

# The consequences of men failing society wide shit tests

1152 upvotes | 19 October, 2017 | by [deleted]

---

## Dominance/Fitness Tests

As Jordan Peterson outlines in [this](#) video, men test ideas and women test men. Women test men to see if they truly have the behavioural traits that indicate they can move up dominance hierarchies. Mating with those types of men ensures their offspring will add value to the tribe and live long enough to have children of their own. If you pass these tests you will enjoy a pleasant demeanor and lots of sex, if you fail these tests you will suffer through god only knows what.

Now remember, women test men to find out which men have the right '**potential**'. They aren't necessarily attracted to men at the top of dominance hierarchies, they're attracted to men who have *behavioural traits that indicate they can reach the top of dominance hierarchies*. From an evolutionary perspective, you're much better off ensuring your offspring will have the *potential* to reach the top of a dominance hierarchy rather than looking for guys who are already at the top. This is why many men who are rich CEOs have old, ugly wives who treat them like garbage and drug dealers have hot as fuck women chasing them around.

## Compliance Tests and the #MeToo Campaign

If you've read the sidebar you should know most of what I've said already. Most of you should also already know that women test men on a more macro scale as well through feminism. But the true extent of how feminism is a society wide shit test isn't being completely understood or appreciated in my opinion. Women are taking to online social networks with a #MeToo campaign as a power play strategy to castrate men further and ensure they will be believed whenever they make an accusation without needing the burden of proof. A lot of women's power lies in their ability to *accuse men* and manipulate men. Nature had to give them something to counter-balance the physical strength difference between the sexes. This movement gives them a lot more power.

Now remember, women want two things from a guy, value and commitment. Value makes her attracted to you and ensures you're an alpha and commitment ensures you'll stick around to provide/protect/help raise offspring. Both are vital and *increasing one will decrease the other*. Women are now demanding men need to just listen, learn and call out other men who show signs of 'misogyny'. It's all a compliance test. It's just like your girl wanting you to open up emotionally, or stop lifting so much because she doesn't care if you get a potbelly, or stop working so much and hanging out with your friends because you never spend enough time with her. It's all an attempt to train you into lowering your value as much as possible to ensure your commitment to her. That's all this is, and men are kowtowing to it. *We're collectively lowering our value in the eyes of women. Women act as bad as you let them, and boy are we letting them act terribly.*

You should also know that two of women's most important motivations in life are:

1. Never fuck a beta male
2. Fuck an alpha male

This is why women feel so strongly about rape/assault/harassment. Generally speaking, men are at a constant state of 'yes'. We're pretty much DTF as long as the sex will be consequence free. Women are

not like that since they're the *selectors*. They need to decide first whether or not you're *worthy* of touching her and being intimate with her. If you over-ride her ability to choose by groping/assaulting her in some way you just took away her *power*. A big part of their power lies in their ability to choose who gets to propagate their genes and who doesn't, and that power is entirely contingent on men respecting that ability to choose in the first place. Since women are increasingly viewing men as beta males, they're starting to feel even more disgusted when men look at them a certain way or dare to encroach on their space in public. They view that as taking something of theirs without earning it. *Women will not stop until all men they view as betas are completely out of sight whilst simultaneously worshipping their every move.*

---

## Marxism: The devouring mother - Nazism: The tyrannical father

---

The [Marxists VS Nazis](#) we're seeing play out in the west is almost like this weird macro level archetypal battle. The Marxist social constructionist viewpoints and their lambasting of the 'patriarchy' is in my opinion a direct result of women viewing men as beta males and thinking of them as beneath them. When Kit Harrington goes on a talk show, the entire crowd of women lose their fucking tits. Whereas when Jessica Alba goes on a talk show, men just sit and clap. Women view alphas with complete and utter reverence and they view betas with complete and utter contempt. This is obvious when looking at it from an evolutionary standpoint. And when men continually fail these society-wide tests, women start to view the majority of men as beta males which means *they start viewing anything masculine with contempt*. I believe this is very much related to the increase in Marxism as well as men joining these weird fringe alt-right groups in retaliation. This political/culture war is a complete mess and it's all due to men not being reared properly and not knowing how to act right.

If men were reared properly, went through rites-of-passages, knew how to not be little fucking pussies then we wouldn't be dealing with any of the identity politics or cultural wars right now. Men are turning to these dangerous online communities/ideologies to find some level of comradery, structure and purpose. They weren't raised properly and haven't been following their biological instincts at all. They need somewhere to direct all that pent up masculinity. This can be very dangerous and it's why I think it's important for places like TRP to exist. People may view TRP as one of those dangers online communities/ideologies, but they're completely mistaken. TRP can provide a better understanding of the world to help rid men of the confusion and lack of direction they're facing without having to resort to joining extreme political ideologies.

---

tl;dr - Be vigilant of the ever-increasing amount of society wide shit testing that is happening all across the west. Take note of all the implications and how to *not* be indoctrinated into being a little castrated pussy.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

GoCleanYourRoom • 467 points • 19 October, 2017 02:24 PM\*

Jordan Peterson is essential viewing for young men. You don't really have to agree with all of his ideas but even the basic ones would go a great way to increase your value as a person and improve your self esteem.

1. Speak the truth and uphold free speech. This is fundamental.
2. Clean your fucking room.
3. Get a job.
4. Educate yourself.read books.
5. Take responsibility for your own actions and stop blaming other people for your lack of success.
6. Get some friends.
7. Get some hobbies.
8. Have a mission and set yourself worthwhile goals.
9. Stay away from ideology in all forms.
10. Listen to other people and learn to argue your points and articulate yourself.

sigma272 • 141 points • 19 October, 2017 04:24 PM

Stay away from ideology in all forms.

That is going to be tough, considering that staying away from ideology is an ideology of sorts in itself. In my limited experience, this kind of tactically detached centrism comes from a fear of being wrong and intellectual laziness.

SelfUnmadeMan • 181 points • 19 October, 2017 04:59 PM

What he means is:

Form your own opinions. Don't follow some kind of ideological mold.

If you lack critical thinking skills, staying away from other people's ideology entirely might be the best course for you.

Glacierdust • 39 points • 20 October, 2017 12:43 AM

You're absolutely right (and you have a fitting username). Nietzsche basically said the same thing in his time. He told us that God is dead and what that means is that the traditional moral code of society hinging on religion is obsolete. Nietzsche believed that the greatest thing for man to become is an "overman" (I.e. the best possible version of yourself). One of the steps on this journey involves the rejection of ideology and of *all* societal values. Not because they're bad (because only some are) but because they're not *yours*. Later on in the journey, you form your own values based on your newfound knowledge and some of those values may very well be the same as the ones you previously flattened but this time around you know for sure that you implemented them and you also know *why* you implemented them.

[deleted] • 4 points • 20 October, 2017 04:12 AM

If you lack critical thinking skills, you should read about formal argumentation and logic; ideally some basic epistemology as well.

This exemplifies an oft-repeated trp theme: what we see as qualities or traits inherent to the individual

are often merely skills that can be learned, practiced, and integrated.

SelfUnmadeMan • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 07:03 PM

True story. Should have written "until you develop them."

GoCleanYourRoom • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 09:41 PM

This is essentially what i meant

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 08:52 AM

A lot of people fall in that trap because they need to feel part of something. Years later after they got screwed and left the "movement" they always mention how they knew from day 1 that something was wrong but they didn't want to be alone so they stayed.

zane17 • 15 points • 19 October, 2017 06:01 PM

His point is that ideologues are lazy because they offer one-size-fits-all answers to every question in life. Most ideologies are right some of the time, but none are right all of the time. The more fringe an ideology is, the less often it is right.

The reality of our society and the world determines which ideologies are mostly correct in their prescriptions - but the ground under us is constantly moving.

Psilotheos • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 12:36 AM

Tectonic plates are a bastard

GoCleanYourRoom • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 04:48 PM

Point taken. I meant more to not get sucked in to a particular narrative and fail to **listen** to opposing viewpoints. You should seek out conversations that challenge your arguments and assumptions to make sure they are valid and strong.

red\_matrix • 12 points • 19 October, 2017 07:51 PM

Most guys are capable of listening to opposing views and making a decision. Women on the other hand parrot whatever ideology is popular - back in the 50s communism/Marxism was sacrilegious, today it's en vogue.

Fyrjefe • 11 points • 19 October, 2017 05:10 PM

I agree with this. I think "test everything" is a better alternative. Seeing where the results lead will tell you a lot about the ideology. Test could mean, "understand", "ask questions". Not necessarily doing our following. I feel these are ways to engage in intellectual vigilance. Your thoughts?

GoCleanYourRoom • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 10:24 PM

Yes. I think i should add that unfortunately speaking the truth gets you labelled as a racist, misogynist, bigot etc. Its important to test your ideas but voicing them infront of an emotive audience will bring you trouble (google memo for example). I like the idea of intellectual vigilance.

6\_-j • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 08:22 PM

intellectual laziness

Well, that makes it clear you've never listened to any Jordan Peterson.

Rollo\_Mayhem3 • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 07:29 PM

Trust but verify. Ideology is fine if you vet it. For example I worked for a libertarian. I was constantly getting into discussions that turned into arguments. Often, someone would take a viewpoint to an extreme. Remember, the whole decriminalization of drugs will mean 9 years would be getting high on heroin...well, you have to be able to defend a viewpoint from multiple angles, if you cannot, then I would not espouse an ideology. So don't go off spouting TRP, if you have not read and understand it first. At that point you'll realize weaknesses or incompleteness in those theories. That's nuance.

Onlyhalfjewish • 1 point • 31 October, 2017 01:07 AM

Motivated skeptics deconstruct ideas. Key word: deconstruct, not construct. The world is desperate for idealism, the bane of skeptics everywhere. No belief system is perfect, and building a passionate community requires some element of group-think. Individualized skepticism is nice for avoiding pitfalls, but most successful communities avoid this sort of masturbatory contrarianism.

[deleted] • 0 points • 20 October, 2017 01:02 PM

It would be really hard to miss the point any more then you just did.

I mean it's physically possible, but difficult.

Far\_From\_The\_Fame • 15 points • 19 October, 2017 10:23 PM

11) Do what you know you should be doing.

One of the greatest things you can do for yourself is to recognize that most bad decisions you make are not legitimate dilemmas but just bullshitting. Admitting to yourself that you mostly know what's good and bad for you and that you will mostly move forward in life if you don't overthink things and just get to work is one of the most powerful tools you can have.

Nothing you do will be easy, but don't lie to yourself and say you're trying your best. Nobody always tries their best, but the closer one gets to doing so is the closer one gets to actual, legitimate satisfaction with life.

wavy\_lines • 1 point • 24 November, 2017 03:23 AM

Can confirm. I often already know what I need to do but just worried about what other people would think. Every time I don't care about that and just go ahead and do my thing anyway, things seem to go well. Every time I hesitate to take action because of "what would other people think?", things don't go well.

empatheticapathetic • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 09:02 PM

I am depressed as fuck and the only thing i'm lacking there is have a [real] mission. I don't fucking care about anything and i actively hate doing the things i used to do that i considered my mission.

