

Plausible Deniability - Let her have her story

324 upvotes | 17 March, 2016 | by Archwinger

Back when Japan was cool, sex and relationships were respected. Almost revered. Husbands and wives, who loved each other fiercely, rarely touched in public. That intimacy was something for them alone. Dancing was considered a perverted hobby. Dates were formal, not casual.

People didn't typically go through the dance of "How are you – I'm fine." Asking somebody how they were feeling was a legitimate expression of concern, not small-talk. You would ask that if somebody actually looked unwell. Instead, to make small-talk, you'd ask, "The weather is nice, isn't it?"

Independent of the actual state of the weather, the respondent would reply, "Yes, it is", or indicate that he or she found the weather to be unpleasant. Whether or not someone found the weather to be pleasant was an indication of that person's mood. Nobody had to say, "My day sucks." Instead, they said, "It's a little hot for my taste", and everyone knew that person was having a shitty day. Nobody had to complain or put up with someone else's complaining.

After a date, a man might ask a woman, "Do you like coffee in the morning?" The woman's stance on coffee really didn't matter. If she wanted to spend the night in his apartment, she would indicate that she does like coffee. If she didn't, she would state that she did not prefer coffee. Asking her, "Wanna come up and fuck?" would not be treating sex with the proper reverence. Questions about coffee gave both parties plausible deniability. She was just agreeing to coffee, not sex. Or she was just turning down coffee, rather than painfully rejecting the guy.

We're not so different today, even in the golden age of the slut, where grinding and making out with strangers in clubs is par for the course. Even though women are now sexually liberated and riding random cocks left and right, we still perform a song and dance to distance ourselves from this uncomfortable reality.

You have to be fun. Nobody likes a complainer. So performing small-talk about stupid shit instead of real-talk about what's going on in your life turns out to be a pretty important aspect of your game. Unlike the Japanese, we can do a little better than talking about the weather in passing, but no woman wants to have a real conversation with you. They just want to see a hot guy, exchange social graces, get a good feel for yours, and if you demonstrate social aptitude, fuck you. Only creepy beta losers in fedoras think that talking about "real, deep" stuff is "interesting" to girls. If you complain about shit or try to have a real conversation, you're done. The skill they're looking for is your ability to have a fake conversation, but drop in very small tidbits of real shit about yourself to keep them hooked and searching for more.

Never complain. Don't have a real conversation. Don't look for connections. Keep her guessing about your real self, your real thoughts, and your real feelings, but drop in the occasional juicy morsel to excite her. That's the fun of the game – for you and for her. Be fun.

One of the other big mistakes you can make is causing a girl to feel like a slut. You know that you want to take her home and have casual sex with her. She wants to go with you and have casual sex. You know she's a slut. She knows she's a slut. But if the two of you agree to have casual sex, she is now openly admitting to being a slut. So instead, we say things like "Netflix and chill."

Netflix and chill is really the perfect expression for casual sex. It gives girls all of the resources they need for deniability. She's not coming over for casual sex. She's coming over to watch movies, and hey, if the two of you hit it off and there's chemistry there, then sex "just happened". You're the one who came on to her, so it was your idea – she just came over to watch movies. But she felt the spark and went with it since you seemed so nice – it "just happened".

Netflix and chill also gives girls an out. If your game sucks and you make her feel awkward, she can exercise her deniability: “What are you doing? You got the wrong idea. I just came over to watch Netflix.” That way, she doesn’t have to reject you, just explain that the two of you had a misunderstanding. Her expression of that misunderstanding is code for “Your game sucks and you blew your chance”, but she doesn’t have to be confrontational about it. This also spares the guy’s feelings. She’s not outright rejecting him, just rejecting the idea that she came over for casual sex.

Women need to feel comfortable to fuck you. You can’t just be an attractive asshole and expect women to jump on your dick. Actually, you can. It happens sometimes, but you’ll be far more successful if you also master the ability to make women comfortable about fucking you. And one of the ways women feel comfortable, for some stupid reason, is running their mouth about nothing. The longer they talk to you about nothing, and the emptier the conversation is, the more they feel like they’ve known you forever and what a strong connection you have.

The very second that shit gets real, women have an immediate spike of discomfort. If you start talking about real stuff instead of fun stuff, things get awkward. If you start acting like you care about her, same deal. If you start being overt about sex and the fact that she’s a slut, even just a little bit, you guessed it – awkward.

This is also where the infamous PUA concept of “last minute resistance” comes into play. A woman can be way into you, having fun, kissing, touching, flirting, agreeing to come back to your apartment and help you feed your fish fully knowing she’s about to get fucked ... then there’s this moment when the pants start coming off and shit gets real for her. Once it’s real, it’s awkward and uncomfortable.

But if you played your game right, then by that point, she should already be very comfortable about the fact that: 1) You’re awesome and well worth fucking; 2) If she shits around you won’t care and will probably bail like it’s no big deal; 3) You’re discreet; and 4) You don’t judge her.

Now a lot of people might think that #2 above is some kind of evil manipulation, but that’s actually part of being fun, discreet, and comfortable. If you get all butt-hurt and angry when she starts throwing up some anti-slut, last-minute attempts to get out of sex, that makes shit real. That makes shit awkward and uncomfortable. But if you shrug it off like it’s nothing, then she begins to think that maybe it’s not a big deal that she’s about to fuck you, like it's not all that real -- she can get comfortable again.

We’re playing a game of plausible deniability. It doesn’t matter who you are, what you’re like, what you think, or what you feel. You’re weaving an experience for her – like a dream that just sweeps her away. Fun, comfortable, discreet, non-judgmental. An almost transient experience where “it just happens”, like magic.

If you haven't checked out The Red Pill's off-Reddit platform yet, you can find this and loads of other content there. Here's a [link](#).

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[_red_carpet](#) • 51 points • 17 March, 2016 04:37 PM

I agree. I think this is another way of saying that women don't want to be responsible for anything, so you need to give them a crutch their hamster can lean on- she's not being calculative when she cheats, it just happens. She's not *intending* to be a slut, it just happened. And while she pretty much has an easy out pretty much at any point until your dick is inside of her, the plausible deniability makes it comfortable for her to ignore and just continue.

[Archwinger\[S\]](#) • 67 points • 17 March, 2016 04:42 PM

It's practically an illness. Women can't decide where to go out to eat, because they don't want it to be their responsibility if they don't like their salad. So they definitely can't handle being responsible for having sex.

Being a woman is an illness.

[_red_carpet](#) • 8 points • 17 March, 2016 04:56 PM

It's almost heisenberg uncertainty principle. If they are sure of the guy they want, they are all of a sudden unsure about everything else.

But if there's no suitable guy in the picture or unsure of him as a partner they turn into control freaks and suddenly know everything.

