

# Avoiding the Tradcon Trap

April 8, 2016 | 380 upvotes | by [Whisper](#)

---

You can't spin plates all your life.

Not because you literally can't (some of y'all smoooothe muthafuckas), but because sooner or later, you're not going to want to.

Most men, with the occasional rare exception, are at some point in their lives going to want something more from a girl than a sexy body to ejaculate into.

That's where the shit gets dangerous. Because while y'all know enough not to LTR the town bicycle, there's another kind of woman out there who we need to talk about. Because while everyone knows crazy hoes will ruin your shit, there are other ways for your life to suck.

I'm talking about **traditionalist conservative** ("tradcon") women.

On the surface, this kind of woman looks like a good deal. She certainly talks a lot about doing the things that men want an LTR to do... keeping a low N count, being faithful and loyal to her man, acting submissive, treating his needs as important, etc.

Listen to her long enough, and some of y'all weak muthafuckas will tear up, decide she's a unicorn, and promote her to LTR right away. Some of them are good at talking that shit.

*Not a good plan.*

Why not?

Isn't an old-timey marriage, with a sweet, affectionate wife, a cozy home, and maybe some children something that many men want? It's not all fantasies about being a rockstar and nailing groupies. Hell, we get some guys in askTRP who can't get even get it up with on an ONS, because that's just not their thing.

Yes, many men want this, but that doesn't mean that is what is actually on offer.

You see, what the tradcon woman wants is *the deal women had 100 years ago*, or at least, the parts that are good for her. So she tells you, "marry me, and I will be a good wife to you".

If this were true, great. **But she wants you to take her word for it.**

This ignores [the single greatest and most fundamental piece of redpill writing ever](#). (There's also a [study guide](#).)

If you haven't read and understood the Bitch Management Hierarchy already, please leave class and go report to the Tutoring Center for remedial class.

The rest of you know that the basic point is that **trust is earned, not demanded**. The reason that a woman must *earn* her way up the Bitch Management Hierarchy is that you only find out what she is really like by **observing her behaviour over time**.

**Anyone can say anything, only actions tell the truth.**

The tradcon woman who says "Marry/commit to me before sex" is attempting to buy her way to the top of the Hierarchy with nothing more than a promise. And anyone can say anything.

We refer to this phenomenon as **Ladder Jumping**.

Only two things can make a woman worthy of any trust at all:

1. She knows that breaking that trust will result in immediate, dire, and unavoidable punishment.
2. She has been observed, over a long period of time, to value her investment in the person extending

that trust.

Why did this work 100 years ago? [Because wedding vows were enforced](#). A woman knew that breaking her promise would result in ostracism, social death, and poverty. Case 1.

But case 1 is dead now. Society *will not enforce wedding vows*. Instead, it reward her for breaking them with cash and prizes. Your money, your house, your kids, attention, love, sympathy, etc.

So we move to case number 2. The Bitch Management Hierarchy. We **make women earn that trust**. And this is the deal that the tradcon woman attempts to decline. Her doctrine tells her to avoid sex before commitment with you, to avoid risking her N-count. But where does this risk go?

**The risk is shifted to you.** Instead of her having to be "all-in", and betting that she can inspire you to want commitment, you assume the risk by committing to her before having any idea how she will handle that trust. How does that deal sound to you? You want that deal?

Ya wanna throw everything you've learned out the window because some bitch pinkie-swears she's a unicorn? Didn't think so. If she's a unicorn, she can prove it just like everyone else, and not need to cut to the front of the line.

If she says she's "saving it for marriage", or "only has sex in a relationship", *laugh it off, treat it as ASD, and escalate the same way*.

**Women are women, no matter what values they give lip service to.**

**Ignore what women say, watch what they do.**

## #Whisper

*I have a Bitcoin donation address: 1DChc2Azt3zGHbZcwBwPG42jL9B8SuktdD.*

*It's there because I resent reddit passing the hat after I speak, and then giving me a gold sticker and keeping the hat for themselves.*

*Donate, or don't, as you wish, but please do not gild.*

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

2comment • 105 points • 8 April, 2016 11:35 PM

Maybe it's the areas I'm in, but most of the tradcons I've met tend toward the born again virgsluts selling 4th hand goods at new retail prices. The more they make it the core of their identity, the more suspicious it gets.

The more legitimate ones seemed to have gotten married relatively early, they don't wait for the late 20s to be knocking on the door, let alone 30s.

bokehnikon • 48 points • 9 April, 2016 12:02 AM

The more legitimate ones seemed to have gotten married relatively early

I grew up on the East Coast, close to NYC, went to a big liberal state school. Then after college moved to a Bible Belt state and met tradcon types I never even knew existed. Every single one that seemed sincere already had a fiance by senior year of college.

PlusGoody • 46 points • 9 April, 2016 01:18 AM

THIS. 99% of the attractive traditional conservative women who are worthwhile are taken in their early 20s. The only ones who aren't are the ones who get it in their head that they need to establish a career, and those ones are taken by 27-28 at the very latest.

[deleted] • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 07:18 PM

I like the conservative type girls, but you never know what's what until you get some time in with them.

