

# No More Jordan Peterson Shit.

September 18, 2018 | 174 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

---

For some reason, there's a contingency of insufferable, condescending cunts who decide to come here and babble about "hierarchies" and other JP related horseshit.

They come here and spew either word salad or pretentious diatribes about how we could finally understand how right they naturally are if we just opened up to longer sentences that say nothing. The key markers are as follows:

- Focus on incoherent vocabulary rather than a cogent thesis.
- The more nebulous, abstract or ethereal the idea the better.
- Does not in any way address TRP theories, even the ones it seeks to contradict.
- Usually goes on and on about the abstractly discrete hierarchies and their rules.

I have absolutely no idea how these people manage to spit out so many words that say nothing. But the condescension and total meaninglessness of their drivel is the reason I'm banning it altogether.

If your idea has merit, you can present it **with respect**, and **without condescension**. Further, you can do so without including a detailed video of you literally sucking JP's dick while doing so.

We will remain a forum about sexual selection and we will continue to treat it as a free market of ideas.

**But this troll does not have a home here.**

If you break this rule. Instaban. No Warnings.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](#)

---

## Comments

---

redpillschool[S,M] [score hidden] 18 September, 2018 08:56 PM stickied comment

inb4: But RPS! I thought you were against censorship and now we can't talk about real ideas wah wah wah wah wah!

If you think you've got good ideas, present them. Don't make an appeal to Jordan Peterson's (supposed) authority. Make a well reasoned argument without being condescending and hell, maybe you'll change some minds.

RuleZeroDAD • 19 points • 19 September, 2018 05:30 PM

Well fuck it. I'm never washing my dick again.

juliuscsir 1 points 18 September, 2018 09:56 PM [recovered]

“...literally sucking JP’s dick...” I don’t think a video like this LITERALLY exists. Words matter.

[deleted] • 28 points • 19 September, 2018 03:01 PM

I really hate the excessive use of that word.

"I'm literally dying right now"

"I'm literally failing this class"

"I'm literally starving"

No you are not.

ex\_addict\_bro • 10 points • 20 September, 2018 06:57 AM

I ~~really~~ literally hate the excessive use of that word.

FTFY

DannyDemotta • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 06:14 PM

Your post is literally cancer

Why go so far out of your way to make something that isn't about you, about you? Nobody here gives a shit about your individual preferences.

ex\_addict\_bro • 9 points • 20 September, 2018 06:57 AM

I like your comment so much I literally upvoted it.

jordanbadland • 50 points • 19 September, 2018 12:10 AM

I gave up on JBP the moment I saw that his daughter riding the coattails of his fame to post semi-nude photos of herself on Instagram and pass it off as something diet-related.

JFL he can't even control his own daughter to stop her from attention-hoeing. If he can't even do that, what more other than a boomer is he at this point.

karmalizing • 44 points • 19 September, 2018 01:58 AM

"You shall know them by their fruits"

jordanbadland • 16 points • 19 September, 2018 02:00 AM

My thoughts exactly, bonus for using a wise Bible quote

VasilyZaitzev • 11 points • 19 September, 2018 02:44 AM

I thought he was talking about San Francisco and Provincetown. (j/k)

jordanbadland • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 02:45 AM

lmao wp

[deleted] • 3 points • 19 September, 2018 10:50 AM

explain the joke pls

jordanbadland • 0 points • 19 September, 2018 03:03 PM

Faggy men are called fruity AFAIK and that's probably what OP meant

VolatileEnemy • 10 points • 21 September, 2018 03:24 AM

That's ridiculous. Plenty of men are attention addicts too. A lot of them even have instagrams.

I think a trained psychologist would know a little better about whether some attention-seeking is bad or not, versus a severe amount of attention-seeking. And controlling teenage daughters is not some easy task anyway, what is he gonna do? Provide ultimatums of "hey stop posting on instagram"??? Seriously?

[deleted] • 5 points • 25 September, 2018 12:52 AM

I've peeped the IG. Nothing there comes off as hyper attention-seeking, and i've seen PLENTY. She's a woman. Women like to show off the bod. She actually does so LESS than most girls on IG, so I don't think JP is losing any sleep anytime soon.

VolatileEnemy • 5 points • 25 September, 2018 03:33 AM

Yeah and it's not abnormal to have some attention-seeking in women.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 September, 2018 05:20 AM

Exactly, it's natural in both genders. I now see why many guys get stuck in their anger phase, they read things like, "keep your woman/daughter on a leash and take no shit" and then they sperg that shit to kingdom come, then will blast the forums with "WHY ARE WOMEN SUCH \_\_\_\_!?" You created your monster, now live with it.

You can't fucking Mountain Man everything, guys need to also learn how to finesse.

VolatileEnemy • 2 points • 26 September, 2018 04:13 AM\*

RP itself is so complex. Most people here are not even doing as well as they claim. The resources themselves are not even that excellent sometimes. You get tidbits like squeezing juice from rocks sometimes from more experienced RPer's and your own experiences over the years that you figure out yourself.

And it can't just be one-dimensional. You have to be self-improving too. And it's not because of women only, it's because of the economics of it. Hot women are in high demand and we aren't the ones going after those ugly fat chicks.

Just simple things, like being stoic, calm, acting high-value with abundance

mentality... can in itself make you also look like a loser who doesn't have social skills. The finesse is important. The details are too important.

But even if an experienced man says "such and such worked" it's hard to separate that from the circumstances of the relative SMV economics (or attractiveness physically) at play between the experienced man and the value of the women that it worked on.

Worse than all of that, girls are complex. Sometimes they're uptight or anxious or would rather be home watching netflix, they're not all even riding any carousel. Sometimes they're just taking themselves out of the market.

perdipp • 25 points • 19 September, 2018 07:48 AM

she's married and hence can 'do anything she wants'.

He isn't necessarily TRP. And in his own vast mind probably didn't see it as an issue to begin with.

And one thing we know about disobedience and disrespect is that it grows over time so yeah JPB prob failed on that part as his daughter goes around posting semi nudes with her child in the name of diets and stuff. Maybe JBP was kind and unrestricting to her as she had to go through a lot as a child?

Question is, what could a man do to prevent such things from happening? Like, to raise a emotionally healthy daughter who doesn't need validation like that.

LethalShade • 10 points • 20 September, 2018 07:55 PM

Do we really think posting an Instagram bikini pic once in a while is a huge red flag? I mean as long as it's not an every week type of thing and she thrives off the attention, seems like a pretty normal thing to do.

VolatileEnemy • 5 points • 21 September, 2018 03:24 AM

It is normal. Men post all the time for validation too. In fact, some of them, might even be posting self-posts about their ideas about the world for attention. And that's not that crazy.

perdipp • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 05:03 PM

In my country it is a VERY big deal. Now people might be a bit desensitized by liberal thinking in the west but people with culture, social value and such(excluding celebs) don't see it as a good thing. If she's bold enough to post bikini pics on instagram where the world can see, imagine what she thinks is normal behind the scenes.

jordanbadland • -1 points • 19 September, 2018 07:51 AM

for one, be the fucking man

That means commanding respect **at least in your own home**. If you can't stop your daughter from bringing shame onto the family name, and by extension your brand, you aren't a real patriarch -- just a provider.

ITS\_A\_MINDSET • 9 points • 20 September, 2018 03:56 AM

How is it shameful? She is a woman attracting attention for being a woman. It's what women do. She's enjoying her health and broadcasting it, why wouldn't she? Is she to wear a burka?

jordanbadland • 0 points • 20 September, 2018 11:42 AM

You think it's natural for women to be half naked in front of a massive audience? Well then I

hope your next LTR does exactly this super natural thing, and you better support her bro, it's naaaatuuraaaal

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 September, 2018 12:57 AM

In the land of the Red's , there once lived a strawman named u/jordanbadland ...

