

# Kayfabe

874 upvotes | 21 October, 2017 | by Whisper

---

I'm not done talking about Harvey Weinstein. (The man is just such a goldmine of perfect examples.)

[We've talked about what he did wrong.](#) (Mistook situational leverage for SMV.)

[And we've talked why what drove him to do it.](#) (Used pussy to stroke his ego, instead of just his johnson.)

But now we come to the question of **why everyone in Hollywood sheltered him, then suddenly turned on him**, when they [knew all along what he was doing](#).

To understand the answer, we have to understand a couple of facts, and *think about them all at the same time, in relation to each other*.

- The vast majority of those women were *not* raped. That wasn't rape. They chose to suck his cock, even if they went home and took six showers and then knelt on the bathroom floor alternately puking and crying.
- The ones who he grabbed who *didn't* give in still shut up about it.
- All the women who are now accusing him of rape and harassment, are all doing so at the same time. A few did, then the others followed in a cascade.
- Pretty much everyone in Hollywood knew what was going on.
- Hollywood is in the illusion business. To make money, they need people to believe, or suspend disbelief in, certain illusions.
- Beginning on 31 Aug 2014, and over the next few weeks, in an event that would be jokingly labelled "the Fappening", an unknown person or persons leaked naked selfies of a bunch of celebrity actresses.
- The response to this was widespread outrage, ostensibly over their privacy.
- Celebrity actresses frequently get naked on camera on purpose, and this boosts boxoffice draw.
- In professional wrestling, the term "kayfabe" refers to the portrayal of staged events within the industry as "real" or "true", specifically the portrayal of competition, rivalries, and relationships between participants as being genuine and not of a staged or predetermined nature of any kind. Kayfabe has also evolved to become a code word of sorts for maintaining this "reality" within the direct or indirect presence of the general public.

Now we know everything we need to know.

Kayfabe is how Hollywood makes its money. Kayfabe is why no one said anything about Harv for decades, and kayfabe is why they are calling him a rapist now. Kayfabe is why Hollywood was angry about the "Fappening", and kayfabe is why Hollywood actresses get naked on camera at a specific point in their careers, never too early. Kayfabe is why Hollywood stars talk endlessly about how wonderful and amazing and talented the people they just worked with are, and kayfabe is why they got together at big televised ceremonies and give each other trophies for making dull original movies that you went and saw because you were bored and forgot the plot of within the next two days.

*So what is Hollywood's kayfabe, exactly?*

Hollywood's kayfabe is the collectively-sustained illusion that Hollywood stars are prettier, more exciting, more interesting, larger-than-life people who are special. If you believe this, you will pay more

money to see and hear them, and to see and hear about them.

*What is the reality that the kayfabe hides?*

Hollywood stars are just a bunch of theatre nerds who have been selected for above-average looks and whoever gave ol' Harv the best handjob.

The only special people in Hollywood are the very expert professional makeup and lighting and sound and production folks who are very, very good at taking [your average 7.5 - 9](#) and making her look [ethereally beautiful on camera](#).

The woman in the second two pictures doesn't exist. She is the highlight reel from a makeover shoot by the best illusionists in the world. She is every bit as fake as if she had been constructed entirely from computer graphics. In the future, she might be. Kayfabe.

*Hollywood wants you to believe in her.*

The "Fappening" was an outrage not because involuntary porn, but because it revealed that celebrity-actresses don't really look any better than the hot secretary at the local car dealership. And that the girls themselves aren't special, either... just another bunch of dumb sexting instagram hoes. Kayfabe.

Harvey was protected before for precisely the same reason he is being thrown under a bus now... to sustain the illusion that these girls were selected for their extraordinary beauty and talent, as opposed to at random (or by casting couch) from a vast pool of relatively ordinary beauty and talent. Kayfabe.

Hollywood talks about how wonderful each other are, and give each other awards for doing their jobs, to make you believe that they are wonderful, and that their jobs are important and meaningful. Kayfabe.

*So is kayfabe limited to Hollywood?*

Of course not... other groups have their own kayfabe which helps them succeed.

Ever hear a physician criticize another physician in public? You won't. Some idiot could [amputate the wrong leg](#) and others of his guild will rush to defend him.

Why? Because closing ranks prevents public contradictions to the perception that physicians are the most highly trained and competent of professionals and deserve to be the only ones called doctor (as if PhDs didn't exist), as opposed to a mixed bag of ordinary dudes doing jobs that require recognizing the same things over and over again, and doing the same things over and over again.

The navy's SEAL teams have *amazing* kayfabe. They have practically everyone believing they are invincible-warrior-ninja-philosopher-batman, as opposed to high-selected light infantry who have had a lot more money spent on training them.

Why?

Well, how else do you get to cash in when you EAS? How else do you charge premium rates for "management consulting", as if killing muj had anything in common with manufacturing pipe fittings. How else do take one single idea that's obvious to anyone that isn't an idiot, pad it like a procrastinating undergrad into an [entire book](#), and make the bestseller list?

*So what happens with groups that don't have a kayfabe? That don't control their image?*

Have a look at software engineers.

Unlike physicians, or actors, they have no group loyalty, and will ruthlessly criticize the slightest shortcoming in their fellow professionals' work, right in front of the public, calling them idiots and incompetents and whatever else comes to mind. Go read some Linus Torvalds posts to see what I mean.

Now, the vast majority of experienced ones are actually very good, having been ruthlessly subjected to this gladiatorial pit for the entirety of their careers. However, the public regards them as a bunch of high-

paid manchildren who just seem to keep generating bugs (and never noticing when they swipe a credit card, send an email message, punch up satnav, or turn the lights on, and shit just works).

Because they have selected ruthless quality control over kayfabe, their public reputé is lower than their real world competence. For groups with good kayfabe, the reverse is true.

### **Lessons**

- It is often good to exercise kayfabe, but never beneficial to be fooled by it. Be very careful what you take from anything deliberately presented for your consumption.
- If a group is held in high reputé, instead of automatically admiring them, ask yourself if kayfabe might be active. See if you can discern what it is.
- People are more often thrown under a bus for breaking kayfabe than for doing something wrong. Learn to spot that guy, and how not to be him.

### **Further thought**

- Consider how the concept of kayfabe applies both to Donald Trump, and to the politicians who organize resistance against him.
- Consider how the concept of kayfabe applies to the collective behaviour of women. And of men.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

redpillbanana • 217 points • 21 October, 2017 10:11 PM

Great analysis and explanation.

I'm convinced that industries and organizations that have what I call Kingmakers or Queenmakers are the ones that are most corrupt and this may contribute to the level of Kayfabe.

Harvey Weinstein was a Kingmaker. If he chose to greenlight your project and/or recommend you as part of a project, you're future suddenly became a lot brighter and you may even become rich and famous. He was also a "negative Kingmaker" as well in that if you got on his bad side, he could blacklist you from any future work. No one is going to speak out against someone with this much power.

Other examples of Kingmaker/Queenmaker situations:

Hugh Hefner - there was a rumor that any playmate who wanted to become playmate of the year (and receive \$100k plus other benefits) had to have sex with him first. I don't know if it is true but it was certainly within his capability.

US Military - military officers are ultimately chosen for promotion. Piss off the wrong officer and you might as well get a civilian job. You'll not be finding any Alexander the Greats in the US military as there is no way they'd let a "kid" lead an army no matter how capable he is.

Academia - the first gate is getting your Ph.D and a committee decides on that. Then you need to get your papers accepted by more committees in order to advance your career. Piss off the wrong tenured professors and you'll be blacklisted.

Compare this to more meritocratic situations:

Software engineering - anyone can sit down and write code without needing anyone's approval. You may be hired/fired by companies but that won't stop you from contributing to the field and being recognized.

Business - anyone can start buying/selling/trading. This is why you might see very successful young businessmen - some kids just have the knack for it.

Poker - all that matters in poker is your skill against other players. This is why you'll see the strangest characters at the poker table as social graces don't matter.

