

Go macros, kill micros. Take some real pills.

May 26, 2020 | 242 upvotes | by [INNASKILLZ2K18](#)

Most of you younger guys won't be aware that behind the Red Pill scenes there have been challenges to the ethos and fundamental psycho-structures of what it means to be 'Red Pill'.

Is it dated?

Has everything been said?

Where to next?

Is it just sexual strategy?

Let me chime in, and I hope I make sense.

My opinion is this. The basis of current Red-Pill, The Sidebar, Rollo, Cuck Convention style stuff is operating within the matrix. It's just so slightly a step from the Overton Window, you could turn around and still see your reflection in the window. You could turn around and see yourself reflected in the Overton saying 'yeah man, just be the prize'.

If you're still asking how to fuck, what women like, 'what's Alpha' you're as Blue as the Pacific Ocean. To use the metaphor; when Neo found out about the Red Pill did he just ask 'how do I fight Agents'? No. He was taken to an entire ontological, systemic, metaphysical paradigm shift.

This shit didn't start with feminism. We didn't become pussies because of social feminist movements. We won't find masculinity in hack guides and 'hold frame' instructions.

The Red-Pill is a fucking shock to the mental structures which forces an eternal and on-going shift which unfolds and unfolds. You need an understanding and the mental faculty to understand social structures, philosophical schools of man and society and how they manifest throughout society and effect your emotional, psychological, behavioural structures.

See, modernism, liberalism, individualism, scientism, consumerism, and the rejection of tradition has destroyed us. The Bluepill began at the turn of the Renaissance and 'the modern' era. Modernism was sold as a period of virtue, progression, and freedom. But what modernism also was, was a rejection. It was a rejection of everything traditional. Traditional thought structures, traditional philosophies, traditional institutions.

It was a rejection of larger systems that supported masculinity and the patriarchy as the head of civilization. Men were leaders, we trained in philosophy, discipline, physical pursuits, education, war, spirituality. After centuries, we built upon men as the strongest, most creative builders of society. During Modernism, a bourgeoisie and Avant-Garde class tied liberalism, individuality and egalitarianism to our moral and emotional compass. 'Out with everything, in the name of your freedom'. It was the moral imperative to reject everything to do with 'tradition'. It became morally acceptable to renounce our patriarchal ways, renounce that we are the kings. We renounced God, from whom a society ordered from above and through patriarchy and strong men were practically Divine Law.

Think about this. Really fucking think. We were THE most prized part of humanity. We were responsible for survival, creation, developing the mind, rationally debating the meaning of existence, ruling civilizations, learning the art of war, instilling order amongst chaos. All of the systems in place supported and cultivated male power, or else we were dead.

Today, society will move forward on technology, science, consumerism. The dollar and a globalized society is now the priority. Men are literally just economic units. The systems now in place; your 'democracy', 'liberty', 'independence', 'individualism' do not hold male patriarchal power in any esteem.

'Hold frame' and spin plates your way out of that shit.

You really need to sit down and understand not a single fucking thing in this society gives a solitary fuck about you. You want to have your shit rocked so hard that 'Rational Male' and Jordan Faggerson just ain't going to cut it. Throw it all to the fucking fire until you're burning with a bigger fight. Learn how to be every bit as glorious as the motherfucking prized possession which made every page in the history books possible. Men. This is not a post just get traction. This isn't to inspire you bloopers to go claim some pussy. Men are dying. An endangered species. Utterly forgotten and the minds of the public brainwashed against you. Not just bitches, not just hoes. The entire larger world we built. Get to fucking work on serious shit, or you're condoning the death of man. Nietzsche coined his period the 'death of God'. I declare this generation the Death of Man. Rip your head out of your ass and DO very difficult, masculine shit. Do it better than anyone and do not go quite into that good night.

Now, slowly but surely, 'anything is permitted'. We can do what we want, everyone is equal there is no order and everyone should be respected for 'just being you, boo'. The State didn't give a fuck, because it slowly brought philosophical school such as materialism, consumerism, technology, scientism into the fore front. No longer are we ruled by great men, who we cultivate in their masculinity. We are ruled by technological advancement, material production, and men turned into consumers. Economic units to buy buy buy the material shit higher-ups are more interested in. Their priorities have changed and men are no longer valued. A couple hundred years pass, and The State enacted the greatest lightbulb effect in history. 'We never needed patriarchy'.

Because the Avante Gaurd made 'freedom' 'egalitarianism', 'liberty' our moral code, it becomes 'cool' and 'morally just' for every person who learns the word 'repression' to start claiming their right to be utter fuckwits. Body positivity, 'choose your own gender', and of course, feminism. But you see, as men we were fucked by very careful forces. Because masculine power and patriarchal belief were lumped in with Tradition, it was planted in society as dirty, not ok and basically a rejection of modernism, liberalism, and egalitarianism to promote male power.

'We have freed you from those terrible times of tradition, which includes male power and patriarchy'. So we're fucked. Everyone is equal, everyone is free, everyone can claim new individual power. But...not men. Oh no, that's traditional so die man, die. Slowly, liberalism sinks its claws into everything and takes masculinity totally out of the picture. Young boys and girls are equal. Nobody has to read philosophy and write difficult reflections. We'll see no need for discussion of metaphysics, epistemology, debate. Great male thinkers? Plato, Aristotle through to Kant, Nietzsche, Hegel? Let's pretend they never existed. Sports? Oh, you're all winners. Fighting? That's toxic.

We were subtly hypnotized into commodifying masculinity. 'Spend your money and buy cologne, hair cuts, faggot sports Jersey's and you're a man!'. In an extended carry-over of the Avante-Guarde's rejection of tradition, rejection and dissolution of masculine structures are the in thing. And like any group wanting to claim 'repression' they will look for recent examples to provide evidence. Every man is a domestic violence order waiting to happen. We murder people. We are abusive. Hmmm, let's forget that the whole reason the world was in a position to turn 'modern' was because of THE PATRIARCHY. Great civilizations rose not despite of, but because of the patriarchy. Masculinity.

Now, what are we left with? A Red Pill which wants to blame feminism. That wants to give you 'hold frame' scripts, and guides to 'being Alpha'. As if pussy and imitation are the way to go. We're left with cuck conventions, pua's, and 'Rational Male' how to's. Consume guides, learn scripts, hold frame, and be a pale version of masculinity. Because getting sex is going to solve the problem. It was good when feminism WAS a huge effect on male's psyches. Back about five to ten years ago. But if you don't look properly at the larger causes, eventually that time will pass and men will drift back into the influences of

much larger stuff. Have you seen the bullshit happening today? Feminism is long gone as a priority. On-going change can only begin when you address and understand the real structural and systemic problems. We must understand and reject everything that pulled our emotional, psychological and physical foundations from us. If you don't know what they are, then you are literally being consumed by an enemy which silently sucks you dry without a ledge for you to fight from. You have the plug still in. Rollo told us how to unplug from feminism's narrative. That is so small compared to the other hundred plugs you're main-lining right now.

Feminism and getting more sex is not the problem. It was never the problem. They are just symptoms. The entire world is against you. Every structure, philosophy, and anthropological influence alive today have torn any larger structure which supports the cultivation of traditional masculinity. Educate yourself so you can understand what was rejected and find ways to tie yourself back into tradition. Read, write, develop intellect, physically and spiritually. The fucking world rejected us and now it's turned to shit. More sex and worrying about 'holding frame' and other faggotry is not going to lead us forward.

There is an abundance of liberalism, emotional reactivity, individuality, pussified faggots, and kids writing where they shouldn't lately. Writing modern style self-help, neo-spiritual bullshit that is so heavily feminized they need to shit slapped out of them. You're not fighting women, you're fighting every fucking thing around you. It's time Red Pill started embracing new texts. Men read we build, we create and we are never satisfied with where things are at. We always go further.

And yes, addressing the larger issues and shifting your paradigm will help you with women. You will no longer be operating from within the matrix. I don't give a fuck about the tactics, the scripts, the mentalities, your cuck convention, your 'Rational 'trapped in a cage' male'. When you realise you are truly part of a last breed of an animal that built this motherfucker we call civilization, just to be tossed to the lightbulb, striving to leave the last drops of masculine blood on the ground to fossilize in remembrance.....then being reminded to 'hold frame' is a joke.

