • 5 June, 2017 07:34 PM\*

If it makes you feel better, these women will end up unhappy. The gender ratio in the real world are 1:1, so if women are chasing men out of their league for anything more serious than a ons they will end up empty handed.

On tinder it feels like there are 100 men for every woman, so the woman chases elite men out of her league. In real life the gender ratio are equal and there are 50 mediocre women after every 1-5 elite men. The math doesn't work.

afkb39sdfb • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 06:14 AM

Dating apps are the 'scratch and dent' sale. Cheap but low quality.

[deleted] • 45 points • 5 June, 2017 10:16 AM

Women on dating apps approach me.

Rule 1) Be attractive.

Rule 2) Don't be unattractive.

jt3611 • 36 points • 5 June, 2017 01:44 PM

I personally did really well with online dating but it amazed me (at first) at how openly shallow women are. I'm legitimately six foot tall, and it said so in my profile. I'd say at least every 3 out of 5 women I met had me stand next to them because they were "wearing their highest heels, and wanted to make sure I was still taller than them." I was ready to start bringing a scale with me if we're going to verify body stats now.

[deleted] • 11 points • 5 June, 2017 05:35 PM

Bring a tape measure to 'make sure you are not fatter than me'

nightmancommeth • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 05:02 PM

Same I have had the opposite problem of not getting them to go away. Ive actually had girls show up at my house because I wasnt answering there texts. This was after I told them I wasnt interested but they insisted I needed to get to know them better.

oljoner • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 01:38 PM

That's mostly due to your genetics (& of course decent clothes combined with the correctly taken pictures). Truth is, it was kind of effortless for you. Sure, you lift, but don't we all?  
For the Steve Buscemi types among us, your 2 rules don't apply. They need IRL interaction to show off real charisma.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 02:19 PM

What does that have to do with

Dating apps have been a weapon of mass destruction dropped on the sexual marketplace.

?

prodigy2throw • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 02:55 PM

Charisma, confidence and social status will win against a dull Chad more often than not.

JcHgvr • 27 points • 5 June, 2017 10:06 AM\*

Less children

People choose not have children because they can't afford them. How can a couple in their 20's think children if the flat they live in is a rental, the car they own is monthly payments. So is your phone, your tv and a lot of other things. Top that off with student loans. You don't own much these days.

Children are very expensive and require at least one stay at home parent, and with financial situation for young people being what it is having children is simply not viable financially.

Sure it's also convenient allowing people to enjoy fucking around till 30's. But dating apps didn't exactly have a lot of competition from stable child producing relationships.

Same goes for marriage, divorce rape aside, people don't have stable enough lives to commit that much.

[deleted] • 28 points • 5 June, 2017 10:55 AM

And yet we witness single mom sluts en masse on slut parades.

JcHgvr • 14 points • 5 June, 2017 10:59 AM

And how many of them planned to have that child ?

twatbutter • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 11:48 AM

And how many of them are still successfully raising that kid (whether it's in the form of government assistance or child support)?

MrInternetDetective • 13 points • 5 June, 2017 01:52 PM



You may be using the term successfully incorrectly here.

twatbutter • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 01:57 PM

I use it to refer to the fact that the kid has a roof over his head, clothes, and food-- I'm well aware of the dangers of single motherhood on a child.

Phoenix torment • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 09:08 PM

There are women in my country **intentionally** becoming a single mom early because then they don't have to worry about money because the state supports them.

I kid you not, let that sink in.

JcHgvr • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 09:12 PM

Would that country happen to be UK ?

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 11:02 AM

Half of them rode the CC too hard and other half just stole some poor guy's semen from the condom.

twatbutter • 15 points • 5 June, 2017 11:48 AM

How can a couple in their 20's think children if the flat they live in is a rental, the car they own is monthly payments.

We need to dial back and shut down some of the common retarded liberal excuses for not having children. Yes, it's harder to buy homes, and cost of living has relatively increased, but the same people who complain about this:

- a. Don't save up money well
- b. Have ludicrous spending habits (e.g. they must have the new iPhone, they must spend thousands on retarded luxuries, they eat out too much)
- c. Use/abuse credit and end up in debt

It's very viable for any level-headed person making a decent living to have a family if they wanted to. Now when it comes to starting a family with an ex-whore, that's another beast entirely.

Archwinger • 14 points • 5 June, 2017 05:44 PM

Having children, on its own, isn't expensive.

