

# **I think there's a lot of overlap between FDS and this sub, because otherwise I would not understand why you keep bringing them up.**

April 20, 2020 | 7 upvotes | by [zino193](#)

---

Now, instead of training betas to be more alpha like traditional societies these dating scientists argue that you can tame the alpha 2.0 (the magical successful man that is somehow handsome but has the agency of a 14 year old with a boner) to be a beta. This is a fundamental flaw.

Fundamentally if women found simp behavior attractive, there would be no arseholes within 6 months. But shit doesn't work like that. Instinctually women don't want men that are for lack of a better word - useless.

The regulator of a woman's libido is POWER. All that other shit means nothing if it's not a consequence of power or used to exert power on a man's surroundings. And these "experts in men" argue that the issue with men is that they have too much power. LOL...

But I get their frustration. It must seem so unfair to be a strong independant woman and still be powerless in your dating life. The unavoidable truth is that men get picked for sex, and women HAVE to be picked for relationships. Fundamentally men have to DECIDE to be with you. Day after day... This choice can be because he wants you, or because he wants A woman i.e. he has choices but choose you, or has no choice other than you.

There is no woman on Earth, that can be continuously desired and wanted by a man with options without being a good girl for her daddy. If you don't put 100% in for your man, and don't feel like your guy choose you over any other slut daily, you won't value his commitment, and you won't feel like you are a bad bitch that can keep her man.

This is the hormonal contract you signed - you don't need a companion, you don't need someone that has your back, and gives you the bat when you have a home intruder. You don't need someone to argue with when you have to make major decisions in lose-lose situations. You might want a partner, given all the BS in our culture, but you do not NEED him.

You need someone that crushes it, that you can lay your head on and sleep, knowing he has can handle shit. However that is scary. You do not have power with a man you want, and if you have power over him, that fact makes you now want him. If your esteem is derived from male power ques, this is a harder pill to swallow than what the red pill is for nice blue pillers. And a pill that makes all the BS in FDS a fantasy.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

romodoc1 • 17 points • 20 April, 2020 06:56 AM\*

Sometimes you guys seem more sexually intrigued by your definition of so-called alpha men than you seem interested in women.

[deleted] • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 08:34 AM

Lmfao its so true

poppy\_blu • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 10:38 AM

Ding ding

ItWasBrokenAlready • 7 points • 20 April, 2020 07:20 AM

As a former yaoi fangirl - the homoerotic tension is delicious!

Sir\_manalot • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 09:42 AM

Men are stupid like that.

Becoming Alpha isn't something to strive for and if you have to change yourself to fit some definition of alpha...then you cannot be alpha.

A sit contradicts what an alpha is in the first place.

There are top tier men that are betas and became top tier men because they are beta. And most alphas are the weakest and most pathetic in society because they are alpha (drug dealers, deadbeats, etc).

tickledpic • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:53 AM

I was with you at the start, but by end you just made some gobbledygook sounds.

Sir\_manalot • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 02:54 PM

How the heck is that wrong?

taapy234 • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 07:30 AM

When men talk about alpha men, we are more of a "teach me your ways sempai!!" type looking up to them. I look forward to read and hear success stories from chads. That doesn't mean we have any more interest than that.

When women talk about alpha men, they are all about creaming their pants from the thought of those men.

Also its quite funny how you didn't address any part of OP's points in the little comment of yours. Just some snide remarks about his word usage like some middle aged gossiping woman.

TL;DR-- Stop projecting your fantasies onto men.

PollaTronante • 9 points • 20 April, 2020 08:20 AM

You literally just proved her point. The only people "creaming their pants" at the thought of a so-called alpha male are you and other RP types.

Sekina7 • 5 points • 20 April, 2020 10:22 AM

Literally ...

taapy234 • -2 points • 20 April, 2020 10:52 AM

I don't think I did. Sounds like you have poor reading comprehension here.

