

# Ignore the Peanut Gallery

49 upvotes | 15 November, 2017 | by Whisper

---

So some Indian dude wrote a Field Report about how he failed hard in all sorts of ways, and why.

That's a good thing. FRs are not for talking about how badass you are, they are for learning, and failure teaches more than success. I won't link him here, critique his technique, target selection, whatever. Basic shit you should already know.

What's important is what he said at the end:

Anything I missed? Thoughts and rational advice are appreciated.

Don't.

Do.

That.

A man who asks for advice from *just anyone* is saying that some rando's opinion is more valuable than his. He is telling the world he believes himself so lost and at sea that just anyone can put plans into his head. He wears his lack of confidence on his sleeve.

And while there may not be any chicks to impress on TRP, that shit is habit forming.

Asking and taking advice is a mark of respect, and strong men do not hand out respect like Halloween candy. They give it sparingly to those who inspire it.

Randos will always give you advice, whether you ask it or not. But the guys in the cheap seats have not earned the right to be listened to, unless what they just yelled out was spectacular enough in its own right to merit your attention.

This goes double for criticism. It's easy to tell the difference between someone who's got a point that's valuable for helping you improve, and someone who's feeling short-dicked because you have the lectern right now.

Every damn time you open your mouth in public, there's going to be unsolicited advice, and haters. (If there aren't, then everyone is ignoring you because what you said was stupid.) There is absolutely no reason to let some Juicebox Hero command even a microsecond of your attention.

Save it for the people who can convince you they matter.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

throwingthisoneaway • 199 points • 15 November, 2017 06:39 PM

I disagree. That Indian dude is asking for advice because he values the knowledge of this community. You don't read a book about martial arts and never take advice from your sparring partner. While your coach obviously is a Master and would be the go to guy for advice that doesn't mean everything that comes out of your partners mouth is shit. The "endorsed contributors etc." are the masters here and the others that chip in with the "rational advice" Ghandi was asking for are the sparring partners. Occasionally there are shit heads but its pretty easy to just laugh at them especially if you've read the sidebar.

max\_peenor • 9 points • 17 November, 2017 04:11 AM

Here's the problem. Advice. It's the slightly less drunk cousin of opinion. Everyone has it to share, just like feces and urine.

No one should take advice from here. You should take data from here. You should dip into the tool box. That's what we are. We have ideas. We have experience. **If you expect us to TELL YOU what to do, then you are fucked.** You should consume what we have said and then figure out your path that suits you.

Ultimately, all that matters is what you do, not what you read, say or post.

enkae7317 • 13 points • 16 November, 2017 01:40 AM

This! I always though asking for advice is the trait of some1 that has an open mind and a willingness to change. Too often have I come across guys that constantly tank themselves but everytime advice is given they either ignore it or don't seek help.

It doubly helps to see things from a different person's perspective and we shouldn't bag on him for that.

ShadowWard • 3 points • 17 November, 2017 02:31 AM

I agree, being able to hear an interpretation of a situation from a different point of view is a powerful learning tool. They might point out faults in reasoning or things they believe to be leading to your feeling of self doubt.

He is asking for advice because he knows he is not being the best he can. There's no point in having self confidence if the rest of your mindset is substandard. Self confidence will grow when positive he receives positive outcomes from his actions.

Kalidane • 1 point • 16 November, 2017 04:09 PM

But your sparring partner does martial arts and has some level of insight into the subject. The internet is full of unqualified advice. People sharing their opinions and passing on shit they heard.

People find it hard to discern good advices from garbage so are often better off not asking.

Whisper[S] comment score below threshold • -40 points • 15 November, 2017 07:53 PM

Read again, this time more carefully.

Rian\_Stone • 11 points • 15 November, 2017 08:32 PM\*

My favourite part of these, is that the very first comment is always... Always, a clear cut example as to why you made your post to begin with.

EDIT: After getting to the bottom of the comments...