I suppose it all boils down to the blowjob test: will giving someone a blowjob help advance you in your field? Then you're probably in a Kingmaker industry. Giving someone a blowjob probably isn't going to help you win poker tournaments or become a more prominent software developer. It might help you become a movie and/or music star and it might even help you get your advanced degree.

---

SirKolbath • 78 points • 21 October, 2017 10:46 PM

US Military - military officers are ultimately chosen for promotion. Piss off the wrong officer and you might as well get a civilian job. You'll not be finding any Alexander the Greats in the US military as there is no way they'd let a "kid" lead an army no matter how capable he is.

John T. Reed mentions this in his article about the 30 year ass kissing contest to make general.

---

[deleted] • 25 points • 22 October, 2017 01:46 AM

Holy shit. Also the youtube video he linked at the end is so hilarious and then so sad. "Eat a bag of dicks. I'm going to work at wal-mart." That sounds like the way I'll have to go...

---

SirKolbath • 27 points • 22 October, 2017 02:09 AM

Yeah. And as much as I loved my time in the military... I can't refute a single fucking word on his page. Everything, everything to the very *comma*, that he says, is true.

firewelt • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 06:39 PM [recovered]

If hes correct, why do you love your time in the military?

SirKolbath • 13 points • 22 October, 2017 06:51 PM

I don't want to doxx myself, but I can say that I worked with some great people who were really fun and funny. The bureaucracy is a mess. The leadership is a bunch of tools, and the mission is mostly incomprehensible, but that doesn't mean the day to day operations aren't entertaining.

max\_peenor • 4 points • 22 October, 2017 02:24 PM

Which is why there has typically been massive turn-over in all ranks above company grade in the US Army during major wars. (Not so much for the navy though.)

Barmacist • 2 points • 23 October, 2017 03:13 PM

Excellent eye opening article, worth a read.

I kind of thought better of the military, but can't say im surprised. There is always room for more cynicism.

equal2infinity • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 04:54 PM

Holy shit what a good read. I will recommend this to those I know of that are considering the military as a career. It begs the question though - knowing this is how it really is, does one choose to "game" the system, fit in and plaster on a smile to move up. Or. In my path (mostly) try to do the "right" thing and stick to your morals while sacrificing advancement. I suppose it's a question each man will need to answer on his own.

SirKolbath • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 05:12 PM

He has another article about the military that I recommend for those considering a military career.

redpillbanana • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 06:43 AM

Thanks for this article. I'm a huge fan of John T. Reed.

Look\_Ma\_Im\_On\_Reddit • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 09:34 AM

Nice article. Very Jaunty Read

[deleted] • 61 points • 22 October, 2017 12:18 AM

Another crucial piece of the puzzle here is that pussy is for sale yet dick is free. These women sold their vaginas for a very high price, now they are simply lining up so that they can hopefully double dip. In a truly just world, the judicial system would say to all of these women who are "coming forward" - OK you get some more money, but Harvey gets to fuck you again.

redpillbanana • 19 points • 22 October, 2017 02:57 PM

Reminds me of the "Lifestyle to Which You've Become Accustomed" guideline for alimony. What about his lifestyle? Does she have to provide him with homecooked meals and sex? (I realize many married

women, even stay-at-home moms, are already not providing this...)

Tallsmarhandsome • 5 points • 24 October, 2017 03:52 AM

If they are so happy with the lifestyle, why the divorce?

saibot83 • 14 points • 22 October, 2017 10:09 AM

That's the funniest thing about this mess. Women's true nature is getting exposed like a motherfucker. AWALT. I've been laughing my ass off at almost every turn. One could only hope lots more men wake up to smell the fish.

RP\_78 • 12 points • 22 October, 2017 11:04 AM

Incidentally, notice how the two genders are differently represented in industries where the "blowjob" (literal or figurative) can advance your career and in industries where it can't...

red\_matrix • 8 points • 22 October, 2017 04:46 PM

I suppose it all boils down to the blowjob test: will giving someone a blowjob help advance you in your field? Then you're probably in a Kingmaker industry.

This is the best description I've heard on this yet. Also, a great quote.

kender42 • 4 points • 22 October, 2017 01:31 PM

So in short, it's not who you know or who you blow, but HOW you blow who you know?

UrsusG • 141 points • 21 October, 2017 08:43 PM

even if they went home and took six showers and then knelt on the bathroom floor alternately puking and crying

I think you give them too much credit.

[deleted] • 129 points • 21 October, 2017 10:27 PM

Agreed.

They def showered, they may have puked but they absolutely were *not* crying. They were giddy with joy that the fame train was gonna roll in.

adolfsbff • 43 points • 22 October, 2017 06:19 AM

You forgot police officers. Kayfabe like a motherfucker.

Reefer-eyed\_Beans • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 08:53 AM **[recovered]**

Actually, some tears were shed to keep up appearances. And it was 2 parts giddy joy, 1 part animosity... but that's not the point. This whole thing is just a false flag created by the secret sorority of Hollywood women who are out to exterminate the male race.

The blue cucks will tell you about how he threatened to harm the girls' careers, or tell you how many of them turned down his advances, or about how he literally raped some girls. This is an insecure response. Real men defend other men at all costs, and will create any necessary alternate facts to do so. This is called **free association**, and like most things that are free, it is very beneficial.

**Remember** there's nothing alpha about admitting you don't know something. Throw out specific details

about serious allegations, even if you pulled them straight from your ass, and do it *confidently*.

**DO NOT BE AFRAID TO ASSERT YOURSELF!!..."They wanted it, they loved it, they enjoyed every second of it!"** Real men speak not from the heart, but from the anus. If we allow this to be the fault of a man, we are creating a world where men can be blamed for forcing their will on the lesser sex.

Create the world YOU want to live in! Envision YOUR goals!

Think about it: *Why live in a false reality of submission when you can live in a false reality that endorses sexual assault? Which sounds more fulfilling? More manly? More natural?*

Also important: **silence dissenting opinions** Always downvote any anti-rape comments. Perhaps nothing is more crucial to TRP doctrine than using censorship to limit discussion. If someone challenges you intellectually, dismissal can be achieved by calling them a "cuck". The process can be sped up by using aggressive language in your original statements, in an attempt to illicit the responding voice of reason. The resulting insult and dismissal has a polarizing effect that binds us together and strengthens our community.

Keep a progress report weekly and share it amongst ourselves.

CrazyHorseInvincible[M] • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 09:08 AM

I think you got lost on your way here.

My\_reddit\_throwawaycomment score below threshold • -53 points • 22 October, 2017 05:52 AM

This whole thread is Trumped up Bannon bullshit. You've obviously never been molested or assaulted.

max\_peenor • 26 points • 22 October, 2017 02:21 PM

Trumped up Bannon bullshit

Stage IV Trump Derangement Syndrome: The suffer becomes incapable of communicating without comparing their subject with Trump or his proxies. Personal trauma will be recast as Trump Victim Ideation as well as other pathologies that remove all sense of agency in the post-Hillary era.

EPArT • 44 points • 22 October, 2017 06:02 AM

You can exit stage left this place is probably not for you.

Future\_Alpha • 10 points • 22 October, 2017 03:56 PM

lol assault is a joke nowadays. if you accidentally rub a girls butt on a subway its assault and harassment. fuck off cuck.

Reefer-eyed\_Beans • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 06:19 AM [recovered]

He might have been. Maybe that's why he just pulls a made-up story out of his ass and states it as a fact. *It's just kayfabe bro!!!*

Seriously though wtf is up with this sub. The last time I was here (been a while) it was about how to pick up girls and build productive habits. Now it's people who think they're literally in the Matrix and the world is a conspiracy? The fuck happened?

Deep\_freeze202 • 19 points • 22 October, 2017 11:33 AM

Being redpill is more than just pickup and good habits, it's about becoming the best man you can. Observations on society, how groups function, things like this post explains, it's all part of helping men to overcome societal barriers that make them feel too unattractive, too unintelligent, too unfit



ect..

It's pointing out that most of this is complete bullshit designed to condition us to accept mediocrity. If posts like this help some guys wake up and swallow the pill and puts them on a path to self improvement because they realize they can be the chads of the world what the fuck is the problem with that?

