

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

270 upvotes | 6 January, 2016 | by NightwingTRP

Just a quick reminder that Red Pill examples are all around us and that the blue pill women have got it wrong.

During my lunchtime browsing and keeping up with the news and so forth, I stumble across a piece that doesn't really belong in my trawling. However, the title screamed Red Pill at me, so I figured I'd double check.

The article was entitled [Jennifer Lawrence talks love life after Chris Martin: I'm single because I'm picky](#). Now before you click the link, let's make some predictions based upon what we know about Jen and about TRP theory. Jen is extremely successful and has press telling her she's a 10/10 beauty. (In my humble opinion, she's at most an 8, but remember... objectivity is irrelevant for women. I've seen 5s confidently declare they're easily HB8s.) So her view of her SMV will be stratospheric - 11/10 level. Therefore she'll only date 12/10s. The sort of men who have looks, muscles, status and bank big time, or a man with truly supreme status within his industry and the public view. From that alone, we already know the title is a rationalisation because she's not going to be attracted to many men. It's not that she's picky, it's that she's so successful that her pool of potential men is ridiculously small. This is not "where have all the good men gone?" this is "why are there no men in the first place?"

We can also assume that she probably shit tests off the charts as a result of this. Giving a girl absolutely everything will make her completely entitled to the very very best of top tier men. So let's turn to the article now and see what we can find that supports or refutes these predictions.

She is one of the most desirable women on the planet but Jennifer Lawrence as revealed that she is extremely "picky" when it comes to men. Speaking to America's Glamour magazine, the Hunger Games star revealed that despite the constant speculation surrounding her personal life, **she rarely feels attracted to someone.**

Straight out the starting gates and here we have it. Check the emphasis. Her perceived SMV is so high, even in Hollywood she can't find men with the stratospherically high level of SMV required. Women only feel attraction to superior men, and her dating pool is tiny.

"I'm picky. I feel a spark very, very rarely. **And it's really only about spark for me.** Not really anything else. **You should see some of the people I find attractive. You'd be shocked,**" she said. "I don't, like, date a lot. I don't meet a lot of guys who I want to go on a date with. **I'll find a guy attractive maybe once a year.**"

Emphasis mine, the last bit is just reiteration of the previous point. The rationalisation here is that she's picky because there's no other way to explain why she doesn't feel the fee-fees for many men. Once a year. It's all about spark just reaffirms what we know, women tend to follow their feelings first on things. Attraction is non-negotiable. "The spark" is either there or it isn't. That's just womanese for attraction. (Because they can't actually say shit straight up because fee-fees are very important.) After all "there's no spark" is much nicer than "I don't feel any attraction for you. In fact, you showing romantic interest in me, when I'm not attracted, repulses me."

Finally, let's keep this balanced: maybe I would be shocked at some of the men you find attractive. Though I bet I could still explain it. Status trumps all. So perhaps my statement that she wants looks, muscles, bank and status is a little much. Perhaps she's referring to guys like Jack Nicholson.

The screen siren, who currently stars in semi-biographical comedy drama film Joy, previously dated her X-Men cast mate Nicholas Hoult. In August 2014 she was romantically linked to Coldplay frontman Chris Martin, 38, following his split from ex-wife Gwyneth Paltrow. The couple are said to have ended their **turbulent relationship in 2015**.

Her taste in male status is going up. Not surprising considering how she's become more and more successful in the past few years. There aren't many men in music with higher status than Chris Martin. Given the sort of music he writes, I'd say he's pretty blue pill and submissive, so combine that with the shit testing levels I previously predicted... a turbulent relationship is not remotely surprising.

While the prospect of being alone might frighten some people, the Oscar-winner insisted that she didn't believe she was lacking anything by choosing to stay single. "I'm not a lonely person. Me not dating someone is not a lack of anything in any way," she explained. "I feel completely fulfilled. Yes, when I spark with someone, it's exciting, but I definitely don't need that."

A woman rationalising that she doesn't really want what she can't seem to get? Nothing to see here guys.

Her frank revelation come just weeks after she admitted to sharing a secret smooch with her Hunger Games co-star Liam Hemsworth, who plays her character's best friend Gale Hawthorne. The pair have been dogged with romance rumours ever since they first showed off their on-screen chemistry in the first instalment of the franchise back in 2012.

"Liam and I grew up together. Liam's real hot, what would you have done? Yeah," she said during a game of Plead The Fifth on Bravo's Watch What Happens with Andy Cohen.

This is the most interesting. The woman attracts the most top tier of men that other women would happily murder their entire family in order to fuck, and there's clearly some physical attraction. But they grew up together, so she's seen his beta side (and make no mistake, no man is alpha all the time throughout his entire life. It's impossible. That's why we encourage you to take time away from your women and hang out with the guys, so they don't see the moments of non-alphaness. Only the guys who don't care about that will.)

I would say this statement that she'll smooch such a high SMV guy... but won't date him... is a very clear indication that status trumps all. She won't settle for reasonably high status men. No, she's got to have the very highest of the high.

Feel free to jump in with your own thoughts. Keep your eyes open and you'll spot all women act exactly as TRP explains they will. None are immune.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 299 points • 6 January, 2016 06:07 PM

Jennifer Lawrence, from the aforementioned article dated Jan 5th, 2016: *"I'm not a lonely person. Me not dating someone is not a lack of anything in any way," she explained. "I feel completely fulfilled. Yes, when I spark with someone, it's exciting, but I definitely don't need that."*

Jennifer Lawrence, from an interview published on Dec 23rd, 2015: *"No one ever asks me out. I am lonely every Saturday night. Guys are so mean to me. I know where it's coming from, I know they're trying to establish dominance, but it hurts my feelings. I'm just a girl who wants you to be nice to me. I am straight as an arrow. I feel like I need to meet a guy, with all due respect, who has been living in Baghdad for five years who has no idea who I am."*

[deleted] • 69 points • 6 January, 2016 09:01 PM

The linked December 23, 2015 article in which JLaw lamented her lonely-heart status was the very first thing I thought of when reading Nightwing's piece.

Thanks for linking it.

A reminder that you should put "Right now I feel that...." in front of everything a woman says, in order to understand the woman's statement.

NightwingTRP[S] • 86 points • 6 January, 2016 06:25 PM

Congratulations on winning the internet. Made me laugh so hard.

[deleted] • 61 points • 6 January, 2016 06:38 PM

"I am as straight as an arrow".

Boomerang, love. You mean boomerang.

bunnylajoya8 • 25 points • 7 January, 2016 12:02 AM*

Brilliant. I can almost hear the desperation in her thoughts, trying to swat away those lonely feelings and put up a kind of "independent woman who don't need no man" front.

"I'm not a lonely person. Me not dating someone is not a lack of anything in **any way**," she explained. "I feel **completely** fulfilled. **Yes**, when I spark with someone, it's exciting, but I **definitely** don't need that."

Methinks the lady doth protest too much.

rp_valiant • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 08:58 AM

I know where it's coming from, I know they're trying to establish dominance, but it hurts my feelings.