But if you want to have children who have their own bedroom in a 3000+ square foot home in a good neighborhood, zoned to good schools with primarily upper middle class white students and good teachers with bonafide college prep and advanced placement programs, and you want your sons to play sports with their friends every season and your daughters to do gymnastics and dance with the other girls, and you want to make sure your kids learn a musical instrument and a martial art and a second language -- that shit costs money.

If you just want a kid who lives with you, wears clothes, and doesn't starve, that's not too expensive.

JcHgvr • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 05:50 PM

And why exactly wouldn't I want my kids to go to a good school ? What's the point of bringing them into this already very competitive world and having them go into life from disadvantageous position ?

I'm not saying they need to go to a top private school, get extra classes from good private tutors and

so on.

But yea if I were going to have kid I'd like them to get a head start in life. Doesn't have to be 1% life style, but easier than I had for sure.

Archwinger • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 06:11 PM

And why exactly wouldn't I want my kids to go to a good school ? What's the point of bringing them into this already very competitive world and having them go into life from disadvantageous position ?

Don't ask me. I was 30-ish when we had our daughter. We lived in a 2800 square foot home in the burbs at the time and have since moved to an even bigger home in an even better, richer, whiter burb. She's always had her own room, she currently plays sports, does gymnastics and a martial art, and we're getting her into an instrument this year. All the kids in her class are bright, come from successful upper middle class families, went to kick-ass pre-schools, teachers compete heavily for jobs in our school district due to its affluence and the lack of bad elements in the student body, and kids her age who aren't reading at least two grade levels above are the rarity at her elementary school.

This shit's important. The idea that it doesn't matter what sort of start and what sort of resources you provide your kid -- the good ones will just figure it out and invent Microsoft in their garage and be successful anyway -- that's Disney bullshit. If you want your kid to be rich and successful, you give your kid the rich and successful life from day one. It's still no guarantee, but I'll take the Pepsi challenge over some regular kid from the poorer side of town any day of the week.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:49 PM

watch this mans words.

All the people who I deem to be successful given their age, are from families who granted them the opportunity to prosper, given they're not total retards and blew the cash away. Even then they're given multiple opportunities through their family's connections.

twatbutters • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 01:26 AM

the good ones will just figure it out and invent Microsoft in their garage and be successful anyway -- that's Disney bullshit.

Not every kid will be a multi-millionaire or billionaire, but the idea that you can't raise a successful kid *without* affluence and all the things you mentioned is ridiculous. Asian immigrants are without a doubt the biggest example to the contrary of your point.

twatbutters • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:22 AM

that shit costs money.

None of that is essential to a child's success. How many successful Asians do you know of who came from dirt-poor families yet are now successful businessmen, lawyers, doctors, etc.?

I'm not saying that better schools and neighborhoods don't help, but you can absolutely still have successful, well-adjusted kids without this silly ideal "lala-land" life that costs an arm and a leg.

Archwinger • 3 points • 6 June, 2017 10:43 AM

Technically, anybody can be president. Even you. Realistically, only wealthy, well connected

superstar humans can actually be president.

Sure, a kid can still succeed with a mediocre life, and fail horribly with a life of abundance and opportunity, but if you want to give your children the best chance possible, you go with column B and don't bank on being one of the column A success stories. You and your kids only get one life.

JcHgvr • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 04:36 PM

I'm pretty far from liberal. You have a point but I don't fully agree. Not many people in their 20's make enough money to save. And I'm not talking about eating out every day and having maxed out ccs.

Flats in big cities already take up a lot of their income. And young people don't want to live in small towns. They are young they want something out of their lives. They are straight out of uni, they started to have their own money so they don't want to settle down and start making babies.

So yea people choose not to have kids because they would have to give up on fun. Times have changed and people in their 20's don't want to not only to divert funds into kids but also give up on most of their social life.

Which is why couples in their 30's have kids, they had their fun, and they have more money.

twatbutters • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 01:20 AM

Flats in big cities already take up a lot of their income.

And they're idiots for not finding residence someplace cheaper, where they might have to commute. Another excuse.

And young people don't want to live in small towns.

Tough titties-- adjust or don't complain about your financial situation that you're responsible for marring yourself.

Times have changed and people in their 20's don't want to not only to divert funds into kids but also give up on most of their social life.

Excuses, and it doesn't even address the harder truths such as the cock carousel playing into older maternity ages.

JcHgvr • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:41 AM\*

No those aren't excuses or though titties. No one is required to make babies in their 20's because "my most fertile years".

And no living on the outskirts of London for example isn't cheap either, no one is saying they have apartments near the town centre. And young people don't want to live outside of it either because they want all the big city has to offer.

