

Feminine society hates chemistry

247 upvotes | 28 December, 2016 | by Archwinger

I was inspired today by our resident Superstar Gaylubeoil and decided that the new year is as good a time as any to try to make the world a better place.

In the womb, we're all women for about 60 days. Boys are technically male on account of having a Y chromosome in the fertilizing sperm, but all babies follow the same developmental steps, regardless of sex, for the first two months. Male fetuses only begin developing male sex organs around the time that they're exposed to a significant blast of testosterone.

Testosterone changes a whole lot of things for both sexes. It's responsible for sex drive, developing muscle, growing hair, and hundreds of other bodily processes. The quantity of testosterone and other hormones in your body, versus the quantities of similar hormones in women, is arguably what makes you male.

Why are men physically stronger than women? Testosterone.

Why are men naturally more aggressive risk-takers? Testosterone.

Why are men more horny than women? Testosterone.

Even weak-ass loser men at the bottom of society who can't get laid to save their lives are men, in their own way. They'll throw themselves into college calculus, their cubicle IT and engineering jobs, their gaming, and their internet rants with more fire, aggression, passion, and risk than any woman. Why? Testosterone.

Why are men masculine? Why do men exhibit a collection of traits that have come to be known as "masculinity"? Testosterone.

When our modern feminine society goes to war against "toxic masculinity", what society is really warring against isn't bro culture. Society is at war against science. Chemistry. Society would prefer that men stop acting the way that their hormones drive them to act, and start acting the way that women act. Society wants to end the evil toxic patriarchal influence that chemistry has exerted on these poor, unfortunate men. Down with chemistry!

It will be another twenty years or so before young boys are force-fed drugs to curb their testosterone production to prevent them from becoming masculine men, all in the name of preventing rape, preventing violence, and improving their performance at school. Mark my words – that day is coming. But until then, the war is primarily ideological. It's actually a bit of a religious war.

Society would have you believe, on faith, that science is a myth and chemistry doesn't exist. That the only reason men and women are different is their upbringing. That the only reason masculinity even exists is because we live in an evil patriarchal society that teaches men to take risks, seek out sex, and involve themselves passionately in their hobbies and interests. To pursue power and success. That if we didn't teach men these lessons (while simultaneously teaching girls to not do any of this), men and women would be completely identical.

That's right. Men wouldn't even miss sex or lament when they're not having it, except for the fact that the evil patriarchy taught them that real men get laid. Men would openly be weak, timid, flee from risk like little children, and afraid of their own penis if only we would let them. The only reason any man, anywhere, acts even the slightest bit masculine is because our evil patriarchal society forces him to pretend to be something he's not.

Society would have you believe that we're all actually just like women inside, but we're pretending we're

not because we're afraid of being called gay. That masculinity is one big pretense. Society isn't just warring against masculinity by telling you it's wrong. Society advocates that masculinity doesn't even exist. That it's all one big game of make-believe men are playing so that other men don't make fun of us. That we're not really like this. That we're really all just like women, who just happen to have a penis.

The current fashionable religion promoted by most of society is that science is the boss of everything. There is no God. We're all animals. Everybody can and should be free to do anything he or she wants to do as long as we're not hurting anybody, and nobody should ever judge anybody for anything. Society currently promotes the religion of hedonism and individuality. Do whatever makes you happy.

Except for sex. Then, down with science! Sex needs to be strictly regulated...for men. Women should be free to do whatever feels good. Whatever makes them happy. No judgment. No shame. They are beautiful and sexually desirable no matter how they look or act. There is no wrong way to be a woman. Women need to be encouraged to be happy however they want to live, whatever they choose.

But men need to be taught to control their sexual impulses (which, of course, are only present because of their upbringing and not evil, patriarchal chemistry). Taught not to rape. Taught about consent. Men need to learn to sit on their hands and wait to be chosen by women. Any woman, because they're all valid women regardless of age, looks, or behavior. And the men who are never chosen need to be okay with that. Men need to be taught to be happy however women want to live, whatever women choose.

Is it any wonder that so many men channel their masculine energy into the internet? Video games? Fantasy sports?

Without masculinity, men are lost. They're not men. They're just boys. They're flooded with testosterone, then told to be women. So they curb their risk-taking behavior and follow the more accepted, safe path to a stable future. They don't compete. They don't work out. They channel their sexual impulses toward porn and masturbation. They don't chase women. They're passive. They don't hang out with bros from the gym or the frat and act traditionally manly. They hang out with other guys just like them and channel their aggression into gaming and watching sports.

Men aren't really just like women, but we're getting there. Society has successfully redirected male competitiveness and leadership from group-oriented roles to individual pursuits. Turned men from society's leaders, innovators, and hardest workers into a bunch of video gamers and internet ranters.

And in twenty years, this won't be an issue. Your eight-year-old sons will be given medication to reduce their testosterone to governmentally-approved levels that will limit their muscularity, curb their sexual impulses, and diminish their aggression and competitiveness. Because modern research will indicate that all of this testosterone in the bodies of losers who can't get laid or amount to anything is making men unhappy. That more testosterone = more mental health issues, more rape, more violence, and worse performance in school. To help young boys out, society will, literally, turn them into women.

This coming year, make a resolution. Teach at least one other man how to be a man. A real one. Show him the real path to happiness. Embrace these evil, evil gender roles that society hates so much. And pass them on. It's not about changing the world. It's about spreading happiness and love. Teaching lost boys how to really be happy instead of allowing them to follow the teachings of a shitty society that's at war with chemistry.

Find this and other content at The Red Pill's off-Reddit site. Here's a [link](#).

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 59 points • 28 December, 2016 11:10 PM*

Solid post and sadly I want to point out that the chemical war against men has already begun.

The target: Our 5 year old brothers

The weapon: Stimulant "medication" (Amphetamines)

The reason: Kids underperforming in school and misbehaving

The truth: Boys will be boys is no longer tolerated. The gold standard of behavior is a girls behavior.