There is still much more to reject. Read Julius Evola.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

AutoModerator[M] [score hidden] 22 June, 2020 10:49 AM stickied comment

Why are we quarantined? The admin don't want you to know.

Register on our backup site: <https://www.trp.red> and reserve your reddit name today.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

redpillschool[M] • 70 points • 26 May, 2020 03:53 PM*

I swear that people having this conversation are so far removed from the details about which they purport to speak that it's like watching the fake news Russia propaganda. Say the same thing enough times and you don't have to delve into details enough to make your point.

My opinion is this. The basis of current Red-Pill, The Sidebar, Rollo, Cuck Convention style stuff is operating within the matrix.

One of these things is not like the others. "Cuck Convention"

I'm sure you were around when we handed ADJ's ass to him with a scathing takedown of his shitty conventions, and I'm sure you can spot the differences between the convention's feel-good atmosphere leaching off other creators and the core theory we talk about in our side bar.

It's just so slightly a step from the Overton Window, you could turn around and still see your reflection in the window. You could turn around and see yourself reflected in the Overton saying 'yeah man, just be the prize'.

First of all, "be the prize" posts should be reported. Our team doesn't have 24/7 monitoring capabilities because most of us have day jobs, but if you check our rules rule 8 clearly states no platitudes. "be the prize," when given proper context isn't *wrong* per se. But the phrase is a platitude with no actionable advice.

As for the overton window, there's something larger at play here that I think you're missing.

When /r/theredpill began in the early days, simply stating that men and women are different was a hate crime and was so far outside the overton window that many people involved were taken down by the media for things that our now-president basically says directly to the press.

So yes, the overton window sure did shift during this time.

Which breaks the red pill metaphor just a bit. Because the idea of waking up is that everybody else is still asleep. How can we claim to have unspeakable truths if everybody is speaking about them?

Well, that's the thing isn't it? If we become popular enough and spread our ideas enough, eventually our ideas start seeping into the mainstream.

And they did.

When we were brand new, only a few other people had ever uttered the phrase "red pill" in relation to masculinity. Now Elon Musk is telling the general public to take the red pill, while everybody and their mothers have adopted the phrase for whatever pet theory they have.

So yeah, we entered the mainstream and we're not as edgy as we used to be. At least some of our more superficial theories (men and women are different).

This shit didn't start with feminism.

True. Feminism is more of a symptom of sexual dynamics than a cause.

'We have freed you from those terrible times of tradition, which includes male power and patriarchy'. So we're fucked. Everyone is equal, everyone is free, everyone can claim new individual power. But...not men. Oh no, that's traditional so die man, die.

Yes, I agree. You're not wrong about that.

Now, what are we left with? A Red Pill which wants to blame feminism. That wants to give you 'hold frame' scripts, and guides to 'being Alpha'. As if pussy and imitation are the way to go.

This is just not the conclusion to the leading paragraphs before it. First of all, feminism is not at fault for all of men's woes. But that does not make it blameless. Feminism is surely a catalyst to many problems that did not exist before it. That is not to say it enjoys root status. But if we chase that snake, you'll be finding its tail far in the past, before humans looked like we do today.

Masculinity, and therefore men, were abandoned as a people by the patriarchy (our fathers and the ones before them). We have both acknowledged that. But you are missing key ingredients for what happens between boys and their fathers. They learn how to interact with the world around them by looking up and following by example.

We have multiple generations of boys without an ounce of traditional masculinity in their upbringing who need the building blocks to understand it.

Imagine a feral child who has never seen another human. You want him to grasp complicated concepts but you decry first teaching him basic language? These are not "secret shortcuts" or "get rich quick schemes" to masculinity. These are the building blocks on which living beings craft their outward personas; the basis of all interaction.

If we do not know how to speak, we cannot first form sentences.

Consume guides, learn scripts, hold frame, and be a pale version of masculinity.

For many, the actual event of a successful conversation with a woman has never taken place in their presence. For others, they may fail to grasp even the beginnings of social acceptability. Perhaps some are autistic. You cannot knock lesson by example, because for these kids, much of what we talk about here is pure mythology.

You can have a conversation with a girl that doesn't end poorly?

You can be attractive to a woman?

A woman can truly desire you sexually?

All things that these kids have no first or even second hand experience with. They need to see what an interaction might look like.

I know that sounds foreign to some who want to beat their chests and declare they are superior and this all came naturally. But I know it's not that way for many. In fact, I know in my personal life many guys who seriously have no skill to read a room, let alone complete a conversation with a woman.

Feminism is long gone as a priority.

Feminism is alive and well. Get yourself under the thumb of any law enforcement officer or judge before you spout such nonsense. You appeal to traditionalism, yet leave out the most important part- if you want to start a family, you are inviting the state into your home. Like it or not.

Rollo told us how to unplug from feminism's narrative. That is so small compared to the other hundred plugs

| you're main-lining right now.

I genuinely don't think you've read his work.

Start here:

<https://therationalmale.com/2011/12/27/women-in-love/>

<https://therationalmale.com/2012/09/10/men-in-love/>

<https://therationalmale.com/2012/09/11/of-love-and-war/>

| Read Julia Evola.

And there it is. Knew that's where you were going with it, and I'm going to have to address this now.

Your post here is a nice appeal to traditionalism. It paints a very good picture of how good men had before and how bad we have it now, and poses the question in everybody's minds: Why can't we have it good like we used to? What if we just did?

Evola does this plenty in Ride the Tiger. He paints a just-so picture of the decay of society, with little support for using degenerate imagery aside from the assumption that you already agree with his analysis of the world. His suggestion, of course, is to ride the tiger; harness the energy of our degenerative culture to one's own ends, as you cannot stem the tide and social movements are an exercise in futility.

But you know what neither of you have done? Made a justification for your traditionalism or given practical, useful daily advice for riding said tiger.

We agree that society is objectively worse for the average man who wants to accomplish his goals, but have you demonstrated that society as a whole is worse for it? Or, is it possible that like feminists, you are painting a picture that whitewashes a past with imagery of a better yesteryear for men in a push to sell them something completely unattainable today by admission of Evola himself?

"Enjoy the decline" is one such way to ride the tiger. And it's something many here have embraced as a modus operandi. Understand the rules, and then use this understanding to out-compete others.

Mating is a zero sum game. There will always be winners and losers. We already know the system is rigged. We know the best ways to mitigate the hurdles.

What is Evola giving us then?

Evola and Rollo are not opposite ends of a spectrum. Evola says to learn the game. Rollo attempts to describe the game.

And so do I. And so do many here.

You seem to believe you've got a path to a better, higher version of masculinity.

By all means, lay it out here and give actionable advice to achieve higher goals. But don't forget the most important rule: You must first convince me why your version of masculinity is better and more advantageous to me than all the other ones that the salesmen before you tried to hawk.

[deleted] • 19 points • 26 May, 2020 04:42 PM

Amen

With most post lately all seems like spiritual "woo-woo". Like we're turning into "meninsm".

Action is what defines a man

I believe there should be more that. Field reports. Whether good or bad. For that's how one can learn new things to improve or better understand what he was taught.

When we were brand new, only a few other people had ever uttered the phrase "red pill" in relation to masculinity. Now Elon Musk is telling the general public to **take the red pill**, while everybody and their mothers have adopted the phrase for whatever pet theory they have.

Sure, but the main point isn't that. The main point is that there are no isolated red pills/truths. Things are related. Hence there is always more to reject. If God made it so that all women over 45 enjoy getting fucked in the ear, then yes, you can know what they enjoy without believing in God. But, if things ever change around you, even slightly, then you'll be lost. So, it's better to understand things on a foundational level.

Feminism is more of a symptom of sexual dynamics

No, because then all of human history would've been equally femcentric. It emerges in some, but not other, societies, depending on what those societies are like. And that's a complex topic.

Feminism is surely a catalyst to many problems that did not exist before it. That is not to say it enjoys root status. But if we chase that snake, you'll be finding its tail far in the past, before humans looked like we do today.

Ok, but, so what? Again, the more fundamental your understanding, the stronger your understanding of the superficial. Why does this not interest you? Is it a time thing? If e.g. it takes three years to gain profound knowledge, and one year to master Agree n Amplify; is the latter then preferable? What if the former only took two years and not three?

We have multiple generations of boys without an ounce of traditional masculinity in their upbringing who need the building blocks to understand it.