PollaTronante • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 12:20 AM

Why is it that reading comprehension skills are always brought up when the commenter has nothing else with which to support his/her argument?

romodoc1 • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 07:38 AM

Funny how I didn't address the OPs points?

I guess. The points are based on basic assumptions I've heard a few times. Those assumptions have been disputed effectively several times. But some seemingly sexually deprived men are so hung up on their sexual fixation with chads / alphas that they refuse to see reality.

I'm happily coupled so I don't feel the need to debate some guy who wants what my man has about assumptions I know for a fact aren't true but guys are so caught up in the fantasy to see.

ItWasBrokenAlready • 8 points • 20 April, 2020 07:28 AM

You need someone that crushes it, that you can lay your head on and sleep, knowing he has can handle shit.

That's a very traditional sexual dynamic, and you're framing it as if there is no overlap between alpha/provider traits, which is untrue. The standard tradcon fantasy is a strong, leader man who'll burn cities for his beloved, or at least die on goddamn Titanic for her, not a decadent playboy who plates her. ;)

Sekina7 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 10:25 AM

EXACTLY! They think what a 20 year old with no responsibilities s how women think for life ? Money is also power and a man they has strived to be able to provide an above average lifestyle for the women and possible women in his life is a STRONG aphrodisiac, these males forget women have also been shamed for going for wealth which I'd stupid considering kids and married life is VERY EXPENSIVE! And stressed out mothers who are doing it ALL tend to not have time for looking good , the gym , sex etc !

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 10:53 AM

[deleted]

OXOzymandias • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:06 AM

It'd be nice if this was the case, but it's just something you want, many women see man as separable from his money and don't respect a man solely for his ability to provide (or at all really)

Yeah i see less and less money being important.

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 08:37 AM

Everything is transactional. Love is not for anyone.

Actually it isn't they are kinda losers. They want to be betas, just respected. And female red pillers are ok with any man, as long as he is worth her respect.

Sir\_manalot • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 09:48 AM

There is no overlap as betas and alphas are polar opposites.

People confuse what the terms mean and think men that are winning means he is alpha...when usually that isn't even the case.

Providers are betas and women see them as such, they can be confident, strong and such....but they are still beta and women will see him as such.

Tradcon fantasy is all about making betas into what men should strive to be.

Reisiluu • 5 points • 20 April, 2020 08:45 AM

Fundamentally if women found simp behavior attractive, there would be no arseholes within 6 months. But shit doesn't work like that. Instinctually women don't want men that are for lack of a better word - useless.

If men found manipulative and vindictive women unattractive, divorce rape would disappear.

zino193[S] • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 08:57 AM

All people are manipulative and vindictive - it's not on our calling card. Simps wear a simp flag and recite the simp oath of Protect my fair lady - each time a woman gets slighted.

JustMid • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 09:25 AM

This sub is so full of meaningless assumptions it's pretty funny. It's like have any of you actually interacted with the opposite sex? Are your interactions over the internet?

Pola\_Lita • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 12:02 PM

This.

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 10:59 AM

[deleted]

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:44 AM

Maybe, but the ideas are mainstream. I remember seeing movies from the 90s with strong independent women that were dating some super successful guy and they we're making him wait to show she respects her.

There's this strong independent freshly hit by the wall former coworker, that after having a fwb relationship for about 6-8 years, is now relationship baiting simps with good jobs and making them wait 2 months before she gives it up.

I don't see the sub as relevant, but it's a good collection of a very familiar attitude of single women after 30.

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 12:26 PM

[deleted]

xinf3ct3d • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:46 PM

Shes not trolling she is just stupid. If trolling means banning critical opinions from AskFDS, yea she is trolling.

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:52 PM

Ideas != the sub. The sub is ok we all free around, the ideas however they are just bad, if you think your pussy is worth commitment, you are not a woman that any man should commit to.

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 01:08 PM\*

[deleted]

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 05:41 PM

I don;t think the allegory works here, unless you are arguing I am envious TRP doesn';t have mass appeal.