There are late 20s and 30s women who won't even kiss a guy without commitment. I've gone out with several. They are either from immigrant families or very conservative bible thumping churches. They take the idea of being chaste to an extreme, and think their vaginas are made of gold.

Squeezymypenisy • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 03:42 PM

Been here for 25 years. Yep you are right. The born again sluts (girls that partied then became christian) are very prevalent, but they tend to be older. The ones who kept it usually got hitched or close to it during college.

MortalSisyphus • 22 points • 9 April, 2016 02:30 AM **[recovered]**

Yep, a quality woman will almost never make it past 25 without being married.

But an even more important point is that the younger a woman is, the easier it is to influence her personality for the better.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 02:59 AM **[recovered]**

the easier it is to influence her personality for the better.

What type of ways do you influence a girls behavior that you are with for the better?

frankjohnfrank • 11 points • 11 April, 2016 06:23 AM

It's a really weird phenomena. I was talking to this girl in her mid twenties who told me that she was "waiting

for marriage" to have sex and was a superchristian. Later she told me that she had hooked up with and blown a bunch of guys a few years back before she became a christian.

She would tell me about a few guys from her church that took her out on dates and really went all out to try and win her favor.

It's really pathetic to think of all these dudes who probably haven't gotten laid in their life investing so much into a girl like her.

polakfury • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 03:00 AM

She would tell me about a few guys from her church that took her out on dates and really went all out to try and win her favor

So what did those men do wrong? Like try to wife her up to early on?

frankjohnfrank • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 03:06 AM

"Wrong" in what sense?

In my opinion doing the whole "long, respectful courtship" thing is only worth it if the woman hasn't blown a dozen dudes.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 03:54 AM

So thats the only major thing you find when looking for ltrs?

JohnnyTBone430 • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 05:17 PM\*

Agreed. Very true, the more they make it their identity, the more suspicious I get. These are almost all chicks that got run through years ago and grew tired of the pump and dump. They at least deserve a little credit for identifying the problem and adapting by trying to sell another package of goods. I am in one of the largest cities in the Bible Belt, and this type is very common. The born again types are among the easiest to see right through.

The ones that are actually legit and high value get taken off the market quickly.

[deleted] • 168 points • 8 April, 2016 11:50 PM

Whores are after your money. Good, conservative, Christian women are after your money *and your soul*.

bokehnikon • 26 points • 10 April, 2016 12:12 AM\*

The Christian girls go for the bad boy who seems reformable, the one who claims he comes to church to mend his ways, the one seemingly hovering between the abyss and salvation. Or, the Christian girl goes for the Alpha church guy: a) the young, newly arrived pastor b) or one of the group leaders who is also a Chad. A Christian girl never goes for the good boy who has always been there for her and has accepted God since childhood.

Incidentally, it's an unspoken rule among many Protestant churches that a new pastor already be married or engaged. They **know**.

[deleted] • 17 points • 10 April, 2016 11:43 AM

"it's an unspoken rule among many Protestant churches that a new pastor already be married or engaged. They know."

coming from a Catholic church I never thought about that because priests are celibate, and in Orthodox churches they have to be married before entering a seminary (otherwise they must be celibate). If an

unmarried young preacher comes to a church, he would slay because instantly he's an alpha and preaching/religion is Chick Crack.

bokehnikon • 8 points • 10 April, 2016 02:31 PM

It's more of a *nudge nudge* "You should find a good Christian wife soon because that's the wholesome thing to do as a young pastor" pressure. Everything is implicit.

Whitified • 4 points • 12 April, 2016 03:25 PM

i was turned down from being a pastor for choosing to be single at 25.

TWENTY FIVE!

"There are so many good women out there. Singleness at 25 is not your choice"

Translation: *"How dare you not share your resources with women?!"*

TomFoo • 46 points • 9 April, 2016 01:06 AM

Jesus that's dark. And I totally believe.

ANGRY\_ATHEIST • 6 points • 11 April, 2016 04:09 PM

Everyone here needs to go read Dalrock's blog if they haven't already. It's astounding how Focus on the Family has basically taken the headship commanded in the Bible and interpreted it to mean *the exact opposite of what it says*. Modern Christian women basically expect their husbands to take all the responsibility without having any of the authority.

EdvardMunch • 3 points • 11 April, 2016 04:42 AM

It's funny but God damnit I'm depressed. This post was who I was becoming.

I will say there is some exception. In old small conversation towns where they gossip a lot, you may find a stable marriage. Especially if she never lived anywhere else and her family has generations there. I know I'm scared shitless to do anything less than positive in my hometown.

Of course how many of us are gonna come into a small city hunting for a wife. But yeah it's all fucked.

I think this is the end guys. Every girl I've dated is posting braless selfies about who runs the world, in female parties celebrating in a bitter rage how better off they are without that guy that didn't meet their sweet sixteen expectations.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 03:03 AM

Why do they have unrealistic expectations in the first place?

EdvardMunch • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 05:59 AM

Guys the fucked, exaggerated sense of self worth due to beauty

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 03:38 PM

So they should see past beauty more often

EdvardMunch • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 09:57 PM

They shouldnt take it on as an identity. As what makes them valuable. Easier said than done, but men can't help pedestlizing them and rare they think they deserve less than everything they want.

