

# **Study: the more women there are in a certain college discipline, the more politically correct it becomes.**

1039 upvotes | 19 September, 2017 | by ObserverBG

---

A study found that the most politically correct college disciplines are Psychology, Sociology and English.

<https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2008/07/25/pc>

The least politically correct college disciplines are Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Finance. Thus, the more women there are in a certain area, the more politically correct and liberal it becomes.

Psychology is 75 percent female, while Engineering is 75 percent male.

Another study found that women are more politically correct than men.

<https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/beautiful-minds/the-personality-of-political-correctness/>

In other words, there is correlation between the level of female influence in society and the liberalisation of that society. Some people are wondering why are we so different today than the society in the 50s. Or why is western society more culturally different than some non-western societies?

The answer is: women. As female influence exploded in the 70s and women entered the labour force and politics en masse, they caused society to become more liberal and more politically correct.

Women change culture and the more you increase the influence of women, the more you change the culture of that society in a certain direction. Or vice versa. Men also change culture. The more male influence you have, the more a culture will move to the right. Men cause more conservative and more right wing societies - such as muslim societies or the US from the 50s.

This is how the correlation works: the more women there are in an area, or the more female influence you have, the more liberalism and PC you get.

Sweden: Highest level of feminisation = highest level of liberalism and PC.

USA, 2017: High level of female influence = high level of liberalism and PC.

East Asia (Japan, S. Korea, China), Eastern Europe: Medium level of female influence = medium level of liberalism and PC.

USA 1950: Low level of female influence = low level of liberalism and PC.

Muslim societies: Lowest level of female influence = lowest level of liberalism and PC.

The correlation seems to be very high. So what do you think?

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

RubiconPONR • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 01:21 AM [recovered]

Makes sense to me. Women hate stepping on each other's toes, at least not directly. Their natural self censorship of themselves and each other leaks into the general atmosphere until there's a chilling effect of sorts on what can and cannot be said for fear of upsetting others.

Entropy-7 • 82 points • 19 September, 2017 10:39 AM

I sort of wonder how much women are actually "team players" in contrast to men.

It might come down to "men will punch you in the face, but women will stab you in the back".

Zanford • 35 points • 19 September, 2017 06:38 PM

Exactly. That's what PC is; weaponized language. A system of taboos to witchhunt other people (I use 'witchhunt' not incidentally; the infamous Salem trials were largely driven by jealous women).

PC is crybullying. It's is the use of language and victimhood and false cries for help as weapons to socially ostracize. Women are more adept with language, and playing victim for personal gain is almost exclusively female (no one gives a shit if a man plays victim). It's a classic Mean Girls weapon in political dressing.

[deleted] • 67 points • 19 September, 2017 10:53 AM

thats true. Anyone who worked in mostly female workplace (which is most higher positions now, men's society came down to manual labor) knows how toxic and irritating it is and how much DRAMA !!! is created from literally nothing. And when I say nothing I really do mean - nothing.

id rather fight every day and come back home fight club style (Id make more friends too) than endure that shit again and because they are females u are mostly powerless. If violence against women were allowed soon they would be in line. But these cunts provoke you and act tough when they know that if u fight like you want to - you lose more than a job. Then you do next best thing and learn their tactics which is bullshit for any sane man to do. There is no way to work with them in peace and ease. i fucking hate them.

Also for youngsters, if you didnt know, the job atmosphere is more important than the job itself in estim. 95% of cases.

Gimme a male dominated workplace any day of the week, even if they score higher on smv which is highly unlikely but I welcome the competition and motivation.

Charrington84 • 42 points • 19 September, 2017 01:10 PM

This is why I moved in part from an office job to doing construction- women wanna talk about equality in the workplace and all that but at the end of the day it's men cutting lumber on chop saws and carrying It up flights of stairs. I might make less money (for now) doing what I do, but goddamn it feels good to talk shit with the boys and not have to have HR breathing down your neck over every joke you make.

DopeMeme\_Deficiency • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 06:18 PM

Dude... Right? Omfg, just leave me alone you fucking harpies. It was a joke

[deleted] • 34 points • 19 September, 2017 01:52 PM

In my business I consult in Fortune 500's. I can draw a strong correlation between percentage of women in leadership roles and toxicity in the work place. It's stark and undeniable.

Women can't run shit.

Wisdomination • 5 points • 27 September, 2017 09:48 PM

I'll admit to making investment decisions based on the gender ratio in management.

marlan\_ • 15 points • 19 September, 2017 02:47 PM

I work at a railway with 99.9% men I thought it was a curse because no women to flirt with so I rarely ever encounter them (I like to be alone at home) but maybe it's not so bad.

plybianz • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 04:01 PM

This is why I'm a carpenter

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 September, 2017 03:08 PM

Over the last year and a half in my former office job - I now work remotely aka ultimate freedom - the environment went from 50% good guys to nearly all females to mostly beta dudes. I'll let you guess when it was absolute hell, when it was cool, and when it was okay ish. Great thing is that the HR seemed to kinda get it

HobbesTheBrave • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 01:40 PM

"True friend stab you in your face."

Alexbeav • 1 point • 24 September, 2017 06:39 PM

True friends stab you in the front (?) - BMTH

FractalNerve • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 10:13 PM\*

What's left out, is that group dynamics produce different kinds of behaviour for both sexes! A very important finding, because it means an individual behaves substantially different when disconnect from the group.

A recent study proved that groups dynamics generally lead to statically higher rate of lies than created even by notorious liars.

A testament to the weakness of the average mind in group situations. I'd wish Social Sciences would produce mathematic theories explaining interactivity phenomena and predictive behavioural models based on higher maths. Similar to Mathematical Biology, rather than the total defunct p-hacking mess social science is now.

Obio1 • 134 points • 19 September, 2017 08:26 AM

To distill this a bit further: Political correctness is female.

Sallac • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 02:24 PM [recovered]

Women's highest value, overriding any sense of reason, and more important than a person's life - not offending someone. Fucking hell

littlebittytoy • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 02:42 PM

Out of curiosity, why do you believe that women shouldn't get a higher education?

1v1crown • 52 points • 19 September, 2017 02:56 PM

Women who want higher education should and can get it. There is literally nothing holding them back. The problem is if when they get in, they try to change it from logic based to emotion based, which is statistically what happens, and that is counter-productive to education. If this wasn't the case, there would be no problem at all, but unfortunately this is the case. Women, you want to change your place in society? Change yourselves.

Sallac • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 03:00 PM [recovered]

Look what they've done. Corrupted the humanities for the most part, invented BS subjects, and use their sense of entitlement to believe there's actual legitimacy in their BS. Are you not following the news or just denying it?

Yes, #NotAllWomen, but most.

EdAnt • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 05:21 PM

Why did you spell actual that way? It looks like it's deliberate and I'm wondering if it's a reference to something.

