

The Male Experience

53 upvotes | 11 September, 2013 | by redpillschool

Rollo's awesome as usual. Read Up

<http://therationalmale.com/2013/09/10/the-male-experience/>

A few excerpts:

Women become very indignant when trying to understand the male experience. This is due in most part to women's innate solipsism and their presumption that their experience is the universal one. Part of this presumption is due to social reinforcement, but that social presumption – essentially the equalist presumption – is rooted in women's base indifference to anything external that doesn't affect them directly and personally.

This is a great point that I notice every time I argue/debate in other places (such as the purple pill place that ended up a dud). They don't just disagree, they become indignant.

The very idea that men's experience is so vastly different to theirs *angers* them. They are so angry about it, they wish to see our entire subreddit disappear.

That we've had experiences that lead us to our conclusions boggles their minds. "I'm not like that.. so this guy must be running into bad women with daddy issues, or he's lying."

The purple pill debate sub spent most of their time trying to explain why we're wrong. My main contention was: I don't really care, this was my experience. They couldn't fathom that I could hold my experience higher than their solipsistic statistical analysis.

"Actually, men and women are 99% alike, so there's no difference."

That's a nice stat, ma'am, but it doesn't change anything practical in my life.

So it often comes with a lot shock and indignation (which women instinctively crave) when women are forced, sometimes rudely, to acknowledge that men's experience doesn't reflect their own. The reactive response is to force-fit men's experience into women's solipsistic interpretations of what it should be according to a feminine-primary perception of what works best for women.

Count the number of times people in other places rebut a red pill argument with the word "should."

"You shouldn't have to do that to keep a happy marriage..."

If hopes and dreams were dollars.

The initial shock (and indignation) is one of interrupting her comfortable, predictable expectations of men in the feminine defined, solipsistic reality she experiences for herself. As even the most rookie of red pill Men will attest, the legitimate female experience rejects this assertion, most times with an amount of hostility. As expected, Men are met with the socially reinforced, prepared responses designed to defend against attempts to question the legitimacy of the primacy of the feminine experience – shaming is often the first recourse, even most passive challenges warrant shaming, but character assassination and disqualifications based upon a feminine primary perspective are the go-to weapons of the solipsistic nature of the feminine mindset (even when men are the ones subscribing to it).

This guy is writing my life story here.

Read the whole thing, it's worth it.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

sexcauldron1 • 26 points • 11 September, 2013 02:09 PM

Bingo. Recently I was discussing this in purple pill and its just blantly obvious that feminists (on the internet especially, they are much more likely to cede this in real life discussions) have no desire to understand or empathize with the male experience. Their indifference towards male suffering is truly amazing, i personally believe it to be biological. If its not biological, then they should be working to get rid of it, right? So much for eradicating gender norms LOL.

[deleted] • 15 points • 11 September, 2013 04:08 PM

As girlwriteswhat quoting an internet famous feminist quipped;

"If you'd SHUT THE FUCK UP and let me READ my list!"

trigaderzad2606 • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 10:37 PM

Private sub is private :(let me in?

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 11:59 PM

It's not private...?

<http://www.reddit.com/r/PurplePillDebate/>

IDGAFwhatmyREStagis • 1 point • 12 September, 2013 09:19 AM

Their indifference towards male suffering is truly amazing, i personally believe it to be biological.

Males are just disposable in general. Since one guy is enough to impregnate many women, that means only alphas have value to society.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

GaiusScaevolus • 12 points • 11 September, 2013 04:32 PM

Purple pill isn't a philosophy, its a debate sub created by well-intentioned but naive men who thought tBP was capable of respectful disagreement and rational discussion.

[deleted] • 16 points • 11 September, 2013 04:53 PM

Some TBP'ers are decent, curious people. They're awfully quiet on Purple pill. The rest is SpermJackalope living up to her reputation as a snarky bitch from SRS with nothing to say.

breauxstradamus • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 09:20 PM

will someone link me to this sub? I've been looking for it forever and can't find it.

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 09:25 PM

purplepilldebate

breauxstradamus • 2 points • 11 September, 2013 09:41 PM

thanks

Reason-and-rhyme • 1 point • 9 October, 2013 12:25 PM

Tip: you can create an instant link to a subreddit by adding /r/ in front of it:
/r/purplepilldebate

LifterofThings • 6 points • 11 September, 2013 08:46 PM

My main contention was: I don't really care, this was my experience. They couldn't fathom that I could hold my experience higher than their solipsistic statistical analysis.

Let me preface this by saying that I'm not trying to be a smartass-- I'm sincerely curious.

So, what I'm seeing here is:

"My experience is x, thus women are x" from you, and "my/our experience(s) is/are y, thus women are not x". Why is the latter solipsistic, and the former isn't? Both are based on anecdotal evidence, are they not?

euneaux • 6 points • 11 September, 2013 07:15 PM

Amen.

However for me "purple pill" means that I believe the red pill teachings, but I haven't been able to transform belief in to action.

In short I agree with much red pill wisdom.

It's just hard going from a naive coward to a cold-blooded open-eyed man with back-bone overnight.

It takes time and fortitude to live your ideas.

SpinalArt • 3 points • 11 September, 2013 08:11 PM

It's a process. Working on making small changes everyday is key.