Then they're doing it wrong. It's probably guys trying to emulate that jock-arrogance they saw in school without having the charisma to pull off "cocky-funny". She's not feeling upset, she's feeling repulsed.

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 03:59 PM*

[deleted]

ReasonFreak • 14 points • 6 January, 2016 06:21 PM

Very well said. I think some of it comes back around to women's SMV peak coming at a time in life when they're still young and stupid. Not only do men have a longer time to work on ourselves but by the time we've hit our peak we also have the benefits of wisdom and experience. It really is better to be a man.

cosmicartery • 4 points • 7 January, 2016 01:18 AM

girls that hot produce instant and HARD oneitis in even the highest SMV guys

Motherfucker... that was me with a sorority girl back in October. Holy fuck it happened fast. And so hard. And her amusement at it fucked me up like nothing else, before she nicely but coldly said she wasn't interested anymore. Just. Like. That.

If I ever have the privilege to rate my top 5 things that have helped me most in life, like Steve Jobs I'd def state LSD as one of them. Another will be TRP. Thank you for the priceless knowledge you guys

[deleted] • 118 points • 6 January, 2016 02:09 PM

I don't see why we even care what it is she is *saying* as you can probably find her fucking some random unknown dude when she gets *lost in the moment*.

NightwingTRP[S] • 41 points • 6 January, 2016 02:20 PM

Men can indeed crush pussy without learning womanese. I would say in response to this that you have fewer tools in the box than me, and I am therefore likely to be better able to address more situations in my life. Try to think nuanced rather than black and white. When we say "don't listen to what women say" we don't literally mean that. You'd end up with conversations where you sound mentally unhinged.

Edit: I should also point out that a dismissive attitude towards things which give you further chance to improve is not red pill. **Constant** improvement is the burden of man.

[deleted] • 11 points • 6 January, 2016 02:22 PM*

I understand the importance of hearing what is said then interpreting what is meant. By no means do I feel that there is nothing to be gained from understanding the message. *But*, what I **don't** understand is what was the point of this post?

Did you show us that Jennifer Lawrence is a woman and speaks like a woman? When you wrote this up, what were you looking to get across besides the most basic understanding of AWALT?

EDIT In response to *your* edit, it's **ALL** Women are like that, why do we keep getting these posts that say, *See guys?? She's like that too*. That's the point of **All**, billionaire woman, hoodrat woman, celebrity, your girlfriend... I just don't see the need for breaking each and every situation that further shows the same thing we've seen a hundred times.

How often do you go outside and drop something and then post *Yup, Gravity is still like that*.

NightwingTRP[S] • 39 points • 6 January, 2016 02:28 PM

Good.

Points the post gives besides AWALT:

Women always date up, regardless of where they sit in the sexual market.

Predictions of TRP can be made based upon basic knowledge of a woman.

A woman's SMV is perceived, not objectively set.

Attraction is non-negotiable.

Status trumps all.

None of it is advanced stuff. It's not aimed at advanced members, though it never hurts to reinforce the basics. Come back when I write my more nuanced pieces, you'll get more from them.

[deleted] • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 02:33 PM

[permanently deleted]

NightwingTRP[S] • 23 points • 6 January, 2016 02:45 PM

I don't think there's any examples as sidebar material. Since the sub is constantly getting new people, there's no downside to adding a fresh example of the base principles at work when it comes up. It'll help the newer members relate to something current. Plus it reminds the veterans here that it all still applies, and it allows me to keep my own analysis reasonably sharp.

So for you, someone who is well grounded in the basics, this is mostly redundant. If you believe that, skip over these sorts of things and focus on getting involved in conversations on other topics.

Many members leave the sub because most of it becomes redundant once you've learnt to a certain level. I wouldn't blame you for doing the same. Most of what is written here is redundant for me. For what it's worth... I am planning on adding some more fresh analysis on the more nuanced issues.

EpicLevelCheater • 12 points • 6 January, 2016 03:05 PM

We do not side bar *specific* examples because we do not want new guys to canonize them. Otherwise, they might think that the side bar example of AWALT is the only manifestation of AWALT that there is.

We want users to understand the principles underlying concepts like AWALT, hypergamy, shit tests, etc., and then to go out into the world and be able to recognize any instances of them.

That is why original posts like this one are important. They represent a single data point.

Mr_Andry • 5 points • 6 January, 2016 10:15 PM

I think J-Law's story is also very valuable as an extreme example. Hot successful women limit their dating pool, and here is the hottest and most successful woman (at least in her own mind) who can't get dates by her own admission. It's just too perfect.

antariusz • -1 points • 6 January, 2016 03:45 PM

It never hurts to go over the basics.

<http://mobile.brainyquote.com/quotes/keywords/complacency.html>

Personally, I find value in discussing questions that come out of the comments in /TRP and spend more time reading the actual posts in /MRP as examples of situations I may find myself in one day and how not to handle myself.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 09:03 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 12:15 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 12:57 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 01:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 7 January, 2016 01:16 PM

[removed]

EpicLevelCheater[M] • -1 points • 7 January, 2016 07:31 PM

Hey Einstein, how is /u/NightwingTRP supposed to relate his experience to *your life specifically*? What the fuck does he know about your life?

We are here to share insights about sexual strategy, not teach you critical thinking skills. You are responsible for reading, interpreting, and relating posts to your own life.

Now stop complaining about someone else's post and post good shit of your own. I'm getting tired of fucking saying this.

[deleted] 7 January, 2016 08:10 PM

[removed]

EpicLevelCheater[M] • 1 point • 8 January, 2016 01:54 AM

I have read all of the comments in this thread and beyond, and I understand your grievance. The shifting of focus towards anger is something we are constantly fighting against as the sub grows. We want to help young men through the anger phase as quickly as possible so we can get them on the path to real enlightenment.

So, understand MY perspective.

When you bitch about "poor content", that in itself creates more noise. *Bitching is anti-content, and only contributes to the very problem you are bitching about.* I have to say this EVERY WEEK to the newest whiner, and I am tired of saying it.

I have looked through your history, and I can see that you have already contributed some decent posts to this sub. That is good, and that is the way to move the sub in the right direction.

Be the change you wish to see.

Now, /u/NightwingTRP has already proven his worth to the community, so he gets some leeway. You don't have an endorsed tag yet, so you don't. Want special perks like him? Keep on being valuable.

I am awarding you with a point and keeping my eye on you. Don't make my job harder.

PantsonFire1234 • 1 point • 6 January, 2016 09:45 PM

I think what he initially meant was that we have no guarantee that she's giving her interviewer complete

information about her dating life and preferences. So we should be cautious to draw a quick conclusion.

fearachieved • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 06:56 PM

Exactly, I read somewhere she fucks her two male costars from hunger games

redpillavatar • 118 points • 6 January, 2016 03:23 PM

Imgur

Jennifer Lawrence without makeup is very boring. Her face has a chubby look to it, almost resembling the start of a double chin. Overall, you realize how wide her face actually is, kind of like some slavic women, except she has reptilian eyes. Some of her facial proportions are out of whack - she in no way resembles the golden rule for beauty.