Funny how you complain that people don't want to marry in their 20's and have children and yet everyone here gets reminded daily that marriage is a trap and so are kids.

Pick a stance guys. You're either pro kids and marriage or against it.

HappyMexican • 1 point • 7 June, 2017 03:33 PM\*

Yeah I would agree with this. 25 is the new 21. A lot of us need this time to dip our toe into the water and create a solid in flow/out flow of income that gets us to our thirties. In order to be successful earlier in your life, you NEED a STEM degree. STEM degrees are not easy for most, especially since

you need job experience as well. This process takes 5 years at least for most students.

Yes you can do a manual labor job at 18 that pays well, but only a few can do those long term and you most likely wont get the treatment you would with a good STEM Degree job. Employer will always be able to replace you easily with another 18 year old.

I would go as far as to say 80% of the 20 year olds I know spent early twenties screwing around or getting educated, then getting their shit together around 24 (Cause things get scary if you don't). Even me, I only had like 500 bucks in the bank at 24. Now I make triple that in one check at 28.

The world is SO complex at this point, no one is able to have kids til late 20s anymore. If they do its usually another welfare case.

badDayAtBerchdsgaden • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 10:43 PM

We need to dial back and shut down some of the common retarded liberal excuses for not having children. Yes, it's harder to buy homes, and cost of living has relatively increased, but the same people who complain about this

I think you underestimate how far out of reach home ownership is for Millenials in the area where I am. I did a quick mental calculation at the factory-type job I took last summer to make ends meet, and it would take my weekly paycheque working 40 hrs a week for about the next 8 years or so to buy a home. And thats before rent, food, and not resorting to wearing a barrel for clothes.

I can understand the cost of living in North America has to have increased a fair bit since the 50s because of higher standards in things like environmental regulation, workplace safety, & stricter standards for how buildings are built, but this is madness. Theres no way on this Earth Im ever going to spend my prime working years working to keep this shitstorm of a society going.

askmrcia • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 12:51 PM\*

I agree with this to an extent. The truth is alot of young people spend way to much money on other shit that they don't need. Thousands of dollars on expensive clothes, gym membership they don't use, eating out multiple days during the week, drinking at bars every weekend, living in expensive rentals and so on.

I guess these can range from different experiences but I'm going off from what I observe from my social circle and people I know in general.

All of what I said above can be cut down tremendously where it's not killing your wallet.

I can use my sister and my ex and their friends as examples.

I'll never forget a time when I was out with the ex and friends at a brunch. I offered for the first time to pickup the check. The check ended up being \$60. I looked at her surprised and she said "oh that's nothing, I usually spend more." mind you her and their friends do brunch pretty much every weekend and not to mention the money they spend on Saturday nights and "Sunday Fun Days" at bars.

People in general can be irresponsible when it comes to their spending habits. So yes it's harder to get homes and college debt can make it hard to plan for children, but it's not that much of an obstacle that you make it sound.

dontputthebeerback1 points 5 June, 2017 01:09 PM [recovered]

The truth is alot of young people spend way to much money on other shit that they don't need. Thousands of dollars on expensive clothes, gym membership they don't use, eating out multiple days during the week, drinking at bars every weekend, living in expensive rentals and so on.

Absolutely, which  $\neq$  "living costs are higher".

It's cheaper and especially easier to raise children than ever in human history.

bd31 • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 02:08 PM

The cost of raising a child has **increased** in the last year, according to a report from the Department of Agriculture. For a middle-income family to raise a child born in 2015 through the age of 17, the cost of rearing a child has hit \$233,610, according to the report. The price jump is a 3% increase from the previous year, according to the report, with housing taking up a bulk of the expense at 29% of the cost. Food took the second biggest expense at 18%, according to the report.

Source

LarryPickles • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 01:51 PM

I think that it's really expensive to raise a child before both the man and women are financially established in careers. With living costs going up and up every year and taxes gutting everyone at the same time, how the fuck can someone who didn't inherit a ton of money cope with a child in this day and age if they don't have at least a 6 figure salary? It's just not possible, or at least extremely difficult.

HappyMexican • 0 points • 7 June, 2017 04:01 PM

I also will attest to this. I just got through my college days and there is some truth to the waste of student loans. I admittedly, gambled, vacationed and ate away a good chunk of my financial aid. I mean, you hand a 19 year old more money then they have seen in their lives, they are going to live it up. My best friend spent 500 bucks on magic cards in a week. Pure financial aid.