Whisper[S] • 5 points • 15 November, 2017 08:36 PM

It's almost as if I deliberately word it so that such a thing will usually happen.

nujjj80 • 1 points • 15 November, 2017 08:51 PM **[recovered]**

I Agree with your post, but I'm wondering how can you inspire respect to someone thru the internet? Is it necessary? The dude is just looking for advice, he's probably lonely and has no circle of trusted friends who can help him out, hence he's here, trusting you, especially the EC's. I think all of this "randos" would do this and that, and EC's have a respectable opinion and mainly on point, is just a way to avoid criticism and controversy. Sometimes I feel like some EC's just want people to blindly believe them and don't question anything, not all of them, but a lot. I'm glad I'm not 18 and can see thru it. I'm glad I respect myself more than anyone, and that's with what I agree from your post.

Whisper[S] • 11 points • 15 November, 2017 09:01 PM

The problem isn't receiving advice or even receiving advice from strangers.

The problem is that he asked. Not from a specific person, but from anyone. That shows a lack of boundaries and self-respect. Which is the real problem he has.

He's perfectly free to *take* any advice he hears if it sounds wise to him.

But soliciting advice is a mark of respect, and means you think someone knows better than you. If you treat a whole audience that way, you're training yourself in beta-male behaviour.

UrsusG[ ] • 11 points • 15 November, 2017 11:25 PM

The problem is that he asked. Not from a specific person, but from anyone.

While I agree with your general premise of "don't ask advice from just anyone":

What if Indian guy simply cast his net far and wide, asking "everyone" for their thoughts, but was actually only fishing for opinions posted by EC's and higher while totally disregarding responses from regular terpers?

Sometimes when there's a big thread here and I'm late to the party, I skim the responses and only look at the top comment, and then at EC / Vanguard / mod answers to either OP, or other responders.

Perhaps Indian dude did the same.

tl,dr: molehill mountain?

throwingthisoneaway • 1 point • 16 November, 2017 04:12 AM

This is basically what I'm saying.

185poundsofhatredWIP • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 12:32 PM

Definitely reading way too much into it.

nujjj80 • 1 points • 15 November, 2017 09:11 PM **[recovered]**

Got your point, but disagree. I think there's nothing wrong with treating the whole audience that way, actually could even be helpful for his purpose, getting answers. The problem would be if he believed all those answers could really help him or would be

useful.

Looking for advice is a respectable way of learning from others. I don't think it's about respect and betaness, I could even take an advice from a homeless dude on what NOT to do to get in his situation. Critical thinking is my weapon, the alpha acting is not for me.

adonis0X • -2 points • 15 November, 2017 09:25 PM

I could even take an advice from a homeless dude on what NOT to do to get in his situation.

If you are down to take advice just from anyone then it shows you have a little clarity of thought. No need to take advice from someone who's already fucked up. OP is just stating that learn to learn from masters.

Sonic324 • 0 points • 16 November, 2017 02:05 AM

I get the point of this post and overall agree, but technically disagree. Yeah asking for advice from strangers is bad form and wouldn't do you well IRL scenarios, but online forums provide a different medium.

Here you can collect and analyze the data(advice) you've gotten, and continue forward forming solutions from such data. Assuming he's smart enough, he'd know shit data from good data, and would reject the shit. The poster is nothing more than a researcher in a sense. Good researchers know where to find data to solve their problem. Those who are bad would go to non ideal sources for collecting data.

If you are confident in a source for data, why not go after it? It's not beta, the dude is just being lazy and smart.

buddhadarko • 34 points • 15 November, 2017 06:59 PM

He specifically asked for rational advice. The definition of rational is: logical, reasonable, sensible, cogent, intelligent, etc. If you browse through TRP threads, you will see that the highest rated comments and posts are rational, reasonable, and contain sound advice.

We have to ask for advice on things because our own viewpoint may be severely lacking and completely incorrect, though, we may not see it that way. Having multiple sets of eyes on it, some more experienced than ourselves, really helps us to grow and learn.

There's a difference between being needy and seeking approval for one's actions, and actively asking for advice that is practical and sound. I think the Indian guy was just asking for the latter. We don't know if he was needy, but I didn't get that from reading the sentence that you focused on.

TPR teaches us that we have to sort out what doesn't work and focus on and continue finding what *does*. You can't do that if you don't ask questions.

Rian\_Stone • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 08:40 PM

logical, reasonable, sensible, cogent, intelligent

Empty words. The largest idiot here considers himself to be all these things.

buddhadarko • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 11:02 PM

So, because someone you consider to be an idiot thinks he is those things, that means they're empty

words?

