

‘All Men are Trash’

March 24, 2018 | 721 upvotes | by [epictetus_irl](#)

Imagine posting ‘all women are trash’ to twitter. It would take about 7 seconds for you be banned and the tweet would likely make newspapers.

Reverse the genders though and it’s all fine.

https://twitter.com/_ellaroberts/status/976760262844919808?s=21

The comments on this are eye opening to anyone who thinks there’s isn’t a need to highlight men’s rights. One of my favorites is when a dude says “this message was written a few years after millions men died in war”

The female reply? “War is created by men so tough”

Also check out the people who have retweeted this, I see female CEOs on the list. Again imagine a male CEO retweeting the opposite message, he’d be removed from his post by Monday morning. The woman is celebrated. You go girl!

We live in a world where a man can be guilty of a hate crime if his dog raises its paw but open attacks and slurs against an entire gender are ok as long it’s targeting men.

Note this women lives in the U.K. just like CountDankuka. Where’s the outrage? Where’s the arrest? The court date?

So what can you do?

Do not run off to twitter to start a name calling campaign.

Do report the tweet for hate speech.

Do keep your eyes open for this type of speech and attempts to normalise the hatred of all men. Call out double standards.

Do check the twitter feeds of women you’re dating, this one is always an eye opener for me. It will reveal more about them than months of dating.

Stay vigilant. It’s not good out there for men right now.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

askmeanything2 • 496 points • 24 March, 2018 01:05 PM

Example of all men are trash:

A French police officer who offered himself up in a hostage swap Friday after an armed man reportedly yelling “Allahu Akbar” went on a rampage in southern France, has died, a report said early Saturday.

The officer had offered himself up unarmed to the 25-year-old attacker in exchange for a female hostage. He managed to surreptitiously leave his cellphone on so that police outside could hear what was going on inside the supermarket.

WolfofAnarchy • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 02:41 PM **[recovered]**

What an absolute fucking hero. Better than 99% of people.

redd_reality • 48 points • 24 March, 2018 10:08 PM

Exactly. What an example of living a life truly centered on masculine values. I'm not saying giving his life up for the woman had anything to do with it, what I am saying is he clearly embodies courage, honor, strength and duty.

WolfofAnarchy • 20 points • 25 March, 2018 05:14 AM

100%. The user here who is calling him a white knight because he gave his life for a woman, is just completely misguided.

redd_reality • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 05:21 AM

Navigating intentions is work for those with extreme emotional intelligence.

The vast majority will attribute his actions first to neivity and stupidity, then some will call him a thirsty white knight. Then a few of us will hope for the best and assume courage, strength, bravery, mastery and duty.

No one really knows who he was, but to me the story spells it out pretty clear.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 March, 2018 03:10 AM

I still wouldn't have given my life over for a woman in that situation. No way josè. I'd rather quit or get someone else all hyped up to do it.

amidoingitright15 • 29 points • 24 March, 2018 05:25 PM*

That leaves only ~ 7 million people left in this world as good or better than this guy. I don't think I disagree with you but that's just a sadly low number.

I'd like to think I could be that kind of guy but how would I ever know if I have those guts until I'm in a similar situation. I'm doubtful.

Edit: I'm an idiot. ~ 70 million. Much less depressing.

buddaycousin • 9 points • 24 March, 2018 06:33 PM

I think you need to check your math.

amidoingitright15 • 13 points • 24 March, 2018 06:35 PM

Doh. It's 70 million isn't it? I just did it in my head real quick and I'm not great with math. My bad

Edit: I don't know our population total so I just went with 7 billion. Maybe it's closer to 8 now though idk...

GroundhogLiberator • 15 points • 24 March, 2018 06:50 PM

Don't worry man, we'll hit 8 billion by 2025 as long as the West keeps shoveling cash into Africa

Revorob • -9 points • 25 March, 2018 02:32 AM

I wish someone would drop a few nukes on them.

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 06:27 AM*

Such a ignorant fucktard comment. Just so you know the African continent is bigger than all of the US, India, China, and most of Europe combined. There's a lot more their then starving villages. Their's many modern cities, as well as fucktard 3rd world cities. There' s functional towns and villages. There's fucktard towns and villages. China, US, Europe are all pumping money in to Africa and sucking out resources, as well as developing areas there. There's tons of Arabs in Africa, there's tons of everything. It's a huge fucking continent. You talk like you got your info from a cartoon from a 1977 issue of Mad magazine. Africa isn't just about black people, and certainly not the inner city black guy in the US who stole your car.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 02:22 AM

The native inhabitants of Sub-Saharan did not build a single thing there themselves more advanced than one story huts.

zyqkvx • 15 points • 24 March, 2018 07:45 PM*

What an absolute fucking hero. Better than 99% of people.

He's not a hero he's a white knight. He went beyond his duties and traded his life for a rando. No nobility in that. If a criminal perceives law enforcers as people who see their purpose as dying like a fly for any compromise, it is advantageous to the criminal. A criminal is less likely to kill a woman than a man, so logistically it's a bad trade. Women can die too. They are not worth more then men.

WolfofAnarchy • 40 points • 24 March, 2018 09:19 PM

What a stupid way to view things. He gave his life for a random because he's so selfless. It's actually pathetic that you sit there in the comfort of your fucking home and talk down on people who gave their lives for others, while you'd probably be the first to call for your mommy.

Have some respect. Or are all those soldiers who died in WW2 also white knights? And firefighters who risk their life for others? Are they just doing their job but as soon as they enter a burning building they're white knights?

blacklightsleaze • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 08:08 AM

These WW1/2 soldiers who died like a pawns fought for someone else's cause, they are fools/brainwashed or didn't have much of choice. Do you think they really want to go die in some

retarded war? If you try to desert you get executed. About this french guy, yea he is viewed as a hero from society pov. But from his family pov his act is probably viewed as selfish and dumb. Why he done that? Why he left his family like this?

ExistentialEnnuui • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 09:34 PM

Exactly. They died as pawns for interest groups that had stakes in the outcome.

WolfofAnarchy • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 08:29 AM

He doesn't have kids, only has a wife. He left his mark on the world, being in all news media for a day, sharing courage and selflessness in the world. Maybe he didn't love his wife as much as he wanted to save this innocent's life.

zyqkvx • 9 points • 25 March, 2018 10:21 AM*

Odds are he was a meal ticket to his wife. Him dying that way is even a bigger meal ticket, and now she has the house to herself, and the freedom of a whore with money. She also has the status of being the widow of a 'true hero'. That's gold to a woman.

The sort of cop who would do this exchange is typically the sort of cop who is arrogant and assumes he will come out looking like a hero, dismissing the realities about safety. I've been studying people all my life. These guys, especially, aren't thinking, "I'm going to go in there and save that person, and if I die so be it" In fact pretty much 0 people in the force think like that. He was more likely thinking (if he thought at all), "What are the odds that I die? 20 to 1? Therefore I won't die. ...and I'll get da shit stardom, respect and status for doing it!"

And a typical cop isn't thinking, "Oh, I'll have to take a bullet for someone if I have to". They are thinking, "Shoot goddamn first, shoot goddamn first. If I get sued nothing will ever come of it." In fact this is basic police training and has been for at least a decade.

So cynical I know, but reality based.

here comes the downvotes.

juliusstreicher • 2 points • 4 April, 2018 11:42 AM

I'm wondering if his wife was such a cunt that he thought that dying was a better choice.

ozaku7 • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 11:12 PM

Because of his selflessness now both have died. How is this good for anyone?

zyqkvx • -10 points • 24 March, 2018 09:34 PM

You are resorting to ad hominem attacks and trying to use shame tactics on me. Good luck with that.

1v1crown • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 03:45 PM

How is that being a hero? She's already forgotten about him.

epictetus_irl[S] • 163 points • 24 March, 2018 01:09 PM

what a grade A piece of shit right? /sarcasm. RIP

Kenny_Twenty • 38 points • 24 March, 2018 11:18 PM

Sacrificing yourself for someone who's not related to you, you have no history with, is fucking foolish and a misguided attempt to signal virtue. It only serves to perpetuate the notion of men being disposable. You need to think more objectively.

Movies and TV have taught you that it's good to sacrifice your own safety for any nearby female and you've bought it. So you applaud this fool who's left a family of some sort behind (likely a wife and children), which aside from saving a random woman's life, only serves to perpetuate the diminishing value of men in western society, and in the same post complain about irreverence for men.

You need to open your fucking eyes.