ITS\_A\_MINDSET • 3 points • 21 September, 2018 02:49 PM

Mate guarding wont help ya dude. It's just your turn.

Timthetiny • 2 points • 22 September, 2018 10:43 PM

Yes it's natural you moron. We didnt even have clothes for 500000 years.

jordanbadland • 0 points • 22 September, 2018 10:46 PM

God are you retarded my man. You realize they didn't have clothes, it wasn't that they were pulling a low-key peep show.

JFL you moron have an IQ of -100, you shouldn't even watch Peterson as he has clearly way too many IQ points for a brainlet like you to understand. KYS

Starfuckingman • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 02:21 AM

lol I know what you mean but you kinda stretched it a little bit here.

perdipp • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 08:49 AM

what if you over suppress your children and they turn out to be rebels in the end? so she's nice and cute and out of the blue decides to go crazy rebel against you, that'd be terrible as well

jordanbadland • 21 points • 19 September, 2018 09:00 AM\*

You fucking pussies don't get it.

**If they don't respect you, it's over.**

It doesn't matter if you tried being controlling, or if you tried being liberal. **You must command respect as the patriarch.**

Downvote me all you want, the truth's the truth. You either don't believe men are capable of doing that, or you're ideologically possessed to oppose the idea. Either way is weakness that the head of a family can not afford.

edit I fucking love you liberals, downvote but have no argument. Especially since what I'm saying is TRP coherent, and what you're believing is some liberal cope shit. Yes, yes faggots, don't dominate your own fucking house, what's more important than anything else is to allow your daughter to be a public whoreshow, that's right

perdipp • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 10:26 AM

I like your point on how we should stand up as parents brother no need to act angry calling me names. And dont mind the 2 3 people that downvoted you you're not even on minus score, shit. I was just honestly asking about different scenarios as I myself don't know how to act in those.

so to sum your point, either we can control our children or we can't?

Well this is trp and maybe I'm barking up the wrong tree. But I'll try my best to get my point across(point out where I'm wrong if I am in my understanding of TRP):

TRP dreads marriage, let alone raising children(for a lot of reasons and I get it). You say you're TRP coherent so what are your exact views on how to deal with the question I posed before

what if you over suppress your children and they turn out to be rebels in the end? so she's nice and cute and out of the blue decides to go crazy rebel against you, that'd be terrible as well

We know that over suppressing children has historically turned out wrong. But leaving them loose is just as bad. Isn't there a strategy or principles in place that helps us handle this case? Like how to raise healthy children and not have to suffer whore daughters and degenerate sons despite trying our best?

jordanbadland • 11 points • 19 September, 2018 11:03 AM

No, no dude you definitely asked a legit question. It's a real issue that has turned many a cute girl into a thotmonster because her overprotective daddy kept her from the forbidden dick for too long.

My take on this is simple: you can be laid back, or have a firm grip over them. Both can go right or wrong. What it depends on is whether or not you can command their respect.

Whether or not they view your actions and by extension your character as one of consequence and power, or not.

It's okay, for example, if your kids want to know **reasons** for why they can't do a certain thing. But if they can't accept a "no" ever, then they don't respect you. If you putting your foot down is nothing but an empty thump on the ground for them, you've failed.

MoDuReddit • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 04:20 PM

putting your foot down is nothing but an empty thump on the ground for them

Modern parenting in a nutshell. Remember when "I'm gonna tell your father!" sent shivers down your spine, so you'd stop being a insufferable cunt?

UniversalFapture • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 06:02 PM

Yes!!! I do remember the shivers it sent down my spine.

jordanbadland • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 11:48 AM

oh yeah dude it really fucking meant something. And you could tell what it means not just in your head, but physically haha

Meanwhile you see even people in this very sub revolting against these ideas. It's fucking over lol

Just let women be whores bro, just stop being a controlling father bro, just think poooooositive broo

lol these fucking faggots are helping destroy society one piece at a time and they call themselves "redpilled"

perdipp • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 07:18 PM

thanks for the answer.

I think one of the things JBP himself teaches is 'don't do or say **things that make you weak**'

From my personal experience weak parents *either* let disrespectful things from their kids pass as 'childish' or some other bs term *or* joke around too much and don't really have weight to their words. Whatever happened to the stone faced solid fathers?(They eventually open up when the kid grows up tho, from my experience).

Every 'weak' dad in the making starts off as a toddler's best friend and I think it plays a part in the slow, hard to pinpoint loss of respect over the child's childhood.

Also, this Dave Chappelle skit roughly shows the difference between commanding and weak fathers

RPmatrix • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 03:05 AM\*

You must command respect as the patriarch.

That's no "truth"! That Truth is;

"respect is *earned*, not 'given' (nor 'commanded')

you've been watching too much warporn sunshine (or are you just "ideologically possessed to oppose the idea.?" )

TheBestRapper • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 04:04 PM

How does one command respect as the patriarch, outside of providing financial stability?

jordanbadland • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 04:06 PM

By being alpha my dude

I could not give you an answer that would satisfy your mind, as it's a whole journey there. You don't just become a real patriarch just by following 10 simple steps, number 7 will shock you

It's about what's essential in being a man

novalentineforyou • 9 points • 20 September, 2018 02:59 AM

"by being alpha" feels like as much as a copout as saying "but but but doctor Jordan bee Peterson says"

jordanbadland • -3 points • 20 September, 2018 11:44 AM

You lil bitch could have just read the rest of my comment, but you had to internet-attack me hahahaha

I literally said I can not give a full comprehensive answer, but you had to make a point didn't you you internet keyboard warrior. Tough you ;)

gamermama • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 06:27 PM

By being a decent good human being, aka being a great role model, aka living up to the values you want to transmit.

RESPECT is earned, period. You can only command fear. Anyone who tells you otherwise is a fool trying to bamboozle you into a false sense of security.

MoDuReddit • -2 points • 19 September, 2018 04:20 PM

A shotgun usually helps, even if you're poor. Not being a bitch also.

RPmatrix • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 03:05 AM

IF you're not a Man 'in the street'  
you'll never be one at home

Einzakin • 18 points • 20 September, 2018 04:23 AM

You gave up on Jordan because of something his daughter did? He can't even control his own daughter who is like 25? The fuck lol. You need to stop being so sensitive.

jordanbadland • -5 points • 20 September, 2018 11:38 AM

"sensitive"

Next you're gonna call me "emoooootionaaal" or what bro haha nice shaming shit

He got a great mind but in reality he's just another fucking boomer. End of story for me. Can't control his women, that's it then I got nothing to learn from him.

Now if you'd excuse me

DownyGall • 15 points • 19 September, 2018 04:24 AM

Yeah, Roosh pointed out her Instagram and Jordan Peterson even likes some of the photos. Like, dude, that's your daughter and she has a kid now. She's attention whoring which JP should be against

jordanbadland • 7 points • 19 September, 2018 04:38 AM

he did? can you point me to that?

DownyGall • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 12:08 PM

It was in one of his recent Roosh Lives at the beginning when he talks about current events

mugatucrazypills • 4 points • 20 September, 2018 04:04 AM

quite a few other "giants of the manosphere", are also overexposed here and have their own band of loyal cocksuckers.

There's as much or more cliff-claven style posturing than learning going on here these days.