MentORPHEUS • 76 points • 8 April, 2016 10:50 PM

Excellent write-up, Whisper. One thing I would advise, beware of harboring a Madonna-Whore complex.

Also, some women who identify as Trad/Con shift to an intractible "Sex is only for procreation" mode once they've locked down a husband. After a life of plate-spinning with whore types, don't assume that the label of "Traditional" means to her what it does to you; do your relationship due diligence.

Whisper[S] • 53 points • 9 April, 2016 01:57 AM

don't assume that the label of "Traditional" means to her what it does to you

You have anticipated the theme of "Avoiding the Tradcon Trap (Part Two)".

toalysium • 7 points • 9 April, 2016 05:41 AM

If she's traditional enough then she won't mind a mistress or two\*. The whole "preserve the family and external image at all costs" type.

\*Two might be hard on the budget, cause the type of woman who only fucks to make babies probably isn't working either.

adam-l • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 09:27 PM

If she's traditional enough then she won't mind a mistress or two

Excellent point. The traditional marriage was never about the couple. It was about the Marital Triangle: husband, wife and mistress. If you were high class enough you would achieve the mistress part, otherwise you would just spend your life trying to.

BananaHammie • 37 points • 8 April, 2016 10:28 PM

As men we have to learn to be content in whatever situation we choose. A lot of people think the grass is always greener on the other side, but how many times have you listened to someone from the marriage 1.0 days bitch about it? Most would say many. Spin plates or don't, LTR or don't, marry or don't, have kids or don't it doesn't matter as long as you accept the risks and use TRP to learn from those before you and to make the appropriate steps towards your own happiness.

[deleted] • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 02:28 AM

[permanently deleted]

mojo\_juju • 0 points • 9 April, 2016 01:48 AM

I disgaree

Traditional conservative bitch (trad trad con con) + Alpha = bitch will submit.

(that's why she's my bitch and we both know it = traditional conservative)

RealGucciSosa • 24 points • 8 April, 2016 11:51 PM

What many men fail to realize is that the problem with women isn't because of feminism, its because of gynocentrism. Society protects & rewards women for bad behavior, and a Tradcon is just as solipsistic as any feminist or empowered slut. You correctly point out, marriage is a liability no matter who you marry, as long as its society whose not doing its due diligence in preserving the marriage or family unit.

jdgalt • 9 points • 10 April, 2016 01:21 AM

The only things government does about "preserving marriage or the family unit" are negative. The nuclear family replaced the extended family because government created social security and retirement. The nuclear family is in turn being replaced by the one-parent family because government created AFDC and the child support enforcement agencies.

If we want families back, we'll need to move out of the reach of governments that have these things. Or they'll need to stop having them (which isn't likely).

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 03:21 AM

Why not vote in government policies to reverse these trends? To create stable families once again?

spacecase89 • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 07:14 PM

Have you tried vocalizing libertarian ideas? You get smacked down just as hard as coming out as redpill. People really want to control other people, some just do it differently than others.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 07:20 PM

Have you tried vocalizing libertarian ideas?

I mean yes but in my crowd its actually seen as something valid not really smacked down upon. I dont live in a heavy liberal area lol.

So their is no way to reverse this trend at all? Does the government like getting bloated with no end in sight?

spacecase89 • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 07:39 PM

It's not just liberals. Republicans want the government's power over marriage. None of them ever suggest the government not control marriage and divorce.

polakfury • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 07:45 PM

Well Trump hopefully changes that which would be amazing.

jdgalt • 1 point • 16 April, 2016 07:54 PM\*

I'd love to. The welfare bureaucrats won't stand for losing their jobs, and the Occupy-protester types would riot.

You're not going to get stable families back so long as the subsidies continue.

polakfury • 1 point • 17 April, 2016 10:52 PM

So just undue to subsidies over time?

jdgalt • 1 point • 18 April, 2016 04:33 AM

I'm having trouble parsing what you mean.

spacecase89 • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 07:10 PM

Exactly. Did you know that pro-lifers would never punish a woman for getting an abortion? It's ridiculous.

jb\_trp • 39 points • 8 April, 2016 11:47 PM

I have many men I went to college with who have Tradcon wives. And you know what? Too many of these men aren't happy. They've lost the vigor and life that they possessed only a decade earlier. They work long jobs, come

home to a kind yet boring wife who does very little to add much of value to their wives. These men seem dead on the inside.

In an LTR be careful who you commit to. Your time and attention is the most valuable thing you possess. Wasting your life on someone who adds very little value to it (other than that she's "conservative" and makes a decent partner) is *still* a complete waste IMHO.

There would be more LTRs if most women these days had more to offer than a warm hole between their legs. But it's 2016 and that's not the age we live in.

unseenlunknown • 4 points • 9 April, 2016 08:54 AM

Do these guys have kids? When you say that their wives add very little to their life but make decent partners im kind of confused.

Do their wives not do feminine tasks like cook and clean? What makes them suck the life out of their husbands so to speak?

srell • 10 points • 9 April, 2016 10:05 AM

They stopped thinking when that ring hit their finger, read "The Manipulated Man".

MattyAnon • 15 points • 9 April, 2016 01:39 PM

She has been observed, over a long period of time, to value her investment in the person extending that trust.

New Relationship Energy lasts 2-3 years max. After that men get married to secure her future and his fate.