AcrossHallowedGround • 24 points • 24 March, 2018 11:30 PM

While I agree with your overall sentiment, he's a police officer. His job is to protect the people. If it was some random dude on the street that did this, yeah I'd agree 100%.

Kenny_Twenty • 17 points • 25 March, 2018 12:08 AM

You're telling me it's his job to offer himself up as a hostage?

I find that unlikely.

AcrossHallowedGround • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 12:18 AM

Need to read the fine print when you sign that employment contract.

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 06:15 AM*

Do you know the small print or just yapping that out to support your narrative. As far as I know a law enforcement officer is under no contract to exchange himself for a rando citizen. That's voluntary and shouldn't be allowed at all in my opinion. It is part of their job description to engage in dangerous situations, like running in and taking care of a school shooter. Crimes are real problems and real solutions are needed. This takes a lot of effort and thought. White knight gestures are ingrained in the law enforcement agencies like they are everywhere else. Negotiating to get women out, exchanging your self for a hostage and other such things are poor tactics the lead to poor results. It's a form of 'security theater'. Make note of the last cop that just didn't hesitate to shoot the kid dead. There were 2 student casualties I think before the cop killed the shooter. In other shooter cases the cops try to capture, not kill the shooter and that counts were so much higher. We don't need this type of 'chivalry', white knight influence, and political correctness. We need avid murders dead quickly. We need to solve crime problems, not jerk off to cliché heroism. Go watch a movie if you want to suck on that shit.

AcrossHallowedGround • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 11:32 AM

Calm down sperg it was a joke.

SpaceEnthusiast • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 10:41 AM

That comment was somewhat facetious...

ozaku7 • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 11:10 PM

I don't even understand why they try to reason with those attackers. That's the most foolish thing I can imagine.

Entropy-7 • 0 points • 25 March, 2018 07:33 AM

We don't really know all his motivations but it is a safe bet to say that the cop did not do it to score pussy or placate the women in his life, which sort of takes it out of the realm of white knighting.

He may have made the swap to gain intel or on the premise that having a trained paramilitary operative on the inside would be more valuable than a useless female.

Entropy-7 • 0 points • 25 March, 2018 07:33 AM

We don't really know all his motivations but it is a safe bet to say that the cop did not do it to score pussy or placate the women in his life, which sort of takes it out of the realm of white knighting.

He may have made the swap to gain intel or on the premise that having a trained paramilitary operative on the inside would be more valuable than a useless female.

Entropy-7 • 0 points • 25 March, 2018 07:33 AM

We don't really know all his motivations but it is a safe bet to say that the cop did not do it to score pussy or placate the women in his life, which sort of takes it out of the realm of white knighting.

He may have made the swap to gain intel or on the premise that having a trained paramilitary operative on the inside would be more valuable than a useless female.

patrice_plz_come_bac • 41 points • 24 March, 2018 01:11 PM

In her mind, worse than showing she's wrong, is her admitting she is.

st00dup • 9 points • 24 March, 2018 09:25 PM

When was the last time a woman did that?

ADELAIDA_IVANOVNA • 12 points • 24 March, 2018 04:22 PM

Typical man trying to show power over that poor woman.. she can handle being a hostage all by herself!

st00dup • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 09:27 PM

Maybe he was mansplaining about terrorists?

Auvergnat • 25 points • 24 March, 2018 10:03 PM*

A man who gave away his life to save a woman. The ultimate white-knight. The hero of the feminine imperative.

This is the "right kind of" man, along with his fellow comrades:

The man who marries the girl he accidentally got pregnant after a one-night-stand, but she doesn't want to get an abortion.

The man with a perfect physique who is dating a fat girl

The CEO who takes a pay cut to give away more cash to women in his company to fight off the wage gap.

The millionaire or prince who falls in love with an average girl.

Praises be to that french hero! Little boys, listen closely to the message of the mainstream media! You are a toxic human, poisoned by your testosterone and your future sexual urges are the greatest scourge on earth.

But you can redeem yourself and become an international hero if you give your life to save some random woman.

Thotwrecker • 10 points • 25 March, 2018 09:11 AM

The fact that posts like these need to be made amongst a sea of "wow have some respect, this man is a hero for his selfless sacrifice!" on TRP is a bad sign. Things done changed....

ComradeDurdentRP • 6 points • 25 March, 2018 03:20 PM

The RedPill is growing. And I'm not convinced that's a good thing. Almost any group that doesn't subscribe to the far left dogma has their own version of the "red pill" and a lot of times it has fuck all to do w/ intergender relationships, male vs. female sexual strategies, human nature, etc.

Those people then end up here, on the main subreddit, trying to alter the discourse and make it about whatever pie in the sky fantasy that brought them here in the first place. "Saving" the West, promoting "healthy" marriages a-la back in the 1950s, working in unison w/ women to combat 3rd wave feminism, etc

The signal to noise ratio changes; the original message gets diluted, obscured. u/GayLubeOil talks a lot about how TRP needs to have a healthy layer of cynicism re: pretty much anything in order to be authentic. It has to be an uncomfortable truth that the users are forced to face about both themselves and the world.

Instead, here we are praising a man for being a disposable tool who gave up his life for some random broad who probably wouldn't have even sucked his dick afterwards to show appreciation had he survived. Nah, that's what he was "supposed" to do...

saladon • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 02:09 PM **[recovered]**

Yeah well, wouldn't any decent man have done the same?

That's why the policeman was a hero. There really aren't any decent men left in the world! If more men were like him, I'd have found a husband by now. Everyone's just too pussy to acknowledge that big is beautiful. 300lbs of pure beauty baby.

DennyBenny • 13 points • 24 March, 2018 02:22 PM

Not the "First responder" at Parkland.

420KUSHBUSH • 6 points • 24 March, 2018 04:32 PM

Honestly if Earth spontaneously combusted one day, *would we really be mad?*

loktaixtatus • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 05:26 PM

fuck it.

Mr_Supergerbil • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 06:25 PM

Was that a Genesis Torpedo?

Chaddeus_Rex • 33 points • 24 March, 2018 03:49 PM

The officer had offered himself up unarmed to the 25-year-old attacker in exchange for a female hostage.

In other circumstances, in another era I would have said this man is a hero...but not in these circumstances, not in this age. He was a white knight. He shouldn't have raised a finger to protect the female, they're equal, right? Let them enjoy the effects of equality.

BurnoutRS • 24 points • 24 March, 2018 05:16 PM

Its such a hard argument. A true man puts his job and his mission above all else. A policeman is called upon to put his life on the line for the safety of the people. Ahaha but this totally gets into the territory of bible thumping where I twist one tenet of TRP philosophy to say youre not a real man if you dont do the job you signed up for and then you argue that if the job you signed up for is to whiteknight...

So what it comes down to is what was in the man's heart at the time. Was it "she'll fuck me if I trade places with her and dont end up dying" or was it "I have a duty to the people of this city"

Pooddit • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 08:59 PM

Sworn to protect and serve, I guess. But he could do a lot more of that alive than (willingly) dead.

ThePantsThief • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 09:55 PM

Cops are a dime a dozen, someone will take his place. I'm glad there are those serving who feel it is their duty to really protect people.

Chaddeus_Rex • -3 points • 24 March, 2018 10:20 PM

true man puts his job and his mission above all else

True.

A policeman is called upon to put his life on the line for the safety of the people.

If youre a policeman youre a cuck by definition. As the police are required to put themselves in danger for a society that doesnt give a fuck about them.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 03:22 AM

cuck by definition

cuckold

'(kʌk(ə)ld)

noun

1. the husband of an adulteress, often regarded as an object of derision.

"jokes in literature about elderly cuckolds and misers are rife"

verb

1. (of a man) make (another man) a cuckold by having a sexual relationship with his wife.

"in the novel Humberto cuckolds his employer"

retarded

1. A police officer who has put his life on the line for the safety of the people

"A french police officer gave his during a hostage situation, saving the life of a woman in the process, what a fucking cuck"

loktaixtatus • 6 points • 24 March, 2018 05:24 PM

We don't know this woman or this man... I still say he's a hero. I believe there are times to be chivalrous. On the large scale, the big picture, I'm sure there are women who should be brought to tears, but they

think "of course he did , it's a man's job to die not a womans(in less or even more plain terms) but I want to believe that woman is grateful, that her family is.

Chaddeus_Rex • 7 points • 24 March, 2018 08:46 PM

AWALT. Most women act the same way. It doesnt matter who she is, but she will rapidly forget the guy because of Briffaults Law, because she views it as her due - that men will sacrifice themselves for her.