[Archwinger\[S\]](#) • 37 points • 17 March, 2016 05:07 PM

The colloquial term for that is shit-testing.

If you suck, she's going to trample all over you about every minor detail, right down to how you slice the carrots in her salad and how you wash the dishes when you're done feeding her, and why after cooking her dinner, washing the dishes, and giving her a back-rub, she's still mad at you about the carrot and dishes incidents so you're sleeping on the couch.

If you're awesome, she'll cook you dinner while you have a beer in front of the TV, clean up, and suck your dick just to make sure you aren't thinking that she's not up to par.

[apachemd](#) • -4 points • 17 March, 2016 10:19 PM

That's not what the HUP represents

[masnera](#) • 8 points • 18 March, 2016 03:38 AM

Being a Man and bitching like a woman...is a horrible disease.

[DisgruntledWolverine](#) • -7 points • 17 March, 2016 08:52 PM **[recovered]**

so much this!!!! Being a woman is an illness... that is pure magic right there.

[\[deleted\]](#) • 19 points • 17 March, 2016 08:21 PM

"call a circle a circle a square a square but always tell a whore she is a lady" -patrick rothfuss, kvothe saga

[TRPShill](#) • 34 points • 17 March, 2016 04:43 PM **[recovered]**

I hate the idiots that talk about how alpha it is to be super direct. No. It's aspie, not alpha. We both know what

I'm saying when I ask her if she wants to come up and taste the well water, which is soooo different from the city tap water.

Archwinger[S] • 28 points • 17 March, 2016 04:47 PM

There's a grain of truth in that if you are super-duper-unrealistically attractive, you can be direct, a major asshole, a dork and a half, etc., -- and women will still fuck you. But since that's not a realistically attainable standard, a man is way better off learning some basic social skills.

If you can't small-talk and you can't flirt, you're competing with an arm behind your back.

cosine88 • 0 points • 17 March, 2016 07:29 PM

But you can directly flirt, and hold that I-want-to-fuck-you gaze.

"Want to netflix and chill? ...Or we could skip that part."

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 March, 2016 09:14 PM

This approach easily breaks into the pathetic cliché of romantic spanish Casanova when you don't need to, for this example anyway.

max_peenor • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 12:14 AM

The issue is effort. It feeding her hamster is effort, you are entering her frame. If it is as much work as being direct, then it is just fine.

You are the prize. Not them.

TRPShill • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 12:36 AM

I partially agree. sometimes yolo sometimes nuance. yall know this I believe in you

masnera • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 03:47 AM

You are the prize. Not them.

always reading this shit right here, but i know very well that as easy as it is to say this, its only 1 percent of the effort...99 percent is acting on it...sweat, blood, discipline and perseverance...are just some of the criteria to become the Prize.

TheStumblingWolf • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 08:25 PM

In my very limited experience I think it depends on the girl. I have a friend who's not afraid of coming right out and saying she's horny if that's the case.

TheRedStoic • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 10:28 AM

Meh. An alpha trait is to be direct in your intentions while being socially capable (using and understanding power talk).

I agree, though there's a vast difference between intention and expression. That's why aspies have a problem. Expression fails miserably.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 06:43 PM

Like Arch said, if she finds you attractive you can say almost anything. "Show me your tits" has worked for me. So has "We should fuck" and I'm not even great looking, not tall, but girls find me attractive often.

If you're an aspie geek or fat neckbeard in a fedora, chances are is not going to work.

TRPShill • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 07:13 PM

exactly, but this only works when her buying temperature is there. judgement is key

AusCro • 8 points • 18 March, 2016 01:35 AM

Man this is one of the first really good posts i've seen in a while. #MakeTRPgreatAgain

[deleted] • 9 points • 18 March, 2016 02:46 AM

Great post.

One of the best tricks I learned from TRP was to shut the fuck up. Let her do the talking. Let her fill in the silences. It's amazing how women will say how great of a conversationalist you are when you let them blather.

Employ this and good kino, and you will score first night lays if your logistics are set up right.

I also cosign the LTR strategy of shutting the fuck up. I talk to my male friends about women, life, career obstacles, etc. My chick doesn't need to be weighed down with that stuff. She just needs to see me solving problems and making it look easy.

masnera • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 04:41 AM

first night lays

TRP has given me more appropriate goals besides that.

EntitledShitHead • 26 points • 17 March, 2016 05:17 PM

A lot of good lessons in this post. Before TRP, I never really had trouble getting dates or getting girls checking me out. They'd go out with me and I'd wonder why they didn't want to go out again. On dates, I would talk about our families, long term goals, hobbies, the 31 questions from the NYT, and serious shit like that. First thing I found out it that girls really don't have hobbies or passions or interests. When I'd ask them that, they'd feel awkward and I could tell I fucked up but I didn't know why. Later I realized that I was making them feel deficient.

One of the best TRP advices I have gotten is: everyone wants to be friends with the guy they can have a beer with and not the guy the necessarily wins the argument. When talking to women this is especially true. telling exaggerated stories and talking about stupid funny shit really works. If you tell a girl that you're voting for Trump because he called Rosie O'Donald a fat pig, you'll get your dick sucked on the spot. Even with compliments, I got this from TRP and its works, I'll tell a girl that she can be a model, and then she'll say Awwwww thx and I retort, yeah for a Kmart magazine you could do granny panties. I think it works because it diffuses the seriousness and women just want to have fun and a good time and have vapid conversations.

Weird_Questions92 • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 06:49 PM

But my question is this: as enlightened makes, we enjoy having deep, meaningful conversations from time to time. I'm aware that in the initial meet n greet (I.e: first date) you wanna be that fun guy who reveals only bits and pieces but not much else. At which point can you bust out a little depth to your conversation? I'm not talking about the soulless Cc riders, I'm referring to women that one would want to plate/see regularly

Archwinger[S] • 33 points • 17 March, 2016 07:06 PM

If you want intelligent conversation, make guy friends. Women are for fucking. You don't talk about real stuff with any woman. Not even your wife. When the woman you love with all your heart, that you married, asks you how work was, you respond "fine". You shut your mouth. You don't complain. Because that will just worry her, and if she's worried, she feels sad, and you made her feel sad. Ergo, you

suck.

If you want friends, make them. But don't be friends with women you want to fuck.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 11:20 PM

This is so contrary to my life experience or my desires. But to each his own.

sp0radic • 11 points • 18 March, 2016 03:01 AM

Your desires are irrelevant to reality.

[deleted] • 0 points • 18 March, 2016 09:29 AM

I live in the real world. Intimacy also exists.