What are those building blocks? Physical exercise, of course, is one of them. How about intellectual exercise?

All things that these kids have no first or even second hand experience with. They need to see what an interaction might look like.

I don't think anyone would condemn anyone for observing interactions, or for participating in them.

But you know what neither of you have done? Made a justification for your traditionalism or given practical, useful daily advice for riding said tiger.

What would justify it?

Perhaps a conversation about epistemology is in order. The thing with metaphysical views is that they can't be empirically justified, because that in itself assumes some metaphysical views. It's about coming up with abstract models and looking at the consequences of them, comparing them, and picking one that is in accordance with some set of (also examined and hopefully justified) theoretical virtues.

Is that what you did to ultimately adopt nihilistic physicalism? Or did you default to it being that it is the dominant ideology of your society? We all operate from within some philosophical framework, whether we

know it or not. That's good to remember...

We agree that society is objectively worse for the average man who wants to accomplish his goals, but have you demonstrated that society as a whole is worse for it?

What makes something better (or worse)? On what grounds? Why? Are there criteria that are completely neutral, beyond *ideology*?

Or, is it possible that like feminists, you are painting a picture that whitewashes a past with imagery of a better yesteryear for men in a push to sell them something completely unattainable today

However it may be, a lost boy developing a belief system that makes him love life and that fills him with vigour and euphoria, makes him more likely to get laid.

What's unattainable today?

"Enjoy the decline" is one such way to ride the tiger.

No, it's the exact opposite. Riding a tiger means that life has meaning to you, or else you'd dismount.

By all means, lay it out here and give actionable advice to achieve higher goals. But don't forget the most important rule: You must first convince me why your version of masculinity is better and more advantageous to me than all the other ones that the salesmen before you tried to hawk.

1. Hypothetically, if one were omniscient, one wouldn't need a to-do list, for anything. And as one approaches omniscience, the need for to-do lists decreases.
2. Habits are created and enforced through repetition; that's about as hard a psychological as well as biochemical fact as there ever was. And as boys are trained to execute orders from a to-do list, they become increasingly dependent on the authority of another man, i.e. they become more Beta... instead of becoming actual fucking adults who can figure shit out for themselves.

-- To not be Beta, one should approach omniscience. And I believe that convincing you that Beta is bad is unnecessary.

I don't think that you explicitly claimed a necessary lack of overlap between people who are actionable and people who are theoretical, but just in case - I'm not saying that you have to wait 10 years to talk to a female, or even 10 minutes.

I say all of this as someone with a great deal of modern degeneracy in me. Even so, I refuse to become a robot. Thinking, understanding, *chasing the snake* to the bottom of the pit, is good.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 27 May, 2020 01:11 AM

Damn. Excellent response.

A masculinity based in metaphysical centeredness and a connection to Divine, in my opinion, is a far site better than one centred in reading Rollo and 'holding frame'.

The central theme of Ride the Tiger was attaining an ontological shift which happens when you are centred in Divinity.

We are happy to live in the problem, instead of working out what lead to a state where we need to follow

some unknown guy on the internet's guide to what women like. What lead to that psychologically, emotionally and spiritually?

Then you can get into that stuff and begin to change stuff so guides aren't really a thing.

We create a bunch of guys walking around like lipstick painted on a pig. No real change, just a different look to it.

None of the 'hold frame', 'remember to do this and not that' matters so much.

Too many people focus on his criticism of modernity. It's an excellent critique of what happens when Nihilism begins, and we see that manifest tenfold today.

However, his book was pointing towards something extremely powerful.

But there is a phenomenological aspect to what he is talking about. It's not only an intellectual work, but a path to something felt at a core level.

But he said it was for particular guys, and I get not everyone will see it.

I am not going to try to convince someone. I only want to point to guys that there is more to read. If it gets them, it gets them.

My intentions are not fascism, extremism at all. As I said, there is a phenomenal pathway to metaphysics, philosophy of idealism and divinity. It's a chance to question what makes up our paradigms today. Have we actually thought about what makes up how we think, feel and behave? We are told we are free, but we actually very conditioned and influenced by philosophy, whether we realise it or not.

We have very stringent philosophies embedded in us today, but we are handed nice sounding words like 'progression, freedom, egalitarianism' which we lap up.

I found it a tough read. But I worked my ass off the read those difficult lines over and over until it hit.

But on my experience, I see a totally different perspective on what is strictly adhered to here.

There is such a deeply internalized resistance to anything outside of the square.

Evola has also written on sexual dynamics, with the Metaphysics of Sex which challenges taking the biological standpoint.

It's interesting that people will reject guys like Evola, but not give Rollo the same harsh criticism and rejection.

The one thing I will directly challenge is saying red pill is helping guys who have basically never had a conversation. I highly doubt reading a lot of this stuff is helping anyone who suffers extreme social dysfunction. I'd need an evidence base for that.

redpillschool • 2 points • 27 May, 2020 01:39 PM

No, because then all of human history would've been equally femcentric. It emerges in some, but not other, societies, depending on what those societies are like. And that's a complex topic.

I have to take exception to this. Just because feminism was late in the game, doesn't mean women haven't always been given some level of preferential treatment in the history of mankind...

Think about this- the weaker sex. The sex that can't do a lot to feed themselves when they're pregnant. They are the ones given preferential treatment by almost any society in the history of man. When tribes attacked each other, they killed the children and men.. but who did they keep? Women.

Women were a resource that was to be preserved. Women learned how to hack male psychology to get what they wanted. Provisions, safety, and eventually the right to vote, etc.

What makes something better (or worse)? On what grounds? Why? Are there criteria that are completely neutral, beyond ideology?

That's basically the point of my argument. If you argue for tradition, make your point.

However it may be, a lost boy developing a belief system that makes him love life and that fills him with vigour and euphoria, makes him more likely to get laid.

I know of some seriously avid comic book kids who would disagree with you there.

What's unattainable today?

Learning social skills after being deprived of that opportunity for the first 20 years of your life. Maybe you live in a bubble, but I see these guys daily.

No, it's the exact opposite. Riding a tiger means that life has meaning to you, or else you'd dismount.

Who said that enjoying a decline doesn't have meaning to somebody?

I understand that Evola's point was not only Dionysian but Apollonian. So, find whatever meaning you want. Learn the rules of the decline. Use it to your advantage. You're saying the same thing over and over, thinking that Evola's words somehow carry more meaning.

Hypothetically, if one were omniscient, one wouldn't need a to-do list, for anything. And as one approaches omniscience, the need for to-do lists decreases.

Nobody is, so, that's pointless.

To not be Beta, one should approach omniscience. And I believe that convincing you that Beta is bad is unnecessary.

An utter misapplication of the terms.

dulkemaru51 • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 10:25 PM

I have to take exception to this. Just because feminism was late in the game, doesn't mean women haven't always been given some level of preferential treatment in the history of mankind...

"Preferential treatment" is a broad term. Feminism is Feminism.

And Feminism is not a mere shit test. Period.

As I said, it emerges in some societies, while not in others. Which means that there are differences between those societies that account for the factors that make it come into being. Therefore the suprasocietal nature of women isn't the cause... it's a prerequisite, of course - I mean it's even more implausible to give canine bitches the right to vote, because they lack hands and political opinions - but it's not the cause, it's not the thing that, on its own, is sufficient to inevitably lead to Feminism.

The discussion of those causes is a complex one. But the shit test hypothesis is logically invalid.

That's basically the point of my argument. If you argue for tradition, make your point.

I didn't argue for tradition. You did claim that "society is *objectively worse* for men".

I'm trying to understand your philosophy. And I'm wondering how that claim is consistent with TRP not being ideological... given that it's not just your personal stance, but the official one of this community.

This "debate" has been going on for a long time. And it always hits a wall. I'm just trying to get people to think. Observing the apparently impenetrable walls of people's thought cages is fascinating, especially when those people aren't stupid. And I try to crack those walls a little. Because I believe that thinking is good.

I know of some seriously avid comic book kids who would disagree with you there.

Do you sincerely believe that they are vigorous, euphoric, and love life? I don't.

Learning social skills after being deprived of that opportunity for the first 20 years of your life. Maybe you live in a bubble, but I see these guys daily.

Evola said that learning social skills is completely unattainable today?