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 06:43 AM

Technically in this special situation she won't forget, because no-one else will forget. She will hear references for the rest of her life. Therefore she will stay in kayfabe and maintain emphatically that the man is a Hero for the rest of her life. She'll surely point out to her SO how much of a Hero he is compared to said SO whenever the fuck she wants.

Essentially you are right.

blacklightsleaze • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 08:18 AM

More likely they tried some kind of police tactic to disarm the attacker combined with a bit of heroism, but it failed.

clearedmycookies • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 10:12 PM

Would you say the same if he exchanged his own life for a male hostage?

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 10:20 PM

No. Because a man would understand and remember it for life. A woman won't.

grewapair • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 11:37 PM

That woman will be retweeting all men are trash and marching for more immigrants. Fortunately he perpetuated the myth that a woman's life is more valuable than any man.

projects_dude • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 01:55 AM

And when some person on twitter kindly points out a man saved her life. She'll reply "Tough, the problem started with a man"

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 07:54 PM

Shit test failed. Should've held frame.

Not his kids, not his problem.

ExistentialEnnuui • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 09:36 PM

Reverse the genders, would she have done the same?

SKRedPill • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 07:28 AM*

Man gives up his life for a woman taken hostage by a fanatic immigrant brought in courtesy of a feminine primary government needing labour and population replacement huh? Idealistic, but for whom?

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 02:20 AM

And women are the ones that let all the barbarians through the fucking gates.

Poochysnooch • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 06:06 AM

Wait. What.

He whiteknighted and paid with his life?

destraht • -14 points • 24 March, 2018 01:42 PM

If the guy was that truly brave I think that he could have made more of a difference by opposing the national and EU policies that allow this crap to go on. IMO it was the wrong sacrifice.

GainzdalfTheWhey • 10 points • 24 March, 2018 03:33 PM

I kinda see what you are trying to say, but you came off as a huge asshole, the fact is that this men should not have died for a random chick, he could do the world a lot better than her. But don't disrespect his sacrifice

destraht • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 03:57 PM

Doing something and dying is just another act and it is just as valid to evaluate it as any other act. Coldly, its in many ways easier to die for something than to live for it. He had the courage to die but would he have had the courage to say the wrong thing at a cocktail party attended by fancy people? By the numbers I'd wager not. Its really much easier to keep your mouth shut and then do something so heroic that it kills you while really not affecting much at all. There is water pouring all over the floor and someone wants to stop it by making their socks wet. Well its just better to turn off the damn faucet, but then someone might think you are a bad person with the wrong opinion. That is scary as hell.

melungeonmuscle • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 04:05 PM

You're right, it is easier to keep your mouth shut. Which is what you need to be doing because you sound like a moron.

destraht • -7 points • 24 March, 2018 04:15 PM

Oh look! Someone's grandfather fought in some war! Honor runs deep with this one.

melungeonmuscle • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 04:21 PM

Yea it was WW1. I don't have a lot about my family I'm proud of but I am proud of that man. I wish I could have met him.

destraht • 0 points • 24 March, 2018 04:29 PM

The thing is that I respect how mind boggling difficult that struggle was but I don't think that it was necessary at all. That was the "war to end all wars" and then guess what? The bankers setup conditions for another blow out death fest. Its supposed to be great honor but all of that is the reason why we now have an insane military-industrial complex and are stuck bleeding out our people with ZIRP after achieving world reserve currency status. We would have been much better without any of that. So while I acknowledge that what he did is much more difficult than anything I'll do in my life I would have preferred he if just stayed home. Really though, the US didn't need to be supplying England and countries get conquered all of the time. Big deal. Actually it shouldn't come as a surprise that WWI kicked off the following year that the European Rothschild's took over the US banking system with the "Federal" Reserve. They had the funding mechanism and then they just need to bring in the

completely disinterested Americans with a completely setup and avoidable act. Then they went to end all wars my ass.

MazMazda3 • -3 points • 24 March, 2018 04:06 PM

Can you provide a source?? I need to know that it actually happened.

FlamingAmmosexual • 180 points • 24 March, 2018 12:52 PM

I see a bunch of women projecting their fears and insecurities.

When you get down to the basics this whole "you go girl" attitude is women trying to convince themselves they're equal to men in everything. They're not.

I'll give you an example. Remember when the Ghostbusters reboot came out with all women? All we heard was a bunch of virtue signalling about how this was a good thing, girls can be boys, and women need these types of role models.

It failed. Why?

The original Ghostbusters was a male movie. It's guys being guys as blue-collar workers. The original Ghostbusters wasn't a scientific film despite them all being scientists. It could have been a bunch of rednecks. It was men starting a firefighter/exterminator/garbage service to make money.

When a bunch of male feminists and chicks go "well women can do that too" you have to call bullshit because those jobs are at least 90% male. Women don't bust their ass as steel workers even though they have a choice if they wanted to. They'd rather be a secretary in an office and bitch about the air conditioning being sexist.

So when you see a bunch of females trashing the opposite sex you're seeing over compensation for a lack of confidence in their abilities and own self worth.

My advice is to have fun with it and go to the extreme by saying men are better than women as a way to show how ridiculous they look.

RedKingRising • 99 points • 24 March, 2018 01:50 PM*

People tend to punch up. If you say all babies are trash you are punching down. All puppies are assholes, same. All gods are monsters, punching up. As men are below the gods it makes sense for women to say all men are trash. We are above them. This is admission.

[deleted] • 9 points • 24 March, 2018 10:34 PM

This is awesome. I am definitely using this.

zyqkvx • 6 points • 25 March, 2018 07:17 AM

That concept deserves a post of it's own. It doesn't have to be more than a paragraph or two. Not all gems are three pages long.

[deleted] • 10 points • 24 March, 2018 02:16 PM

same idea with the black-on-white race instigators

iamanenemy • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 04:43 AM

Do share. I'd LOVE to hear this.

[deleted] • 35 points • 24 March, 2018 01:19 PM

the only reason they can keep up with female quotas in the military is because they aren't held to the same physical standards

as an example, men in their 30's have 14:00 to run their USAF test. women have over 17:00

just in case you ever wondered why we have so many fat women in the military

[deleted] • 19 points • 24 March, 2018 03:54 PM

Watching PT on base follows the same trends. PT gods out in front, then the average guys, then the fatbodied males, then the Female PT stars.

[deleted] • 16 points • 24 March, 2018 04:52 PM*

women can run a 14:01 and still get an "excellent" (the top rating) on their test, where the same score for a man would be a PT failure

GroundhogLiberator • 18 points • 24 March, 2018 06:42 PM*

Reminds me of that "inspirational video" of some female soldier stumbling across the finish line of some PT marathon. There's a dude ten steps ahead of her walking at a leisurely pace.

edit: found it

[deleted] • 10 points • 24 March, 2018 07:17 PM

Imao

looked like he was taking a stroll in the park

GroundhogLiberator • 12 points • 24 March, 2018 07:37 PM*

His friends probably made fun of him for being such a slow sack of shit

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 07:44 AM

He was about the last one. True. That's hilarious.

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 07:43 AM

I avoid lutz, but I don't even have a chance here.

Revorob • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 10:58 AM

That vid shows what a fucking joke women in the military are.

zyqkvx • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 07:37 AM*

So much bullshit in the world. The video said she was only 1 out of 46 who got the badge that day. Then said 'up to' 80% fail. Sounds to me like she was the 1 woman who got the badge that day and the other 45 were men, or they would have mentioned the other woman(s). Therefore a big chunk the 80% who fail are women. They give shit data-points so not much can be specially be infered.

blood4lyfe • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 06:26 AM

Where? I'm sorry, I don't see any other contestants.

HiggsBooze • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 09:25 PM

How much weight those soldiers carry? Because when I finally decided to take care of myself, I

went from 8km strolls to 30 km in around 5 weeks, and lost 10 kg in the process, from 107 to 97 kg.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 09:55 PM*

no ideals on specifics because i'm not army, but i've heard some army guys talk about 30-lb rucksacks and others 50-lb rucks. it probably varies, not sure, and officers probably have different standards. either way it sounds difficult

Eulerbrah • 18 points • 24 March, 2018 03:10 PM

"you go girl" = do whatever you want, consequence free.

cloudspike • 17 points • 24 March, 2018 05:26 PM

"Hitler was raised by a single mother" lol that got me

alxjones[] • 10 points • 24 March, 2018 04:00 PM

the men are better than women link ...

hysterical when he calls her fat and she goes speechless

FlamingAmmosexual • 11 points • 24 March, 2018 06:38 PM

"I didn't hear you."