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 10:58 PM*

deleted

ShounenEgo • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 07:26 AM

If she starts venting for her real life issues and you just sit there listening while emphasizing how cruel everyone must be don't be surprised when she drops the "you're a good guy and a friend" bomb.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 11:31 PM

There's obviously truth to what you say. I've been through that experience many times, packed in with an incredibly varied bag of many other experiences.

I'm on TRP for self improvement, to strengthen my positive masculine power, and to get a counterbalancing exposure to a different paradigm. Humans are so fucking complex it is simply awesome. I find great relief in some of what I read here, about game, and about some women's psychological strategies. But I'm not here to be a serial pickup artist. I've already done that, successfully but messily. And I find this beautiful challenge: the theory here is sometimes nuanced and comes with great experiential truth value. But on the other hand there is some serious dogmatism here that is connected to a conflict-minded attitude that I don't, at this very moment, have.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 11:41 PM

But this:

You shut your mouth. You don't complain. Because that will just worry her, and if she's worried, she feels sad, and you made her feel sad. Ergo, you suck.

I absolutely know that I can get better than that. There are some smart, capable, independent glowing women out there. I want a partnership with a woman with a good body and a good brain. Your mileage may vary.

All that aside, I still basically dig what was I the original post... allowing for the comfortable vagueness, the plausible deniability. The cross cultural story about Japanese asking a woman if she wants coffee in the morning. That's interesting, useful, and cool.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 09:11 PM

Truth. You are the custodian of her feelz, not trying to be her soul mate using words.

alvlear • -4 points • 17 March, 2016 07:24 PM

You like talking to vapid bitches? There are a lot of those going around. To each his own.

Some of us love arguments. It's how we know who it is we are going to like. Most people cannot stand arguments. They make them feel so uncomfortable. They back down, always. Softies. That's okay. The world needs more followers than leaders.

I'm a weirdo. I will trade sex for deep conversations any day. I'm surrounded by idiots all day, my forays into TRP are cathartic.

Fred_Flintstone • 15 points • 17 March, 2016 11:42 PM

I dont mean any disrespect, and normally I would say nothing, but since this is a free speech forum I will say:

You are talking like a bit of an 'edgelord' with something to prove. If this is not what you intended to communicate then you might want to be aware of it and consider changing what you write and think like.

Being argumentative is often a net-negative to social interactions. It will lower the reputation of yourself and your friends. Its petty and 'winning' an argument never really achieves anything except giving yourself a good feeling. And sure, its a good feeling - I used to love arguing and winning. But its short-termist. After time you learn to chill out and not argue about anything (except very important things, where persuasion should be used instead). People start being more comfortable and opening up more. And you get less stressed. And you start focusing on things that actually matter, like improving yourself.

I'm surrounded by idiots all day

This isn't something to be proud of, and never something to bring up unprompted. Its petty arrogance

I will trade sex for deep conversations any day

Women aren't really the right place to get deep conversations. Though it was nice living that fantasy when I was younger. If you have too many deep conversations (and sometimes 'one' might be too many) then she will stop calling you back.

And a date is the last place you should be having deep conversations or arguments. A date is about building positive energy, letting off steam (rather than creating it), and having nice sex at the end. You will quickly get a reputation as a creep if you dont do this

Again, I mean no disrespect. And if I have spoken as if I am older than you its because you sound a lot like myself at age 18ish. And people tend to be argumentative at that age then mellow out a bit and get more easy going

ShounenEgo • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 07:28 AM

Any resources of practicing/beginning persuasion you can share?

Fred_Flintstone • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 01:03 PM

This is the #1 book that everyone recommends: influence and the art of persuasion. IDK if the download works, but there will be a pdf online somewhere.

<https://pdfbooksfreedownload.wordpress.com/2014/12/03/download-influence-the-psychology-of-persuasion-pdf-ebook/>

I actually own a few copies, all second hand, as I always end up giving them or lending them to

people. Also How To Get Rich by Felix Dennis I have a few copies just to give people

alvlear • 0 points • 18 March, 2016 03:54 AM

Oh you bet I got something to prove. People who like to debate (what others consider to be an argument) quickly realize that it alienates almost everyone. The key word here is "almost." The ones who stay are those who realize what a debate is: a process for increasing one's knowledge, and going through the discomfort of changing one's worldview. These people will bond.

My last argument was 4 months ago with a consultant. Too bad he had to leave the city.

"Mellow and easy going" is a facade portrayed to the masses. I'm very nice to be around, but that is when I am around. I'm mostly quiet at work, unless someone displays destructive incompetence. I'm asocial. I can't bond with most people. I only like the tough, not the ones who give warm hugs to everyone. I had a mother once, and that was enough.

Deep conversations are a place for men. Women aren't really a place for any conversation. This 18 year old knows that. What do you think I am doing posting on TRP? When I can find that rare breed of tough person on here, me and him can get into it.

I have a few friends I debate with. It was hard finding this tribe, but I had to do it. If you haven't already, I suggest you find your tribe. Get back to having a good feeling when you learn something through debate. This is how civilization moves forward.

My arrogant ass will say this again, I am surrounded by idiots all day. Then I log on to TRP.

DownInBlue • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 01:34 PM

How good are you at debating ?

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 10:40 AM

You sound a bit aspie, but i agree with you - i like intelligent convos with a hot woman as well. Sometimes they get turned on by it, if you talk with enough passion about them.

I'm sapiosexual, and i decided that i will not settle for anything less than a 130 IQ for a LTR. And HB8+ ofc.

Until then, i have to test waters with all kind of vapid girls, they're good for target practice.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 March, 2016 06:28 PM

I share his disease. It actually works on a lot of women for awhile. The stubborn ones love to be taken down a peg. You won't have any stable LTR's with this, but "there's the door" is a potent challenge to many women who will stay for no other reason than because you dismissed them. I'm no PUA and I do have some aspie traits but for the right type of woman my forceful nature (which they perceive as masculine) is attractive. This is how I left a woman on the side of the road at night in a shitty part of Miami and had my dick in her mouth 48 hours later after she came to my apartment to tell me what a piece of shit I was and how much she hated me. I can't do vapid women. They don't interest me. I have zero knowledge of pop culture and no interest in fixing that. Women who get my references are the kind of women who fuck guys that use said references. Well-read, well-traveled and well-educated share the same traits and fall for the same bullshit dumb chicks do without making me want to drive a railspike betwixt my eyes while listening to them talk about nothing. But like he said, to each their own.

EntitledShitHead • -1 points • 17 March, 2016 08:18 PM

Some of us love arguments. It's how we know who it is we are going to like. Most people cannot stand arguments. They make them feel so uncomfortable. They back down, always. Softies. That's okay. The world needs more followers than leaders.