Ballface8020 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 06:59 AM

The regulator of a woman's libido is POWER

The regulator of woman's libido is HEIGHT. To say it is power is redpilled bullshit.

Women say that they are attracted to power, but that is just virtue signalling because even they know how retarded their height worship is.

[deleted] • 5 points • 20 April, 2020 08:08 AM

Women don't put 'must be powerful' in their tinder bios lmao they put 'must be 6ft'. Where's the virtue signalling?

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 08:15 AM

[removed]

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:35 AM

No, tall guys have bigger penises. We figured it out a while ago lol...

Ballface8020 • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 08:36 AM

no that's not true. And even if it was it wouldn't change the fact that if there was a 6'5" guy with a micro, women would just be like, "I don't care, he's still tall".

Stop trying to justify your retarded obsession with height

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:42 AM

Oh no baby, it's TRUE. The tall, slender men are up to 10 inches at times. And fat! Things need to be proportionate. It wasn't the foot size, it wasn't the space on the arm, it wasn't the nose size.. its height lol.

Some tall men can have small penises sure, short men and regular height men can have big dicks too. But I said it's more common that tall men have bigger dicks lol.

Literally all women know this lol

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 08:46 AM

Literally all women know this lol

Literally all women are retarded.

Frame size is much more correlated with dick size than height is.

But I said it's more common that tall men have bigger dicks lol.

Dodging the question. You will say, "we like tall guys cause they tend to have bigger

dicks" but when you have a 6'5" guy with a micro in front of you you will say, "oh well, he's still tall, teehee!". This proves that the only thing you really care about is height but you just use retarded woman logic to pretend it's about other things because even women know how retarded their height worship is.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:50 AM

A taller frame... is bigger than a shorter frame... talk about idiocy.

Naw , if he has a tiny dick I kick him to the curb. I'm not wasting any body counts.

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 08:55 AM

A taller frame... is bigger than a shorter frame... talk about idiocy.

Joe Frazier, 5'11":

<https://www.hollywoodreporter.com/news/boxer-joe-frazier-muhammad-ali-rocky-258563>

Bob Foster, 6'3": <https://boxrec.com/en/proboxer/9000>

which of them had the bigger frame? You are a woman so you don't know anything. Stop trying to stand up to my superior manly logic. You will only embarrass yourself further.

Naw , if he has a tiny dick I kick him to the curb.

Not if he was 6'5" you wouldn't. I don't want to be vulgar but you would cum just from looking at a 6'5" guy due to your retarded woman biology.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:58 AM

Either way taller men have bigger dicks. Its facts lol. I have seen more dick than you have .. unless you're gay lol.

I also never met a tall man with a small dick so, but I'm sure they exist

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:58 AM

Taller guys feel better when they embrace you. It feels sexier when you look up to them when talking. They just offer more tingles to some women. (I'm not super into height. Anything just above average is fine. But I know the extreme lack of feels when around a very short guy so I can extrapolate this to how some women would feel about short guys)

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 10:55 AM

[deleted]

converter-bot • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 10:55 AM

10 inches is 25.4 cm

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:32 PM

Yes up to, amazing isn't it ! Just go for tall men.

By up to I mean like. A solid 7.5 at min. Or 8 to 10. Best kinds of sizes

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:32 PM

Those are incorrect facts and yup. All worth it to find the biggest dick that actually makes a woman orgasm lol.

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 04:20 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 04:22 PM

They seem pretty normal to me. Like sure, theres many guys with average and small dick as well But I I always go for taller men, I'm telling you! Lol. And luck out every time ☐♀☐ And there are lots of tall men around lol

CommanderOfTheDeath • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 09:52 AM

Actually penis size is equally distrubuted in males. Height does not have an influence on it. That's a common misconception. There are as many small dudes with big dicks as tall dudes with small dicks.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:40 PM

False lol. You have better chances landing a big dick on a tall man than an average man or short man

majani • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:28 PM

Her answer is so lacking in virtue I'm inclined to believe her. Don't bark at women when they reveal their true selves. This is why they resort to virtue signaling in the first place

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:54 AM

Why would they need to explain it? Lol! It's an attraction just as some men have to boobs or a butt. Are you just mad because you're short? It's okay, we can't be everyone's type.