DownyGall • 0 points • 20 September, 2018 04:20 AM

What? I like Roosh and watch his videos... this is literally the first I've ever mentioned him. Chill bro

Starfuckingman • 3 points • 21 September, 2018 02:16 AM

The man could try his best really but given the way the world it is now, high schools and college and her friends most probably will always end up being the bigger influence. Kids always like to rebel on their parents ideas around certain age. Not a big fan of Peterson but I had to comment on that daughter thing, like I've been trying to subtly influence my little brother for over a year now and only with little results.



thebluesSV • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 04:49 PM

Holy shit she is hot thanks for the tip hahaha

smokinniles • 2 points • 21 September, 2018 01:42 AM

What??? This fails to make reasonable sense on many levels. Posting bikini pics leads to moral degradation leads to lack of validity of subject's father's assertions? What a mess. Based on a lot of the comments here, folks wouldn't know what the fuck to do with what JP is putting out there anyways, so might as well ban conversations about any of it.

dani098 • 16 points • 18 September, 2018 09:59 PM

Sadly this comment will miss most people

sadomasochrist • 4 points • 20 September, 2018 12:23 PM

*lit·er·al·ly*

*lɪdəˈrəlē, 'lɪtrəlē*

*adverb: literally*

in a literal manner or sense; exactly. "the driver took it literally when asked to go straight across the traffic circle"

synonyms: exactly, precisely, actually, really, truly;

***informal* used for emphasis or to express strong feeling while not being literally true. "I have received literally thousands of letters"**

redpillschool[S] • 22 points • 18 September, 2018 11:11 PM

Oh no, hyperbole. The common sperg's only weakness!

HumanSockPuppet • 7 points • 19 September, 2018 08:03 AM

/u/redpillschool used SpergBait!

It's super effective!

TheTrotters • 3 points • 18 September, 2018 11:07 PM

Using "literally" as an intensifier is perfectly fine.

juliussir 1 points 18 September, 2018 11:09 PM **[recovered]**

Some might feel the need to cite an external source when making such a declaration. I envy your boldness.

max\_peenor • 12 points • 19 September, 2018 01:30 AM\*

Except when it's deliberate hyperbole?

I really don't understand why the JP fluffers can't work with abstract concepts and language. There is a very deliberate reason why we do this. It's how you add context to statements and imperatives. It's why literature is more than a procedural narrative about how to buy a loaf of bread, kill a big whale or fuck your Amish neighbor. It's what gives discourse power and point.

endertheend 1 points 19 September, 2018 03:34 AM\* **[recovered]**

Its not just the JP cultists that do this, 99% of redditors don't get Hyperbole, and use that not

getting it to try and make it into an argument.

I ignore those people, the moment they start basing their argument on a deliberate hyperbole not being \$100%!TrUeFaCtsS! It's a big tell that they have:

a)lost the argument

b)can not figure out a way to reason with the person without resorting to veiled soy-ish like passive aggressiveness

I see this stuff on reddit every time I visit the site. Not many people call redditors out on this either.

This is why, apart from a few subs, I don't take reddit seriously. People in real life don't do this.

ex\_addict\_bro • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 06:57 AM

Fo shizzle!

[deleted] • 63 points • 19 September, 2018 12:29 AM

"If your idea has merit, you can present it with respect, and without condescension. Further, you can do so without including a detailed video of you literally sucking JP's dick while doing so."

These are the most ironic sentences ever written.

redpillschool[S] • -6 points • 19 September, 2018 12:55 AM

My multi-year body of work stands for itself. Do not mistake my intolerance for JP followers who come here and condescend to long-standing and well-spoken members as hypocrisy. We've been handling JP trolls with kid gloves for over a year. Each time we give them a chance they come back with double the tone. All under the pretend guise of "fair and balanced discussion." It's anything but. It's pretentious hopefuls who can recite any JP chapter or verse but have little real world experience, just like JP himself. They come here and think themselves experts but know nothing of actual sexual strategy.

Of course I'm going to moderate that.

Now GTFO

novalentineforyou • 37 points • 19 September, 2018 02:32 AM

Your post was hypocritical because you yourself pointed out nothing specific that you disagree with JBP fans about other than "hierarchy".

redpillschool[S] • -12 points • 19 September, 2018 02:41 AM

Just because you didn't read it doesn't mean it wasn't there. The mods and I have been arguing with these trolls in good faith for well over a year before coming to this decision.

novalentineforyou • 18 points • 19 September, 2018 05:15 AM

Would you be fine with a user, such as myself, who posts ideas I got from Peterson but presents them with the specific evidence I see for them and is willing to defend them logically rather than

make an appeal to Peterson's prestige among his followers?

redpillschool[S] • 12 points • 19 September, 2018 12:47 PM

Yes that's just fine.

Pete\_The\_Pilot • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 03:30 PM

Peterson is probably richer and definitely smarter than you are.

redpillschool[S] • 8 points • 19 September, 2018 05:11 PM

Well my dad can beat up your dad!

hitbyalunatic 1 points 20 September, 2018 05:40 PM [recovered]

You're a shit mod and this sub has gone down hill on your watch

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 20 September, 2018 07:30 PM

kbye

BoilingBleach • 37 points • 18 September, 2018 09:59 PM

I don't think mentioning inspiration from his ideas and statistics is that retarded, at the end of the day his scholar page is good AF.

But yeah the cock suckery needs to stop, specially in mate selection this guy has 0 ide wtf is he talking about.

I think even a beta loser can see that from his lectures about it. Just does not match reality and have no predictive capability, as a scientist you'd think he would notice that :/

Btw as a side note I think people coning from the Peterson camp would be easier to unplug than the average chump, not my goal but just saying

trawowayqwj 1 points 18 September, 2018 09:52 PM\* [recovered]

Do people recommend his 12 Antidotes book? I read the excerpt about lobsters and liked it.

We\_Are\_Legion • 74 points • 19 September, 2018 05:59 AM\*

I actually read it out of curiosity and didn't dislike it. I'll start with the pros first, because I think I understand why he became a cult after reading this book.

A fair praise I could give him is that he has unique insights (at least in his field of study) and the book itself is passionately written and well-intentioned. It definitely has helpful guidance.

My personal favourite part of the book was the bit about raising young people. I really thought those chapters deserved to be an addendum to "NO MORE MR. NICE GUY". They were very good and succinct parenting advice, for both boys and girls.

But the really unique bit of the book wasn't the good advice, but the way he used his PhD study to relate the advice, symbolism, religions, evolutionary events, and the human psyche into an unexpectedly plausible narrative. The sense of "aha!" rivaled when I first read TRP. **This is where I think the cult following starts.**

JP manages to weave together a story from western/biblical traditions which often relates very well to the human condition. By extension, he has weaved together a story that relates to your life, your suffering and your feelings about it. He validates your feelings and makes sense of it, along with giving you a few good rules to manage that pain in future.

Once he's firmly in a position of explaining human suffering, he also starts giving "meaning" to our suffering by *putting it in a context of a larger world*. He has achieved a lot by expanding the horizons of the way people think about their lives. But it may be an unfortunate coincidence, that the context he puts your life into is a tradcon view of the world. He uses and validates a lot of Christian biblical and Cold-War era tradcon messages in his book, meaning that this book, along with his online presence, has an easy time urging people (men in particular) to be warriors for tradcon. Even if I were a total fan, I would not have a hard time claiming that Jordan Peterson is like my favourite pastor.

Cold War-era tradcon vs millennial nihilism (or worse, post-modernism) is an easy win for tradcon.

So far, none of this is necessarily bad. Religion and traditions always served a useful function. And its not like the book is horrible.

So, now here are the negatives:

## **His fans are still losers.**

**And I say that with the utmost compassion.** If you are in a bad place in your life, and you are taking action, I applaud you.

Removing the bit about the "lobster" (in the spirit of TRP, I'll ignore the bad metaphor and address the point), his point about the dominance hierarchy is probably the most useful and foundational bit of personal improvement advice he gives in the book.

It boils down to "if you're a loser, you'll feel bad. If you stay a bottom 80% loser and allow life and people to bully you and you adopt NICE GUY tactics, you'll keep feeling bad."