Luckily I finished college and have a good paying job now, so it worked out.

I still see it as a necessary evil in the end. It gave me the chance to finish school at my own pace, and without student loan system me and my friends wouldn't have finished school at all. Plus, those were some great times I will remember the rest of my life. Is that not worth anything?

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:37 PM

A I have always said, if you cannot afford to have a child without taxpayer help, don't have one

afkb39sdfb • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 06:10 AM

The highest birth rates is in the lowest income classes in most western countries.

[deleted] • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 12:14 PM

they can't afford them

le old "i cant afford chilhun" xD

u live in a 1st world country and u cant afford children? seriously? how did ur grandparents afford ur parents back then where there was no welfare state? how can people nowadays afford vacation in fucking thailand, a new car every year, gadgets and drinking shitty starbucks coffee everyday, but not children?

MrInternetDetective • 16 points • 5 June, 2017 02:01 PM

The rate of inflation has not matched stagnant wages. My dad worked part time over summer to pay off one semester of college at a public university. That is not possible these days. And to point out the

obvious for you, most people today do not just go and "vacation in Thailand"...

segagaga • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 02:17 PM

Exactly. People in other countries have vastly inflated impressions of the wealth of westerners. The currency conversion may be high, but its still a lot of money for nearly everyone. The last time i went on holiday I nearly bankrupted myself.

MrInternetDetective • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 02:39 PM

I can afford short, well timed, vacations in the continental USA but no way could I afford a euro-trip or going abroad. If you see young people on exotic trips rest assured they're using daddies money. If they're older than good for them but they are not the average joe.

JcHgvr • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 04:38 PM

People in their 20's who get all that have rich parents. Like MrInternetDetective said. No one who doesn't come from money gets a new car every year in their 20's.

CrazedHyperion • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 03:29 PM

I don't think they can afford it all at once. Vacation in fucking Thailand is not that expensive if you want to make it so. It also can be mega expensive if you party like it's 1999 in Phuket or Pattaya.

vicious\_armbar • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:30 AM

My grandparents lived on a farm like the majority of Americans at the time. For them children were an income producing asset (free labor), and guaranteed a secure retirement. It was seen a child's duty to care for their elderly parents. For my grandparents it wasn't a question of '*can we afford to have children*', but '*how can we afford -not- to have children*'.

Name one other class of people in modern day America other then single mothers living off of the welfare state for whom children are an income producing asset.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 02:49 AM

Name one other class of people in modern day America other then single mothers living off of the welfare state for whom children are an income producing asset.

asians, latinos, blacks, jews, arabs...

basically the people who will inherit ur country xD

DAVE437 • 1 point • 19 July, 2017 03:16 AM

the black population is decreasing

MentORPHEUS • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 07:26 PM

I've got POF down to a system, when I need prospects I unhide my profile for a few days then it's time to hide it again because my calendar is full. Next month I'm traveling to another state so I set up a new profile in that city and had my first meetup in the bag within 12 hours.

Study your male competition on your site of choice. The bar is pretty damn low to get your profile into the top 20%. Make a more detailed and polarizing profile than average, you'll get a smaller number of better qualified contacts.

Kommanderdude • 9 points • 5 June, 2017 01:34 PM

Idk. I slayed it on tinder.

makethemwant • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 02:52 PM

The fact that the pinnacle of girls are 6s on that site gives me hope that the real hotties and worthwhile girls are not on those sites.

I tried online dating for all of 1 week before I figured out that I didn't enjoy such a low response rate from mediocre-looking and high-needs-having females.

In person, chicks love my vibe, my smile, my obvious show of confidence. Dating apps can't do me, or any wholistically developed RP man, justice. Delete the apps.

CrazedHyperion • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 03:45 PM

There are many robots on Tinder and other apps, making the guy buy more services to have access to better quality matches. If it was an honest app, I'd say it's OK, but it's a commercial service, lest we forget, and there are waaaaayyyy more men than women on it.

Hitleresque • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 03:03 PM

All of what you listed is already happening. The fact that MGTOW, TRP, PUA culture etc. exists was already a clear sign of that. Dont get me wrong, it's going to get much, much worse the further we go.

The only thing we can do about it is exploit it while the system starts crumbling. And by that I mean you can either use TRP to fuck all the dumb sluts this has created, or you can use it to vet them properly, find one of the few good women left and try to turn society around. Just remember AWALT before tackling an LTR.

jewishsupremacist88 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:16 PM

yep..jam as many nails into the back o f the system as possible while you still can.

badchad\_isglad • 4 points • 5 June, 2017 06:21 PM

Dating apps do work if you put the time and effort both into yourself and the app. However I believe the more a man's SMV rises, the less he should use dating apps and instead game in person.