Ballface8020 • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 08:59 AM

>Why would they need to explain it?

Because they subconsciously know how retarded it is.

> It's an attraction just as some men have to boobs or a butt.

::sigh:: this shit again?

1. Women are supposed to look like Emily Ratajkowski. Men are not supposed to look like Lebron James.
2. Men are attracted to all different body shapes, women are all attracted to height.

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 09:03 AM

How is the answer to "why would they need to explain it" that it's retarded.

Again, why do they need to explain their attractions? It doesn't need to be justified to you or even themselves. They just like it. And so what? Why would they need to explain why it's "not retarded" to you or anyone else? It just is. "Retarded" or not. Do you think if you explain that it's retarded and they concede that all of a sudden their attraction to height will go away?

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:11 AM

It doesn't need to be justified to you

Lol wut? Are you seriously trying to claim that women as a group don't owe me, personally, an explanation for what features they are attracted to? I was tempted to get mad for a second but I know you are just trolling so I will just say, "well played". You almost got me there.

It doesn't need to be justified to you or even themselves.

then don't try to justify it with retarded woman logic about how a tall guy can beat up shorter guys. Also, don't you have work today? Get some sleep.

Do you think if you explain that it's retarded and they concede that all of a sudden their attraction to height will go away?

Hell no. Women have retard biology so I know it is unchangeable. I just want them to own their retardation.

romodoc1 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:14 AM

"Retarded biology"

No I don't have to work but thanks for the concern. Do you?

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:14 AM

nah I've never been a fan of working

romodoc1 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:14 AM

Checks out

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 09:05 AM

On one hand you say women are "supposed to look" a certain way. Then in the very next statement say that men are attracted to different body shapes. Make up your mind.

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:07 AM

There is no contradiction. Some men are attracted to features that maybe aren't as objectively beautiful. I am one such man myself. I wouldn't want every woman to look like Emily Ratajkowski but women would be in heaven if every guy was 6'9 (except for really short women who would want a guy that was 7'2")

romodoc1 • 4 points • 20 April, 2020 09:08 AM

Yeah okay dude. Every single woman wants a 6'9 guy ☐. You guys and your fantasies.

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:12 AM

Watch the video.

Every woman will say that she wants a guy who is 6'3, but when she actually sees



the 6'9 guy in front of her she will turn to jelly.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 09:00 AM

Man idc about the biological implications of it, tall men just LOOK better. It's like men wanting fertile women but being disgusted by body hair - it's a sign of fertility, they should be *biologically* attracted to it but 'ewwww shave shave it off I don't like how it looks'! I've never heard a woman explain her reasoning for wanting a tall dude as 'he can beat other dudes up'. He is just hotter, plain and simple.

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:03 AM

I've never heard a woman explain her reasoning for wanting a tall dude as 'he can beat other dudes up'.

Are you fucking stupid? They say it on this very sub.

tall men just LOOK better

No they do not. The ancient Greeks considered average height to be the ideal. Women wouldn't know beauty if it hit them in the ass.

[deleted] • 4 points • 20 April, 2020 09:29 AM

You idiots keep asking women to tell you the truth, I'm telling you that TALL MEN LOOK BETTER and you tell me that they don't? The ancient Greek men also fucked each other, why don't all you sexually frustrated men just do that? Since apparently only you guys know beauty when it hits you in the ass ;)

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:31 AM

You idiots keep asking women to tell you the truth

I'm not a redpill. I'm a blackpill. I don't want women to tell the truth. I want them to shut up.

I'm telling you that TALL MEN LOOK BETTER and you tell me that they don't?

