## The Essence Of A Female-Dominated Society

October 3, 2018 | 37 upvotes | by banned by cucks

https://imgur.com/a/9oXvfJo

Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 3

## **Comments**

RationalPessimistDK • 10 points • 3 October, 2018 09:10 AM

They argue that newborns "might or might not become particular persons depending on our choice" and that euthanasia without consent (ie. murder) is ethically justified if the child is a burden and that an "after-birth abortion" is in the "interests of actual people (parents, family, society) to pursue their own well-being", and that "the best interest of the one who dies is not necessarily the primary criterion for the choice".

Re-defining person-hood to exclude some humans, and arguing that euthanasia without their interest/consent (ie. murder) is justified if they are considered a burden, or if it is in the interest of someone else to who want to "pursue their own well-being", pretty much allows genocide of any unwanted group considered a burden (mentally/physically challenged, elderly etc.) or unwanted.

The term "feminazi" is becoming more valid than ever.

```
labiteatibit • 7 points • 3 October, 2018 12:31 PM
```

I consider feminists a burden, can we abort them?

```
TheImpossible1 • 3 points • 3 October, 2018 12:39 PM Please.
```

mgtow4ever • 2 points • 3 October, 2018 01:23 PM

Its what the Nazis and Communists and all marxist ideologies do this. They identify a certain demographic box them into an identity then they proceed to de-humanize and villafy them and as a final step they justify in their eradication.

There was a very famous Polish Mercenary in the 60's that was asked how does it feel to kill a human being, he answered simply that he didn't know how that felt because he only killed communists.

Intolerance has to be adapted to fight intolerance. If people espouse this behavior as a social norm should be then simply jailed and at worst publicly executed.

```
curiouslyengaged • 1 point • 3 October, 2018 03:38 PM
```

So if you're in a car accident with your husband and he gets paralyzed from the neck down you should be able to abort him since he's now a burden?

```
Bestoftherest222 • 7 points • 3 October, 2018 10:47 AM
```

Women are mass murderers. They have, in the USA alone, killed over 50 million babies via abortion. To think after generations of killing they'd stop at abortions your dead wrong.

```
roy28282 • 6 points • 3 October, 2018 11:44 AM
```

What's really the difference between killing newborns and abortion? In both cases a child that has no ability to protect himself is murdered in cold blood. If abortion is allowed it's only logical to be allowed to murder newborns. The next question is are women allowed to kill them until what age?

```
TheImpossible1 • 1 point • 3 October, 2018 12:39 PM
```

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 3

Well in the UK they've got away with it up to 14.

mgtow4ever • 1 point • 3 October, 2018 01:19 PM

In some countries person-hood is not defined but Viable Life is. Canada has life viability rather then person-hood.

curiouslyengaged • 2 points • 3 October, 2018 03:42 PM

It's all about increasing female freedom at everyone else's expense. Sexual freedom is only possible if sex can be done with no consequences - birth control pills fail, condoms fail, only thing that would enable 'free' behavior is abortion. Female freedom is paid in blood of the innocent.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 3