Sure you didn't.

zyqkvx • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 08:26 AM

After she clearly heard it, was left speechless, and said she didn't hear it, cuck Dr. Phil says, "I'm glad you didn't either"

I think bluepill is made out of hydrogen. It's everywhere.

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 12:14 AM

My question is.. Why do women and male feminists need to jump on every movie or story that originally has male character or lead role and turn it female?

Why not write your own damn story with female characters?

FlamingAmmosexual • 5 points • 25 March, 2018 12:49 AM

Bill Burr explains this. They aren't happy and they hate that men can create or do things they can't.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 01:08 AM

That was great. I love bill burr's rants.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 March, 2018 12:22 AM

That audience was straight out of a liberal arts college

zyqkvx • 5 points • 25 March, 2018 07:15 AM

bitch about the air conditioning being sexist.

Well if they wore thicker shirts their existing influence on company policies would sharply decrease.

[deleted] • 4 points • 26 March, 2018 02:24 AM

women trying to convince themselves they're equal to men in everything.

They are not equal to men in anything. They are superior in a few things such as child rearing, reading and manipulating emotions, life expectancy, and perceiving color shades. They are inferior at everything else.

PhaedrusHunt • 3 points • 26 March, 2018 05:46 AM

A comedian buddy kept saying after it came out, "So if I don't like the new Ghostbusters I'm a rapist?"

Gnosiis_ • 6 points • 24 March, 2018 09:10 PM

Absolutely agree. Personally I could give a damn about how women feel about me and will readily point out their hypocrisies. Here's my favorite post from that Twitter post:

To all the guys getting overly sensitive about this. Relax. Its just a sentiment as all women have had at least one negative experience with a male. Tho, you could always try to become better people. There is that too.

Tho self-reflection doesn't seem to be a strong suit. ☐♀☐

She believes that men struggle with self-reflection? Women have no self-awareness yet they reflect on themselves better?

Gnosiis_ • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 09:11 PM

Absolutely agree. Personally I could give a damn about how women feel about me and will readily point out their hypocrisies. Here's my favorite post from that Twitter post:

To all the guys getting overly sensitive about this. Relax. Its just a sentiment as all women have had at least one negative experience with a male. Tho, you could always try to become better people. There is that too.

Tho self-reflection doesn't seem to be a strong suit. ☐♀☐

She believes that men struggle with self-reflection? Women have no self-awareness yet they reflect on themselves better?

Eulerbrah • 190 points • 24 March, 2018 11:25 AM

short answer: DON'T TAKE WOMEN SERIOUSLY.

SilentChiller • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 02:47 PM **[recovered]**

Don't take anyone seriously, we're all just immature children in adult bodies.

greatamericancities • 36 points • 24 March, 2018 04:46 PM

Exactly. Monkeys with pants on, talking on mobile phones, quite impressed with ourselves.

2dogsandpizza • 15 points • 24 March, 2018 10:26 PM

Speak for yourself. The whole point of this sub is to stop men being babies.

[deleted] • 38 points • 24 March, 2018 01:54 PM*

When I was a kid, I took the Bible seriously. When I was a young man, I'm like WTF is this shit and no longer took the Bible seriously. Now that I'm middle age, I once again take the Bible seriously and have my kid take the Bible seriously.

I know the pages look like ignorant shit on a shingle, but just trust me, in another 20 years you will marvel at how men 3500 years ago could have had all this and more figured out, and wrote it down with ink on a quill,

in a document that is currently the best selling book the planet has on offer.

Book 1, Chapter 1, Paragraph 1 of the Bible: Everything was perfect and wonderful, then woman used drama and ruined everything. Keep the train on the tracks, or pay the price in eternal pain and suffering.

A_confusedlover • 11 points • 24 March, 2018 03:19 PM

I'm not christian but I'm gonna get myself a bible to read at the earliest

rorrr[] • 18 points • 24 March, 2018 04:48 PM

The Bible is not a good source of morals. It's a set of really weird commandments with some good ones sprinkled here and there. It condones slavery, for instance. It actually doesn't give you any tools to solve hard moral questions.

If you need a book to tell you not to kill and not to steal, you have bigger problems.

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 March, 2018 10:11 PM*

The Bible is not a good source of morals.

And yet this sub is bucking the trend of western civilization by agreeing with it. If it's not a good source, then how come this sub is trending with males today and the ideologies found in it seem to mirror documents written up to 3500 years ago? It's not the best source of morals, but it was elite advanced technology when it first came out.

It condones slavery, for instance.

At the time "the slaves" that the bible said to take were naked black gorillas with no formal language, their best technology at the time was a pointed wooden stick or bone for a hammer, at the time they looked no different than gorillas and chimpanzees you see today in zoos, grunting at each other and living in small dug out caves and hunting with their bare hands. What would you call them if you were alive at the time to see it? Slavery was a decent idea at the time. I don't see you taking in a harem of gorillas into your apartment, being all holier-than-thou granting personhood status to every mammal some 60 thousand or 60 million years less evolved than you.

If you need a book to tell you not to kill and not to steal, ...

Humans do need a book to say not to kill and steal, otherwise science says that killing and stealing often provides a very positive return on investment. It's how most people get rich now: organized stealing. Back when you and I were closer to the land, I'd be beating the shit out of you right now because I really don't like your tone of voice. But the technology that stops that now originated in the Bible don't kill just for fun, don't rape and steal just because it's profitable. These ideas were crazy at the time. And even today we don't have a scientific justification for no killing or stealing. It's an edict written in the law because it's there. If questioned, people just point to the law and say: "It just is". You think you have nothing to profit by running into a rich man's house with a rifle and taking the gold brick in his vault then escaping justice? That's the definition of our species. Eat or be eaten. Kill or be killed. We don't need a book to tell us not to kill and steal? How little you know about your distant ancestry. Go tell a tiger on the Serengeti "not to kill". That's the response humans used to give when told not to kill. Are you crazy? How about I stuff this book into your pie hole then eat you for dinner to let you know what I think of your so called "behble".

rorrr[] • 4 points • 25 March, 2018 12:39 AM

And yet this sub is bucking the trend of western civilization by agreeing with it

For the third time, not "agreeing with it", but agreeing with few small parts of it. For the most part the bible is a shitty guide for life.

Also I don't think this sub is resisting the western civilization in general - it's built on the liberal ideas, which most of us here agree with - personal freedoms (hello, slavery, that you condone), freedom of speech, freedom of press, freedom of religion, etc etc etc.

At the time "the slaves" that the bible said to take were naked black gorillas with no formal language

This is actually a good example of how religion rots your brain and morals. You're saying slavery is fine if the persons you enslave are uneducated and are less developed technologically. This is a completely immoral position in my moral system.

at the time they looked no different than gorillas and chimpanzees you see today in zoos

Somebody missed a biology lesson. Seriously, read some science books for a change, the bible is rotting your brain.

Humans do need a book to say not to kill and steal, otherwise science says that killing and stealing often provides a very positive return on investment.

News flash. We knew that killing and stealing was wrong way before the bible was made up. Humans had all kinds of legal and ethical codes prior. Humans are social animals, and have recognized that living in groups is extremely beneficial. It's not some amazing new invention that dropped from the sky 2K years ago.

And then, the bible doesn't stop anybody from murdering or stealing, because it's an ideology in which *any* sin except one can be completely forgiven (hint, it's not murder).

Science is not a moral system, it's a system for studying reality, and reality can be a cruel bitch. We have other systems for determining what's right and what's wrong - ethics and philosophy.

It's how most people get rich now: organized stealing.

No. Most people get rich these days by starting a business that makes a product or a service that's in demand.

Back when you and I were closer to the land, I'd be beating the shit out of you right now because I really don't like your tone of voice.

If the only thing that stops your from beating and killing me is some book, because of my tone of voice, you have some serious mental issues.

I think you're a horrible person with a rotten moral system, but I wouldn't hurt you even if I could get away with it, and it's not because of the bible or a religion. It's because I'm not a psychopath, and I consider the consequences of my actions - it wouldn't solve your mental problems.

And, as I said, you could murder me, and ask for forgiveness. The bible doesn't stop any real crimes.

I won't be replying anymore, I think our readers have enough to decide who is right.

Andrew54321 • -4 points • 24 March, 2018 05:33 PM

Blue pill shit test. DUCY?

It is RP to try and decide for oneself.

In my experience that text has a lot to say and many things are true (Ex. Book of Proverbs).

rorrr[] • 6 points • 24 March, 2018 05:38 PM*

I don't know what the first line in your writing means, it's just gibberish.