Rian\_Stone comment score below threshold • -8 points • 16 November, 2017 12:42 AM

Cry sum more

UFND • 1 points • 16 November, 2017 12:59 PM **[recovered]**

Right. Human beings aren't rational creatures, they are instead, rationally or however the adage goes. But is that not an admirable goal to pursue? If not what, what then? Or shall we just leave the majority demographic of this subreddit -- nihilistic young adults and lost souls -- shrouded in the dark.

But that is their rite of passage. Have a go at learning how to solve problems on your own.

And how many more dis-engendered men will that create, ruminating themselves to suicide, physical or in the Camus-ian sense?

Rian\_Stone • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 01:42 PM

Sink or swim.

Avoid the unhappy and unlucky

nuijj80 • 1 points • 15 November, 2017 09:24 PM **[recovered]**

From the bottom to the top, tho. Check out Triadis3 comment down there and his end message: "Respect the tag". What you think about that? ;)

Rian\_Stone • -3 points • 15 November, 2017 09:32 PM

I think you need to read everyone's comments again. You are having a comprehension problem

vrihet • 15 points • 15 November, 2017 09:34 PM

Taking advice from anyone regardless of their character is foolish, but not taking advice from anyone is foolhardy. I don't think there is anything inherently wrong or bad with soliciting advice; it's up to you to determine whether the advice is sound or stupid and act accordingly.

Bkblul • 13 points • 16 November, 2017 12:26 AM

This whole forum is a stab in the dark. For all we know, the majority of endorsed contributors could be sitting in their mum's basement writing fan fiction.

I expect to see people asking for advice but they should take everything that's said here with a grain of salt. Make your own decisions on how to proceed/if the advice is accurate.

The same goes for people you know and respect the opinions of, just adjust how much you consider their opinion accordingly.

Bear-With-Bit • 10 points • 15 November, 2017 09:22 PM

I call it Instruction Porn. Many of you are not here to learn, you're here to be entertained and mentally whack off to the attention and advice given, good or bad.

[deleted] • 3 points • 15 November, 2017 08:17 PM\*

There are two possible distinct and mutually exclusive mental states that may occur in the mind of someone immediately prior to their writing a comment here.

1. I think I might have something to add here, something that helped me in the past, that would have been nice if someone had verbalized to me at some point so I didn't have to learn it the hard way 3 times over.
2. I feel really smart since discovering what this community was 3 weeks ago and now it's time to go to battle with the big boys to test myself. In lieu of actual experience, I'm going to try to figure this whole thing out--not by testing these ideas in reality, but by dissecting it intellectually online. Man, it feels cool to have these edgy opinions!

hereinsteadofgym • 6 points • 15 November, 2017 10:24 PM

Solution: never, ever give advice on a field which you aren't personally successful in. If you aren't shredded, don't give lifting advice. If each new tinder match still makes your heart flutter, don't give sexual strategy advice. If you worry about money, don't give financial advice.

RemyBucksington • 5 points • 15 November, 2017 08:28 PM

If I recall correctly, many of the Manosphere godfathers gave individual consultations as a paid service to men just beginning to transition into TRP.

I used to think that was silly until I read the very same FR that OP references above.

Men paying for this information were wise in that they weeded out about 80% of the TRP population: Chumps on a soapbox preaching about things they've never done.

There's something to be said for proven expertise.

Rian\_Stone • 8 points • 15 November, 2017 08:43 PM

No one I have ever heard of was paid to individually consult anyone. GLO gives fitness and nutrition consults, with the chit chat as an added bonus.

In fact, if anyone were to do paid consults, the only way it would work is to give you purple feelgoods... The kind of guy who needs RP, and would pay for it, is the guy who wants to hug bobs bitch tits more than actually do the work.

Everything a man needs is in a few books, posts, and blogs. Anyone who isn't figuring it out already is simply jerking off their own lazy, lazy ego

RemyBucksington • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 08:47 PM

You would know better than I would for sure.

What I remember is:

In my first read-through of RM, I remember Tomassi saying something about giving a few "consultations".

I've also heard of PUA-type paid seminars, and even RedPill -specific therapists from a few "conversion" stories.

That and the idea of there being a market for that kinda thing doesn't really surprise me. Desperate people and disposable income aren't too hard to find.

