

I think social media and online dating is mentally ruining women

236 upvotes | 24 June, 2020 | by ShinobiKrow

I believe there is such thing as "Too Much Going On For You" syndrome. I really do. When you become convinced that the opportunities are endless, you completely lose focus. Online dating and social media do just that to women. They create the illusion that the opportunities are endless. They really aren't, but they do create that illusion. And when you are under that illusion it becomes harder to settle. It's harder to take things seriously. You'r always a couple of texts away from having sex. You can date as much as you want. You will never be alone, no matter how ugly you are. As a woman, you will always have options. Or so it seems. You might not get exactly what you want, but you'll get something.

I think there is no way this isn't mentally damaging. You're consistently being told how beautiful you are. Consistently being approached. Consistently being offered sex. You know that whoever you date can be quickly replaced if things don't go your way. There is always more. You grow up believing that. There is always more. Possibly, there's always something better waiting for you. Why settle? Maybe if you wait a little bit more, someone even better will come around.

I believe women are now more fickle than ever. And it's a big difference. I've had internet since the 90's. I've used IRC, silly chatrooms, Myspace, you name it. There is a big difference, in my opinion, between talking to women online 10 years ago and right now. You can tell that they are burned out. They can't focus, they're too demanding, they ghost too much and it didn't use to be like this. They're getting buried in messages and compliments and kind of getting addicted to it with no intention of giving anything back. It's just getting too much for them. I think it hurts men and i think it hurts women. They're in a state of delusion at this point.

What do you think?

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

wtknight[M] [score hidden] 24 June, 2020 03:56 PM stickied comment

Flaired as a CMV post

bonobo-no • 53 points • 24 June, 2020 06:46 PM

It's ruining young Americans of all races, gender identities, and sexual orientations. Call me a boomer idc.

thetruthishere_ • 17 points • 24 June, 2020 09:27 PM

I agree.

Its causing depression, gives people more anxiety and insecurities.

All kinds of videos about it.

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=social+media+causing+depression+and+anxiety

[deleted] • 44 points • 24 June, 2020 03:57 PM

Social media is ruining society for all of us as far as I'm concerned. Cancel culture can suck a bag of dicks, and we've put too many Tumblr types into HR it seems, but I don't see it ruining women as a sexual thing which is what this sub is about.

I've been online as long as you are, and the only real difference was back then it was only smarter people online. Now we get the masses and the masses are idiots, so we see the idiocy. The internet peaked in about 2006. Normies and the iPhone has turned it into the mess it is today.

ifosfacto • 10 points • 25 June, 2020 12:24 AM

I suspect quite a few Millennials and Gen-xers will be switched on to this aspect but just avoid mentioning it, but it is for sure a big aspect on why social interaction online changed. Once the www can into mass consumption, all those people who thought the internet & computers were for losers & geeks or boring flooded online. The majority of trailer park residents will have been online now for years.

YetAnotherCommenter • 2 points • 26 June, 2020 09:01 AM

This is basically it. Apple and Sony commercialized/mainstreamized the internet and gaming/nerd culture, and everything went to hell. The internet, which used to be the loser's refuge from the savage tribal social politics of the high school playground, *became* a giant high school playground.

High School Never Ends. I'd make a Columbine joke but that might get taken as a threat of violence. Point being, social media and the mainstreaming of the internet haven't been good things.

I mean this is pretty much the only case I know of where technological progress hasn't worked out of the better.

Aaren_Augustine • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 04:10 PM

Cancel culture is an excellent test of our society. It got some dick bags out of their position of power and it showed how some people are parasitic.

Joey Diaz has an excellent take. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zdQnTyu3sIo>

It creates better men, removed the ones that should be there, and it shows men that women are far from perfect.

[deleted] • 12 points • 24 June, 2020 04:13 PM

Do you have any idea whats going on right now with it? This isn't about a few bad guys who used power to get pussy. This is about ruining lives over nothing or changing cultural icons.