Religion, in general, is the most blue pill shit I can think of. You're sold a story with no evidence, and you're told what to do.

In my experience that text has a lot to say and many things are true

And it has a lot of horrible shit in it too. Why dig in a pile of shit for a few crumbs of gold, when you can pick up a good book on morals or ethics?

The bible is not a moral system, it can't possibly answer moral questions outside of the things it talks about directly.

It gets some of the easiest moral questions wrong - slavery, for instance.

Even murder - god of the bible tells his followers to murder a group of men, women and children, so it's self-contradictory.

Andrew54321 • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 06:16 PM

Lifting too much is bad ergo lifting is bad.

The thinking which led to your conclusion that is bible is bad dead stop, is sub-optimal in which the phenomenally good things the bible does say. Ex. Your body is a temple so take care of it or 'god' (read: sickness, disease, ailments) will make you suffer.

Would I be mistaken if I interpret the tone of your writing as triggered? Like a woman? Lol.

rorrr[] • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 06:41 PM*

No, you're engaged in yet another fallacy called straw man, no wonder you're so much into the religious nonsense.

I never said the bible doesn't have any good ideas, I said they are mixed with a pile of garbage, including horrible ideas. So recommending the bible as a source of good ideas is a horrible idea as well.

Pooddit • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 09:09 PM

Idk man, I have a friend who is super religious and his marriage is a mess. He just keeps says "the bible says men own women and they must submit to man for life in marriage." Obviously this doesn't get his wife wet nor obedient. I'm beginning to think that attachment to women submitting herself to you for life is the problem, as is attachment to anything you cannot control. Women come and go, you just get your turn with her and she moves on and then if you want another, you go get another. But accepting that you are really a one lone person for life in peace is what I believe should be the goal. Social interactions, sex, companionship, are all just cherries on top, but should not be depended on for being ok.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 10:46 PM

The people who stay in the church over the long term are the retarded ones. The one who jump in whole hog, then see it's not what it advertises itself to be, are the ones who pick out enough wisdom to edify themselves, use it to see that evil is operating within the walls of the church, then from it create a morality for themselves that surpasses that of the Bible.

I know super religious people as well. Many of them blue pill broken men, dumber than a bag of

hammers. Ideology is like a carburetor in a car, it needs to be operational, but it's not the only thing that makes the car go. You need the Architect to balance the equations, and the oracle to purposefully unbalance them.

Pooddit • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 11:22 PM

What does that last sentence mean?

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 11:32 PM*

It's poetic parable that refers to the ideologies behind the 1999 film trilogy: "the matrix". If you haven't seen the movie or studied the ideologies behind it then the parables will seem cryptic. This video might shed some light:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VkMU1mKdwPI>

The Architect, Neo, the Oracle and Agent smith are all part of the same organism communicating with itself, and to grow the abilities and move forward, there is a configuration and specification phase, and a destruction and randomization phase. Creative destruction is a placeholder for death after death is no longer a necessity. Your brain doesn't die between learning sessions, so creative destruction is part of the distilling of truth and refinement of process.

cdhunt6282 • 7 points • 24 March, 2018 04:45 PM

Honestly the most redpilled book of all time

KingXcellor • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 12:28 AM

Isaac Asimov actually wrote a guide to the old and new testament. It's pretty amazing.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 02:27 AM*

I appreciate that there may be some lessons in there, but as far as the actual story, I know that it is false and therefore I cannot read it. Growing up we were very mild Catholics. Go to church for a couple months and then slack for a year. A couple times I though, "Ok I'm just going to try to listen to the sermon and get something out of this because I'm stuck here anyways." Every single time I was like "WTF is this nonsense."

Maybe if they brought back the Roman gods I'd try that out. Those religions were basically all about strength - being strong and paying the proper respect to your gods so that they will help you be strong. The old testament has wisdom because it comes from that time period. It's the the religion of a desert tribe struggling for survival.

The new testament is a joke. It is a religion of weakness. It was invented a sect of Jews who were struggling to come to terms with their new place in the world under the Roman yoke. It gained popularity in the 1st and 2nd centuries among the weakest elements of Roman society - slaves, urban poor, and women. It started going mainstream in the 3rd century when it seemed that the world was falling apart and people were looking to another life outside of this world for salvation. It is an accident of history. Still, Christianity has been a net positive for western civilization because the church was the only unifying factor in Europe after the fall of Rome and it helped preserve knowledge and institutions during the dark period.

anon35201 • 1 points • 26 March, 2018 02:51 AM [recovered]

I know that it is false and therefore I cannot read it.

Sounds like a blue pill thing, as if you're raising yourself up from pigs wrestling in mud, saying you only deal in truths and when untruth comes around you are repelled because untruth is the way of error. You do realize that lies and falsities are a perfectly valid ways to get other people to do the wrong thing and thus maximize your profit and ability to procreate with the best of them and get rich right? Your ideology here is tied to the bible even though you like to seem like an edgy militant agnostic. You've got the Jesus thing running inside your subconscious even as you use those pathways to call yourself atheist. Hard won real estate indeed.

Blue pill white knights can't suffer to keep their mouth shut and feel calm and smile at the presence of folly, injustice, error, lies and deceit. When you get up to my level, you'll see that the Bible is the origin of the red pill ideologies. It's about relationships, and it's about surviving and winning. They know the whole got thing is shit, and you sit in church and hear about the holy ghost or trinity or original sin, and the lies, deceit, deception, fallacy and apparent willful stupidity grates at white knights like a brillo pad because you deal only in truth, about being honest with integrity and helping your fellow man, being true to your word and only speaking truth.

Eventually you'll see the Bible as a work of timeless art, and realize that it being a world best seller isn't some kind of mistake or effective ad campaign. What's in there is the thing we men are all after. How to become one with little lies so that the greatest truths can be manifest. I know it's all shit, you know it too. Next time you're sitting in the pew and you're feeling: "Wtf is this nonsense" embrace it. That nonsense is designed to deceive the dipshits next to you. So that they might be taken in to pull your wagon for you like a horse pulls your wagon to market. The deception and the lies are the technology being communicated, and when you're true alpha, you can sit in church and hear the sermon about how many angels can dance inside the head of a dipshit, and you'll sit in amazement that cut through businessmen trying to take their customers for all they are worth can't hold a candle to the masters who are able to get whole civilizations to lay down their lives to defend things that are provably false.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 03:13 AM

Sounds like a blue pill thing,

Stopped reading after this. Disbelieving supernatural stories is not blue pill.

5beams5 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 04:44 PM

Its great if youre a family man. For a single man, it basically tells you youre doomed for having sex.

In all honesty, thats why i feel like i cant accept jesus. Im more agnostic than anything, but i like to learn about it.

Probably a bad place for this post but i cant be the only one lol

banjew • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 06:58 PM

For a single man, it basically tells you youre doomed for having sex.

More or less it was true 2000 years ago. Not even condoms existed then, let alone the syphilis cure.

5beams5 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 07:46 PM

True. But its more about "giving yourself away". But hygiene definitely was a lot of it.

Htowngetdown • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 10:54 PM

Also the whole not having a bunch of bastards running around

02537-41101 • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 02:45 PM **[recovered]**

The best and biggest realisation I had about the Bible is how true all of it is.

You'd say "none of the Bible's events are of real life", but that couldn't be further from the point. The substrate of the events themselves are the truths being told.

But, calling the book nonsense altogether seems to be less mentally taxing.

majaka1234 • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 03:19 PM

Yeah, all that stuff about the dudes who cum with the emissions of donkeys really resonated with my own personal situation.

huge_gap • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 06:29 PM

Short answer: Stop listening to what women say.

saransh661 • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 01:20 PM **[recovered]**

“War is created by men so tough” So is rest of 21st century, while they were gossiping around. Relax bro, you even take them seriously? Yes patirarchy exists, it should and will because a weak, whining child need a good parenting, not a wish well.

epictetus_irl[S] • 15 points • 24 March, 2018 01:38 PM

Not at all. This commentary doesn't faze me personally.

But I do think it can have a negative effect on wider society by demonising masculinity and we all know how that ends up.

Men built twitter too right? ☐

[deleted] • 221 points • 24 March, 2018 10:20 AM*

You can only be sexist if your a man and you can only be racist if you're white

rottenpinetree • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 01:04 PM **[recovered]**

Sounds about right, especially in 2018 we have no gentlemen and men are little snowflakes now.