Rian\_Stone • 6 points • 15 November, 2017 08:57 PM

Rollo does 1 on 1, but he doesn't charge for them. PUA seminars? I can only assume you are talking about 21Convention. It was a general manosphere conference that had actual RP speakers. I was one of them.

None of us were paid. Hotel and a free dinner, that's it.

As for therapy. I know Ian Ironwood is a marriage counselor, we have a few lawyers among the flared users, and I'm sure people have PM's with each other. RP is just guys swapping notes about game, after all.

Something that becomes glaringly obvious, once you spend some time here, and it's been discussed by wallstreetplayboys years ago. The only two markets are women, and high status men, the former you sell on emotions, the latter you sell on status signals.

The guys that come here not monetizable, not without abandoning the reason it exists. RP should be a hobby, not a career.

RemyBucksington • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 08:59 PM

Thank you for the correction and perspective.

LiveAFTSOV • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 09:25 PM

Can you imagine a state where "gender studies" actually taught RP sexual dynamics and you could get a Doctorate in that study and become a licensed, state recognized "sexual dynamics consouler" where you would essentially be a Red Pill psychologist.

Theyd have to write a whole 50 pages allowing doctors to tell their patients to lift more, faggot  
For real though i envision a day where red pill life coaching without purple pill corruptionz is feasible. Very blunt and honest - holding you accountable and reminding you of grim realities.

Edit: wavier - patients will be subject to verbal abuse

Rian\_Stone • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 09:30 PM

<https://twitter.com/primalpoly>

you mean like this?

LiveAFTSOV • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 10:04 PM

Kinda like this guy yeah, but on a more individual consoltstive level. He consults big business companies, but still good for him. I like what hes doing.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 09:32 PM

Fuck you, faggot. Unfuck yourself you fucking sad fucking excuse for a fucking maggot!

LiveAFTSOV • 1 points • 15 November, 2017 10:05 PM **[recovered]**

Im sorry doctor i just couldnt help myself!

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 10:10 PM

Sir Yes Sir

vengefully\_yours • 3 points • 17 November, 2017 08:00 AM

I do not ask advice from the people who know less about cars than I do. You have to know considerably more than I do, and have proven it unquestionably via accomplishments. If you barely know how to change a tire, you know fuck all about rebuilding a transmission or designing the engine you're building. I'm not going to tell you how to code, because I don't do that, I'm not an expert, and my knowledge is minimal.

People ask me because I know what the fuck I'm taking about. I have experience and accomplishments as well as

the skills and knowledge required to be respected. Any idiot can and will pipe up about what he read somewhere, not knowing the details or even why what he read was written.

Same with bitches, if you ask those who know fuck all, you're going to get shit information at best. Ask those who know what the fuck is going on.

[deleted] • 3 points • 16 November, 2017 12:10 AM

Taken to its logical conclusion, that would mean that the entire red pill sub is worthless because I don't know who any of you people are. You're all just randos. The guy who wrote this post is just a rando to me. I guess I'll ignore his advice. But that means I can ask people for advice. I'm confused.

[deleted] • 6 points • 15 November, 2017 08:44 PM

The problem with giving everyone a voice is that opinion rapidly overwhelms knowledge.

Dunning-Kreuger effect, Heinleins razor.

Opinion is easily influenced by outside sources. Everybody has an opinion. It's easy and validating to be part of the herd. You never have to defend an opinion. Click that arrow, get validated. Easy button.

Knowledge takes work and time. It's an internal process. Not everyone has knowledge. You'll get tested to see if your knowledge is valid.

When everybody gets a voice automatically, the value of that voice approaches the lowest common denominator.

Plato warned about the dangers of absolute democracy way back in *The Republic*, specifically the ship analogy....