No but seriously, you were talking about a better world (look at your original quote, that's what you were saying). I think that if you pay closer attention, you'll notice that the smarter end of that spectrum aren't expecting to become literal pagan emperors within the next 5-7 years. They found a worldview that gives them the inner strength they were lacking, existential meaning, and pussy -- ride the tiger. I haven't seen anyone claiming to be able to pinpoint the exact moment at which the tide will turn. That's not what's being sold.

Who said that enjoying a decline doesn't have meaning to somebody?

That's what Nihilism means...

And, since the meaning of 'riding the tiger' is thoroughly defined by Evola, why confound it with other meanings by saying that 'enjoying the decline' is a way of 'riding the tiger'? They just don't mean the same thing, unless of course we decide to reject the fixed meaning of words, but that's a slippery slope that leads to transgenderism.

Learn the rules of the decline. Use it to your advantage. You're saying the same thing over and over, thinking that Evola's words somehow carry more meaning.

Science and Scientology describe the same reality. Why do you choose one over the other? Because there are advantages and truth in one but not in the other. They're just not the same thing. They're just not *saying the same thing*... come on, bro.

I repeat, I'm NOT making a case for Evola's philosophy. I'm only trying to make people use their fucking heads.

Nobody is [omniscient], so, that's pointless.

Did you honestly not get what I was saying? You even quoted my sentence "*And as one APPROACHES omniscience, the need for to-do lists DECREASES*". Do you not agree with that? Do you not agree that the more a man knows, that the better he is at figuring things out on his own, the less instructions he needs, the more of an independent man he becomes, and that that's a good thing?

An utter misapplication of the terms.

I forgot that Beta means 'one who attempts to buy women's desire', and not 'follower'... ok, but, in order to get guys laid, is it better to mold them into script-reading robot kids, or into independent men? Which one of those gets the girl?

redpillschool • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 04:20 PM

Do you sincerely believe that they are vigorous, euphoric, and love life? I don't.

You're making a bold claim that in order to escape a mental prison, one must buy into only /u/dulkemaru51's version of masculinility.

I forgot that Beta means 'one who attempts to buy women's desire', and not 'follower'... ok, but, in order to get guys laid, is it better to mold them into script-reading robot kids, or into independent men? Which one of those gets the girl?

Beta is a descriptor of behaviors, not people.

dulkemaru51 • 1 point • 30 May, 2020 11:09 AM

You're making a bold claim that in order to escape a mental prison, one must buy into only dulkemaru51's version of masculinility.

Being dependent on fixed references means that one's thinking is enclosed, and thinking independently means that one's thinking isn't, are tautologies, not bold claims.

Beta is a descriptor of behaviors, not people.

And *people* whose behaviours are of the Beta category; are they or are they not different from *people* whose behaviours are not? They are. And profound change tends to take more effort than those people are comfortable admitting. Hence they guard themselves against new ideas.

It seems that the rest of my long ass comment that you disregarded was too lucid to be misinterpreted, and I hope that it is of help.

GayLubeOil • 8 points • 26 May, 2020 05:39 PM

Italian super fascist Julias Evola and hedonist Liberal Rollo are not opposite ends of the spectrum? **Fucking lol**

Here's what I don't understand about you Americans why do you repeatedly pretend to know about stuff that you haven't read? If you don't understand something don't speak on it. Pretending and getting caught up in lies mistruths and misunderstandings only makes you look bad.

You appeal to traditionalism, yet leave out the most important part- if you want to start a family, you are inviting the state into your home. Like it or not.

Evola makes an argument against marriage **from a position of traditionalism** in chapter 27 of Ride The Tiger Marriage and The Family.

Your conception of the family is the nuclear family aka the bourgeois family which Evola is categorically against. A traditional family is one that is ruled by a real religion like Islam or Hinduism and not a fraud religion like gay marriage Christianity.

Let me give you some practical advice. You pinned this comment to serve as a definitive refutation. But a careful reading of the refutation reveals that you don't have a good understand of what you are trying to refute.

The stronger move would have been to not say anything and wait for this post to get buried with "I just had Sex posts", spend 45 minutes understanding the text your responding to or outsource the operation to someone with a strong understanding of the subject matter like Itiswritten.

That's my actionable advice.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 27 May, 2020 01:16 AM

Yeah, it's a little strange when guys ask 'what's the actionable advice' or 'give me arguments for why this is any good'.

Um...it's all there from page 1 to the end.

redpillschool • 6 points • 26 May, 2020 05:47 PM

Here's what I don't understand about you Americans why do you repeatedly pretend to know about stuff that you haven't read?

I read ride the tiger on your recommendation. It's a heaping mess of incomplete thoughts and redundant run-on sentences. Call it poorly translated, or just inarticulate. His point could have been made in 3 paragraphs.

if you want to start a family, you are inviting the state into your home. Like it or not.

Evola makes an argument against marriage from a position of traditionalism

Where did I state you should start a traditional family or get married?

No, the law in most countries interjects itself into private contracts and agreements regardless of your preparedness. If you want a child you will have the state involved regardless of what you do. If you don't, then sure, end the patriarchy with your generation.

This is not a refutation of evola. But it is a refutation against the assertion that "feminism is dead."

Your conception of the family is the nuclear family

You know nothing of my conception of family, but you've tried to conflate my words here.

If you don't understand something don't speak on it.

I would advise the same to you.

GayLubeOil • -1 points • 26 May, 2020 05:57 PM

A totalizing rejection of modernity from a Platonic Position aka an ultra fascist position could have been made in three paragraphs. *Ok fam.*

Julias Evola taught at the SS war college and Germans are very smart people. Hmm I wonder how he could have done this if his ideas are "a heaping mess of incomplete thoughts and redundant run-on sentences"

Next I do know you very well, your beliefs and your conception of family. I've spent 20 hours talking to you on the phone. This whole "you don't know me" game doesn't apply between us.

redpillschool • 8 points • 26 May, 2020 06:04 PM

And yet, here you are, misattributing my position.

Blueberrypie1994 • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 12:32 PM

why do you guys keep fighting each other? back in the day i thought you were on good terms.
what the hell happend???

redpillschool • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 04:18 PM

I have great respect for GLO and have given him a lot of room to grow and expand on his ideas.

You'd have to ask GLO why he decided to start attacking everybody associated with red pill, including people who support his positions and cause.

I still would like to see him develop his ideas- bringing philosophy to TRP. My disagreement with him is academic.

I don't think he knows how to disagree gracefully.

Blueberrypie1994 • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 04:20 PM

i remember him talking about ancestry and shit, saying that since he is russian its in his blood to argue about shit endlessly so maybe he that has something to do with it im not sure.

redpillschool • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 04:22 PM*

It's a shame. I think he's on to something big, I just wish he stuck to the merits and didn't make it personal with everybody.

itiswr1tten • 3 points • 26 May, 2020 06:24 PM

He doesn't have to convince you, the audience is the purpose!

Look at the comments. TRP is about uncompromising rejection of the narrative. TRP has a meta-narrative as well! That is what is being dismantled. Progress is inevitable IMO, the good writers are adjusting to the modern world and seeing positive response.

That's a good thing

redpillschool • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 06:27 PM

He doesn't have to convince you, the audience is the purpose! That's a good thing

He doesn't need to convince me, if his purpose is not to convince me.

My argument is one of refinement rather than refutation.

TRP has a meta-narrative as well! That is what is being dismantled.

We're not removing the post, it will succeed or fail on its merits. I am suggesting for accuracy's sake that it attempt to patch some holes in logic.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 01:31 AM

Bang on. We have to keep challenging narrative within narrative.

FieldLine • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 11:12 PM

Uncompromising rejection of the narrative isn't what TRP is about, dummy. TRP is about what *works*, *regardless* of how it fits the narrative. Rejecting the narrative for its own sake is like an edgy middle schooler repeating a bad word he heard in a movie.

And you're missing the point if you're trying to convince anyone of anything, even your so-called "audience".

itiswr1tten • 1 point • 30 May, 2020 12:02 AM

Don't be so literal with generalities. All generalizations are false, after all.

FieldLine • 2 points • 30 May, 2020 12:57 AM

What? I have no idea what you're talking about.

Great writing has minimal ambiguity. If your intention is to communicate information and there is discussion about what you really meant then you failed as a writer.

All of this is to say that if you have a point then spell it out, for your audience if not for me.

caf_app • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 05:53 PM

Perhaps the path to a better, high version of masculinity doesn't lie within a common narrative but within each man's individual narrative?