Yeah, almost like there is a certain ideology around that hates masculinity and turns men into crybabies. It's on the tip of my tongue... it starts with an F.

epictetus_irl[S] • 79 points • 24 March, 2018 01:07 PM

my new favorite comment

"It is...every man, even men in my family and the men in my city are let downs.As a woman you do feel it an awful lot and you see it a lot.Even my husband he has let me down before so I'm allowed my opinion on men.Just saying."

I think I know the common denominator in this situation and it isn't men...

JackieBronassis • 9 points • 24 March, 2018 02:22 PM

On a long enough timeline everyone will let you down at some point.

rorrr[] • 23 points • 24 March, 2018 04:44 PM

if your a man and

Learn the difference between "your" and "you're". That's just pathetic. I'm not even a native speaker.

UNHAND_THAT_KANG • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 06:50 PM

I know the difference and still occasionally make the mistake.

ddbirt • 1 points • 24 March, 2018 07:12 PM **[recovered]**

Even still. It's a fair point from rorr

One of those things that makes me instantly look down on people. Your/you're, their/there/they're, to/too etc.

In fact, the one that gets me most that people never seem to correct is the difference between lose/loose which are significantly more different than any of former.

Cdsmasher • 5 points • 24 March, 2018 08:11 PM

How about "I WOULD OF"? Not my native language either and every time I see it I wish they would be sent to some retard camp or something and forced to go to elementary school again.

Thynome • 2 points • 26 March, 2018 09:13 AM

Also not a native speaker here. I think that the distinction is actually *easier* for us because we have entirely different words for your/you're, its/it's, they're/their in our language.

michaelkc03 • 19 points • 24 March, 2018 03:39 PM

This ^ except sexism by females is called feminism, and racism by minorities is called affirmative action....hmm

juicystick • -6 points • 24 March, 2018 05:11 PM

No. Affirmative action is something that is ALWAYS put in place by a majority government. The goal of affirmative action is to help a community gain an equal footing over time. That community may or may not be the majority. In fact it can work against a wealthy minority, and it can even get out of hand.

Affirmative it is NOT racism by the minority as you are suggesting because it can't happen without the blessing of the majority.

Solon64 • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 08:32 PM

Giving tangible benefits to a 'protected class' based only on their belonging to that protected class is literally the definition of bigotry and/or racism, you dolt. Doesn't matter if it's been given the blessing of the majority.

juicystick • -1 points • 24 March, 2018 09:13 PM

Name-calling is a tactic used by the side with the weaker argument. If you can't be civil then you really don't deserve a platform.

You said that "racism by minorities is called affirmative action". This is what I was arguing against. So, yes it does in fact matter whether the blessing is being done by the majority.

I'm not arguing whether affirmative action is racist or not. Racism by a minority usually gets

crushed in brutal ways. It always has and it always will be - no law can protect a racist minority. It would be a very bad idea for a minority to be racist.

Rawesome • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 03:49 PM

Why did you feel the need to connect sexism with racism...

.... and WHY is that the top comment here..?

...I'm a white male.

iamanenemy • -1 points • 26 March, 2018 04:08 AM

and you can only be racist if you're white

This is why no one takes TRP seriously.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 07:21 AM

Blacks can be racist towards white people, but they never called on it ever. The point is sexism and racism goes both ways.

iamanenemy • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 11:18 PM

but they never called on it ever

We both know this is a lie. The words 'never' and 'ever' stretch your claim out to ridiculous (see: fantasy) proportions. Again, why no one takes this sub seriously.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 11:21 PM

Some Muslims hate our culture but never get called racist.. Btw I have friends of many faiths and friends of many colour it's just an observation. I'm not racist and embrace everyone..

It's a fact that women never get called out for sexism though..

iamanenemy • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 11:45 PM

"My friends are x".

Sure thing, redpill. Sure.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 11:50 PM

I got nothing to prove to you, ok state a time in a western country where a none white person has been called out for racism...

iamanenemy • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 11:56 PM

You don't, the sub don't, the world don't. So carry on "sir".

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 March, 2018 12:09 AM

You miss my point... Everyone can be an Arsehole.. White, black, male, female.

iamanenemy • 1 point • 27 March, 2018 03:06 AM

When did I say or even IMPLY anything contrary?

'All Men are Trash'

Only the men who aren't in the top 20%. The others might as well be dead to women.

Venny_1 • 47 points • 24 March, 2018 01:51 PM

Not true. Even if the bottom 80% would die, the Pareto Principle would still apply to the remaining 20%, dividing them into a freshly new 80/20. You can't cheat hypergamy.

InwardLooking • 36 points • 24 March, 2018 02:07 PM

Your analysis would only be true if the number of women was reduced proportionally. If the top 20% was all that was left the disproportionate amount of women left would literally have to fight over those men.

Venny_1 • 9 points • 24 March, 2018 03:12 PM

The entire scenario painted would be apocalyptic. In such, I would assume that the idea of harems would return. But a very important point of your reply was the following:

— would literally have to fight over those men.

They would fight over the newly established 20% of men that are left, moreso than the bottom. The species might have suffered a sudden blow to the numbers of men, but hypergamy still doesn't care, and can't be cheated.

whimsyNena • 16 points • 24 March, 2018 03:48 PM

I support the immediate return of harems.

InwardLooking • 5 points • 24 March, 2018 03:14 PM

I would agree that they would fight harder over the new 20%, but it would be a productive time for all men...lol. Everyone would be getting laid. ☐

_the_shape_ • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 04:11 AM

I'd shuffle around the pieces of that statement some and revise it a small amount in order to read:

"I hate when ~~80%~~ of men objectify me, unless it's ~~the 20%~~ of hawt men we're talking about"

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 42 points • 24 March, 2018 01:13 PM*

Nice post.

"To see who rules over you, find out who you are not allowed to criticise" - Kevin Strom

The converse of this is:

"To see who is ruled over, find out who you are allowed to criticise"

We are living in a gynocracy.

Edit: Changed attribution to Kevin Strom.

[deleted] • 18 points • 24 March, 2018 03:08 PM

I actually think in our society its the opposite - the rulers are the ones criticized. White men are the most mocked and criticized - but look who owns all of the property, controls the financial system, the military, creative endeavors - infrastructure - etc. Find out who you cant make fun of - and they are likely the ones

that contribute least to society.

CrazyCale • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 05:05 PM

That is a good way to see who literally rules but I believe he means ruling of a different sort. I think he means more of a social ruling; the ones who decide our ethics and values. Maybe they don't even do it with a conscious decision. Someone earlier said that babies must rule society by the logic we're discussing. I think that is perfectly correct. We definitely would do almost anything to save our infants. When any decent man has a child he almost always chooses to forget his dreams and live for the child. His whole value system is changed for a 9 pound powerless being. Anyone who thinks we aren't ruled by our children has an unbudging definition of ruling.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 09:52 PM

Thats not who rules - those are just the beings that are presided over because they need protection. By this logic you could say dogs rule over people. We protect them and cater to them. Just because you help or protect someone does not mean they rule you - quite the opposite.

CrazyCale • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 03:03 PM

Sorry for the late response. Let's replace rule with a different word. You have to go easy on direct definitions for the idea to work because we aren't talking about the ruling you are. You could say worship but again it's not perfect. Have you ever read Walden or fight club? There are similar parts that say that when you have possession they own you. In fight club it uses a couch as an example:

"You buy furniture. You tell yourself, this is the last sofa I will ever need in my life. Buy the sofa, then for a couple years you're satisfied that no matter what goes wrong, at least you've got your sofa issue handled. Then the right set of dishes. Then the perfect bed. The drapes. The rug. Then you're trapped in your lovely nest, and the things you used to own, now they own you."

Here he is saying the same thing just using the word own instead of rule. Under your rigid semantics it doesn't make sense:

"-the things you used to own, now they 'exercise ultimate power or authority over' you".

That's with rule replaced by its definition. If you take it a step further you can get it more directly.

"- now they ' exercise -the ability to change someone's actions, values, or beliefs- on ' you".

You are getting the idea because you used a perfect example of dogs, but you are only allowing one definition of rule. Why do you think religions want to spread to other countries? A god may or may not be real but he has very real power even as a symbol or figment of the mind. A god has the highest authority on ethics just as the Declaration of Independence does(ideally) in America. But you might think: how can a piece of paper rule a country? It doesn't have land; It can't speak and order you to do anything. Still without direct ownership it changes how a whole nation of people go about their life and what they are allowed to do because of the value we project onto it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 04:36 PM

I understand what you are saying, so lets use your definition. I still disagree and I dont think the 'protected' classes (women, racial minorities, immigrants, religious minorities) rule over anything or anyone. CNN and Huffpo will tell you about 'toxic masculinity' or 'oppressive power structures' but its all horseshit, it doesnt actually play out in real life. The people that 'rule' over others are people that refuse to be victims, take accountability of their own life, and contribute to what society needs. The hipster in Brooklyn that half ass'd creates art and doesnt

sell any and complains on Twitter about Trump has no real power, the guy living a mile away in Manhattan running a hedge fund that doesn't complain and shows up every day at 5am has real power, it doesn't matter what CNN or Huffpo says, they are all full of shit.