What are you talking about? I don't understand what you're saying.

alvlear • 0 points • 17 March, 2016 09:09 PM

You wrote:

everyone wants to be friends with the guy they can have a beer with and not the guy the necessarily wins the argument.

By saying "everyone," you actually mean "most people." I agree, what you are saying is true. However, there are those who do not like the "simply have a beer" people. There are those who like to argue. For the sake of simplicity, let us call these people the arguers.

Arguers have an innate drive to share ideas. But they won't accept just any idea. Ideas are tested for validity through debate. "Most people" refer to debates as arguments because debates can sometimes seem forceful, and unfriendly. A young arguer will start several arguments, and then be alienated by "most people." He soon learns to keep quiet, but his desire to share ideas has not gone away. He just keeps some distance from "most people." The alienation goes both ways.

As he matures, he develops tact by putting out a few feelers to see who bites. For example, he disagrees with what someone says, and watches to see if the person fights back. Should the verbal fighting go back and forth through a couple of ideas, with no discernible discomfort from the other person's demeanor, the arguer will be thrilled for months after the conversation. He may have found someone he might like. With "most people" he simply says hello and compliments their tie.

It just so happens that most leaders are arguers. Walk into a boardroom discussion with money on the table and look at who doesn't back down. They are either the boss, or they are destined to be one. Guaranteed.

As an addendum, since this is TRP, it just so happens that confrontation increases your testosterone.

We have a lot of arguers on TRP. I can't help but keep coming back.

EntitledShitHead • 5 points • 18 March, 2016 02:57 AM

If you're at a board meeting, you have to be persistent and tough, but you also have to be likeable. Steve Jobs was successful because he always had big plans and knew how to inspire people. Although he was an asshole, people thought he was charismatic. It's that kind of personality that wins you deals and get you laid.

What I am getting it is that when you argue, don't get red in the face and indignant. Crack a few honest jokes, but be persistent and honest (selectively honest).

RPSnuggles • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 05:22 AM

You are totes an aspy. Get some meds.

[deleted] • 2 points • 19 March, 2016 06:33 PM

There are no medications for Asperger's.

disposable_pants • 18 points • 17 March, 2016 06:02 PM

A textbook example of plausible deniability is the lyrics to the song "Baby It's Cold Outside." It's obvious that the woman in the song wants to stay the night, but unless she has a reasonable excuse to *not* go home (e.g. it's a horrible storm out, she could catch a cold in the awful weather) she'll go.

huge_gap • 10 points • 17 March, 2016 05:38 PM

Awesome post. Really drove home aspects regarding plausible deniability. Will be more aperceptive about keeping things light and throwing in scraps of personal info here or there.

It's hilarious because current girl I'm seeing always asks me what I'm thinking. Probably about 15 times a day. What she doesn't realize is that every time I deign to tell her what I'm actually thinking it deflates any mystery tingles she has for me. While she is seeking more knowledge and therefore control of me, she's simultaneously mitigating her own desire.

Girls have no idea why they do things or what the consequences of their actions usually entail. They just have "feelz." Sometimes you have to help them help themselves.

LongLiveRedPill • 4 points • 17 March, 2016 06:12 PM

I get the "what are you thinking" question alot as well. Its frustrating how uncomfortable some girls get with normal silence. How do you respond to this? I usually agree/amplify which works great, but sometimes theyll keep prying for answers and the A/A gets tiresome.

huge_gap • 8 points • 17 March, 2016 06:43 PM

I try to switch it up between three things.

1. Agree and amplify: "I'm thinking about the starving kids in Africa."
2. Compliments: "that you're sexy."
3. Comfort: some thing I like about our relationship/dynamic.

I usually go with 1 or 2 and use 3 sparingly because I want to LTR this girl.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

huge_gap • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 02:29 PM

Got to have a shit-eating grin when you pull that one.

Fred_Flintstone • 6 points • 17 March, 2016 11:29 PM

This is one of the best posts I've ever read here Archwinger. It was was very dense and concise and broad yet detailed. Its a rare occurrence that I learn anything new on TRP these days, but there was a lot in this.

And one of the ways women feel comfortable, for some stupid reason, is running their mouth about nothing. The longer they talk to you about nothing, and the emptier the conversation is, the more they feel like they've known you forever and what a strong connection you have.

Yeah I've never thought about this but it is certainly true. I don't know why though. I cant think of the evolutionary reasons for this. Other than it being a test for mate fitness, similar to dancing. I'm going to have to give it some thought

This is also where the infamous PUA concept of “last minute resistance” comes into play. A woman can be way into you, having fun, kissing, touching, flirting, agreeing to come back to your apartment and help you feed your fish fully knowing she’s about to get fucked ... then there’s this moment when the pants start coming off and shit gets real for her. Once it’s real, it’s awkward and uncomfortable.

I used to follow the PUA tactic of 'going cold' and not touching her if she gave LMR, and getting ready for bed instead or just leaving. But what I do these days is much better as it keeps flow, and its hotter, and much smoother. I just create a false reality. I keep saying 'we aren't really having sex', 'this doesn't really count', and playing the role of a catholic virgin or some other role which means sex is forbidden. Married guy is another role. Act it out really well, but leave her unsure if you really are or not.

TRP never talks about lying to girls for some reason. We are too rational and truth orientated here, perhaps. They love lies and it gets them wet. Maybe its similar to how they enjoy erotic literature rather than video porn. But I think this ties in with your main post. Just keep giving her transactions of energy, attention, mindgames to simultaneously build arousal and comfort. Its funny as you would think that this would not build attraction nor comfort. Very counterintuitive.

Damn I really think this can be a groundbreaking concept. When I think about the times I've had sex with a girl within an hour of knowing her (mostly festivals and clubs), on all occasions I was talking total bullshit. Constantly flowing and vibing and reframing, but most of all, telling gigantic lies to her face over and over. I didn't even escalate touching or any of the traditional PUA methods. Might be to do with dark triad

AderonRaven • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 01:47 AM

Yeah I've never thought about this but it is certainly true. I don't know why though. I cant think of the evolutionary reasons for this. Other than it being a test for mate fitness, similar to dancing. I'm going to have to give it some thought

Well, I wouldn't try to explain every behavior with evolutionary psychology. Of course, in the end everything in psychology is about it but sometimes it's like trying to explain chemistry with physics: with enough data and computing power it can be done OR you can just use known chemistry reactions to solve your problem without calculating speed of electrons and it's influence to other molecules and so on.

My point is, avoiding deep conversations is about feel comfortable with other person, which is much higher level of psychology than evolutionary "survive, fight your position in herd, find the individual with the best genes, reproduce" (although you can make chain long enough to understand why evolution created desire of feel comfortable with somebody). Anyway, small talk is safe, while heavy shit brings potential "social danger", especially in front of just-known person. From the woman perspective: - "what if he doesn't share my opinion?" - "what if he asks about something beyond my knowledge and thinks that I'm stupid?" - "what if he tells others and decrease my position?" - "I don't agree with his opinion" - "He talks about his personal issues which makes me feel responsible to care about it" - et cetera, et cetera...