Once a man is carved out of wood and a force to be reckoned with, maybe the next step isn't to reap the benefits of his power, but to determine what his unique offering is?

Only a man who has transcended himself can determine what that is for himself. And I think that is OP's point. Keep pushing past your desires. Don't settle for rewards of pussy and admiration from fellow man. Become a revolutionary.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 02:26 AM

Somewhere in the comments you've said something about fascist or extremism, and that being my intention.

I wanted to specifically point out that isn't my intention at all. that sort of claim is in the same vein as people who accuse 'Red Pillers' as being abusive and toxic just because we discuss belief systems regarding women.

I have less than any interest in fascism or anything like it.

I am merely trying to invite guys to really challenge the philosophical schools which our system runs on.

We live in a generally 'free' and 'liberalized' society with a lot of comforts and pleasures. It can lull people into not thinking about much.

But The State organizes things very specifically and intentionally. We need to understand how these anthropological systems influence our behavior, psychology and emotional lives.

Modernism, liberalism, consumerism, individualism, technology, all have very profound and interacting influences on who we are which affect us on macros and micro levels. It influences belief systems, moral and ethical systems etc.

It may not appear as if this has anything to do with sexual strategy. However, these things converge to create a lot of the behaviours men have today.

Yes, family systems and parent/child relationships are important. That is attachment theory.

But what happens when a son HAS a good father around who is embroiled in these larger systems, without challenge?

We have a very important relationship with our parents. Parents and people in general have a very important relationship with their societal structures and the philosophical school they are built on.

It's one thing to say 'oh, the system sucks, let's get more sex'. It is another to say 'let's find out the ideologies and paradigms I've inherited and open myself up to some things which may have been pushed aside.

Evola is explaining what happens when people lose Divinity, when faith and God is deemed not important. And not a theist God. But a strong and profound power within everyone, which when realized and connected with has a strong influence on the rest of our being. He is pushing towards a spiritual revolt.

This stuff is gone. doctrines which discuss the nature of man, ontological and metaphysical ideas are non-existent now.

I don't want to try to convince you, but I can say from my experience that in Tradition is something extremely powerful. Something core to the nature of being and man which is dead now.

A reconnection with some of these things can restore vitality, masculinity, energy.

So I am not interested in fascism or extremism. I am interested in opening men up to the possibility of looking at their paradigms. How everything they do, think, live and adheres to effects them.

Then we have power. We have choice. Rather than simply following 'alpha' scripts and spinning plates, we can discover things which build our own inner structure.

Everyone just lives today, with comfort and pleasure, and we lost the art of critical thought, discernment, debate, intellectual challenge. That is for a very good reason. they want us being retarded.

chopping_livers • 65 points • 26 May, 2020 11:20 AM*

We, as a society, have no clue on what the fuck we are doing.

A baby in the middle of a pool that seems like an ocean.

There are borders to it somewhere, but we don't know where.

We are actually led by the clueless with sociopaths and losers tagging along.

And it's fine. That's how progress happens. We can tell good from bad only when it's happened years or decades ago.

The pale blue dot floating in catatonic nothingness indefinitely.

Meaning is bestowed by us on things of our clueless choosing.

And every fortune and hate spewed on us by gods was celebrated as hamartia in ancient Greek culture. At least they fucking cared.

Us, the TRP? Let's just be glad we got to choosing the right pill.

You are your dreams and your ability to execute them. Nothing more. Nothing less. To hell with all else.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 36 points • 26 May, 2020 11:35 AM*

That last line.

'You are your dreams and your ability to execute them'.

Very true. Just make sure you choose wise dreams, and hone the absolute fuck out of your ability to execute.

Sancho2016 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 10:25 PM

Yep, as we are part of that clueless society, there was never a golden age for our masculinity, every period came with its unique challenges. If we were encouraged, we were so to defend our nation or village against other men that attacked us on the same motive, then die in the process, brainwashed that we passed

honourably (although if that's your thing, go for it, just be aware). Thinking about the big picture might even make us thankful for the current challenges we are facing. Sure it's important to analyse it, but it is nothing new in the sea of hardships we faced and will inevitably face in the future as mankind.

I could offer everyone a solution, but it's not simple for me, as it's up to the individual - in other words, ask yourself, where do you see yourself in the big picture you painted, do you see yourself there at all and if so - what part do you want to play?

And that's up to you - including the execution as you said it.

chopping_livers • 2 points • 27 May, 2020 03:12 AM*

there was never a golden age for our masculinity

So what? Why do you care? Even if we would have had it for a moment in any time in history - would it matter to you? Would your life be different now?

If yes, and for the better, then assume there was one.

However, in reality, it doesn't matter.

Once you realize that meaning is something you give, rather than something that is given to you, the concept of "bigger picture" will shift from external to internal.

Everything you ever needed is inside you. This is your playground. Your life - a legacy.

Sancho2016 • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 03:50 AM

That was my point. It doesn't matter.

Nosnmad • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 08:08 PM

The clueless, sociopath, loser dynamic is the idea from Ribbonfarm's magnum opus, if I understood what you meant

<https://www.ribbonfarm.com/2009/10/07/the-gervais-principle-or-the-office-according-to-the-office/>

chopping_livers • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 08:19 PM

Yes it is. While society as a whole bears some similarities with corporate world, it is much more difficult to break and reassemble on a whim. Thus frame sometimes falls in the hands of the unknowing.

Sancho2016 • 31 points • 26 May, 2020 01:11 PM

Although fuck the thought that we are victims and everything is against us. That was feminism and we all know where it went. Obviously you should know that world nowadays isn't on our team but that doesn't mean jack shit. For me, Red Pill was about realising how strong I was in the world that told me I was weak, not about victimising myself.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 7 points • 26 May, 2020 01:59 PM

That's awesome. That's what you should be realizing!

Former_Loser • 6 points • 26 May, 2020 03:28 PM

Couldn't agree with you guys more. This is the exact kind of content that I subbed to TRP for.

when_its_too_late • 27 points • 26 May, 2020 09:16 AM

Sex isn't the be all end all, it's just a biproduct.

You can take the blue pill and forget any of this ever happened. Or you can take the red pill, and get a bullet to your head.

MagnumBurrito • 7 points • 26 May, 2020 02:49 PM*

Deep down the world knows great men still matter most. If you look at the death of Kobe, I can't think of one women who would be honored like he was.

ebaymasochist • 3 points • 26 May, 2020 05:06 PM

That's a good point. And not to minimize his successes and contribution to the world, but he was a grown man paid to play a game.

NoodlesTheClown • 8 points • 26 May, 2020 01:15 PM

This right here, is what the TRP needs to return to. All the "how do I get more pussy" stuff has ruined this place. Glad to see some life out there in the TRP ether.

Auspexel • 10 points • 26 May, 2020 01:40 PM

This is by far my favourite TRP post ever, given that it reminds us that the focus should be on stomaching the blows of this cultural uprising that is trying so hard to destroy everything that we held dear and that made us as wealthy as we are today and on thriving against such a system. This should be re-edited and made into a sidebar post.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 02:09 PM

Thanks. Glad you took something out of it. We definitely have to be very aware of the cultural shift in all it's aspects, so we discipline our mind in regards to what and how to reject.

Grandazer • 4 points • 26 May, 2020 01:41 PM

The thing is I am totally aware of most of what you've written.

I am aware that western civilization is rapidly declining.

I am aware that feminism isn't the only thing to blame.

I am aware that reading red pill content is mental masturbation.

But I am also aware of the fact that I can derive so much value for myself out of this and have so much fun in my life and I am not willing to let go of all the potential just because my imagination of the perfect society will never become true.

We, Red pill aware men, are living in a GOLDEN AGE, women treat us like gods, beta cuckish simps are doormats for us, and we make money by exploiting consumers compulsive buying addiction. And we do what we please with that money.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 4 points • 26 May, 2020 02:07 PM

The point is to fight to get that value, in you and through you. Good on you for seeing that.

I try to encourage men to look outside the square and just sexual strategy to do that.

TheRedPillRipper • 11 points • 26 May, 2020 12:27 PM

Educate yourself

The biggest issue with society is it's uniformed populace. Why you have citizens demanding haircuts whilst

others in Flint are still begging for water. Why the leader of the free world isn't so much of a leader; and the world he's leading isn't so free. It however does make it easier for those us that are willing to take advantage.