Tradcon? More like longcon. These women are not "traditional", they are *asexual*.

[deleted] • 7 points • 9 April, 2016 07:53 PM\*

This comment has been overwritten by an open source script to protect this user's privacy, and to help prevent doxxing and harassment by communities like ShitRedditSays.

If you would also like to protect yourself, add the Chrome extension TamperMonkey, or the Firefox extension GreaseMonkey and add this open source script.

Then simply click on your username on Reddit, go to the comments tab, scroll down as far as possible (hint:use RES), and hit the new OVERWRITE button at the top.

truthyego • 2 points • 11 April, 2016 03:34 PM

Omfg. The dishes. Shit test bitch fest prima facie.

Sorry, flashback triggered

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 03:24 AM

What kind of dread game can one pull to get her to be intimate instead of withholding it?

MattyAnon • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 04:54 AM

you in a relationship with her, or not ?

casablanca\_1942 • 21 points • 8 April, 2016 11:53 PM

If she says she's "saving it for marriage", or "only has sex in a relationship", laugh it off, treat it as ASD, and escalate the same way.



"saving it for marriage": Why are you wasting your time? Eject ASAP!

"only has sex in a relationship": Classic. It means she will give it up fast for an alpha, but will delay for a beta. She doesn't want the beta to see her as a slut, but doesn't care if the alpha sees her that way. You are an alpha - right? Proceed as normal.

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 12 points • 9 April, 2016 01:00 AM

"only has sex in a relationship": Classic. It means she will give it up fast for an alpha, but will delay for a beta.

Besides the fact that this happens so much, maybe she really does only have sex in relationships.

You only have to look out the window and see that it's raining pussy.

Whisper[S] • 21 points • 9 April, 2016 01:59 AM

"saving it for marriage": Why are you wasting your time? Eject ASAP!

Why would you take anything a woman says seriously?

I've banged plenty of girls who were "saving it for marriage". Sometimes only to find out they were saving their seconds for marriage. Or their thirds.

PrinceofSpades • 10 points • 9 April, 2016 09:12 AM

Or their 100ths.

Gotta love the "she lost her virginity accidentally while horseback riding!" story I remember girls telling back in high school to protect this one girl's rep... Wasn't until a few years later when the truth came out that she got drunk at 15 and let a senior have at her. Didn't want her crush her own age to find out though, so her friends started some dumb rumor.

She unsurprisingly went in to grow up to be a huge whore who's still happily riding the CC at 24.

[deleted] • 6 points • 9 April, 2016 11:26 AM

[permanently deleted]

redpillschool • 5 points • 9 April, 2016 01:36 PM

I agree, women will say anything to deflect the slut status, doesn't mean they won't get down and dirty.

For any onlookers who found somebody who *is* making them wait:

Iron Rule of Tomassi #3

Any woman who makes you wait for sex, or by her actions implies she is making you wait for sex; the sex is NEVER worth the wait.

More here

deepthrill • 9 points • 9 April, 2016 03:18 AM

Great post as always.

I find that the tradcon women can be good, but are usually wearing the ultimate fake makeup - they would rather spend their time talking about how cute but dedicated/loyal they are instead of demonstrating it.

I find another "class" of women, for lack of a better word, these days are the hardworking women. They are the ones who had to take their own student loans out, and work in the student center to barely pay her rent. Or the

one who never feels entitled but works hard.

While this woman is seemingly great (at least her actions show dedication to something rather than just words), the risk of this woman is that she may not feel like she needs man since she works so hard. Ideally you'd want to look for the tradcon girl who shows dedication to her work, but not in a corporate, competitive environment, and one who values family over her own work.

Just been my anecdotal experience with archetypes of women.

PrinceofSpades • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 09:23 AM

A non-feminist with a real genuine work ethic and a modest sense of self-respect and also works at something like a small non-profit... Who's also attractive, young, low n-count, and has common interests with me?

Are we absolutely certain she exists? Because the only people I know who fit all of those criteria are male friends of mine (go figure).

truthyego • 4 points • 11 April, 2016 03:59 PM

There are more than you think. But your less likely to find them at bars. Most of them are in LTRs, and get scooped up quick when single.

Look for post grad women with a technical degree, who are working a real job, and seem to have a smaller circle of non slutty friends. Women who have wide social circles are far more likely to get exposed to, and even pressured to be slutty (herd think).

Women who graduated with solid GPA in a difficult major spent more time studying than partying. Often they've only had a couple long term boyfriends, and we're less exposed to alpha chads.

Avoid religious girls. Tradcons aren't worth it. They are low on self knowledge, and have tons of religious lies ingrained into their head.

PrinceofSpades • 3 points • 11 April, 2016 04:23 PM

They also put out once to twice a week or so rather than once+ a day, and are way more likely to fuck someone in the office than any other category because their hard work lands them in an environment where only alpha males can survive. These women, in my experience, emphasize work over the home life (even when they claim they don't).