\_com • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 01:16 PM

I think, unfortunately, you're giving this advice to a plugged in beta, and it's falling on deaf ears as a result. If someone can read this post without realizing its obvious practical applicability to sexual strategy, they probably have a lot left to learn.

[deleted] • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 09:40 AM

These are the people who will never overcome their anger phase, masking it with wannabe-smart walls of text like this one. The real home of the Red Pill philosophy is the Rational Male, here is mostly a bunch of children who saw a woman behaving badly and start screaming "omg AWALT! I saw it too!". Wow, great, tell me your interesting story.

wanderer779 • 0 points • 22 October, 2017 04:53 PM

I think the trouble is that you didn't specifically challenge anything. You typed a lot of words and said nothing. It's still your move.

Reefer-eyed\_Beanscomment score below threshold • -12 points • 22 October, 2017 06:08 AM

Then what? I actually heard that a few them took baths...do you have a source that they "def showered"? What'd they eat for breakfast the next morning? How did they react emotionally to the news about Trump and the Iran nuclear deal?

max\_peenor • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 02:22 PM

Yeah, bro. They stoppe taking showers forever. They just wipe swirl dried Weinstein jazz crumbles into their nipples to reinvigorate them for the day.

Put down the blunt, friend. Put down the blunt.

365reasons • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 05:19 AM

wait till you see this in hollywood's next big production! gonna be a massive success!

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 83 points • 22 October, 2017 12:28 AM

Really good stuff here.

Very red pill. Instead of Harvey the monster, we have "Harvey the man who every time we see him makes us feel uncomfortable about the choices we made to get where we are now so let's all mob him at once"

All of those women accusing him of being a monster..where is the woman quietly putting her hands up and saying "Yes, he's kind of an ugly guy, and he trades his power to make you a star against getting sex from you (or attempting to) but I decided to go for it anyway...I knew what I was doing and I made a choice."

No volunteers? No, it's easier to stick with the mob. In fact if you don't you may get torn apart, *you* may become the next target. Do not question the narrative.

Brand him a monster, and absolve yourself of all sin at the same time!



What a sweet deal.

OneRedYear • 15 points • 22 October, 2017 06:29 PM

Sorry he's still a piece of shit. Does that mean the women who chose and benefited are perfect angels? Nope. Water finds its own level. But he's still a shitbag.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 13 points • 22 October, 2017 09:42 PM

No need to be sorry, I actually agree. Yes he's a piece of shit. I'm just annoyed by the way that his accusers are all painting themselves as angels who were oppressed by this terrible man... I'm sure there were some innocents among them. But not all of them were.

Yet all of them are taking the chance to absolve themselves of guilt, to wash themselves clean of sin...and I'm not buying it. Sheer numbers alone mean that at least some of them purposefully traded on their charms to get ahead..and are now painting him as a "monster" so they can feel better about themselves.

Dishonest.

pondhockeyguyrevived • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 07:50 PM

You're not really in the right sub for that attitude. There are parts of all of us that agree with you. But this sub doesn't exist to enforce that side of us, society does that enough. This sub recognizes that we could all be drug dealers, pimps, thugs, criminals in another life or if our current one drastically forces those actions. This sub recognizes that and instead of blaming the man, considers the less comfortable alternative that the true blame/ shame lies with those that accept fame for prostitution- the women.

JAMellott23 • 3 points • 3 November, 2017 05:30 AM

I don't think it's healthy to ignore basic decency and empathy just because of the sub you're in. This sub's reputation is poor partly because of that lack of nuance and some writers' assumption that everyone on board is automatically a good person even if we're talking about some not so good stuff. Just my two cents, but I think this sub could use more qualifications over blanket statements.

vandaalen • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 10:53 PM

he's still a shitbag

Why?

Rian\_Stone • 14 points • 21 October, 2017 09:40 PM

Basically narcissism, the story is the only thing, everyone else are set pieces.

The trick is to avoid a meltdown when someone causes a narcissistic injury to that narrative

Hoodwink • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 03:54 AM

If you're ever in the presence of a narcissist, you definitely see that certain others (often very weak/poor/desperate people) take up their story with a passion because the narcissist often presents strength/prosperity.

And it is indeed a certain kind of strength when enough people believe in the lie and the story.

Rian\_Stone • -4 points • 22 October, 2017 05:42 AM

Narcissism is the opposite of dependency

Hoodwink • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 05:59 AM\*

Hmm. Not exactly.

You'll find narcissists are terribly psychologically dependent on something. Usually, vanity in terms of their looks or personal wealth/power - and they otherwise judge people almost purely on these characteristics. With an inability to see other's value in any real way. Even the dirt poor narcissists are like this.

Others might flock to the narcissist because he has money/power(gate-keeping). And you can usually see a narcissist flaunt power and wealth to attract lackeys who will lick their boots (or suck their cocks).

Narcissists usually love power like a gambling addict loves a casino. Namely because it gets them 'friends' or they live on the highs when they unnecessarily wield cruelty and get away with it. They usually come across as weak bully with anybody with a functioning frontal lobe - as in a tin-pot dictator or Donald Trump.

But, one cannot mistake that there is an attraction to the powerful because they disrespect reality; it's not just fear that gets others in line.

While others may be economically dependent on a narcissist - a narcissist acts like an addict that is terribly dependent on a drug.

It's like the opposite of the 'red pill' - a narcissist's first love and blue pill is power/money, dominant behavior, and the thrill of exercising it - without any regard to any truths or empathy practices. The 'red pill' for them is puppy love, shining unicorns, and pedestals - it's one of the reasons why I think religions and churches can be filled with narcissists because they discover 'love' as a learned conceptual behavior - that a lot of other people are practicing.

The term 'co-dependent' is usually a term for someone who keeps projecting his/her own values on someone who keeps proving otherwise - and is a term because she is economically dependent and this is also holding her back. Being labeled with a sickness can spur her to be otherwise more questioning about everything else.

Two co-dependents can live harmoniously pretty damn well and are usually very well-functioning together. Two narcissists can be functioning 'together' only if they're apart in reality.

massivewang • 2 points • 23 October, 2017 12:16 PM

*The term is typically used in a negative sense, describing a pathological or excessive need for attention or admiration from codependents, or such a need in the orally fixated, that does not take into account the feelings, opinions or preferences of other people.*

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Narcissistic\\_supply](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Narcissistic_supply)

Rian\_Stone • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 12:53 PM

I can read a wiki, you're missing a lot

massivewang • 3 points • 23 October, 2017 12:55 PM\*

The narcissist is dependent upon his narcissistic supply.

Edit: In other words to be a narcissist is to be dependent, specifically on a narcissistic supply. As a result, Narcissism is not the opposite of dependency, but is in fact dependency.

Rian\_Stone • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 01:11 PM

Narcissism is dependent on a self identity, it's not dependent on enabling from the outside world. It is, however, susceptible to narcissistic injury from it.

Case in point (and it's the only lesson people should take from Mad Men) Don Draper is a narcissist, it's not a diagnosis, it's how he was written. He isn't Don, he is someone else, pretending to be Don. The only times he loses his shit is when that image is threatened. He doesn't need to feed off of supply from his co workers, in fact, even when they are talking shit about him, trying to fuck him over, it doesn't phase him. Why? Because it's still taking all his assumptions, it's still part of the script.

What you're referring to in narcissistic supply is co dependence. Two narcissists cannot engage, because they both have their own scripts, and the other doesn't exist. A N and a D can exist together, since the N creates their manufactured identity, and the D uses it to morph their own.

They aren't the same thing.

For an example of the two, Echo and Narcissus

massivewang • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 01:14 PM

Narcissism is dependent on a self identity, it's not dependent on enabling from the outside world. It is, however, susceptible to narcissistic injury from it.

Touche, that is what I was referring to. Ever see a narcissist challenged? He does not react well, flips his shit, loses frame, etc. In my experience it seems that he "needs" the validation/acceptance of his superior and the moment it is threatened/he's exposed as incompetent all hell breaks loose.

Rian\_Stone • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 01:22 PM

You're describing narcissistic injury, and it's not quite the same thing, agreed.