But the fact is, this is blatantly obvious to most people... except to those its not. "No More Mr. Nice Guy" would be just as good a book to recommend you as "12 rules". And though I'm happy that men who are really at the bottom of society are getting a diagnosis on their problem, phrased in a way that they can understand... but these people are often just waking up. They are often teenagers and young 20-somethings who, uptil now, were wandering aimless through life. When JP gave them meaning, they become fired up, and start treating him as an authority. Why? **They get their sense of identity from what he's made sense of from their lives.**

Few selected examples of JP statements which some people draw their sense of identity from, and get attached to and feel the need to defend:

JP says you are low in the dominance hierarchy and that's why you feel like shit. Therefore, people get committed to climbing the hierarchy in the way they feel he and his fanbase prescribed it. (rites of passage, heroes journey).

JP says you were raised badly because your parents didn't shape your instincts. Therefore, they get committed to shaping their instincts, in the proper way. And respecting and looking to traditional culture on how to do it.

JP says "evil comes about when you make sacrifices which aren't repaid". And you can relate that to your own failures and the resulting resentment... and so you resolve not to be evil by giving up behaviours you feel are motivated by resentment (like PUA). You even try to not be resentful when your efforts end in failure (prompting you to reject TRP and its "misogyny" as bad and beneath you).

JP says "pursue what is meaningful, not what is expedient". Some even resolve to continue failing in the meaningful way rather than take the expedient success (which is BS).

JP says tell the truth, and so they often speak up. And try to dissuade "evil" in others.

The only problem is these people are prescribing advice when they themselves are nowhere, and so their words are often an appeal deeper into Daddy Peterson. This is transparent to others. A word to the wise, take your own JP's advice: "Set your house in perfect order before you criticize the world."

Many of you are just waking up and, **maybe just like JP's own clinically insane patient "Miss S"** from chapter 9 ("Rule 9: ASSUME THAT THE PERSON YOU ARE LISTENING TO MIGHT KNOW SOMETHING YOU DON'T"), you also have always been desperately searching for meaning and a cause, like an empty vessel. Be humble to the idea that you are impressionable. You would benefit more from talking and listening to people's reactions rather than throwing around rape accusations like Miss S. So don't start preaching just yet. We say you are only purple-pilled yet, for good reason. Its true that JP has very eloquently diagnosed some of your deepest problems and given you some shred of meaning to your life's suffering, but he *still* does not deserve you as a mindless follower... No one does! (and least of all for proselytizing his particular brand of tradcon)

...While he offers insights, the deepest lesson to the people who have been awed by what he showed you is: **THERE IS MORE TO LEARN. AND YOU CAN BE AMAZED AT WHAT YOU STILL DO NOT KNOW.**

Floaty\_McBoatface 1 points 19 September, 2018 09:14 AM [\[recovered\]](#)

Nice summary, thanks.

Peterson is interesting on his own terms, even if I agree with most of your critique. But I respect him primarily because he's one of the few public intellectuals to fight back against the politically correct assault on language and culture.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 19 September, 2018 04:25 PM

True and there's value in what he's doing. Still not a reason for the JP spam hose we've been getting.

Tuga\_Lissabon • 10 points • 19 September, 2018 12:26 PM

Very good notes.

I'll just add the following, for most people out there, even a mediocre recipe is 10x better than what they currently have.

Sometimes it is enough to take conscience; or learn that there are words for some concepts already boiling to the surface; or just that you're not alone, and there is a way forward.

If nothing else, people get external validation for their efforts of self-raising (like flour, but less carbs people) and this encourages them; and they're at a stage they need that encouragement.

I'd say the main lesson for beginner TRPers and JP fans is: you need to improve, but you can improve, and here is a possible path to start on.

When you are better and more advanced, you may see a far superior path, and jump to it, even feeling "how the hell I could have been so wrong?".

But it is wrong to despise the old path; it was there, it took you somewhere, and maybe it was what you could understand at the time. Leave it there for others to follow.

This applies both to JP and TRP (in its varied shapes and trends).

As for JP in particular: he is raising issues and making noise in the right quadrant. He is being quite useful.

vanillachakrithunder • 15 points • 19 September, 2018 07:10 AM

You are either incredibly intelligent and perceptive or in a similar situation because you have described me(peterson fan) very well.

[deleted] • 3 points • 19 September, 2018 10:26 AM

What a great breakdown. Thanks a lot for this, it might just save a person close to me who has turned into mindless JP bigot.

fellanH • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 09:23 AM

I love this.

RedHoodhandles • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 12:15 PM

I come here for posts like this.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 03:41 PM

Is it worth getting the book for the parenting part alone?

We\_Are\_Legion • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 01:57 AM\*

Its a good book for what it tries to be. I don't want to give the impression that its trash because that would just be lying or unfair. We may not perfectly agree with JP's Cold War era tradcon ideology but he's created a strong and insightful life advice manual from it nonetheless. I think it is worth examining, even if I personally agree with TRP that I don't want to follow tradcon as an ideology in this age (because there are no real rewards anymore).

My mind won't explode from reading a different opinion.

BUT YOU HAVE TO HAVE A CRITICAL MIND. So far, the only thing that makes the JP cultural phenomenon **insufferable** is his audience of Blue Pill young people.

Whisper wrote some excellent posts on critical thinking that JP fans need to read first: (TheRedPill )/comments/8pz9m3/go\_out\_and\_test\_it/  
(TheRedPill )/comments/97f0kh/distrust\_that\_particular\_flavour/

mugatucrazypills • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 04:09 AM\*

I don't want to follow tradcon as an ideology in this age (because there are no real rewards anymore).

this is the gist of it. he's a conservative, which is certainly better than a cultural marxist as he would say. but his advice to man up doesn't make sense if all that's on the LTR menu is feminist hambeasts in the kingdom of divorcerape ... goes from a practical, pragmatic life philosophy to a "hope and wishful thinking as a strategy" right there

"well my marriage worked because of conservatism(for lack of better word)",from peterson is a particularly shallow 1-data point argument/analysis on petersons part, since he's so high relative SMV (professor with tenure and money,+fame etc) vs wife who is a massage therapist. Which is why he can really do what he wants.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 04:33 PM

Solid feedback. JP was a useful stepping stone along my personal path. Didn't take long to put 2 & 2 together that he's pushing tradcon hard when I listened to his work.

I enjoyed his biblical series and maps of meaning podcasts. That material is from before he caught

the attention of the world when he pushed back on pronouns. I don't feel that work has the same agenda now that he's becoming something like an anti-feminism figurehead in the public spotlight.

-Fidelio- • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 07:19 PM

On the one hand, his parenting ideas sound like good sense. On the other hand, I saw his daughter on the joe rogan experience and I'm not sure I'd be a proud parent.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 08:13 PM

Those are two separate issues. Unsure where you are in life. If you're younger, I'm sure you can think of many times you went against your parents and it turned out how they said. I distinctly remember in my my mid 20s thinking fuuuuuuck most of the things my parents said was legit and good advice.

You only have so much control over your children. At the end of the day they are humans with free will. All you can do is give them reasonably solid decision making systems.

WeedWizardDusk • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 02:38 AM

Tl;dr

Cringed when I saw the all caps bold "they're all losers"

LiveAFTSOV • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 07:50 PM

Jordan Peterson says tell the truth?

I say lie your fucking ass off if it gets you what you want?

novalentineforyou • 12 points • 19 September, 2018 02:27 AM

I read it, and it has been insanely helpful and practical. It has a lot of original ideas.

finizzle • 40 points • 18 September, 2018 10:46 PM

I'm reading it right now and enjoying it. I agree that he isn't up to date on the modern dating scene, but his other ideas I find insightful. The red pill is primarily a dating thing, so that's why a lot of people here are against his ideas.

jtarb • 7 points • 18 September, 2018 11:31 PM

Maps of Meaning is better.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 02:52 AM

I agree, but it's quite a slog in the middle.