I don't like her features much.

Invalidity • 54 points • 6 January, 2016 05:18 PM

She isn't pretty at all. But our media would have you believe that she is gorgeous... for every reason OTHER than physical appearance. They're essentially trying to sell the idea that a woman's status makes her attractive in the same way it makes men attractive.

It is all projection but it doesn't work for most men. Yet they've been able to sell the notion to a lot of beta males. When men's primal instincts kick in, yes, they will fuck her, but they will prefer to fuck hotter/prettier women.

[deleted] • 55 points • 6 January, 2016 06:10 PM

She is a 7/10 basic bitch. Boring with no makeup in her home clothes, worth a good fuck with makeup and good clothes. Just like my girlfriend lol. I'll probably have to drop her because the betas at her new job inflated her ego out of the fucking roof...

eccentricrealist • 19 points • 6 January, 2016 08:26 PM

Not even 7/10 for me, I don't find the appeal. My ex was way hotter and I see hotter girls every day in college, what do I care if they're not the most famous starlet or whatever? (Aside from the money maybe, but I don't want to be a boytoy)

TaylorWolf • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 12:22 AM

She's got a pretty nice body dude. Solid 8 IMO. I mean really how could her body be better?

eccentricrealist • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 01:33 AM

Last I saw she didn't have a good waist/hip ratio. I haven't seen anything with her lately though so I don't know if that's changed.

TaylorWolf • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 02:01 AM

yea... I would not be mad if she did some squats. She had some sexy hip movement dance moves in that Silver Linings Playbook tho. Solid 8

Shotgun_Sentinel • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 05:00 AM

Squats won't make her but big they will make her quads big. SHe needs dead lifts. Regardless hip ration is mostly determined by bone structure.

J_AsapGem • 37 points • 6 January, 2016 08:16 PM

it's fucking annoying isn't it? girls that are 5 thinking they are 15s because of these beta faggots.. i saw some beta on facebook saying he would apologize if his girl cheat on him because she's " gorgeous "

DoubleA12 • 17 points • 6 January, 2016 10:12 PM

The fact that that's an actual thing betas say is fucking astounding.

Hoodwink • 5 points • 7 January, 2016 06:36 AM

That's not beta. Beta's would have the expectation of monogamy and all that entails. You might play captain-save-a-ho or think you're better than the rest of men, but you're expecting that a woman will recognize provider and support qualities as rare and valuable.

That's gamma-level where you're defending her every action because of some deep-seated guilt/shame about masculinity and men. It would be recognized as religious worship in any other context.

redpillavatar • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 11:44 PM

I think it is pedestal installation of the highest order. Almost sounds like that cuckold stuff.

bunnylajoya8 • 5 points • 7 January, 2016 01:20 AM

If anything he needs to apologise to his penis. And then get some freaking self respect. I hate seeing men in that state, it's unnatural and disturbing.

[deleted] • 11 points • 6 January, 2016 09:04 PM

JL is Cinderella Hot. Shes only a '7' when she has to be when she gets all doled up by helpers. Her natural form us the 4 you see in OP post

bunnylajoya8 • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 01:12 AM

I see men everywhere talking about how hot she is and I always want to question the quality of their vision, only to refrain for fear of being labeled as jealous, or a "hater."

Her body was killer in American Hustle though. At the end of the bathroom scene in which she's arguing with another woman, she storms out and the dress she's wearing accentuates it nicely.

There was a decent jiggle.

Shotgun_Sentinel • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 04:58 AM

6/10 she has b cup tits and a pancake booty. I have no idea what reddit sees in her.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 09:27 AM

U got a pancake booty, stop seeing urself in others bro

Shotgun_Sentinel • 1 point • 8 January, 2016 04:18 AM

Not true, girls say I got a nice butt, and i don't even lift.

max_peenor • 57 points • 6 January, 2016 03:55 PM

Imgur

Beady little eyes and I already know what her asshole looks like. ZERO interest here.

ser0402 • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 09:57 PM

Right? If I want to know what she looks like naked I'll just look up the 40+ naked pics of her available on the Internet. "I don't date much" i.e. "I fuck a lot of guys a few times and then get bored"

peterson2004 • 49 points • 6 January, 2016 04:46 PM*

Without make-up, she resembles Haley Joel Osment

<http://i.imgur.com/N14OAtT.jpg>

PantsonFire1234 • 7 points • 6 January, 2016 09:48 PM

Straight 11/10 right there.

bunnylajoya8 • 5 points • 7 January, 2016 01:00 AM

Jesus, if I didn't know any better I'd say they were siblings.

redpillavatar • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 11:45 PM

Great find... That comparison is absolutely golden!

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 06:37 PM*

[deleted]

vicious_armbar • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 07:18 PM*

I think the takeaway is putting rich and famous women on pedestals is a complete waste of energy. I don't need women for money or social status. That's what they need us for. Give me a cute skinny little small town nobody girl any day.

You hit the nail on the head. Men don't care if a woman has: money, power, or fame. In general it makes her less attractive as a mate. If you're lucky it would only make trying date or bang her more of a pain in the ass. Worst case scenario she'll use her higher status to go after you if things don't work out. Men care about: physical attractiveness, kindness/femininity, and loyalty/obedience. Everything else is secondary if it even comes into play at all.

Even high status hollywood actors would rather bang "normal" 9's and 10's. Less bullshit to deal with. The only men that actresses like JLaw have value to are other famous actors who are ready to settle down, and want to be reasonably sure the woman they're marrying doesn't have a secret plan to divorce rape them.

killxorxbexkilled • 17 points • 6 January, 2016 03:45 PM

Damn...she looks bloated as hell

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 04:03 PM

[deleted]

blacwidonsfw • 8 points • 6 January, 2016 06:45 PM

That is such an ironic picture. You can tell when people are fake smiling by looking at the eyes. Hers look sad as fuck

csehszlovakze • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 10:20 PM

she's hitting the wall at warp 9.5

beginner_ • 21 points • 6 January, 2016 04:14 PM

Yeah doesn't look good in that shoot (actually pretty ugly, 5 max) but she gets photographed probably 1000 times a day and then you pick the worst one of these. You can make anyone look ugly. Don't believe the photoshopped stuff but also not these "bad-moment" pics.

Maybe looks stupid and chubby because she just took a sip from her drink.

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 04:19 PM

[deleted]

beginner_ • 13 points • 6 January, 2016 04:45 PM

No, all I say is remain objective. Don't believe the photo-shopped pics and don't believe the random ugly shoot made by paparazzi.

dapowa • 1 point • 6 January, 2016 05:06 PM

It's safe(nearly law personally) to not believe anything spewing from pop culture. Although, lots of the bullshit can be used as decent reference points for the majority of 18-25 y/o female belief systems and behavioral triggers.

selassiepickney • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 07:44 PM

Yeah, she has this sort of non-specific facial dysmorphia. I've been trying to articulate what I find unattractive about her for so long. This comes close.