Aaren_Augustine • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 04:14 PM

What innocent men are being destroyed?

stalinisaredditmod0 • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 10:58 PM

I just read a tale from a Twitch whore, she posted it on her Twitter, about her "abusive" ex. Within a day he'd been fired from his job and kicked out of his home.

Aaren_Augustine • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 11:15 PM

I smell a lawsuit.

brackenz • 1 point • 1 July, 2020 12:00 AM

That will be tossed out the window

ifosfacto • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 12:34 AM*

the trouble is like a number of words form when I grew up, the definition has been stretched in social media land as well clickbait online news land, for the headline grabbing effect as well as the bigger victim effect. You really need to hear both sides of the story on 'abusive' claims. Also your private life is your private life, and if your work performance is fine, then I don't see why your company should be able to sack you because HR doesn't like how you behave in a relationship (assuming you are not doing anything that's illegal)

stalinisaredditmod0 • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 02:14 AM

It should be a basic worker's rights principle that your employer can't fire you for (almost) anything you do outside of work. Likewise it should be a basic right to a home that your landlord can't kick you out for anything immaterial to your tenancy. But the US isn't into these basic rights.

It's becoming the same now in the UK though. A dude who flew a "white lives matter" banner over a football stadium has been sacked along with his girlfriend.

ifosfacto • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 02:44 AM

That sort of thing is happening more, and people (more so of the left) are happy to exploit doxing to try get that outcome. I am dead against again losing their job because they want to wear a MAGA hat or Mao or pro-weed t-shirt on the weekend or attend whatever rally they want in the evening. Something like "white lives matter" to me is even stretching it further when it comes to 'public' decency. If your customers start calling up and complaining because they identify that staff member as being a high profile representative of your business then that takes it into different territory, but this is just sacking someone because I don't like his views on current events, and while there is race aspect it (no more race based than BLM), to me its on his own time and has no relevance to his ability to do his job. Can you quiz people about their personal beliefs and political or religious inclinations or organisations they belong to when you hire them.

[deleted] • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 04:25 PM

Well someone went for me on facebook locally for one. If I didn't have a lot of women locally who knew me professionally and stood up for me I could have been ruined. To this day I have no idea who tried it accuse me.

What innocent men are being destroyed?

You

Could

Google

If you need to ask this you haven't looked into this now have you?

Aaren_Augustine • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 04:47 PM*

First link, guess what? Men are lawyering up against that stupid shit. They still work. They still get paid.

Second link, not innocent. <https://www.dmlp.org/threats/washington-post-v-tunison>

Here's the legal reason he was fired and I'd wonder if THATS what really caused his heart burn not the spreadsheet. Additionally, two editors from IGN are getting the shit the deserve after a shit ton of shitty practices and everyone, men and women are coming out of the wood work to call them out. Working as intended if you've ever worked for a shitty boss that was easily NEVER going to be fired any other way.

In plenty of cases, serious cases, some of these evil fucks did this for years and there was no avenue to speak out. And you can tell which ones are foolish, like Aziz's date or Angry Joe's date.

Already, you are seeing entire industries shift to a more sustainable setup. You are getting men that need to be more cautious to act more cautiously.

Edit - And to continue on with that Shitty list -

Wieseltier apologized to the women and admitted to "offenses against some of my colleagues in the past."

Got fired for sexual misconduct and admitted it.

Lorin Stein resigned, and wasn't fired. Admitted he acted inappropriately.

Moira Donegan, the creator of the list is currently being sued. Consequence all around.

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 05:16 PM

I WAS FALSELY ACCUSED. I had someone claiming to be an ex-employee accuse me of sexual harassment. This person knew me at some level because some things were true (non-bad ones) but apparently I was horrible to my wife and harassed my employees sexually to.

Aaren_Augustine • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 05:26 PM

I worked with a swinger in Germany. He liked grooming women and seeing if they were open to fucking him and his wife. Hell, he even did it at work with my wife and I. Dude didn't even think he was doing anything wrong, but as the EEO rep, I had to hear all about it. You see, he didn't realize he was making them very uncomfortable

because no one would tell him in the moment.