Swampbastard696968 • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 12:02 PM

I stopped reading - I thought it was pretentious word salad. There's hundreds of simpler to the point books with actionable steps I would rather spend my time reading.

Thinkingard • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 02:29 PM

If you like to read some intellectual waxing on and on about weird psychology shit then this book is for you!  
If you want actionable self-help then look elsewhere. After awhile I found every chapter to get increasingly



annoying. It was like I was reading an amateur book on mythology and theology. It was nothing like what I was expecting, and it quickly turned me off to anything JP again.

Heathcliff-- 1 points 19 September, 2018 11:01 AM\* [recovered]

It was very hastily written and needed more editing and revision. Just a cash grab in essence.

Einzakin • 7 points • 20 September, 2018 04:25 AM

Hahah yes 12 rules for life was a cash grab. Are you fucking retarded or are you projecting. Did you even read it? My god.

Also how can someone who posts garbage like this be endorsed? Honest question.

AstroIdiot • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 06:26 PM

Mods and endorsed contributors are joined in banning and hating on Jordan Peterson because he is eating their lunch. Why do they spend literally thousand of collective hours writing up stuff in here? So they can cash in selling courses, seminars, even fucking T shirts you can see them peddling regularly.

Now, with JP on the manosphere scene, guys are reading his book, spending a lot of time watching his lectures and discussing his ideas, buying his shit, and that chips away at the profit margin around here.

Starfuckingman • 2 points • 21 September, 2018 04:02 PM

Mods and endorsed members sell T shirts here?

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 21 September, 2018 05:52 PM

Mods aren't selling anything. He's blowing smoke.

GLO sells a t-shirt he designs, and we let him because he does put a lot of time and effort into his material. But you *don't have to buy it to read his stuff*. It's more of a thank-you than anything.

Starfuckingman • 3 points • 22 September, 2018 01:14 AM

You're right. Thanks for clarifying that up. I appreciate this community deeply and the mods who run it. I'd fucking buy your T shirts.

redpillschool[S] • 3 points • 22 September, 2018 04:01 PM

I'll let /u/gaylubeoil send you links.

If you want to support TRP.RED you can sign up for a premium membership to help offset costs (it's \$5/month) or you can donate bitcoin.

I want to remind people like AstroIdiot that I spend far more maintaining our backup site than I make in donations. We are very, very net negative on the project. I never expected it to be a profit center. For me, it's a labor of love.

Sparky159 • 2 points • 24 September, 2018 07:29 PM

I recently made the shift from reddit to TRP.RED, and I'm having issues staying logged in on the forum site. Who do I talk to about technical issues?

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 21 September, 2018 05:51 PM



Mods and endorsed contributors are joined in banning and hating on Jordan Peterson because he is eating their lunch.

I've made *soooo* much money. (Supporting a backup site for TRP has cost me more money than I've made on donations keeping it running.)

Rian\_Stone • 0 points • 21 September, 2018 06:52 PM\*

deleted

DevilMayCry • -2 points • 19 September, 2018 09:29 AM

I didn't like it. He'll spend a whole chapter explaining why you need to clean your room. The book could be boiled down into 12 sentences.

CopperFox3c • 48 points • 19 September, 2018 01:45 AM\*

Speaking as a practicing PhD scientist, I like Peterson in general, but here's the issue:

**All of Peterson's thoughts on male-female sexual dynamics when it comes to dating are based on *armchair philosophy*.**

In contrast, actual science is based on collectable observation and data. But Peterson has no "data", because he's spent his entire life with one girl, and he isn't a sex or relationship researcher. In fact, I would argue that TRP contains more *observational evidence* than Peterson's ideas do.

He's fine when is talking about symbolism or clinical psychology, but just cause he is an authority on those topics, doesn't make him any more qualified to speak on other topics. When he veers off of to those others, he is in essence talking about his own *beliefs* on the subjects. And people worshiping him as an authority on all topics because he is an authority on a few are not engaging in "science" ... but rather scientism, which is a fundamental misunderstanding of knowledge.

**So you kids need to understand that is what we are trying to stamp out here, not free speech, but the *bastardization* of rational thought.**

VasiliyZaitzev • 42 points • 19 September, 2018 02:51 AM

Peterson's approach *is* philosophical, not practical. Nobody should make that mistake. JP isn't about helping guys get laid, he's about, as /u/sour\_jesus put it "Building Better Betas". That said, he is building betas out of omegas, so in that sense, he's doing the Lord's work.

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 11:12 AM

Who are the omegas?

clausternn • 8 points • 19 September, 2018 03:00 PM

Incels I guess

I\_am\_Jax\_account • 0 points • 23 September, 2018 12:12 AM

YES! And how did "building better betas" creep into TRP? JP life philosophy can be summed up as "put your head down, listen to your boss, marry that girl and if she leaves you it's your fault because you didn't make the right ethereal sacrifices". My god THANK GOD people are finally saying this. For the last 6 months people told me to clean my room when I told them what a pompous Koch-brothers funded jackass I think he is and it was spreading so fast that I thought I would have to move to a Spanish

speaking country or something.

VasilyZaitzev • 4 points • 23 September, 2018 04:14 AM

And how did "building better betas" creep into TRP?

Not everyone is in the same point on their journey. A lot of guys wash up here after getting spun up in some sort of train wreck.

JP life philosophy can be summed up as "put your head down, listen to your boss, marry that girl and if she leaves you it's your fault because you didn't make the right ethereal sacrifices".

And for omegas, that's a step up.

Anyway, so long as JP wants to take massive steaming dumps on Cathy Newman-type shrieks, I'm fine with that.

Given a choice of living among TradCons or SJWs, I will take "Ward & June Cleaver" 7 out of 7 days a week.

I\_am\_Jax\_account • 1 point • 23 September, 2018 11:15 PM

While I will certainly agree that Peterson fits my general sensibilities much better than SJW's who I view as intellectual sewers, I sometimes don't see how the functional portion of the message is that different. A fat, blue haired SJW essentially says, "Everything is your fault because you're a white man" whereas Jordan Peterson essentially says, "anything that goes wrong is your fault because you're man" even if that can't possibly be true because you can't control another human beings actions(hypergamy, whatever). Both ideologies have the inherent, unspoken requirement that men illogically prostrate themselves in some manner simply because they exist in a young, male body. And in that respect, Peterson's ideology has an added level absurdity because it's prostration requirements are nebulous. A beta who wishes to appease SJW ideology (as disgusting as that may be) can gain contemptuous acceptance from a blue haired whale by not "mansplaining" or by letting his gf sleep around on him or whatever. Peterson however belabors the point that, obtaining appeasement and good results requires that you make the "right sacrifices" and if things go wrong, you're like Cain in some way which you don't even know. Ordinary TRP is far superior to Peterson-contaminated TRP because Ordinary TRP has the message of responsibility with the necessary nuances like those regarding women which prevent men from thinking they've "failed" in instances where it was literally impossible to succeed.

novalentineforyou • 18 points • 19 September, 2018 02:34 AM

If a psychologist cannot speak with some credibility about human sexual behavior, then who do you think can?

CopperFox3c • 14 points • 19 September, 2018 02:59 AM

David Buss. Maybe Alfred Kinsey. People who actually study the psychology of sexual behavior.

But that's beside the point. What most people don't seem to realize is that science is a *human endeavor*, and thus prone to all the shortcomings, biases, and foibles of any human. Scientists are no exception.

novalentineforyou • 9 points • 19 September, 2018 05:14 AM

Don't go so far as to say that humans *can't* know anything because of how flawed we are.

I think Peterson knows a great deal about sexual behavior, but that isn't his academic focus. People

should listen to him to get their life in order and find meaning in life, not to direct their dating life. What he says about marriage and children is largely guided by the idea that 2/3 of what will matter to your life is your wife and children, and that doesn't become any less personally important or meaningful because it is hard in 2018 to accomplish those things well.