DarrenLB76 • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 08:54 PM

And when she smiles her eyes look extremely squinty.

RedMoonAscendant • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 02:15 AM

Holy jesus. That troll is her? She's gone downhill fast.

square-one • 1 point • 8 January, 2016 04:09 AM

She could play Pam from Archer if they ever did a live-action series.

KyfhoMyoba • 1 point • 9 January, 2016 12:32 AM

I don't like her features much.

Yeah, but she's sweet, goofy, and funny as fuck.

Clint_Redwood • 23 points • 6 January, 2016 03:07 PM

Potential mates for women is like a Christmas tree when it comes to finance and success. The higher they climb, the less men she will find attractive.

Men on the other hand is more like a dart board. As long as you aren't crazy or ugly enough for the dart to not hit the board, you're good.

Squeezymypenisy • 42 points • 6 January, 2016 04:56 PM

This is her saying this though. Some of us here saw those fapping pictures. She may not date certain guys, but she will fuck them and then upload pictures to the cloud.

Robx9001 • 27 points • 6 January, 2016 08:02 PM

It always makes me laugh knowing that I have seen The Hunger Games chick with a hair straightener in her ass.

LukesLikeIt • 7 points • 6 January, 2016 09:55 PM

And don't forget jizz on her face.

DoubleA12 • 8 points • 6 January, 2016 10:14 PM

FYI that one was confirmed fake man. I know it's not the point but just letting you know haha.

LukesLikeIt • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 08:47 AM

Well we all have to grow up some time.

Rathadin • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 03:37 AM

I missed this photo somewhere... I thought that was Bar Rafeli or whatever her name was... but I did see Jennifer Lawrence's asshole, and it wasn't busted up when the photos were taken, so there's that.

aguy01 • 136 points • 6 January, 2016 01:46 PM

Contrast this with George Cooney who pretty much exclusively fucks women beneath him but loves every second of it.

[deleted] • 80 points • 6 January, 2016 02:17 PM

Deep down I don't think men care if they fuck up, fuck down, or fuck sideways. As long as we get to fuck, we're happy. For LTR relationships these rules change, but for fucking it doesn't matter. It's just easier for the cloon-tang to put in no effort and fuck down than it would be for him to fuck up (plus realistically what women are above him in SMV?)

everyone_wins • 26 points • 6 January, 2016 04:16 PM

I will second this notion. Sometimes I just want to stick my dick in something.

50pluspiller • 17 points • 6 January, 2016 06:37 PM

Just don't stick your Dick in crazy.

everyone_wins • 6 points • 6 January, 2016 10:28 PM

Meh, I've fucked a few crazy ones and nothing bad ever happened to m.

bunnylajoya8 • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 12:12 AM

You've been lucky dude, but don't let that be the only reason to continue screwing the crazy ones, lol. Spend a bit more time on it and get yourself a nice sane girl. If not, wrap it up and go to her place at the very least. :P

Enjoyitbeforeitsover • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 12:50 AM

Double Mcwrap that shit mate.

vandaalen • 12 points • 6 January, 2016 11:36 PM

Deep down I don't think men care if they fuck up, fuck down, or fuck sideways.

Relevant.

aguy01 • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 01:57 AM

Always bothers me how he says she wouldn't cheat.

vandaalen • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 06:55 AM

Well. Evey woman you asked would say so and would also pass that test. The hamster is very real.

DiscerningDuck • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 11:49 PM

he didn't say they wouldn't cheat...he said they would say they wouldn't, and pass the polygraph.

skoobled • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 11:32 PM

The only problem with fucking up is you multiply your troubles. I get it that we all want to do it, but in the long run the maintenance will erode the benefits. Women get to fuck upwards. It's one of their many privileges

Cloudwolf12341 • 140 points • 6 January, 2016 02:14 PM

Is that the black version of George Clooney?

rpsrote • 18 points • 6 January, 2016 08:58 PM

lost it. Missed the typo, checked back, hearty chuckle

[deleted] comment score below threshold • -9 points • 6 January, 2016 04:45 PM*

Joke went way over my head.

saml01 • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 08:31 PM

I dont think Clooney has a choice, hes probably the most alpha in the entire business and has been that way for a long time.

vengefully_yours • 6 points • 6 January, 2016 08:24 PM

Most men fuck girls lower in smv, girls want a man higher in smv, that's how it works. Clooney can pick and choose, why go with a non famous ok looking girl? He is so far above her she can never do better, dread is inherent, shit tests irrelevant or nonexistent, she is easy to manage and probably wont fuck over his last few years of life.

RetiredTimeHo • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 11:58 AM

Women are ONLY attracted to superior men.

The only men fucking 'up' are beta simps who get shit on by their more attractive/dominant women.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 10:39 PM

There's no women above him, whats he supposed to do, be chaste? Lol

square-one • 1 point • 8 January, 2016 04:04 AM

Didn't he get married? He's locked down to one puss now.

qwerty622comment score below threshold • -6 points • 6 January, 2016 05:02 PM

I would argue that his wife Amal is pretty much a 10, along with being extremely intelligent. I wouldn't really say that she's beneath Clooney.

its-iceman • 24 points • 6 January, 2016 05:16 PM

His SMV is so high, he's still the catch in that relationship.

qwerty622 • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 05:28 PM

Agreed, he's a catch, but saying "beneath him" implies something different. Put it this way, who would you consider a "match" for him outside of acting?

its-iceman • 9 points • 6 January, 2016 05:33 PM

I'm not sure honestly. Top of his game for almost 30 years (early ER days), multi-multi-multi millionaire, top 5 SMV for 20+ years...

csehszlovakze • 8 points • 6 January, 2016 10:24 PM

nah, she looks like if she was the daughter of Michael Jackson and Anita Sarkeesian

bunnylajoya8 • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 12:23 AM

I think she's attractive in a classy kind of way, but I can't unsee that comparison now..

DoubleTapp • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 07:07 PM

Qwerty, I'm absolutely 100% in your corner on that point. Based on everything I have read/know about her she is absolutely someone to LTR.

Saying that a woman of that "caliber" is beneath him is...fairly insulting. And at the end of the day it's fucking Clooney, let's not flap our lips about a relationship we truly know absolutely nothing about.... I'm looking at you TRP.

vengefully_yours • 6 points • 6 January, 2016 08:28 PM

She is lower than he is, even if she is ltr worthy. That is how it works. She wants a man of high value, higher than she is, and he very much is.

Doesn't matter if you don't like it, that's how it is in reality.

DoubleTapp • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 10:10 PM

Sure, but I feel that people are talking in here like they are going to be anywhere in the same class as Amal. Yes, I agree, you don't get much higher SMV than Clooney....

However, you don't get much higher than her either I am willing to bet.

vengefully_yours • 0 points • 6 January, 2016 10:31 PM

Sure ya can get higher, she is ok looking, a bit older, she's not appearing to be an entitled bitch, very feminine, but she is not the pinnacle of girl.

DoubleTapp • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 10:43 PM

Maybe not your pinnacle, but I think Clooney certainly made his choice. Which is one I would argue we can trust, you know, with his stratospheric SMV.

