

Sexual strategy is amoral

April 22, 2016 | 55 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

My point was that sexual strategy is amoral. You might stick to your values, but those who have sex .. *have sex*.

It's best illustrated through our ancestors. The idea was that whether or not we like the methods used- whoever procreated made children, and those who didn't.. didn't.

Your understanding of how morality works is a bit flawed here. You see, there is no universal morality. There is nothing in the universe applying any law other than those of physics. The rest is abstraction.

Morality is not black and white.

Let's look at stealing for instance. If I steal a loaf of bread, we would normally consider that wrong. But what if I were a starving child on the street and I have no other way of surviving? If I stole that bread and ate it to survive the night, was this right? Is it a grey area?

People make value judgements every day to determine what the best survival strategy is, (and to maximize happiness if basic needs are met).

Most people realized very early on that one good survival strategy was to *not be murdered*. Sounds pretty basic. So a social contract was developed. I won't murder you if you don't murder me!

But if somebody invades our group, we can kill them!

So we can see that even killing is not right or wrong in a black and white sense.

Murder, stealing, rape, these were all concepts that most people entering into the social contract said, I don't want these things, my best strategy is to cooperate, therefore I should not do them. And the basic framework of morality was built.

Killing Nazis? Moral. Killing your neighbor? Immoral. A simple code to pass on the social contract that enables society and *really helped us as a species!*

I think you and I agree when I say that we've both signed on to this concept. I don't want to be murdered, and I willingly take part in a social contract of *not murdering*. Most people have this concept built in evolutionarily- it's called empathy! Empathy helped groups to survive. Empathy follows this model, as most felt righteous or indifferent towards the killing of enemies and food.

So, yes, there is a framework we're calling morality, but understand what gave rise to it and how/why it works. Also understand that morality is not a constant nor objective, and some people have determined a different set of rules will best maximize their success or happiness. If they break our rules, we determine it to be detrimental to our own survival, because we depend on the social contract to exist ourselves!

So we punish murderers. It adds disincentive to breaking our moral code. And I'm fine with that.

Here's where things get a bit hairy. If my mating strategy is to dismiss a maximum number of potential mates (hypergamy), and I tell people certain mating strategies are immoral, then I can get other people to agree to it.

But what happens if this framework isn't based on a contract that benefits all who participate?

What if you signed a contract that said you will pay me \$40/month, but I will provide nothing in return?

Eventually you realize that the contract makes no sense!

Sexual strategy is amoral. There are those who have sex, and there are those who do not. What contract will you sign up for? The one that results in you having sex? Or the ones that you're told are moral to

uphold but do not bring you sex?

Obviously understanding that we are operating within other frames we believe *do* benefit us. Obviously rape is a poor decision because it goes against the personal freedom social contract we currently live by. And I support that ideal.

But we're also told that an older man courting a young impressionable 19 year old is immoral because of the age gap- he's too influential, it would be coercion. Tell me, if we avoid doing this out of our sake for morality- where is our benefit in this social contract? It's the feminine imperative you are seeing.

Do not exploit common psychology to build attraction because it is immoral.

This is a prime example of why sexual strategy is amoral. Because at the end of the day, their mating strategy is contingent on beta's failures, and our strategy is based on theirs to fail! Therefore there is no common social contract that we can commit to that benefits both genders. Only men are so easily fooled into entering into these social contracts because they work well for society in general, that they forgot to look at the feminine imperative and ask, but how does that benefit me?

Sexual strategy is amoral.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

TRPApprentice • 8 points • 22 April, 2016 02:50 AM

The only thing that matters is the continuation our our species, everything in between is just societal bullshit

[deleted] • 10 points • 22 April, 2016 02:40 PM

Acting in a moral fashion helps to continue our species.

IAMAwitecismaleAMA • 3 points • 22 April, 2016 05:02 PM

Yeah, if anything it could be argued that manipulating a woman into thinking you're genetically viable is unethical.

But then again if a human is smart enough to manipulate another woman then his children would have to be smart as well. Which would benefit the species as a whole.

What if that's how intelligence came about in the first place? Just betas being smart enough to act like an alpha?

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 07:02 PM

I agree, on a basic level, but those acting in a immoral fashion further themselves. Nice guys finish last isn't new. Betas that don't have sex, don't have their genes continue.

snowdude_fz • 1 point • 23 April, 2016 12:01 AM

And AF/BB helps to continue the species. So therefore not amoral?

TRPApprentice • 0 points • 22 April, 2016 05:17 PM

I'd argue immorality have been a net positive for humanity, but that's just me

ToSeeAndToHear • 3 points • 22 April, 2016 05:39 AM

Lesser actions can be judged by morality, as that social calculus matters in reaching goals like survival and reproduction. But all is fair in love and war, because if you die or fail to reproduce, you lose at the biggest game.