That is correct. Men are supposed to have bodies like Brad Pitt or Jake Gyllenhal, not Chris Hemsworth.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:35 AM

The blackpill is the truth, it's a shame you don't get it. And all those men are pretty sexy, men can look like any one of those 3 I ain't complaining

Ballface8020 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 09:38 AM

Brad is 5'10.5", the exact ideal male height. Jake is actually a little taller than ideal at 5'11.5", so he probably wasn't the best example.

You would take one night with the 6'7 Lebron James over a whole lifetime with Brad because of your retarded woman biology that tells you to worship height.

The blackpill is the truth, it's a shame you don't get it.

How do I not get it? Maybe you don't get it as you don't want to accept that your biology is retarded.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 09:55 AM

Average is not ideal and beauty definitions are not solely based on biology.  
Why do men prefer hairless women?

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:26 PM

No inc\*l content.

TomahawkSuppository • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:15 PM

The size of a mans wallet and the power that he wields trumps height.

AutoModerator[M] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 06:21 AM

### Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

*I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.*

DangZagnut • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 06:39 AM

The only way these FDS trolls can land an alpha is by baby trapping him by sabotaging birth control. That's about it.

And hope he's simp enough to be a stand up guy and show up. Like a simp.

FDS is not hot 18 year olds. It's bitter 30+ types who want that money.

satanic\_hamster • 6 points • 20 April, 2020 06:46 AM

FDS is not hot 18 year olds. It's bitter 30+ types who want that money.

Some real wildebeests.

[deleted] • 5 points • 20 April, 2020 07:26 AM

Yeah. One of the incel subreddits had a post that were some guy went through plenty of their profiles and found their selfies. These girls were average at best and they are gonna attract a high value man. At best, they can maybe find some moderately successful software developer in his late 30s and hope he's desperate enough to put up with that bullshit.

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 07:52 AM

Lol! So an average 30+ yo woman on FDS will end up with a “moderately successful software developer in his late 30s“ .... ooooh no! The horror! Lol In your fantasy, she’s getting punished because she’d theoretically be happier with some fuck boy with anger issues towards women running through multiple partners who you have sexually idolized as an alpha? Okay buddy.

If that man with a great job also treats her well and is offering her commitment and a great lifestyle, he’s HV to her and she’s winning according to her own standards. So why pretend like that’s some sort of punishment?

Newsflash: most women are average. But most of you guys who are obsessed with the dicks of your so-called alphas are markedly below average.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 08:17 AM

No, it's funny because they would want that successful man with good looks; they are being forced to settle for a non-goodlooking guy. And that's just a best case scenario. I've worked in the software engineering field, most of them are normal guys who wouldn't put up with that bullshit that FDS tells their users to do. While there's certainly the stereotypical nerd looking guys, I would say they are only over represented, but they're not the majority.

Those broads want a 6ft fit guy making half a million dollars that will somehow put up being treated like dirt while they worship the ground they walk on. Only delusional people think the advice from there works besides the common sense shit.

romodoc1 • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 08:20 AM\*

How do you know he isn't good looking? Have you seen their pics? Or does that just satisfy your revenge fantasy?

Attractive guy who makes a good living and treats her well seems very reasonable and would easily make the majority of women happy. But you have this extreme fantasy both of what they expect and what you think they get in the end.

Oh yeah, and their advice works. I can tell you from experience and observation. Like anything online, it's represented in a more extreme and stringent way than it should be realistically executed, but the general tenants absolutely work. All the women I know who refuse to follow that advice keep getting frustrated at their terrible outcomes.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 08:32 AM

That's like saying The Red pill works because the very basic advice is solid.

Of course going to the gym and improving your standing will make you more desirable to women.

Of course not fucking a dude on the first date will vet out guys that nut and run.

You don't need to subscribe to an incel ideology to follow basic advice any normal person figured out at 14.

But take an attractive guy who makes good money and put him next to some post wall bitch making demands before they even fuck, and that guy will go out for a smoke and make you foot the whole bill.