KeffirLime • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 06:29 AM

People should listen to him to get their life in order and find meaning in life

Jordan Peterson is telling you what meaning is, he's not here to help you find meaning for yourself. His prescription for meaning is outdated.

and that doesn't become any less personally important or meaningful because it is hard in 2018 to accomplish those things well.

Yes, it simply makes it a poor prescription.

novalentineforyou • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 07:25 PM

he's not here to help you find meaning for yourself

Yes he is. Most of the time he talks about what statistically gives meaning for 95% of people, but he occasionally mentions that, for example, not every woman needs to have kids to be happy and not every man needs to have a partner and children to have a fully fulfilling life.

it simply makes it a poor prescription.

Man, humans are probably *biologically adapted* so as to derive most of their meaning and long term psychological health from having a partner and children. That stuff takes thousands of years to change at the genetic level. Men will not be physiologically content to live without a long term partner and fostering children merely because feminism and birth control got invented in the past 50 years.

KeffirLime • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 08:29 PM

Statistically like marriage? That institution that over 50% of adults are now choosing not to partake in. And of those who partake more than half end in divorce. The one where couples who were unmarried report happier levels than those who do get married?

Not at all if you want to look at our biological origins, men are built more to spread their seed, and women are built more to select. To prescribe the same strategy for both is not wise.

Not to say you can't have a long term partner and be happy, it can be done, but certainly doesn't require Jordan's prescription of marriage and one man can certainly be happy with multiple women.

novalentineforyou • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 02:55 AM

You're not going to spread your seed when you're 50 and bald. At least not with anyone who you're not paying.

KeffirLime • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 02:22 PM

You can comfortably have a relationship without being married too.

bucSlayer • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 05:28 PM

Wat the fuck is this shit. If you're dying when you hit 50 is your problem, weak fuck.

BatemaninAccounting • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 11:28 AM

Most people around the world still get married or have a very long lasting relationship at least once in their life time. Most divorces are actually from people getting their 2nd/3rd/4th divorces. This skews the divorce statistic by quite a lot. The average age of a first marriage is 8 years and the average age of a second marriage is 10 years(people tend to make less mistakes or pick a better long term partner the second time around.)

Traditionally most tribal cultures studied around the world are and we suspect historically were polyamorous, meaning men and women within the tribal community fucked to some degree. Some amazonian and Papua New Guinea tribes have been documented to have orgies at certain lunar cycles where everyone just fucks everyone. It wasn't until we started the agricultural revolution that women started being more selective. Considering that modern women are being less selective(in that your average woman has had more male partners than women in the past), this would be further evidence that biologically speaking women like to 'spread their seed' just as much as men.

bucSlayer • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 05:31 PM

Men are biologically wired to impregnate as many women as possible. Now go back to the bluepill sub.

-Fidelio- • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 07:22 PM

Kinsey! Ha I laughed. People who lie about their research should never be trusted to speak authoritively on the subject.

DocMerlin • 1 point • 2 October, 2018 06:57 PM

This.

Taking Kinsey as an authority on sexual psychology is insane.

womans\_algorithm • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 01:45 PM

Eventhough JP doesn't have PHD in psychology of sexual behavior, wasn't he a therapist (or something along those line) for not so few years? To me, that's even more important than some paper from college. It's practical knowledge that he learned from all of his cases.

LethalShade • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 08:38 PM

He doesn't study or specialize in human sexual behavior though. Of course, it would be a part of his practice but a small part if anything.

novalentineforyou • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 04:06 AM

Sure he doesn't. Neither does anyone who writes significantly for this board (not in a way that can approach scientific study or scientific specialty). That's the nature of the game.

Luckylancer96 • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 12:09 AM

I watched a lecture that is parallel with trp. He was explaining a research on what is desirable for short term mate selection(smv). Women's smv was %90 fertility markers (low weight+beauty+youth). In other hand men's smv markers were much diverse, I cant remembsr them right now.

He says agreeableness and neurotism are negatively correlated with attractiveness.

I click on his videos at YT when it is suggested time to time so I am not a big follower or fan but what he was saying makes sense to me.

funkysoulsearcher 1 points 18 September, 2018 10:25 PM **[recovered]**

Nice - the OP does the opposite of what he asks for. Im calling you out. There is nothing wrong with exploring psychology from sources other than the rational male. Get a grip on reality the world does not revolve around pick up 24/7

sour\_jesus • 35 points • 18 September, 2018 11:25 PM

With all the good Peterson did he's just Building Better Betas. He married his high-school oneitis, doesn't even entertain the concept of validational sex... No need to prove the point further.

VasiliyZaitzev • 39 points • 19 September, 2018 02:47 AM

One has to understand who Peterson is, and what his goals are. I don't hate TradCons because, as and older guy who is established, I can move to' and fro' among them and they don't seem to mind that I defile young women because "eventually" I will see the light, etc.

Also, Peterson delivers shiv after shiv to the Leftoids and, well, the enemy of my enemy, etc. That said, he's not "red pill" pill so much as TradCon and probably thinks, like the rest of us, that Justin Trudeau's lips have tasted cock. But yeah, he is, at bottom, a TradCon and your "Building Better Betas" point is spot on.

-Fidelio- • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 06:47 PM

The enemy of your enemy is still your enemy. Somehow he is welcome on a huge tour of leftist media. You have to ask why.

He claimed that the left wasn't willing to debate any of their stances, because they had no argument. He has refused every debate with people who are more on the right than him. Implicitly this means that he knows he has no argument against those on the right of him.

Couple that with his history at harvard and podesta UN think tank and you're left with someone who is most likely a pied piper to keep people tied to a moderate right.

VasiliyZaitzev • 21 points • 19 September, 2018 07:51 PM

Given a choice between a world populated by centre-right TradCons or SJW fuglies who hate me and want me dead, I will take that first group, all day long. The worst they want to do to me is fix me up with a "nice" girl.

I don't view JP as a "messiah" but I do enjoy his bitch-slapping of the Left and as I noted, he's doing the Lord's work by helping omegas become betas.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 03:40 AM

He is welcome in leftist media because he is, as others have put it, "building better betas." When you turn an omega into a beta, you've turned something resembling a parasite into a worker drone. The powers that be want men to be betas. If Peterson was turning betas into alphas, he would likely be far

less welcome on these programs.

immibis • 3 points • 30 September, 2018 12:58 AM

Jordan Peterson? Welcomed in leftist media?

That's the dumbest thing I've heard all day.

[deleted] • 0 points • 30 September, 2018 03:11 AM

As u/-Fidelio- said, he is welcome on a huge tour of leftist media.

immibis • 2 points • 30 September, 2018 07:53 AM

Isn't he only welcome so he can be torn down?

Captain\_pants4 • 14 points • 18 September, 2018 09:07 PM

Peterson is purple at best

jfkfinn • 7 points • 19 September, 2018 09:35 AM

Jordan talks some good shit! But pussy is pussy and there was nothing in the 12 rules that told you how to destroy the illusion of modern beta game.. the two work together "RPT" and 12 rules.. because they both involve self control and a stoic mindset... but pussy is pussy!

Spider-Dude1 • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 04:52 PM

They both start off with the same principal which is that of self improvement, focusing on the self. Which is, in my opinion, the thing that separates them from the likes of motivational speakers. Both TRP and JBP say that one must have their immediate necessities ( home, body, income) in order before fulfilling your goals.

surfsusa • 8 points • 19 September, 2018 01:32 PM

If there is one think a man should get from Peterson. Is the 1st rule from 12 Rules. "Stand up straight with your shoulders back" I can not tell you how many men walk around hunched over looking down. I noticed that I did this some times. As soon as I started standing up straight and walking with my shoulders back I found it hard to do. I wanted to walk the way I always did. I am 6ft tall and I noticed a lot of guys 6ft an over walk kind hunched over. I am not sure if it was that they don't want to stick out and want to blend in, but is signals lack of confidence. It took me weeks to get the habit broken but I noticed quick results. More eye contact from women and women will say hi to me with out me initiating the conversation. I feel more confident too. It sort of sets up a feedback loop of validation, the more you do it the more you get results and the easier it becomes.