*Plato compares the state to an elaborate and expensive ship. A ship, to accomplish a safe and successful journey, needs an expert navigator at the helm, a captain who knows the capacities of the vessel, geography, meteorology, water currents, navigational astronomy, supplies management, and other related matters. An ignorant and untrained person at the helm of a ship would endanger vessel, cargo, crew, and passengers alike. Similarly, Plato suggests, the ship of state needs expert governors at the helm, governors who are well informed about such things as law, economics, sociology, military strategy, history, and other relevant subjects. Ignorant and incompetent governors can be and have been disasters for citizens and states.*

*Democratic self-government does not work, according to Plato, because ordinary people have not learned how to run the ship of state. They are not familiar enough with such things as economics, military strategy, conditions in other countries, or the confusing intricacies of law and ethics. They are also not inclined to acquire such knowledge. The effort and self-discipline required for serious study is not something most people enjoy. In their ignorance they tend to vote for politicians who beguile them with appearances and nebulous talk, and they inevitably find themselves at the mercy of administrations and conditions over which they have no control because they do not understand what is happening around them. They are guided by unreliable emotions more than by careful analysis, and they are lured into adventurous wars and victimized by costly defeats that could have been entirely avoided. This is how the Republic portrays politics in a democracy.*

*Imagine then a ship or a fleet in which there is a captain who is taller and stronger than any of the crew, but who is a little deaf and has a similar infirmity in sight, and whose knowledge of navigation is not much better. The sailors are quarreling with one another about the steering—every one is of the opinion that he has a right to steer, though he has never learned the art of navigation.*

*The captain in this analogy is the owner of the ship or fleet; he represents the demos, the majority of ordinary people. The sailors are the politicians who compete to be at the helm. It had been their incompetence, as well as that of the owner, that has brought Athens to ruin in the past.*

*[The sailors] throng about the captain, begging and praying him to commit the helm to them; and if at any time*



*they do not prevail, but others are preferred to them, they kill the others or throw them overboard, and having first chained up the noble captain's senses with drink or some narcotic drug, they mutiny and take possession of the ship and make free with the stores, thus eating and drinking. They proceed on their voyage in such a manner as can be expected of them. Him who is their partisan and cleverly aids them in their plot for getting the ship out of the captain's hands into their own whether by force or persuasion, they compliment with the name of sailor, pilot, able seaman, and abuse the other sort of man, whom they call a good-for-nothing; but that the good pilot must pay attention to the year and seasons and sky and stars and winds, and whatever else belongs to his art, if he intends to be really qualified for the command of a ship, and that he must and will be the steerer, whether other people like it or not—the possibility of this union of authority with the steerer's art has never seriously entered into their thoughts or been made part of their calling.*

*The way to avoid the serious shortcomings of democracy as well as oligarchy is the installation of the government of technocrats that will make all relevant and necessary decisions on the basis of objective analyses and unbiased deliberations. Since neither the demos nor ordinary politicians can be expected to acquire this sort of competence, it will have to be the committee of philosopher kings (and philosopher queens) that guarantees justice, public welfare, and peace.*

*The idea of such a dictatorship of reason has been criticized as follows: Even if one admits that expert knowledge is necessary for the government of a commonwealth, and that most ordinary people do not have a sufficient grasp of all the social, administrative, legal, and other relevant details that go into running a government, people nevertheless need not relinquish their right to appoint the officials of an administration, or to recall them, if the results of their performance seem unsatisfactory. The owner of a ship may not know how to navigate, but he or she still has the right to determine where the ship will go. Hired expert navigators may be necessary to figure out the best means of getting to some place, but the owners of the ship should still be able to determine the ends. Voters in a democracy may not know all or even any of the technicalities of running a government, but they surely can judge the results. What is essential for a democracy is not that citizens be able to understand and do everything themselves, but that they be able to determine the major outcomes and their over-all destiny as a community.*

*Turning the ship analogy against Plato in this way is a persuasive move, but it ultimately does not take care of Plato's challenge. For if it is plausible to argue that voters may be too uninformed to decide on the best means to reach a certain goal, then it is also plausible to argue that they may not be informed enough to choose the right ends. A serious lack of knowledge can manifest itself not only in the way a state is run, but also in the choice of destinations. What can and has to be criticized is not only a citizenry's possible ignorance of the measures that a government might take to reach certain goals, but also their ideas and expectations about where their society ought to go--what goals they want to reach as a commonwealth. The democratic election of a leader who plans to replace a capitalist democracy with a fascist warfare state, for example, is a case in point. Hitler, it is worth remembering, was elected by a democratic vote, and it is surely not irrelevant to ask whether those who voted for him did not suffer from an unacceptable degree of ignorance and lack of political education.*