By perusing this strategy, a man will both differentiate himself from the pack and have an easier/more fun time getting higher quality women.

Don't forget, there are many high quality women out there for the top 20% and many of those women are not on dating apps.

Get out there and go get a high quality lay.

stay\_anon\_stay\_safe • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 06:44 PM

4) Greatly educed ability to pair bond for both some men and majority women after spending a decade or more immersed in the hookup culture

shittt this means that hookup culture is self-perpetuating

boy meets girl

girl doesnt want anything cuz hookup

boy heartbroken

cycle repeats enough times until boy is part of hookup culture and finding someone who isn't part of it will just



repeat this process on someone else

[deleted] • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 07:01 PM

I guess I'm part of the exception then. I travelled a lot in my previous job and didn't have the time or energy to date conventionally. Met a great girl through Eharmony and now have two kids and couldn't be happier.

But I think that stressed the difference between dating apps and hookup apps. Some stress marriage and kids as what both sides are looking for. Others like Tinder, stress hookups. That's why I never used them.

Alpha\_Jedi • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 07:52 PM

I did a write up on each app and the Red Pill implications of each:

<https://alphajedi.com/2017/06/02/swipe-right-a-red-pill-dating-app-review/>

Long story short, apps psychologically condition men to be Blue Pill by the way they are setup.

yazen\_ • 2 points • 7 June, 2017 01:06 PM

Read it. Was a nice summary.

[deleted] • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 10:53 AM

While I agree with your post, I don't think it is realistic to expect men, specially RP men who worked on improving themselves, to drop out of dating apps for these reasons. These apps made ever more ridiculously easy for the top 20% men to get sex, and I don't think people will go back to a more difficult, traditional approach. I think we are witnessing the end of families as we know it in a few decades.

UnKnownSurviving • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 01:14 PM

It's been happening for some time. The decline of western families. Then all of sudden, single, western women, have degrees, and approaching quickly to early 30s, want children and marriage.

Nah, that's not gonna happen. Most men, aren't gonna give up their freedom, they've had for a long time, to a whoring, late 20s, slut, who recognized their bio clock just now ticking and closing the door to having children. So, sluts will try to quickly force a high status male, to stick his foot in her door, to buy time. When the male doesn't want to and refuse, the only last try will be shaming him to marriage with her. This will only pissed him off, and dump her ass out into the street.

Any RP or high status male, isn't going to give up his freedom or his love of money over women. Feminism unwittingly give him this option.

CrazedHyperion • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 03:42 PM

I believe that, due to internet availability, a radical and permanent shift in society is taking place. Where feminism made a lot of their gains in the '80 and '90, technology is leveling the playing field once again, in a fundamental and irreversible way. As men can afford to wait for marriage, and do so, women have a limited shelf life, 30 and you're pushing it. The only possible outcome is a decrease in birth rates, since women will have to resign to having fewer children. Sperm banks may fulfill a biological need, but only very wealthy women would benefit of it. Adoption - hell, which single woman would want to burden herself with an adopted child? Even more, the transfer of wealth from men to women will decrease, since fewer marriages.

jewishsupremacist88 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:15 PM

the decline of the west means the increase of pay to play relationships just like the Soviet Union in its last years. If you want to see what I mean..go look at girlsdroporn and see what kind of girls they get

to fuck on camera for money. these are crackwhores

CrazedHyperion • 2 points • 7 June, 2017 05:55 AM

I think that by looking at porn, we get the impression that there is a huge overabundance of pussy and everybody is fucking awesome girls. But that's not entirely true, Internet doesn't forget anything. Especially porn. I would think that the pendulum will reverse the moment we have some kind of global cataclysm, an earthquake, a huge famine, a war, the general breakdown of law and order, and then, everybody is in for himself, and pussy will lose its relatively high value. Women will fuck syphilitic one legged midgets with bad breath for a loaf of bread. Believe me. It will pass like that.

[deleted] • 11 points • 5 June, 2017 12:42 PM

If people wanna use dating apps let them use dating apps. Obviously it's worthwhile for them or they wouldn't be using it. It's not for me but I don't see the problem with others using it.

prodigy2throw • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 02:47 PM\*

I'd say if you're getting laid pretty regularly and with ease then keep doing you.