He doesn't need the validation, your contempt will do. It's making him face the reality that you exist outside his ID, that you aren't a setpiece in his movie. Instead, that he doesn't actually exist in yours as the main character that does it.

stealing another quote which puts it well.

The narcissist says, "I exist." A narcissistic injury is you showing him that he does not exist in your life. Kicking him in the teeth and telling him he is a jerk is not a narcissistic injury-- because he must therefore exist.

Let's say I'm a narcissist, and you send me a 10 page letter explaining why I suck, I'm a jerk, I'm an idiot; you attack my credibility, my intelligence; and you even provide evidence for all of this, college transcripts, records from the Peters Institute, you criticize my penis size, using affidavits from past and future girlfriends-- all of this hurts me, but it is not a narcissistic injury.

A narcissistic injury would be this: I expect you to write such a letter, and you don't bother.

I've been learning this. I get more hate mail than GLO, uncle Vas, even RPS. A lot of it is because of this phenomenon (I think). The funniest part is that I never remember who is grieved, probably why so much rage.

Dmva100 • 32 points • 21 October, 2017 10:03 PM\*

Women and the Feminine Imperative. Covering each other's backs with cheating, paternity fraud, NAWALT, and fabricating B.S. sexual assault/rape stories. Kayfabe.

Dont let the boys know, especially the betas! Blow the whistle? You're branded a slut and out of the social circle. Nobody wants you.

Hoodwink • 16 points • 22 October, 2017 03:48 AM\*

This is the most important point. The feminine imperative relies on Kayfabe.

Not all girls uphold the feminine imperative though - although, I think if you're below 30, you basically have no chance in spotting them from girls who aren't like the rest (i.e. NAWALT). But even NAWALT girls can turn into AWALT.

In some ways, I think religion is partly used as a Kayfabe (especially as it concerns women) to combat the feminine imperative throughout time.

LiveAFTSOV • 49 points • 21 October, 2017 09:15 PM

Hollywood's kayfabe is the... illusion that Hollywood stars are... larger-than-life people who are special. If you believe this, you will pay more money to see and hear them, and to see and hear about them.

The illusion is right there, in the name of their job occupation. *Actor*.

It's funny, people use Brad Pitt as some kind of Arch-type Alpha and neglect to remember how he got divorce raped twice, and cuck raises a few foreign rat-children

It's almost like the bloopers love the characters he plays, and not who he is.

Hollywood stars are just a bunch of theatre nerds who have been selected for above-average looks and whoever gave ol' Harv the best handjob.

Pretty much sums up the holly wood situation. Actors are millionaire larpers with better than homemade gear.

dull original movies that you went and saw because you were bored and forgot the plot of within the next two days.

I was going to say someone should write a Red Pill movie or screen play, about some kind of Chad Thundercock who lives through his life with scenes from the best field reports as the the scenes for the movie, like the one about the guy who got a vasectomy or the rich heir to an oil fortune who lived frugally and got dumped by his BB-Seeking girl, only to find out he was rich.

But I guess with Fifty Shades of Wet Pussies it was already done.

Maybe some day, in the future. Theatre nerds and Creative Writing Nerds and Script Nerds and Directing nerds do make alot of fucking money!

---

TL:DR - *Perception doesn't make a man, it fools the other.*

EPArt • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 06:08 AM

I would watch the fuck out of that film would be a great learning resource too. Might do terrible in the box office though then again if enough angry feminazi's hear about it could go mainstream. Could defo at least get a string of netflix shorts.

wanderer779 • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 05:04 PM

They already complain about everything anyway.

This movie will never get made because of simple economics. Redpilling isn't profitable. Studios will refuse to make it because they don't want the backlash when they can just remake an old remake of a remake and make a half billion dollars with no headaches. If it somehow got made, Washington Post would tell Bp dudes not to watch it and they'd do as they were told. Guys who are already red pill aren't going to watch cause it would be a waste of time and money.

omega\_dawg93[ ] • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 03:34 PM

yeah... like people voting for politicians bc Clint Eastwood showed up on stage.

they're voting based on dirty Harry... not the old cool dude that's a rich Hollywood type.

RedPillHanSolo • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 05:55 AM

It's funny, people use Brad Pitt as some kind of Arch-type Alpha and neglect to remember how he got divorced twice, and cuck raises a few foreign rat-children

Funny how even some ECs play into this illusion. IMO that's an essential part of Red Pill -- see allure of Hollywood and entertainment business for what it is.

Thotwrecker • 22 points • 22 October, 2017 06:13 PM

The entire female gender subscribes to this type of kayfabe and actively takes a collective shit upon women who go against the grain. Women who out the feminine imperative / female sexual strategies to betas are quickly thrown under the bus, attacked, criticized, etc. Women have to maintain the illusion that men are the dirty cheaters, that paternity fraud doesn't exist, every woman is getting sexually harassed, false rape accusations never happen, all careers automatically underpay you if you're a woman, women are out looking for love and connection whereas guys just want to objectify & pump them, etc.

Their entire success as a gender depends upon how well this reality distortion field is maintained. This is what keeps the price of pussy high, and keeps betas willing to pay above market prices for average pussy.

TRP in a nutshell to me has always been reductive. How do we strip away the kayfabe and what is left after we do? After all of the image control and reality distortion is removed from women, what is left behind and how do we deal with it? In that stupid EMSK post about why the TRP will rot your soul or whatever, there is one point that stuck with me - which is that TRP is an anti-cult. It's anti-religion. It's the stripping away of layers of bullshit that people who don't have our best interests at heart have woven together to prevent us from seeing a spade and calling it a spade.

I don't really give a shit about women sucking dick to be famous - this has always been the case, and it always will be. The Fappening was IMO vital for society because it helped nerdy men who loves 7s like Jennifer Lawrence to realize she's just an average girl with dead eyes and a cum-glazed face sending butthole texts to old men, and there was no rationality for pedestalizing her in the first place. All these hoes suck dick, some hoes just suck the right dick at the right time for their career. That's it. Women will always use their only bargaining chip - sex - to get what they want (attention, fame, lifestyle, excitement, etc).

What matters to me is not that women do this, but that we as a society (or at least me as an individual) has the ability to see things for what they are. All the bullshit stripped aside, companies are all Enron - they are just smarter and better at not getting caught / buying off the right politicians. Women are prostitutes, they just fuck for different men for different prices. Betas are workers that receive a little tidbit for enabling alphas and women to live rich. And in any industry where there's powerful men at the helm, there's women deep throating the carrot on a stick to get ahead.

TRP is both a method for men to strip away layers of reality distortion to arrive at the actual truth about how things are, and a strategy for what to do when you get there. But if you buy into kayfabe - ie Indian guys who fetishize the concept of the blonde all american sorority girl and pedestalize just for being a symbol that you've made it in America - and then try to use TRP to achieve your goal, then you're going to fuck yourself up.

cherryCanSuckMyDick • 3 points • 31 October, 2017 07:33 PM

I don't really give a shit about women sucking dick to be famous - this has always been the case, and it always will be. The Fappening was IMO vital for society because it helped nerdy men who loves 7s like Jennifer lawrence to realize she's just an average girl with dead eyes and a cum-glazed face sending butthole texts to old men, and there was no rationality for pedestalizing her in the first place.

now that I stop and think about it, the fappening was a godsend for modern men. People of past generations never could have had the chance to see their nobility, kings, queens, whatever, in their underpants, but all in one instant it made you realize how pathetic and ordinary they are.

slumdog-millionaire • 1 points • 21 October, 2017 09:09 PM [recovered]

as opposed to a mixed bag of ordinary dudes doing jobs that require recognizing the same things over and over again, and doing the same things over and over again.

Absolutely, and so few people realize this. Drugs fall into classes with very specific uses, antibiotics, steroids, uppers, downers, all primary care doctors do is memorize how to recognize symptoms and prescribe an appropriate drug from the corresponding classes. Theyre literally glorified drug dealers with 8 years of education.

root\_pulp • 12 points • 22 October, 2017 02:36 PM

PCP is one thing, but specialists are an entirely different ball game. For example, surgeons, cardio, neuro, particular at the high level are very highly skilled professionals.