Sallac • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 06:05 PM

<http://knowyourmeme.com/memes/ackchyually>

littlebittytoy • -14 points • 19 September, 2017 03:10 PM

I'd argue that it's the other way around. The majority of women take a rational approach to life and education just like men, but the extremist minority just has a louder voice. So #NotMostWomen, but a few.

Sallac • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 04:30 PM [recovered]

Well at the very least, it is a few too many, that it's worth reassessing if we should treat women like adults.

In any case it WILL HAPPEN. Whether it's due to Western men waking up, or being taken overrun by Muslim savages.

littlebittytoy • -1 points • 19 September, 2017 04:54 PM

I guess I don't qualify as an adult anymore then lol. I try to expose myself to people who don't have the same beliefs as me, so thanks for playing

ObserverBG[S] • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 05:17 PM

This isn't personally about you. I think those people generally mean "most women", not that every single one is like that, or that you are like that.

This is not about someone wanting to make you feel bad, you could be a great woman that men love, actually. Who knows? This is not personally about you, do not feel bad.

Verlier • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 04:58 PM

But a few??? That's a laugh

BluepillProfessor • 40 points • 19 September, 2017 03:11 PM

Women's education is directly tied to male unemployment, young males giving up and checking out of society, low fertility, and both male and female unhappiness.

In return we get masculinized mini-mes who compete for jobs, educational slots, and compete with their husbands so that home life for the average married man is literally a living Hell on Earth. Women nag and bitch and moan constantly and when you give them an education they often don't use it except to make their nagging, bitching, and moaning more eloquent. Women don't use improvements like "education" to further the society or themselves. They use whatever tools are given to them to further dominate every man within ear shot.

What's to like about female education again?

[deleted] • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 11:53 PM

What's to like about female education again?

I suppose you could appreciate that the rush of privileged white women into the workforce was perfectly timed to lock minority men out.

BluepillProfessor • 13 points • 20 September, 2017 03:37 PM\*

Nobody who is a student of history would appreciate that. Harlem was an upper middle class borough of NYC that was safe to walk at night and primarily populated in the day by young boys in suits looking for work. African Americans grew up in intact, nuclear families with only a slightly higher unemployment rate than whites.

Then somebody decided that fathers don't matter- and it could be rather strongly argued that they tested this on the black communities first. It worked so well they had to go mainstream.

littlebittytoy • -16 points • 19 September, 2017 03:15 PM

That's an interesting opinion. I'm sorry that the majority of women in your life are seen as nags. Thanks for your input!

hahayeahthatscool • 13 points • 19 September, 2017 05:10 PM

You should be sorry because you're probably one of them.

Godspeed311 • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 05:22 PM

Are you not also sorry that the majority of women in his life are nags?

hahayeahthatscool • 10 points • 19 September, 2017 07:42 PM

No I'm not because the majority of women are nags so if most women in your life are nagging you you're only receiving your fair share.

Godspeed311 • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 06:43 PM

lol fair enough, I don't think there is such a thing as a fair share of nagging though so I still feel sorry for him :D

PM\_ME\_DRUGS\_ • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 05:09 PM [recovered]

You sound like a little bitch.

Verlier • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 04:57 PM

Out of curiosity, why would you understand such a thing when there is nothing on his comment saying women shouldn't get higher education?

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2017 01:43 AM

They absolutely should not get anything more than community college in 99% of cases.

Most women should be okay with elementary school they were created to suck dick and wash dishes not compete with us for jobs.

DodgedAFew • 68 points • 19 September, 2017 08:13 AM

"politically correct and liberal" = need both to be a slut without being called one.

SimonDeMontfort\_ • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 09:34 AM

This is the perfect description.

sqr1[ ] • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 04:22 PM

Women hate stepping on each other's toes, at least not directly.

Very true. Spinning a couple plates and they know each other exists. They buy gifts for each other. Learn to maximize their instincts for your benefit.

Fedor\_Gavnyukov • 89 points • 19 September, 2017 06:03 AM

because guys don't pretend for the most part. ever work with all dudes in a dealership or a sales office? a mortgage brokerage? an engineering lab? i have in all of these situations. its constant shit talk to each other, ripping into god only knows what family tree members of the other person, even racist remarks for the sake of talking shit. at the end of the day, everyone laughs at everyone else, go out and get drunk together after work.

i've also worked for a female owned company (worst experience ever). blatant sexism against men, unqualified women being promoted or hired into managerial positions as opposed to more qualified men with a shit ton of experience. terrible finances within the company. constant product implementation failures. firing of individuals that would actually make the company money and replacing them with complete imbeciles that ran the company into the ground. constant sexist remarks about men in company meetings under the guise of humor.

in the female owed company, literally all the bitches hated each other and tried to get one up on the other broads. it was sad and funny to watch at the same time. the abundance of "rules" was just nauseating. the reason for all this is because women are fake as fuck and need to control everything and anything to try to maintain their make belief status, especially around other broads.

if you think the world is ran like shit now with mostly men in power, it would end in a month if all those men were replaced by women.

HenryCurtmantle • 40 points • 19 September, 2017 12:25 PM

I abandoned a 13-year career in recruitment because it was taken over by wymyn obsessed with rules, grievance procedures, constant delays and obstacles caused by sickness, absenteeism and ad hoc holidays...they destroyed the business leading to mass redundancies. They would always use email, never answer their phones. Absolute nightmare.

Now I work in an all-male sales office and I love it. We make loads of money, get things done immediately, can-do attitude, disputes settled on the spot without meetings...life is good when there are no wymyn in the

work place.

The most depressing thing is to phone a wymyn and get the following voicemail message:

"I am in back-to-back meetings all day, then away on annual leave. If the matter is urgent, you can reach me at info at ..."

God help us all.

Fedor\_Gavnyukov • 23 points • 19 September, 2017 04:56 PM

my mom's husband always said "don't hire any broads if you want to get shit done in your business"

youkickmyd0g • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 02:04 PM

I am getting this way about programming. My RP-minded buddies of 10-15+ years and I are putting our heads together to start raking in cash with limited/no personnel. We've all got our areas of focus, personally motivated. Probably even separate LLC/corp entities ourselves and contracts; feelz-proof boats.

What field of recruiting? Certain markets earn >poverty income for a single head, could set up a boutique shop.

HenryCurtmantle • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 02:12 PM

Banking. I had great relationships with my clients who ran trading desks and then one by one, recruitment was centralised into HR, and I was cut out. It went from 'HenryCurtmantle, send me a couple of people for Monday please; you know what kind people we like, I'll leave it to you, to: 'This is HR, send me some CVs by email and if I'm interested I'll get back to you.'

These wymyn in HR were dumb as rocks, had no understanding of banking products and were an utter fuckup to deal with.

Rodion-Raskolnikov • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 06:00 PM

You win the internet today.

abstractplebbit • 164 points • 19 September, 2017 03:13 AM

Good thing I'm a math major lmao

I actually have at least two classes with zero women in them and my professors are all fucking white males

HardworkITrust • 140 points • 19 September, 2017 04:41 AM

THEY'RE FUCKING WHITE MALES?!?!?!?

zag83 • 26 points • 19 September, 2017 03:16 PM

I'm literally shaking right now.