The judge and jury: 26 year old elementary education teachers who got fucked sideways throughout college with C averages, 50 year old cat lady principals, physicians who give a 15 minute exam and a questionnaire with probing questions like.. "does he dislike sitting still for 90 minutes at a time "

Read the War on Boys and redpill yourself again. Something like 20 percent of American boys are on stims for a *disorder* that didn't exist til recently. In my neighborhood there are retarded milfs withholding gluten, foods with dye, and dairy from their kids BUT they give *speed* out like tic tacs.

And if you have a son, go the other way:

Make him play a lot of sports and do all his homework and teach him to take pride in his achievements and that he isn't special for existing. Make him go to the gym and do pushups while you lift. Introduce him to your gymbros and make him hold eye contact when he greets them. My kid does his homework there while taking breaks for burpees, pullups, and lunges. Girls in his class love him and I teach him the real value of a woman's attention. Zero.

Watch him *crush* his peers who are fat, whiny and lost playing Clash of Clans all weekend

Archwinger[S] • 35 points • 28 December, 2016 11:26 PM

That's definitely a huge issue. Not that long ago, an 8-year-old boy not liking school, homework, and sitting still for six hours straight was considered normal.

Today, that is a medical condition that requires mind-altering drugs that numb the boy's natural impulses and make it easier for him to sit in one place for long stretches of time and follow instructions.

It's like we're deliberately raising video gamers.

[deleted] • 22 points • 28 December, 2016 11:30 PM

Its the first step toward your ominous prediction of mandatory drugs that reduce testosterone.

They began with altering behavior

Now transgendering boys is "fashionable"

Next stop won't be pretty for boys born to men who aren't RPaware

Archwinger[S] • 26 points • 29 December, 2016 12:41 AM

We have a ways to go before 90% of us are made into castrated slaves and the remainder re locked up in cages and milked for our semen. Don't worry.

First comes the undoing of monogamous marriage. The argument will be that marriage is an evil patriarchal relic of the past that evil men used to enslave unwilling women and control their sexuality.

That real marriage is supposed to be about love, not ownership and control. So there should be no

expectation that you own your wife's vagina simply because you happen to be her husband. She should be free to fuck whoever she wants. Because you married her out of love, not out of a desire to own and control her, right? And you share your income and your home with her out of love, not as some kind of trade for sex. You aren't calling her a whore, are you? You're not entitled to sex simply because you married her, and you're definitely not entitled to restrict or control her sex life using your marriage and your money.

Then comes the androgyny. Popularizing the message that strong, man-ish women are sexy (and any men who don't like it are insecure and aren't "real men"). Popularizing the idea that skinny, smooth, passive androgynous men are sexy, but that not having sex with your androgynous husband while having sex outside of the marriage is normal, because everyone knows that sexual attraction cools over time.

Then passing this on to young boys by teaching them to avoid the gym because skinny is sexy. To avoid competition and aggression, because that's not attractive. To medicate them for various disorders if they're aggressive, impulsive, or competitive. To direct their sexual impulses toward masturbation. Maybe even normalize homosexual release with friends while growing up. Turn the next generation of boys into skinny worker bees who subsist on cheap carb-heavy diets, masturbation, and small living spaces that they don't mind since all they do is surf the internet and play video games.

Then, when nobody's around to complain about it, push the idea that men are inherently violent aggressive rapists who aren't performing well in school, aren't happy, and prone to mental illness due to unusual hormonal imbalances. Low T will be fairly normal among the androgynous generation, but any outliers who still have regular testosterone levels will be medicated to bring their masculinity back to normal levels. Who wants a deep-voiced, hairy son who builds muscle anyway? Everyone knows that's not attractive and that those dangerous hormone levels might cause him to become a mentally ill, violent rapist.

[deleted] • 17 points • 29 December, 2016 02:47 AM*

Oh Gawd, dont get me started on the androgynous kids.

I've already picked a few choice photos for a post that I haven't had time to write.

The photos arent of the kids. It's the parents.

Everymotherfucking time I see any boy who chose to be a girl, I take a moment and look up pics of the mom and dad .

Mom..short hair, feminist cunt

Dad...effete, soft body , shell-shocked look on face.

100 percent of time. Thats a Bingo!!

bigk12345 • 7 points • 29 December, 2016 03:10 AM

Canada is becoming a disaster .

Here is the new age perfect family in the eye of feminists.

<https://www.google.ca/amp/s/www.thestar.com/amp/news/gta/2016/07/11/baby-storm-five-years-later-preschooler-on-top-of-the-world.html>

[deleted] • 12 points • 29 December, 2016 12:36 PM

Look at the smug look on those parents face. They are literally child abusers and feel they

are worthy of praise

BluepillProfessor • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 05:11 PM

If you smack your kid for running in the street protective services is all over your shit but this abuse is encouraged.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 07:28 PM*

I've read about parents being rung up for *letting their kids go to the playground unsupervised*.

Today "going out to play" is illegal.

Dressing up girls as boys and demanding admission to the boy scouts gets you on CNN and lots of social validation as a good progressive

bigk12345 • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 06:44 PM

It is not "abuse" in the parents' eyes.

They are liberating the kid and providing the freedom to be anything they want. Of course, they are missing the point is that they are defining the kids identity from a young age and putting the poor kid on the path to unhealthy view of the world.

What is sad is that these abusers are pigeonholing their kids to fit their own narrative in search of some egalitarian utopia.

I read an interesting question from Jordan Peterson on twitter. The question was : "Would you want your kids to be safe or strong?"

Boys are risk takers from a very young age, they go climb trees, fall, get some scratches , play sports, ridicule silly girls by throwing snowballs at them and pulling on their pony tails.

You cannot safeguard them from life, which is OK. They feel out their way through life and an ideal scenario of having strong father/ feminine mother would help them to self- correct their way through life until the boys grow up.

Essentially, what these abusers are doing is keeping their kids "safe" from being a boy and in parents view, they are making their kids much "stronger" that way by repressing their genders.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 03:06 AM

[permanently deleted]

DigitallyDisrupt • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 02:57 PM

I thought that was what feminist looks were all about.