I'm not disagreeing with you; I think in modern day America that type of girl who didn't slut around in high school is the best type you can go for long term, especially if she has things in common with you... But every girl has tradeoffs, and both her morality and the men who hit on her at her job will demand you as a man to step up, work harder, and be more dominant than you would have to be in other situations. Which isn't necessarily a bad thing, just something anyone reading our little back and forth should be aware of. Want to get laid just as much as you would with your stripper plate? You have to teach her. You have to figure out how to make her loopy with lust when she's genuinely never been like that before. You also have to make sure you're making more money and are more jacked than her boss over at Google/ Microsoft/ Amazon/ Nike style business that her hard work landed her, along with the numerous frat guys who now work there and make passes at her daily. All while maintaining frame and dread. Best option would probably be to convince her to quit her job, stay at home, and give her Children so she doesn't grow bored and cheat.

truthyego • 1 point • 11 April, 2016 06:22 PM

Damn good points. I'd say you're less likely to get casually cheated on, but higher likelihood for branch swinging. But that's only if she has a low n-count.

Surprisingly, it's hard to find a good looking and dominant man amongst a bunch of engineers and nerds. /s. Smart women with low n-count, in LTR with such a man don't want to screw it up just for some chiseled frat boy type who she considers herself to be "above" in terms of pay, power, etc. Smart good looking women go for alpha bucks. Dumb and avg looking women have to segregate their hypergamy to achieve alpha and bucks from separate men.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 11 April, 2016 06:37 PM

Exactly. I went from omega to beta bucks to alpha fucks, but going from there to alpha bucks is quite difficult. Even still, I spend every day working on bettering myself and my career, so there's no where to go but up.

Granted, I want it for me so I can choose when to be AB and AF, and also for the further increase in quality of life for myself, although I'm interested to see what kind(s) of woman (women) I end up with once I get there.

Even hot women have to segregate their hypergamy if they didn't land a true alpha bucks. Trust me, I'm doing the alpha-fucking side of things for two of them currently.

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 10 points • 9 April, 2016 12:53 AM

The tradcon woman who says "Marry/commit to me before sex" is attempting to buy her way to the top of the Hierarchy with nothing more than a promise. And anyone can say anything.

That's exactly what it is. A promise of sex for participation in a financial contract. If you're making a choice to go for that, you're taking on risk. You deal with your own risk. Nothing wrong with choosing to have to take on less risk and be more sure of your investment (the girl). Maybe the problem is that you are unable to find the latter and so you go for the former. If that is the case, the problem exists in yourself and there are things you can do to find yourself in a position where that problem is fixed.

If you still want to take on that risk, that's on you. You can't blame anyone for your fucked up future if it goes that route. You're in a community right now where men around the world are learning how to live better lives. No excuses.

Maybe you'll throw the dice and hit the jackpot. Who knows. Do you want to take the risk?

II128[ ] • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 05:26 AM

I have friends from college who married women like these. One has never had anal sex and another hasn't had anal and hasn't gotten a blow job in the last 25 years.

Think I'll pass.

submitted\_5\_days\_ago • 8 points • 9 April, 2016 06:45 AM\*

Human behavior is guided by either Reward for Good Behavior or Punishment for Bad Behavior.

Who controls them has great influence on people.

The Fundamental Principle states that women are the gatekeepers of sex, and men are the gatekeepers of relationships.

A man rewards a woman for her good behaviors by giving commitment, or he punishes her by not giving it.

A woman, instead, rewards a man by giving him sex, or she punishes him by not giving it.

The key lays on who controls sex and who controls commitment.

There is no law that enforces women to give sex, but there exist social and *legal* laws that pushes men to provide

commitment.

The Game is rotten for men because men don't have full control on their reward and punishment system like women have full control on theirs.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 06:34 PM

What are the best strategies a man can undertake in order to make it more balanced in a mans favour?

unseen1unknown • 12 points • 9 April, 2016 12:20 AM

Ive been having the same thoughts about this as well. Ive started going to a traditional catholic church recently for reasons unrelated to trp and its good seeing so many young couples with kids there. However i cant bring myself to risk everything after learning so much on trp regarding marriage and women in general

[deleted] • 7 points • 9 April, 2016 02:58 AM

Going the same route with participation in a traditional church. It's grating on me, the reality I know and have experienced through TRP and the dictates of the faith. I'm going to make it a point to carefully observe all the marriages I see there, especially those which seem to be the best.

unseen1unknown • 14 points • 9 April, 2016 03:36 AM

I've allready seen trouble with one couple that are engaged. The chick said to her sister whilst i was talking to them that she still hadn't had a talk with her soon to be husband about her hyphenating her last name when they get married. RP sense went off at that statement

[deleted] • 4 points • 9 April, 2016 10:09 PM

That is a huge red flag. Women who are committed, in as much as they are able, are eager to be felt/seen as owned by a high value man. Clearly he's beta bucks. If she won't even take his name exclusively, think about how much of his existence in general she'll tolerate. She'll bust his balls on everything for as long as he's under her heel.

monsieurhire2 • 5 points • 10 April, 2016 05:50 AM

For Christ's sake, he said not to gold, but someone gilded him anyway.

Cyralea • 11 points • 9 April, 2016 03:09 PM

You know what the number one commonality male charlatans and hucksters have in common? People who lie and swindle others for a living. I'll tell you -- they're all highly charismatic. When people like what they see, their guards go down.