FlowingSilver • 47 points • 19 September, 2017 05:30 AM

Tbh I've never fucked a white male in my life, good for your maths professors!

abstractplebbit • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 04:43 AM

Just like Me! What else would they be lol

[deleted] • -8 points • 19 September, 2017 01:01 PM



can you expand on what you mean by "what else could they be?"

abstractplebbit • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 05:47 PM

What else could I have meant lol

[deleted] • 23 points • 19 September, 2017 04:45 AM

Exactly. I read the title and I thought how the rest of the uni hasn't a fucking clue how un-pc engineering is. We be constantly harassing each other.

Cesare\_MA • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 08:50 PM

I'm in engineering too except I go to a very large and liberal school, so the two cancel out. Can't even call people fags or retards anymore like I could back home.

youkickmyd0g • 14 points • 19 September, 2017 01:58 PM

Watch the fuck out when the odd woman does show up, she's going bowling with a harem of thirsty white knights. She'll probably have fuzzy cat ears and beats by dre.

Ratroo • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 08:39 PM

Same in Industrial Safety engineering. There may be 5 girls total in all of my classes this year. Everything is easily taught and the courses are smooth. Girls are just good for fucking and that's about it.

TomMontgomery91 • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 09:49 AM

Like fucking should be! Women today are the ones who are sexist. Trying to get men out of universities were we have belonged for decades. If I ever had a female professor I would ask her the most stupid questions to drag the lectures over time every single time.

BendAndSnap- • 6 points • 20 September, 2017 12:24 AM

The only cool female professors Ive had were the biology and math ones. They were no nonsense, no bullshit teachers. But thats it.

cherryCanSuckMyDick • 2 points • 20 September, 2017 05:05 PM

Never taken any bio classes, but I can confirm the same about math profs.

My theory is that pure maths is too logically oriented and the results are inarguable (a proof is a proof, no room for discussions about hidden biases and sexism when it comes to results), so the idiot women that overrun most soft sciences cant take hold there. As for Bio, its become such an intense reverse sausage fest (what is it, usually 70-90% female these days?) that the women in it cant find men to blame for any failings.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 05:45 PM

As a fellow math major, if I were to say half of the shit I say among my buddies in hard STEM fields (engineering, computer science, math, etc.) while in the presence of people in a more liberal discipline such as psychology, I'd get reported to school authorities. In my own experience (may be different than yours), even the women in male-dominated subjects are more mentally and emotionally tough than the average soy-filled, testosterone-lacking Beta.

abstractplebbit • 2 points • 20 September, 2017 05:51 PM



Yeah that is absolutely true. The hard stem fields are so deep in their subject that no one gives a fuck about political correctness. I'm not sure if we have an abundance of people being specifically anti PC, but it's pretty obvious that Pcness has no room in our departments. The women who manage to be around us don't care about it either because they pull their own weight and hold themselves to the same standard.

yxngdrilla19 • 1 point • 24 September, 2017 07:07 PM

In my psychology there's 17 girls and 4 guys. God help us.

perplexedm • 53 points • 19 September, 2017 07:48 AM

A new study reveals that A fifth of undergrads now say it's acceptable to use physical force to silence a speaker who makes "offensive and hurtful statements."

[https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/a-chilling-study-shows-how-hostile-college-students-are-toward-free-speech/2017/09/18/cbb1a234-9ca8-11e7-9083-fbdfdf6804c2\\_story.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/a-chilling-study-shows-how-hostile-college-students-are-toward-free-speech/2017/09/18/cbb1a234-9ca8-11e7-9083-fbdfdf6804c2_story.html)

dr\_warlock • 26 points • 19 September, 2017 04:09 PM

But they would never do it themselves because they're pussy. Notice the biggest guys like the football players never join these causes. I've seen the majority of undergrads, they're no threat at all. All talk and whining and venting through wannabe tough statements like "Beat the hell out of hate". What a bunch of faggots.

Das\_Gaus • 32 points • 19 September, 2017 12:40 PM

I mean, shit, this is supported by the amount of heroes in the thread yesterday where the Nazi in Seattle got laid out. Apparently we can assault whoever we feel like so long as we have the bullshit moral objections to justify it to ourselves.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 02:50 PM

I haven't read every article on him, but it sounds like he had it coming. He was mouthing off to everyone. Free speech is free speech, and a left cross is a left cross. If you want to protect your free speech you should do it out of the radius of a swing.

wanderer779 • 15 points • 19 September, 2017 07:06 PM

That's fine but don't come crying if they start firing back.

[deleted] • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 03:48 PM

let them try. It just brings more attention to the speaker.

If that speaker just happens to be a former grunt, hilarity will ensue.

Phaeer • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 02:11 PM **[recovered]**

It's reasonable to note that 30% of the males agreed that physical violence was the "right" thing to do against someone making hurtful statements versus 10% women. On the other hand women were overrepresented in thinking that hate speech isn't protected by the fifth amendment.

perplexedm • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 03:34 PM

Men automatically assume they are up against other men. Women anyway never did much in leading civilization.

wanderer779 • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 07:10 PM

In a roundabout way they're saying the same thing. Women are just more "I need some muscle over here".

Thewinsomeserpent • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 03:56 AM

The fifth amendment? The one about not having to testify against one's self in court? Wouldn't the first amendment be more applicable to hate speech?

SanJustSan • 50 points • 19 September, 2017 09:32 AM

There's little doubt about this.

Jordan Peterson's made the point that most political stances are determined by personality traits but that tendency to be politically correct is predicted by being female even after correcting for personality traits.

There's something incredibly uncomfortable about the dominant societal narrative of the moment - that feminine virtues are superior in every way, but that the masculine lifestyle is the way to go. You don't have to think about that one for too long to start seeing some glaring problems.

[deleted] • 39 points • 19 September, 2017 11:01 AM

the world is a mess. Feminine virtues are forced on males and masculine are forced on females. And if that isn't enough, some reject any kind of virtues of any sex.

It's like humanity is bored out of its wits and is just brainstorming shit at this point.

I am concerned for the future if the pendulum doesn't swing back soon, but I suspect it will judging from redpill popularity and some facts of nature.

NSFWIssue • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 08:44 PM

I think you're right, I've wondered about that for a long time. The traits that we isolate and attribute to various groups (masculinity/men, femininity/women, etc) aren't random choices, they're the genetic expression of innate tendencies. But I think that society has become so comfortable and safe that many people just never take the opportunity to develop these traits through hardship or discipline.

I think we've become a society of trained infants.

[deleted] • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 11:29 PM

**Only masculinity is developed or earned.**

Femininity is nurtured.