Ba dum tsss

BluepillProfessor • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 05:09 PM

Feminism is already working very well for that. Half the red pill,en can hardly wait for sexbots.

beginner_ • 7 points • 29 December, 2016 09:18 AM

That's definitely a huge issue. Not that long ago, an 8-year-old boy not liking school, homework, and sitting still for six hours straight was considered normal. It's like we're deliberately raising video gamers.

The issue starts with the parents. Parents don't let their boys out to go play in the woods because it's dangerous or they are lazy and don't want to wash dirty clothes all the time. Then the kid stays at home gaming and then ends up restless in school.

bigk12345 • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 06:24 PM

Close family friend told me this story of his young boy that made me cringe.

Kid is an athlete and a great kid. Very active and energetic.

He would get in shit from his lesbian teacher because he kept shifting at his desk in class and she viewed it as a sign of disrespect and "not paying attention" in class.

Here is some lesbo that is already telling the kid he must learn and behave like a girl in class.

selfsufficientnigga • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 08:11 PM

Also keep in mind that our school format is mostly a product of Napoleonic era reforms whose goal was to a) keep children busy while parents work and b) prepare them to be efficient and obedient factory workers.

selfsufficientnigga • 7 points • 29 December, 2016 02:47 PM [recovered]

I believe that the chemical war on men has been going on for a lot longer.

Testosterone was known to be the cause of 'manly' characteristics and boys developing into men in puberty since the beginning of the 20th century at least.

In 1935, Lavoslav Ruzicka synthesized it in lab from cholesterol.

So by that time it was already known that a) testosterone causes 'manly characteristics' and b) our body produces it from cholesterol. Therefore, lowered food intake of cholesterol/saturated fats will in theory lower the amount of testosterone produced by male body.

--

This makes it very suspicious that soon after the world war 2 there was a massive campaign, lead by a man called Ancel Keys, to make cholesterol and fats a horrible bogeyman - mostly performed by blaming the effects of sugar consumption on fat consumption instead, and censoring any opposing voices.

--

If someone wanted a meeker, easier to control population, I see no better and simpler ways to have achieved it than this. The testosterone levels in western males have been steadily falling since.

--

Oh, and also, as soon as the theory that fats are actually good for you and sugar is responsible for the negative effects ascribed to fat could no longer be censored effectively and started making its way to general population (starting somewhere in 80ies, but making a noticeable push forward only in 2000s), a new narrative started to be heavily pushed, conveniently again making meat and animal fats a bogeyman: 'meat consumption is 10000 times more damaging for environment than vegetarian diet'.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 03:47 PM

i am unfamiliar with a link between cholesterol and testosterone. doesnt mean its not true, i just have

homework to do

i had always thought it was big food companies pushing low fat so they could sell high fructose corn syrup and corn who started this nonsense about high fat diets

every day can be a school day

selfsufficientnigga • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 08:09 PM

There is no true or not true to that part, human body uses cholesterol to make testosterone. This is a scientific fact and you can verify it independently.

Yes, the agro industry was a big player in the perpetuation of fat=bad narrative. This is the conspiracy that is often talked about, and it is true. The companies had their own selfish interests in propagating the myth, mainly the corn and sugar subsidies.

But I believe they were just a tool used by a deeper conspiracy: since the companies have financial interest in keeping the scam going, they will also be motivated to invest in it as long as the profit is significantly higher than the investment. So the big-agro money was used to prop-up 'fat is bad' propaganda and undermine, bury and silence 'sugar is bad' studies. However, the main actor in starting this myth, Ancel Keys, was a dietician linked to military (he created the WW2 K-rations for example) and not to those businesses. I believe he knew his theory was false, but was taken advantage of by being told that this (lowering testosterone in population) will prevent the horrible wars such as WW2 (which was still very fresh in people's minds) from occurring again.

So, big agro was used for providing the funds to keep the scam going, and Ancel Keys started the scam because of ideological reasons (he thought it will help in preventing wars). Both big agro and Keys were used and manipulated by the actual conspirators, who had population control as a goal. This is my theory.

growingstronk • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 08:08 PM

Literally just wiki them and look at the top picture

They look like almost the exact same thing

[deleted] • 57 points • 28 December, 2016 07:29 PM

See, that's the beauty of feminism- attacking the image of masculinity as a social construct, with their feelings - rather than with the rationality that logic asserts itself. Science can only be disproven, not proven. There's only a least wrong explanation. It would be a disgustingly dystopian society if people were able to decide and choose to have lower testosterone children or use preventative medicine. The worse thing is that I COULD see feminism pushing for it. The Huffington post will have a article next week on linking testosterone to aggression, we get to see another dosage of "masculinity is toxic" and women 'rally for change'

With women, I've found that they have a desire to be freely promiscuous as they wish, but at the same time call women sluts more than men call women sluts. We've had this circular subject of: be attractive, don't be unattractive. Well, the same can be said for women. Be attractive. Don't be a slut. These rules however, bend in the presence of an alpha, and cognitive dissonance goes "nah, you're cool" and suddenly it's no longer on her mind. If however, their 'radar' is wrong and their shit-tests work, all they will begin to do is run damage control, because by effort of the man not being an alpha, they're just an easy slut who can get laid by that fat, ugly nerd.

I wholeheartedly agree with this statement and it's something I'll try to inaugurate myself. I am guilty of masturbating and putting energy into porn when I could be out growing as a person, this isn't the end of the world: it's a severe lack of direction and sensibility towards "This is the easy route" I think I'll take a turn of

"I'm going to do whatever the fuck I like, and what the fuck are you going to do about it?"

Great post.

BlacknOrangeZ • 11 points • 29 December, 2016 08:21 AM

and women 'rally for change'

The even sadder thing is that it probably won't be women, but pathetic Uncle Tims rallying to chemically stunt young boys. Like that extraordinary "Walk A Mile In Her Shoes" event where 'men' walk around in high heels to virtue signal their condemnation of sexual assault.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:52 PM

With the pathetic mangina Alan Alda leading the charge.