MessianicJuice • 22 points • 19 October, 2017 11:02 PM

Jordan Peterson, in his current role as internet philosopher-king, is simply a motivational speaker. He's the Tony Robbins of 2017. I'm sure he's furiously writing a mass-market book as we speak.

Now, that's not to say that he's not worth listening to. Sure, he's got some genuinely good ideas and points. But he's not infallible, he's not omniscient, and he's not the be-all end-all. Peterson professes a pragmatism that has long been part of American culture; the only reason why he's striking a chord with so many is that that style of pragmatism has been less openly advocated in the past couple decades. The

reason why pragmatic pull-yourself-together-man-ism hasn't been stressed is because it was assumed to be common knowledge by our parents' generation; it is the cultural subtext in the West, particularly in America. When the Baby Boomers implemented things like the self-esteem movement and participation trophies, it was in response to the failures of inconsiderate pragmatism. There are massive flaws with living exclusively as Peterson promotes; there's a sort of vacuous, non-reflective quality that comes if you devote yourself exclusively to external pragmatism. Pragmatism and conservatism lead to reactionary-ism, elitism, hierarchy and consumerism-materialism.

As with all things, there is a balance required. If you have your shit sorted and are still depressed as fuck, look into existentialism and some of the more left-wing philosophies. They give you the why, where the right-wing stuff tells you how.

Shiresy77 • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 11:41 PM

Jordan Peterson actually is writing a book, 12 Rules for Life: An Antidote to Chaos. It will be on sale January 23rd, 2018.

chopcult • 4 points • 22 October, 2017 04:14 PM

To be sure Peterson is a motivational speaker but he is much more than that. He is able to articulate how and why people are motivated and can speak clearly and directly to those motivations.

His pragmatism is born of modern behavioral study that identifies and codifies the big 5 personality traits. He warns of the danger of rewarding unduly some trait personalities over (and exclusion of) the others. To do so leads to an unnatural imbalance that at its worst can (and has) led to genocide.

But his true genius was to recognize that productive and creative human endeavors almost exclusively fall under a Pareto distribution and not the typical bell curve. Hence most humans tend to stack up near the bottom of creative and productive output.

The left and right have been able to mobilize their natural personality trait allies against those few at the top of the Pareto distribution, the elites and/or the rich, to capitalize on the inherent resentment of the "masses".

JBP by articulating these ideas in a meaningful way has distilled the resolution to these toxic ideologies by turning to yourself. Improve yourself, strive to be a better you, clean your damn room. Very RP at its heart.

Once you've done that maybe try to extend that knowledge to others. It's pragmatism that works and has since the dawn of humankind.

[deleted] • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 11:32 PM

Found the older moderate. Good points too.

mikesalami • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 08:14 PM

I wouldn't take those 10 rules as a solution to depression or anxiety or whatever. You could be the most successful, organized, clean, etc. person and still be unhappy (which isn't really that uncommon). Breaking down depression to a 10 step list is omitting a world of potential issues which could cause someone to be depressed. Maybe your dad told you you were a horrible person as a kid and you've held on to that your whole life. No amount of organization or achievement will erase that feeling unless you go to the core and release it at its root. That's just one of a million examples.

I'm not saying his list isn't important, but there are many things far beyond what's in that list that can make a person happy or miserable.



189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 08:57 AM

You realize pragmatism means doing what's more convenient right? which is what this sub is about

empatheticapathetic • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 04:06 AM

Always been more of a left leaner until TRP and started developing some more discipline and order. But always identified with nihilism. TRP has solidified that and now I'm just here, existing, wondering why and what for most of the time. Most things seem out of reach and I was never particular bothered about them anyway.

You mind if I ask what your mission is?

BokehClasses • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 09:24 PM

You clearly don't have a passion, you need to find it. How? Well, just keep trying new things until you find it. For me and many other men, it combat sports.

Now that you have your passion, you will get satisfied from becoming the best you can be at your passion.

You have to be selfish. This world gives 0 fucks about you. Do not help other people if you aren't making money. Heros never win. Fuck this world. It's garbage.

empatheticapathetic • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 09:32 PM

Yep I agree entirely. Music used to be the mission but that's beyond dead now. I still do it but it's pure apathy now. Keeping an open(er) mind. What sports are you into specifically.

BokehClasses • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 09:59 PM

Boxing. The best way to start is to spend the first 6 months (at least) getting the fundamentals down before sparring. Otherwise you will be eating lots of power shots and go home with a very bad head ache.

If you don't want to risk getting hurt, try BJJ.

All combat sports are amazing. Things that look so easy to the naked eye turn out to be really difficult to pull off in practice, which makes the satisfaction that much greater when you do pull it off.

And it doesn't matter if you are fat or skinny. Guys who are horribly out of shape come into the gym all the time. Most people don't judge because people realize everyone starts from the bottom.

empatheticapathetic • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 11:33 PM

Cheers man, I'm just looking for as many suggestions as possible really. An acquaintance offered to take me boxing training a few years ago but I didn't really have the time although he successfully sold the idea to me. Not sparring but just the training.

I was on holiday a couple months ago and decided I wanted to start getting into fighting as a sport after a great week with a lot of physical activity. Just not sure where to start and haven't really looked into it yet. Seen BJJ mentioned quite a bit on here and by a couple people in person who really enjoy it. Will look into that as well.

Cheers for the comment.

Turkerthelurker • 1 points • 20 October, 2017 11:05 PM **[recovered]**

What do you mean beyond dead now? Dead because making it big is next to impossible?

If so, that was never an obtainable goal. Doesn't mean money can't be made teaching music, performing bars and local venues, or playing in a church band on weekends.

empatheticapathetic • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 11:07 PM

Nooo bro dead as in I just fucking hate sitting down and playing an instrument by myself or with friends. I'm playing a big show tomorrow with one of my bands. I don't give a fuck and never do. Looking forward to the social aspects and opportunities but that's it.

shaggyctes88 • 2 points • 21 October, 2017 12:38 PM

Combat sport helped saving me from crippling depression and help a close friend too.

BreakingRed\_ • 3 points • 24 October, 2017 08:13 AM

"real mission" is a fucking myth, like "the right one" is the myth that leads to oneitis. Both finding your passion and finding the one for you are bullshit feel good myths around chasing a unicorn.

Check out Cal Newport's "So good they can't ignore you" Google talk. Everyone simply finds an interest and builds career capital that furthers his self-improvement goals enough until he achieves financial freedom.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 11:02 PM

Nothing about the future of humanity gets you going? Look at Elon Musk as a role model. Helps me out.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 08:38 AM

The dude married a confirmed gold digger who tried to ruin her last husband.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 7 points • 20 October, 2017 08:40 AM

We talking about purpose or sexual strategy? In terms of purpose Elon is a great role model.

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 08:54 AM

If you're really that bad I would recommend professional help if you can afford it

TBH trying to fix severe depression on the internet is like trying to assemble a space shuttle with a screwdriver.

[deleted] • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 09:02 PM

The only ideology worth holding is 'get yourself together'. I totally agree with Peterson's view that the best way to contribute to the world is to get your own life together.

bleuge • 4 points • 21 October, 2017 10:45 AM

Why must i care to contribute to the World? I often see this "credo" all around. Can i just live my life as i like, not caring about anything but me, my ideas and my things. And wander this world of beauty taking what i can until i ide?

I am not telling this is what i do, or that everyone must be so.

I just want hear some valid arguments about this.

Redjatis • 2 points • 24 October, 2017 01:54 AM



Well, either you care more about the world's success as a whole, or your own.

If you are one whom care's more about the world than oneself, then the best way to help the world is to "Get yourself together."

If you are one whom cares more about oneself. Then your best strategy for loving, and experiencing life would be to "Get yourself together."

People often speak of it's contribution to the world, because the majority of people care about this more, or the person speaking themselves hold this view. Irregardless, the message is the same.

Camp\_KillYourself • 12 points • 19 October, 2017 07:17 PM

I've listened to quite a few of his videos on youtube. He says some things I like and some things I dislike, specifically as it relates to the "fairer" sex.

For example, he has attacked MGTOW as just losers that are trying to rationalize their inability to find a mate and that not ALL women are capable of this (goes against AWALT). Fact of the matter is, feminism has removed any leash on these whores that once was and yes, they can and DO get away with basically anything.

Next, he seems to think women initiate divorces 70% because it's possible that men are impossible to deal with. Really? Not because they can easily win in court and get their way every time?

He seems quite delusional in regards to female nature. He would prefer us to go out and kill each other in the dominance hierarchy so women can choose their tip-top alpha from the 10 - 15%.

lopsidedlucky • 10 points • 20 October, 2017 07:02 AM

You've got real points and you've seen Peterson with a critical mind. Good for you on that. Most here take everything he says as gospel.

I agree with him attacking mgtow, that's basically taking your ball and going home instead of getting laid.

Now he does have some blue pill ideas on women. You're statement about "men being impossible to deal with" is beta. He does sometimes attack men and believe women as the fairer sex. All of that is blue pill.

Were he does shine though is his discussion on male female polarity and speaking the truth on male competition.

You may get a few downvotes for this but it's good you see both sides of Jordon Peterson.

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 09:01 AM

that's basically taking your ball and going home instead of getting laid

Its about not getting married, you're thinking about incels and r9k

And it's your, not 'you're'

Koryphae\_ • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 07:44 AM

So you think all of the initiations of divorce, the whole part of 70%, is JUST BECAUSE women want to commit divorce rape on a man? In this case you are delusional.

lopsidedlucky • 3 points • 21 October, 2017 02:43 AM

That's clearly not what he said and you look like a child trying to say that is what he said.

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 08:59 AM

Lets be honest the guy is a feminist-lite, the problem is that today's feminists are deranged and so they make him seem reasonable

In reality he offers no actual solutions to the problems we currently face as men, most of his advice can be summed up as "suck it up it's your fault"

PeggedByOwlette • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 04:31 PM

You forgot his most important lesson, stop with the lies and only speak your truth.

BokehClasses • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 09:14 PM

If you don't have an idealogy you are lost or will be lost in the future, often without realizing it. It's the same reason why religion is such a powerful positive tool. You need a set of beliefs and rules that stick with you and that will ground you in the hard times to come.

rogervermin • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 05:46 PM

Peterson is a gynocentric turd. I also think TRP intermingles a lot with the alt-right, though not as much as dick-carousel riders intermingle with the alt-left.

<https://www.avoiceformen.com/gynocentrism/the-gynocentrism-of-jordan-peterson/>

PranksterLad • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 07:28 PM

I want to know, what is essential when it comes to Jordan Peterson viewing and how do I view. Do you say the podcasts are essential? What exactly is essential? Tell me?

Im\_a\_Mime • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 10:33 PM

Learn to discuss points. Arguing is a waste of time.

NibblyPig • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 09:52 PM

6. is the most difficult, the rest are child's play in comparison

Fyrjefe • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 04:35 PM

Loneliness is definitely an internet trope but I sense there is something deeper to this comment. Finding genuine friends is difficult. People from school or work don't often become strong friends. It takes time and energy to find them and also maintain social upkeep. It's all worth it though.