Sir\_Distic • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 10:53 PM

This is something I'm currently working on as well. Head up, spine straight, chest out, shoulders back. It still feels odd from a lifetime of hunched over and looking at the ground as I walk.

But damn if it doesn't feel confident. Once it becomes habit I know I won't have to "Fake it til I make it."

surfsusa • 2 points • 21 September, 2018 03:07 PM

You will start to notice that people (Women) will notice you and look at you differently. They will Smile , Say Hi an increase of IOI.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 September, 2018 03:44 AM

I remember when I resolved to walk with better posture. One day a girl just said "hi" in passing and it

completely took me by surprise. If you're in a shitty mood, remember to keep your posture confident.

Deus\_Vultus • 11 points • 19 September, 2018 06:14 PM

What better place to bring some of what Jp preach? He has more balls and brains than most people i encounter. Allot of what he says can already be found here, he just uses words normies understand and a reasoning that is easy to follow.

TheBadGoy • 7 points • 19 September, 2018 03:13 AM

"The university intellectuals also play an important role in carrying out the System's trick. Though they like to fancy themselves independent thinkers, the intellectuals are (allowing for individual exceptions) the most oversocialized, the most conformist, the tamest and most domesticated, the most pampered, dependent, and spineless group in America today. As a result, their impulse to rebel is particularly strong. But, because they are incapable of independent thought, real rebellion is impossible for them. Consequently they are suckers for the System's trick, which allows them to irritate people and enjoy the illusion of rebelling without ever having to challenge the System's basic values"

scissor\_me\_timbers00 • 1 point • 22 November, 2018 01:43 PM

This is an excerpt from the unabomber manifesto correct? God that thing is a fucking goldmine. He nailed so much while it was not as obvious as it is today.

st3roids • 3 points • 21 September, 2018 05:13 PM

"Focus on incoherent vocabulary rather than a cogent thesis. The more nebulous, abstract or ethereal the idea the better. Does not in any way address TRP theories, even the ones it seeks to contradict. Usually goes on and on about the abstractly discrete hierarchies and their rules."

that's the definition of social sciences

MentORPHEUS • 10 points • 18 September, 2018 08:59 PM

I wished for this when I saw the "DOCTOR Jordan Peterson sez" post yesterday.

JamesSkepp • 9 points • 18 September, 2018 09:42 PM

I didn't even bothered to read the contents of the post once I read "Dr." in the title.

ex\_addict\_bro • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 06:59 AM

This is why scientists decided to have "MD, PhD" *after* the name.

Jake\_le\_Dog • 3 points • 19 September, 2018 12:22 PM

I wrote a comment for the Linus Torvalds thread and realized I was using the terms I learned from Peterson. It's just a politically correct way of describing alpha. (PC is something I despise). I mean the term's correct in its sense, but it's becoming a meme. A meme used by those who don't *really* understand it.

The comment didn't sound like my own thoughts, and I realized that right after posting the comment. It might have not been my own thought without me even realizing it. So I get this ban threat.

Although I don't know if I agree with censorship. The Chans work because you can't censor them. To really stupid ideas sometimes you'll find good counter-arguments that may sway a reader's/participant's mind towards the reasonable.

[deleted] • 4 points • 20 September, 2018 01:45 AM



Jordan Peterson is not the hero we deserve, he is the hero we need...

HandsomeCub • 3 points • 20 September, 2018 04:58 AM

Upvoted because this made laugh hard

SgtBrutalisk • 10 points • 18 September, 2018 10:20 PM

JP married his high school sweetheart, thus most of his advice doesn't seem to apply to modern men, especially those insistent on dating. He's also constantly high on amphetamines (Wellbutrin).

BTW, this should be added to the Official Rules link in the sidebar.

tempolaca • 24 points • 18 September, 2018 11:15 PM

Also he's a highly depressive person. But this is really ad-hominem. He could be very well a gay trans amputee, and his ideas can be still valid.

The thing is that JP want to help improve the society. TRP want to improve men's life. RP concepts, plating, etc. ends up destroying society. Purple-pill JP concepts will produce a society with lots of families having lots of babies, in the end, they will out-fuck and out-breed a RP society.

SasquatchMcKraken • 9 points • 19 September, 2018 04:39 AM

I'm lukewarm on Peterson and I generally despise his fanboys, but you've highlighted an important difference. Do we encourage, or "enjoy," the decline while only paying lip service to our distaste for it, or do we push back against it and seek alternatives. Do you eat the bowl of shit while loudly (and unconvincingly) claiming to dislike the taste, or do you reject it and try to put something else on the menu? Most of the TRP, from what I've seen, falls in the former camps. I'm personally slowly moving towards the latter. In that respect I guess I'm moving closer to Peterson.

-Fidelio- • 8 points • 19 September, 2018 06:57 PM

JP doesn't want to help improve society; he wants to prevent the much needed revolution. Yes, revolutions are bloody, they're painful, they're horrible. But they're preferable to becoming more like cattle as the path that we're on. When you're very sick, you need a very strong medicine. Our society is very sick. He's proposing mild home-cleaning. You don't need mild homecleaning. You need radical change and the willingness to set yourself as an outcast of this sick culture, because socialising towards the sickness just makes you sicker.

Do we need lots of families and lots of babies? Yes.

But we won't outbreed the invaders even if we tried. We're not biologically identical. We have different traits, different genetics and this root of genetics creates different cultures and mating strategies. Peterson is unwilling to address either the threat of islam to europe, or population replacement in the united states.

It's remarkable the things that Peterson refuses to say, address or answer in regards to this and he no longer does open Q&A at events because of this. The man has a hidden agenda and it's to make sure you fall in line rather than make a difference.

poohead3 • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 12:14 AM

Read SIEGE by James Mason.

WeedWizardDusk • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 02:42 AM

You're just too far gone you're projecting your belief that your psyche is too fragile for subtle change onto society and you just want to watch everything burn like a crazy fuck



-Fidelio- • 3 points • 22 September, 2018 03:26 AM

Yes, emotionally laden accusations surely discredit me.

I may dislike JP and you may dislike the fact that I dislike him, but I can at least articulate why I dislike him and why I consider the core of his beliefs and prescriptions to be harmful to the people who believe them.

You should articulate the why of your thoughts, so that your comments will have substantive content... because I assume that there is a why behind that. Because the assumption that people know something I myself don't know is one of the good ideas of Jordan Peterson. One of the ideas he uses to rope people in and consequently use his gatekeeping "intellectual dark web" to keep people from finding red pills that have the potential of healing our sick society.

You can't heal cancer without either surgery or radiation treatment and you can't heal the sickness in western society by drinking some tea and mild exercise. Does that mean you shouldn't clean your room? Of course you should. But honestly it's far from radical enough an action as we need to collectively take.

MentORPHEUS • 7 points • 19 September, 2018 01:52 AM

Purple-pill JP concepts will produce a society with lots of families having lots of babies, in the end, they will out-fuck and out-breed a RP society.

This is one of our biggest bones of contention with JP. He's selling his life history as a model to people starting a quarter century later than him, in a world that has changed so much it mostly dooms them to divorce rape/economic slavery.

To some extent he's probably justifying and validating his own blue pill life history by steering today's young men toward marriage- for HIS good, not necessarily theirs or "society's."

Reread The Tradcon Trap.

reluctantly\_red • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 01:29 AM

The thing is that JP want to help improve the society.