Truth is 90% of guys use it as a crutch (my former self included) and have to pull teeth to get a date or lay out of the thing.

With all that effort you're better off learning to interact in the real world with day game.

[deleted] 5 June, 2017 07:28 PM

[permanently deleted]

jewishsupremacist88 • 2 points • 6 June, 2017 11:17 PM

seekingarrangement exists already.

binarynightmare • 3 points • 7 June, 2017 07:21 PM

We are essentially witnessing the complete destruction of the sexual marketplace that has been the norm for most of the past fifty years.

I think a more appropriate statement would be that we're seeing the norms and regulations of the sexual marketplace being torn down as it reverts to how it was intended in nature.

Dating apps might be sowing the seeds of their own destruction. I'm seeing a lot of men getting frustrated with the return on investment from said apps all across the internet.

To be honest all of the alphas and good looking guys I know are having a field day with Tinder. It's not so much that they weren't getting laid before, it's just that they don't have to spend a whole 20 dollars on a door fee and an evening in order to slay a girl (beta eye roll).

[deleted] • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 12:49 PM

So noble of you.

Can you pick up off tinder? Then who are you to grieve otherwise? Who should listen?

bestCallEver • 6 points • 5 June, 2017 01:43 PM

Good thing you censored uhm... I'm guessing ..."slutty" and "fuck". Might have offended someone.

[deleted] 5 June, 2017 02:03 PM

[permanently deleted]

awalt\_cupcake • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 04:21 PM

they're painful to my eyes

darkonark • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 03:58 PM

Agreed. We don't need to fat shame the fat, just ignore them for certain respects.

JackGetsIt • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 04:28 PM

The ignoring is probably even a worse punishment. Women crave attention so badly that even negative attention can be good because she can shame you for it and then get some sweet sweet victim attention.

darkonark • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 05:29 PM

I thought this sub was against feeding victim complexes, no?

crimsonkodiak • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 03:04 PM

This sexual marketplace was typified by a reliance on social circle and night time venues to facilitate hookups. Women's choices were constrained necessarily to the men within their immediate proximity. More importantly, these men had to approach her.

The last line isn't a true statement and even to the extent it is, it implies it is more a limiter than it is in real life. Even before online dating, any good looking woman would have her choice of guys to take her home from the bar, if she was willing. Women can approach guys as well (you've seriously never been hit on by a woman in a bar?), though as a practical matter there's no need to do so.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:52 PM

This post has moved me a bit. I want to focus on climbing, to become a better climber and fuck women 20 years younger than I am with tight bodies. The cost of 6 months of OKC (older guys need to pay to message younger women) is the same as the first month plus the start up fee at a massive indoor climbing gym, \$150. After that, it's \$75 per month.

If I did it, I'd have intensive physical shit going on every day. Might be good idea.

itsawomensworld • 2 points • 9 June, 2017 05:45 AM

I stopped online dating 5 months ago and this post really could have been written by me. I've been very happy to not be rejected by 7s and waste my time on women who have no interest in a relationship. My record not online dating is excellent even if I don't ask many girls out.

Online dating has made 7/10 women feel like the hottest girl in highschool.

Even my fat 18 year old female cousin was giggling tonight about her tinder experience. She said she uses it just to match not go out.

This is an insane ego boost for 6/10 women. She never got hit on in HS and now she's the creme de la creme , in her mind, online.

Women crave validation . They spend half their day validating eachother clothes shoes. All of it is for getting a man at the end of the day. If she's validated she has confidence she will get a man.

But the world's so fucked up today that validation actually makes a woman so confident she'll get a man that she

scoffs at seemingly good options for even better ones. She feels so good about herself that her standards are out of control.

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 June, 2017 08:37 AM

When i apply my standard rules and values towards women on dating apps, i end up deleting / unmatching / ceasing contact with 95% of them. From what i can gather, at best they use these apps as a way to stay distracted / ego validation / escape from their boring existence. Most of the time, i cease interaction because they cannot hold my interest.

absofaluminum • 2 points • 2 August, 2017 07:07 PM

If you're seeing only "fatties" and "6s" or otherwise undesirable women on these apps, it's highly likely you're just not getting a glimpse of the ones who are out of your league because they've already swiped left on you.

source: intelligent, attractive woman who's had a lot of encounters, both casual and serious, that started on an app and would sniff out your bullshit in a fucking heartbeat. You're all a bunch of pigs.

nzgs • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 01:22 PM

This is quite a beta thread. You're demanding that men stop using these apps because the playing field isn't in your favour on them, rather than improving your smv to the point that the apps do work in your favour.