You guys are too busy swallowing to realize she is very highly accomplished human being. Holy shit, and she doesn't look half bad in a skirt either.

vandaalen • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 11:44 PM

I think Clooney certainly made his choice

That doesn't matter. We are not discussing taste, but objective value here.

Clooney could break up with her and have another ten woman of her caliber by dawn, while it will be incomparable harder for her to get commitment from a man with an SMV like Clooney.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 08:44 PM

It's the same dynamic I had with my second wife, he is so far out of her league that she will never do better. That makes it easier and less risky for a man with something to lose. My situation was different because me ex is socially awkward and naive about people. Clooney's wife isn't, so the chances of her jumping ship to a lesser man are minimal. My ex only needed someone who was interested, desperate enough to want her, and temporarily appeared better than me (sick for six months), but that girl Clooney has isn't as socially stupid as my ex.

He chose wisely. He could have any girl he wants, and if she was like most Hollywood bitches, she would make his life hell. Why is Anniston single? Lawrence is single because? Liz Taylor was married multiple times...

Because they're difficult to live with due to constantly shit testing.

He didn't want a 10, or a famous girl, he wanted someone enjoyable to be around. So she is well below his status, and thus far less maintenance.

M3_Drifter • 1 point • 6 January, 2016 10:57 PM

Nah. Her massive chin and beginning bingo wings fucks it up. She looks damn good for a 37-year old though.

waynebradysworldcomment score below threshold • -5 points • 6 January, 2016 08:17 PM

She's a fucking women's rights attorney. Nothing more need be said.

qwerty622 • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 08:21 PM

That's not correct. Did you just take a wild stab and hoped it stuck? She graduated from Oxford and then NYU for law school. She specializes in international law and human rights, both of which NYU ranks at or near the top for, and is clearly respected as she has represented Julian Assange.

waynebradysworldcomment score below threshold • -12 points • 6 January, 2016 08:49 PM

That's a real wordy way of saying she works in law for women's rights. The claim stands

qwerty622 • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 08:51 PM

Please, explain how defending Julian Assange is related to defending women's rights.

gloriasinefine • 8 points • 6 January, 2016 08:58 PM

Julian Assange is even accused of rape.

waynebradysworldcomment score below threshold • -7 points • 6 January, 2016 09:24 PM

Hilary has defended men too, does that mean she doesn't champion her own agenda?

648262 • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 01:12 AM

You don't answer questions.

It reminds me of that Bill Burr sequence... When he argues with his girl and she starts dragging in other shit to the discussion as she knows she's lost and just wants to create some drama.

waynebradysworld • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 01:50 AM

He is demonstrating tu quo que and it is a waste of my valuable time to bicker, George is my homeboy

Sdom1 • 62 points • 6 January, 2016 04:00 PM

I tried to read this whole post, but Jennifer Lawrence has to be one of the most boring human beings I've ever seen. Seriously, she's just tedious and arrogant. She was actually complaining that she wasn't paid as much as Christian Bale for one of her first big features because muh feminizm. That's like the kid at entry level bitching because he's not making what the head of a department makes. That guy paid his dues and put in the work, you haven't.

ReasonFreak • 40 points • 6 January, 2016 06:24 PM

The worst part is that she's almost certainly going to morph into an even bigger feminazi as she gets older and her SMV starts to wane but she's still alone. She thinks it's bad not being attracted to many men, imagine how she'll feel when not many of them are attracted to her either.

Sdom1 • 13 points • 6 January, 2016 09:43 PM

She will have to cry herself to sleep on her huge pile of money. Boo fucking hoo.

[deleted] • 19 points • 6 January, 2016 10:04 PM

Actually, yeah. Almost definitely, she will.

Money is nice at first, but it's nothing compared to status, family, power. Money is grease, not the machine itself. So yeah. It wouldn't surprise me at all to see someone like her rich but miserable.

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 11:54 PM

[deleted]

Enjoyitbeforeitsover • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 12:57 AM

I honestly don't find her attractive, meh. Just head down to your fucking mall to begin with and you'll find way far more gorgeous women there.

[deleted] • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 05:58 PM

Right she's gonna make Gwenith Paltrow look like an angelic sweetheart.

Sdom1 • 5 points • 6 January, 2016 09:45 PM

She couldn't carry Gwyneth's fucking jock strap when it comes to SMV. Even a foot away from the wall, Gwyneth is hotter than Lawrence.

[deleted] • 12 points • 6 January, 2016 10:05 PM

She's also notorious for being a cunt and has 20 more years of practice.

Sdom1 • 4 points • 7 January, 2016 12:07 AM

Did I say she was a nice person? I just said she's hotter than Lawrence, which is true.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 12:22 AM

Context is important, notice what I replied to...we were speaking on shitty attitude...not looks.

creatorman • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 04:58 AM

Lol? Flat chested stick figure of a human being? What shit genetics are you chasing dude

Sdom1 • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 04:15 PM

Go look at pictures of her when she was younger, before she stopped eating. There's no contest. Her facial features and overall appearance are far more beautiful and refined.

Also, J Law isn't as busty as she appears - go dig up her nudes from the fappening. Her body is a 7 at best, and her tits don't look that great out of a bra.

I've met women more beautiful than J Law. I don't know if I've ever met one as good looking as Paltrow.

[deleted] • 14 points • 6 January, 2016 04:40 PM

She was never cute in the first place though.... if she wasn't famous she probably wouldn't be looked at twice by most people.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 10:38 PM

Using my "If she were a nobody, and I met her in a random bar" approach to gauge a famous woman's actual hotness, I think you're right. Not ugly, just..."meh".

LeonZan • 22 points • 6 January, 2016 04:34 PM

JLaw is a 7/10 at her best.

I don't understand why people keep trying to convince others she's anything special

anecdotal • 16 points • 6 January, 2016 06:45 PM

Her shtick is "I'm just a normal girl doing big things!" I think she's mainly there to serve as an achievement/power fantasy for every day, average females. Then I think guys inflate her value because she seems like the "cool chick."

LeonZan • 6 points • 6 January, 2016 07:21 PM

That's exactly why I don't find her appealing.

Small chest/ass and plain face aside, I'm not particularly attracted to the "normal girl" persona she is trying so hard to sell.

If she has normal looks and a normal personality, I don't really see what's so special about her

its-iceman • 14 points • 6 January, 2016 05:18 PM

She will be the Sarah Jessica Parker of this younger generation of women. They think she's beautiful and perfect.

rpscrote • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 09:02 PM

I hope she doesn't horse around too much and foal it all up like Sarah Jessica Parker. I bet jlaw isn't as equine-animous as she thought she was judging by the quotes in the top comment.

Uptonogood • 5 points • 6 January, 2016 10:28 PM

What you did there. I see it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 January, 2016 05:05 PM

Now, now. I despised that fucking TV show, but, it's not nice how people keep being mean about that poor woman's looks.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 07:30 PM

Dude go see American Hustle. She was a 9 in that. In the everyday she's probably a 7-8 typically. The Sarah Jessica Parker analogy I disagree with too. She's like sub 5.

