

an idea I want to flesh out before posting on RPC 'proper (didn't know that was a thing)', already banned on r/MensRights, what's my RPC heresy?

June 5, 2020 | 0 upvotes | by [david-n-73](#)

/r/GenderTheory/comments/gwu9yo/skip_if_triggered_by_tradcon_truth/

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

redwall92 • 4 points • 5 June, 2020 11:50 AM

To answer the question at the end of your sentence...

how shall we lead them to it?

Simple answers are the best IMO.

You do you. Women will naturally follow. If you understand and believe RP ideologies, then you will understand that women will naturally follow. (And if they don't follow?? What are you going to do about it? Legislate "FOLLOW ME" into law?). You do you, man. This is the RP.

Anytime I see a sentiment lean towards going back in time to the good old days, yada, yada...

it's as if we need to go back in time to [insert when you thought our dynamic was last amenable here], and do it again with everyone having an appreciation for the roles we dismantle recklessly at our own peril

I remind myself I still need to work on inventing that time machine.

Feedback as far as posting on RPC:

Work more on fleshing your idea out. But add some bones (**structure/formatting**).

Try using the **Enter** key a few times here and there.

Use less ellipses ... it's like you're trying to continue a thought that's somehow related in your mind ... but you can't quite figure out where to put a period ... and end a sentence ... so, you expect the reader to add the breaks for you. This should come naturally to you as the writer as you flesh out the topic in your own mind before writing stream-of-consciousness with a keyboard.

Don't lead off with "how can I get banned here?" language. Makes you sound like a know-it-all kid looking for the next scuffle. Drop that crap.

Praexology • 2 points • 5 June, 2020 04:55 PM

• Work more on fleshing your idea out. But add some bones (structure/formatting).

• Try using the Enter key a few times here and there.

• Use less ellipses ... it's like you're trying to continue a thought that's somehow related in your mind ... but you can't quite figure out where to put a period ... and end a sentence ... so, you expect the reader to add the breaks for you. This should come naturally to you as the writer as you flesh out the topic in your own mind before writing stream-of-consciousness with a keyboard.

Wha(

t) do you mean?

redwall92 • 1 point • 5 June, 2020 11:56 AM

On a related note, how the heck did you get banned on /r/MensRights? From a quick look at recent topics that sub is filled with crabs in a bucket. Women did this, woman said that, ... Looks like a reaction bucket to make sure we men get at least as much as the women get. And if we can't get that, then we'll make sure they don't get preferential treatment, either.

No bueno spending time there man. To many she said/she did in that bucket.

helaughsinhidden • 1 point • 5 June, 2020 01:53 PM

I agree, that was hard to read brother. Whole thing feels like one giant run on sentence and it was hard to keep the thoughts separate.

david-n-73 • 1 point • 6 June, 2020 06:24 AM

it does feel my thoughts on the issue are over complicated considering how conceivably simple God's design for our success should be, i.e. follow Him and His ways, including the roles He made us for. This post was trying to convey that, pointing to His design, but without explicitly citing to Him, merely trying to show an intuitive sense for why His roles for us are the only option for success, such that anyone may be able to recognise this. I agree we don't need a time machine, despite what mgtow may believe and I really wish they knew that people going their own way rather than God's is the very cause for the problems they want to get away from. As far as those two points go, do you have any suggestions? I originally had all sorts of line and paragraph breaks, but whenever I'd try to post it, they'd be removed for whatever reason, which honestly I didn't feel hindered the content, especially when you consider the scripture never required this. the ellipses do show where I concede possible difficulty in regarding all that I'm saying as relevant to one another, and to affirm I'm in agreement that these thoughts need more fleshing out, but also that I believe the reader capable ...it is not my intent to be banned, I'm just surprised to have been considered breaking some kind of regulation, of which I'd still like to be made aware of to fix, and that someone went to the trouble of banning my post, without providing any explanation as to where I went wrong or what I should be doing instead. they ought to drop the "we know better than anyone else what content Christians ought acknowledge" behaviour, unless they can at least point to a regulation I've broken, or at least even ad hoc reach out to explain their reaction... of course I'm not expecting anything really, except that a Christian group would want to help their members especially when all they seem to want is to help others also... it's not like this is a post intending to attack the group or any true doctrine, and if there does seem to be some kind of attack or perverse element to my writings worth banning, then so be it, but why not tell me: "whatever the reason you were banned, please feel free to message or write [here] as to possibly why that is and what can be done about it", if what I'm saying isn't sound, why not help me out, and if it is, why ban it? And why still is structure/formatting being treated as a necessity for expressing one's ideas? And if it seriously is, why isn't it clearly listed as such before someone walks away assuming they were banned by closed off people who just want an echo chamber... I don't believe this, as I've seen *generally* you seem pretty open to what others have to say, which is why I'm still here looking for the reason my ideas are ban worthy.... is it just formatting? either way I'm going to follow your suggestions, spoon feed the reader, repost and then come back here for the real reason, cause as of yet I can't conceive as to why this needed to be banned

david-n-73 • 1 point • 6 June, 2020 08:08 AM

my new reddit wont work and my old reddit doesn't like formatting, but I've found some luck now with the formatting and I've followed your suggestions, thank you for them, now hopefully my post won't be banned twice

https://www.reddit.com/r/ChristPill/comments/gxmvej/the_red_pill_in_terms_of_human_sex_in_the_context

redwall92 • 1 point • 8 June, 2020 12:07 PM

Still can't find that ENTER key? Hit it twice in between each paragraph.

TL/DR

david-n-73 • 1 point • 8 June, 2020 09:11 PM

first time on reddit, had no clue reddit was just unnecessarily removing lines, worked it out and my post was allowed through

Continuous-Metanoia • 3 points • 5 June, 2020 01:53 PM

Didn't even read all of it because I couldn't. Paragraphs, man. They're your friend.

operationveritas • 2 points • 5 June, 2020 08:06 PM

Yeah man that's just a big wall of text. I tried to read it but it's not easy on the eyes (especially mobile)

Vypered • 1 point • 5 June, 2020 08:18 PM

You need a TL;DR at the end. Not just to help the readers, but to help yourself summarise what it is your trying to communicate.

ClickableLinkBot • 0 points • 5 June, 2020 10:47 AM

r/MensRights

[For mobile and non-RES users](#) | [More info](#) | [-1 to Remove](#) | [Ignore Sub](#)