That's not to say that's what you did or didn't. I just don't know you from Moses. Were you fired? Was your pay reduced? Did HR put you on a corrective plan? Did you change how you dealt with employees after that?

DubsPackage • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 05:50 PM

Lawyering up costs money and it costs nothing to make accusations.

The internet makes it real easy to attack people in the court of public opinion, smear them for years, regardless of how "proper" or careful you are, sooner or later you'll say something wrong, offend the wrong person and end up with your face in the public cheese grater.

Maybe you don't believe that, but witch hunts have a way of spreading out of control.

I think the liberal agenda in corporate america is to recreate a type of pearl-clutching Victorian society and uphold beta-bux as the default model of gender relations, while maintaining plausible deniability about AF/BB.

Even your words, such as "grooming" betray a kind of paternalistic gynocentrism, like women are children being "groomed" and it's up to you/society/etc to put a stop to it, and as usual men's comfort takes a back seat to women's comfort and every workplace must be run like a russian goulag with no flirting or smiling allowed.

Aaren_Augustine • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 06:13 PM

https://old.reddit.com/r/Games/comments/hei0b9/former_ign_employee_mitch_dyer_speaks_out_about/

And this is what's causing it. This is the result of a system that doesn't naturally remove vile people. This is years of intimidation and threatening.

I would rather have none of it. I don't give a shit about politics in corporate America (Liberal agenda) because it doesn't address the vileness of people in power. There's no room for politicians grabbing tits or sniffing people hair. There shouldn't be room for people to go "hey, I really want to be the actress in this movie and I REALLY don't want to suck this ugly guys rotted dick to make that happen".

People need to go to work, have a relatively comfortable environment to do their work, get paid and go home.

Sigma1979 • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 01:37 AM

Heh, cancel culture/#MeToo is also making the majority of men NOT want to mentor women, "because of the implication."

Aaren_Augustine • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 01:39 AM

Yes. And it will shift HOW men deal with women. This is a good thing. Over time, less men will be taken advantage of and there will be less men taking advantage of women.

Sigma1979 • 5 points • 25 June, 2020 01:45 AM

And it will shift HOW men deal with women.

Yes, like i said, men don't want to mentor women. Men don't want to have a 1 on 1 conversation alone with a female subordinate (which is kinda hilarious when you think about it, how do you even do an annual review? I guess through an instant messenger program?). Men don't want to have business lunches with women.

And women are complaining about this because it's limiting advancement opportunities for women. Sheryl Sandberg is complaining about this because her advice to young women is backfiring.

Aaren_Augustine • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 01:52 AM

And women are complaining about this because it's limiting advancement opportunities for women.

Uh, I just think they're getting a feel for competition and now, just like men, these women are subject to it. Now these women have to prove themselves and gain a reputation instead of being given a chance just by virtue of being women.

HR existed to effectively protect a company from this kind of shit, but it was usually geared towards protecting women. Guess HR is going to be sitting in with those annual reviews. Again, this is a good thing. People are being forced to play fair.

PellucidlyNebulous • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 02:02 PM

Uh, I just think they're getting a feel for competition and now, just like men, these women are subject to it. Now these women have to prove themselves and gain a reputation instead of being given a chance just by virtue of being women.

How are we supposed to prove ourselves if we're locked out from the game? For example, for myself, pre-#metoo, I was unable to secure a mentoring or lab position. Because of the average sex distribution of male engineering profs (the only female ones were not in my discipline), I could only submit requests/applications to male professors. All of them told me to my face they would not accept me because of the potential of being alone in the lab together. I was doing great academically and there was no other reason to turn me down. My resume now will never have that lab experience I likely would have had no issue securing if I was male & this *did* hurt my chances severely when trying to start my career in the lab. I'm not the only one dealing with this.

Aaren_Augustine • 114 points • 24 June, 2020 04:02 PM

I think you are throwing too much male into a woman's perspective.

Women will say the same thing about video games and porn because it takes away time and attention from them. So social media is lessening women's choices and that's ruining it for men.