Well put, most are overgrown infants.

josiasx • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 06:19 PM

The long term goal is to promote pan-sexual (look into Prince.) The complete dismissal of sexual preference and boundaries, with all adults seeing all other adults regardless of gender, as sexual objects.

josiasx • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 06:14 PM

feminine virtues are superior in every way, but that the masculine lifestyle is the way to go

I get your point that it is easy to see the problems. But I can't avoid tripping out on that. So thanks, you gave me something to consider.

Atavisionary • 37 points • 19 September, 2017 03:25 AM

For more information on gender differences in major choice I suggest the articles "women in the university" and "there is no such thing as a worthless degree" (sarcasm). In addition, for how women liberalize societies, check out this paper by John Lott: "how dramatically did women's suffrage change the size and scope of government" In addition, for more on gender differences in intelligence and cognitive abilities, I recommend the book Smart and Sexy by roderick kaine. Here is a very thorough review of the book by roger f devlin, the author of Sexual utopia in power which is featured on the side bar of this subreddit.

FirstNamesMusic • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 07:52 PM

Damn, to be the devils advocate I read the whole thing. I do not believe I can refute anything in that article. Will look again at some point

wanderer779 • 86 points • 19 September, 2017 03:01 AM

I wonder how much damage a team of smart and charismatic uber Chads could do if you sent them to infiltrate these classes and commence redpilling them. I think if I was an old billionaire I'd try this.

lawyer1216 • 83 points • 19 September, 2017 04:37 AM

This could be a fantastic sitcom. 6 chads in a gender studies course

PowerVitamin • 79 points • 19 September, 2017 05:50 AM

Believe it or not, therightstuff.biz tried this a few times. The threshold is exactly 3 in any discussion. 3 right-wing Chads is enough to make any left-wing neo-marxist quiet the fuck up and think about what they've done in their life.

[deleted] • 25 points • 19 September, 2017 01:19 PM

lol that sounds great. Do you have a link?

utopista114 • -1 points • 21 September, 2017 08:26 PM

I am an Old Style Positivist Marxist. SJWs are trash. You will not cure me, because I have this little thing in my pocket called Science. But maybe I will change your views if you are smart enough to understand what I am talking about.

wanderer779 • 18 points • 19 September, 2017 04:51 AM

I was just thinking one Chad per class. Having these guys spending all their energy AMOGing each other is a waste of resources.

RedPillAlphaBigCock • 56 points • 19 September, 2017 08:54 AM

Honestly you don't fix SJW's with redpilling or arguing or facts. I cured a feminist SJW by befriending her and showing her some love. Not in a beta niceguy(tm) way where I wanted something. I was way above her in terms of looks and SMV but I showed her that right leaning guys can be cool. Honestly these SJW girls are just lonely , very sad and messed up in the head.

wanderer779 • 29 points • 19 September, 2017 01:33 PM

Yeah my vision involves flirting and grinning and humor. Not men's rightsy "well ackshually" dudes drying up all the vaginas. Arguments don't matter anyway. We have to beat them on the battleground of feels.

bTHE66 • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 04:56 PM

Same experience here. My ex is in the editorial of an SJW Law journal on the campus. I would blatantly say that I am not a feminist and feminism has got it wrong. She will be cool with it and actually agreed with my words. Will cook for me, swallow and let me do anal. I seldom visit her instagram account and I see post full of SJW material. It's true these SJWs need love. And a real Man.

Whitified • 6 points • 20 September, 2017 12:36 AM

I cured a feminist SJW by befriending her and showing her some love. Not in a beta niceguy(tm) way where I wanted something. I was way above her in terms of looks and SMV but I showed her that right leaning guys can be cool.

I hope you're Christian dude cos you're better at being Jesus than 99.9% of Christians today

NibblyPig • 17 points • 19 September, 2017 08:59 AM

Sexual assault allegations from women that didn't make the cut, I guarantee it. Think how many bitter jealous attention starved HB5s there would be.

dr\_warlock • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 04:11 PM

The women would abandon all their female empowerment beliefs after one class.

Women: yelling obscenities, threats, and vitriol behind the protection of daddy's leg.

ex\_addict\_bro • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 02:20 PM

They're already doing this by letting massive amounts of wild negros in Europe.

HauntedPrinter • 19 points • 19 September, 2017 12:41 PM

It's not like they're not actively trying to pussify STEM subjects anyway. I saw a tech company actively promote that they're looking to hire "only females and people from diverse backgrounds". Quite the encouraging thing to hear during a university course.

ThrowingMyselfOutther • 17 points • 19 September, 2017 02:59 PM

I'd report them, that is illegal.

HauntedPrinter • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 04:42 PM

It is and it isn't. Sure legally and logically they're in the wrong but nowadays it's emotions that seem to be taken more into consideration. Yay PC activists. It's a cowardly move, but it could cost me my degree if I speak up so I'll just wait until they Equifax themselves out of existence. It's a slow but sure process.

bearinfoxhole • 31 points • 19 September, 2017 08:07 AM

I miss 50s, 60s and even 70s. Not only women but the cars were nicer and prices of properties were comparatively affordable.

anonymouse • 17 points • 19 September, 2017 12:49 PM

That followed a major war. We haven't had one of those in a while.

destraht • 4 points • 20 September, 2017 01:25 PM

The Federal Reserve has hallowed out this country. Its not coming back without more years of discomfort

than people are willing to accept. So they'll kick the can further down the road until there is no more road. It takes a long time to bleed out a country, just look at Venezuela. They were doing all of the wrong things ten years ago and they still haven't completely gone to shit yet but merely getting worse all of the time. I'm thinking that the US will have a bizazzo mirror universe Soviet style collapse eventually from complete ideological disillusionment and economic failure.

I\_Need\_More\_Space\_ • 47 points • 19 September, 2017 03:31 AM

Cue Ben Shapiro crushing liberal ideologies. Seriously, y'all should subscribe to his YouTube channel. Great stuff! Ben brings me joy when dismantling leftists.

Most recently he gave a speech at Berkeley, on September 14. Gold.

SpongeDickSemenPants • 21 points • 19 September, 2017 10:40 AM

Ben is straight up gangsta

[deleted] • 13 points • 19 September, 2017 04:00 AM

Perhaps the liberal left of today is a batshit form of it's former self, but can any of you imagine living in Victorian England or the middle ages?

Time for some perspective here.

anonymouse • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 12:42 PM

The cause of liberalisation is industrial progress, liberalisation isn't the cause of industrial progress. If we could some how progress industrially without the inevitable leftward shift we'd be better off. That hasn't happened yet though. It's the natural death of a society to be replaced with another one.

[deleted] • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 04:49 AM

Must have been a weird time period. I imagine most men in that time to be manly as fuck. I guarantee, although women demanded a certain level of pc, men didn't submit like they do today.

ShrimShrim • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 05:12 AM

Well some of them wore makeup and clean white gloves, so it depends where you look. Yea the farmers were manly...but they still are.

Whitified • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 12:42 AM

Victorian England

by Victorian times 1st wave feminism is already in full swing, enabled by beta men, which turned out to be the majority. Still more manly than today perhaps, but a far cry from the men of truly patriarchal societies of the past

Future\_Alpha • 1 point • 30 September, 2017 07:51 PM

be manly as fuck.