Trying to change the sexual behaviour of millions of people via activism is pointless and beta. May as well call yourself a "MRA".

prodigy2throw • 10 points • 5 June, 2017 03:02 PM

Regardless of the butthurt OP does have a point.

Truth is lots of guys who have genetic limits to SMV think success with women can only be had through online dating (tinder, bumble, etc) when the truth is those apps are so heavily skewed towards the top % of men that it doesn't reflect how women are in the real world.

A guy who is 5'7 will always be 5'7 no matter how hard he works out or dresses. Guys like that need to go out and develop charisma and use it on women in the real world.

Sir\_Ganjas\_VIII • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 05:22 PM

My lifting partner is 5'7 and he gets a solid 20/30 matches a day off tinder. I'm 6'3 and I receive 20/30 matches a month.

prodigy2throw • 7 points • 5 June, 2017 05:27 PM

The height thing was just a place holder for "genetically disadvantaged", but okay.

Also, matches don't mean anything. Dick in Vagina is what matters.

Psychocist • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 08:47 PM

It all matters, but I'd say facial aesthetics matter more than anything online. I'm 5'9 and going bald (shaved head). I'd be truly fucked without a decent mug.. I do good enough online with some attractive women to not be that motivated to date through other means.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 June, 2017 06:18 AM

This shit exactly. As someone who has busted his ass to get into the top 20-10% and now gets easy sex from these apps there is no way I'm giving them up

I agree with all the main points and definitely do see those macro societal trends heading in an awful direction but TRP was never about being a martyr. It's about knowing the rules of the game (which is constantly changing but more or less the same) and improving yourself. Reap the benefits

wasabichilifireworks • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 01:34 PM

I think you're just butt hurt, honestly.

I'm nowhere near top 20% and I had awesome success with tinder. Mostly 7-8s couple 9s.

The key is persistence, patience and good text game. Oh and live in a highly populated area, like a city.

prodigy2throw • 16 points • 5 June, 2017 02:55 PM

Hate to burst your bubble but you're def top 20 of you're pulling like that

[deleted] 5 June, 2017 03:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

prodigy2throw • 5 points • 5 June, 2017 03:59 PM

Or he's into fatties.

If I was into fatties I'd be in BBQ heaven right now. Unfortunately I have standards

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 June, 2017 06:20 AM

Tinder is a joke in major cities now because so many thirsty guys pay money to have their name featured that your profile isn't even viewed.

Bumble is better in that regard at least.

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 12:12 PM

or become top 20% and none of this matters

[deleted] • 11 points • 5 June, 2017 02:19 PM

20th percentile lands you Shamu.

[deleted] • -5 points • 5 June, 2017 02:58 PM

that is just the anger and bitterness talking

the game does a 180 when ur in the top 20

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 June, 2017 03:02 PM

I've been at the level of plowing several different girls a week. I know what I speak of. You have a distorted view of the distribution. 80th percentile fucks fats, and I don't fuck fat.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 June, 2017 03:10 PM

I'm also in DC, which as Roosh will tell you is a very bad marketplace for men to game. Even banging the chubby 30 year old no-name attorneys that abound requires more effort than it's worth.

JackGetsIt • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 04:32 PM

Great post but this has been hit on a quite a lot (granted it's always good to bring up again). If anyone wants

more reading about this I'd highly recommend the redpill post 'dance monkey dance' from Dec 2014.

slamdunktiger86 • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 04:51 PM

Wow. This was brilliant. Youtube video worthy?

Tuskus • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:41 PM

Saying that you can change things by deleting dating apps sounds a lot like you can change things by voting. Welcome to the new reality.

metallicdrama • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:43 PM

THIS. Stop feeding the trolls lol.

jovijovi99 • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:47 PM

Number 10 made me snort milk out of my nose lmaooo

Gawernator • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 08:49 PM

I ended up "unicorning" and have been dating the same girl off tinder for 2 years

uniquevoid • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 09:19 PM

Most of this shit is true, but keep in mind that not all women use dating apps at least in Spain (where I live)

I met a philipina 29 y old, 6/10 at least was fun to be around, fucked for an hour and her period showed up, she was like 'you can block me' 'why are u not angry'. I was chilling playing on my phone and she left my place. I offered a second date and told her it was an accident, still never replied and I'm a solid 8/10

roflmasta3000 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:36 AM

Can we add 'Black Knight' to the glossary of terms? ROFL

TP801 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:50 AM

Any "girl" who uses a dating app, tinder, or whatever the fuck is nothing but a cum dumpster. Would never ever go out with a girl who used or uses a dating website.