BlacknOrangeZ • 0 points • 29 December, 2016 07:02 PM

Wait, am I supposed to dislike Alan Alda? What did he do?

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 30 December, 2016 05:52 AM

Listen to him sometime when he is talking about girls. Pathetic is putting it exceptionally lightly. Mangina is a few steps up from him. He loathes being born with external plumbing, you can see it in him easily.

[deleted] • 3 points • 28 December, 2016 08:49 PM

Good comment. Ideologues think if they can come up with one seeming counter example to the idea that best fits the data then it means their less supported idea is correct or at least as correct.

Also I agree that women can fuck a string of hot dudes without feeling slutty because they can tell themselves that any woman would do the same. This applies to cheating as well.

DigitallyDisrupt • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 02:56 PM

Science can only be disproven, not proven. There's only a least wrong explanation.

You mean biology can only be disproved. There's a lot of fields of science which are pretty cut and dried.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 05:32 PM

No. Cut and dried only means widely accepted. The Higgs Boson particle did not exist until it did. There can only be a "least wrong" explanation.

wizened • 0 points • 28 December, 2016 10:28 PM

This is basically the plot to the shitty assasins creed movie

AussiePhil82 • 10 points • 29 December, 2016 05:58 AM

Even weak-ass loser men at the bottom of society who can't get laid to save their lives are men, in their own way. They'll throw themselves into college calculus, their cubicle IT and engineering jobs, their gaming, and their internet rants with more fire, aggression, passion, and risk than any woman. Why? Testosterone.

You mean the sort of men who invent shit, solve problems and change the world instead of chasing the next vagina to stick their dicks in?

Paid_Internet_Troll • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 06:18 AM

Yeah.

Like the men who built the Great Wall, the Great Pyramids, and the U.S. Capitol Building; slaves.

Archwinger[S] • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 12:51 PM

You ever notice that Joe fratboy, highly social and muscular college lacrosse player, also goes on to make more money than Jim nerdlinger, introverted engineering major?

Joe runs the department and gets the credit when the company issues a patent on Jim's idea. Joe got his job because he knew people through his social connections, aced the interview, and his few interview missteps were softened because people (even men) let good looking guys screw up more.

You mgtow losers don't get it. The good looking gym bros with social skills that get laid on the weekends are also more professionally accomplished than non social IT cubicle workers. The idea that Chad the gym rat who slays pussy (which is actually a low effort activity for him - he doesn't spend a lot of time "chasing pussy") is automatically stupid and works a loser job is feel good internet candy.

throw17453 • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 01:24 PM

The good looking gym bros with social skills that get laid on the weekends are also more professionally accomplished than non social IT cubicle workers

Yes, but you point out the reason yourself - "with social skills" vs "non social"

The corollary of your point is also true, the IT cubicle worker WITH social skills is making far more than the gym bro who slays pussy but lacks them.

That's why lifting is not enough, nor is just being social, if you want to achieve success, power, influence you have to develop aptitude in a number of areas. Physically, mentally, sexually and socially.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 02:32 PM

lifting alone may not be enough but doing other things well and not lifting doesn't cut it. not if you aim to achieve maximum sexual strategy.

don't like it? too bad, this is reality

throw17453 • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 02:53 PM

I'm aware, his post was specific to being professionally accomplished, not maximizing sexual strategy.

There are plenty of professionally successful people who are not sexually successful - and vice versa.

don't like it? too bad, this is reality

I'm fine with it, I like a challenge.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:10 PM

2 exact same guys. same qualifications. same social skills. same everything but one guy is 10% bodyfat and benches 2x his weight. squats 3x

other guy is average in everydayman way

the guy who gyms goes materially further.

you don't know how people treat a man in the top 2 to 3 percent of physicality or you wouldn't

be saying silly shit.

men apologize for being in your way. women stare and touch you. im not guessing

throw17453 • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:27 PM

You think I am arguing for something that I am not.

I agree with every point you have just made.

I was mainly responding to a flaw in the argument Arch made, and to emphasise that physicality is not the be all and end all.

Guys not in their physical prime get laid all the time, if they have the right attitude.

Guys not in their physical prime succeed in the workplace all the time if they can maneuver themselves and have social skill.

Hence why I said this:

Thats why lifting is not enough, nor is just being social, if you want to achieve success, power, influence you have to develop aptitude in a number of areas.

Physically, mentally, sexually and socially.

And just to be clear - You should be constantly pushing yourself to be in the top 2 to 3, hell the top 0.0001% - or the best you can possibly reach. Physically, mentally, sexually, socially, economically. I am not suggesting otherwise.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 04:58 PM

what you say here is true but you used this to refute OPs argument that lifting socially apt guys outperform awkward IT drones. it wasnt said to *add* to his thought. or thats how it came across to me. maybe i should re read it.

and yes, having both beats one. we are on same page, maybe we always were

Tough_Luv • 1 point • 3 January, 2017 08:56 PM

Anecdote:

Bodybuilder and actuary. I do zero work and everyone kisses my ass or walks on eggshells around me. I do NOTHING!

My coworkers are all socially awkward manlets, or hambeasts.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 03:51 PM

This is being unfairly downvoted out of butthurt but it is a true fact.

I'm a butthurt IT drone too but that doesn't make him wrong, just means we need to lift and get out more.

Archwinger[S] • 7 points • 29 December, 2016 03:59 PM

Reddit is flooded with a bunch of MRA and MGTOW losers who believe in the just world fallacy.

If they ever got off of their computers and saw that intelligence and creativity are not inversely proportional to looks and social skills, and that "Chad" is actually smart, accomplished, successful, and does great things in the world, while these "intelligent" armchair internet ranters not only don't get laid but are also relegated to a life of amounting to nothing -- they'd all kill themselves.

It's completely alien to them that normal people with normal social skills and normal looks actually

like going out to public places and meeting people. It's not work. It's not a huge time commitment. It's not loads and loads of effort to "chase pussy". It's just normal dudes unwinding after the long work week, going out and meeting people, and sometimes getting laid. When you're habitually successful in the social scene and get laid fairly often, going out and meeting girls isn't work. It's not "chasing pussy". It's just something you like to do once in awhile when you're not working.