Knights were manly as fuck. And by knights, I mean early knights. Knighthood in the later middle ages became a farce and consisted of men wearing gloves. Early Knights where some hardass mofos.

*Cue 200 templar knights destroying 2000 saracens near Jerusalem*

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 September, 2017 10:07 PM

Damn eh. I can understand that. I mean, can't dudes still be "knighted" today? What possible connection to a warrior way of life could thst represent.

Future\_Alpha • 1 point • 1 October, 2017 03:40 PM

I mean, can't dudes still be "knighted" today? What possible connection to a warrior way of life could thst represent.

Yes. In modern times, being knighted carries no connection with the warriors of old, except in name and ceremony.

In the past, a dude could be knighted for being a brave motherfucker, for saving the life of a noble or if there was a need to defend a city (like Jerusalem) or for many other reason. This gave him the right to be a warrior, gave him lands and status.

I\_Need\_More\_Space\_ • 1 point • 26 September, 2017 06:20 PM

Yeah, the perspective is that the Left IS Victorian England. They try to censor free speech. They try to label free speech as hate speech. They are essentially the social Nanny State. The conservatives are the rebels now. And for the 2nd time, we say, "Fuck the Queen and England!" We're starting our own colonies in the new world. The new world being the huge rise of conservative commentators/media outlets as a reaction of the Left trying to silence us. How about that for perspective? Cheers.

OrginalK • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 03:54 AM

Yeah saw that, the way he rekts liberalism is so satisfying to watch

ThePantsThief • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 04:47 AM

Is he still giving the same speech? I watched like three of his videos once and they were all the same speech, more or less. I love him though

indeydius • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 12:39 PM

This.

Some of you guys may not be old enough to appreciate what has happened. Shaming, Shunning, and Scolding (S3) used to be women's tools. Now they are mainstream in social media and the news. PC is catty. It's shaming people and then shifting the field all to make you feel bad about yourself.

The history of this is that men confront the world: hard reality. We need logic because the world is unforgiving. Women evolved for social reality, where you get your way by making someone feel bad about themselves, or Kafka Trapping them into confusion.

WhySoRuff • 20 points • 19 September, 2017 05:24 AM

I don't think it has as much to do with the number of women in those majors as it has to do with what those majors represent.

This is a crude representation but it will have to do:

Psychology = how we think or how to think

Sociology = social consequences of what we do and how it affects society/others

English = language

it seems that with these 3 subjects they have the monopoly on socializing the next generation of bloopers and

feminists.

LakeLake • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 06:27 PM [recovered]

This was the first thing I thought too. A technical course doesn't have the same level of opinion-driven discussion a course in social science or humanities would.

WhySoRuff • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 09:37 PM

You can cherry pick whatever studies or literature you want to push your narrative but technical problems have a finite ways to solve them so it is kryptonite to women and relativists.

[deleted] • 10 points • 19 September, 2017 03:57 AM

The easier to get laid it becomes as well.

SuckMyFist • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 11:48 AM

The Ancient Greeks wrote about females egalitarian, hypocritical and communist attitudes 2500 years ago:

Women in Power is a comedy written by the Greek playwright Aristophanes in 391 BCE.[3] The play invents a scenario where the women of Athens assume control of the government and instate pseudo-communist reforms that ban private wealth and enforce sexual equality for the old and unattractive.

Subservience to females is the Achilles' heel of Western men, it has always been so, but lately this disease of the mind has metastasized, it has weaken our immunitary system to the point that we can die from a cold.

[deleted] • 29 points • 19 September, 2017 03:38 AM

Incoming PC censorship spreading through STEM fields then.

RIP accurate scientific studies

PowerVitamin • 25 points • 19 September, 2017 05:52 AM

The publishers of the information to the public are the ones you worry about. They have been misrepresenting scientific data for decades. Looking at you, IFLscience.

anonymouse • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 12:45 PM

The solution is to read studies directly. Any time you hear about a study, and it's behind a paywall, search it on google scholar, click on all versions to get one that's accessible. Send the direct studies to other people as well. Get in the habit. Start refusing to accept articles about studies or abstracts (means you need to constrain your own arguments in the same fashion) when you're debating someone.

[deleted] • 12 points • 19 September, 2017 07:57 AM

It has already started with all of the minority programs in US universities. Also, remember the guy that got fired from a London university for saying that women are a distraction in the lab?

anonymouse • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 12:45 PM

He was making a joke about how he met his wife, incidentally.

nazis\_are\_socialists • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 03:42 PM

No amount of political correctness, thought policing, or laws can suppress the truth. Think about all of the attempted suppression science has gone through over the centuries. It always wins out due to the inherent



nature of the scientific method.

RedPill\_Swinger • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 03:15 PM

Patriarchy is when a fair amount of resources is distributed unequally.

Matriarchy is when an equal amount of resources is distributed unfairly.

Frenetic\_Zetetic • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 07:50 AM

Spot on observation OP.

Makes total sense. I always observed how women behave more liberally (in the SJW sense of the word, of course), while men are more conservative in their values. Not surprising when you start considering how those ideologies effect behavior.

[deleted] • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 02:10 PM

This is one of those things that is intuitively true, and justs need to go through the study process to validate it in the literature.

Also, this is why **HR is cancer**.

[deleted] • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 05:53 PM

Well that and cultural Marxists actively working to usurp Western culture and traditional values. "Free spirit", rebellious baby boomers and entitled millennials contribute a lot to this. It's funny to see how Generation Z is turning out though. They swing the complete opposite direction on the political spectrum. Guess they see how toxic a liberal, PC culture is and don't want that.

Widowmaker\_Only • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 06:27 PM

No shit, show me a woman between 18-25 years old that isn't a liberal moron who votes on emotions.

frankalliance • 39 points • 19 September, 2017 05:46 AM

Side note: I boo'ed a black comedian making a joke about white privilege today. The crowd was surprisingly receptive and started cracking up laughing. We're making progress out here folks.

[deleted] • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 10:56 AM

was it a sarcastic or genuine booo?

Regs2 • 8 points • 19 September, 2017 03:28 PM\*

So stunning and brave of you to heckle a comedian.

[deleted] • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 01:07 PM

I don't think I understand what you are implying here

frankalliance • 6 points • 20 September, 2017 03:20 AM

Everyone is getting tired of politically correct bullshit. He was trying making a joke assuming we all agreed that white privilege is this horrible thing that needs to be stopped. He paused, then told the audience, "Really? No laughs for white privilege?". Then I boo'ed and everyone laughed. He seemed pissed, but everyone seemed to side with me more that I didn't sit there and take that shit.

This was a mostly liberal crowd too. Like hot white girls with dreads kind of liberals.

melungeonmuscle • 13 points • 19 September, 2017 12:39 PM

I had an English professor say she'd report us to the office for sexism if we called her "ma'am" instead of "Dr". So yea this makes sense.

plybianz • 9 points • 19 September, 2017 04:05 PM

I would call her babe, Everytime

melungeonmuscle • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 08:56 PM

She was an aging feminist so she'd probably love it.