Thats part of the problem with society today, these fucking whores out here think it is ok for them to be pounded out a thousand plus times, and still expect to get treated with respect and like a "princess." Ho please. Legit I have no problem calling a girl a whore/cum dumpster to her face. a ton of fuck boys out here are ready to wife up some damaged goods girls who has been banged out countless time. SO many of thee girls have been ran through time and time again, yet some sucker ass motherfucker is ready to white knight and wife up a skank. What in the actual fuck.

Quite a few of these human female creatures bomb mothers where and are still whores as well. I guess to these fuckboys out here the cum dumpster pussy is just that good. Most of these julioettas deserve no respect.

"Girls" who are on dating websites are the absolute filthiest fucking creatures you get wife up.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 04:35 AM

I for one welcome our new robotic sex dolls and will gladly purchase one. I want chicks I bring home to know they are competing with that and have to measure up.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 06:41 AM

Only when they are young. Then it flips around as long as you take care of yourself as a man.

Btw buy ethereum.

DouglasPR • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 08:11 AM

Agree with most of the post. The average kind of woman that is attracted to me in real life will never pick me in a dating app. The matches I got were disappointing, and mostly from delusional entitled women.

EdmondDaunts • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 11:28 AM

What you are describing is the Predator-Prey cycle, a non-linear cycle that produces almost extinction of one player/species only to violently correct. In society there are more variables however the decline and fall of empires is analogous.

At some time soon chastity will be prized and we will see a decline in fatties. Or something like that. Trouble is it may not happen until a decade or so.

So enjoy the decline.

Wanderwow • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 12:53 PM

Yup, dating apps are overall leading to more unhappiness.

ayylma088 • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 01:43 PM

I agree with your post. However:

4) Be quick to next chicks ... how is that even supposed to work anymore these days, when men are clearly the ones getting nexted on an hourly basis

otherwise I completely agree. I also believe that all these dating apps as well as social media (snapchat/insta especially) have given women so much power these days, they dont even need to hookup anymore. They get more off by 100 new followers than by going on a nice date with a decent guy

Finzi • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 03:08 PM

OP makes a lot of good points, but it also has to be said that most women are also very dissatisfied with dating apps, which is why we've seen new apps pop up that appeal to them. Their complaints are different in some ways, e.g. lewd comments, guys just want sex. But in other ways they're similar. They also feel that guys have too many choices and too easy to get laid, therefore no commitment. No doubt that it's objectively easier for girls to get laid than guys, but girls still feel that guys have it easier than in the past, before the apps. And I think girls are right that the apps do make it easier for guys just to get laid, though it usually means lowering your standards.

tolerantman • 1 point • 6 June, 2017 09:43 PM

All I have to say is THANK YOU for writing this...

getRedPill • 1 point • 7 July, 2017 05:45 PM

don't blame apps. They don't take actions or decisions. It's a long story but it's welfare states with their feminists laws that have made this through promoting a disconnection between people's decisions and consequences. There's no responsibility anymore.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 June, 2017 02:18 PM

Everyone loves the Pareto Principle these days, but "the top 20%" is ridiculous for men in today's SMP. 90th percentile is the minimum for anything quality and 80th the minimum for landwhale skanks.



AiAmDreamer • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 03:10 PM

Yeah, as much as I can see where you're coming from, hell no will I give up online dating. Via Caleb Jones the Black Dragon, under his system you could rack up plates easy as fuck with virtually minimal effort. Admittedly I haven't tried it yet, but the logic is sound, and he's tested it multiple times with other men as well. So yea, I'm keeping the online dating.

Tmnsquirtle47 • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 04:25 PM

Do you have a source on the #3 claim you make at the top?

TheGatesOfValhalla • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 08:16 PM

Someone sounds salty about not following rules 1 and 2.

It ain't going anywhere, nothing you can do about it.

draketton • 0 points • 5 June, 2017 11:38 PM

old stale pasta is old and stale <https://www.rooshvforum.com/thread-7528-post-1571163.html#pid1571163>

simplisticallysimple • 0 points • 6 June, 2017 10:30 AM

Why would you delete these apps unless you're not getting good results on them? Smells like sour grapes to me, and also trying to mislead the competition...

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 August, 2017 03:27 PM

I would say both.

Definitely sour grapes that led him to see the truth. If he had mad success he would likely ignore the truths.