And it's completely alien to them that normal people with normal social skills and normal looks are just as smart and accomplished and creative as they are.

[deleted] • 10 points • 28 December, 2016 08:55 PM

There are trace amounts of hormones and other drugs in every major water system in America. This is a documented fact, Google it. Much of it is hormones from female urine containing birth control hormones, and antibiotics getting into the water supply. Incels are getting lots of help being more feminine.

mortalcoil1 • 8 points • 28 December, 2016 09:33 PM

Fish, birds, shrimp, and many more animals are getting so high from the anti depressants in our pee they are having troubles mating and act erratically.

TheSelfGoverned • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 09:29 AM

Humans are having similar problems.

[deleted] • 9 points • 28 December, 2016 08:58 PM

Enjoy the decline (until they arrest you for illegal testosterone levels in the blood).

myballsarepink • 12 points • 29 December, 2016 06:44 AM

If someone tries to touch my testosterone, i will straight up kill them. I'd rather die fighting than be some kind of feminine slave.

BluepillProfessor • 7 points • 29 December, 2016 05:15 PM

Then you will die and the report will be of an aggressive man proving how important chemical castration is for the safety of women and children.

myballsarepink • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 06:27 PM

I'm pretty damn sure i wouldn't be the only one fighting back. If there was even a slightest chance of it becoming a law, those politicians would be dead before any vote could take a place.

lqtys • 9 points • 29 December, 2016 12:23 AM

Women want to control men. So they try to make us weak. Is what happens in every relationship, the woman tries to control the man by betaizing him: arguing, disqualifying, isolating ("why do you want to go out with your friends instead of staying home with me? don't you love me?")...

They are scared of our freedom. They want to have us tied.

[deleted] • 12 points • 29 December, 2016 07:31 AM

what they really want is for us to tell them to shut the fuck up and do what we want... they just want the tingles....

[deleted] • 16 points • 28 December, 2016 10:46 PM

Yesterday's Time Magazine cover was how the Man landed on the moon, today it is about the "Gender Revolution". From the depiction of greatness to the normalisation of degeneracy. This is the physical representation of the decline of a civilization.

Only those that are prepared will survive the decline, there will be suffering, all we can do is brace ourselves. The young MEN of the following generations will be the ones to bring forward a brighter future.

mummersfarce_is_done • 11 points • 28 December, 2016 08:15 PM

As far as I remember, there was an example of this in the movie Demolition Man.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j1L8ZQDOdJ8>

Auvergnat • 7 points • 28 December, 2016 10:55 PM

/u/archwinger. Every post you write is gold. You should consider compiling your favorites into a book.

Archwinger[S] • 11 points • 28 December, 2016 11:04 PM

So how much would you pay for a Kindle edition of "Shit some guy named Archwinger pisses from his keyboard on to the internet that you can access for free right now with an internet connection"?

Galton1865 • 3 points • 28 December, 2016 11:17 PM

I ripped off Rollo Tomassi's Books. Then I bought them. Then I ordered a copy as a gift for a friend. It might pay sir, it might pay if the quality is there.

Auvergnat • 1 point • 28 December, 2016 11:23 PM

As the other one said. I bought both of Rollo's books even though I spend more time reading his posts on the web than reading the books, which contain the same thing. I plan to buy a couple more to send to people I know, but I gotta say some of your work might actually be more suitable as a first "eye-opener". Maybe see with Rollo or IllimitableMan to ask them if they regret doing the books / blog-page-with-donation-option thing.

What do you have to lose?

DigitallyDisrupt • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 03:03 PM

That's an interesting conundrum, isn't it.

I wouldn't pay anything. That is not to say, you or your work isn't worth it, but RP teaches you to do for yourself, hence the biggest buyers of self help are women and guys that aren't your target.

Your sales would probably follow Pareto principle, with maybe a slight modifier when exposing advertising to closed groups such as this.

Archwinger[S] • 4 points • 29 December, 2016 03:49 PM

There's also the logical component. The time it would take me to go through the hoops to compile and publish a book would cost me more in billable hours that I'd make selling copies of random internet brain farts.

If you really want to make money selling books, write a bunch of those trashy romance novels. Housewives in Ohio eat that shit up.

Docbear64 • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 01:13 AM

I have a cousin a few years older than me and hopelessly blue . Still growing up I thought he was the coolest

cousin I had because he had all the videogames and watched anime all the time , and it just seemed so awesome to me .

So about 15 years later this cousin of mine is the definition of an AFC of otday . Still as much of a gamer and anime fanatic as he was unfortunately his life has changed much at all since he was a teen and I was his fawning young cousin .

After Reading NMMNG I was on a kick to start reconnecting with as many men in my family as I could and he was high on the list . So I asked him if he wanted to go have dinner and hit up a strip club (something he'd never done before) and he agreed.

That night was pretty awesome actually my cousin being the nervous blue male he is kind of followed my lead . but we talked about women and the types he's into , the few dates he'd been on . I bitched about some of the women i'd been with and he had admired (they were perfect to him which made me laugh) . We went to the strip club and tears formed in my eyes as a gorgeous latina- asian mixed woman with massive tits guided him by the hand to the back room for his lap dance , and naturally we had our " first strip club experience " post - mortem talk after .

I have to admit it was fun having a boys night out with him even if I knew he was going to fall right back into his AFC space he'd become comfortable in for years. For a man who never gets ANY attention from a woman a stripper bouncing on his lap for a few songs meant the world to him , and for better or worse sometimes I think talking to someone still hopelessly plugged into the matrix can be beneficial in helping you see how far you've come or grown .

So I couldn't agree with Archwinger more if you know someone struggling with their masculinity and whose open to having a boys night out It could be a fun and enjoyable bonding experience to go out and just fucking be a man among the wolf pack for a little while . Not as an act of charity but just to enjoy the fact that we're men in a world that constantly wants to remind us of how bad men are despite the majority of what our society is today was created and established by men .