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 02:54 PM

Incidentally, was she a Dr? You should respectfully refer to someone by their title.

telegetoutmyway • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 03:48 PM

Definitely. I've some request not to be referred to as their Dr. title as well, and that's okay too. But calling it sexist because someone was ignorant of or disrespectful to their title? That's sexist.

TeflonShit • 5 points • 20 September, 2017 08:47 PM

Just another lessen of how little worth women are outside of bedroom or the kitchen. This is why America is so fucked up now. We have women teaching our kids it's okay to be a faggot or to pretend you're a girl or to hang around blacks like they'll accept you.

ANd you just know there's a man at the top (probably with a large nose) who's calling the shots with this bullshit. It's not like women got there on their own without a male handler to guide them there. And that fuckhead is probably juggling all of them.

SgtBrutalisk • 16 points • 19 September, 2017 05:23 AM

It doesn't matter if Trump is in the office because the academia leftists are firmly entrenched and keep spreading their poison over decades. Unless the left-right balance is restored in academia, we're just sliding down the slippery slope.

anonlmouse • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 12:48 PM

Not completely true. Betsy Devos ending Title IX shit will be a significant help. We've also got colleges known for SJWism suffering from low enrolment. It's almost guaranteed that Trump will win again in 2020, and highly likely his successor will be a Republican (assuming things continue the way they are now, of course). That means there's a good 8-12 years to create a noticeable shift in how academia functions.

francisco\_DANKonia • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 03:05 PM

I would say it is less likely that Trumps successor is a Republican. Branding skills is what matters most and it is unlikely any Republican will have Trump's branding skills. But maybe they can piggy back off him if they are smart.

anonlmouse • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 03:10 PM

You need to look at prediction models that are 100% accurate, and apply them, not go off your own impressions. If the Republican party gets more seats in 2018 than they have now, Trump is guaranteed re-election. If not, everything has to go right for the Democrats, including a major economic downturn for Trump to lose. With the economy the way it's going now, and overseas military success as Trump delegates to the military instead of making small decisions himself, that

will continue for the 2024 election and the people will elect another Republican.

907Vik1ng • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 06:34 PM

Like all the models that gave Hildabeast 98% chance of winning?

anonymouse • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 07:21 PM

No, the models that are 100% correct. There are two of them, one doesn't work until election year, the other works to varying degrees two years in advance.

francisco\_DANKonia • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 04:17 PM

The models show that the odds of the opposing party winning is high. The parties switch off between presidents more often than not.

anonymouse • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 07:22 PM

If things aren't going well it switches, if they are going well it stays. As is odds favour a Republican win in 2024.

Fedor\_Gavnyukov • 21 points • 19 September, 2017 06:06 AM

Unless the left-right balance is restored

but who's midichlorian count is high enough to bring the balance to the force

slothsenpai • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 03:49 PM

The right would have a better job at reforming the education system if they weren't denial on Evolution or Climate Change.

nazis\_are\_socialists • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:43 PM

Yeah let's get those climate change and evolution deniers back in academia!!!!

Curator\_Debilium • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 07:25 AM

I fully agree with the effect women have on college courses, but the idea that society changes just based on a gender war is an overstatement.

There are many other influences that cause society to lean towards PC. Overextending racial and religious minorities, the activist youth, etc.

Entropy-7 • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 10:37 AM

Not to dis the OP but there is a certain "duh factor" to the idea that more chicks messes with the political compass of any given field.

I was a business major and it was just starting to happen back in late-80s/early-90s. For instance, at the beginning of that period we had "business ethics" and by the end it was "CSR" or "corporate social responsibility", which was a completely different, very PC, female driven thing.

I teach English in China. The vast majority of the line-teachers are women, but more than half the administrators are men. And yes, it is not PC at all. I get away with all sorts of (benign) behaviour that could end me up in hot water back in North America.

Mi9937 • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 06:15 PM

Major in psychology, very true, im the most hated man when in class because i dont filter myself like they want me too but damn to they want me once out of class

max\_peenor • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 01:47 PM

The correlation seems to be very high. So what do you think?

It's even worse. Fields like "Computer Science" skew the numbers heavily. Pull that out of the pack and the divide gets significantly wider. No one treats CS as an engineering discipline any more, which is why women feel far more comfortable in it than other engineering fields.

FirstNamesMusic • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 07:57 PM

Honestly, this problem started with the school of Frankfurt in Germany. They came to America and injected toxic ideals into the public sphere of the US. Women are just more sensitive, whereas a woman is more likely to be afraid to offend ppl and be brainwashed by PC'sm. Men are more likely to say you can fuck right off

PremixedBox • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 02:47 AM

This explains everything about the Frankfurt School.

<https://vimeo.com/234609289>

LawyerInTheMaking • 13 points • 19 September, 2017 03:55 AM

Understandable. it also provides you an easier way to stand out more imo if youre a guy that doesnt care about being political correctness. from my personal anecdote. i come off as very black pill on shit like facebook and twitter where the majority of people that i have on those pages are women. they all know for example how i feel about fatties and make terribly offensive jokes about feminists and stuff like that. however, they also do not try to call me out and put me back in the pc/nice guy box because they know i wont back down to their bullshit. If a bluepill pc betamale did what i did he probably would have gotten in trouble, most ive ever gotten was "youre a fucking asshole" lol. those that know me on a more deeper level know that im very much a sigma male with sides of alpha as i do my own thing and take responsibility for my own actions. ive even had multiple (attractive) women tell me in private that they found the shit i say to be really funny, even though they wouldnt dare do it publicly. Its amazing what you can get away with when you have some back bone, you come to realize that female political correctness on campus is really just a giant shittest.

[deleted] • -10 points • 19 September, 2017 04:02 AM

THis is a great strategy if you never want to see pussy again.

LawyerInTheMaking • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 04:10 AM

im too busy trying to get my body right, my education set, and chase paper. i really dont care about getting pussy right now. im turning 24 this sunday and at this age i see it as the time to set myself up for future success. there will always be new pussy to chase in the future.

sweetleef • 10 points • 19 September, 2017 11:11 AM

[–]LawyerInTheMaking im too busy trying to get my body right, my education set, and chase paper.

Get yourself a bluetooth phone headset and a really big silver watch, and the caricature will be complete. For bonus points, make sure to refer to "bottle service" and "billables" at least once per conversation.

[deleted] • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 04:13 AM

All good ideas, but brother, just don't make it too hard on yourself. Red Pill is one thing. Black Pill is another. If you stare long enough into the abyss, the abyss stares into you. Good luck.

KingCopeCel • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 05:23 AM

The black pill is fucking brutal

SAPPHIREAURA • 19 points • 19 September, 2017 03:55 AM

In addition the men get feminized, more homo sexuality and every other new modern made up type of sexuality pan sexual etc gets promoted and made acceptable. You have pre pubescent boys claiming to be gay and acting flamboyant. Rappers wearing dresses and nail polish...