TradCon women are the female version of this. Men who are otherwise RP drop their guards when they see the green flags they like. A few honeyed words and they leave the keys to the vault out in the open. And they get hustled all the same.

AWALT. TradCon or feminist whore. There are qualities that are innate to all women.

TheRedStoic • 2 points • 9 April, 2016 04:34 PM

Completely agreed.

Additionally, if you find yourself with a tradcon woman, don't presume that means no sex. It just means more value displayed before sex.

You don't want a hyperdogmatic, if she can't be realistic while holding her values, she's just as crazy as any

bpdtattedfeminazi

Sorry to see someone guilded ya, but the pr beats the payshift

[deleted] • 2 points • 10 April, 2016 01:45 AM

This is all solid advice but I think there is one thing missing. Tradcon girls, girls with low N- count, "good girls" are all girls. If you are attractive to her then she will not be able to control herself and she will have sex with you, almost always on or before the third date. If she does not then she is not attracted or she is a bad girl pretending to be a good girl and dangling a carrot that she has already let plenty of guys chew on.

I have been with about 5 "good girls", and I believed they were telling the truth. All had a partner count of three or less. They all made me at least wait until the second date. Guys, if a girl is making you wait for sex, or using it to parlay for a relationship, just escalate like mad. If she does not surrender, next.

polakfury • 2 points • 12 April, 2016 07:16 PM

How long would you wait until sex in a relationship before you next?

NeoreactionSafe • 5 points • 9 April, 2016 12:57 PM\*

### ***Child Support Laws were invented in 1950***

Everyone who has taken the Red Pill should know this by heart.

Marriage 1.0 had no Divorce Rape (alimony) and back then if you didn't marry there were no Child Support Laws that could trap you into 18 years of slavery.

*The guarantee of male Freedom was once part of the laws of the land.*

As much as the temptation exists to blissfully imagine we are living in that masculine world of yesterday where women were feminine and (by circumstances) loyal those days *do not exist* now.

[deleted] • 5 points • 9 April, 2016 03:35 PM

*"In those days spirits were brave, the stakes were high, men were real men, women were real women and small furry creatures from Alpha Centauri were real small furry creatures from Alpha Centauri."*

NeoreactionSafe • 6 points • 9 April, 2016 05:18 PM

I'm 55 years old.

While I was born in 1961 much of the history I've actually lived through.

Your response would have been better for an 18 year old kid that could be convinced to doubt the truth.

[deleted] • 3 points • 9 April, 2016 05:44 PM

With your grasp of history, you no doubt recall Douglas Adams, then.

NeoreactionSafe • 1 point • 9 April, 2016 06:01 PM

I looked up the name:

*The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy*

I do remember that show being on tv but wasn't into it. My guess is there was much that I missed

in not being into it.

My best friend growing up was the son of another Science Fiction writer and I basically never read his books which is somewhat insulting since I'm still friends with the son. (45+ years)

I'm just not into Science Fiction as a reader. I'll watch Star Trek but wouldn't read it if that were an option.

I have no good excuse.

[deleted] • 3 points • 9 April, 2016 06:11 PM

Yes, it is a quote from Hitchhiker's guide, which I thought was an amusing take on the idea that things were better in the past. Nothing deep, I was just amused by the quote. Hitchhiker's guide was originally a radio show, which became a book, and later a television series.

Douglas was a great character, I met him once several decades ago, and he was a great friend of Richard Dawkins. He didn't write science fiction in the normal vein, but as comedy.

Another quote from Hitchhiker's Guide is,

There is a theory which states that if ever anybody discovers exactly what the Universe is for and why it is here, it will instantly disappear and be replaced by something even more bizarre and inexplicable. There is another theory which states that this has already happened.

Anyway, not sure if you took what I wrote as criticism, it wasn't intended to be. I was in full agreement with you.

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 9 April, 2016 06:30 PM\*

My view of reality at my present state of consciousness (which is better than previous states because you gain wisdom with experience) is that things on the human level function as the Natural Laws and the Tree of Life define it.

It's like a Rubik's Cube.

The Torah essentially answered "what is consciousness".

But the problem is the knowledge of truth and myth (good and evil) allows the brightest student... who we call Lucifer... to take that knowledge and use it to push others into darkness through what Red Pill calls Game.

Game is the magician's tricks of the ruling class.

The Blue Pill is an emotional indoctrination "Matrix" that includes most everything we come in contact with from schools to tv. This Game is played so the dumb get dumber.

So the original purpose of the Torah was **stolen** (identity theft) and a mafia called the Talmud or "Synagogue of Satan" has united with other Dark Luciferians to not only rule the world, but now to depopulate it while dumbing it down.

The prophecies have all come true.

The Red Pill is just the tip of the iceberg.

Here's a thought I had today:

*"The Darkness has a Rose colored tint."*

(referring to those who wear "Rose Colored Glasses")

jdgalt • 1 point • 10 April, 2016 01:25 AM

A very funny show, but I wouldn't try to use it as a source of accurate history.

Matt\_G\_the\_G • 4 points • 9 April, 2016 06:56 AM

Here's the problem. If a girl is truly a "traditiona conservative," she wilm SAVE HER VIRGINITY FOR MARRIAGE, not just "keep a low N count" as you put it.