She doesn't want to feel like being judged. She wants to feel comfort and safe, which would mean that you are ok about her just for her being there. "Hey, he likes my company without knowing anything about my past, my interests (most probably: lack of it), hobby (most probably: no hobby) or moral-topics opinion, so he enjoys my personality and I can speak freely without risk of being judged or look like stupid and no-educated person".

People like spending their time with these that accept them for being themselves. They don't want to be careful for what they say, they don't want to feel like being judged. Of course, you can make one like you even when you put all heavy shit out - I guess it's called friendship then. You may get interesting conversation with just met girl while she will still feel comfortable with you but small talking just

DRAMATICLY reduced probability that she will feel awkward. It's true especially with women as they rarely have well-formed opinions, political/current situations knowledge, hobbies or true passion.

If you imagine women that do have, for example, strong ideology, it will be also hard person to sympathise with. Feminists like to say: "men are just scared of intelligent women" while the true is that "strong" woman will often feel superior over man she has conversation with but still fall under hypergamy law - in result, she won't be attracted and her hamster will tell her "they just run away because you are too smart and they can't fool you".

(Sorry for my english, it's not my first language so with complicated sentences I use it can be some mistakes. Asks if something is unclear.)

Vigilo_Infinite • 8 points • 17 March, 2016 06:54 PM

Social skills come heavily into play when dealing with women. Of course, they also fold over into a normal social existence.

You are not there to take care of anyone's emotional needs. That's a boyfriend's/mothers' job. Don't be overly concerned.

No one is there to take care of *your* emotional needs. Talking about how shit you're day has been is needy.

If someone wants to spend time with you, they will - they won't give excuses like they aren't "feeling well" or are "tired."

Create opportunities for people to spend time with you. If they like you, they will.

Accept them for who they are. Maybe they're a weekend friend. Maybe they're someone who's bored this evening.

You're in a social setting to have fun. So are they. Avoid negativity and create a good atmosphere.

Remain in your own reality. You should be amused or understanding that someone is annoyed or having a bad day but you say nothing more than "that sucks". You know why? Because we're monkeys on a chunk of rock spinning through space and this is the time for *you* to unwind and have fun.

Care less: the appropriate amount. Know what you can't fix/isn't your responsibility to try and fix. If in doubt your go to response is "that sucks."

Recognise you bring something to the evening. Dress well, be chill, pretend you have answers with a silent smirk.

Enough from me, it's beer time.

J_AsapGem • 3 points • 17 March, 2016 08:10 PM

Netflix and chill is really the perfect expression for casual sex. It gives girls all of the resources they need for deniability. She's not coming over for casual sex. She's coming over to watch movies, and hey, if the two of you hit it off and there's chemistry there, then sex "just happened". You're the one who came on to her, so it was your idea - she just came over to watch movies. But she felt the spark and went with it since you seemed so nice - it "just happened".

lol how accurate this is was quite an amusement lmao

DoubleTapp • 14 points • 17 March, 2016 05:21 PM

Ok, I don't know what silly little girls you guys are trying to fuck here that you have to "cloak and dagger" your fucking conversations to this extent. A regular verbal musashi you are I'm sure.

I sleep with women who want to sleep with me. It's never a favor, it's never a chore, and it's never something I've "earned". I've taken chicks home by closing with the line, "Hey, we know that you are coming back to my place tonight, but I don't really see the necessity in staying 2 more hours and waiting for last call for that to occur. It's 11 pm and I like to not spend my weekend recovering from a single night. I'll get us an uber."

Maybe plausible deniability needs to exist for women under a certain age, but fuck man, I absolutely force and require direct communication and have never tolerated any single amount of passive aggressive behavior. If she continues to exhibit any of that behavior past the point where I made it clear, then she doesn't get to spend her time with me. It's certainly not an argument of if I am "better" or what not it's simply the fact that I don't want those characteristics and behaviors in anyone I invest my time and effort in.

As far as women not having the ability to have "real conversations" Man I don't know what kind of vapid bitches you are putting up with. Maybe your SMV is so shit that that's all you get to play with, who knows.

I pity girls who only have a pretty face and 3 warm holes. I usually tell this to women the first time I meet them if we are seeing each other on a "date". I am big on expectations and it only serves in my best interest and efficiency to weed out those that just aren't going to be worth my time.

Archwinger[S] • 23 points • 17 March, 2016 05:27 PM

I would counter that if you are meeting and fucking women that respond positively to direct requests for sex, you're shitting around with inferior women.

Or you're attractive enough that you can get away with it -- it's definitely possible.

Most men approaching most women will blow the deal if they overtly suggest sex. You're practically calling the girl a slut to her face.

DoubleTapp • 13 points • 17 March, 2016 07:34 PM

Meh, I've been in the game for a minute or so and I've actually stumbled onto a personal preference. I'm actually ecstatic now when a girl doesn't fuck me on the first date. When I wanted to fuck, I've been batting real close to 1.000 on first dates prior to this revelation. This, in a sense, drove me to higher quality women I believe.

Now when I go out with high SMV women it's not that the attraction isn't there, they just so happen to have an ounce of self control and don't let things just "happen" to them. Some of my best plates waited until the 3rd or 4th time we went out together for either drinks or an event to sleep with me. This also happens because they had more to offer than just 3 pretty holes for me to stick my dick in.

I don't know if the majority of this sub is just stuck in the anger phase, or at least those guys seem to be the most frequent posters, or if the average age of TRP is 18, or maybe I'm just that good, who knows, but we seem to be playing a different game here. There are quality people, men and women, out there who can add positively to your life. YOU just have to be good enough for them to want to be in your life.

ECTD • 3 points • 17 March, 2016 08:14 PM

You're the only level-headed TRP-er here at the moment.

DoubleTapp • 2 points • 17 March, 2016 11:48 PM

Yeah, I feel that. I have my reasons why I think that, but I won't make them public right now; no reason to.

masnera • 8 points • 18 March, 2016 04:38 AM

You should post about your strategy and contribute, that would be helpful...instead of patting your own shoulder with your display of confidence and "coolness", which most reader here aspire of acquiring which make them read here in the first place. There's always a reason to have to.

DoubleTapp • 6 points • 18 March, 2016 07:10 PM

Sure, I'm confident that everyone on this sub could be well served with a single serve, 1 time document that explains everything about embodying TRP principles and becoming a man other men want to become. If it was that fucking easy, someone besides me, would have written it long ago.