*The democratic decision to engage in a series of expansionist wars, as sanctioned by the Athenian Assembly, is a similar case in point. What Plato witnessed as a young man was not a lack of understanding of the technicalities of governing on the part of the demos, but rather poor judgment in the choice of major goals. Major political destinies can be judged in terms of wisdom, feasibility, logic, moral responsibility, and other criteria that make the general intellectual competence of an electorate a relevant and urgent issue. It is obviously not a foregone conclusion that whatever the majority decides is also the best—or even acceptable. Both short-term and long-term expectations and decisions of a democratic polity may be quite thoughtless, ill-advised, stupid, illusory, dangerous, or outright insane. In spite of the above critique of the ship analogy, in other words, Plato's challenge to the idea of democracy stands.*

*Granted, then, that sound political decisions concerning means as well as ends require not only reliable*

*knowledge of such things as economics, geography, sociology, and military strategy, but also something like moral competence, the question arises as to how this sort of preparedness can be acquired. Plato's emphatic answer is: by a sound and systematic education. No good government—democratic or otherwise—is possible without an adequate amount of knowledge and understanding. It is for this reason that education is the most central concern of Plato's Republic.*

Fucking philosopher kings. There's your answer. AKA **Respect the tag**

killermike-el-P • 6 points • 15 November, 2017 09:48 PM

I see the point. However, what makes you think handing all that power over to anyone is a good idea. Absolute power corrupts absolutely. Whoever is at the helm drunk on power will replace logic with greed. The idea that a select few can make all the decisions of a country whilst maintaining the countries interests as opposed to their own (their interests are not the same) doesn't take into account basic human nature.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 09:53 PM

You're absolutely right.

Great insight. Who polices the police?

However, it is the way of the world.

Life is Not fair, nor rational, nor just.

Which is why there is no more better time to look out for yourself.

[deleted] • 5 points • 15 November, 2017 11:31 PM

Nice comment. In Sparta they elected the Ephors to watch over the powers exercised by the kings. "Who watches the Watchmen" is a confounding issue. At TRP we have tags which should show members who to listen to (increasingly we find the tag being disrespected). I like that TRP is not a democracy, look at what is happening in western society. We have gender based quotas and morons in parliament. Politics is an imprecise and corrupt mechanism. Too many checks and balances, too many back room deals, too much ass backwards ideology influencing policy.

killermike-el-P • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 12:25 PM

Do you think a system created by humans will one day be truly efficient or is every great nation doomed to be successful for only a couple of 100 Years and then collapse.

HoneybadgerOG1337 • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 08:10 PM

I think the old "memento mori" from a deathrow inmate on any election day should make a comeback

Whisper[S] • 6 points • 16 November, 2017 01:14 AM

I never agreed with Plato's metaphor of the ship of state.

The state isn't a ship. It's not going anywhere, it doesn't have a mission, and it doesn't need steering. It needs a few small maintenance tasks taken care of, and other shit left alone.

**Free markets and the emergent behaviour of populations handle shit far better than "experts".**

TRP isn't a ship, either. Or a nation. It's just a product in a marketplace of ideas. That's why we can be as autocratic as we want or think is a good idea. Because no one has to agree. No one has to come here. There is no Berlin Wall keeping people in. No exit fee.

And no barriers whatsoever to starting an alternative.

The real votes that matter are those cast with the feet, or the wallet, from among a plethora of alternatives.  
Not arrow-clicky zero-cost bullshit.

kemchik • 3 points • 16 November, 2017 06:15 AM

This is the prime example of how a sailor should not be allowed to decide where the ship will go. Have you ran a country before? governor or senator maybe? Do you know how much shit they have to cover while you sit there cleaning deck thinking everything will be all good?

TheGovernorPR • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 08:31 PM

You know I disagreed at first, but I re-read this post 4 fucking times and it makes crystal clear sense now. I agreed with it so much that I took notes on it.

Follow a path, form an opinion, make the hard decisions, and do what you think is best. Even if people say this route is hard etc, you will follow through with your own advice/opinion because you have the confidence to do so, and if you absolutely need an opinion/advice about something go to someone who wields respect and knows what the fuck they are talking about.

But, this is also the way my mind perceived it, great fucking post, brother.