LeonZan • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 08:13 PM

No amount of make up and special effects can change the fact that she is a b-cup with a smaller ass than mine.

I find her face painfully simple as well

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 09:32 AM

Then your ass must be juicy af.

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 10:15 PM

In real-life terms she's definitely an 8. Don't make the mistake of comparing her to other celebrities, but rather to real women you meet on the street.

https://www.google.com.au/search?q=jennifer+lawrence&espv=2&biw=1219&bih=711&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjCvdbHm5bKAhUJHJQKHUR2BWQQ_AUIBigB

Rathadin • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 03:51 AM

I don't know why you're being downvoted. Yes, when she's in full make up and during the times of the year when she has to work out to look great in physical movies like Hunger Games & X-Men, she's a 7 or 8, depending on your personal taste. I don't care for blondes, and I don't really care for her "face shape", so she's pretty to me, but she's never been a celebrity crush of mine, compared to someone like Megan Boone for instance, who I legitimately consider a 9.

LeonZan • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 04:46 AM

If she is an 8 then im a fucking 10.

I can go to the mall for 10 minutes and point out 10 girls that are more attractive than she is.

Yall need to go outside more

fignootins • 23 points • 6 January, 2016 07:12 PM

Reddit thinks she's some kind of quirky, down-to-earth unicorn too lol

Robx9001 • 14 points • 6 January, 2016 08:09 PM

Same for Emma Watson. Who very likely is in the same boat.

rpscrote • 33 points • 6 January, 2016 09:05 PM

The Emma watson thing is fucking hilarious. She's on record saying "Men should share their feelings and be emotional and they don't have to adhere to male standards like playing sports and being muscular!" literally at the same time that she's fucking the captain of the Oxford football (soccer) team. Definitely lol worthy

Uptonogood • 7 points • 6 January, 2016 10:32 PM

That's like standard TRP. Even the PUA guys know they should go by what they do, and not what they say.

psycho-logical • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 01:12 AM

Can't find anything she's said like that besides, "Men can be imprisoned by gender roles..."

Do you have an actual quote? Or are you filling the narrative?

Rathadin • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 03:41 AM

I remember her being on Letterman talking about that shit... the guy damn near quoted it verbatim.

rpscrote • 0 points • 8 January, 2016 08:00 PM

there's an article of her saying these things. I am paraphrasing. Here's some links:

<http://www.theguardian.com/global-development/poverty-matters/2014/oct/03/emma-watson-un-gender-equality-campaign-is-an-invitation-to-men-too>. Here too:

<http://www.cosmopolitan.com/entertainment/a39782/emma-watson-internets-most-fascinating/>. Part of that "He for She" movement she was a spokeswoman for. And it appears it was a rugby player, not football. Same idea.

<http://www.mirror.co.uk/3am/celebrity-news/emma-watson-splits-boyfriend-matthew-4795085>.

Sept and Oct, 2014 is the date for her "Men should be sensitive too!!111!" speech and Dec, 2014 is when she split with that dude according to that shitty tabloid website. Thus, she was literally saying "Men should be sensitive!!!" at the same time as fucking the rugby player. I rest my case.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 07:09 AM

My god women have ZERO self awareness, it's insane.

WordsNotToLiveBy • 11 points • 6 January, 2016 09:21 PM

That's because most of Reddit is BP and beta af.

needsomehelp3211 • 5 points • 7 January, 2016 12:27 AM

She manufactures a "down-to-earth, normal girl!" personality specifically geared to enrapture the beta neckbeards that populate Reddit. They can fantasize about one day getting a girl like her because she's "sort of like them".

The Reddit crowd knows that it would be a little too absurd to even fantasize about them getting with truly

10/10 gorgeous models; it's so unlikely that it can't even fool their subconscious. So they'll settle for Lawrence, because she at least has a [carefully cultivated] aura of being on their level.

Momo_dollar • 16 points • 6 January, 2016 07:46 PM*

You guys are looking at this the wrong way. She will be attracted to the most exclusive pool of men. Yet guys in that pool view her as stained and don't want to date/ltr her and definitely don't want her to be the mother of their kids. Her asshole is a brand, an online caricature, men in the top 1% view her as nothing more than a secret pump and dump and anything more will be bad for THEIR image

EvilPenName • 15 points • 6 January, 2016 06:04 PM

Well of course she thinks this way now, she is hot (in the movie industry) and young. Let's fast forward a decade when time has sapped her youth away and now she is getting roles for the Mom instead of the hot twenty something. Bet she sings a different tune then. Suddenly you will see her married to someone and trying to pop out kids to stay in the headlines.

ReasonFreak • 13 points • 6 January, 2016 06:06 PM*

This ties into some thoughts I've been having recently about beautiful women.

Before TRP I used to look at them and think, "There goes a golden goddess, the key to happiness." Now I find myself thinking about how she'll look in another ten years, how she's probably lonely and confused from discounting 90% of men right off the bat, and how unlikely she is to strike while the iron is hot and nab the best guy she can while she's still in her prime.

There are plenty of beautiful women in the world but how many of them are beautiful and unplugged?

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 January, 2016 10:12 PM

how many of them and beautiful and unplugged?

Zero and counting... Negative three, when adjusted for inflation.

porkmaster • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 07:37 PM

Nicholson really made an impression. He's the type of guy she needs.

Linrraba • 9 points • 6 January, 2016 01:49 PM

Cant blame her. Dating Chris Martin should be used as insonia treatment.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 5 points • 6 January, 2016 07:35 PM

Yeah he seems like a blue pill beta bitch for sure

[deleted] • 11 points • 6 January, 2016 03:03 PM

Ol ostrich neck Jlaw...she could be a 7 or 8 but she's a cunt with a nasty ass attitude and a huge feminist streak so she's more like a -2.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 09:34 AM

Because your opinion is oh so important. Jennifer Lawrence wouldn't use you to wipe the dirt off her shoes.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 02:32 PM*

Aw did I insult your Waifu? You're the kind of guy that keeps a jar of his own sperm with a MLP figure

swimming around in it aren't you.

[deleted] • 9 points • 6 January, 2016 10:09 PM

Even Instagram models are a lot hotter and much lower maintenance than this lady. Jennifer Lawrence's head has been pumped to the moon with her fame, she's probably looking for a billionaire.

You can get a much better deal down here in the real world. Hotter and not as much of a pain in the ass.

unseenlunknown • 6 points • 6 January, 2016 07:00 PM

Shes just another propaganda tool used by hollywood to convince girls they can all be strong independent women. I just read the piece as saying its ok for girls to be so picky and stuck up even when their physical SMV is no where near what they think it is.

No sympathy for these shit role models let them wallow in fucking misery, i always thought young women should follow Audrey Hepburns example anyway (if they just HAVE to get there guidance on how to act from movies)

topapito • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 01:58 AM

If I may, I'd like to offer another angle on Jennifer. She has become a man. Now, I don't mean that literally, but what it means is, there are very few men that can offer her any value that she doesn't already have. There are many women that have reached those levels and when they do, they are almost certainly out of the range of all but a handful of men.