BluepillProfessor • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 03:06 PM

Women infiltrate male groups and then almost immediately begin policing the language. Women are the ultimate subverters and destroyers. They do it on an individual basis in almost every marriage. They do it on a societal level to destroy competent and useful institutions by making them "female friendly" and anti-male.

chaosmech • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 12:49 PM

While I agree with the conclusion, you have to be careful when presenting this argument. Anybody with a brain could turn it around and point out that perhaps the increasingly politically correct disciplines attract formerly "oppressed" classes like women. They'd say women would be coming in because things are becoming more PC and "safer" for them.

SirAttackHelicopter • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 03:27 PM

This shouldn't be surprising in the least.

GBP4tendies • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 05:18 PM

There's no fucking way 25% of graduating engineering students are females. It was like 5% in my class

Fapisluv • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 09:47 PM

When in a workplace with many women(applys to a class with mostly women),ALWAYS,ALWAYS,avoid saying anything bad about any other coworker/classmate.They will come to you,and start talking about that "bitch" coworker,who did something(really insignificant).Dont reply to that.They will escalate about smth else their coworker did.Dont reply to that either,just nod.If asked about your opinion,ask them back the same question.Anything you say will be used against you.Try to leave the place asap.

weeaboowehrbroo • 7 points • 19 September, 2017 07:06 AM\*

Well political correctness is a form of cultural marxism which was created by the frankfurt school. This is perpetuated by jews who hold powerful positions in society. Women are used to help carry out political correctness, feminism and such to break us down/ divide us and make us weaker.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 10:57 AM

for what purpose?

I already know about the \$ shift they fuel but this?

weeaboowehrbroo • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 11:11 AM

Break us down because if we are weaker we are easier to control. Break down our history, culture, our speech, our men. Then we are easy to manipulate and control.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 11:13 AM

but to what end? If they go too much then they hurt themselves if \$\$\$ is what they are after, cuz already many guys gave up on women and marriage.

Im asking 4 the end game?

weeaboowehrbroo • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 11:31 AM

Total control. Thats the whole point. The jewish/ global elite seek to completely cement their control over the world. Or maybe they just really hate western civilization and want it gone. I don't know. But its whats happening.

destraht • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 01:32 PM

Men are much more likely to pick up heavy objects to kill their oppressors. Its a 2-for-1, enslave 1 man get 1 woman free. Then women get to live in the big house to keep men in line.

nzgs • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 11:53 AM

While I don't deny the correlation presented, the entire basis of political correctness (Marxism) was an ideology created and enforced by men. I believe there is more to it than just female influence. Dr Peterson probably has a lot to say about the psychological roots of totalitarianism and while women no doubt adapted towards that mindset, there is clearly a substantial number of men who have similar personalities.

destraht • 1 point • 20 September, 2017 01:34 PM

Men are more creative. Its no different than a man creating a song for a woman to sing and dance to.

buff\_moustache • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 10:06 AM

Muslim societies arent politically correct? Lol

RPBulletDodger • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 11:51 AM

This "study" is why we can't have nice things.

orcris747 • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:25 PM

Correlation is not causation. That is a typical mistake everyone makes. Outta more likely a feed back loop in that liberalisation leads to greater female involvement which leads to greater liberalisation. Political correctness is false liberalism and may be caused by increased female influence but is likely caused by many factors.

LOST\_TALE • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:44 PM

Finance

Shit I didn't expect it. I'm glad.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 07:23 PM

I thought it was society as a whole became more liberal and PC, thus more women start standing out in correlation to that.

razeus • 2 points • 20 September, 2017 06:06 PM

This is anything, not just college courses.

IE. The NFL.

kidthrowingrocks • 2 points • 20 September, 2017 08:27 PM

This may have already been answered but all this tells me is that "soft" subjects like psychology and sociology have a lot more wiggle room to inject politics and propaganda, and that same freedom doesn't exist in hard sciences. I don't see how political correctness would find its way into thermodynamics, differential equations, or statistics. Seems like this idea is mixing cause and effect.

Atavisionary • 6 points • 19 September, 2017 03:26 AM

For more information on gender differences in major choice I suggest the articles "women in the university" and "there is no such thing as a worthless degree" (sarcasm). In addition, for how women liberalize societies, check out this paper by John Lott: "how dramatically did women's suffrage change the size and scope of government" In addition, for more on gender differences in intelligence and cognitive abilities, I recommend the book Smart and Sexy by roderick kaine. Here is a very thorough review of the book by roger f devlin, the author of Sexual utopia in power which is featured on the side bar of this subreddit.

PanDick • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 04:16 AM

Dude you posted the same comment multiple times.

SgtBrutalisk • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 05:26 AM

Happens when posting via mobile. You write a comment and press post but nothing happens. You press it a dozen times and they all go through at once.

Atavisionary • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 05:51 AM

Not intentionally. My internet was cutting in and out so it got sent multiple times on accident.

littlebittytoy • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 12:07 PM

The first thing that you are taught in a statistics class is that correlation does not equal causation. While it is possible that an increase of women in a class may lead to an increase in political correctness, causation can not be claimed unless an experiment of some sorts has taken place.

ObserverBG[S] • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 01:49 PM

A study actually found that women tend to be more politically correct than men.

<https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/beautiful-minds/the-personality-of-political-correctness/>

littlebittytoy • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 02:40 PM

Thanks for linking that; it was an interesting read! The only potential problem I see is that we don't know whether the sample of people used for the survey can be attributed to the general population because we don't know if it's random or not, but it seems ok to me.

coldfact\_ • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 11:19 AM

So what does this mean for someone like me who is thinking about going back to uni to study psychology?

Psychology is a scientific discipline, I think a lot of girls/women go into it not knowing exactly what it is.



Sounds like I should expect to get fluffed around with a lot of pc crap – but apart from that, what?

youkickmyd0g • 5 points • 19 September, 2017 02:14 PM

How are you going to make money from it?

ThrowingMyslfOutther • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 02:57 PM

If you're ok with being broke and/or in debt for most of your life, go ahead.

coldfact\_ • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 06:06 PM

I'm not American, so the debt issue is not that bad.

I didn't realise that part of the red pill is becoming stinking rich, is that the case?

The reasons I'm thinking about it as a career are that I'm interested by it, and job prospects for the future are good. Salary is average – in my country anyway.

Mr\_Talent • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 01:19 PM

Correlation is not Causation!

If I'm not mistaken, in Russia there are 6 or 7 women for each men (because of the amount of guys who died in 2nd WW). Tell me about how Russia is such a politically correct country.

demographicon • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 02:06 PM

For ages 18-44 there is the same number of men and women in Russia, according to United Nation Population Division (UNPD) estimates for 2015. You can check other age groups on this map

<http://singlesatlas.com/countries/8c0128d924013e20061737fbe9728654956000?by=2015&area=all>

ObserverBG[S] • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 01:29 PM\*

The female / male ratio there is more like 1,2 to 1. Not 6 to 1.