I'm currently re-reading The Fountainhead and in its intro is a line that Rand states that resonates;

"The man-worshippers, in my sense of the term, are those that see man's highest potential and strive to actualize it."

Society may have lost sight of tradition however some us are still striving. These may be weak times but that just makes stronger men.

Godspeed and good luck!

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 12:41 PM

Absofuckingloutley.

That's one of the best things about it. It's a fight. That attitude of 'I am not going to hell with the ship'.

Once I read more and realised it's much bigger than sexual dynamics I felt like this is the fight of my life.

There was a much larger assortment of weapons than 'holding frame' and playing Alpha. There is some incredible things once I swallowed a bigger pill.

Thanks, you gave me another book to read.

RedUtopia • 4 points • 26 May, 2020 02:02 PM

As men, we have a inherent responsibility to bear the torch of our civilization, and our civilization depends on us on leading the way.

This is what TRP should be like imo. People just turned into nihilists and "enjoy the decline" mentality just so it rationalizes their excuses to deprave themselves and engage in hedonism.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 26 May, 2020 02:10 PM

I agree. I personally want no part in the decline. I want to stay focused on other things. Society is in decline. Doesn't mean I have to be.

pragmaticminimalist • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:07 PM

we are looking to thrive- not just survive!

SICFJC • 3 points • 26 May, 2020 03:39 PM

This is a bunch of intangible esoteric nonsense with no direct advice that can be taken and applied.

GayRacoon • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 08:59 PM

Only when the intangible and the concrete come together can you truly understand the full scope of any phenomenon.

soywars • 5 points • 26 May, 2020 01:14 PM*

I agree with most of your points, however i have to add out a few things:

You talk a lot about modernism and wile i agree that thats the point from there on many things went downhill... it really startet go sideways with postmodernism, i highly recommend this lecture, it touches many points you made... or similar ones:

Stephen Hicks - Explaining Postmodernism In 2018

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-BGbHG63x8w>

Jordan Peterson: Postmodernism: How and why it must be fought

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cf2nqmQIfxc>

The other one touches upon victimhood culture:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3H20jwYq8WI&t=3s>

Today they use **Cultural Marxism** to advance everything even further...in other words Deconstructivism - to me it looks like they want to tear down the culture of the west, to advance their socialist/communist utopia - yes it always comes down to it. If you research most of the thinkers the postmodernism comes from they are almost always tied in some way to socialism/communism.

Apparently we have no better idea than that and it's a solution to everything - although every time it has been tried it failed VERY hard. That's why I see them as Nihilists - because they don't have really new ideas and just want to destroy everything - just because.

I think we need to go "back" to the enlightenment movement - revisit it somehow and make our own Renaissance ... with blackjack and hookers.

I think the detachment from the old myths was necessary for our culture to grow... but there is so much stuff we should have kept... we need to take a look at it again with our knowledge which is hundreds or thousands of years advanced. See what we can use today.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 5 points • 26 May, 2020 02:01 PM

Cool, I'll check those out. Thanks.

I agree. We can't turn back the clock, but we can embrace some things we left behind.

The challenge is to do that on a personal level.

TheBadGoy • 1 point • 29 May, 2020 03:30 AM

Jordan Peterstein doesn't know how to fight Cultural Marxism, individualism is a fucking meme

soywars • 1 point • 30 May, 2020 03:57 PM

So who does? And why is individualism a meme?

TheBadGoy • 1 point • 30 May, 2020 07:58 PM

<https://youtu.be/IIHypjC9LWs>

rockyp32 • 6 points • 26 May, 2020 10:53 AM

I started semen retention a while ago and a conclusion I came to is at the end of the day everyone's main goal in TRPs is to chase pussy. We're advised to lift weights get fit get a good frame make money act alpha to get pussy. Yes it may work and help towards that goal but it's still unfulfilling because PUSSY is still the goal that's wired deeply. It's not a fix for our issues it's like a medication. A very good one maybe but still you'll be reliant on it for the rest of your life and you'll need to constantly have it to feel ok.

Not saying I'm any different I definitely chase pussy. I get it's natural somewhat but honestly I think that's BS. I don't think we were supposed to be chasing females hard af.

Anyways that's besides the point. Your right reading about frame and the red pill is just like Neo staying His BP self have the ability to see the RP side of the world.

Most changes we make in here is for pussy disguised as happiness

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 4 points • 26 May, 2020 11:39 AM*

I agree. I don't care how many women you fuck, or how much game you know.

If you haven't read and understood dissident texts, and changed many things, you're just floating in the system with a wet dick.

likeidktotally • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:33 PM

When you say dissident texts, are you referring to Russian samizdat literature?

rockyp32 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 10:00 PM

I don't know about the old texts or anything. But idk part of me thinks it's in our nature to chase pussy but something about it also doesn't seem right I'm not sure.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 02:30 AM

I think there is part that is natural to chase. I think there is part which knows the power we have in our masculinity and the power we had in society.

There is a great reason why you shouldn't chase. And it's not to 'make her more attracted'. It's because you are a creature of immense capabilities, which if you cultivate it right, chasing any woman is totally off the cards.

rockyp32 • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 05:02 AM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UJ8kj_Nd62U&t=62s

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 05:19 AM

I'll give him a look later

itiswr1tten • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 06:20 PM

Haha. If you really the think the takeaway from the sidebar is "go chase pussy" you simply cannot read.

rockyp32 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 10:07 PM

No it's def more than that but the vast majority go on there to get better with woman like I did. It isn't a bad thing necessarily but something about the way it's taught I don't like. Instead of becoming a man that naturally will do all the proper frame things. It's recommended you act like that top dog when you aren't there yet. Your supposed to remind yourself I am the prize instead of just becoming the prize. Idk it's like a cheat to get the results early before you've actually earned it.

jackjackjackjack • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 01:25 PM

Love it. Think the writing could use a little more polish, but there are some great ideas here.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 02:12 PM

I may polish it up.

I felt a post coming but don't have a lot of time at the moment.

I decided to get it down while I can.

pragmaticminimalist • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:07 PM

+1 on the refinement- this post is solid bedrock- some mindful edits would push it over the top-

blakswan1976 • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 02:19 PM

Who is this Julia Evola you speak of? ☐

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 26 May, 2020 02:37 PM

Read Ride the Tiger and Revolt Against The Modern World.

diejager • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:23 PM

That was something I have been thinking for some time right now. The main defining word for describing "blue pill" is dogma: something that is told to you and you accept without thinking about it. That's the whole meaning of Matrix's philosophy: there is more than meets the eye and you don't question what you are living.

Of course The Rational Male is a great book, but taking the true red pill means you will have consciousness of what you are reading and not let it become another dogma in your life.

If you come here thinking "gonna be the alpha/omega/zeta/PussySlayer123 and get laid", you are still blue pill. If you attach to these concepts "I need (and feel the meaning of this word) to be an alpha", you are still blue pill. Also if you think "I wanna be an alpha", you are blue pill as well.

I had this mentality, read many PUA books. It led me to no fucking where, it was a waste of time and money. Nowadays I don't give a fuck or two for women and being called "asshole". Never felt better, because I can be any fucking thing I want and do every fucking thing I want. I wanna go party? Cool, I go there and have a nice chat or two. I wanna stay at home? Cool, I stay at home and write my poems, watch some series, read some (USEFUL) books and that's freedom.

Yourlocal_priest • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:28 PM

That's why I'm just using the red pill to become the megalomaniac I need to become

Bc let's face it this is just a guide to really become your own megalomaniac in your own world.

vapel1fe42069 • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 03:51 PM

I like posts like this, they really make you think about philosophy, society and high minded concepts. However, I really dislike when they have zero practical and direct advice like this post. It kind of takes the wind out the sails of everything you're saying.

jvm1776 • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 04:11 PM

"We are ruled by technological advancement, material production, and men turned into consumers."

These were the very points made by George Bernanos (see his letters and essays) and Romano Guardini back in the 1950's. They have written very cogently on this very topic.