Basically, they own the position of the alpha male even if they don't want it. JLo's longest relationship was to an alpha male. After that, she's basically paying for hooker men. Jennifer will either find some guy who is much richer than her and an alpha or wind up with poor betas who look hot. She seems to want an alpha, so I don't see her buying love just yet. She'll come around.

This subject is very intriguing as it firmly establishes the need women have to date/marry above their station, as well as how money and status can blur the rules of the game. Making it impossible for women like the Jennifers to ever fit into society's mold.

I agree with the analysis that women will always attempt to climb. The problem arises when they have reached the top rung on their own. There is nothing higher for them to look to. Obviously, she is forced to complain. Out of stock, sorry.

I'm willing to bet that many of the members here have the game to snag Jennifer given the chance. Precisely because what is taught here transcends just money and status. The psychological implications of "game" that we learn on this sub far exceed any level of status achieved by her wealth and status.

So let's go guys. Field report on hooking up with the Jennifers of this world? Hell, snagging Jennifer should be at the top of the list here.

[deleted] • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 01:40 PM

Opposite flair mate, red pill examples are for how we should act, blue pill examples are for examples like this that exemplify reality.

Honestly the whole thing with the media is droll which is why I avoid it. With the media embellishing their SMV, it's pretty much all of them, like most are 7s and 8s just highly photoshopped in every press release photo, even the occasional 9 or 10 doesn't last long, 5-10 years and she's down to an 8 and they start heavily photoshopping them.

[deleted] • 14 points • 6 January, 2016 01:54 PM

I personally don't find Jennifer Lawrence that hot. Shes like a 7 in my eyes.

Physio_Tool • 11 points • 6 January, 2016 02:28 PM

without makeup she's a 5. With makeup she goes up to a 7. I occasionally wank it to hot celebrities and I've never ever felt compelled to wank it to her.

[deleted] • -4 points • 6 January, 2016 02:32 PM

There's this fascinating thing called porn... You should try it out sometimes.

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 02:13 PM

I agree, OP was generous with the 8, I don't see why she's suddenly so up and coming, maybe it's uglier women liking the lack of pressure.

NightwingTRP[S] • 10 points • 6 January, 2016 02:18 PM

I was indeed being generous. I was trying to avoid this degenerating into a discussion of how attractive she is.

Newdist2 • -1 points • 6 January, 2016 04:21 PM

She's 25.

If you don't think she was hot at 19, you're probably a little bit gay.

StoicCrane • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 07:21 PM

Safe to say that she's a solid 6 in spite of media status conditioning. All it does is aggrandize average looking women with superficial sensationalism.

MajorStyles • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 04:54 PM

But what about Hunger Games? She is all powerfuuuuuulllllll!!!

its-iceman • 7 points • 6 January, 2016 05:18 PM

You know how I know you're gay?

MajorStyles • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 02:31 PM

Sheldon from "The Big Bang Theory" is my favorite actor?

jadedintheusa • 5 points • 6 January, 2016 10:33 PM

I love all the guys here dismissing her looks. You can bet if one of them ever even got to the sniffing-buttholes-phase with her that they'd be bragging endlessly about it here.

[deleted] • -1 points • 7 January, 2016 07:05 AM

you're right, we would brag about it.

but i'd also fuck Betty White and brag about it

RedMoonAscendant • -2 points • 7 January, 2016 02:32 AM

I'm down-voting you because I think you're full of shit. I think most men on this forum, if they were to fuck Jlaw, would just keep it to themselves and fuck her a few more times.

And I think most men on this forum don't think she's particularly attractive either. I know I find her only 8/10

when she's full makeup and full photoshop. Without, she's about a 4. No seriously.

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 11:30 PM

I agree with OP.

She is hot, but not like super star hot. I have fucked girls hotter.

What more she is a youthful beauty.

35 year old Jennifer Lawrence is going to be like, one of the top three hottest moms at a PTA meeting level of hotness. Nothing more.

TaylorWolf • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 12:07 AM

It seems like most people think she is either a 10/10 or a 6. Lets be honest she is a solid 8 for sure. She had some sexy ass dance moves in that silver linings movie. She does have that youthful glow maybe even a little baby fat in her cheeks

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 12:24 AM

Yeah I mean I have seen her naked pictures and she looks good, but no better than half the girls I used to look at on Abby Winters dot com or whatever.

I think 8 is an honest accounting. Maybe like a 22/30 per the Patrice O'neal method of girl rating.

cynicalsimon • 2 points • 8 January, 2016 06:44 PM

This is why I don't even give the mildest of attention to attractive women...yea, you must think I'm daft but I'm very serious. Attractive women are not worth your time because they simply are the most successful grown up children. I'm not in the mood to elaborate but if I was on the "mgtow" subreddit, all the other guys would get it

Spilledmychips • 3 points • 6 January, 2016 07:29 PM

Shows as a guy maybe you should be grateful that human connection can quite literally be from anyone.

Also, I've always been convinced from her deep Voice she might be wired to lean to the same sex.

LegElbow • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 09:09 PM

Even if you're a guy on her level, why would you want to deal with her and what she brings? The entitlement would be off the chain!

You would want a woman hotter(which isn't hard to find) and not as big a head.

spuriousacademy • 1 point • 10 January, 2016 11:42 AM

And a woman who doesn't have pics of her asshole on the interwebz

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 06:15 PM

Nice essay - something I thought about recently, as I disussed a short feature about her seen on TV with a friend. I think she looks like the girl next door (have you seen her leaked "fapping" imagery?). I mean she is no Charlize Therone in her twenties, nor Katharine Hepburn or something like that. Heck she comes not even close. It's ridiculous. So fucking Liam Hemsworth is not enough for her? Seems like Miss Lawrence is in a real predicament concerning mating right now.

[deleted] • 4 points • 6 January, 2016 10:58 PM

the fappening killed her status I think...guys with lots of money don't want to be associated with a ghetto girl

like that. she was playing with her tits like they were pancakes.

spuriousacademy • 2 points • 10 January, 2016 11:41 AM

Completely accurate. A guy with higher SMV than her can afford to choose a woman who doesn't have pics of her open asshole all over the web.

She's destined to become pump n dump material for high power men, but no one with high SMV will want to wife her.

RedMoonAscendant • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 02:28 AM

You mis-typed "her tits looked like pancakes."

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 03:21 PM

She wants a classy guy but classy guys with money don't want trash. Hey it rhymes!

redestofthereds • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 08:26 PM

For some reason I thought she was sexy in X-Men: First Class. Maybe she had the right amount of meat on her and her personality as Mystique still had innocence, which is what you want in a girl.

Other than that, she doesn't do anything for me.

TheRealMewt • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 11:10 PM

Sheesh, have a few nudes leaked and all of a sudden a girl thinks she's Queen Shit of Shit Mountain...