The only way people have made this work in war is to penalize deserters so harshly that it is more certain to die when you desert than if you stay and fight. In many societies through history, violating the terms of the marital contract carried the same penalty, for the same reason. If a woman slept around before marriage, she'd get passed over by quality men and risked becoming an old maid. If a woman cheated during marriage, some cultures would kill the woman, even if she claimed to have been raped.

Entropy-7 • 3 points • 22 April, 2016 08:07 AM

Saying that sexual strategy is amoral is a good summation of RPT as a toolbox of techniques. The fact that there are "axe murderers" isn't an indictment of the tool.

However, making grand pronouncements such as . . .

You see, there is no universal morality. There is nothing in the universe applying any law other than those of physics.

. . . makes one sound like they are talking out of there ass. It goes well beyond the boundary limits of a sexual

strategy and even goes against an ethos of men making up their own mind about what is important in life.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 02:03 PM

If you give me a framework of morality that is dictated by the universe and holds true before life existed and after it is gone...

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 05:37 PM

I am the universe. And so are you. Minimize suffering maximize life enjoyment/satisfaction. It's pretty simple tbh.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 05:40 PM

I am the universe. And so are you. Minimize suffering maximize life enjoyment/satisfaction. It's pretty simple tbh.

Why?

[deleted] • -2 points • 22 April, 2016 05:50 PM

Well for me, it's because I care about the well-being of other people and would prefer to create/live in a world where everybody can at least have a fighting chance of achieving happiness. For you, it's because people like me see through your bullshit and make it our mission to ruin your life for being an asshole. So essentially, the non-assholes create incentive for you to not be an asshole. We need to start doing a better job though, clearly.

TheWilsonatorr • 1 point • 24 April, 2016 05:30 PM

Let me get this straight. Your response to being simply asked why is "because im better than you, your a wrong asshole, and we should be trying to ruin your life." Always a pleasure to witness solid moral logic.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 April, 2016 09:59 PM

You asked why you should aim to maximize happiness and minimize suffering. To me that automatically makes you an asshole, yes. Because it shows you don't care about other people. Ruin your life thing was probably in poor taste. More like keep you away from me and my kind.

NeoreactionSafe • 7 points • 22 April, 2016 02:20 PM

Masculinity is to define oneself as apart from the herd with an ego boundry.

Take that ego boundry (Frame) and add Game to weaponize it.

Now gain the Luciferian knowledge that the pyramid demands that those below must be dumber than those above which creates the **need** for a Blue Pill to keep the tyrants in power.

Awaken further to realize if **everyone** knows Game then the tyrants investment in the Blue Pill is negated by the Red Pill.

Sexual strategy is Game aware... morality is the tyrants Blue Pill brainwashing.

Freedom means never apologizing for the Blue Pill.

The Blue Pill is the cruel deception of the tyrants. (globalists)

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 07:08 PM

Morals are how people convince others to do their bidding, while they ignore the same oaths completely.

You're morally obligated to continue working for me, continue being in a relationship with me, continue this or that.

NeoreactionSafe • 4 points • 22 April, 2016 07:16 PM

The Blue Pill is filled with morals.

That pretty well sums it up...

[deleted] • 0 points • 22 April, 2016 02:57 PM

| morality is the tyrants Blue Pill brainwashing.

Without morality we have no reason to go against the tyranny.

| The Blue Pill is the cruel deception of the tyrants.

Circular logic. Blue pill is a creation of the tyrants, who are red pill. So how can red pill be against the blue pill?

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 04:21 PM

Morality is given to one as if by "faith" but not by knowledge. One can be moral without being Game aware. Sheep can be moral and sheep tend to obey the tyrants.

The Blue Pill is created by the tyrants (globalists these days) to dumb down the masses and make them beta slaves.

The Red Pill wakes us up and makes us realize the Blue Pill is a mythology, not truth.

The "enlightened ones" can be Dark or Light.

Dark Luciferians desire to increase the darkness in service of their ego which is ultimately an illusion. (self deception)

Those who increase the light read the Red Pill and just see the Blue Pill better.

One can know Game, but choose the larger truth rather than the lessor truth.

Ego is always **less than** the universal mind.

Lucifer is always less than or equal to God.

simplepill • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 04:48 PM

I think he's just trolling, look at his argument with redpillschool below.

KartagoPill • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 07:22 PM

There is no good, no bad. Only different.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 07:47 PM

There is no right or wrong, only what you can or can't do

masnera • 1 point • 23 April, 2016 06:36 AM

Sexual strategy (getting laid) is Amoral, Business strategy (getting money/resources) is amoral, Women's way of finding a mate/provider(security/commitment) is amoral.

Survival of the Fittest mother fuckers. Mother Nature work... at its best.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 02:49 PM*

So sick of this "sex is amoral" bullshit. If anything involving sex were immoral, there shouldn't be any qualms about me raping women, or castrating/killing other men to reduce competition. Sex doesn't exist in a vacuum.