Rian\_Stone • 7 points • 15 November, 2017 08:43 PM

Act deliberately, do your own due diligence, have confidence in the former.

Casanova-Quinn • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 11:11 PM

This goes double for criticism. It's easy to tell the difference between someone who's got a point that's valuable for helping you improve, and someone who's feeling short-dicked because you have the lectern right now.

This is my favorite quote regarding criticism:

"It is not the critic who counts; not the man who points out how the strong man stumbles, or where the doer of deeds could have done them better. The credit belongs to the man who is actually in the arena, whose face is marred by dust and sweat and blood; who strives valiantly; who errs, who comes short again and again, because there is no effort without error and shortcoming; but who does actually strive to do the deeds; who knows great enthusiasms, the great devotions; who spends himself in a worthy cause; who at the best knows in the end the triumph of high achievement, and who at the worst, if he fails, at least fails while daring greatly, so that his place shall never be with those cold and timid souls who neither know victory nor defeat." —Theodore Roosevelt

Usziel • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 12:34 AM

My favorite thing ever is an AskTRP Question masked as a Field Report...

Metalgear222 • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 03:53 PM

Kind of confused on this post, this sub is entirely based on advice from others and wording things in ways that's easier to understand for someone with less experience. Perhaps if you mentioned an example of how a negative connotation was attached to:

Anything I missed? Thoughts and rational advice are appreciated.

But we have an active sub titled "asktrp"?

rubixd • 2 points • 16 November, 2017 06:09 PM

A man who asks for advice from just anyone is saying that some rando's opinion is more valuable than his.

I'd argue that while he is "asking for advice from anyone" -- it's not like he posted this on askreddit. He is asking for advice on **TRP**, on *this* sub.

berlengas • 1 point • 16 November, 2017 01:07 PM

dude just because he seeks an advice doesn't mean the he should follow it. Wtf? To seek an advice sometimes is related to other perspectives being brought into the table so we can have a broader perspective and understanding of the topic in question, which sometimes doesn't happen because a new perspective may have a negative contribution due to the fact that it can be wrong in a specific point of view. I don't know everything, that doesn't mean i should apply everything everyone tells me, if you are doing that perhaps you are the real blue pill here

totallyAlphaguy • 1 point • 16 November, 2017 06:53 PM

You can also ask general advice and only listen to those who make valid points. Just because you ask for general advice doesn't mean you will listen to 100% of what they say.

BreakingRed\_ • 1 point • 17 November, 2017 07:50 AM

A man who asks for advice from just anyone is saying that some rando's opinion is more valuable than his.

So, lock asktrp to let only "masters" respond to threads? Unless asktrp is a shit test all of a sudden.

Kurush559 • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 06:30 PM

This was a great reminder. Now I'll ask your advice ;)

What do you suggest one says when people do give stupid/reactive/useless advice?

gELSK • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 09:09 PM

// , I think the default is to ignore it, unless it somehow gets in your way.

ThatOneDrunkUncle • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 09:10 PM

The only recent post that isn't a longwinded regurgitation of what's in the sidebar, from perhaps the strongest voice in the community, and the message is completely lost on subscribers. Why are you guys here if you've already read the sidebar?

datshitcray69 • 1 point • 15 November, 2017 11:42 PM

Ive become very successful asking for advice at the 'round table' and 'council' of my peers, friends and colleagues.

I like to hear other peoples opinions then I select my own. Its a way of getting intelligence from other people and deciding my own thoughts.

I think most leaders do this.

ThickDickWarrior89 • -2 points • 15 November, 2017 09:08 PM

It's so strange to me that Indian guys have the most dense facial hair on the planet. For goodness sakes guys the boys have full beards at age 8. BUT they are some of the softest men (when full grown) next to their Asian counterpart. A lot of pussies are going to whine about racism but we ay trp SHOULD be above that. I'm just making comparisons that everyone already knows about.

Ok I'm out

YT,

Thickdick

VoiceActorForHire • -2 points • 15 November, 2017 07:13 PM

I love how the mods just sticky this because it's from a EC, even though a comment refuting the post has 3x the upvotes (3v10).

This sub is fucking great for Men, but this 'EC-nepotism' should really stop.