I hear guys on here talk a lot about girls with a "low N count." How many dicks can she have taken before yours? How many other men's sperm can she have pumped into her body and have it not bother you? 3? If she took 3 dicks before you, is that too many? How about 5 dicks? Still consider that a "low N count?" How about 10 penises? 15 penises? 20 penises? At what point has she taken too many cocks?

In my opinion, 1 other man's cock is too many other men's cocks. We're talking about the woman you are going to MARRY. The woman you will start a family with. Assuming you want your daughter to save herself for marriage, what will you tell her when she asks "did mommy have a boyfriend before you, daddy?" Will you lie to her and say no, or will you tell her the truth and risk having her think sleeping around is ok "because mommy did it too"?

What happens when you are explaining to your teenage son that he should look for a virginal girl to wife up, and he says "you mean like you and mom?" Will you not feel a sense of deep shame having to choose between lying to your son and explaining to him that no, daddy was not mommy's first lover?

Assuming you consider yourself a "traditional" person looking for a "traditional" wife, "low N count" just doesn't cut it. "Low N count" means she has taken several dicks, but just not as many as your average slut. Sorry, but that's not saying much.

PrinceofSpades • 3 points • 9 April, 2016 09:26 AM

Pray you have a son, and if you have a girl name her karma and quickly retreat into your garage/basement/attic with your hobby as you look back on all of the advice you chose to ignore: "never marry."

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 April, 2016 02:21 PM

Or one could be honest enough to not seek the perfect unicorn to have kids with ( not that it isn't optimal, just it's not a guarantee, for sure ) but check a low-n girl that he can discipline enough to have kids while not marrying her.

Then you can just say your son "yes she had other cocks before me, that's why i won't ever marry her" and retain your pride without compromising his/her relationships education.

That said i'm not talking about this in america where the whole culture is against fatherhood. But in eastern countries it can be doable because there is still slut-shaming in the ether and you can retain some control over your family image, marriage or not.

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 9 April, 2016 04:49 PM

Oh yeah, if I ever marry, I plan on doing it overseas and likely moving over there as well, because it's the society around her that curbs her behavior, and nothing else. Slut shaming was why girls used to not sleep around or cheat on their husbands... Now women call one another slut like its a playful



compliment. 'Oh my god you had a kid and don't know who the father is? You're such a slut teehee.'  
Spare me, that girl should be shunned by society for good.  
Still though, you have me in stitches with that line about other cocks to say to a child, holy fuck.

Rearranger\_ • 4 points • 9 April, 2016 05:52 AM

Y'all think that you want a piece of ass that you don't have to work for, with maid service included. But the truth is that you'll get bored of it real fast. Sure, it's a nice toy to have, but what value does she bring?

You need a woman who challenges you, in such a way that develops you into a better person. That is the trait you should be looking for. Along with loyalty, respect, attraction, ect.

Whisper[S] • 9 points • 9 April, 2016 10:37 PM

You need a woman who challenges you, in such a way that develops you into a better person.

I'll fille that under "shit random strangers think I'm supposed to want".

Why would I need that in a woman? I like women who supply qualities I don't already have.

redpillschool • 9 points • 9 April, 2016 01:45 PM

But the truth is that you'll get bored of it real fast. Sure, it's a nice toy to have, but what value does she bring?

I think eventually, the value men seek is child rearing.

Paid\_Internet\_Troll • 3 points • 12 April, 2016 04:02 AM

You need a woman who challenges you, in such a way that develops you into a better person.

You're assuming that there is a sizeable pool of women who are *capable* of challenging a man.

That's a pretty big assumption.

If a guy has his shit together, develops a six pack, has intellectual persuits, has developed great social skills, and had his own bussiness running well... that would put him in the top 10% of men...

...and because of how the bell curve is narrower for women than it is for men, this means he'd need to find a top 1% woman just to have her be somewhat of an equal; never mind "challenging".

So, basically, if you're a guy and you find women "challenging", then you need to double-up on self improvement, because all that being "challenged" by a woman means, is that you're weak.

[deleted] • 2 points • 9 April, 2016 07:57 PM\*

This comment has been overwritten by an open source script to protect this user's privacy, and to help prevent doxxing and harassment by communities like ShitRedditSays.

If you would also like to protect yourself, add the Chrome extension TamperMonkey, or the Firefox extension GreaseMonkey and add this open source script.

Then simply click on your username on Reddit, go to the comments tab, scroll down as far as possible (hint:use RES), and hit the new OVERWRITE button at the top.

[deleted] • 6 points • 8 April, 2016 11:49 PM

Honestly, I may be young but I don't think I'll ever achieve that point where I want more than sex from a chick. I just wanna fuck, then I want them to leave me alone.



Also, regarding the trust, isn't it just simpler to never trust a woman? We know how dectetful a gender they are.

HumanSockPuppet • 20 points • 9 April, 2016 12:57 AM\*

/u/Whisper isn't saying you can trust women. On the contrary.

The Red Pill in general assumes that women are not trustworthy because of their evolved tendencies for opportunism.

The Bitch Management Guide is a set of rules no different than a store requiring that you pay at the register before walking out.