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 08:39 AM\*

Just like most of the redpill losers (aka previous/ current incels) haven't figured out

those basics, lots of women have been socialized to accept the bare minimum. In fact they often accept less than the basic acceptable standards. Many need FDS to help them remember that they don't have to accept what many people constantly tell them they are "bitches" or "stuck up" if they refuse accept.

You'd be surprised how many of my own friends second guess themselves and say they don't want to come across as "entitled" for expecting very basic things.

Oh I almost missed your little fantasy at the end. ☐ It's really cute the hard on you have for these men and the revenge fantasy you have for these women. Literally most 30+yo women I know, when they go out, are still swatting off men like flies. And honestly, what "demands" do you think these women are actually making verbally or overtly? Do you know how women work at all?!

[deleted] • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 09:22 AM

I've seen post on FDS were they demand an expensive first date were the guy foots the bill. Like I took my current gf to a coffee house were I maybe paid 10 dollars, something a lot of FDSers would deem me as s LVM. Meanwhile I've been with my GF for over 2 years and we're talking about marriage. And best part is that she's not a post-wall whore, being 10 years my junior.

Many of my friends specifically go after single old woman for a quick nut, as they tend to be desperate and will put out quite easily. That doesn't mean they're relationship material. The problem with FDS is that they still believe a guy showing mild interest means he'll put up with half the bullshit they spew there, especially if he's a HVM that can get a "pickmesah."

romodoc1 • 3 points • 20 April, 2020 09:25 AM

I mean you probably can't afford it. Not their demographic.

They aren't going for you. A guy interested in building something long term with a woman will invest in a well thought out first date. Nothing much to it. I've seen so many times (on this forum) men say they aren't going to pay lots of money for a first date when they just want to fuck multiple women anyway. Those are exactly who they are trying to wean out.

Sekina7 • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 10:32 AM

She just doesn't know yet they she can do better hence why you went 10 years younger ....go look on R/breakingmom and see how happy those women who settled for "not wanting to seem entitled /go 50-50) worked out for them . It's actually very sad ....

[deleted] 20 April, 2020 10:29 AM

[removed]

DangZagnut • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:53 AM

I'm saying the only way FDS women can really lock down a man is by baby trapping him. Don't worry, it's a long tradition of women to sabotage their birth control to "help" the relationship when there's problems.

And before you deny it I know women who have actually done it so your anecdote is cancelled by my anecdote.

If you look at all FDS strategies, they've got many good quality manipulation ones going on, but scant information about how to have any value in a relationship other than your pussies.

You gotta offer something to get that money.

Sekina7 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:56 AM

Obviously that goes BOTH WAYS. FYI we do not do the chasing , BEGGING etc. We are high value women and any man that approaches , pursues already knows this ? HENCE why they pursue and stick around if sex isn't on the table after a month and they will be HAPPILY fitting the bill . But this is about class and I'm not sure you'd be able to comprehend...

DangZagnut • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:58 AM

So what's the value you have again?

Where's that value coming from? Are you all millionaires?

Mrs\_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 12:28 PM

Be civil

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 10:51 AM

I wish people would stop mentioning sub. I believe it is a troll sub

Mimoxs • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 06:56 AM

There are plenty of *attractive* men ready to full commit at the slightest notion of affection, it's dependent on putting up with the "crazy" types.

All humans need companionship. It is actually scientifically considered to be a need among human beings.

Also plenty of "role reversal" women/femdoms would disagree with a lot of this. Soft feminine boy > any other kind of male

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 07:11 AM

But compassion and care does not breed affection from the other. Maybe gratitude, maybe nurturing, but not affection.

Also plenty of "role reversal" women/femdoms would disagree with a lot of this. Soft feminine boy > any other kind of male

You never met a Domme have you? They are quite different than their male counterparts. I dont know a domme to be actively pursuing sisies. The fantasy is about breaking masculinity. It's a taboo. After the pinnacle, the excitement diminishes. After that breaking point is achieved the dynamic is more motherly, with sprinkled cruelty, but the energy just isn't there anymore. Also, a lot of them are in paralel subs for their own doms.