There isn't a "strategy" here to employ; it's just literally who I am. It's part of the reason I reference "playing another game entirely" in my post above. Most of the guys here discovered TRP or the manosphere due to some negative or traumatic relationship that "drove" them here in search of answers.

In my case, I've been following loosely for years, if merely for nothing more than the interesting fact of seeing words and theories put together that describe how I mostly have lived my life ... well, for a long time.

This is gonna sound kinda like a dick, but TRP likes metaphors so here goes.

Most of the TRP community (In my opinion) is Billy Beta at the beginning of their "Red Pill Journey" to become Chad Thundercock.

Now what happens when a dude, who is already Chad, discovers TRP and takes an amused interest in it. Now think what that dude looks and acts like if he made this "TRP" discovery *years ago* and used it to refine himself to the point he is at now. Fuck me, I might be Chad's big brother.

This isn't me trying to brag, I don't care to or need any validation in the form of internet points, but is merely me trying to show you why "strategy" isn't really the right word for it. I know my principles, work very hard for my goals, and generally create the life I want to exist in. That's it.

masnera • 1 point • 20 March, 2016 12:48 AM

I have known several Chads...They don't have time to construct half the paragraph you've written, ...hell, they even don't even time to sit in front of a PC. And personally i don't aspire to become Chad, i aspire to be ME...but without the society bullshit influence and slave programming. And for the record...It's cool to be you. Peace.

[deleted] • 1 points • 17 March, 2016 05:41 PM

[permanently deleted]

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 17 March, 2016 05:46 PM

The kind of sluts who still fuck you after you all but call them a slut are the bottom of the barrel.

ChashuProsciutto • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 08:18 PM

True, and also true to the post above.

occupythekitchen • 5 points • 17 March, 2016 06:08 PM

This speaks to me I'm an idea guy and have burned up a lot of opportunities wanting to talk about ideas not empty things.

haxurmind • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 04:46 AM

This speaks to me I'm an idea guy and have burned up a lot of opportunities wanting to talk about ideas not empty things.

Find somewhere to just jot/scribble/write them down. It frees up the mind as your not concerned with remembering the great idea, or distracted from building further thoughts on it while concentrating on not forgetting the idea.

LuckyLuke23 • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 12:28 AM

great post man.

when it comes down it, she just wants to know that you are cool with that's going on, you know how to lead and you know how to NOT invoke the "wrong" emotional responses.

LMR is her way of a " last minute shit test" this is to see if you are real " worth it" and her social status and reputation won't be tarnished once you have sex.

because everyone else looking in on what you are doing, is a big deal to her.

EviledDie13 • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 10:24 AM

Well fuck. I wish I read this article last week. I feel like this is my missing link. Thanks man.

phibetared • 4 points • 17 March, 2016 08:14 PM

I hurt one of my current girls to her core by calling he "my hot little slut" while we were having sex.

The reason she was so hurt is that she knows she has done some very slutty things (gang bangs, sex in many public places, etc). She's done a lot of "exploring", you could say.

So I was saying it to make her feel "hot", but wow it had a terrible effect. Her moaning stopped and she managed to spit out "I am not a slut!" (mind you, this is about 2 minutes after I had shoved my finger up her ass).

Thankfully a few kisses later things were back to normal and we proceeded.

So definitely avoid making her think she's a slut, in any way, shape, or form.

reigorius • 3 points • 18 March, 2016 09:22 AM

Something only hurts when we already believe it ourselves. Usually girls dig it.

reigorius • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 09:22 AM

Something only hurts when we already believe it ourselves. Usually girls dig it.

lanky32 • 2 points • 17 March, 2016 04:25 PM

Awesome post, delves deep into female psychology.

horizoneast • 2 points • 17 March, 2016 05:21 PM

this is like one of the most important things in picking up women, i lost so many chances because i did not know this concept existed, everyone should know this

Archwinger[S] • 15 points • 17 March, 2016 05:23 PM

Men communicate overtly. Women communicate covertly.

So when you ask a woman, covertly, if she wants to come upstairs to see your collection of canned goods for your neighborhood food drive, she hears, "I want to have sex with you."

If you ask a woman, overtly, to have sex with you, she can only communicate covertly. So instead of hearing what you asked, she tries to hear a hidden message: "I think you're a super-slut, and that you're such a failure that you don't have a choice when it comes to men and have to fuck a socially clueless loser like me!"

J_AsapGem • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 07:34 PM*

brilliant article the way it's laid out makes it mind blowing, the psychology of females very interesting, the way i see it though, it's evolution, the tides have changed in a new direction so we have two options. Accept what has happened and go with the flow or get left behind, it's kinda like the 5 stages of TRP, we have to come to acceptance and realize this is how things are now, i see a lot of guys still "hoping" to find an "old fashion" girl it's ridiculous not going to happen, My life isn't at it's prime yet but since accepting things for the way they are it's going much better.

drqxx • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 12:19 AM

>Women need to feel comfortable to fuck you.

Preach brother preach. No seriously this was so hard for me to grasp in my late teens but once I figured this out it was raining panties. Also I had no idea about your off line platform. Thanks everyone in the Man O Sphere.

verdantsound • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 01:47 AM

How do you personally get her to feel comfortable?

drqxx • 2 points • 18 March, 2016 02:37 AM

Its weird I just try and get them to laugh smile or go Awwwww. Then I spin off that and magnify it until shes ready to be kissed.

iron_n_plaid • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 01:08 AM

You can just be an attractive asshole and girls will jump on your dick. Especially if you get to be really attractive. Happens. All. The. Time.

In fact, if you're really attractive, and I believe 90% of men can get there with effort, if you don't move fast as in really fast she'll start to wonder what's wrong with you. Comfort/conversation not necessary. Pleasantries not necessary. He'll names and words aren't even necessary.

Look good. Lift. Move faster. Faster. Yes, even faster.

vaelamin • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 02:56 AM

Interesting stuff Archwinger. 1 Question though, if a girl asks me a question about a tv show she likes say GoT(bitches love that show) should i just be like never seen it?

Archwinger[S] • 3 points • 18 March, 2016 03:56 AM

Don't over-think things. Life isn't a video game. You're not trying to get the right answer to every question. Just go with the flow of the conversation. If you like Game of Thrones, that's fine. Talking about TV is the same as talking about nothing. It's not like you'll blow your shot just by revealing a minor interest in a television show. Come on. Seriously.