NibblyPig • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 04:48 PM

The thing about trp is you get what you put in, and like any driven man if I want or require something I put effort in to get it. But creating genuine friends is extremely difficult because most people you meet don't want a deep friendship, and more effort does not equal more results. It's like planting seeds, once you've got a few on the go only time will tell if you can create a strong friendship, or if they never bloom. And you can't put in extra effort to get a better result. You can go out every night to social events but you will just be planting more seeds which will require even more time and energy to cultivate.

Fyrjefe • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 05:10 PM

I totally get that. That's an apt analogy as well. Getting involved in a "community" is another avenue worth trying. When people meet regularly, you can more easily make sure the earth is

getting water. Mere social events people come and go. Good luck in your pursuit for genuine friends. I can say I only have a couple.

NibblyPig • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 05:28 PM

Thanks. It's by far the most difficult thing to do.

189econs • 0 points • 3 November, 2017 08:49 AM

Speak the truth

That's not always convenient, and most people don't do it anyway

Not saying you should lie out of your ass, that's too obvious

2,3,4 are basic, don't want to meet the kind of people that need to be told to do this

5 well, sometimes its not your fault, lets not fall into BS like 'the secret'

6 is easier said than done, unless by friends you mean "facebook friends"

7 and 8 see 234

9 I think you mean "don't be a fanatic"

10 is in contradiction with 9 because some people are not worth listening to and trying to argue with them is pointless

jackandjill22 • -1 points • 19 October, 2017 11:56 PM

This is definitely the "basics" list but thanks.

max\_peenor • 265 points • 19 October, 2017 01:50 PM

Never fuck a beta male

No no. It is: never fuck a beta male more than necessary to secure resources. This is how a billion shitty marriages are forged.

And there are no beta males. There are beta traits and alpha traits.

JesusTheThird3 • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 05:02 PM **[recovered]**

There are definitely beta traits. And inferior genetics.

You need to make the kid, nurture the kid, and protect the kid.

When it comes to who plants the seed, it is alpha genetics. When it comes to who provides for the kid, any beta will do or the collective society of betas through tax dollars.

When an 'Alpha' is dragged through dirt to pay 18 years of child support, it is because he fucked up, lost his alpha status to the Alpha gank in robes and blue uniforms who now extort him for resources.

When it comes to who should protect the kid, an alpha male is required. One upon a time it was required for the alpha to be around. But now, the men in robes and blue uniforms can be the 'Alpha' who protects the kid.

Unless you are living in war torn Somalia, western society has women covered as far as providing for their children as well as protecting them.

Only missing component? Genetically superior alpha seed.

Most women don't NEED vanity and nice thing, they only WANT them. Which is why marriages are in the shitter.

The general no Alpha males but only alpha traits argument is utter garbage spoon fed to people so they 'don't give up.'

The bar for making the cut genetically has gone higher exponentially since online dating. which is why Tinder is full of 2s-5s who are absolutely repugnant but still get a fucking on the regular.

The percentage of people who will die without spreading their seed in the West will be alarmingly high in the west. These are your incels, MGTOW, everyone has a coping mechanism.

TL;dr: nature doesn't give up. The top genetics will spread, (you can have kids through means of intelligence and cleverness so it's not only looks) and the next generations will continue to become taller, stronger, smarter and more clever.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 16 points • 19 October, 2017 11:06 PM

Well, those Silicon Valley 'betas' are going to build AI and VR to let all the worlds betas fuck virtual / robot girls. Once they're good enough, and can emulate human intelligence and be better than 99% of women's personalities too, good luck, women.

All those sexually frustrated men are going to be a goldmine for making top quality GFBots

lopsidedlucky • 12 points • 20 October, 2017 07:06 AM

They're already doing this in Japan with life like silicon dolls bro. Husbands work in the cities and the wives know they're fucking a plastic doll. You can have one too, look them up on alibaba.

JesusTheThird3 • 1 points • 20 October, 2017 03:15 AM [recovered]

Another coping mechanism. Bots to replace humans? Have you ever even hugged another person?

Sex isn't even about fucking, it is about dominance. Having a superb fleshlight with arms and legs isn't going to cure your retardation.

This is why people think TRP is stupid.

BokehClasses • 5 points • 20 October, 2017 10:25 PM

Look at how far we have come with smartphones in 20 years. You are in for a big surprise if you don't believe sex bots can replace humans.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 10 points • 20 October, 2017 03:21 AM

Let me know what you think in 30 years. You underestimate the progress of technology and AI coming around the corner.

Hjalmbere • 22 points • 19 October, 2017 07:11 PM\*

"When it comes to who plants the seed, it is alpha genetics. When it comes to who provides for the kid, any beta will do or the collective society of betas through tax dollars." - The genetics favored by women who live in a society where the state acts as the provider will be the irresponsible good looking impulse driven men. But if this r-selection behavior becomes too prevalent, economic output will drop and there will not be enough money around for generous welfare, which in turn will lead to k-selection type behavior rewarding beta males. Will take a generation or two though.

We live in interesting times.

Dragon\_Garoo • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 10:50 PM

Consider how this would look, if continued unabated:

Women pick the mates. Men fuck whenever they can, eventually, they won't even have to pay child support. All men will be working stiff, taxed to the max, and the state will support child rearing.

Women will be predisposed to NOT having men, and when they wake up and realize they need them to support the state, well, Islam or some other actual patriarchy will have moved in, taken over and shifted the pendulum back to the other side.

Hjalmbere • 7 points • 20 October, 2017 06:52 AM\*

The welfare state and 3-gen feminism have produced two different attitudes among women in the native Western populations: 1) Choosing career over family and kids for the more educated women. 2) Welfare moms carelessly breeding with bums at the lower end of the sexual marketplace.

For males with low SMV one coping strategy is mail order brides, another is MGTOW.

Some western governments for different reasons have tried to augment falling birth rates by importing people from 3rd world countries. Most of these immigrants come from endogamous cultures (mostly Islam) considerably more patriarchal than contemporary western society. They are resistant to change.

How does the above affect sexual strategy in the near to medium term future?

1. More plates for high SMV men.
2. More post wall bitter alpha widows who weren't able to snag a high SMV partner to breed with. These women are the driving force behind campaigns such as #metoo where they lash out at men. If all it takes to ruin someone's career is for some jilted post wall slag to post a tweet about some off hand comment five years ago, then even high SMV men are in trouble.
3. In countries with high immigration rates there will be more competition for mid- and low range SMV women since the male to female ratio becomes skewed in the favor of women. This due to the fact that Muslims keep their own women off the casual sex market while at the same time competing for plates. Also, recent immigrants are mostly male.

What will happen in the long term? Maybe demographic shifts will have turned parts of Europe into Lebanon. Red pill countries like Poland and Hungary will still retain a lot of their original culture however.

189econs • 2 points • 3 November, 2017 09:04 AM

economic output will drop and there will not be enough money around for generous welfare

We're already seeing this, temporarily cheap debt is the only thing keeping this system afloat

smyger • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 11:23 PM

k-selection? This is underground TRP material lol !!

fromthecrypt8 • 12 points • 19 October, 2017 07:08 PM

What a grim and terribly accurate account of the 2017 mating scene.

ioncehadsexinapool • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 06:37 PM

The human race isn't perfect. Its attraction is not completely equal to prestige genetics.

max\_peenor • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 06:38 PM

Only missing component? Genetically superior alpha seed.

I have uttered the following words entirely too many times: "Stop fucking with the gene pool."

Women don't always make the best determination on their traits either. Often the wrong genes sneak by, because the edgy fag managed to dance "real hot" one night. (Actual words said to me one.). Calculations need to be adjusted for these errors in the system.

Konqii • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 08:06 PM

"The bar for making the cut genetically has gone higher exponentially since online dating, which is why Tinder is full of 2s-5s who are absolutely repugnant but still get a fucking on the regular."

Would you mind elaborating on this? I don't understand your point here.

empatheticapathetic • 14 points • 19 October, 2017 09:09 PM

A woman who is a 6 is only looking for top tier men now, opposed to in the past where she'd have to settle with someone closer to her SMV. Because the state has replaced the need for a BB, she is only interested in upper tier men, causing the men close to her SMV to have to fuck with lower quality women, the 2-5's.

yomo86 • 6 points • 20 October, 2017 04:54 PM

This on the other hand is also extremely bad for women. The 6 might score the male 9 but this bond in general will not secure commitment. The alpha widow is then a default state for any girl over 22.

empatheticapathetic • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 04:56 PM

...enjoy the decline! (As best you can)

Dragon\_Garoo • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 11:16 PM

Not only this, but the law is on her side, should she decide to beta buy any one of these higher tier fuck buddies, in order to have a child. She doesn't HAVE to choose someone that will stay with her, just someone that will pay for the next 25 years. That alone, can keep her in gravey and part time jobs, until she gets to a point where the kids she states and one of her guys has paid for, can afford to help her out.

More and more, the nuclear family is gone, tons of the women I see on dating apps are in their 30's, looking for dick but have kids. You know they're not doing it on their own.

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 09:15 AM

Besides the other answers you should know the algorithm of apps like tinder is incredibly biased in favor of women and against men by design

BokehClasses • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 10:29 PM

This is why we need to nuke ourselves into extinction. The pleasures in this world are plentiful, but they do not outweigh the overall grim picture.

yomo86 • 7 points • 20 October, 2017 04:49 PM

Precisly that. There are no *alphas* or *betas* this kind of group thinking just gives you a warm cushion of false security. The post of "Help I was so alphas but then this happens." are manifold here.

b-rath • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 09:13 PM

Painfully true. I'm so glad I stumbled onto this sub while I'm still young.

max\_peenor • 7 points • 20 October, 2017 12:41 PM

I'm still young.

Just don't be afraid to make mistakes, ok?

[deleted] • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 05:09 PM

totally disagree. gender comes down alot to estrogen vs testosterone and their effects on mind and body. i've seen some dudes that just look like poor genetic trash with surging levels of estrogen compared to the normal man. that is just a pure low level beta male.

max\_peenor • 17 points • 19 October, 2017 06:35 PM

I've seen a goat scale a mountain and declare himself kind of the world.

Tha doesn't mean they are only beta traits. Sorry, there is no good science, study or argument that there are strict alpha males and beta males.

Future\_Alpha • 2 points • 21 October, 2017 01:29 PM

science or not, there are men who are 'alpha' and those who are 'beta'. especially if their traits are taken together.

piisspoorpoet • 9 points • 19 October, 2017 06:17 PM

LOW-T SOYGOY BUGBOI BETA MALES DONT GET NO POWSI

SimplisticHedgehog • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 06:40 PM

Your argument is nonsense. Having had blood tests with 900+ natural T, I still look below average and wimpy. There is more to it then just hormones.

empatheticapathetic • -2 points • 19 October, 2017 09:10 PM

That just means your personal body considers 900 a low amount. Or some other hormone/lifestyle factor is dragging down your higher T effects.