CrazyCale • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 07:09 PM

In most cases they don't have direct power, but our laws are changing and currently in a lot of tied situations the draw goes to the minority. Women win far more cases in divorce courts, the statistics of men to women in prison is ridiculous, the main post we're talking on is a fair example, many parents would like to go out on Friday nights but don't because of the risk of leaving their children alone, I have to go to the store and feed my dogs, taxes go to the shitty faux-artists, and blacks have more idols on tv than ever. Yes the literal ruling classes you pull out the rug at any moment and decide not to play ball anymore, but the social fallout would be so significant that it would not be worth it in many cases. Minorities and the protected ruling with an invisible guillotine over your head waiting for you to slip up and put them in favor with the majority. That is how they rule. It is how you overthrow a tyrant. Not by having more money and more force, but by convincing the majority they are demons. I can call a white man a cracker or say he's trailer trash who drinks keystone and watches Porn all day but if I say that man is a nigger who steals bikes and drinks lean can't speak correctly loves chicken, grape soda, and can't raise his family, one is considerably worse and one is a joke; you know which is which.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 03:18 PM

An interesting counterpoint.

RedKingRising • 8 points • 24 March, 2018 01:52 PM

Babies rule the planet obviously.

tinysackbigshaft • 69 points • 24 March, 2018 10:43 AM

Lol cuz everyone knows real men look at shit like this, laugh and move on We're too busy to care what some chick thinks

alclarkey • 35 points • 24 March, 2018 11:46 AM

Any woman who posts, or likes this particular statement gets immediately downgraded from potential LTR to plate, hell I might even just kick her out of my life completely. LTRing a female chauvinist is a recipe for misery.

Former_Judaizer • 14 points • 24 March, 2018 01:23 PM

You "might" kick her out of your life entirely. That's why they exist.

Hellsteelz • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 04:21 PM

Agreed, hold firm enough and they will display this behaviour sooner or later. Great way of disqualifying themselves.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 10:50 PM

Except all the beta feminist men

[deleted] • 15 points • 24 March, 2018 03:53 PM

They're negging men. They're working on eroding our self-esteem to make us qualify ourselves to them.

Dewrito_Pope • 12 points • 24 March, 2018 03:59 PM

Always remember that women are not responsible for their actions. That bit about women not starting wars? Well they certainly had no problem pushing men to do it, or reaping the rewards of war. "But... it wasn't our fault!" they always say. This is the same story as always, this is just their nature.

Don't get mad about it, any more than you would get mad at a dog for eating something you left out. Just make a dismissive joke about them being emotional and having the vapors, and watch the shit fly.

AussiecutTRP • 36 points • 24 March, 2018 11:55 AM

Shit test. Pay no attention. Stand your ground. Be a man and there's no need for "men's rights"

Mescalean • 27 points • 24 March, 2018 01:05 PM

The fucked part is, is this twisted "fuck men" ideology is going to turn the sexes against each other. Race war is one thing. I don't think women realize that antagonizing a man is like a man throwing peanuts at a chimp and not expecting to get his fingers and junk bitten off.

Would love for them to go try this shit in say a culture like the Armenians.... Ha....hahahaha

1st world problems guys. Keep in mind this is probably some sheltered white girl in the UK. Her word doesn't mean shit

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 10 points • 24 March, 2018 01:11 PM

Gender warfare exists. It has for a VERY long time....so long that there is in fact a myth about a goddess who blinded someone for revealing to a man that women were winning the war of the sexes....

I am sure someone here will recall the myth (Greek I think?) I think the goddess was Diana....

Mescalean • 6 points • 24 March, 2018 01:25 PM

Ive heard of diana before. Mythology is sort of my thing and I know for a while there has been a war almost between the church (patriarchy) and paganism (matriarchy)

The funny thing is when you look into both spiritual aspects of masc/fem yahweh or god is the complete embodiment of the full masculine. Then we have sophia, the holy "sacred feminine" earth mother who supposedly is nothing but love adoration and understanding (horseshit). Ive looked into paganism.

Interesting none the less but the sacred feminine aspect of spirituality or as some will say the human psyche has an equally dark side.

I apologize, high as giraffe pussy and eating (post gym dead) but if I remember correctly it was this tracy twyman lady who I was reading about. Little woo woo for some but she did digging into the knights templar and their practices. Something about the head of baphomet. Baphomet being that image we all see associated with the devil. Then she figured it out that if you put baphomet through some old cypher i think used by solomon you get "sophia" somehow. Cant think of much good thats been done in baphomets name.... A lot of groups run real dark with it.

Interesting none the less if superstitions myths and legends like that interest you. Always loved greek mythology as a kid. The female goddesses were just as if not more vengeful and unhinged as some of the male gods like ares. Thank disney and hercules

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 01:46 PM

No need to apologise friend it's an interesting viewpoint.

Hmmm....username checks out?

Mescallean • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 01:54 PM

Oh yeah. Big fan of the plants that make us see and think wacky shit. Firm believer that as men it's good to go feral every now and then. Few days up in the woods building a giant bonfire on shrooms is good for the soul.

Going to go see what I can find about that diana broad. Also remember some goddess "dana" being mentioned in the whole malevolent feminine thing

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 01:57 PM

"As men it's good to go feral every now and then"

Totally agree. I love going into the wild for a while...spending a week walking along the coast between a couple of towns...fun...

joemodz21 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 07:13 PM

never in million years would I think I would see a mention of Tracey Twyman here

jm51 • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 01:49 PM

I don't think women realize that antagonizing a man is like a man throwing peanuts at a chimp and not expecting to get his fingers and junk bitten off.

I can see it becoming the human version of this

You can see the young girl beating her chest reflected in the window.

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 March, 2018 02:53 PM

All men are thrash if you act like a garbage compactor.

Johnnyvile • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 06:40 PM

Why are men playing the victim card like women do? Being a man is about focusing on yourself, your success, and being responsible and accountable for your life and actions. Calling sexism on women is a waste of time and kind of bitchy. Are they hypocritical? Yeah but why get bothered by that? Blaming everyone else is how they try to get ahead in life and we shouldn't be using that angle for "fairness".

Women do a lot of shit and get away with it because society lets them. Men are expected to take care of themselves, be held accountable for their actions, and be self sufficient since no one else is going to take care of them. If you read any books of TRP it would be obvious.

Honestly most men are trash. They do nothing, can't take care of their own lives and can be down right creepy weirdos.

Kenny_Twenty • 8 points • 24 March, 2018 05:26 PM

Most men are trash. The solution isn't some pussy men's rights bullshit. That's just stooping to their (weak) level. The solution is for men to behave like men and to stop giving any of this third wave feminist bullshit the time of day. So stop making crybaby posts about what women are doing.

You've already fucked up by making this post. Delete it and start ignoring any and all bullshit that isn't directly

affecting you.

No more crybaby posts.

xgozax • 0 points • 24 March, 2018 10:14 PM

this should be top comment

satanicpriest13 • 3 points • 25 March, 2018 08:10 AM

A woman once told me all men are dogs when I was convincing her to let her husband come out drinking with me. I asked her if it was alright of I said something like all women are whores, and she dismissed it and said it's offensive and sexist. I feel bad for my friend.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 March, 2018 02:20 AM

She looks like a stupid whore.

YaBoySeneca • 13 points • 24 March, 2018 12:49 PM*

The reason why men are supposedly the only sex capable of sexism or whites are the only race capable of racism is called Marxism. It's a theory of power and some argue that it's an ideologically driven theory utilized to balance power throughout the West. If you don't know about Marxism I highly recommend getting acquainted as it is responsible for just about everything in western society since the early 20th century.

It is an ugly ideology that forms the basis of all detestable socialist/communist beliefs

Edit: clarification

_the_shape_ • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 04:20 AM

The reason why men are supposedly the only sex capable of sexism or whites are the only race capable of racism is called Marxism.