That's not simply about numbers but about level of female influence in a certain area. I suspect that the lack of men in Russia actually increased male influence as it forced women to compete each other over men.

While you can have lots of women in a certain college major, the overall number of men and women in society is similar, so they won't become more feminine just because there aren't enough men in their discipline, because they can easily find men in many other areas.

This is what i saw in one of the comments here: "Jordan Peterson's made the point that most political stances are determined by personality traits but that tendency to be politically correct is predicted by being female even after correcting for personality traits. "

<https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/beautiful-minds/the-personality-of-political-correctness/>

RighteousSouljah • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:48 AM

Thats why the saying goes Men are created in the image of God and women after satan's.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 September, 2017 11:07 AM

Naw man. Ideally speaking Man is created in image of God, and woman was created from a RIB of a man. But take rib as bone, ribcage protects the heart and lungs. The message was - find a woman that will not go against you but hold your heart safely and not defy you. Woman could've been made from any other bone (pun) but she was made from a rib. Also, we have 4 ribs without which we can function pretty ok. So the message was also that a woman isnt vital for a man's life.

The Bible is a masterpiece.

tastycakes147 • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 02:37 AM

Um the study found that the most politically correct disciplines are psychology, sociology, and english but has no reference of the gender discrepancies you provided yourself. Additionally, your reference to US 2017 with "high level of female influence" has no statistical backing either. There is no study provided for this. If you're pulling this data from nowhere, what is your explicit definition for female influence in this case?

Atavisionary • 11 points • 19 September, 2017 03:27 AM

Here ya go.

RealizedGainz • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 12:10 PM

Seems the causation is the other way around. More PC/liberal => More "Feminist"

ktchong • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:53 PM\*

Islam does not exactly fit into the paradigm of Western conservatism vs. liberalism.

Simply put, political correctness is the substitution of class politics with identity politics.

LOST\_TALE • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:53 PM

I thought liberalism meant property rights until you attached it to PC. Call it socialism so no one gets confused.

Shockinglybored • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 04:30 PM

Correlation  $\neq$  Causation. Basic logic.

Dorkan • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 05:31 PM

How do you know it's not reverse? the more politically correct it becomes, the more women like such discipline?

thetrapjesus • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 07:03 PM

PFFFF I'm gonna die ahahahahaha

This makes me feel much better about switching from Neuro (one of the most female heavy majorities of almost any major nationwaide) to comp sci, with a large majority male (not as much as math but fuck that, I wonder what the ratio is is agriculture sciences)

wiseprogressivethink • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 07:43 PM

I think I'm moving to Albania.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 08:22 PM

I really enjoy accounting. Political correctness does not enter my realm.

yxngdrilla19 • 1 point • 24 September, 2017 07:08 PM

Unfortunately I take psychology and sociology. I'm even worried to voice my opinions because I know I'll be immediately shut down.

g8TUNESbra • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 02:15 PM

It's like people don't remember our grand parents were socialists. They created all the big social programs we have today, Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, etc etc.

chambertlo • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 04:30 AM

So, in essence, the participation trophy degrees are the ones to avoid? Got it.

HanzoMainKappa • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 09:36 AM

Does this suggest a medium level of female influence is best?!!!

pallasathena2006 • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 09:20 PM [recovered]

I'm a female and I don't think that's the case. I'm not a liberal and I don't think women tend to be more liberal than men (just in case, most of the liberal/left-wing thinkers are males). I think the fact is, women usually "stick to the dominant rule" more than men, which means that they follow more the common rules and way of thinking without questioning it, while men usually think more outside the box, because they aren't so afraid of being judged as we are (it's more difficult to find a woman who thinks outside the box, and when they do, you won't see them speaking out loud their thoughts). As someone who has lived among women, I can tell that's the case, we don't have a tendency to be more "degenerate" than men. My grandma, for example, is very conservative because of the mindset of her time, while my cousins are pretty liberals, because that's the norm today.

Sorry for my bad english, hope you understand what I was trying to say.

jethreezy • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 09:53 PM

I'm not a liberal and I don't think women tend to be more liberal than men

Women on average score significantly higher on the Agreeableness trait of the Big Five, which manifests itself in more nurturing behavior, so en mass, their political inclinations tend to drive society towards a more care-based moral system, which almost invariably means liberal policies (welfare state, big government, looser borders, etc.)

pallasathena2006 • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 10:22 PM

I think it also depends where you come from. I hear a lot of males complaining on how the women from North-America and Europe are almost unbearable, while here in South-America things are starting to get similar, thanks to the leftists. On the other hand, I hear people talking good things about the eastern-european and asian women.

pallasathena2006 • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 10:18 PM

Welfare state and big government aren't liberal policies, quite the opposite. Women may have a softer behaviour than men, if that's what you mean, but I think my statement is correct too.

jethreezy • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 10:51 PM

Welfare state and big government aren't liberal policies

That's merely a semantic difference. You know full well what that liberalism of the modern day, particularly in western societies, no longer refer to the classical liberalism, whose closest modern analogue would be "libertarianism", which itself has also become extremely ill-defined.

What's not in question though, is that increased female political participation and influence lead towards increasing the size of the government and the welfare state.

pentakiller19 • -1 points • 19 September, 2017 07:28 AM

I think we need more women everywhere then.

yaharon • -1 points • 19 September, 2017 09:42 AM

It's not just women. The Jewish influence is particularly important. For example the Christian andalusian society became degenerate and weakened when Jews became prominent in society, which led to a fractured state that Muslims invaded. Then the Jews rose to prominence in Muslims Spain which weakened and fractured the Muslims states, which were recaptured in the reconquista. In both downfalls of society the Jews turned on their masters in favour of the invaders. Castillan Spain was vehemently anti semitic after that and they remained a strong empire for a long time.

THETRUMPTRUTHTRAIN • -5 points • 19 September, 2017 04:20 AM

Universities and PC SHIT have become the anti- Christ to a true education which includes all information NOT JUST ONE SIDE ALL BITH SIDES. They literally are destroying education AND OUR COUNTRY with this shit.

ShrimShrim • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 05:10 AM

Not really, people are stupid enough to get useless degrees so businesses (schools) capitalized on that. Universities aren't the problem, they're just doing what all profitable institutions do.

DerConsul • 1 points • 19 September, 2017 03:34 AM **[recovered]**

Go women! All for the better. Fight close minded conservative believes and bring progress to society.

[deleted] • 12 points • 19 September, 2017 04:04 AM

There's nothing wrong with fighting the stupid side of conservatism, just as there's nothing wrong with pointing out the externalities of feminism and shit-stupid, morally relativistic liberal policy.

PowerVitamin • -5 points • 19 September, 2017 05:55 AM

More important than that is representing your identity in a world where you will be continuously marginalized should you not participate.