SimplisticHedgehog • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 08:26 AM

Just to add to that, my estrogen was below normal boundary for males. So, I am technically drowning in T and lack E.

empatheticapathetic • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 08:38 AM

Get a full panel and post it on r-testosterone. Having lower E might be the issue. Although E is the opposite end to T, having below normal may be the issue.

I am constantly working on raising my T and psychological factors like winning arguments and completing social exercises are quite an important part of the package. Social exclusion is an issue I'm dealing with right now which artificially lowers my T despite trying to raise it in



other ways.

(Looking at your post history you might have the same problem as me, even down to location...)

SimplisticHedgehog • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 11:22 AM

Yes, it is an issue. The doctor said that the cure is to be balls deep in a girl and relationship which is working to lower the T and raise E to be normal again. Since I don't succeed in this area, hence abnormal hormones distribution. Makes sense tbh.

empatheticapathetic • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 11:30 AM

Sounds like I have the same issue. I'm just going to start seeing someone every two weeks, waited enough in my life now. Who knows if I'll even be alive in another 2 years. I can budget a shitty fuck per fortnight if it improves my health and life outlook.

fromthecrypt8 • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 07:11 PM

Can a low T beta cuck then trick the gene selection process by going on HRT?

Htowngetdown • 0 points • 19 October, 2017 07:39 PM

That's kind of arguing semantics. A male with significantly more beta traits than alpha ones can be considered a beta male

empatheticapathetic • 4 points • 19 October, 2017 09:14 PM

We're men and we don't judge people so shallowly by forcing them into boxes like women need to. My brother is an alpha in business and a beta with women. He has alpha and beta traits. Harvey Weinstein was an alpha film producer (presumably) but beta with women.

There's plenty of dudes out there who are alpha with women but can't sort the rest of their fucking life out regarding their finance, health, friends, whatever.

1UPZ\_ • -1 points • 20 October, 2017 12:59 AM

Weinstein is not really beta with women... he just got busted through an setup.

Had he not been busted, this would go on.

empatheticapathetic • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 01:07 AM

Check out /u/whisper 's last post and also uncle vas for a clarification on that opinion.

If he was an alpha they wouldn't have complained.

1UPZ\_ • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 02:40 AM

yeah, but my point was.... Weinstein somehow knew that women would accept his advances because they wanted something more... fame, money and status. He took advantage of women's cravings...

Before being busted, he was acting very Alpha-ish in the Movie production world... he had power to make things happen, people listened to him, he got things done etc.

empatheticapathetic • 0 points • 20 October, 2017 02:46 AM

Did you read the whisper post? I don't think you did.

He's an alpha in Hollywood, I said that. But he was beta the way he extracted sex from these women.

max\_peenor • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 12:57 PM

Not really. One argument says these men never get sex. I'm here to tell you that most of these men get **some** sex and in exchange for real resources (as opposed to in enhance for tingles, for instance).

foot\_odor • -12 points • 19 October, 2017 02:25 PM

I guess he meant beta as an omega male here, who has neither traits. I think it would indeed help to use proper terms in this context.

UGoBoom • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 05:40 PM

It's alpha and beta, there's no reason to go further or else these terms are essentially tumblr genders

foot\_odor • -1 points • 19 October, 2017 05:56 PM\*

The term omega has been used for a long time in the redpill sphere. It's just a way to address someone socially clueless, borderline autistic and of course blue pill indoctrinated. There's no tumblr complexification here.

Alpha traits and beta traits are cursors and variable in time and context. Pretending to cluster men in binary ways is as conceptually basic as resuming political opinions to Left and Right. Exactly as OP is doing in this post, some people are able to go further basic models.

scissor\_me\_timbers00 • 102 points • 19 October, 2017 12:13 PM

Yeah I agree with the other poster about how this should be in the sidebar. You give the whole red pill a context that gives us a powerful "why" beyond the PUA origins of the manosphere. It also raises the fire in the belly to from zero to 100 cuz of how fucking retarded this society has become.

Stythe • 42 points • 19 October, 2017 02:11 PM

Solid post.

You talk about women hating men on a macro level and men failing to see and pass these tests, which I agree with. The irony is that men miss the point and try to pass a test of masculinity by being nicer and more domestic, which causes women to distrust their masculinity even more.

It's like a sale person being way too nice or a friend who says "everything will be fine." You know the former is selling something and you know the latter is just being kind. What you want to see is the salesperson who doesn't hide the fact that they're selling something, or the friend that says "if you don't smarten up, everything WON'T be okay." This are the people you can trust, because they are upfront enough to tell you the truth, knowing you may reject their honesty.

The reason men fail is because either they're cowards or they're oblivious. This failure hurts the ego and turns into self loathing. Loathing turns to anger and is caught by groups of angry mobs like gangs, or movements of racists and bigots. But what do you expect when a boy was never taught the rules of life? He knows what's happening deep down. He feels it. It's in his biology, but the world lies to his face and forces him to believe what it says instead of what it does.

I believe a lot of depression and mental illness and the like stem entirely from this kind of cognitive dissonance that society creates. It's the same reason I try and get me to be honest with themselves about who they are. Especially the shitty parts of themselves. You can't change your life for the better until you understand what it is

about you that's making it worse.

rick-br • 56 points • 19 October, 2017 11:41 AM

Wow! This post should be in the sidebar.

AntwanAntoon • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 12:46 PM **[recovered]**

This! is what it looks like being sucked into the blue pill.

Sasuke\_F\_Uchiha • 82 points • 19 October, 2017 02:23 PM\*

Masculinity IS to rape, Masculinity IS to murder. Masculinity IS to NOT talk about the problems of men.  
I will talk #HowIWillChange

I'm not going to participate in exploitation of women and children by watching pornographic material or paying for sex #HowIWillChange

I'm going to discuss #HowIWillChange with every 'civilised' man I meet, starting with family and friends

As a male, #metoo means Owning my contribution to rape culture. Unlearning masculinity is #HowIWillChange

Reply to this tweet

As a female, #metoo means owning my contribution to rape culture. Unlearning femininity is #HowIWillChange.

What do you see here?

A man with strength and courage to change the course of humanity.

Or

A lonely little boy,a keyboard warriors still in denial stage, a damaged person who has no courage to even show his face ?

We don't really have to worry about them, they are young and stupid, still in the first stage. They still have to go through anger-> sadness-> acceptance.

Ingore and move on, they can't convince anyone to do anything.

Dmva100 • 20 points • 19 October, 2017 07:03 PM\*

The HowIWillChange is a perfect example of the 'doubling down' that betas do to get their wives to fuck them. They become a more efficient utility for women rather than a man of sexual value.

HowIWillChange is essentially choreplay and sacrifice on a society wide scale.

segagaga • 30 points • 19 October, 2017 03:14 PM

Don't know why people downvoted you for quoting that retarded twitter account.

empatheticapathetic • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 09:17 PM

Who knows what factors have caused him to be such a little bitch. Perhaps he was raised in a highly feminised place and he just had to believe this shit to get by, or face social and even family exclusion. It's sad opposed to pathetic.

max\_peenor • 46 points • 19 October, 2017 02:39 PM

## EndDemand #EndSexploitation

Women are too stupid to make their own decisions about sex, so prostitutions would be illegal. Of course, women are too childish to be responsible for their own actions, so only the men will be charged with crimes.

^ Typical Bluepill Misogyny.

But muh sex slaves! Last time I checked slavery was illegal in most of the civilized world, so it's already covered.

ChadThundercockII • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 08:49 PM

Most prostitutes are not happy of where they are now, but have all the money they will need as long as they are alive. Most pros make 500 to 1000 bucks a day

max\_peenor • 6 points • 20 October, 2017 12:50 PM

Most prostitutes are not happy of where they are now,

Show me a woman that is happy where they are now and I'll show you one of the seven seals breaking.

All pain is relative. While sticking a cock in her mouth might be the worse thing to happen to Ms. Susie Housewife, for a crackwhore it ranks much lower on the list of things that are her miserable.

Dragon\_Garoo • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 11:20 PM

And even then, only because they choose to only service a couple clients. The hottest girls are charging \$200 a half hour. Hell, even the niche girls are charging that much.

It's also very legal almost everywhere, only the solicitation is illegal in most places. Look at all the backpages/escort sites. I mean, if society wanted it gone, they literally give their numbers and addresses.

GroundhogLiberator • 43 points • 19 October, 2017 01:50 PM

Man I wish prostitution was legal. Idk how much I would partake, but it would be a huge step towards fixing gender relations.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 11:15 PM

It's about \$500/hour in Australia. It's legal. Never gone. It hasn't fixed up our society one iota.

mattizie • 25 points • 19 October, 2017 02:55 PM

Legal in many parts of the world that still have this problem.

The fix is to end welfare, especially for single parents. And government sponsored "education".

GroundhogLiberator • 35 points • 19 October, 2017 03:01 PM

I know there are plenty of problems, but I think this is a relatively simple but effective solution to at

least one.

Lowering the cost of sex from "buy her shit, wait on her hand and foot and cross your fingers" to "\$75 per hour" would really decrease the amount of bullshit men will tolerate in hopes of getting laid.

Ianchez • 15 points • 19 October, 2017 04:34 PM

Its like with marihuana, legalizing decreases the use and impact the black market behind it.

Alathon • 0 points • 19 October, 2017 08:45 PM

It's no accident that the U.S. government has steadily increased in scope, wealth intake, and hand-outs since females were permitted to vote.

Dragon\_Garoo • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 11:23 PM

Completely legal where I live, last Conservative government tried to put restrictions on where they could ply their trade, ie: must not be 500 feet from a school, playground, etc... the media and the ho lobby went apeshit, and the god damned supreme court shot it down. Made it unsafe for women. Right. So you can ho by my kids school. Fucking awesome.

It doesn't matter, even where legal, it doesn't change things.

Accepted, that's the key. If it was widely accepted, the competition would be so fierce, that you'd pay less for a lay than dinner and drinks.

See how they'd all become pro's then. Most really want to secretly anyway. lol. Just morals and such keep them from doing it. Slut shaming is a woman thing, not a man thing. Men just use the identification as a negative selector for LTR/mating. We love sluts/ho's. They make access easy and simple.

[deleted] • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 11:06 PM

#### Australia

Yep sounds about right. A lot of people seem to think that Australia is a more socially conservative country (which it is a little bit), but I tell you what, the feminists here (especially Melbourne) are probably the most rabid and insane feminists in the entire West. Some interesting shit is going to go down here as the decades pass by...

Imalostman\_ • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 09:18 AM

What interesting shit is going down there? I'm visiting next year and would like to read up.

LongSchlongAIDS • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 07:56 PM

Wow he is the epitome of the phrase "little bitch"

IwannaFocus • 67 points • 19 October, 2017 02:02 PM

Due to the way society is structured right now, the qualities of alpha are rare and far in between. From the moment we enter kindergarten, till we finish our bachelors/masters we are constantly bombarded with instances created to suppress masculinity.