[deleted] • 3 points • 15 November, 2017 07:43 PM

Isn't the point of EC to give the crowd a sense of who has something worth reading? Otherwise it's a foodfight

[deleted] • 6 points • 15 November, 2017 07:55 PM

That's actually an interesting premise..

Hide all tags and mods take the week off..

Wonder what it'll look like by the end?

Democracy, baby.

MIND-JELQ • 1 points • 15 November, 2017 08:46 PM **[recovered]**

neoreactionsafe used to be an EC until everyone heard enough of his nutjob rantings.

[deleted] • 4 points • 15 November, 2017 08:51 PM

Having the tag has made me more aware of the gravity of my posts - it's actually a moderating influence.

If you fall off the deep end it's the responsibility of the other philosopher kings to strip you of your robes.

If you want the tag, you don't deserve the tag.

Sonic324 • 1 point • 16 November, 2017 01:42 AM

Yeah that's true, but it seems like a lot of tagged users spew shit on par with the non-tagged users.

IMO 95% of those in TRP spend too much time here, including the EC's. It's like one giant dick measuring contest that never ends between some users.

TunedtoPerfection • 5 points • 15 November, 2017 08:02 PM

Well it was stuck because it is valuable advice 98% of men on this sub need to understand and internalize. Whisper is a vanguard for a reason, all his posts are on point.

The voting system on reddit is garbage. Any one person can have 10+ accounts, go around and upvote themselves continually. Does that make the upvoted content better than someone who doesn't do that? Even if that isn't the case, the blue pill dream many are coming out of awards emotionally reacting to things that disagree with your worldview. So even if the information is helpful, if you don't want to believe it and downvote it does that make it useless even if it is the observable and repeatable truth?

If 15 guys get their feelings hurt and downvote me because I tell them that when women walk up to them, flirt aggressively and openly in front of their boyfriends with them that is has nothing to do with how "alpha" they think they are and they are solely a pawn in a woman's game to create and capitalize on drama in her relationship, does that make that statement not true?

Rian\_Stone • 4 points • 15 November, 2017 08:45 PM

not to mention it's logarithmic.

So even if EC's outnumber people 10:1, if that 1 retard upvotes something within the first minute, and the 10 EC's hit it up in an hour, guess who decides where it goes on the hierarchy?

Yup, this place is designed to show pablum, and punish something that takes even a few minutes to digest

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 November, 2017 10:19 PM

I've got to admit, I enjoy watching posts I flag nuked as much as getting a bunch of upvotes. One matters more than the other.

hereinsteadofgym • 4 points • 15 November, 2017 10:27 PM

Mate. Do you read TRP? Most of the posts are obvious, vague, or awful. Soft curation like this is the only thing keeping TRP from drowning in mediocrity.

[deleted] • 7 points • 15 November, 2017 07:28 PM

You're exactly the flavor of idiot I wrote my reply for. Try understand it.

Votes mean SHIT.

You realize the demographic for this sub is overwhelmingly 20yo lost souls, right? Makings of an epic circlejerk. Blind leading the blind, consider your sources.

iLLprincipLeS • 1 points • 16 November, 2017 12:16 AM **[recovered]**

You and "Men" that lurk around and hit one upvote button and think they contribute anything compared to ECs, you can suck a tranny cock. The ECs always provided excellent posts and comments. Also, any piece of shit that makes a question post on trp and not on asktrp deserves to be instabanned forever.

EnhassaKajar • 1 point • 18 November, 2017 08:22 AM

**Muh endorsed contributors. Muh TRP cult. Muh enjoy the decline.**

**You're a degenerate faggot and everything you do is fucking bullshit. You cannot enjoy the decline; you can only suffer.**

**Ashes and Echoes**

nrafield • 0 points • 16 November, 2017 12:16 PM

Would you mind describing what makes you in particular deserve respect and people listening to your advice other than your tag so you can set an example?

TrueFacets • 0 points • 17 November, 2017 07:42 AM\*

Sorry whisper, that's retarded, no one would ever learn something without teachers or dad's or elders who give advice.

nitpicking the exact definitions is also stupid

strikethrough123 • -2 points • 15 November, 2017 10:15 PM

But I like the peanut gallery..

drogosan • -2 points • 16 November, 2017 11:02 AM

I'm wondering why this has been tagged "Blue Pill Example"? Is the OP blue pill?