A woman pays you in time, attention, and investment FIRST, and then she gets to have time, attention, and investment from you. When you have to pay upfront, no trust is needed.

polakfury • 3 points • 12 April, 2016 07:15 PM

"A woman pays you in time, attention, and investment FIRST, and then she gets to have time, attention, and investment from you"

How do you go about this when they often want men to lead on the first dates? What are like small things one can do do build up that trust from early on/ initial contact?

HumanSockPuppet • 4 points • 12 April, 2016 08:13 PM

This is a big question, and worth its own post. I'll do a detailed write-up of this eventually. For now, here's a rough outline.

One place where newbies often fall down when dealing with women is by expecting women to take the initiative in repaying them for their active leadership.

Women have no idea how to "pay" men. They don't think in terms of how to repay debts - this is Briffault's Law.

However, a woman will instinctively follow a man she likes and trusts, provided he issues her clear instructions.

**You should always be leading, regardless of the context.** If she sees you acting with others from a leadership frame, she will be mentally prepared when you give *her* commands.

Then, to initiate and sustain an interaction with her, start giving her instructions. Begin by making your instructions simple - make the cost small: tell her to claim a table for the group when you're out with friends, tell her to fetch you something real fast, etc. When she complies, reward her by anticipating her needs and bringing her into the fold - you are rewarding her with the benefit of your leadership and investment. She gets to be a part of your tribe by contributing your community.

As time goes on, ramp up your demands, and slowly ramp up the rewards. Calibration of the cost-benefit dynamic takes time and practice, but the more women you lead in this way the better you'll get at it.

**Women prefer to have instructions given to them. It takes the burden of responsibility off their shoulders, and makes them feel secure in their contributions. And remember: because women rationalize their actions AFTER the fact, she will convince herself that she is doing things for you because she cares about you - making her future compliance easier to secure, and making sexual escalation inevitable.**

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 April, 2016 01:14 AM\*

Oh okay, I miss understood. Love your writings by the way, great stuff fam.

toalysium • 9 points • 9 April, 2016 06:00 AM

Ok... I want to give you some advice since I see you're young, and you can google this shit to confirm that I'm really not intending to insult you, got it?

Advice: Don't say "fam". Just don't. It's a minimum wage mutation of a word. Your vocabulary has a serious effect on your thoughts and ability to articulate them. A limited vocabulary will limit everything in your life. Jobs, relationships, education.

Read more, and I mean books written by people who can afford an editor and a publisher. Write more, not only texts or social media posts. Write something important and meaningful. Seriously write a story or a letter to a newspaper editor.

Listen to people who never read other than Facebook or texts, then go listen to an oral argument record from the Supreme Court of the US. Now guess who's not ever going to have their words recorded in the Library of Congress? The people who say "fam".

This has been a PSA from someone who went from a GED at 16 to a J.D. You ever want some help editing something you wrote shoot me a PM.

PrinceofSpades • 4 points • 9 April, 2016 09:17 AM

Create your own full-fledged post on this topic. I've been meaning to do it myself, but have been pre-occupied working on my novel and enjoying my new stripper plate (learning quite a lot about social value manipulation in the process, too). Granted, I'm 24 and consider myself young as well, so until my own first novel is finished, your credentials make you a better candidate to do the write-up anyways.

Regardless, it's been horrendously overdue for quite some time now. TRP is about self-improvement and self-betterment; so much of that comes from outside of the female sphere of influence. A little bit of proper, intelligent speech and writing goes such a long way when it comes to defending and/ or making a point, and that concept is far too easily overlooked.

polakfury • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 07:13 PM

(learning quite a lot about social value manipulation in the process, too)

What are like a 5 things or even a couple things you learned about social value manipulation as a whole? Sounds very interesting.

tekn0\_ • 1 point • 11 April, 2016 09:28 AM

Tradcon sounds like the biggest con to get conned into. And it's true.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 April, 2016 03:09 PM

It is never worth the wait.

vanhalen\_and\_bacon • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 04:54 PM

Fuck, where was this post 2 years ago? This stuff is a game changer.

wiseprogressivethink • 1 point • 12 April, 2016 06:41 PM

Who the fuck gave him gold anyway?

NPK5667 • 1 point • 9 April, 2016 06:14 AM

Idk. Def true in alot of circumstances. But for instance my parents are pretty conservative. My mom is really trad/con, but quirky in her own way. Anyways, theyre small business owners. Just constantly working their asses off then partying when they can in their free time. Theyre cool people, i think some circumstances can work, as long as the people have their main focus pinned down. For my rents it was raising us kids and running the business. No time for other bullshit.

[deleted] • 0 points • 10 April, 2016 12:50 PM

Tradcon don't exist. That's a stupid myth. Unfortunately for all of us it's done with it.

[deleted] • -2 points • 9 April, 2016 12:35 AM

[permanently deleted]

CrazyHorseInvincible[M] • 3 points • 9 April, 2016 02:49 AM

No, he isn't.

No requests for financial help. Posts & Comments

Self-reliance is a key part of the TRP ethos. We do not solve other people's problems for them... this encourages weakness. Instead, we provide the knowledge and guidance to allow them to solve their own problems. This encourages strength.

**Long-standing members of the community MAY** sell goods or services, **allow others to voluntarily "tip"**, link to advertising-support websites, etc... but any money must be received in exchange for value, not requested out of need.