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 01:21 AM

Given by some of the music he writes, I'd say he's pretty bluepill and submissive

Whatever the outcome (IDK either way if he's Alpha/Beta), I think this is a flawed process to determine it. Any public person knows the value of thinking as you like, but behaving like others. They just may not know that we refer to it in this sub as Law 38.

Consider:

A politician that says what the blue-pill public wants to hear to get re-elected.

What a celebrity says in an interview where it behooves them to sound as normal as possible.

A pop singer who writes emotional songs so that he can fuck Chicks/Gwyneth Paltrow/Jennifer Lawrence.

It's one of my pet peeves with this sub. Would love to discuss further with anyone.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 06:59 AM

I guess, I feel like some of the people who "made it big" could get away with logically pointing some RP stuff out. All the stats back up everything we say. Women don't settle, whores get divorced more but not male whores, I just don't understand how long the truth can be kept down.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 11:21 PM

Get away with... Logically...

Anyone on this board will tell you that there's literally no upside to talking about it. Why? So you can be right?

T0000009 • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 02:31 AM

This is one of the many reasons why in the old days they always kept females "barefoot and pregnant" slaving over a hot stove so to speak.....

When females entered the workforce to support the war effort in the 40's, and got a small taste of what men had, they wanted **ALL OF IT** for themselves after that. Granted they had no clue what life as a pack mule was, which men had already endured for a Milena and adapted to. So....Along came the nagging, the whining, the complaints, and the feminists burning their bra's and the dominoes continually fell and then **POW!** Here we are decades later and females are at the top of the heap, they can make the massive cash and gain all the social status of what was formerly the Ole' boy's club. Naturally these females didn't cook, or clean, or have any interest in creating a home or nesting anymore, they waned power and prestige, and an army of minions just like grandpa had so long ago when he was the CEO, instead of doing what came naturally.

At this point it has become impossible for females to "marry up" because they are already up higher than most of the men around them.... . . .

When females finally reached the mountains summit, they are completely shocked that so few people are up there with them.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 05:57 AM

Dude I believe if a competent logical male was in a female's body he would be a fucking CEO easily.

[deleted] • -3 points • 7 January, 2016 09:42 AM

You believe that because you're biased in favor of your own gender, due to never having experienced being a female. You see what you want to see--your supposed superiority--instead of fact.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 January, 2016 09:17 PM

Lol well if a male was in a female's body, he would get more support and attention than he ever got. He would be eligible for all kinds of quotas, scholarships, and preferential hiring. Just for being a woman!!

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 January, 2016 03:50 AM

Is it possible that she has a publicist who is telling her what to answer for these questions?

It's not like anyone is going to cross reference her answers, she can say what she wants to manipulate however she wants.

Call my cynical, but If I were a film star who's income were based on my persona and likeability, everything I said would have a rational behind and be in support of this.

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 9 January, 2016 12:30 AM

Caveat: I absolutely **adore** Jennifer Lawrence, mostly for her appearances on talk shows. I find her self-deprecatory humor completely captivating and endearing.

Her tastes in men are not traditional. On her last appearance on Seth Meyers, she discussed her crush on him during her first appearance on SNL. She told of fantasizing about him for most of the week and being ready to ask him out up until a makeup girl told her that he was engaged. Also told of giving her phone number to Larry David (yeah, a total hunk), but he hasn't called yet. After winning her Oscar, Jack Nicholson walks up behind her while she is being interviewed, and says, "Congratulations. You remind me of an old girlfriend." She lights up and retorts, "How about a new girlfriend?" As he walks off, she mouths "**OH MY GOD!!**"

Yes, Jack Nicholson can still slay mad pussy. But Seth Meyers? Larry David? I think that J Law's highest value is humor. Kinda why I like her.

Rogerdodger1985 • 1 point • 9 January, 2016 02:05 AM

We give these people too much respect...what do actors really do besides have a career in pretend anyway?

I have met plenty of actors and the only thing that holds true is how let down you are after meeting them...they live sheltered and shallow lives.

Engineers build things, teachers mould youth...I wouldn't even characterize actors in the same stratosphere as the former (and other) professions.

Even athletes involved in high level athletics I mean that's a meritocracy they earn their place.

Actors get paid to pretend to be people of value...outside of going to see a movie who cares.

Anyway Lawrence is a 6 or 7 of she's lucky. Fame aside PASS.

psycho-logical • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 01:19 AM

Why is status so important to high status women? They are freed from the needs of security giving them much more access to mates they are physically and mentally attracted to.

Like, why is millionaire supermodel Miranda Kerr dating Snapchat's skinny fat douche CEO?! She could absolutely do better, but her hamster won't let her.

ledditor_1337 • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 04:08 AM

The most rage inducing thing this toilet of a human being said was she's practically a virgin again... When everyone can find in two seconds on google this is not the case.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 09:44 AM

That toilet of a human being makes more money than you ever will and probably gets more pussy too.

ledditor_1337 • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 08:28 PM

And this is important how? Also I'll have a legacy something that creature can never have.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 09:21 AM

Or maybe Lawrence would simply prefer to stay single due to the rigors and time-consuming schedules of Hollywood. Jesus, you've gotta make everything out to be an insult to men. She's not being irrational at all. She's simply happy to stay single and said it in a polite way. If a male celebrity said this shit, you'd be singing a different tune.

Uptonogood • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 10:13 AM

You know, coming to this thread, and downvoting every single response. Is nothing more than a huge waste of your time. You're not welcome here.

[deleted] 6 January, 2016 06:20 PM*

[removed]

Werewolf35b • 2 points • 6 January, 2016 08:17 PM

Agreed. She very plain looking and has a funny cheese wedge shaped face. Her eyes are slightly asian'y and that gives her symmetry. But shes meh all around. Interviews aren't helping her either.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 January, 2016 09:46 AM

I find your lack of coherency disturbing.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 January, 2016 09:45 AM

Ha! I'd give you a -100 for importance. You don't matter.

[deleted] • 0 points • 6 January, 2016 06:47 PM

I mean, I get it. I agree with what you are saying. But 12/10 just means you dont have a very good scale. >_>
That shit really gets my goose.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 04:29 AM*

He chooses a book for reading

HAMMURABI • 0 points • 7 January, 2016 09:35 PM

Jennifer Lawrence is just so damn ugly. Even with all the money she cant even use it on proper makeup to hide her terrible genetics. She doesnt even manage to get a proper personal trainer to lose her fat.

I mentioned this to my main plate who replied that JLaw is "pretty" - I told her she aint but she is fat. Plate then complained that JLaw is "perfectly normal, just not as unhealthy skinny as what Hollywood think women should be". Replied that JLaw is fat and she knows it, and if she were were to became as fat as her I would have dumped a long time ago before she reaches that mass.

Uptonogood • -1 points • 6 January, 2016 10:37 PM

You know, I actually feel bad for her. Because even if she finds a guy, she'll never really feel attracted to him in a meaningful way. Even if she insists on a relationship, it will only make her miserable.

It is a person utterly broken by its own warped view of SMV. She thinks she is above everyone. Well, that pedestal can be a pretty lonely place.