Lots of MGTOW or incel guys will say women offer nothing so porn is easier. Which is good for women because they were never going to be considered to begin with. And for attention whores and Instagram models, this is good for men because it easily weeds out women that shouldn't be considered by the vast majority of men.

I met my wife on Myspace, got half off on Lasik surgery due to it and she eventually quit most social media

because it was making her feel bad about herself. This was good for me and my wife. This is also an excellent sign that she's an adult, understands her own personal responsibility and easily speaks to her understanding that she's the issue; not social media.

TLDR - its a feature, not a problem.

the_calibre_cat • 18 points • 24 June, 2020 08:23 PM

Women will say the same thing about video games and porn because it takes away time and attention from them.

Who said they'd be wrong about this?

Aaren_Augustine • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 08:41 PM

I don't think they'd be wrong about this.

the_calibre_cat • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 07:32 AM

Me neither

Securable • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 08:29 PM

No one

Controversiality • 25 points • 24 June, 2020 06:45 PM

While I think your point is interesting, it fails to factor in one thing. You have held people as a constant while games/porn and social media/dating apps are used as a discriminating factor to filter out undersireables. However people are not constant and people that might have otherwise turned out decent can be led astray by the above. Meaning it's not simply selecting but creating which means there is a problem. Even though in this case its individuals misusing tools, the tools do act as a catalyst to encourage more of certain kinds of unhealthy behaviour. So I'll go with it's a catalyst that's exacerbating the ugliness in people, though games and social media are also both used very positively. I might be biased but I don't think social media like instagram has been a net benefit to us. Don't get me started on porn.

Aaren_Augustine • 9 points • 24 June, 2020 06:54 PM

However people are not constant and people that might have otherwise turned out decent can be led astray by the above.

I'm not going to be responsible by accounting for other people's personal responsibility to NOT be led astray. And every time I hear this I always think of old cowboy movies when the guy is about to be hung for murder and he cautions the crowd to not let the drink take over your life or you'll be hung too.

It is not the "tools" problem people are abusing it. It's the people's problem for allowing it to control them. Because it's an inanimate object.

And to be honest, they wouldn't have turned out decent. They'd have found another thing to fuck themselves up with.

RedPill_is_a_cult • 9 points • 24 June, 2020 08:45 PM

While I definitely agree that personal responsibility has a role, we can't forget that choices aren't made in a vacuum. We're only as free in our choices as the options available to us, and I think the way social media and porn are so pervasive and tbh, pushed on us, takes away a lot from the argument that people would have just found other ways to fuck up their own lives.

I definitely see social media as damaging, to both men and women, but perhaps in different ways than the OP describes. Echo chambers are easier to fall in. People become more isolated in meatspace while tricking themselves into feeling more connected. 'Likes' fuel the drive for outside validation and don't teach people the value of self worth. Reddit is the only social media platform I use, and even this, I gotta step away from and disconnect on the regular. You can only watch so many police brutality videos before you're just filled with rage and dread, and that shit will follow you around all day long.

Aaren_Augustine • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 08:57 PM

We're only as free in our choices as the options available to us

I think you have to give people the benefit of the doubt or you just start to sound like some women that says "all men will cheat if given the opportunity." I think that kind of mindset really setup everyone up for failure. A self-fulfilling prophecy.

My wife drinks beer but we have whiskey and vodka. By your logic, she's going to go straight for the spirits and be running around the yard half naked a few hours from now.

I think the way social media and porn are so pervasive and tbh, pushed on us,

Well, I don't know where you go, but I've never had porn or social media "pushed upon me".

Echo chambers are easier to fall in.

Sigh, TRP and MRP are great echo chambers. I learned a lot and didn't go balls crazy. You keep thinking these "things" force extreme behavior and they just don't in the vast majority of people.

People become more isolated in meatspace while tricking themselves into feeling more connected.

Did they trick themselves or did Myspace trick them into thinking their friends list was made of actual friends?

'Likes' fuel the drive for outside validation and don't teach people the value of self worth

Go look at my post history in MRP. I specifically crashed and burned to check if I needed external validation. And while I agree in some sense, it's still about people learning to be the source of that validation rather than have other people do that for them.