Granted, it's all practice because nobody is ever perfect. HOWEVER a neurosurgeon attempting to remove or resection a brain tumor, or a cardiac surgeon repairing a heart valve or draining the pericardium to prevent tamponade is the very definition of a highly skilled professional.

TheScarletScholar • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 05:10 PM

It's quite easy for people to draw criticisms about fields of specialty they know little to nothing about. It's just a coincidence physicians were chosen as opposed to any other field. You can minimize and reduce nearly any profession to a phrase of seemingly easy tasks. Not to say that all physicians have necessarily "hard" jobs, but 'ordinary dudes who recognize the same things over and over' is a gross oversimplification.

max\_peenor • 17 points • 22 October, 2017 02:16 PM

Brilliant post. My puny additions below.

your average 7.5 - 9 and making her look ethereally beautiful on camera.

A lot of time they aren't even that. I spent a decent amount of time around the industry when I was much younger and what a lot of people don't understand is the look (particularly face) that looks good in person (3d, naturally shaded) does not look the same in film (2d, artificially lighted). A lot of thee women look outright gawky and strange when you meet them in person. Likewise a lot of natural beauties will get flattened and look very bland when on camera. Makeup can help, but it isn't magic.



Celebrity actresses frequently get naked on camera on purpose, and this boosts boxoffice draw.

Too bad they couldn't DRM Jennifer Lawrence's asshole. That picture is practically public domain now. You are quite right. What her asshole might look like is a dream they sold millions of men with her at the edge risqué scenes in the movies. Now that we all have seen it, the value of any lesser picture has dropped dramatically. She might even have to learn how to act now.

The "Fappening" was an outrage not because involuntary porn, but because it revealed that celebrity-actresses don't really look any better than the hot secretary at the local car dealership.

Or that when they finally do perfect sex robots, that their looks won't be any less fake than those of these women than sell their looks to the public. If I'm going to fuck something that's an illusion, I may as well fuck the one that raids my wallet only once, right?

RedPillHanSolo • 5 points • 23 October, 2017 05:59 AM

What her asshole might look like is a dream they sold millions of men with her at the edge risqué scenes in the movies. Now that we all have seen it, the value of any lesser picture has dropped dramatically.

Bravo. I couldn't quite explain this to myself, but you managed to do it.

mactakeda • 23 points • 21 October, 2017 10:38 PM

The point about the Navy SEALs was excellent. For a group that prides itself on being silent, secretive professionals, they have an awful lot of movies, documentaries and autobiographies. O'Neil even does motivational speaking.

redvelvet\_oreo • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 04:33 PM

Well to your point about them being secretive and quiet professionals, I get that is their mantra but there has to be some way to find recruiting for these guys. You have to inspire people somehow. Even if the reality is these people are not invincible.

Plenty of books out there now about these guys and some of their training and experiences. I have read a lot of them some good some lame. I think there is some knowledge to gain from people like them. I also think some stories need to be told.

Quoting OP "killing Muj has nothing to do with manufacturing pipes" but on the same token discipline, hard work, dedication are all things you can learn and apply to anything.

I am not trying to detract from what OP is saying but it fits in a certain context. It's silly to think you can't learn from some of these people even if you are not out slaying bad guys.

Airskycloudface • 0 points • 25 October, 2017 07:18 PM

That's the reason success will always remain in the hands of the few.

Simple not easy.

Discipline is required to get and stay in shape.

Discipline is required to become and continue to be successful.

Yes, they are simple principles. It is another thing entirely to follow these principles.

It is super simple to get good at anything, just keep doing it. And yet, so many people still suck at everything due to laziness.

If you master discipline, you are guaranteed to be top 1% in a decade of hard work or less.



equal2infinity • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 05:03 PM

This doesn't necessarily apply to all of them. Most SEALs I know and have worked with just want to do SEAL shit and hate when it goes mainstream media. Even elite groups like this have the occasional turd.

mmishu • 12 points • 22 October, 2017 04:49 AM

We've talked about what he did wrong. (Mistook situational leverage for SMV.)

I have a question about this point.

How is his situation, being a top hollywood exec - which allows him to take advantage of up and coming actresses, not accounted into his SMV? Isn't that a subcategory of his wealth, status, and popularity/network?

indeydius • 6 points • 22 October, 2017 11:13 AM\*

He was attractive until he lost the respect of everyone around him. Many commenters here talk about his physical ugliness but that wasn't what made him unattractive in the end. Imagine a toad having Godfather-esque frame. A guy like that would have as many women coming to him because they were attracted, just like they are to many of the top guys like Mick Jagger that marry 30 or 40 years junior.

As men we project our experience. We get tingles for young hot women. The tingles women get are fired by different cues. That's what hypergamy is. Watch the doc about Charles Bukowski called *Born Into This*. He was a toad but attractive to many women because of fame and frame.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 01:03 PM

It is contextual SMV. He only has SMV in the context of Movie Producer. Like a teacher to a student. Take him out of the context the added SMV diminishes.

mmishu • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 02:37 PM

But in any context a girl wants attention, how is fame not the highest level of that? Just like anyone gets flustered around a celebrity even if they aren't familiar with their work.

JamesSkepp • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 08:55 PM

Money and status compound the physical attractiveness, not replace it. If you turn yourself into a decent human shape status will help more than if you're a fat slob.

This whole thing would be 10 times smaller if he would be handsome or had any game.

oroichi107 • 1 point • 26 October, 2017 10:19 AM [recovered]

had any game. Can you explain what that means please?

JamesSkepp • 2 points • 26 October, 2017 10:51 AM

"Game" is a common name for picking up girls, often the name is associated with deliberate, learned strategies to achieve that, but that's not required. A random dude that is good with women can be called as "having game" too.

In the context of Weinstein it means that if he had known and understood the basics of male-female dynamics, he wouldn't need to resort to "molesting" the actresses. Something like: if I know how to earn money, I don't need to steal it from others.

cherryCanSuckMyDick • 1 point • 31 October, 2017 08:12 PM\*

This whole thing would be 10 times smaller if he would handsome or had any game.

Any time you see a witch hunt against a man, the thrust of it takes two forms:

- The men denouncing him for whatever he did, usually based on something he did that they might normally overlook, but is technically still not right (broke the law, broke a social taboo, didnt do what society or morality expected of him).

- The women piling up evidence to denounce him as a "loser" in their eyes, often having almost nothing to do with what he actually did, but it seems to drive their rage more than any of the evidence about what he objectively did wrong, its that hes a loser and no woman would ever side with the loser of the group

pondhockeyguyrevived • 0 points • 22 October, 2017 07:57 PM

In my opinion, although others are correct as well, it's as simple as his self perceived SMV was lower than his actual SMV. In other words, he was delusional.

Future\_Alpha • 6 points • 22 October, 2017 03:53 PM

Can confirm that kayfabe exists for physicians. I am currently in Medical School. the extent to which it exists is approaching hollywood levels. Medical schools, pretend to be some bastion of science that a mere mortal cannot comprehend or succeed in, hence why they make ridiculous entry requirements (in Canada you need a 3.8 GPA minimum to get into medical school and its about the same for good schools in the US) but medical school itself isn't hard. I swear I could teach a monkey how to be a physician. All you really need is a good memory and a good system for memorizing large amounts of information, thats all. The reason medical schools do this pretense, is to keep the numbers of physicians artificially low to keep their salaries high.

oroichi107 • 1 points • 26 October, 2017 10:23 AM [recovered]

good memory and a good system for memorizing large amounts of information, thats all.

But that's exactly how you get high gpa? Or not?

Future\_Alpha • 1 point • 26 October, 2017 11:44 AM

Yes it is. But it is a poor indicator of intelligence imo

[deleted] • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 12:11 AM

It's and old TV show but the Larry Sanders show did a great job of showing how kayfabe operates in Hollywood. That show was so far ahead of it's time.

RedPillgrim • 0 points • 23 October, 2017 06:38 PM

actually it was behind its time since Hollywood is older than the show

alecpet00 • 7 points • 22 October, 2017 01:08 AM

Upvote for supporting software engineers. I'm really hoping for software engineer labor union/mafia, and it'll probably only be something my grandkids will create to keep different kinds of AI off their backs.