I don't want to be murdered, and I willingly take part in a social contract of not murdering.

Don't want to be lied to? Don't lie. Don't want to be stolen from? Don't steal. These same concepts apply to the sexual market. If you can't get laid without resorting to immoral practices, you're just not up to snuff, and I'd argue that you're not a real man.

On a side note, moral principles *can* be described/explained. To anyone reading this, I suggest looking into the ideas behind self-ownership, voluntaryism, and the non-aggression principle.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 03:03 PM

So you didn't read my post?

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 03:17 PM

I did read it. I don't believe you give any actual explanation as to why morality applies to other aspects of life, but not sex.

Sexual strategy is amoral. There are those who have sex, and there are those who do not. What contract will you sign up for? The one that results in you having sex? Or the ones that you're told are moral to uphold but do not bring you sex?

Change "sex" to "business" and see if you still feel the same way.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 03:23 PM

I did read it.

But you didn't. I'll just copy and paste from the article to rebut.

Don't want to be lied to? Don't lie. Don't want to be stolen from? Don't steal.

FTFA:

Murder, stealing, rape, these were all concepts that most people entering into the social contract said, I don't want these things, my best strategy is to cooperate, therefore I should not do them. And the basic framework of morality was built.

Killing Nazis? Moral. Killing your neighbor? Immoral. A simple code to pass on the social contract that enables society and really helped us as a species!

I think you and I agree when I say that we've both signed on to this concept. I don't want to be murdered, and I willingly take part in a social contract of not murdering. Most people have this concept built in evolutionarily- it's called empathy! Empathy helped groups to survive. Empathy follows this model, as most felt righteous or indifferent towards the killing of enemies and food.

So, yes, there is a framework we're calling morality, but understand what gave rise to it and how/why it works. Also understand that morality is not a constant nor objective, and some people have

determined a different set of rules will best maximize their success or happiness. If they break our rules, we determine it to be detrimental to our own survival, because we depend on the social contract to exist ourselves!

These same concepts apply to the sexual market.

FTFA:

But what happens if this framework isn't based on a contract that benefits all who participate?

What if you signed a contract that said you will pay me \$40/month, but I will provide nothing in return?

Eventually you realize that the contract makes no sense!

[W]e're also told that an older man courting a young impressionable 19 year old is immoral because of the age gap- he's too influential, it would be coercion. Tell me, if we avoid doing this out of our sake for morality- where is our benefit in this social contract? It's the feminine imperative you are seeing.

Do not exploit common psychology to build attraction because it is immoral.

This is a prime example of why sexual strategy is amoral. Because at the end of the day, their mating strategy is contingent on beta's failures, and our strategy is based on theirs to fail! Therefore there is no common social contract that we can commit to that benefits both genders. Only men are so easily fooled into entering into these social contracts because they work well for society in general, that they forgot to look at the feminine imperative and ask, but how does that benefit me?

If anything involving sex were immoral, there shouldn't be any qualms about me raping women, or castrating/killing other men to reduce competition.

Once again, FTFA:

Obviously understanding that we are operating within other frames we believe do benefit us.

Obviously rape is a poor decision because it goes against the personal freedom social contract we currently live by. And I support that ideal.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 03:28 PM

Do not exploit common psychology to build attraction because it is immoral.

According to whom? I don't think there's anything immoral about persuasion. Persuasion is not coercion. Nothing you've said actually gives any tangible reason for why morality doesn't apply to sex.

Obviously understanding that we are operating within other frames we believe do benefit us. Obviously rape is a poor decision because it goes against the personal freedom social contract we currently live by. And I support that ideal.

So you agree with me. Using force, coercion, lies, or theft to achieve sex is a no-no.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 22 April, 2016 03:30 PM*

According to whom? I don't think there's anything immoral about persuasion. Persuasion is not coercion. Nothing you've said actually gives any tangible reason for why morality doesn't apply to sex.

If you had read the fucking article, you wouldn't be asking these questions...

So you agree with me. Using force, coercion, lies, or theft to achieve sex is a no-no.

No, *you* agree with me. That was copied and pasted from the article you just didn't read.

And you now you get a time out.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 09:52 PM

Lol you blocked his ass?

Dude constantly spews bp faggot "a real man does this" shit. He's a literal cuck, he enjoys watching his wife get fucked. And yet he thinks he's alpha. Why? Its a mystery to me.

simplepill • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 04:48 PM

According to whom? I don't think there's anything immoral about persuasion. Persuasion is not coercion. Nothing you've said actually gives any tangible reason for why morality doesn't apply to sex.

He didn't say that actions themselves are above morality. He said that morality is relative and differs between people. Most people's morality systems are based on social contract. And that when deciding on your own actions, you do so through your own lens of morality.

Did you read it at all?

simplepill • 1 point • 22 April, 2016 04:46 PM

Man, he's right though, you didn't read his article did you?