For example, selfishness. Institutions do their absolute best to destroy any traits that do lead to success. Selfishness is one of those factors. If you are selfish, you set yourself before others. You do things in order so that YOU can succeed. Arguably, that is not attractive for people sitting at the top. Beta is easy to control - same can't be said about alpha. In short Alpha traits are not attractive because they do not compliment the hive-mind

society we live in right now. What patrician wants plebs to see the bigger picture?

How do women come into this? They are more primal than us when it comes to selection of mate. Deep down, at their core - they still choose by the values their foraging ancestors lived by. Find an alpha - or die. A weak woman could not survive well in wilderness compared to a man. In short, their nature was/is parasitic. They piggyback on man's back because they birth children. Remove that from equation and a woman is nothing 9/10 times.

Right now, women have been given too much freedom. Why? There is no straight answer to that. Middle class got too smart for people sitting at the top? Horrors of WW2 that lead to men being pussified? I do not know the answer - what I do know, is that we fucked up somewhere real badly; and the result is the women/society today.

They are also a bit unlucky. Their primal need to find an alpha cannot be satisfied in the society where we are breeding and cultivating beta-traits. This leads to them being "unhappy", and ultimately to them ruining the lives of the poor males that did nothing wrong but being born into this farm, where they cultivate beta-cattle.

Really, it's scary. A child with alpha qualities is scolded till those qualities are "purified" out of him. This leads to said child growing up without knowing his purpose in life. Instinctively he wants to do something completely opposite of what he's been programmed to do by beta-society; but he can't due to brainwashing. Perhaps a good majority of people with no mission in life are a result of a subconscious suppression. It prevents them from doing anything, because ever since they've been small; they've been told that everything they do is bad. That they need guidance. That they can't face this world by themselves.

Another problem is that we've stopped teaching people the nature of women. Religion did a fine job about that btw. Every major religion spared no expense at portraying women as these unfaithful people that do not deserve men. Yea some of it went overboard, but a lot of things were spot on. It baffles me how people living 2000+ years ago understood what we've failed to understand today. Yes religion is not needed right now, but some of their teachings are still relevant. Never forget that it was Eve's fault that both she and Adam had to suffer. Modern contrast: Divorce initiated by a woman. Both parts end up suffering; Male, due to shackles of child-support. Female, due to inability to ride cock-carousel (Chads are not that attracted to single moms), and the inevitable Wall.

But at the end of the day, the fault lies with males. It was males that allowed women to get where they are today. They should have known better - but then again, who the f can see the future? All we can do is to increase our value till we hit that beautiful 20/80 spot - then enjoy the fruits of our labor.

But honestly, is it really so bad that masculinity is rare? I see nothing but benefit in that - more chicks for us right?

pisspoorpoet • 25 points • 19 October, 2017 06:33 PM

if having easy pussy is worth the downfall of western civilization sure but if you have some more noble inclinations you'd know that a 30% reduction in pussy so that 30% more men got it in exchange for western society being stable again would be more than worth it. Let the betas have the 4-6 tier women again, yea its some of the better more eager pussy but cmon we gotta get some of the mid tier betas getting their dicks wet again or shits gonna get real bad before it can get any better.

IwannaFocus • 16 points • 19 October, 2017 07:08 PM

How will we fix this mess? We are nothing but a minority - a very very small % of global population. There's nothing we can do. Look at every platform capable of spreading the message; it does not have freedom of speech. Goolag (google) sensors everything that is against their view on reality. Post a thread about sensitive topics (feminism, divorce, equality) with a bit of critical thinking and you will be instantly banned.

And it's not only about Redpill/Bluepill. It applies to everything else too. You want to talk immigration/Islam/Feminism/Freedom of Speech/Culture protection/Anti-Globalism/list goes on? Sure go ahead, the media will paint you as a monster anyway - if you will go against the wave.

West is not ready for a change. It's been said before, but we are long overdue for a war. The illusion we've built these past few years of relative world-peace (terrorism-aside) cannot last forever - because with each year we move further and further away from reality:

We have grown men and woman saying there are more than 2 genders, we have modern day feminism, our birth rates are falling, divorce rates are rising, "All cultures are equal" bullshit, the problem of Islam and assimilation. List goes on....

Yea things suck. But so what? Doesn't mean you can't have an amazing life. Your goal is move forward, towards success. Let the people left behind rot for all you care. Why do you want to save these people anyway? If the tables turned, few would have done the same for you/us?

Be successful before you start thinking about making changes.

piisspoorpoet • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 08:30 PM

look pal i get plenty of powsi, more than i have the patience to keep track of. but im just saying that maybe we all have a responsibility to wingman out some of these betas so they can eat of the flesh as well. Yea lotsa good powsi is nice and all but at what cost? the total collapse of western civilization? i mean little girls intestines are getting strewn about at childrens concerts things cant get that much worse, maybe it is our resposibility to take it upon ourselves to help our fellow man before things get world ending bad.

TrueFacets • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 12:32 PM

Amen to that brother! TRP is missing some philosophy from time to time. Despite all warnings here, I continue to spew TRP stuff in my private life and social circles. Does not always end well but at some point strong men have to take responsibility for their actions too.

Alathon • 0 points • 19 October, 2017 08:57 PM

Eh. The West will revitalize itself or submit to Islam, either way the problem *will* be solved. The question is on what terms. Bad terms can include outcomes such as the Soviet Union or Cambodia; you may find such environments crimp your style. Or perhaps not, if you play your cards right.

Sementeries • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 07:04 PM

we gotta get some of the mid tier betas getting their dicks wet again

Can't help those that won't help themselves.

A perfect example of this would be you trying to help one of your boys get away from his cheating girlfriend, but he tells you, "no way, man! She would never cheat on me." The guy will end up trying to fight you instead of dumping the hoe.

Let the betas have the 4-6 tier women again

Men giving the beta boys "their fair share" doesn't solve this problem.

There has to be multiple solutions for this (one of those would be learning Game).

Alathon • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 08:55 PM

It is dishonest to pretend that the solution that worked for the last two thousand years, patriarchy and



male custody of females, does not exist. You might prefer easy pussy on tap and an era of decline, or perhaps think females must have their current privileges and rights at the expense of all else. Nothing erases the past, and what did work, which was male ownership of female sexuality and male enforcement of sexual standards on other males.

Male sexual strategy includes strategies which can be employed by groups of males to achieve beneficial sexual outcomes for the group.

SimplisticHedgehog • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 06:55 PM

That is correct thinking but I think there is a flaw. Women raised their standards and will not settle for equivalent guys. They'd rather be single than deal with them. If they are not fucking alphas, they are not fucking or doing it very reluctantly.

Dragon\_Garoo • 0 points • 19 October, 2017 11:43 PM

And judging by how western civilizations have surpassed all others in accomplishments, it makes a lot of sense why this worked. No more large swaths of incel men, making war; instead, they pair bonded, grew, built and produced. Yes, we still had war, but a lot less. And achieved so much more. Contrast with places that didn't have the same belief systems...

Future\_Alpha • 5 points • 21 October, 2017 01:36 PM

It baffles me how people living 2000+ years ago understood what we've failed to understand today.

I'll tell you why they understood human behavior much better 2000 years ago vs now. Because they weren't shackled with a dogmatic need for 'proof/sources'. As if that is the only way to acquire knowledge of the world. The Ancients understood the world through empirical observation, it is what led them to calculate the Diameter of the earth to 4 decimal points by measuring the angle of shadows in Alexandria.

Nowadays, people are fixated on the 'religion of science', constantly demanding proof/sources for things that are self-evident that require one to only open one's eyes.

I am in Medicine btw, so its not that I'm some uneducated pleb spouting anti-science rhetoric. Science and the Scientific Method has a time and place, but it should not be used to explain certain things.

berryfarmer • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 08:56 PM

It was males that allowed women to get where they are today.

This was done to forge civilization. Males make better slaves when they have sex, even sporadically.

Without this 1 male to 1 woman allocation modern slavery wouldn't be as productive.

At the same time is an inconsistency with this idea. Children do not fare well without father figures. Perhaps there is no inconsistency if father figures are easily replaced via substitution with no long term damage inflicted on the offspring. Does such an idea hold water?

yomo86 • 6 points • 20 October, 2017 05:00 PM

I don't know why you are getting downvoted. In gorillas and chimpanzees the wheel of alpha/kill alpha, rape females/alpha king/kill alpha, rape females is quite visible. It consumes enormous resources in the process and out-breeds intelligence and skill as the only selection quality is physical prowess. 1 on 1 keeps stability by sacrificing the biological imperative somewhat. All cultures even matriarchical tribal cultures made sure that men fuck on the regular.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 09:48 PM

Once the government runs out of money and tribalism sets in, it'll be a game of chess with the stakes being life-or-death.

It'll be like a sick cross between Hunger Games and The Walking Dead, in some areas of the country it's already starting to develop.

[deleted] • 20 points • 19 October, 2017 02:22 PM

Favorite post on TRP.

The potbelly example described woman in a LTR perfectly; she doesn't like a fat belly, she likes less girls looking at you, thereby increasing her leverage. Now go buy and pay for groceries.

We can't hold it against them - it's simply how females are. But we need to recognize the patterns.

Hillarysdilddo\_2016 • 48 points • 19 October, 2017 01:19 PM\*

I find these faux movements interesting. Where was the metoo campaign during Hillary's campaign when she was directly associated with raping bill and convicted pedo ring runner Jeffery Epstein? Where was the metoo campaign while fake news purveyor New York Times sat on the Weinstein story for years?

This shit is astroturfed like everything else. This campaign is aimed to distract from the fact that Hollywood and global elite have engaged in this behavior for decades.

If it's such a problem then segregate the genders and let "dangerous men" have their own space left alone from "temptation".

RedPilledGodEmperor • 16 points • 19 October, 2017 04:48 PM

Exactly and another thing to add is that if Hollywood really cared about what Harvey Weinstein was doing and were disgusted by it, actors/actresses would feel comfortable naming the others who have done similar or worse things.

Also, every single insider (actor/actress, producer, director, etc.) who says that they didn't know what Harvey was doing is lying. Hypocrisy at it's finest. Tell us how we should behave, while they are so morally bankrupt. Meryl Streep gave an standing ovation to Roman Polanski, who raped a kid.

I don't feel bad for the actresses who sucked his cock or fucked him for fame. They decided to and are just very expensive prostitutes. However, I do feel bad for the child stars who had things done to them (apparently lots of pedophiles in Hollywood).

Hillarysdilddo\_2016 • 10 points • 19 October, 2017 06:28 PM

He didn't just "raped a kid" he drugged a 14 year old and fucked her in the ass. And absolutely right, Meryl Streep and co give a standing ovation to this guy.

I mean damn. Hollywood knows all about this shit because they've been doing it for decades.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 07:03 PM

Exactly. Hollywood isn't outraged at what Harvey Weinstein is doing. It's been an open secret for decades and he's not the only one.

They are doing what they do best, which is "act" like they are outraged to make people think they care and act like they are morally superior to us. My conspiracy theory is that either Harvey did something to piss off Hollywood, or they are using it as a way to attack Trump by saying, "well he sexually assaulted women too." Even though there is no proof that Trump did.