TheWhiskeyTickler • 8 points • 21 October, 2017 11:44 PM

Think about why they never show the guys getting away on COPS. It happens. Hell in my city people get shot and killed and the cops never find the killers.

This is an important concept to internalize, because there are so many instances in our society where we blindly

accept something as the truth when it's simply not.

wiseprogressivethink • 7 points • 22 October, 2017 06:38 AM

Extremely good post, would give gold except fuck reddit.

aDrunkenWhaler • 6 points • 22 October, 2017 01:08 PM

Not sure if you actually read Jocko's book, or listen to the guy, or if you have a business and know what it is to have people working for you that not only do you need to lead, but also pay from said business... I figure not.

For some time now I've been reading anything I find with the word SEALs in it and other military strategy books because they are the best books I can read to help me in my manufacturing business, from planning to leadership to mindset.

I don't disagree they have kayfabe, but those guys can back it up. Just like olympic athletes who train ruthlessly for years, these guys are the top of the top.

Otherwise, upvoted. Strong post.

[deleted] • 3 points • 23 October, 2017 12:45 PM\*

The thing is, what percentage of these women actually threw themselves at him, in the hopes of fame and wealth? Since everyone knew this was a "problem" with the Harv, you know damn well he had womens giving him hand jobs and blow jobs with the ( unspoken even ?) expectation of help with their career. Right?

Now that the cat is out of the bag, naturally everyone is raped.

A lot of them knew what was happening after time. A lot of them knew what "going to meet in a hotel room" was all about. I have no doubts it was even talked about. Think about it, 15 minutes of slime and your career takes off vs years of trying to claw your way up the ladder.

I'm not defending his actions, the guy is a piece of shit. But the whole idea of all these women being completely innocent is just ridiculous.

ChuckCassadyJR • 6 points • 21 October, 2017 11:27 PM

Excellent post. Articulated and made clear some assumptions I've always made but had never crystallised before. In an age where red pill stuff is hit or miss, this is hit.

hereinsteadofgym • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 09:02 AM

Have a look at software engineers.

My question is, *why* didn't software engineers develop a better propaganda strategy? How come psysicians can sell themselves while computer guys can't?

etherael • 13 points • 22 October, 2017 10:05 AM\*

I've been a software developer for decades now, and if you want the short cut the bullshit and skip to the chase answer it's this; we do not give a fuck. It's a very strange person who steps into this world, looks around, finds the most complex and new thing they possibly can and feels irrevocably compelled to experiment and play with it endlessly because they want to see the potential and ideally tear asunder the fabric of the world and remake it to their preferences with this extremely powerful new tool, and almost everything about normal human experience is just simple, predictable, silly, primitive and boring to them.

Devs largely aren't being vindictive and backstabbing when they make what sound like epic burns about software quality or technical issues, they're just engaging in their trade. They don't give a fuck how the

person that wrote what they're talking about largely feels about the situation. If anything they probably think if the guy has half a brain he just got free consulting for his project, which from the perspective of a software engineer is really just free labour for them, so who gives a fuck about hurt feelings and name-calling in that context? You're going to think up the nastiest possible roasts you can for me and in the process maybe teach me something useful I didn't know before? Flame on motherfucker, I'll take that bargain any day of the year. Nothing is more important than my mastery. Certainly not something as ephemeral and inconsequential as my ego.

Doctors, lawyers, all those high prestige "professional" positions that are really just pretty simple locally restricted fields of applied chemistry, physics, language and mathematics with a bunch of gatekeeping and status signalling tacked on top, by comparison are concerned almost only *with* ego.

Future\_Alpha • 8 points • 22 October, 2017 04:08 PM

Doctors, lawyers, all those high prestige "professional" positions that are really just pretty simple locally restricted fields of applied chemistry, physics, language and mathematics with a bunch of gatekeeping and status signalling tacked on top, by comparison are concerned almost only with ego.

yup. in medical school currently. they make getting in so fucking difficult (one has to sacrifice one's life entirely in their early-mid 20's just to get in) because they claim that medical school is 'so hard' that most people would drop out if allowed to enter without restriction. bullshit. i can teach a monkey to be a physician. hell, one could probably write code (if peritoneumfilling==true then drain;). the real reason they do it is that the AMA has a powerful lobby and caps the number of medical students per year, to keep physician salaries high. high salaries = prestige in our society.

etherael • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 06:25 PM

It's going to be interesting to see how massive deep learning, healthcare data exchange on blockchains, and health insurance packages on the above infrastructure all mashed together, affects both the cost of healthcare and the gatekeeping of the medical profession in the mid term future.

Future\_Alpha • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 06:54 PM

its probably going to crash the salaries of primary care physicians, especially with midlevel encroachment (for example nurse practioners allowed perscription rights) into family medicine. specialists salaries will remain high because its very hard to replace specialist labour with machines (especially if its surgery) and because specialists can work independently of the hospital system and thus make money by having their own practice.

etherael • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 08:04 PM

Surgery you might be right about, but I've seen some pretty spectacular results from deep learning algorithms on specialist medical roles like analysing scans and other advanced pattern recognition kind of jobs where generally deep learning trained neural nets do even better than specialists in the field.

Generally speaking it's my observation that if the job involves advanced visual pattern recognition, deep learning is going to crush it.

<https://www.google.co.jp/amp/s/www.cnbc.com/amp/2017/04/07/vinod-khosla-radiologists-obsolete-five-years.html>

Future\_Alpha • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 08:34 PM

yeah i agree. deep learning is going to murder the family doctors, internists, radiologists,

roles with little hands on application.

Whisper[S] • 3 points • 24 October, 2017 07:32 PM

*Flattering answer:*

They don't give a fuck. They value getting shit done over having everyone kiss their ass. They only prize approbation from fellow experts, not the great unwashed.

*Unflattering answer:*

They are a bunch of hopeless nerds who wouldn't know where to start. They had no social status in school, and were picked on, and they spend their lives desperately trying to soothe that inner hurt by proving they are smartest guy in the room... and this involves criticizing all the others to anyone who will listen, guild member or not.

*Real answer:*

Both of the above, to varying degrees.

Taco\_Truck\_Aficionad • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 02:04 PM [recovered]

While I agree with your thoughts on kayfabe, Harvey Weinstein got away with it for so long for one simple reason:

Harvey Weinstein is a zionist, rich-elite, socialist Jew. He's a Jew who secures money from other Jews to produce anti-liberty propaganda and make a ROI. The only reason he got busted and thrown under the bus is because he hasn't produced a big hit in 10 years and was made into a sacrifice.

askmrcia • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 11:48 PM

The only reason he got busted and thrown under the bus is because he hasn't produced a big hit in 10 years and was made into a sacrifice.

Not sure if this is true, it could be. But yea I def suspect the reason he got busted is because he pissed someone higher than him off. I know it has almost nothing to do with some woman coming out or a leaked tape.

PantsonFire1234 • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 09:09 PM

There's a big difference between a top tier operator who went through grueling tests akin to a spartan agoge and a fucking normie who can exaggerated emotions on camera. The thing is the world is full of illusions like the latter. That's the problem with a society that goes the way of ours. It's full of people bullshitting. And no one is there to shut them up with a dose of real life.

[deleted] • 2 points • 23 October, 2017 01:07 PM\*

Have a look at software engineers.

We can probably disqualify them as not software engineers, but we do have our Jacob Applebaums and Julian Assanges which mirror the Weinstein situation with people within Tor and WikiLeaks well aware of their behavior and actively constructing kayfabe to protect the projects.

darkstar1031 • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 12:51 AM

And which of the McMahon children are you exactly?

whuttupfoo • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 07:44 AM

Damn what happened to Stephanie, she used to be a bad bitch

darkstar1031 • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 07:49 AM

I haven't the slightest clue, I stopped watching more than a decade ago.