B29xSuperfortress • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 06:32 AM

They'll throw themselves into college calculus, their cubicle IT and engineering jobs, their gaming, and their internet rants with more fire, aggression, passion, and risk than any woman.

This just described me right now and I feel ashamed. But at the same time it motivates me to get off my ass and improve. This is why I come to TRP and not some lame site that says just be yourself and the right girl will come around.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 08:37 AM

Same here dude. I'm a programmer at a hedge fund and supposedly someone who's got their shit together because they're a young professional on a decent income. Fact is I'm a guy who lives in fear every day of his life because he hasn't the balls to do what he wants to do and feels pathetic most of time. And fuck those shitty self help sites. Tiny Buddha can eat a fat dick.

warcroft • 5 points • 29 December, 2016 09:51 AM

I cant find where I read it earlier this year, so I cant give references, institutes, researches, etc. So please bare with my lack of details on this one...

Bunch of science dudes at some institute have been measuring and recording the average strength of males and females in our western society each generation for however many generations (see, sorry about my lack of details).

Every generation the average strength of males and females have been the consistent, with men about 20% stronger than women. Except for this current millennial generation where males and females are the same! Women are still, on average, the same as they have been for generations. But men, this generation, have become weak! Dropping the average to that of women.

Personally, I thought it was disgusting. Pathetic. Weak!

Ill hunt around and see if I can find where I read it.

throw17453 • 4 points • 28 December, 2016 10:42 PM

I've experienced what it is like to have hormones in female range, and now back to male.

They do not rewrite who you are as a human being, but you do change in a core way.

Ironically the process has actually had a strengthening effect in some ways - if you go against societal norms to the extent I did, and find out people still like you, find you attractive or interesting or just comfortable to engage - despite the extent to which you are undermining social norms (albeit social norms that are being changed and undermined in recent times)

You start to realise you can actually do almost anything and be far more independant - break or bend social rules with ease - as long as you are socially competent.

However thats a digression, the main point is my own experience matches your post - hormones on some level are a core aspect to masculinity and femininity, society influences too, as does upbringing, but their influence is profound.

NeoreactionSafe • 19 points • 28 December, 2016 08:23 PM

It will be another twenty years or so before young boys are force-fed drugs to curb their testosterone production to prevent them from becoming masculine men, all in the name of preventing rape, preventing violence, and improving their performance at school.

Glyphosate

It's everywhere now... your water, your food... it's the herbicide they use on all the GMO crops (custom designed to match) and it will not decompose even up as high as boiling temperature. Glyphosate will only decompose at the level of fire... above Farenheit 451 degrees.

What does it do?

Well it sterilizes your gut bacteria killing off healthy organisms that have taken thousands of years to adapt to your human internal ecosystem.

The net effect is the weak, tired, "skinny fat" body.

Your intenstines become inflamed by invasive bacterias that adapted to the Glyphosate but your immune system sees this new invader as a problem and activates an immune response. For some this is severe and it gets classified as "Crohn's Disease" but that's just because they don't want to call it "Glyphosate Poisoning" which would alert Monsanto to have to spew out more fake propaganda to cover up their crimes.

The answer?

You will get hit by Glyphosate... no avoiding it entirely.

But you can reduce exposure by avoiding soy, corn and wheat products.

Hard to do?

Yes. You need to steer towards organic (\$\$\$) foods to reduce the poisons.

Pro-Biotics and Iodine

Iodine seems to do a decent job of knocking down the invasive bacterias. (they hate it) Get something like Iodine tablets from Sea Kelp. (the Japanese eat a lot of Sea Kelp)

A good Pro-Biotic will have 15 or more strains of good bacteria to "restock" your gut and get it growing back to a healthy condition.

Long term effect

You will feel better and your gains in working out will be better.

Glyphosate effectively "starves" your intestines and means you consume foods, but don't get much nutrition from them. But it also tends to slow your system down and make you constipated. The skinny fat kid often eats very little but also is lacking energy... he's basically unhealthy and that translates to being perceived as less masculine.

The bottom line is you are *already being poisoned* without your knowledge.

RPAlternate42 • 17 points • 28 December, 2016 11:31 PM

Sources. citations.

Please.

dr_warlock • 2 points • 30 December, 2016 12:02 AM

Oh /u/NeoreactionSafe...

Glyphosate on all crops, growth hormones and estrogen injections into cows to produce more milk, GMOs for corn and wheat (base of most foods directly or consumed by livestock), flouride + estrogens + other harmful traces in your drinking/bathing water, mercury traces in corn-syrup (which has GMOs), mercury and other bullshit in vaccines correlating with neural disorders, government purposely infecting gays and blacks with aids laced injections, BPA in packaging. Nestle buying up all the water supplies for pennies on the dollar (i.e. hundreds of millions of gallons of water for a few hundred bucks).

Corporations murdering suing parties over water hazards. Policing stalking social media to detect people discussing water hazard legal cases...

Then you have Big Agra taking down all studies disproving their propaganda. Brainwashing kids in the school system with the carb bottom heavy food guide pyramid. Hiring internet shills to suppress opposing views of their corruption and spouting propaganda. Hiring PI's to stalk and harass farmers who don't use GMOs. Having people drive by fields so that the seeds in the back blow off then sue them for patent infringement when they inevitably grow there and settling for chump change and an NDA. Big Agra colludes with big pharma to poison your food then give you toxic/harmful medications that only address symptoms, are expensive, and highly addictive. Suiciding scientist and medical professionals that come up with cures. Banning weed and hemp to jack up prices and ban cheap alternative cures to escape using previously mentioned medications for the public, but legalizing a Big Pharma artificial version.

Your defense? The FDA? They are bought and paid for by Big Agra and Big Pharma, a revolving door of former corporate leaders defending the corporate interests at the public expense.

You have much to learn. The only escape is reverse osmosis water filtering systems, organic foods (not all-natural), BPA free products (glass whenever possible), no vaccines without ingredients list from the original container, fluoride-free tooth paste, aluminum-free deodorant, all natural cleaning/bathing/styling products.