Maybe it's also to take attention off all the rumors swirling about the pedophile problem. It's a big reason many child stars grow up to be messed up with lots of problems.

Sementeries • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 06:50 PM

I don't feel bad for McGowan either. Take a look at the pictures of her when she was dating Marilyn Manson.

Now she looks like Sinead O'Connor.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 07:10 PM

Dear god, Rose looks terrible. She used to actually be fuckable. What is with attractive women with naturally beautiful hair chopping it off and making themselves look worse? Miley Cyrus, Katy Perry, Scarlett Johansson, Emma Watson, etc.

One of the few women who I find can pull short hair is Halle Berry and she looks better with it long.

Sementeries • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 07:18 PM

Rose was a stunner in the 90s, but now she has reached the final form of libchick. And the only girl I thought was bangable was Perry. Watson never really did it for me for some reason, Johansson has a mannish voice (OH YAW! GIV ET TO ME HAWDA!), Cyrus looks like she can't wipe her butt good, and her voice is annoying/mannish, too.

Halle Berry in Swordfish? Ah shit!

DildoEngineer69 • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 02:32 PM

let "dangerous men" have their own space left alone from "temptation".

We already have that. It's called prison

Hillarysdilddo\_2016 • 22 points • 19 October, 2017 03:39 PM

Nope. Then the female guards are too busy sucking inmate cock.

omega\_dawg93[ ] • 11 points • 19 October, 2017 04:02 PM

can confirm... best friend is in prison.

Dmva100 • 38 points • 19 October, 2017 01:12 PM

Remember the ultimate goal is to make men women, either through transgender surgeries, or just feminize them through social engineering and sex control so it's almost the same as if they were women. Why you ask? Because consumerism is driven by the feminine. They make up 80%+ of spending. Capitalism doesn't care about equality and neither do the rich.

[deleted] • 28 points • 19 October, 2017 03:47 PM

Because consumerism is driven by the feminine.

But only so long as men keep working and providing the money their women spend. If all the men go on strike, society goes Mad Max within a week.

Future\_Alpha • 2 points • 21 October, 2017 01:38 PM

Mad Max

Sounds fun. It would allow me to form a gang and wrest power for my own ends.

plainposter • 10 points • 19 October, 2017 10:29 PM

Wow. I never put two and two together until now and that makes sense. Feminists buy more dumb shit.. so turn men into women and they'll spend more money too. Damn. They won't teach you that at business school.

domth • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 03:49 PM [recovered]

Where did you get this 80% figure or the idea that consumerism is driven by the feminine? Interesting if true

PillTheRed • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 04:40 PM

They are easily accessible statistics. Try Google.

[deleted] • 13 points • 19 October, 2017 04:54 PM

Or just look at which sex most ads are aimed at.

plainposter • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 10:30 PM

women buy more than men, it's a basic marketing principle. they're bigger consumers.

1UPZ\_ • 5 points • 20 October, 2017 02:42 AM

maybe not 80%, but somewhere there.

I compared grocery cost a week with some single women and mine is 1/4th that of theirs.... its crazy.

ThrowFader • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 09:04 PM

Its actually 60% because women control roughly 60% of US Gdp.

"77 cents to the dollar" my ass

ttam07 • 15 points • 19 October, 2017 03:41 PM

Sad that this is what it's come to, rape stories are a joke now, if you read through half of the #metoo posts it's all bandwagon jumpers and attention seekers, I'm still waiting for the day I get brought up cuz I touched a chicks hand, real rape is not funny at all. Modern day rape is a fucking joke and I'm determined to call all of them out on it

news1 • 4 points • 19 October, 2017 09:57 PM

A genuine rape victim will not make a frivolous Facebook post about it. The hashtag is about the feelz and saying things that 'sound right'.

Dragon\_Garoo • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 09:27 AM

Regret is not rape. And it would seem a lot of modern 'rape' is really just regret. Check out Feminism Kek and the lighthouse project. She uncovers stuff that the mainstream media completely ignores, misrepresents, or blatantly lies about.

iLLprincipLeS • 44 points • 19 October, 2017 12:55 PM\*

I am presently about half way through my read of Dr. Martie Haselton's new book Hormonal. I was really anticipating this book's release, and I had intended to do my first-ever book review of it here, but as I read through I've decided not to. I still highly recommend reading it. As you might guess it's chock full of stats and

research confirmation of so much of what I write here that I want to put it at the top of the required Rational Male reading list. I've been referencing Dr. Haselton's (and her colleagues) work since I began this blog, but the delivery of the information was disappointing, and in a lot of instances, very immature and sophomoric. It's written almost as an apologetic to feminists for having to kill a lot of sacred feminist social convention cows. I feel as if she's writing 'down' to the women who she'll inevitably market this book to, but, if you can get past her constant attempts to legitimize her feminist credentials, the information is absolute gold.

One aspect of female sexual dynamics that Haselton and her team detail quite a bit is the idea of an Estrus state in human females. I'm not sure how well appreciated this research is in the manosphere, which is one reason I included it in Positive Masculinity, but this concept is really integral to how we define Hypergamy. As most of my readers know, Hypergamy – women's dualistic sexual strategy (and really life strategy) – is much more than a tendency of a woman to 'marry up'. In Hormonal the ideas of Alpha Fucks and Beta Bucks really solidify with the research.

However, as useful as it is as a catchy euphemism Alpha Fucks and Beta Bucks could better be described as Alpha Seed and Beta Need. In a woman's peak ovulatory phase of her menstrual cycle she enters an estrus state and becomes subject to behaviors that can only be defined as a pretext of seeking Alpha seed. In other words, nature and Hypergamy are very practical in maximizing the chances that a woman may get pregnant with the best available genetic specimen. Granted, the true outcome of all of that is subject to environment and a woman's personal conditions, but the practicality of it remains the same as it has for 100,000 years. It's also important to keep in mind that a woman's behaviors, strategies, rationales and her own interpretation of all of them in those various times and conditions are also a part of the overall latent purpose of a woman consolidating on the best Alpha Seed and Beta to supply her needs.

While women are subject to an estrus state they still require the second half of Hypergamy – the Beta need for security, provisioning, protection, comfort and at least the sharing of parental investment responsibilities for any offspring. Estrus in women is concealed, meaning it is (or used to be) nearly, but not totally undetectable in women. There are in fact various ways men evolved to intuitively determine whether a woman is in an estrus state of fertility; most of these today are socially shamed in men so as to further confuse them and advantage women, but that's a topic for another essay. A concealed estrus aids women in optimizing both Alpha Seed and Beta Need and it's likely that much of what accounts for women's sexual strategy is the result of this concealment.

Now, a lot has been written by myself and others about the impact of meeting a woman's Beta Need aspect of Hypergamy being served by the state and/or direct or indirect transfers of resources from men to women. Most of my readers are well aware of how this side of Hypergamy has been progressively accommodated for over the past fifty years. In spite of this it's important to remember that this accommodation of provisioning needs doesn't eliminate the deeper needs that this side of Hypergamy engenders in women. It may be true that women have never been better provided for in history as far as money and opportunities go, but women still look for emotional security, protection, dominance and comfort in men as part of their innate mental firmware.

As a result of Hypergamy and this concealed estrus state women have been put into a condition of evaluating sex in different aspects today.

foot\_odor • 12 points • 19 October, 2017 02:21 PM

I was hoping that someone would properly address feminism as a global shit test against men as a whole group. This post explains it very nicely and should be in the necessary deeper readings for anyone looking beyond PUA stuff. Definitely in my top 10 posts from TRP.

If anyone wants a good example of the point demonstrated here, just read this recent article from the Guardian and try not to be triggered.

[deleted] • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 05:16 PM

That article gave me a good chuckle. I especially love to see SJWs in the comments cannibalizing themselves.

Nothing better than a beta white knight or cunt feminist getting yelled at by other feminists because they aren't "feminist" enough

ChadThundercockII • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 08:59 PM

When you see another guy talk over a woman, say: "Hey, she was saying something."

This made my whole body cringe.

plainposter • -1 points • 19 October, 2017 10:31 PM

LLLOOOOOOOLL ME TOO IM GONNA SMACK THESE HOES I SWEAR TO GOD

rick-br • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 04:06 PM

Talk to your friend who is "kind of a creep" at work.

If you are asked to be on a panel/team and see that it's all men, say something. Maybe even refuse the spot!

Don't touch women you don't know, and honestly, ask yourself why you feel the need to touch women in general.

Do you feel that any woman on earth owes you something? She doesn't. Even if you're like, "Hm, but what about basic respect?" ask yourself if you've shown her the same.

If a woman tells you that you fucked up, and you feel like shit, don't put it on that woman to make you feel better. Apologize without qualification and then go away.

WOW!! This is super laughable, actually.

[deleted] • 9 points • 19 October, 2017 04:40 PM

Women put men who do those things in the lower portion of the social hierarchy, then they wonder why TRP still exists...

news • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 09:52 PM

Any guy who amusingly violates all of these will win an eagerly-offered place in her pants.

Rian\_Stone • 17 points • 19 October, 2017 01:50 PM

Enjoy the decline

Hero's become martyrs at best, impotent at worst

Pick one. Hint RP is the top 9ne

dickinlipss • 14 points • 19 October, 2017 04:22 PM

Woman have started employing BP men to do their shit testing for them via these cultural pressures such as feminism.

I was at a club and some cunt was constantly barging through the crowd of a club. Not sure what her problem was. It looked unnatural, like she was trying to prove to herself or observers how strong she was. Mind you she was around 115 pounds. I saw her do it a couple of times, usually out of earshot, but on the last occasion she

rammed a faggy small white kid next to me and he bumped into me which is why it caught my attention.

I said off handedly wow what a cunt! Well the faggot that got rammed starts defending her saying something about calling women that. I wasn't paying attention but he was trying to shame me for calling her a cunt. Before letting him finish I got really annoyed and simply said that I will say whatever the fuck I want. He slinks away and him and his friend that was with him walk away, as they retreat the friend turns to me with a look of attraction and apologies for her faggot little friend. She gave me the "wow this is a man" look that happens when you shut down their bitch shield. She actually had her hand outstretched towards me. But I'm not sure what was meant by that.

empatheticapathetic • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 09:26 PM

I have a funny similar story about language and womens herd mind. I kept using the word 'cunt' to describe someone we both knew when talking to my sister. She kept having huge rants to me about saying that word and i said "i'm fine with it, it's a word i find suitable". She tried to tell my family to talk to me about it; it's just about control and having her way.