GayLubeOil • 10 points • 21 October, 2017 09:46 PM

There's another angle to the whole Harvey Weinstein saga that should be obvious to anyone who listened to the tape or has any Jewish friends. To fully understand the cultural side of the issue

Jew Bob Weinstein Jewed Harvey Weinstein out to the Jew York Times. Then She-Jew feminists said hey goys this isn't a Jewlywood Issue nope it's definitely 100% a White Male issue.

Gosh all these white males like Anthony Weiner, David Epsitine, Roman Polanski, Woody Allen are so Toxic. It's definitely their white heterosexual Christian traditional masculinity that's the problem.

Also please nobody talk about the Hollywood boy parties. Everyone please only pay attention to Harvey Weinstein and toxic white Christian males like him.

It's gotten to a point where the liberal Jews are so out of control that Conservative Jews like Ben Shapiro and the Breitbart crew are desperately trying to put a stop to the madness.

There is a Jewish Cultural civil war going down right now. Very similar actually to the events that the Jewish holiday of Hannukah is based on. History repeats itself.

creekcanary • 14 points • 21 October, 2017 11:59 PM

Frankly I don't find the Jewish connection too interesting or compelling. Reading that article, it seems the author is speculating on Weinstein's intent, without looking at the facts. Occam's Razor seems to apply here, dude wasn't trying to enact revenge on religious grounds -- dude was trying to get his dick wet and stroke his ego.

GayLubeOil • 12 points • 22 October, 2017 12:20 AM

Weinstein's entire career is the defamation of traditional Christian values and for that matter so is Hollywood at large. You thinking this manifests only artistically and not sexually is a mistake.

creekcanary • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 01:41 AM\*

Sure, but you could argue that his career was the defamation of traditional Jewish values as well.

Pretty sure Jewish orthodoxy isn't down with godless smut and gratuitous violence either. Then again, the culture divide you refer to in the so called Jewish American community is an interesting one, but there's always been tremendous range in what Jewish thinkers think, they are hardly a monolith.

In general it just feels like projecting or overlaying an agenda on top of a story that can be explained or understood in much simpler terms.

**Edit:** Rereading your first post I believe I do agree with a main thrust of what you're saying -- that Weinstein is being scapegoated by other Hollywood figures, including Jewish ones, who want to keep the gravy train of the profane running. I would agree, but I think the OP's framing is even more comprehensive -- that this story could break the spell and show us all that starlets really do get to the top by sucking a few dicks, and by shaming the old Harv', they can divert our attention from their moral bankruptcy.

[deleted] • 10 points • 22 October, 2017 12:17 AM

There absolutely is a Jewish Civil War and it comes down to how Jews think about their best defense.

Globalist minded Jews push open borders because they feel safer in hetergenious society.

Nationalist Jews like Netanyahu close borders and smash their enemies and give no fucks what anyone thinks.

What's interesting is that guys like Harvey push for globalism here and in Europe yet send money to Israel in case all hell breaks loose.

It's a hedge in case the goyim get uppity again

GayLubeOil • 13 points • 22 October, 2017 12:28 AM\*

Jews always take both sides. Sometimes the same Jew will take both sides. When the Greeks invaded Isreal some Jews assimilated. Other Jews became religious extremists and forcefully circumcised the assimilated liberal Jews. Hence the story of Hanukkah!

Patriarchysaurus • 4 points • 23 October, 2017 08:14 AM\*

And they celebrated by burning olive oil every night in the menorah, watching the flames and wondering what complete sexual organs feel like. However, they ran out of oil because some Judah Fappabees wasted it as lube.

Good thing the gays packed extra!

Taco\_Truck\_Aficionad • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 02:05 PM [recovered]

This is the only guy who's right so far. If you're overlooking the role Weinstein's Jewishness played in this incident, then you're not paying attention.

GayLubeOil • 7 points • 22 October, 2017 08:34 PM

Sexual Harsment and pretty much all behavior is cultural. Anglo Sexual Harassment as per Bill O'Reilly is going to have quid pro quo. It's very contractual with set terms because Anglos like their constitutions.

Jew sexual harsment is going to be about guilt and shame. Weinstein and Weiner shamed themselves in front of women. Jewish culture is matriarchal, these men miss the disapproval of the Jewish mother.

Finally black sexual harsment is yelling: That ass so fat I wanna pay it child support.

Sexual behavior is cultural if anyone doesn't believe me next time your nofaping to pornos notice how different cultures have different porn themes.

DamiensLust • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 10:44 PM

You might be able to pick out some very loose, general trends but human populations are so large & varied and sexual harassment is such a subjective behaviour (since what is defined as such depends entirely on an individual relationship and a myriad of factors related to the two parties involved) that I think it's redundant & mostly inaccurate to try and boil it down to simplistic sweeping statements.

dirtytysanch • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 05:19 AM [recovered]

Have you read extreme ownership?

Premiertier • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 12:54 PM

Serious question... is that a book or a topic?

equal2infinity • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 05:06 PM



A book, he's got it linked in the post.

Entropy-7 • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 09:25 AM

In Canada we had the Jian Ghomeshi thing and a bunch of women came out of the woodwork but he was cleared in the courts.

Makeup and creative camera angles are huge. I remember a bunch of Hollywood actresses complaining about HDTV because you can actually see the makeup and all of the problems in their facial features.

I used to work as a background performer (aka "movie extra") and I did a number of scenes with the Olsen Twins. Back in 2004 the internet was absolutely creaming its underwear because they were turning "legal". But I was on set and as close to them as you are to your computer screen. They are cute and petite but not anything particularly special.

growingstronk • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 08:02 PM

This post is goes so hard that I think I hit puberty a second time.

I've been on TRP for a few years now and this rivals the top posts back in the days of Archwinger and CH. You've truly outdone yourself.

SometimesImRightish • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 08:03 AM

Your message is all over the place. Can you pinpoint which statement is what I should have taken from this post? -Kayfabe is valuable and should be used. -Kayfabe is bad and allows misconduct to be kept secret.

The women are lying about sexual harassment and the woman claiming rape is lying. Therefore kayfabe was not and is not in use for that situation since there is nothing to cover up.

The women are not lying and your pointing out the use of kayfabe being used in that situation... but you stated they are lying sooo kayfabe is/was not being used?

-Kayfabe was practiced for President Trump, which means there was misconduct to hide. -Kayfabe is being used against Trump... and now I'm lost. The definition is not lining up with the how your statements are being presented. Edit: Grammar

kscooby • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 12:33 PM **[recovered]**

You had me until navy seals. Not because I idolized them as a man but because I was in the navy and they are a special breed. Yes their highly trained but their a few selected bunch to get that training and at any point can be dismissed. Just saying I don't think it had anything to do with your subject.

JamesSkepp • 3 points • 23 October, 2017 08:14 AM

You're mistaking actual skills they have from training with the perception they have around themselves, which was the point of OP.

Premiertier • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 12:51 PM

As a vet I highly agree. Seals are men amongst boys in a group that is already men amongst boys.

WhySoRuff • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 03:21 AM

I am noticing a trend. Bill Cosby, Roger Ailes, Bill O Reilly, Harvey Weinstein. What do these 4 men have in common? They are all old, successful and under scrutiny for sexual harassment/abuse. Check out Harvey's public statement: "I came of age in the 60's and 70's when all the rules about behavior and workplaces were different. That was the culture back then." The writing on the wall is clear to ME. This behavior is unacceptable

and must die when these men die. If I sound conspiratorial you are simply naive. You make an example out of one, you deter a thousand.

HumanSockPuppet • 18 points • 22 October, 2017 05:43 AM

This behavior is unacceptable and must die when these men die.

This behaviour is the *natural state of human affairs*.

Young, fertile women giving sexual favours to older, powerful men for profit and prestige.

Older, powerful men using their clout to gain access to truckloads of young, fertile women.

Cliques defending their own for the sake of trade solidarity.

The only thing "wrong" here is that Weinstein made the critical error of measuring his own success with pussy. When his neurosis became too public to sweep under the rug, Hollywood had to throw him under the bus so everyone could go on pretending that **they** weren't like that.