It's been years since I read Guardini, so I will copy paste a blurb from an this essay on Guardini's "End of the Modern World" [1]:

"The Mass Man

Technological advancement was not limited merely to the overtly destructive—it also destroyed through the creation of a new human type that Guardini terms the “Mass Man.” Defined as “the man who is absorbed by technology and rational abstraction,” the Mass Man is the logical progression from the technological takeover. He is also, as it happens, the man of our own time. Guardini, not one to mince words, describes him thusly:

he has, “entered history with no traditions of his own; in fact, it must assert itself against those traditions which until now have held the day”;

he “has no desire for independence or originality in either the management or the conduct of his life. Nor does he seek to create an environment belonging only to himself, reflecting only his self”; the technological distractions and abstractions forced upon him “by the patterns of machine production... mass man accepts quite simply; they are the forms of life itself”; there is no desire within the mass man “to live his life according to principles which are uniquely his own. Neither liberty of external action nor freedom of internal judgment seem for him to have unique value”; he will unite himself with “any ‘organization’ (in our time, a movement or a cause) modeled after the mass itself; there he obeys whatever program is placed before him.”

Modern man should sound familiar; he is our man. Having divested his soul of its natural longing for the natural world and nature’s God, what a man is left with is himself sans any animating quality. Modern man, then, is an empty, soulless husk, a shadow of humanity. He looks the part, and he goes through all the motions, but he does nothing of his own volition.

[1] <https://theimaginativeconservative.org/2017/11/romano-guardini-diagnosis-modern-world-jeremy-kee.html>

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 12:41 AM

Awesome. I appreciate the link. I'll give him a read a little later today.

This sort of stuff allows me to see how it applies to me on a personal level. That's empowering toward rejecting certain things and making more deeply informed choices.

Ageoft • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 04:47 PM

I think you read a bit too much into generalizations and society and such. But I agree with your overall point that TRP has lost its way. Posts about pussy and frame are pointless. I wish TRP could return to the old days of simply being a positive male space in defiance of the corporate commercial brainwashing. Once masculinity is internalized, attention from women comes naturally. All these theories on plates and such really comes off as a bunch of boys huffing hot air. I have read before that the most evangelical people to a cause are often some of its more relatively newer members. I think TRP suffers from that in a major way.

sunluzzen • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 10:30 PM

This was good, I felt it, this is what it is

[deleted] 26 May, 2020 01:37 PM

[deleted]

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 02:04 PM

Yes, we never want to plateau.

I talk about patriarchy a lot, but personally I try not to do it in a defeatist attitude or in the context of feminism.

I use it as a way to inspire myself. To remember the sheer power men have. To remind myself of what men can harness and try to connect with the power of ages past.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 01:14 PM

Aleister Crowley.

Street_rat2426 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 02:40 PM

Holy fuck this was a good post, bravo. You put how I (and I imagine many men feel) into the words I was too

dumb to express myself.

I dont have anything to add because I think you nailed it.

kolopax1 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 03:19 PM

Now this is a good post. I am sick and tired of pathetic people looking for 'guides' or 'how to'. LEARN YOU FUCK! Where have all the critical thinkers gone? Where have those that embrace the 'darkness' to get to enlightenment gone? As you said, they no longer exist, our minds our becoming more and more numb. They consider their selves alpha because they get laid? Please! There are community plates everywhere for free. Getting laid is nothing. If you have to do it alone, do it! Rise and revolutionize. PUT YOURSELF BEFORE EVERYONE! Everything is on us. Our failures, successes, dreams, emotions, etc. Wake up and realize that we men have no foundation, we have to build it ourselves. This sub is becoming purple little by little. Completely agree with you OP.

167167167abc • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 04:49 PM

Sometimes I wonder if this all due to lack of "male cult gangs" which work towards something special. The problem is men cannot just make groups like women. Men always need a common theme.

Social networks actually reduced socialization for men. Before social networks men used to be a part of their own cult gangs. Do we have that now?

When you are going together with 100 guys on Royal Enfield Bullets, there is something that makes you feel like a man. When you go with your LTR on that same bike, you are actually weaker.

jvm1776 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 05:11 PM

A lot of the things you have written belong to the ethos of a classical liberal education - the study of history, civics, ethics, philosophy and theology. Maybe up until the 1950's this program was still taught and valued, and you could see a strong imprint of it in the Catholic Church that was also mirrored in the countries of the West. But starting in the 1960s such an ethos of man, society and knowledge was becoming obsolete and replaced by a subjectivist and materialist world-view. One key event was Vatican II when the Catholic Church opened itself to the modern world. We also saw it in the sexual revolution of the 1960s. We see it in the rise of the global economy and of the internet, where there is a flow of individuals and culture across national boundaries.

In the global village where cultures and civilizations are mixed and diffused, the importance of ethnic culture to an identity seems to have declined. How does a man devote his life to something (ie. cultural/civilizational identity) that is becoming obsolete, or that is of the same value as everything else? An individual therefore defines their own identity apart from the traditions of the past, and there is less impetus to further the standing of one's culture and nationality.

The cultural, societal and religious traditions of the past not only situated an individual in society, but within the cosmos. In the absence of such a "place" in a grand order of things, man resorts to satisfying himself according to his appetite and whims. There is a satisfaction to be obtained, but it is purely of the flesh, of mere emotions and sensations. But all such sensations are ephemeral, mere "arising and ceasing" (this is a Buddhist term).

This is where we are today. Although TRP is helpful to a man to navigate the new social structures that have arisen, they don't seem to help man build a great civilization. But this is an individual choice.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 12:37 AM

Yeah, the Catholic Church was a final exoteric establishment.

You're right that a lot of those things are classical liberal.

But as you point out, a lot of those things have been lost and I think I'll Liberalism has become whatever want it to be and slowly become a hindrance.

caf_app • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 05:39 PM

hold frame and spin plates out of this shit.

OP got me roaring over here man □□□□

4apalehorse • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 06:03 PM

What a biography: Julia Evola.

"An Italian philosopher, poet, painter, antisemitic conspiracy theorist, esotericist, and occultist. He has been described as a "fascist intellectual", a "radical traditionalist", "antiegaltarian, antiliberal, antidemocratic, and antipopular", and as having been "the leading philosopher of Europe's neofascist movement".

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 27 May, 2020 12:32 AM

Haha, yeah. He's actually a very intelligent man. Don't let the extreme labels guide you.

Seriously, give it a read. What do you have to lose?

boki187 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 06:25 PM

I dont understand why would anyone profit from destroying patriarchy? Do the higher-ups really care who gives them money, male or female? And why do you think "man is better than women in almost every aspect" or am I wrong in believing in fairness of genders?

(I wrote this to strike a constructive argument, not to be criticised bc I'm new in red pill theory, so just comment if you have something useful to say)

OofxYikes • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 07:08 PM

Your question is fundamentally flawed because "the patriarchy" is a false (strawman) concept used to generate class resentment. The answer to the question you meant to ask is: capitalism removes traditional structure and replaces them with more easily monetized versions. Priests are replaced by therapists. Daddy government replaces sugar daddy (and uses to this justify tax increases).

fairness of genders

What does this phrase even mean to you? Again, trying to read your mind, is it "fair" or "equivalent" that women give birth and men do not? Or that a male has more upper body strength than a female?

boki187 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 08:51 PM

Thanks for the answer, maybe I used the wrong term ("fairness of gender") I just wanted to question if OP seemed to imply that woman are dumber than men so they shouldn't be given a chance in philosophy (e.g. or science, etc.)?

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 2 points • 27 May, 2020 12:31 AM

Yes, they are dumber than us.

It's not that they care about who gives them money.

It's more that The State is now driven toward technology, materialism and consumerism. Our entire society is built to make you consume, and sell you shit.

Before, society was geared to push men. The advancement and growth of men was paramount.

They broke down all those structures, convinced us to do whatever and that it's ok to sit around watching Netflix and hitting up eBay.

Think about it. A couple hundred years ago we had none of this stuff. No tech like now, no TV's and far off having the internet.

The advancement, cultivation and support of masculinity is no longer needed or a part of anything.

We are economic units. Nobody wants to challenge this shit. Everyone just wants to get pussy and are convinced it'll teach them how to be Alpha.

tekn0_ • 2 points • 27 May, 2020 08:29 AM

You probably should add couple more points as an edit about what kind of targets one needs to achieve to get beyond the current limitations we hold on to, that are forced upon us by the status quo. That would add more value to your post OP

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 08:51 AM

Read Evola. Read Hegel. Read Nietzsche and Carl Jung for good measure.

Those are targets.

Haha, I appreciate the feedback. I don't like telling guys exactly what they should do. Their life is up to them.