Around election time i made a facebook post saying "go out and vote you cunts" which got a lot more likes than i was expecting. It means nothing to me but after that i showed it to my sister and pointed out that all these dudes and girls seem to be fine with me using this word. Ever since then she finds my use of the word 'cunt' absolutely hilarious, most of the time in a non hilarious context. Social proof changed her morality in a second, and she is significantly older than me.

untonyto • 9 points • 19 October, 2017 01:25 PM

There should be more posts like these which point out the society-wide NEED for TRP.

thebadmanpundtbaxter • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 04:20 PM

It isnt a matter of TRP spreading through society. It's the pendulum swinging in the other direction where society has rung out every bit of masculinity from the majority and 'men' collectively chop off their dicks out of shame. We're in a societal surge of blue. society has a tendency to overcorrect

red\_matrix • 7 points • 19 October, 2017 07:56 PM

The problem is: people aren't equal. You're not born equal, you don't grow equal, and you don't die equal. You either improve or get worse as time goes on, it never ends until you die. Women will try to stop you and test you and make you fail. The winner gets to go home and fuck the prom queen. Losers will dry her up quicker than a Sham-Wow!

berryfarmer • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 08:47 PM

If you over-ride her ability to choose by groping/assaulting her in some way you just took away her power.

Yet the #1 fantasy of women is rape. I found an inconsistency.

mishasam89 • 6 points • 20 October, 2017 04:27 AM

This only applies to guys they "want" to fuck.. If she want's to fuck you, you can do anything you want to. But, if she doesn't then you're a creep/weirdo.. lol

Radinax • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 04:34 PM

Tldr, be alpha. For real this is quite a nice explanation besides those shitty "i hate women" type of posts, TRP is much more than that, its about POWER.



Jonlife • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 06:31 PM

The shit testing will never end. How can you tell when a woman is shit testing you? Her mouth is moving. Hypergamy is in their nature. They'll test you until you're either dead or they find something better. And if they're too post wall, they just run to the government for that daddy support (social security, medicaid etc.) You can't change their nature, but you can take away their ability to run amok and bankrupt this country. Sadly, we're being governed by a bunch of Cucks who keep passing laws that let women get away with draining the system.

BokehClasses • 3 points • 20 October, 2017 10:35 PM

Anyone who thinks society can be saved is fucking delusional. I'm so deep down the red pill rebit role (almost black pill) and there is no hope of things getting better.

Enjoy the decline boys. All roads lead to MGTOW.

BlakTheory • 3 points • 21 October, 2017 01:23 PM

Parents create beta males for their old age security purpose. Women make men to beta for their security purpose. Society wants and makes men to beta for their comfort purpose.

If men aren't put themselves first this society will fuck them hard enough to feel like a shit and live like a shit.

JamesSkepp • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 02:23 PM

This is why women feel so strongly about rape/assault/harassment. Generally speaking, men are at a constant state of 'yes'. We're pretty much DTF as long as the sex will be consequence free.

Any sex is "consequence free" for us - we can't get pregnant. The "constant yes" you're describing is illusory - you're mistaking the fact that an average man would accept sex offer even from an ugly girl and that's b/c an average man is sex starved. What you're describing is the stereotype that "men can't get raped b/c they are men", not an actual reasons why this stereotype came to be.

Women are not like that since they're the selectors. They need to decide first whether or not you're worthy of touching her and being intimate with her.

Build abundance with women and you'll have that too. Fucking a girl below your standard will feel like you just did something "bad" (akin to eating a cake on being on a diet).

If men were reared properly, went through rites-of-passages, knew how to not be little fucking pussies then we wouldn't be dealing with any of the identity politics or cultural wars right now.

Big maybe. Being an alpha doesn't shield you from being "politically BP" (and "sexually BP" too). It won't hurt for sure, but this by itself is not enough.

Men are turning to these dangerous online communities/ideologies to find some level of comradery, structure and purpose.

Highly probable, both in cases of Antifa and TRP - it's men looking for a way to prove themselves.

Good post!

MentORPHEUS • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 05:51 PM

Feminism is a society-wide shit test; I agree and there's already an excellent manosphere article about it.

That said, the metoo campaign isn't a solid foundation to build a case against Feminism, given that there exist lots of bona-fide examples of women being taken advantage of by betas who miswield their power over them. If my underage sister, niece, stepdaughter etc was actually abused by a boss, I wouldn't blame her and dismiss the matter because some women out there abuse their protected position in society.

Spend some time reading the AskReddit thread about women's experiences with sexual harassment as part of your decision-making process of whether to attack the metoo campaign as if it is nothing but a feminist shit test.

Skayruss • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 05:56 PM

This is beautifully written, and something I've had conversations with friends, when the topic on why feminism is cancer comes up.

You looked at it from the perspective of the bigger picture as well as the red pill lens.

fitmindfitbody • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 06:01 PM

What is then a modern day rite of passage? Name a few examples

ambientwhisper123 • 6 points • 19 October, 2017 07:24 PM

There aren't any, especially now uni/college is so feminised. This is a huge reason why masculinity is suffering today.

Dragon\_Garoo • 4 points • 20 October, 2017 09:35 AM

All we need now is Men's Only Colleges/Universities. Zero womyn's. Won't sell, but would be a great place. Nobody virtue signalling to women, just guys figuring out their shit about how to be men.

I went to an older public school when I was a kid. It had a boys and girls entrance. I'm guessing the two wings of the school were segregated by gender. When I went there (70's) they had repurposed them by age group/class level. 1-3 in one door, 4-6 in the other.

I can remember looking at them and wondering what it must have been like.

Wish my kids could have a world like that.

procrastinator789 • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 07:30 PM

Any suggestions on how we can pass this shit test?

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 09:47 PM\*

I agree completely with what you said. The thing is that if this trend continues, there is going to be sort of a male genocide where most of the "victims" will be beta males. Since women were given power to study and work, more and more beta males that were seen as alphas are no longer alphas because women are not lacking resources. This is why feminism in its essence is a socialist/communist movement, because by having state funded wealth distribution, women can easily filter those betas that were seen as alphas because of resources, somewhat maximizing the alpha fucks part of hypergamy. Then you have the state and beta orbiters filling the beta bucks part of hypergamy.

Whether or not civilization can be saved at this point is up for debate. But even if it collapses, only those who got their shit together and rised up in the dominance hierarchy will be the ones who will make it great again.

Fortunately for us, people like JBP and this subreddit exist. Great post!

dewzahundred • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 01:16 AM

who have time for hashtag on the internet anyway

I'm glad to see that JBP gets more recognition on this sub. Roughly about about a year ago i started moving away from TRP, mainly because it didn't added any additional value to my life. As many people stated this before, after a cretain amount of time - 2 years is a good measure - if you did your "lesson" well, you not gonna learn anything new on this sub. And you had enough time to internalize most of the content.

As Adorno puts it:

"The Culture Industry not so much adapts to the reactions of its customers as it counterfeits them."

"this bloated pleasure apparatus adds no dignity to man's lives. The idea of "fully exploiting" available technical resources and the facilities for aesthetic mass consumption is part of the economic system which refuses to exploit resources to abolish hunger."

There's some further reading in this Wikipedia draft article and in this Adorno essay..

It's interesting, other's have gone even further than The Frankfurt School. Here is an educational resource which claims people used to think of themselves as serfs of an empire, identifying with a small geographical region and localized traditions... and then at some stage (around WW1) the idea of "The Nation" was created by homogenizing those local traditions into national songs, traditions, and a national character - often for the purpose of going to war (ie for nationalistic propaganda).

This tradition of questioning and rejecting the messages of capitalism stems all the way back to The Wandervogel - who were youth groups that would hike across Europe trying to escape the noise and pollution of city life during the early industrial revolution.

Interestingly enough Cultural "Marxism" is only really called that because it's a critique of capitalism (The Frankfurt School also critiqued Soviet Marxism and were closer to humanists and Social Democrats than Marxists).

The Birmingham School could also be called "Cultural Marxists" but for some reason there's less criticism of them (they were specifically worried about losing localized British culture).

....and it goes without saying it's ALSO a conspiracy theory about how Gays, Subversives, Jews and Communists run Hollywood and Academia. As right wing kook William S. Lind has it:

Today, when the cultural Marxists want to do something like "normalize" homosexuality, they do not argue the point philosophically. They just beam television show after television show into every American home where the only normal-seeming white male is a homosexual (the Frankfurt School's key people spent the war years in Hollywood\*).

The next conservatism needs to reveal the man behind the curtain - - old Karl Marx himself.

\*Lind is factually incorrect here, no one from The Frankfurt School went on to work in the Hollywood media industry.

For some reason the right side of politics (and particularly the alt-right), believe the people who were warning of mass-cultures manipulations - were actually fond of mass culture (a 180 from what The Frankfurt School were actually saying).

Gypsy\_Danger11 • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 03:09 PM

Nice post. I see shit tests from BP white knights are more prevalent towards Alpha traits - linking to that hive mind mentality you mention. BP males who feel threatened or intimidated will band together and conspire to control the alpha individual and stomp out that flame brewing in his belly. It is happening in my work area. Damn shame.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 3 points • 19 October, 2017 04:54 PM

Another thing are the men who are making these "metoo" posts. Sure, women are happy that there are men who are suffering this, but unless you are Terry Fucking Crews, you look like weak by admitting that you were groped. Keep that shit to yourself. Women will see you as weak.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 October, 2017 05:06 PM\*

I'm MGTOW instead of TRP (monk mode indefinitely), but I still come here for posts like this that analyze society on a macro-tier. This is genius-level material, OP

->implying Kit Harrington is alpha though

pbgswd • 4 points • 20 October, 2017 12:38 AM

Too commonly, women and pseudo feminists will state clearly and loudly that men cannot be raped. Fuck everything about this. Men get raped. To say it is impossible is to perpetuate the silence wherein predators thrive. So all these women going on about metoo.... and how it is about rape perpetrated on women. Never a mention of it happening to men. They can all go die in a fire.

ambientwhisper123 • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 02:14 PM

I like this, but are you sure that the whole 'women go for men with behaviours that indicate they can climb the dominance hierarchy rather than the men that are already on the top' isn't wishful thinking?

If a man is at the top of the mountain it obviously means that they're able to climb the mountain, if they couldn't then they wouldn't be at the top of the mountain. The guys currently climbing the mountain can either climb to the top or not.

Kinda strikes me as a way of making men who aren't at the top of the mountain feel better about not being at the top ya know?

[deleted] • 9 points • 19 October, 2017 03:50 PM

If a man is at the top of the mountain it obviously means that they're able to climb the mountain

But it doesn't mean they can climb tomorrow's mountain, or that they'll give their kids characteristics that will let them do so too. Many of today's supposed 'elite' are only there because they knew the right people and did what they were told, and would have no chance if they had to start again from scratch because they have no alpha characteristics of their own.

ambientwhisper123 • 0 points • 19 October, 2017 07:41 PM

I think you're mixing up being elite, rich and in control with being at the top of dominance hierarchies. You're describing being at the top of the 'game' of life in terms of being successful with money and powerful. The OP is talking about being at the top of or being able to climb dominance hierarchies.

The mountain you're describing is one dominance hierarchy, one might argue THE dominance hierarchy. But it isn't the only hierarchy.

The OP is describing having the traits to be able to climb any dominance hierarchy. A dominance hierarchy is the pecking order of any group of men. Be that your group of friends, the guys in your workplace, the guys in the club that night etc.

Herculius • 1 point • 17 January, 2018 06:49 AM

You're right.