"Ladies, minorities, xirs, for the sake of identification, would you like for all of us men to wear swastika armbands from now on or would you prefer we walk through that gate with the sign above it reading 'Love Trumps Hate', straight into the gas chambers?"

b0utch • 5 points • 24 March, 2018 03:12 PM

The only thing wrong here is; generalizing. A lot of men are actually trash and so are a lot of women but not all. Context also matter; the Telford scandal is pretty infuriating when you get into details.

pmmedenver • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 05:30 PM

Can we stop with the gender war posts? Stupid bitches say dumb shit all the time that doesn't mean I want to hear it.

Elatea • 4 points • 24 March, 2018 07:12 PM

boo fucking hoo. Its a shit test, an online one, but a shit test all the same. Its meant to get men upset so women can feel superior because deep down they know their not. Even women who thinks all men are trash would and does happily submit to Chad.

SageKnows • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 02:37 PM

These feminists just live in one big echo chamber. Question them? You are sexist. Ignore them? You are sexist. They are never open to any dialogue, they think they are right and never have a tiny bit of critical thinking to

stop for a second and think that there might be other opinions and facts.

modernmonkuk • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 04:36 PM

Bro try not to be phased by the provoking media which "feminists" create --> they win once they affect your frame --> Never let anyone distract you & fucking your frame

The root of all suffering is attachment

Bloody_Rekt_Tim • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 05:09 PM

So look, I get what you're saying with the post... hypocrisy is bad and all, but... you think maybe you're kind of overreacting? For one, it's just a Twitter post and there's not going to be any real outcome in all of this.

Secondly, this kind of comes off as the victim mentality that we men rail against all the time. Like fighting with a little sister... "He hit me!" "Well she hit me first!" kind of crap. Overall, this just seems like a stupid little thing that you're blowing out of proportion to act like you're insulted & offended. Sometimes when you adopt the techniques of the enemy you become what you hate & fear.

xNightly • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 05:26 PM

Christ, I got to witness this in my school the other day and it absolutely enraged me. I go to a school full of estrogen fuelled SJW's and feminists and they were all chanting men are trash in a study room where people were trying to work. It's ridiculous.

murt98 • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 05:43 PM

"All men are trash" implies women aren't. Why can't we put our differences aside and admit everyone is trash?

Fedor_Gavnyukov • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 08:08 PM

lol her grandma that wrote that poem was most likely post wall and passed around like a blunt

nochilifordinner • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 08:21 PM

Dude suck it up, act like a man, whoever wrote that and whoever retweets that has been through suffering and is most likely bitter, so who cares.

Aggressive_Beta • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 08:57 PM

The idea that women are less violent than men is a myth. Perhaps at the individual level that's true, but as a collective unit they are just as violent. They just prefer to exercise their violence by proxy, ie. by getting other men and the government to do it for them.

The US has progressively gotten involved in more and more unnecessary wars since women got the right to vote. Is that correlation a coincidence?

BassLord_ • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 09:50 PM

But then in 20 years that same woman will be complaining about where all the good men went and she'll be some cat herder. Women posting things like these really need to evaluate the long term effect their stance is going to have on themselves. Because we sure as hell aren't going to give her any notice to her pity party when acting like all men are trash doesn't work anymore.

redd_reality • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 10:06 PM

Don't post this nonsense. This level of back and forth is for sjws and cavemen alike. Rise above it. Ignore it. We're better than this.

Even if the mainstream read that tweet, it will only resonate with the truly retarded. No one's gives a fuck that retarded people exist. Therefore, it's irrelevant.... Unless you're retarded, I suppose.

ex_addict_bro • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 08:21 AM

Don't post this nonsense. This level of back and forth is for sjws and cavemen alike. Rise above it. Ignore it. We're better than this.

Exactly bro, see my other comment. Ignoring is the way to go.

zyqkvx • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 10:00 AM

TIL ~97% of redpillers in rRedpill are >80% bluepill

Bartand • 2 points • 25 March, 2018 08:00 PM

Don't waste your time on twitter. That's my rule. I never go there. America is falling apart. It's not just a war against men but against white also. Nothing we can do about it. Apocalypse.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 March, 2018 04:41 PM

Women don't have a concept of honor.

evoblade • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 12:46 PM

This casual sexism removes all of my sympathy towards them.

francisco_DANKonia • 2 points • 24 March, 2018 03:39 PM

Ironically, people who say they suck in a joking manner are usually better than people who cannot take any criticism.

ninoamatore • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 11:53 AM

Blame men for that. They indeed are weak now.

patrice_plz_come_bac • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 01:09 PM

Its a coping strat. Not a good one, but its no more then coping whatever bs she got going on

GainzdalfTheWhey • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 03:30 PM

I though the tweet was fine, very interesting piece of an old women's opinion. She is not shouting all men are trash with bushy armpits

epictetus_irl[S] • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 03:42 PM

No but she may inspire them to do so

Bandos15 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 04:52 PM

I believe there was a study that showed that all female rules have started wars

anon2777 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 05:02 PM

conversely, haven't men been saying "bitches aint shit" forever?

bctfcs • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 05:13 PM

Finally, a proof that misandry has nothing to do with "4th-wave feminism"!

| So what can you do?

Laugh it off and move on?

earthtravelmonkey • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 06:23 PM

This trash isn't going to fight wars for you or find out what that noise is out in the woods. This trash has moved on. Good luck ladies! You earned it.

0-1-2-3-4-5-6-7 • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 10:31 PM

twitter is filled with mostly garbage anyways so I don't use it. You should stop too.

Gozsayin1 • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 04:59 AM

These are the same women that will turn around tomorrow saying where are all the real men. SMH a women without a man in on a speedtrain to destruction.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 06:01 AM

This is why I now don't respect women as a group. They are not to be respected. No group should be respected, it's a bad way to sort societies. Individuals should be respected based on their good qualities. I love telling women I don't respect their group identity, watch the hamster wheel spin!

SKRedPill • 1 point • 25 March, 2018 07:31 AM

That twitter page feels so dumb...

Seriously. Since waking up to TRP, I simply (and rather naturally) stopped social media altogether (just use it to stay in touch with old friends).

p_and_q • 1 point • 26 March, 2018 03:56 PM

I see stuff like this all the time. When someone points out that how sexist this is, the response is always the same, "Of course I don't mean all men, the men I'm (not) talking about should know who they are." Don't even bother fighting this online. It's a waste of time and energy. But definitely speak up if you actually hear someone say it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 March, 2018 06:35 PM

Women are all to happy to profit from war as long as they are on the winning side. You think women got tingles for Ghengis Khan or the millions of men he made is bitch.

Women are hilarious their opinions are invalid.

tolerantman • 1 point • 27 March, 2018 11:04 PM

Why report this? It will change nothing.

I am pretty sure there are many better ways to keep these whores' mouths shut.

ChinaskiBandini • 1 point • 7 April, 2018 12:55 AM

I dont know. I think the fact that there isn't any outrage to this kind of proves that men don't really give a damn. They can scream and holler all they want.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2018 02:57 AM

Women are trash. Western modern women are trash. Not good wives or mothers. I feel sorry for white dudes.

MGTOWlifestyle • 1 point • 24 March, 2018 04:37 PM

I think the best we should do is, as you suggested, report tweets like these for hate speech, exactly as the SJW would do if the genders were reversed.

Nothing happened? Shout it louder. Again, using the same weapons as they use.

The reasons these women usually get away with this hate speech are:

- 1) Many blue-pilled betas agree with what they say, or pretend to agree trying to be the "nice guy" (ultimately expecting to score some pussy).
- 2) The men who don't agree usually do nothing. They just dismiss this as a funny post, or don't care. This is bad, because empowers these women to continue with the hate speech.
- 3) Many men are easily manipulated by other women who support this. Look at the comments: many posts men crying memes, say things like "oh don't be so sensitive sugar" etc. These men take the bait and think: "dammit, I must be strong, if I complain I'll be seen as a weak man". It's exactly the OPPOSITE.

Men, let's start fighting the double-standards, otherwise it will get worse and worse.

thewrecker8 • 0 points • 24 March, 2018 05:39 PM

One of my favorites is when a dude says "this message was written a few years after millions men died in war"

The female reply? "War is created by men so tough"

And yet there were many wars created OVER A WOMAN

ex_addict_bro • -2 points • 25 March, 2018 08:20 AM

This is a provocation. Those women know they're utter shit when compared to an efficient male. That's what they're trying to do. Detract us from our way and our mission.

Don't report. Ignore, both those women and irrelevant sites like twitter or facebook. World existed for eons without female CEOs and websites. We, men, both built companies and the internet. There is almost no women in tech.

All those women can do is this exactly. Provocation and distraction.

Don't engage. Ignore.