NeoreactionSafe • 1 point • 30 December, 2016 01:06 AM

Yeah when a guy pulls a stunt like:

"Show me the official Main Stream Media (MSM) narrative."

...I don't typically bother to respond.

The Red Pill is the general idea that the Blue Pill (MSM) is full of shit and not a place to dwell upon.

Obama (in an act of desperation) is now pretending Trump is Russian.

It's just too stupid to respond to.

My hope is Trump cleans this shit up.

dr_warlock • 3 points • 30 December, 2016 01:14 AM

This sub really needs "The Red Pill on your food and drinking water" post. It's a long long depressing list, but I'll see what I can do.

Shiva-Lingam • 1 point • 31 December, 2016 12:31 AM

If you are in the USA, I'd say everything you say is mandatory and I would also recommend a shower filter. Here in Europe on the other hand, I am comfortably managing with a very powerful pitcher that filters chlorine/chloride, fluoride, and other dangerous chemicals that would be present in very minuscule parts in the water. Also I would like to add that BPA mimics estrogen, so it's extremely disruptive for men. BPA is present in most plastics (bottles, wrappers, etc) and in some but not all the insides of cans of food and drinks.

BreathOfDick • 3 points • 28 December, 2016 11:44 PM

The "Globalist Tyranny" wipes the sources out before most people are able to see them.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 December, 2016 03:05 AM

[permanently deleted]

BreathOfDick • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 05:31 PM

I forgot to put /s

Most of what he says is complete bullshit.

mortalcoil1 • 8 points • 28 December, 2016 09:29 PM

I don't recommend pro-biotic pills. They are almost always dead bacteria. There have been studies. They are mostly garbage. I highly recommend eating yogurt and NON PASTEURIZED sauerkraut. The amount of healthy bacteria in non pasteurized sauerkraut is many many MANY times greater than in any pro-biotic pills. I start my day with FAT (fat is healthy) non sugar added yogurt with walnuts and a couple of bites of sauerkraut. I also put sauerkraut on all my salads. Makes a great dressing.

mortalcoil1 • 4 points • 28 December, 2016 09:30 PM

On top of that, make SURE you are taking selenium with your iodine. A few brazil nuts work perfectly. Too much iodine without selenium is poison, and too much selenium without iodine is poison. Combining the two is the correct method.

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 December, 2016 11:12 PM

I didn't know that both were required together. But by complete accident I take both spirulina and brazil nuts daily.

NeoreactionSafe • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 03:53 AM

Whatever works.

I buy mine online and they are not the type most people get.

I'm using the same stuff my neighbor was prescribed by his doctor.

Ideally you do fresh pro-biotics... which I do as well.

NihilistMonkey • 1 point • 28 December, 2016 11:58 PM

Probiotics are fine if you buy the right ones. 90% of supplement brands are crap but you get what you pay for.

Ideally though if you're fit and healthy with a good diet you don't need a probiotic because your intestines are healthy. Glad you mention non sugar yogurt. So many people buy with sugar added and then wonder why they don't have good gut health.

RPAlternate42 • 5 points • 29 December, 2016 05:51 AM

Here's a source on Glyphosphate effects on the gut

OP is on the mark with gluten intolerance.

Additionally this source points to Celiac's and the corellation with lowered gut flora. This in turn can

increase dangerous flora.

OP, to be clear, I wasn't saying you are wrong, but this level of info and the content requires sources.

In short: Glyphosphate is bad. Full stop. Phosphates, in general are bad. Full stop.

NeckbeardVirgin69 • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 05:59 AM

To be honest there's something up with gluten intolerance being as high as it is, but you don't have to take probiotics to build healthy gut bacteria.

NeoreactionSafe • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:16 PM

My place isn't to get "into the weeds" (pun) on the topic but to give the **clue**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shikimate_pathway

The shikimate pathway (shikimic acid pathway) is a seven step metabolic route used by *bacteria*, fungi, algae, some protozoan parasites and plants for the biosynthesis of folates and aromatic amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan). This pathway is not found in animals, which require these amino acids, hence the products of this pathway represent essential amino acids that must be obtained from bacteria or plants (or animals which eat bacteria or plants) in the animal's diet.

alphabet49 • 3 points • 28 December, 2016 11:36 PM

For probiotics, learn to make your own kombucha tea and kefir. Has hundreds more good bacteria than even the most well-meaning pill.

I'm fortunate to have a slight intolerance to wheat, so I've been down the rabbit hole and up the other side with a much healthier and aware lifestyle. I pity those whose bodies can handle the poison and will never know any better.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 07:27 AM

Fuckn sick! thinking about this shit makes me feel hopelessness and rage..

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 04:09 PM

Just fight the war by eating right.

The best way to respond to this type of crime is to avoid it's effects.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:37 PM

its hard when most foods have lost their original nutritional value... and it costs so fucking much money.

NeoreactionSafe • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 04:42 PM*

Damn right it's hard.

But it's a wake up call to remind you that the Globalist Tyranny wants all of us dead.

The plan is depopulation so they are doing everything to destroy human reproductive success as well as health.

World War Three is supposed to knock the world population way down so that those who survive

(the Globalist Tyranny will survive) will be able to emerge in complete control afterwards.

Recent events are scaring the Globalist Tyranny because we are waking up to this plan.

Glyphosate can be managed.

Mainly you need to avoid soy, wheat and corn and get your iodine and pro-biotic. That's all you need to do to protect yourself from this herbicide. It's more of an annoyance like HIV than a serious problem. The Glyphosate just makes you weakened, but doesn't quickly kill you... it's a slow death.

Take the pills and change your diet and you should be okay.

DigitallyDisrupt • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 02:53 PM

It will be another twenty years or so before young boys are force-fed drugs to curb their testosterone production to prevent them from becoming masculine men, all in the name of preventing rape, preventing violence, and improving their performance at school. Mark my words – that day is coming.

