

Female applicants to MIT literally have over double the acceptance rate of men

June 16, 2019 | 83 upvotes | by [banned_by_cucks](#)



Institutional Research
Office of the Provost

Common Data Set 2013-2014

C. FIRST-TIME, FIRST-YEAR (FRESHMAN) ADMISSION

Common Data Set

2013-2014

- A. General Information
- B. Enrollment and Persistence
- C. Freshman Admission
- D. Transfer Admission
- E. Academic Offerings and Policies
- F. Student Life
- G. Annual Expenses
- H. Financial Aid
- I. Instructional Faculty and Class Size
- J. Disciplinary areas of Degrees Conferred
- All Sections

Definitions

Institutional Research

Applications

C1. First-time, first-year (freshman) students: Provide the number of degree-seeking, first-time, first-year students who applied, were admitted, and enrolled (full- or part-time) in fall 2013. Include early decision, early action, and students who began studies during summer in this cohort. Applicants should include only those students who fulfilled the requirements for consideration for admission (i.e., who completed actionable applications) and who have been notified of one of the following actions: admission, non-admission, placement on waiting list, or application withdrawn (by applicant or institution). Admitted applicants should include wait-listed students who were subsequently offered admission.

Total first-time, first-year (freshman) men who applied **13,380**

Total first-time, first-year (freshman) women who applied **5,609**

Total first-time, first-year (freshman) men who were admitted **808**

Total first-time, first-year (freshman) women who were admitted **740**

Total full-time, first-time, first-year (freshman) men who enrolled **609**

Total part-time first-time, first-year (freshman) men who enrolled **0**

Total full-time, first-time, first-year (freshman) women who enrolled **506**

Total part-time first-time, first-year (freshman) women who enrolled **0**

MIT Acceptance Rate

Men	6%
Woman	13%

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

banned_by_cucks[S] • 15 points • 16 June, 2019 09:51 PM

They literally accepted more woman than men this past year, while keeping the same 2x the acceptance rate of men for women. There goes the equality narrative.

<http://ir.mit.edu/cds-2019/#C>

Ow3nd • 7 points • 16 June, 2019 09:59 PM

From the feminist perspective, there are still less women getting enrolled, so still not good enough.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 06:10 PM

I think it's great that there's a reasonable balance.

Sir_Koopaman • 8 points • 16 June, 2019 11:55 PM

So much for "alpha women" huh

[deleted] 16 June, 2019 10:12 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 June, 2019 09:01 AM

Well, people of both sexes drop out.

no_gal_friend • 6 points • 17 June, 2019 01:59 AM

After the females get accepted and enter as classmates, how many females remain after four or five years to graduate?

allodermate • 10 points • 17 June, 2019 02:48 AM

hundreds of beta orbiters to do her homework for her while pounding chad at another university

using that vagina for easier employment as well

secure a STEM simp who doesn't know better, too excited about having a girl give him attention for once

because of easy-mode success, thinks she's all that

can fuck professors for an A, unless female professor lol. who are we kidding, female professors will pass them anyways cause sisterhood

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 04:40 PM

Sisterhood Uber Alles

hakoonamatata9 • 4 points • 17 June, 2019 05:39 AM

Haha Cucks. Fucking cucks everywhere.

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 June, 2019 09:05 AM

I taught myself computer programming as a hobby, did my own projects to build a portfolio, then worked for free for a few IT companies to build up experience, all whilst holding down regular day jobs doing manual work (scrubbing floors, stacking shelves etc).

Today i'm a Snr Software Developer, I work from home and choose my own hours. So glad I didn't have to deal with any of this rubbish.

For me, my education path was simple: Learn how to program, get experience programming, get a job programming.

songoku18- • 3 points • 17 June, 2019 05:24 AM

why do i feel like the world isn't going to advance much in the next couple of decades?

XD I kid I kid, please don't burn me !

banned_by_cucks[S] • 2 points • 16 June, 2019 09:46 PM

Data: <http://archive.is/ohDnD>

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 June, 2019 01:13 PM

A woman with a degree doesn't mean anything.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 06:21 PM

Why not?

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 June, 2019 08:27 PM

There's no guarantee that she, *condicio sine qua non*, has a degree worth a damn because the degree is diluted by numerous accommodations. You could give a toddler a degree, all the same, and it, too, wouldn't mean anything - it's just a piece of paper.

What matters is grit, determination, curiosity, and the ability to do work. To the extent to which women are coddled - they are worth... less.

Let them get to MIT under their own merit - don't make allowances for gender disparity. If you want it, get it. If you don't have what it takes, make room for those who do. An institute of technology should care as much about group identity as the underlying science which allows for technology cares about group identity (which is to say: not at all). You can be a 2-foot-tall monkey and still do science - science doesn't care who does science - science cares about results. Theoretically, anyone can do science and technology, and sex has no bearing on sussing out the fabric of reality. Truth is accessible to those who can determine it. Therefore, admissions should be blind to socioeconomic factors.

You can disagree with me all you want.

Nature does not care. Capital-T Truth doesn't care. I don't care. It's like the Tao - and in that sense, it doesn't matter if women are preferred over men - the world belongs to those who take it. It's neither good, nor bad - it just is. Women show up. Women have a weighting added to their scores. Women rely more on "group study" to finish *their* homework. Women file 90%+ fewer patents, even when controlling for patent-heavy STEM jobs. Pound for pound, men are more productive in certain endeavors like tech. It is what it is, and until women start earning their place under their own power and without special allowances they will be, *de facto*, inferior. That's why their degrees are worthless.

Their portfolio of independent work, and their individual strength is worth more than the piece of paper. Though, you can say that's just my opinion and disregard it.

And please do disregard my opinion because I have nothing further to say and would like you to leave me alone.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 June, 2019 05:33 AM

Thank you very much for answering my question honestly.

I do agree with you in many respects, and that there are many biases in the system.

I respect your opinion and thank you for the detailed response. :)

divorcerapesurvivor • 2 points • 17 June, 2019 02:22 PM

Trashachusetts has a huge marketing campaign for their schools and the place totally sucks to live in. Cost of living is complete trash. They totally hate men in Massachusetts. They are force suiciding men at a record rate. But it is a great state for filing frivolous lawsuits.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 June, 2019 03:27 PM

waiting for drop rates by gender also lol

_n1codemus • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 08:18 AM

Academy is a degenerates wellspring.

user_miki • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 01:00 PM*

Affirmative action, some good better hardworking diligent guys will be left aside. How about their dreams and hopes for a better future. The number of places are finite. So some men will be left out if the criteria of admission is gender not merit.

ChimoEngr • -8 points • 17 June, 2019 05:07 AM

And without knowing why, you're just spewing BS. Yes, this indicates that there is something that probably merits investigation, but without having any clues as to why the different rates, it's wrong to say that there is a problem here. It's likely that MIT has a goal of a 50/50 gender ratio, and so long as more men than woman apply, you're going to see different acceptance rates. The acceptance totals are about the same, though more men got in.

no_gal_friend • 8 points • 17 June, 2019 11:18 AM

why does MIT have that goal of 50/50 gender ratio? Why not be: MIT will take the top 5% or 10% of all applicants regardless of gender?

banned_by_cucks[S] • 1 point • 17 June, 2019 04:41 PM

She didn't read the top comment in this thread.