Men are supposed to figure out amongst ourselves who deserves the leadership position. We should be doing that and not giving that entire duty to women.

redditer0 • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 03:51 PM

if they couldn't then they wouldn't be at the top of the mountain

Do you know there's people that are born rich, right?

ambientwhisper123 • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 07:22 PM

Dominance hierarchies aren't about being rich though though that is one hierarchy, I can see how my mountain analogy might have seemed like that was what I was talking about.

Being at the top of the dominance hierarchy means being at the top of whatever group of men you're involved in. Your buddies, your workplace etc etc.

biggerbetterjobs • 4 points • 19 October, 2017 04:33 PM

Couldn't agree more with this. The alt-right was a manufactured enemy (with intent or not) created by the far-left.. If you can even call them "left".. They're more like first world white girl internet whiners with no stance in politics outside of "muh vagina, muh body, so positive".

I just saw an episode of Black Mirror that made me think about this stuff. The episode where everyone operates on this tinder-esque 5 star rating system. It shows how when their stars get lower, their personality degenerates and others perceive them lower and lower. Think of a 5 star person being like some Wall Street hot shot millionaire and a 1 star person as a literal drug induced psychosis street beggar.

A neo nazi guy might be a 2 star. The rest of society sees him as a 2 star so he's ostracized by most social groups. But there's one that accepts him-other neo-nazis. So all the 2 star neo-nazis start to band together, get talking, and mostly agree on their same nazi beliefs. Next thing you know they're buying out all the tiki torches from the local BJ's and going on a march to state their presence.

Now it's more important than ever to see through the lines and be aware of all the divide and conquer BS going on around us.

Hit the gym, hit the books, eat good food, eat good pussy, keep your T levels up boys.

HenryCurtmantle • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 03:16 PM

Excellent post. I had never thought of a society-wide shit test.

Women gainsay their husbands' rational decisions with emotional outbursts and everyone loses.

newbornredditor • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 07:28 PM

The "#metoo" posts is something that I just discovered today, then researched the hashtag due to vagueness of the posts, and having a hard time deciphering what the hell people are referring to in comments (I've only red few posts).

Thank you for putting things in much more informative terms. This is sidebar material.

I wish the people who write these posts would be more specific in terms of what the hell are they actually talking about, because there is a huge difference when someone's buttock is grabbed with a hand, and when someone is penetrated against their will, and few posts I've red were signalling same level of generally vague severity, leaning more towards being penetrated against their will type of vibe.

I hope that the ladies that were indeed violated and need help will get it, and the whiny attention seekers, with regrets in their sexual partners of the past will get their share of justice handed to them.

Special • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 10:30 PM **[recovered]**

How does one pass the shit test of feminism though? When girls I've dated have brought up things like male privilege and rape culture, I've calmly tried to show them the errors in their thinking, but all it does is disgust them to find out they're dating a "misogynist" and then they go find some nu-male to date instead.



meaningintragedy • 4 points • 20 October, 2017 01:03 AM

A&A.

And why the fuck do you have "serious" discussions with a female?

1UPZ\_ • 2 points • 20 October, 2017 12:44 AM

Hence, the TRP is not 100% guaranteed to work for everyone.

Humans are complex, yes even women. Some women read as much blogs and ideologies online as most here. Lots of women can control their inhibitions and lock up their natural instincts because they "train" for it. There's still a bunch of liberated sluts out there dont get me wrong, but as much as its easy to believe AWALT, there will be some who are exceptions because they dedicate a lot of time resisting it.... they hate a certain type of men and value ideologies higher perhaps.

Koryphae\_ • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 08:16 AM

Do not bother arguing with logical arguments, ever. Waste of time. Not only with women but some types of males as well who are set in their ways. Actually arguing and discussing is quite high level interaction, the other person must be very humble and willing so you are not spending time just for the sake of arguing. People do not want to be wrong or change their opinions willingly. And do not bother giving advice if not asked. The last one is my big issue.

PantsonFire1234 • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 08:57 PM

The problem is that women always shit test because women play a shitty game. The moment to many beta men fail that shit test they gain allies. This is only made worse in western countries since otherwise redpilled minorities use the situation to join forces and undermine our countries even more. First the weakest men fail the society wide shit test but eventually such a majority is reached and generations are born and even normal men fail the shit test. Even high value guys. Eventually something snaps and society burns. And all these people who failed the shit test don't get why men suddenly become like this. It's the liberal/blue pill specific blend of autism.

NiceTryDisaster • 1 point • 25 October, 2017 10:27 AM

Now remember, women test men to find out which men have the right 'potential'. They aren't necessarily attracted to men at the top of dominance hierarchies, they're attracted to men who have behavioural traits that indicate they can reach the top of dominance hierarchies. From an evolutionary perspective, you're much better off ensuring your offspring will have the potential to reach the top of a dominance hierarchy rather than looking for guys who are already at the top. This is why many men who are rich CEOs have old, ugly wives who treat them like garbage and drug dealers have hot as fuck women chasing them around

Fuck fuck fuck fuck fuck fuck! I was always wondering this but could never figure it out. Now it goes 'click' in my mind. Thank you

189econs • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 07:06 AM

This is why many men who are rich CEOs have old, ugly wives who treat them like garbage and drug dealers have hot as fuck women chasing them around.

Stopped reading right there, are you 12? seriously, CEOs have old fat wives *for appearances*, they are banging 20yr interns, 10/10 bitches who want to sleep their way to the top and highend escorts their company pays for. Drug dealers? they hire crack-whores and pay them with smack, you never see them with a good looking girl that isn't tweaking her teeth out.



[deleted] • 1 point • 3 November, 2017 08:41 AM

i hate betas too man. not sure if you had a beta boss before but i'm totally uninspired by them. I had a boss that looked like the Rock once and it was some of my best sales years ever. it may be just me but i think men respond to alphas better too.

zephyrprime • 1 point • 6 November, 2017 07:06 PM\*

Your post is generally good but I take issue with the following relatively minor point:

"From an evolutionary perspective, you're much better off ensuring your offspring will have the potential to reach the top of a dominance hierarchy rather than looking for guys who are already at the top."

This pure nonsense. Proximate indicators are far far worse than direct indicators. Your interpretation of darwinian mating forces in this case is wrong. I think it is much more likely that humans are just designed to make mating decisions while young and only while young. The heuristics used by women are designed to be applied towards young men who haven't reached their potential yet. Evolution never provided women with heuristics to use when older on older men because it can be assumed that all women had already made their mating choices and had already produced offspring long before a man could rise up the ranks enough to become tribe leader.

resoredo • 1 point • 20 October, 2017 04:05 PM

From an evolutionary perspective, you're much better off ensuring your offspring will have the potential to reach the top of a dominance hierarchy rather than looking for guys who are already at the top. This is why many men who are rich CEOs have old, ugly wives who treat them like garbage and drug dealers have hot as fuck women chasing them around.

This is bullshit. I think the seek traits and also actual people on top of the dominance hierarchy. There is no security in drug dealers, there is no use in traits which are never acted upon. And why should a woman bother with the half package? Ugly old CEO wives naggig has more todo with the man than his top approach.

FatStig • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 09:13 PM **[recovered]**

Nazism is just racist communism. Capitalism is the father.

GizmodeGarage • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 10:49 PM

Sort of. Communism is the mother that wants to support the mother. National socialism is the mother that wants to support the father. Capitalism is the father.

saltyroadhogmain • -6 points • 19 October, 2017 03:09 PM

Completely over analyzed and overstressed point. Sex and reproduction aren't the be all end all of life. Women and men aren't robots they're people. Get a clue.

[deleted] • 8 points • 19 October, 2017 04:32 PM

Women and men aren't robots they're people.

We're people built on top of genetically-programmed robots, which is why it's so easy to predict how most people will behave. Most of the human race just sleepwalk through life following their genetic programming; few wake up enough to realize that their genes are making them do things, and take control over them instead.

PillTheRed • 5 points • 19 October, 2017 04:56 PM

It's arguable that the only point to life is staying alive, so you can reproduce. They may not be the end all be all as you put it. However, I challenge you to be a truly happy individual, and never have sex or be desired by others in that way.

If people were more different than like, as you seem to be implying here. The entire field of psychology would be meaningless. There would be no patterns to draw from because everyone would be so different. That's why things like CBT generally work. People are more like each other than not. Sure, they may have different hobbies and that. But, at the end of the day, we are pleasure seeking, pain avoiding creatures.

I had a really good philosophy professor challenge me on this, and now I'm going to challenge you on it. What do you do, that at the core, has nothing to do with avoiding pain and seeking pleasure? We aren't robots, as you put it. So, this very basic tenant of behavior shouldn't be the core of every last person on earth with very, very few exceptions. Which, the exceptions, are generally considered to be mental illness related. What do you do that isn't pain avoiding pleasure seeking?

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 04:45 PM

They're animals\*

Animals follow instincts engraved into their genes, modernity didn't change that.

That\_Westerosi\_Guy • -15 points • 19 October, 2017 02:52 PM

Are you saying that a woman's goal in life is getting fucked? Thats probably the most retarded shit Ive heard in a while.

Used to think red pill was good, but holy shit what has it turned to...

PillTheRed • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 04:57 PM

Did you read this at all? Or, did you look at the title and decide you needed to comment? I'm going with the latter.

That\_Westerosi\_Guy • 1 point • 19 October, 2017 07:57 PM

Yes, I did read it. How would I know of what he said otherwise?

BornShook • 1 points • 19 October, 2017 01:31 PM **[recovered]**

I don't understand all the hate for facism. Other than being paired with imperialism, it was a pretty good ideology overall. It still allowed for capitalism to exist in a pretty productive way. What we have in America now is an unstructured shit show.

max\_peenor • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 01:48 PM

I don't understand all the hate for facism.

99.99999% are intellectually shallow so big words scare them. The PRC is fascist, not communist, but the vast majority of people can't recognize it.

And no, I'm not fan of either. I'm just answering your question.

[deleted] • -7 points • 19 October, 2017 03:32 PM

They need somewhere to direct all that pent up masculinity. This can be very dangerous and it's why I think it's important for places like TRP to exist. People may view TRP as one of those dangers online communities/ideologies, but they're completely mistaken. TRP can provide a better understanding of the

world to help rid men of the confusion and lack of direction they're facing without having to resort to joining extreme political ideologies.

I love this.

As Jordan Peterson

But fuck this tool. Jordan Peterson is like a puss filled cyst growing on the side of TRP ideology. He will say 80% truth and then slip in 20% bullshit, usually to do with somehow obeying the plan our corporate masters have laid out for us.

TRP is about freedom and cultivating true strength and power. You follow Jordan douchefucks words to the letter and I promise you, you will be forfeiting a large portion of it.

NapoleonicViewgraph • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 11:31 PM

I don't agree with everything he says, but he says more truth than 99.9% of people out there.

PillTheRed • 2 points • 19 October, 2017 04:48 PM

I don't see it. The closest I've heard him say, is that if you don't know what to do, do what everyone else is doing unless you have a damn good reason not to. I think that is great advice.