Hope nobody is laughing at this. We are already seeing it happen with the doping of boys in school, to "reduce" ADHD, which in reality is boys being boys.

Archwinger[S] • 5 points • 29 December, 2016 03:53 PM

There's no tongue-in-cheek about it. The modern push to androgynize boys and feed them shit, that's turning them into passive, weak, non-aggressive, milquetoast losers has already lowered the average testosterone level for males, while increasing a bunch of other hormones we don't usually have too much of in the blood.

In another 20 years, guys who have higher testosterone than the "average" level (e.g., guys who actually have normal T) may be diagnosed as hormonally imbalanced and irregular, and prescribed treatment if they exhibit the symptoms of normal T levels.

If your 8-year-old boy acts like a boy, it won't be Ritalin any more to curb the symptoms of male-ness. It'll be hormone therapy to actually reduce the male-ness.

mrcs84usn • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 03:51 PM

Without masculinity, ~~men are~~ society is lost.

95%+ of modern civilization can be attributed to the labor, determination, curiosity, and innovation of men.

Original_Dankster • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 03:59 PM

"The current fashionable religion promoted by most of society is that science is the boss of everything... Except for sex."

I'd say that for the fashionable religion of science, that race is another exception.

vengefully_yours • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 04:47 PM

Science and math (particularly statistics) can be used to show almost anything you like, if presented incompletely, with a bias, misinterpreted, or intentionally skewed to show what you want it to show.

Present only that part which agrees with your view, and call it science.

It happens all the time, because the vast majority of people are ignorant and unable to discern bullshit from fact, and because there are parts of our minds that are susceptible to suggestions and bias. Don't ask the right questions and have no clue about the subject, and you can be misled by whatever bullshit they foist upon you. Our education system is geared towards keeping people from asking the right questions.

That's why chemtrails are a thing, ignorance of everything to do with it, and that useful trait of wariness being hijacked. Not everyone believes that bullshit and it's a small group of misled people. A larger group of misled people have fallen for marketing that uses their confirmation bias against them. One horribly flawed and obviously skewed "study" that was ripped to shreds in peer review can be used to sway public opinion of you can quell the voice of reality. Two industries that have done this to great effect are tobacco and oil, and they've used the exact same game plan, but oil had been far more effective because the results are only now beginning to show. Cancer and emphysema show up sooner than a runaway greenhouse effect.

Science isn't the bad thing, how people use and misuse it can be.

FishFoxFerret • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 06:58 AM

Is there a link to a compiled list of sources showing the biochemistry differences in the sexes and how it affects the brain?

That's what we need.

slay_it_forward • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 10:54 AM

One thing is true - there is no god and we are all animals. What makes you better than a baboon that you share 99% of dna with?

Umbrifer • 6 points • 29 December, 2016 02:30 PM

Fire, Blades, and mathematics

slay_it_forward • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 03:56 PM

The brain is just a survival mechanism like a horn on a rhino or a tail on a monkey. It happens to allow us to build things. Whoopy shit. We're still animals.

The dinosaurs dominated earth for millions of years but were wiped out in a blink of an eye by the same universe that allowed them to flourish. Where was your god on that on?

Umbrifer • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 04:38 PM

I'm not arguing with you pal. We are Animals who came up with blades, fire, and mathematics. And that fantastic brain of ours is the best one on the planet. Your question was what makes me better than a baboon. I repeat, fire, blades, and mathematics. In other words manipulation of energy, technology, and higher thought processes. We are the apes who have those things through natural selection and trans-generational record keeping. No God necessary in this equation.

BlackJ1 • 1 point • 28 December, 2016 07:58 PM

Shut up and take my upvote! An eye opening and great post as always.

My resolution is to become a better me. The best version of myself. Once I do, I will pass my knowledge down to my fellow man.

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 02:30 AM

It will be another twenty years or so before young boys are force-fed drugs to curb their testosterone

production to prevent them from becoming masculine men, all in the name of preventing rape, preventing violence, and improving their performance at school. Mark my words – that day is coming. But until then, the war is primarily ideological. It's actually a bit of a religious war.

with the events of brexit and trump this year, i think people are tired of getting yelled at by the left. I think soon we are going to see a far right uprising. it even happened in my country too Australia.

That's right. Men wouldn't even miss sex or lament when they're not having it, except for the fact that the evil patriarchy taught them that real men get laid. Men would openly be weak, timid, flee from risk like little children, and afraid of their own penis if only we would let them. The only reason any man, anywhere, acts even the slightest bit masculine is because our evil patriarchal society forces him to pretend to be something he's not.

I can't blame the men, as much as i can blame the environment he is in. most of everything he is taught is to be less of a man and more of a corporate robot.

Is it any wonder that so many men channel their masculine energy into the internet? Video games? Fantasy sports?

fantasy sports take less than 5 mins a week to set a line up and i love the competition and trash talk. i don't see why you hating on it.

digitalbitch • 1 point • 29 December, 2016 04:40 AM

Why does this bs get so many votes. Stop going on the internet and reading extreme feminist crap. They are not the opinions of normal women.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 December, 2016 08:30 AM

Google toxic masculinity and check out the news tab

BluepillProfessor • 2 points • 29 December, 2016 05:38 PM

They are the opinions of the government workers bees, the teachers and the women and manginas who control our civilization and the women by huge majorities are cheering them on.

darla10 • 1 point • 31 December, 2016 10:10 PM

Women are not cheering them on by huge majorities. They are our sons too and we don't want them emasculated either. In fact there is a backlash against this suppression of masculinity in NYC schools. Boys are now 'allowed' to fidget and teachers are being retrained to accept the fidgeting. There are even special desks built to help boys fidget while they learn. It isn't all gloom and doom.

BluepillProfessor • 1 point • 1 January, 2017 02:30 AM

I do agree the tide seems to be turning and pray what you say is true but it also seems that every one of my female students is a hard core, man bitching rape culture toxic masculinity type. I know its not the real world but these young you go girllllz are getting worse not better.

darla10 • 1 point • 1 January, 2017 02:42 PM

I wonder what those girls will be like 10 years from now....