WhySoRuff • 0 points • 22 October, 2017 06:03 PM\*

Since when does this world care about "the natural state of affairs?" We have men turning into women and women turning into men taking goddamn hormones while you can pick from 38 different genders and you have the fucking audacity to talk to me about "the natural state of affairs?" Please.

HumanSockPuppet • 5 points • 22 October, 2017 06:25 PM

you have the fucking audacity to talk to me

Lol my mistake, carry on your highness.

WhySoRuff • 1 points • 22 October, 2017 08:03 PM [recovered]

carry on your highness. it takes a big man to realize a bigger man is swinging a bigger dick.

EpicLevelCheater[M] • 5 points • 23 October, 2017 01:53 AM

Enjoy playing with your big dick in time out.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 11:23 AM

His movies stopped printing money, this is why his brother threw him under the bus quicker than Harvey would do it to him.

commander\_zoidberg • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 08:47 AM

A masterful explanation of the cancer that is showbiz. Top kek.

chadwick\_thundercock • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 09:28 AM

"Why everyone in Hollywood sheltered him, then suddenly turned on him"

Because 30 years ago the Hollywood landscape was very different. For better or worse, men were not shamed for acting like men, and the scene was not infested with SJW cuck feminists.

OneRedYear • 4 points • 22 October, 2017 06:37 PM

30 years ago Harvey was a goddamn Don and untouchable. Aging gangsters either die, retire or get taken down.

Nergaal • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 10:35 AM

Why is kayfab so bad for software engineers? It requires tons of training, and unlike a pretty face, not that much is because of genes.

floric • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 11:04 AM

As a wrestling nerd scrolling down my front page, I had to do a double take and some serious processing when reading the term 'kayfabe', Harvey Weinstein, seeing it being posted in the TRP sub along with a pic of Emilia Clarke altogether.

But it all made sense! Have your +1.

ElKapitan8 • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 01:42 PM

Thanks OP. Learned a shitload of Truth about the world.

g8TUNESbra • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 02:22 PM

Thank you for your quality content. It's always informative, a great read, and advances the concepts past the sidebar. I look forward to your future contributions in this subreddit.

FinancierGuru • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 07:36 PM

Excellent. How do you apply kayfabe to your own life to win ?

Mangome • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 01:50 PM

adding another example of what Hollywood is capable of doing

<http://www.iamag.co/features/how-mpc-recreated-rachael-for-blade-runner-2049/>

Throwawaysteve123456 • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 06:38 PM

This is a fantastic article. Cheers.

hereinsteadofgym • 1 point • 24 October, 2017 10:53 PM

Entire nations and peoples also employ Kayfabe, to various degrees. Right now, the Russia has lots, my native Canada has lots, France has *tonnes*, and Germany has none. Germany is the software engineers.

nummersfarce\_is\_done • 1 point • 21 October, 2017 09:10 PM

It is not clear you linked 2 pictures of Clarke. Other than that, very interesting post about community dynamics.

Whisper[S] • 9 points • 22 October, 2017 06:24 AM

It is not clear you linked 2 pictures of Clarke.

Exactly.

I find the second picture of "Danerys Targaryen" to be one of the most visually attractive women I have ever seen, and I don't even like blondes. But that woman is just as much a fictional creation as the character in the book. Or a picture drawn by an artist. Clarke is just a slightly-attractive scaffold which that piece of art was built on.

Hollywood wants you and I to think Clarke *is* that creature, so that we will obsess over her, often while masturbating furiously, and buy more stuff.

Western civilization is on the decline precisely because there are too many portions of our society like that... no real talent, skill, or competence, because everyone is too busy getting ahead by fooling people and

sucking each other's dicks. For a society to be truly great, or prosperous, or even stable, somewhere, somehow, someone has to actually make something which actually works.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 22 October, 2017 02:34 PM

For a society to be truly great, or prosperous, or even stable, somewhere, somehow, someone has to actually make something which actually works.

And they have to have a reason to bother doing so.

OneRedYear • 1 point • 22 October, 2017 06:41 PM

Hollywood wants... lol. Dude we want to make movies that are popular and earn money. Same as any other business. Making movie stars and entertainment is making something that works. It's a job that takes hundreds of employees to do well. It's easy to be bad at it, it's rare to be good. To the good goes the lions share, the power and the fame.

Whisper[S] • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 10:43 PM

I'm not sure why you think the things you said contradict the things I said.

OneRedYear • 1 points • 23 October, 2017 02:15 AM [recovered]

That's unfortunate for you.

CrazyHorseInvincible[M] • 1 point • 24 October, 2017 07:38 PM

No postmodern discourse. If you have a point, make it.

Otherwise, shut up. No one wants to hear you beat your chest because you are butthurt about your profession being dissed.

Learn to A&A and hold frame, or go back to the kiddie table.

[deleted] • 1 points • 24 October, 2017 08:13 PM

[permanently deleted]

CrazyHorseInvincible[M] • 1 point • 26 October, 2017 06:21 AM

Okay, kiddie table it is, then.

MarvelousWhale • 1 point • 23 October, 2017 12:31 AM

Why did everyone suddenly turn on him?

Because they needed something juicy enough to talk about to distract us sheep from the pathetic attempt to cover up the Vegas Shooting, which we are STILL waiting for any credible detail on to this day. Not a single video or picture frame from one of the most surveilled buildings on the planet.

Whether my theory is right or wrong, anyone who watches, or even stoops low enough to actually partake in the arguments of the media, is wasting their time criticizing a caricature of the puppet show. Get out there and live life and get some new chicks number, who gives a fuck about Weinstein.

CuckedByTRUMP • 0 points • 22 October, 2017 07:37 AM

Consider how the concept of kayfabe applies both to Donald Trump, and to the politicians who organize resistance against him.

Are you saying he is against the globalists and shining a light on their dirty deeds? I agree but I would like clarification.

aga080 • -1 points • 22 October, 2017 03:23 PM

You are SO WRONG about physicians. Holy hell so unbelievably wrong. There is NO coalition of doctors willing to help eachother out. It is a cut-throat world in healthcare for doctors. Same for PHDs, look what they do with publications!!

Premiertier • -1 points • 22 October, 2017 09:53 PM

I beat my wife I have pics of it

Ismoketomuch • -3 points • 22 October, 2017 01:14 AM

Harvey was just a pawn in the game of politics. He's a big donator to Hillary and they have been seen together a lot.

The Democratic elite know Hillary cant win the next election but she wont go away. I think its possible that he was a fall guy to bring down Hillary. To become another "societal monster" that her and Bill are close to and associated with.

Another "Evil" by association tactic. To remind everyone of the child rapist her and Bill have been associated with.

The Party needs to move on and find a winning candidate but Hillary just will not go away, and her own party is now trying to besmirch her name to deter her from running again and handing another victory to orange peel.

Dont forget the Mainstream media is nothing but manufactured memes in an attempt to modify our behavior. Its doesn't start or stop at blue pill concepts. Almost all news is curated to create a narrative.

Everyone has known and been talking about Harvey for 3 decades at least. There are all kinds of videos showing awards, shows and other entertainment outlets making fun of how Harvey is. Its never been a secrete.

So why now? Why now is it a big deal? Why wont any of these women say who the other bad guys are?

They all say "me too" and give interviews on tv about how bad the men in charge are but they wont even whisper another name?

Just Harvey. He has to be the fall guy. Why? Because of his close ties to the Clintons and his huge financial support.

Its not my idea but I think its a decent one that still fits into the RedPillTheory. Political motivated take down, shrouded in Blue pill concepts.

clearairturbulence- • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 03:45 AM

No. The DNC is definitely eating itself, but you are fabricating most of the details. You claim it fits into redpill theory, but RPT is largely based on evolutionary psychology, which your explanation ignores entirely.

[deleted] comment score below threshold • -7 points • 21 October, 2017 09:08 PM

You are truly a genius please i need your help. We can change the world. Look at my last post. I'll be pming you. I'm not sure if this will double post, it said it was removed for linking your name.

Orientado • 3 points • 22 October, 2017 03:36 AM

Hey kid, have you been smoking too much weed?

You sound delusional. Seek help.

Eat heavy meals, exercise and sleep.