Even TRP is well aware of not being too thirsty for external validation.

I gotta step away from and disconnect on the regular.

Yeah, that's the only "role" that matters. Your personal responsibility. So I assume you won't ever hope that Reddit will take it upon themselves to help you with that, right?

RedPill_is_a_cult • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 09:24 PM*

I think you have to give people the benefit of the doubt or you just start to sound like some women that says "all men will cheat if given the opportunity." I think that kind of mindset really setup everyone up for failure. A self-fulfilling prophecy.

My wife drinks beer but we have whiskey and vodka. By your logic, she's going to go straight for the spirits and be running around the yard half naked a few hours from now.

You're severely misunderstanding what I said if that's your takeaway. I'm not saying that

people will always give in to excess if it's available to them, I'm saying that if we're surrounded by, saturated in, and grew up with knowing nothing but one reality, it feels silly to hold someone 'personally responsible' for not choosing something else.

Well, I don't know where you go, but I've never had porn or social media "pushed upon me".

In small ways, yea, I think most of us do, whether we're aware of it or not. My phone has facebook installed on it, and I don't have the option to actually uninstall it. When I meet up with my friends, usually at least once or twice will some facebook drama come up that I'm not really able to comment on because I'm 'in the out group' there. Twitter is pushed on me constantly, if for nothing else than Don Cheeto's tweets constantly coming up in the news cycle.

Sigh, TRP and MRP are great echo chambers. I learned a lot and didn't go balls crazy. You keep thinking these "things" force extreme behavior and they just don't in the vast majority of people.

There are plenty of echo chambers beyond pill ideologies. And I didn't say it forced anything on anyone. What I will say is that when you're saturated in these echo chambers, it can prime your brain for certain thoughts and emotions, which leads to a greater likelihood of certain outcomes.

Did they trick themselves or did Myspace trick them into thinking their friends list was made of actual friends?

The way these platforms are designed leads to increased usage. They're designed to draw you in to get you to spend more time on them. So, unless you're going to blame individuals for how their brains give them dopamine hits, I'll lay more fault with the companies here.

Yeah, that's the only "role" that matters. Your personal responsibility. So I assume you won't ever hope that Reddit will take it upon themselves to help you with that, right?

I absolutely expect social media companies to be good corporate neighbors, and if they won't regulate themselves in this way, I'd be fine with legislation coming down to regulate them. In the absence of that, I only have myself to rely on. Fortunately, with my life experiences, I have the tools to recognize and self regulate. Many other people do not.

Controversiality • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 09:41 PM*

I'm not necessarily a Christian but I do find some of what it says to be quite profound. There are a few mentions in the bible about not causing others to stumble, and I believe it intelligently sums up what you've said. We all have our personal responsibility for our own actions. If we took that to its ultimate conclusion it means we somewhat have responsibility over how others act in reaction to us. There is an overlap between responsibilities and it's as much as a perpetrators responsibility to do evil as it is the victims responsibility to protect themselves by not putting themselves in vulnerable situations. Honestly it's got to the point that these massive entities like social media and porn have huge influences on people but the companies don't take responsibility. Like you said regulation is coming because leaving these things to continue to hurt the weak is not a good idea if things continue to get worse.

Aaren_Augustine • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 09:42 PM

You're severely misunderstanding what I said if that's your takeaway. I'm not saying that people will always give in to excess if it's available to them, I'm saying that if we're surrounded by, saturated in, and grew up with knowing nothing but one reality, it feels silly to hold someone 'personally responsible' for not choosing something else.

I very much understand. You are making people victims of their environment. I am not. I'm saying it's their choice.

My phone has facebook installed on it, and I don't have the option to actually uninstall it.

Yep. I used to only check it once a year. So? Does that app then stare at you, baiting you into opening it?

In the absence of that, I only have myself to rely on.

Exactly. Because no one else is going to.

RedPill_is_a_cult • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 09:52 PM

I very much understand. You are making people victims of their environment. I am not. I'm saying it's their choice.