This is a good thing. Being amoral is fine in theoretical debates, however, in real life guys actually do need a moral compass.

karmalizing • -5 points • 19 September, 2018 02:00 AM

Ehhh. You can always just tear society down and watch everyone fight it out.

Having a good moral compass is absolutely crucial for survival in difficult times though.

dslkjnavoiuweqrkljas • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 05:11 PM

I THINK she was his like elementary school sweetheart oneitis that he adored since childhood. They didn't start dating until she was in her mid-late twenties I believe so he was nice enough to let her ride the CC for a while and then settle down. Also she's bad genes.

fade2clear 1 points 20 September, 2018 02:28 PM **[recovered]**

What's the link between Wellbutrin and amphetamines? Just curious, because I tried it years ago and it made me so anxious and wired(not in a good way).

SgtBrutalisk • 1 point • 21 September, 2018 03:05 AM

If memory serves WB belongs to amphetamine family. I couldn't find a source to that except Wikipedia's claim that WB can cause a person to test false positive on an amphetamine drug test.

Master\_Elrond • 6 points • 18 September, 2018 09:55 PM

I always skipped the JP posts... I mean he's ok, sure, but never really understood the dick rideage.

ArchetypicalDegen • 4 points • 18 September, 2018 11:42 PM

The thing that gripes me about Jordan Peterson is he often says "x is bad and needs to be avoided" without actually necessarily describing why.

gorebwn • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 12:58 PM

"Never use a dime word when a penny word will do"

NikolaGeorgiev • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 02:04 PM

Peterson is right about many things, but is not right about TRP (or is afraid to comment on it, it doesn't matter). Rollo Tomassi had some podcast about that. That's it.

SeasonedRP • 5 points • 19 September, 2018 11:40 AM

Thank you. He's a tradcon and academic poseur (psychology is hardly a rigorous field that makes one an expert on anything other than psycho-babble). His approach is couched in philosophy and mysticism but his message is the same as Bill Bennett and the other "man up and marry them" types.

Winterwolf98 • 6 points • 19 September, 2018 02:06 AM

After all of reddit, this mod dude is one of the few that I respect.

spearo15 1 points 18 September, 2018 09:10 PM **[recovered]**

Chill out with the power trip and let people talk about what they want. This isn't your subforum, you simply moderate it. How can you call someone a "*insufferable, condescending cunt*" in one sentence and in the next say "*present (your idea) with respect and without condescension*". Its comical, man.

uebermacht • 8 points • 18 September, 2018 09:14 PM

Finally!

This is why I really appreciate the TRP sub.

It's a wolf pit.

You are measured by your performance.

If you suck, you will be eaten alive.

If you are good, you will be rewarded.

I remember a contribution written by /u/Whisper a half year ago about Jordan Peterson: Jordan Peterson is not your friend.

Jyontaitaa • 1 point • 18 September, 2018 11:48 PM

Thank you.

Jordan Peterson already has massive platform I don't need to read about him here.

childpornfanboy • 0 points • 19 September, 2018 01:51 AM

Another way JP is harmful is that he urges those not on the left to not play the identity politics game. He is 100% wrong. Anyone who is against liberalism and political correctness should play identity politics in order to win. JP is making things easier for the left by doing this. He also considers himself to be liberal. While he does present some good information, better to stay away.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 September, 2018 11:08 PM

He's a classic gatekeeper

basbobas • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 01:08 PM

AGREED

Fulp\_Piction • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 09:05 PM

As another poster said - he builds better betas. He's 100% rational and doesnt consider the utility of Machavellianism.

dr\_warlock • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 02:19 AM

Praise be to allah.

Patric\_MasterBateman • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 04:58 AM

<https://www.instagram.com/p/iRoxkeJFKk/?hl=en&taken-by=mikhailapeterson>

abudun79 • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 03:04 PM

Sounds like a personal thing. Most of the posts are intellectual diarrhea that only can Americans take serious. How could it be any different? It's all been said and done and there are not many RP related events that need to be discussed. Something needs to be posted to keep the sub alive...

Lkeacentipede • 1 point • 20 September, 2018 05:12 PM

For the JP fans, consider that he said in Joe Rogan that he found a way to monetize the sjw's by constantly talking about their bullshit and seeing his patreon numbers skyrocket

Imo, this guy managed to repackage the self help industry with a non-sissy cover. He most likely is not someone telling truths at all cost, but rather giving you the what you wanna hear

FlamingAmbosexual • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 03:39 AM

I'd love to see Rollo and Peterson have a discussion. Peterson has talked about hypergamy and its problems on modern society a little bit but not much. I think him and Rollo could really expand on the subject.

-Fidelio- • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 04:46 AM

It might be a good idea to put this new rule into the official rules in the sidebar. That way new members that properly educate themselves to the rules, won't get caught unaware.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 05:28 AM

Wtf is that post. I can't downvote enough. Yeark

HeadingRed • 1 point • 22 September, 2018 01:00 PM

If your answer can't be understood easily you don't have the answer.

Yes there are times when you need a lot of words to deliver your message. Yes not everything can be boiled down to a twitter post. Much of the time if it takes you a long time to get to your point **you don't have a point**

ExternalKing • 1 point • 25 September, 2018 05:33 PM

I like JP, he has a lot of useful things to say from the existencial angle (an angle that i haven't seen in RP) but ultimately he wants to send everyone back into the hands of cuckstianity - Which was the cause that got us into this mess in the first place. -

WayneForever2Wheels • 1 point • 27 September, 2018 03:52 PM

Lolololol Word Salad....!!! That's fuckin classic! Lololol I will use THAT one

glossies • 1 point • 27 September, 2018 09:24 PM

lol. this is the sub that started out as a feminist parody; ie it's also a joke

haroldpeters • -2 points • 19 September, 2018 01:55 AM

Its interesting how you ban people for having different opinions. That reeks of blue pill to me. Surely having a dialogue is the best way to test those opinions and help people understand. I'll probably be banned for not agreeing to this ban-fest but who gives a fuck right.. reddits gone to shit anyways.

redpillschool[S] • 4 points • 19 September, 2018 01:57 AM

Aww shucks, you didn't read the post but never let that stop you from having an opinion!

Millennials, am I right?

If your idea has merit, you can present it with respect, and without condescension.

RedSkeller • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 01:09 PM

One of the best things you can do as a human being is critically think and be skeptical. Any time there is a public figure, celebrity or athlete doing something there is obviously some form of monetary motivation behind it. JP is no different, and it's pretty obvious the guy has the class of a used car salesman when it comes to selling himself and his advice. Can barely stomach his bullshit videos.

AllahHatesFags • 1 point • 19 September, 2018 03:27 PM

He is a tradcon so his ideas are becoming obsolete anyway. Marriage is a losing proposition for men these days and tradcons have still not accepted that fact.

I\_am\_Jax\_account • 1 point • 23 September, 2018 12:09 AM

Jordan Peterson is a Koch brothers funded shill who is low-key trying to tell a whole generation of young men to shut up, listen to their bosses and overlords and marry that woman (and if she screws you over it's your fault). It's completely antithetical to TRP and I have no idea why it crept in here.

ovrload • -2 points • 19 September, 2018 04:43 AM

Can't believe how many suckers fall for his coaching crap. Typical snake oil salesmen

Einzakin • 2 points • 20 September, 2018 04:21 AM

Snake oil? What lol. Have you watched anything he's put out?

LiveAFTSOV • 0 points • 18 September, 2018 11:04 PM

Sexual market place is a sexual hierarchy

That's the difference

redpill\_scientist92 • 0 points • 19 September, 2018 05:55 AM

I just like how he disses trannies.

[deleted] • -8 points • 18 September, 2018 10:12 PM

I remember seeing Jordan Peterson on the JRE for the first time. His voice kept going higher and it looked like he was about to cry at one point which was uncomfortable to watch. Seems like a lot of guys can relate to him.