But I always give guys my experiences.

OofxYikes • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 08:54 PM

Did you watch the video I linked?

boki187 • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 09:55 PM

I thought it was an article about mens body strenght, watched it now

HorvathRed • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 04:56 AM

Seems like this thread and comment section basically comes down to : GLO and a bunch of guys who paid for his "coaching" trying to get way too intellectual about a message that is simple, actionable and effective, that is TRP's, attempting to belittle it in the process, and then getting pissy that redpillschool in his down to earth comment dismisses their obnoxious and inane fascist book and even less clear and actionable ramblings of the OP, who is clearly just mindstruck after reading a book. "Germans are smart people", guess who isn't as smart as he wants to make himself seem by even typing out such a bland and generalizing statement.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 0 points • 27 May, 2020 05:26 AM

I have never paid for GLO's coaching. Never spoken to him on Skype.

He and I have gone at it in the past.

Redpillschool and I talk over on trp.red. We have no issues.

You have no idea of my history or personal experiences.

What's funny is all these beta males want 'actionable advice'. 'Tell me what to do'. Think about that for a second. I'm not your father.

Ask yourself why you had an emotional reaction to the discourse.

HorvathRed • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 06:17 AM*

What would make you think I had an emotional reaction? I was simply self-amused at all the deluded "intellectualism".

Beta male? Man, I'm not the one with deep-seated fantasies of tradconning and a frustrated tone. I've been happily enjoying "the decline" as it's called for many years and at peace with it. And no, I'm not interested in any advice, the point is that this OP serves very little purpose and just ends up sounding edgy. "hah you think you're red-pillled but HERE'S the real red pill! Honest! Even if I can't really define it." If you want to spark thought in a community where anyone who's taken its end message seriously and digested the key knowledge is already pretty unfettered, it helps having more a fruitful conclusion.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 06:37 AM

Then the post isn't for you.

And this a genuine request, but if you don't agree with it, give us a breakdown.

Present a counter argument.

'This isn't helpful and it's deluded' doesn't add anything.

As I said, that's a genuine reply.

thepanda37 • 1 point • 2 June, 2020 03:00 AM*

Dude, you should have just started with the last three words cut the tedious repetitions and get straight to what you want.

It's an philosophical/psychological/cultural issue, that's obvious, although seeking experiences and women and just keeping your feet on the ground definitely helps with avoiding the intellectual pitfalls you seek to drag others into. It's sadly true though that this place is stuck on shallow stuff.

Hedonism being wrong doesn't make what you're peddling right. Don't prey on the insecure to sell your bs. I guess Heidegger is harder to read...

throwabcdaway4 • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 09:49 AM

Just go get laid and do sport ffs

Swimming-Syllabub • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 08:41 PM

How will reading evola get me laid?

dynospectrum7 • 2 points • 26 May, 2020 08:58 PM

It won't. It will help you attain some people's red pill version of "wokeness"

A lot of this shit reeks of dudes who don't get any skins so they boast their big well read brain and reference these old texts. Look hard enough at some of these *profound* commenters on here. If you get a chance to see how they look or speak, believe me, they aren't pulling any high quality tail, and you likely have a higher quality stable. These are the same dudes that get put off if a girl dated one black guy in her life. What does that tell you?

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 26 May, 2020 09:06 PM

If you frequented trp.red, you would have seen photos of a couple of my girls.

Never speak too soon.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 12:22 AM

If all you want to do is get laid, then go get laid. No problem here.

Evola will give you a much larger framework. He'll actually challenge your thinking, possibly cause some cognitive dissonance and might get you thinking about some core stuff.

How Red do you want to get?

Men these days are pussies who only ask 'muh how will I get sex'.

Don't be a pussy.

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 02:32 AM

Read Metaphysics of Sex. He provides some sexual strategy in there.

GayLubeOil • 3 points • 27 May, 2020 03:06 AM*

In the future if you are going to be pushing abstract philosophical ideas on Larvals/Normies keep in mind Timothy Leary's advice.

All larval interactions are instrumental to one of the four survival attitudes. Larvals are comfortably adapted to this limited four-channel communication, scan automatically for the survival meaning of each stimulus and scuttle by each other like ants, each intent on his own "reality" - reacting automatically to relevant cues from others.

Larval communication occurs in terms of four systems, some of which are comprehensible to the entire species, some of which are limited to members of the same cultural-imprint group.

Larvals do not like to receive information unless the facts fit into their 3rd Circuit reality net and immediately reward their emotional status. Democrats were delighted to hear the facts about Nixon, but Republicans were irritated and resistant.

Larvals submit themselves to learn new symbols only under special motivational circumstances where the new connections build on and confirm established systems or give promise of future emotional rewards of which the teacher is model.

Larvals fervently resist new symbols which require a change in their network of associations. This resistance to learning is not psychological; it is neurological and biochemical. New ideas require a change in the wiring of associations and literally cause a "headache."

Communicating with a larval involves building onto the net of associations. You must literally wire each new idea to an existing neural connection. Larvals learn almost no new symbol systems after childhood. They simply add on or translate into symbols closely connected to the imprint. This accounts for the fact that it takes at least one generation for a new idea to be understood.

It is especially important in communicating with larvals to remember that few symbols now exist for post-larval processes.

You cannot use butterfly language to communicate with caterpillars.

Circuit 4 language involves domestic-moral and social values. Here we discover that there are great cultural differences. The basic sperm-egg invitations to orgasm are, of course, global, but the semantics of displacement, inhibition and sublimation become subtle. Indeed, the individuality, intimacy, specificity, inconsistency and volatility of value symbols requires the greatest caution on the part of post-larvals communicating with yokels.

Most larvals live in terror of being seen as sinful or "bad." Constant reassurance is required to maintain the feeling of being socially approved.

In communicating with larvals about sexual, philosophic, or ethical matters, one enters very dangerous terrain. It is almost impossible to discuss philosophy with yokels. Hypocrisy, unconscious motivation, irrational paradox, need for approval and fear-of-shame dominate every discussion of philosophy-religion.

Larvals may be bored by and may tune-out Circuit 3 symbols which do not fit their imprints and conditioned networks. But Circuit 4 moral symbols or behaviors which are sensed as different trigger off responses of passion and even violence. Because of this philosophic sensitivity, yokel humans tend to avoid philosophic discussions.

This phobia can cause painful reactions when a post-larval attempts to discuss exo-psychology with a mundane.

The reasons for this philosophic phobia:

1. Yokels are ignorant about where life came from, where it is going and why. They are thus terrified by their mortality. Each larval has accepted a flimsy philosophy of life-and-death which SHe does not really believe. Thus the irritation and panic when this basic hypocrisy is threatened by a scientific discussion about life-origin and life destination.

https://users.aalto.fi/~saarit2/deoxy/8_larvals.htm

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 27 May, 2020 04:42 AM

Yeah mate, I'm about to read Exo Psychology.

I think as long as I put stuff out there without the intent or need to change anyone, it becomes an even deeper understanding of how people think and react due to their display.

I just let the shit go. I'm into my own path, not changing others unless they ask.

I'm looking forward to reading Leary. I think it'll improve ability communicate with those who are stuck.

I like what you said about Rollo being a Hedonist. Fuck I see the error in the thinking now.

Sex is great, but entire 'redpill' strategy is just pursuing pleasure. As if the answer to man's bluepill, nihilistic, consumerist matrix is the attainment of pleasure in sex.

Rollo's 'work' becomes the grandizement, worship and ritualistic pursuit of sex. No wonder so many dudes say it's empty and they end up unfulfilled. No shit, they literally invest everything about themselves into having 'smv', 'alpha', 'high status'.

It's all a hedonistic, self sacrifice to the Goddess called pussy.

And motherfuckers here think they're free.

[deleted] 27 May, 2020 05:21 AM*

[removed]

INNASKILLZ2K18[S] • 3 points • 27 May, 2020 05:46 AM

Lacan's theory on hysteria is so much better.

The woman does not exist.

LiveAFTSOV • 3 points • 28 May, 2020 02:16 AM

According to charactercounttool . com rollo's essays are written for the readability of a college student

checkmate

00jppcbc00 • 0 points • 26 May, 2020 01:08 PM

Reject modernity, embrace tradition.

tekn0_ • 1 point • 27 May, 2020 08:35 AM

And go back to writing this reply using quills and ink over papyrus