GaiusScaevolus • 2 points • 11 September, 2013 08:23 PM

That's not purple pill. You're Red pill. Doing something about it is what builds you into a man.

ss_camaro • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 10:26 PM

It's just hard going from a naive coward to a cold-blooded open-eyed man with back-bone overnight.

Pretty much this, if you are an optimist regarding free will. Sadly hard-wiring doesn't change with a prescription.

MockingDead • 6 points • 11 September, 2013 02:20 PM

I would like to think that all people cannot really understand other ways of thinking, and that we all feel some shock and indignation when confronting a worldview incongruent to our own.

But perhaps I am indulging in self-deception to ease my worries of personal solipsism, or simply overthinking it.

redpillschool[S] • 8 points • 11 September, 2013 02:37 PM

He addresses this, but goes on to say that our experience hasn't been normalized as the standard, so it's not really the same issue both ways. Men's experience is the one being marginalized.

Demonspawn • 1 point • 12 September, 2013 08:29 PM

For me, I think the power quote from the article was this:

"Virtually every conflict between the sexes comes back to the rejection of the legitimacy of the male experience."

IllimitableMan • 5 points • 11 September, 2013 02:54 PM*

"Understand" is quite a vague word in english. It means "to comprehend" but it doesn't say "how" such and such is comprehended.

Logic communicates knowledge, it doesn't communicate a paradigm though, the sensations, the smells, the method of thinking, how and why you think that way etc. This is what experience is, seeing the world in a certain way due to a certain paradigm, having a certain status, living in a certain place, being perceived a certain way.

The male experience is unique to men, women don't understand it, they dictate the female experience as the social norm, safety before risk and all that kinda shit.

The reason you can't have equality is because essentially the male experience and female experience are incompatible, and in a single social setting one has to be dominant, they can't both be simultaneously dominant as it creates a paradox.

MockingDead • 5 points • 11 September, 2013 02:56 PM

I grok logic, even when I blithely ignore it for my own gain or to pander to emotion.*

*For profit.

t0c • 6 points • 11 September, 2013 02:39 PM

"Actually, men and women are 99% alike, so there's no difference."

There are two issues with this statement. On a genetics level this may be closer to 99.99%. But they forget that this is true for humans and chimpanzees. Yet Humans and Chimpanzees are very much different. This is due to the sheer size of the genome that the 1% is actually a LOT of difference, confirmed by our observations of the different species.

The second issue is that genetics aren't the only thing that influence behaviour. The general consensus is that it's close to 50% for nature and nurture. So if we're to accept this, then that means that the different layers of society which men and women inhabit are different enough to produce the observable differences between the sexes.

These two points alone make the statement nonsensical at best, or at worst ignorant.

Mooshaq • 8 points • 11 September, 2013 03:16 PM

Yet Humans and Chimpanzees are very much different. This is due to the sheer size of the genome that the 1% is actually a LOT of difference, confirmed by our observations of the different species.

It's far from being just that. Males and females have slightly different gene locations, different levels of gene transcription, and naturally different genes. However, male and female chromosomes are essentially the same, except for X and Y of course. Humans and chimpanzees have significant differences in chromosomes – see chromosome 2 on that image for example. In other words, you cannot compare male–female to human–chimpanzee. And humans are now estimated to be between 1-4% different than chimpanzees.

The second issue is that genetics aren't the only thing that influence behaviour. The general consensus is that it's close to 50% for nature and nurture.

I have yet to see anything anywhere near this profound in papers studying neurological epigenetics and neuroplasticity. Do you have any sources or more information on this?

t0c • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 03:38 PM

I was taking the 99% statement at face value, my knowledge of genetics can't even be called rudimentary. The 50% stmt came from my uni psych courses. They of course were general and didn't go into specifics. There's probably some studies out there for twins/genetically related individuals, I'll try and find some for you.

Mooshaq • 5 points • 11 September, 2013 06:40 PM

I was taking the 99% statement at face value, my knowledge of genetics can't even be called rudimentary.

I hope it didn't come off as an attack. I was just providing you with a more correct explanation of the human - chimpanzee DNA relationship.

The 50% stmt came from my uni psych courses. They of course were general and didn't go into specifics. There's probably some studies out there for twins/genetically related individuals, I'll try and find some for you.

Based on my courses and knowledge of epigenetics and neuroplasticity, I do not think that it is near 50/50. Also, I don't know how researchers would come up with such a ratio – there are so many variables that even the most rudimentary surveys and studies would intrinsically have problems. I'll keep an eye out for papers on the subject, but I am very skeptical of researchers claiming they have found the ratio (and that it is ~50/50).

t0c • 1 point • 11 September, 2013 07:45 PM

Nah, no worries. Didn't take it as such, just wanted you to be aware of my own ignorance as the reason for making such a simplistic argument.

TBH, it could even be something that I may be remembering wrong. I'll try to find those studies when I get home, see if they clarify the issue. But it's a busy day and I may not get to it tonight.

Bringyourfugshiz • 3 points • 12 September, 2013 02:24 AM

As i male i desperately wanted to believe this wasnt true, or that it wasnt all females. Experience has taught me otherwise, which is why im here :/

Rollo-Tomassi • 3 points • 12 September, 2013 04:37 PM

This is a great point that I notice every time I argue/debate in other places (such as the purple pill place that ended up a dud). They don't just disagree, they become indignant.

There is a reason for this you know?

<http://therationalmale.com/2011/10/17/indignation/>

The feminine mind (including feminine conditioned men) craves indignation.