For male doms, the feminimine, the gender specific norm is encouraged, to a ridiculous degree actually. The more she submits the hotter it is. Even for brats or switches - where there is a certain breaking point, or you have that taboo component - the satisfaction is derived in the aftermath, the tension and "breaking" is for the woman/subs benefit. For the dom it's just poof of love and dedication.

Mimoxs • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 07:51 AM

I mean, I am one, partially. There's different types. I love femboys/sissies, high pitched voices, frail, crossdresser, that sort of thing. "Tomgirl" is the term I've heard.

Have you ever seen r/gentlefemdom or r/RoleReversal? Like that. Soft sweet boys that are eager to submit to a girl from the getgo, and zero cruelty or pain. It's the most intimate act humanly achievable imo.

Idk, with maledom anything harsh or involving extreme power dynamic is just kind of boring. It's not special in any way, like, it just seems dull bc it's like a caveman or apes or something, with the whole rapey aspect and how miserable/uncomfortable the girls always look. Unless rapeplay is their thing, which I guess is true for a lot of mdoms, to each their own I guess. If someone wants me to subject myself to pain and misery to "prove" dedication to them, that's someone that needs to be avoided.

Edit BUT it is kinda nice to see very soft feminine boys being dominant, basically as long as it's not a "manly" man being an mdom I'm cool with it if it's mild

zino193[S] • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 08:33 AM

:)) those subs remind me of weeb sexual roleplay.

Idk, it feels a bit creepy to me. It's like playing house online. It's cute, not sexual. So if it gets sexual it feels ... wrong... a bit pedo-esque.

I am all for the little girl thing, but I need them to be overtly sexual, to want it. getting into a girls panties with a tea party or some other "kawaii" performance gives me the creep - that's why I can't do DDLG - sounds great, doesn't work.

Sekina7 • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 10:34 AM

And you think you deserve a quality women whilst being into “the little girl thing “ ????? ☐☐☐☐

zino193[S] • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 11:03 AM

And you think you're capable of having a adult conversation about kinks while saying shit like: "deserve" and "quality women" :P

Sekina7 • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:04 AM

Both proven words in the English language. Stop using “kink” to mask your fetish for LITTLE GIRLS. Creep...

zino193[S] • 0 points • 20 April, 2020 11:39 AM

Both projections meant to shame men into behaving properly.

Yes, Kink does cover Dadddy Dom, Little girl fetish. Sorry, you don't get to kink shame :P

OXOzymandias • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 11:11 AM

☐

Mimoxs • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 03:36 PM

Ahh I am... Definitely what most people would consider to be a weeb.

It's less the dynamic for me and more of the type, like that kind of hyper-feminine boys.

Nowadays a lot of guys are very hairy and muscly and overtly masculine and I just can't get into

that... I just like boys with the face/high voice/frailness/size and shaven legs like a girl, just with a dick and masculine personality traits and no tits :p it's cute when they're submissive, but dominant is great too, maybe like a 30-70 s-d balance. I just don't like it when "masculine" looking men are dominant, or them in general.

I can't get into pedophilic stuff either. The "mommy" side of femdom creeps me out.

Oh and it's kawaii, the ii is pronounced two syllables or it's more like the word for "scary", haha

□

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 05:25 PM

lol, You'll be a great switch for some dom someday. It's □□□□ if you are that pedantic, also english isn't phonetical language so you aren't obligated to spell it based on how it sounds

Mimoxs • 2 points • 20 April, 2020 05:35 PM

Oh yeah I was a Japanese major actually (that's how much of a weeb I am). I was just teasing haha

zino193[S] • 1 point • 20 April, 2020 05:45 PM

damn, you're the full japanese experience. Dubs and all.