Just have fun with girls. Say whatever comes to mind. Go with the flow of the conversation. When a woman declares that she loves Game of Thrones, sigh with relief and say, "Finally. A girl who likes boobies as much as I do. Time to angle for a three-way!" Normally, you'd probably have never said anything like that aloud for fear of blowing your chance with a girl, but when you don't care, because it's just a girl, and you shoot your mouth off and say all kinds of funny things at her expense, women are all over that.

drosebamma • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 03:26 AM

What the fuck! I am new to TRP and currently still struggling to get girls and make those connections with girls. I always thought you should dive into deep conversation with girls about the future, family, and all that shit because it makes them feel more comfortable and feel like they really know you. I wonder if that has been what's fucking me over all this time. I always try to do it because I thought it would make the girl think I am "different" and able to tap those emotional strings.

Anyone have any articles that talk about this? How you should always keep convo light and funny. Because I know I can do that, but I always thought it wouldn't work. Wow that makes so much sense now. At bars I will have a friend who kind of just crack jokes and chills, and I'll try to get a little more open with one girl...then she ends up choosing the friend. I am pissed haha

Archwinger[S] • 3 points • 18 March, 2016 03:52 AM

Less is more. Guys who pour their hearts out and try to talk about everything they know, keep the conversation going, and desperately look for some kind of deep emotional connection with a girl don't look attractive. They just look thirsty.

Girls don't want to go home with the intense, brooding loser in the fedora. They want to go home with the fun, muscular guy who makes them laugh and isn't afraid to joke with them.

To start out, don't over-think things. The idea isn't to deliver the correct response to every line she speaks. It's to just say whatever the fuck you want. Whatever comes to mind. Even if it's something at her expense. Maybe she'll think you're a weirdo, but that's a step up from a "deep" loser who keeps trying to have boring conversations. It's a start. From there, you can progress from strange to funny to fun -- that's the end goal. To be fun, not just funny. Nobody wants to fuck a clown. Then, you can move on to take command of conversations, control the room.

I guess that's a lot of words to say that you get better at banter if you practice it. And if you unashamedly use it instead of being afraid of blowing your chance. They're just girls. It's not like they're important or anything. They don't pass judgment on you. You're the one having fun while they're just there for you to screw with.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 March, 2016 12:25 PM

Happened to me yesterday 1:1 as you described it from the beginning till the end absolutely worth reading thx for sharing and sharpening-clearing my insights for things I've done yesterday and worked so well :D

Big_Daddy_PDX • 1 point • 20 March, 2016 06:08 PM

Wow, I'd love to disagree w/ any of this, but you tied it all together nicely. There's more success when you can be discrete and fun without the neediness for sex. It's almost like that last shit test is checking to see how bad you need sex - if you need it bad then they know you are a faker. Guys that handle rejection with "what a bitch" are playing at Alpha.

ErenYeager91 • 1 point • 17 March, 2016 11:03 PM

If you start being overt about sex

You can talk about sex all day as long as you keep it fun. I would actually recommend it. Talk about sex. Don't judge her. Make it fun.

Hg_CNO_2 • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 09:50 PM

I have mixed feelings about all of this.

This is good advice, if the object is to attain pussy at any cost, or even interacting with most people most of the time. You can always have some amount of 'game', if only for your own social interactions and so forth. You can become more likeable to more people employing some of these principles.

Again, if that is your goal. Here is the problem: what if those things don't carry as much priority for you? What if you have to alter your character so much that you don't recognize yourself? Can I play the game and get women to sleep with me? Probably. In short runs. I don't even mind making myself more attractive to women, that can be a good thing. But not that way. This kind of thing I view as the male equivalent to spending hours on makeup or money on clothing or shoes for women. Guarantee the women who overly obsess on these things become jaded rather quickly.

You might reply to that with a "well, [unlike a woman] you can use it throughout your whole life, as well as many other non-sexual aspects of your life". OK, but this has absolutely no transcendence. Nobody is going to write on your obituary "He had mad game, and fucked lots of women in his life, and his game made him really popular to just about everybody else, too!". That is like saying "She was really sexy in her youth, and she got lots of attention from guys, especially when she spent 2 hours a day painting her clown face!". Which btw, women chase after such ghosts in vain, too. This is why women would rather lose weight than have sex, as a recent survey/study showed. Do they really want that? Maybe. But again the male equivalent here is: "Do I want a girlfriend that accepts me for all of my flaws, or do I want to get buffet-pussy?" pretty sure a study into that would reveal the latter is the preference. A woman's weight affects her [self-perceived] long-term sexual appeal. She feels like "Yes, I can forgo sex if people will always remember me as sexy!". See the similarity? "Yes, I can learn game, forgo a relationship, if women will always remember me as sexy". One uses the absence of sex for validation, the other uses the incidence of it for the same! Women tend to feel that withholding sex raises their sexual capital, while men feel the opposite.

I don't think these actions achieve goals in either case. Not when you look at why do we seek the sex of the other? Women select men for sex specifically for the genes. Sure you can make her or trick here into violating this policy to get what you think YOU want, and might even be able to be ok with the whole ordeal afterward, but what has been gained? What you want is to stick it in as much pussy as possible? So, now that you nailed her, you are done with her, you are already jaded on it, so you need to work as fast as you can to get your dick in *another* pussy. Over and over and over again. Your work is never finished and neither is hers. She didn't *really* want your genes. She just wanted you that one time. Think Groundhog Day but instead of working on yourself or your real talents, you're just getting as much different pussy as you can. It is like an economy where they only buy/sell in tomatoes and we only buy/sell in bananas. No common currency.

In addition, you must remain anonymous as it pertains to the very lesson material you posted here. You cannot be overt or divulge any of this to her either! So we are all just like magicians, performing magic tricks to get pussy and we never tell our secrets. And you never let on to her that she is a magician's mark (slut) and you don't show your cards, or how the trick was done (IOW, you don't show her what you really think of her), you don't fumble around and fuck it up (complain you can't do the trick right or show lack of confidence because that is too aspie, no?).

Sounds like a blast, no?

Not to me it doesn't. Such women would be no more 'pleasure' to me than a warm fleshlight. An extremely

overpriced and high maintenance fleshlight. You see, I can 'game' a fleshlight into fucking me too! And the EASE of getting the fleshlight counts in its favor. This sex-withholding women do, is the equivalent of banning fleshlights. You can think, "Shit I want what I can't have so much, I will get a fleshlight illegally if I have to!". What a fucking let down that will be for you when you get it. See Eddie Murphy's bit about getting 'crackers' in Raw.

Willing (of their own free will), seeking females are much more interesting. In part because they are rare. Like an alien species, they may exist in the universe, but so few and far between we will never encounter them, and if we ever did, it would have all been up to them (we cannot reasonably travel to other solar system).

