## inb4 Ban

April 8, 2019 | 39 upvotes | by banned by cucks



Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 3

## **Comments**

fredanderssen • 5 points • 8 April, 2019 08:13 AM\*

Biologically speaking, a man marries a younger woman (reproductive viability), and a woman marries an older man (monetary viability). This makes sense. A woman has a greater chance to have healthy offspring in her youth, and a man has a greater chance to have accumulated wealth as he get older.

Now, if you reverse that, you have an older woman (more time to accumulate wealth) with limited reproductive viability, vying for a man with less resources than she. But the man's raison d'être is wrapped up in his ability to provide and the woman's youth. Now the older, richer woman has two strikes against her: lack of viability and not needing a man's resources.

The third strike for this woman is "not settling." Since a very successful woman has to either find a man with more resources than she has or a younger man who is a gold digger (rare), she's has a conundrum. The more successful man is looking for a young, fertile woman and cares little for her earning ability, and the younger man will more than likely fill his biological urges "on the side" with a more youthful woman.

Of course, you could just marry a nice, beta man, who would be loyal and faithful to you, but you just couldn't stand that, because that would be "settling."

AeternusDoleo • 2 points • 8 April, 2019 09:39 AM

So logically, the biological reason fails here. I'm looking more towards the convenience/status aspect. Essentially paying for an alpha-ish individual, just like men would pay for someone they are attracted to.

Makes me wonder - how quickly laws will change once the ladies are on the receiving end of the divorce raping... They're leaving themselves open for it. And alpha men tend to not care about anyone but themselves, it's just a trait of those.

fredanderssen • 3 points • 8 April, 2019 12:23 PM

Good points!

Someone summed it up best on SydneyMGTOW on Youtube:

Charlize Theron. Age 43. Net worth \$130 million. Chances she will accept a man with a lesser OR equal net worth: Zero. Chances a man with significantly greater net worth will hook up with her: Zero. The wall plus hypergamy predict her fate. Chances she will remain alone and miserable: 100 percent.

BLOOD\_PALADIN • 1 point • 8 April, 2019 06:40 PM

Charlize Theron remaining alone? You are deluded.

fredanderssen • 3 points • 9 April, 2019 03:46 PM

There's an article by Theron regarding just this. She hasn't had a date since 2015.

BLOOD PALADIN • 1 point • 14 May, 2019 11:27 AM

Oh shit, she's fairly good looking tho. She must a total bitch to be so single, either that or having huge standards. This although proves how we are far less gold digging than women.

Lawuhiku • 1 point • 8 April, 2019 06:51 AM

>censoring your own name

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 3

BLOOD PALADIN • 1 point • 8 April, 2019 06:43 PM

You are deluded guys, check at those rich Hollywood milfs (and also ugly hags even) with young men. Check at Jeremy Meeks who is an ex convict who married a billionaire's daughter solely because of his face. Don't let money become a new coping mechanism.

mdigibou • 1 point • 10 April, 2019 02:36 PM

I was just about to X-post the link to this, but decided to check your post history first and saw this.

The reply two below you was fantastic

" I know you're in r/FIRE but I hope you brought ice with that burn."

banned\_by\_cucks[S] • 1 point • 10 April, 2019 07:24 PM

If I understand/remember correctly that was supporting a claim made against me, in which the person replying said "Like time for them" in regards to me originally staying that the average 'successful' woman doesn't have qualities/attributes that high-value men with options are looking for.

mdigibou • 1 point • 10 April, 2019 10:06 PM

Oh that's not how I took it.

I saw it as someone else saying that women SHOULD be making time for a man in their life if they want them to stay around.

lol internet vagueness.

banned\_by\_cucks[S] • 1 point • 12 April, 2019 01:49 AM

It appears you were right. I'm pretty surprised lol

mdigibou • 1 point • 12 April, 2019 05:25 AM

\0/ Hooray. The internet was nicer than we thought lol.

banned\_by\_cucks[S] • 1 point • 21 April, 2019 03:01 AM

Haha, the thing is though I'm pretty sure the majority of people who upvoted that comment interpreted it the same way I did initially.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 3