Here is the thing on that, though. In theory, any adult female should be able to accomplish this feat. All it would take is a little growing up, seeing things for what they are, full acceptance of their own agency. It is not men who need to learn that you appreciate things more when you work for them, it is women who need to learn this. They should be competing for us. And not in bitchy ways either, and not only for alphas. Relationships are ultimately up to them. Sex is ultimately up to them. How are they ever going to grow up when we are always playing poker or hide the weasel (literally!)? If they are easily bored with you, the problem is that they are boring. They bore themselves, which is why they think they need someone to take them outside of themselves and entertain them. Ultimately, it is up to each of us to live with, and hence, 'entertain' ourselves. Don't know about anybody here, but it is too taxing coming up with ways to entertain other people all the time. When did we become such busy-body, junkies? I spend plenty of time by myself, by design, and I quite enjoy life much better this way. There really is no reason why someone, a female, might not also be entertained either by proxy or example or in concert with me. But you would never know it. And she would never know it. Because women have no such ambition seeking this out in a man. That too, is supposed to fall into her lap, because

Which brings me to a final point. Expectation of 'ambition' (and by extension, 'game') in a man is very closely analogous to expectation of maintaining figure or looks in a woman. Does a man benefit in appeal to opposite sex by his ambition? Sure. Just like a woman benefits by maintenance of her looks. Does he himself benefit inherently from his ambition? Sure. Does she, from her looks? Yup. Here is where it breaks down, however. Does society benefit from a man's ambition? More often than not, yes. Does society benefit from a woman's looks? You could say so. Many a great advancement has been for her comfort, her benefit, all because of her beauty. This does not mean that all efforts of impressing women advance society. Just like some unconstructive ambitions being rewarded do not advance it. Not that even that is the goal. Maybe we just each cash in on our relative proclivities? Or not. These currencies are illiquid with each other. I cannot trade my ambition for your beauty, nor would I really want to. It is this kind of thing that leads to gender confusion. All we really want, I argue is to be able to appreciate the other for the other. Thus I use my ambition to 'appreciate' your beauty and you use your beauty to 'appreciate' my ambition. What happens when these run out? Well, the short of it is, it renders the 'relationship' null and void. It might as well never have been. Women are on the same page with us here. Which is the essence of hookup culture I suppose. So, the question is, why is the onus on men to maintain this, too?

Fuck all that. If women are too stupid to realize they can turn just about any guy into a 'stud', make up their minds, and set out to accomplish exactly that, then I don't want shit to do with them. They bore the fuck out of me. IOW, I WANT WOMEN TO HAVE GAME! If I were to acquire 'game' at this point in my life, it would not be for me at all. It would only be for already spoiled/entitled females. Much more constructive (and interesting) ways to give back to the community or what have you.

Archwinger[S] • 1 point • 18 March, 2016 10:40 PM

So you're one of those MRA/MGTOW wackos who thinks that chatting up girls and fucking them is putting pussy on a pedestal? That we should all just be true to ourselves, and if women don't love us for who we are, so what? We can sleep easier and feel good about ourselves?

The blue pill is that way.

Hg_CNO_2 • 1 point • 21 March, 2016 06:47 PM

So you're one of those MRA/MGTOW wackos who thinks that chatting up girls and fucking them is putting pussy on a pedestal?

Not necessarily. But your motives are suspect if you go right for a reflexive 'wacko' description of your counterparts. Many men just don't, and will never have 'game' of the kind set forth by many PUAs. It takes a lot of work, time and resources as well. And it trains women to feel like they don't need game themselves. Why? Because pussy. Is this putting pussy on a pedestal? Depends on the guy. If you use game to get pussy, hit it and quit it, I wouldn't say it puts pussy on a pedestal *to women* because that is probably not what they want in the long game. But it probably does put pussy on the pedestal to the guy. It becomes the end all be all of his existence. And if he fails? Well, just keep getting better/more game! What is the point, really? At the end of the day, nobody really cares. It is like someone who says they like to travel and explore/see the world. OK, so when you die, it all dies with you? I can fill my hard drive up with a bunch of crap and when it dies, its all gone.

I say this, recognizing a man has needs, but so do women, and I really don't see anything wrong with females exercising some game themselves, and men holding them to a standard there. If you feel like I do (I think most PUAs agree here), that women are worthless as romantic companions or intimate partners, then game is the only the way you're going to get any I guess, but not without increasing effort on your part (it is this effort, that I, and many other men don't care to input*; if that is you, go knock yourself out). Women need to compete with each other too. Women need to put some effort into seduction too. They need to learn themselves and their needs too. I don't really know what the answer to that is, but it does need to happen.

*This a blue-piller does not make. It is just a cost benefit analysis. I am not a salesperson, and game is all about salesmanship. For me, it all comes off as network-marketing. Being red pill means you understand and face female nature, and incorporate it how you need to within your personality. Important to note however, that red-pill is also a self-knowledge, of one's own limitations and fundamental needs.

As you well know (and from the OP), all of this we are discussing now cannot be discussed with women. It is the salesman showing his cards, the magician ruining his trick. Like the network marketer, you don't get anywhere if everyone is a rep (seller) and nobody is a buyer, Nor do you get anywhere if all you have is buyers. You are doing all of the heavy lifting, and nickle-dime selling. I think this is why PUAs tend to get down on their male counterparts (like MGTOW/MRA), because they need more 'reps'. Or maybe they feel like MGTOW/MRA disrupt or interfere with their game. On this point, I think you are wrong about female nature though. First off, it is your 'buyers' (the women you are after) or 'competitors' (the real blue-pill men) who are always raising the stakes in this game, not your MRA/MGTOW counterparts. If women go with your blue pill competitor, they may get what they want in the long game, but will become dissatisfied (bored) very quickly. Does not mean they will go to you, it may very well they will keep trying their long game. Over and over and over. Insane I know. But it happens. A lot. If they know they want someone with game, they will still want the long game. Women think they can have it all. You know that. Their problem is not that they treat dick as a drug, it is how they are perceived by men that is their drug. They need more and more of it over time, even though they are losing their attractiveness more and more over time. What do they always have to get that drug? Pussy. Men's drug. But here is the thing: you are doing all of their work for them. It is not all about comfort for them (if it were, blue pillers the world over would be more than adequate for women; they would never look elsewhere. You know this is not true). It is about excitement too. It is this, that the bad boy or the guy with game provides for

them. It is why so many women have rape fantasies. It is thus not only about their comfort, but their excitement at the same time. Every woman has her own particular blend or syntheses of these.

Now I guess we're different, because I have absolutely no motivation to invest so much time/resources into investing into learning these types of syntheses. Not that much investment, you say? Well it is, until you get rolling with it. At the end of the day, it isn't all that interesting to find out that yes, she is excitable, if you do A, B, C. If that isn't me, it isn't me exciting her, is it? And we haven't learned anything profound about her either (she hasn't learned anything about herself); all women are excitable. If I have to go to such lengths, I am not excited anymore!