People can be victims of their environment though. Take a recovering alcoholic with a genetic predisposition to addiction. You think the chance of his outcome is going to be the same if you lock him in a room full of booze as if you remove booze from his environment? If you don't see how environments can have an impact on peoples choices, you're pretty much rejecting biology.

Yep. I used to only check it once a year. So? Does that app then stare at you, baiting you into opening it?

Way to cherry pick.

Exactly. Because no one else is going to.

Only if we allow it to be that way.

Aaren_Augustine • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 09:57 PM

As I've always said, it might not have been your fault, but it is now your problem. The ones that make it out alive take personal responsibility and improve. The ones that don't blame everyone but themselves.

I don't blame the sun for my genetic disposition to not absorb sun light correctly. My BIL had plenty of help to NOT be a addict. He chose time and again to keep using even when he knew he had a problem. Even when he stole from his dying mother, I shit you not. His whole environment tried to help him and he didn't not help himself.

And if you really want to talk about genetics and "rejecting biology" we can discuss phenotypes and genotypes. Which lessens the whole "Muh genetics made me do it". A very large portion of your genetics is easily dictated by what you do to your body.

It will acclimate with better behavior and choices.

Way to cherry pick.

Just going along with YOUR example.

RedPill_is_a_cult • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 11:48 PM

As I've always said, it might not have been your fault, but it is now your problem. The ones that make it out alive take personal responsibility and improve. The ones that don't blame everyone but themselves.

The the ones that don't make it don't always shoulder all the responsibility for their failure. You have a very black/white way of looking at this.

I don't blame the sun for my genetic disposition to not absorb sun light correctly. My BIL had plenty of help to NOT be a addict. He chose time and again to keep using even when he knew he had a problem. Even when he stole from his dying mother, I shit you not. His whole environment tried to help him and he didn't not help himself.

You're comparing your personal responsibility in regulating your vitamin intake to that of an addict falling off the wagon? Get some perspective man.

It will acclimate with better behavior and choices.

And those choices are heavily influenced by the environment we find ourselves in. Which was my original point.

Just going along with YOUR example.

You understand what cherry picking is, yes?

Controversiality • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 08:54 PM

It is not the "tools" problem people are abusing it. It's the people's problem for allowing it to control them. Because it's an inanimate object.

That is too simple an analysis of human beings, as it doesn't take into account the complexity of how humans engage with "inanimate" objects. From what I've come to see is that people are very malleable and their upbringing is a huge factor.

I too believe in ultimate responsibility but most these people who are making mistakes are too young and dumb to see it. Just a matter of whether they figure it out before it's too late and change their ways. Most people aren't engaging in unhealthy behaviour out of malice but rather ignorance. A lot of people who have it right probably had atleast one or two wake up calls where the wool dropped from their eyes. Though circumstances can make that more or less likely!

johnxwalker • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 01:43 AM

This just seems like a cop out in my opinion, most people who grow up today in this age, they are grown enough to know what they are doing and not care. Most younger youths that make awful decisions make them knowing that they don't care for consequences that happen after. Most people regardless of circumstances know right from wrong and what not to do.

Controversiality • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 07:16 AM

It's not a cop out, it's a nuanced view. Firstly right from wrong is not a binary configuration but an obfuscated spectrum with an increasing range of confusing grey area in the middle. The more self aware someone is, the better they can navigate the grey areas and make good decisions. However I just have not seen this ability manifested in your average person, and it's not because they are malicious or not caring.

I am now 24 but from about 18 till now my views have been updated countless times, and I have learnt and matured rather rapidly with a lot of pain. There were things I could see I was doing wrong back then now which at the time I thought I was in the right. If I even to this day ask people what they thought of my actions back then, then a lot would still think I was justified or right. Though I have come to learn that most people don't know the true nature of the suffering they inflict on themselves and others due to ignorance and low levels of self awareness.

johnxwalker • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 11:57 AM

Basically a "I was a kid i didn't know any better" nah that is literally a cop out, most humans at teenage age know right from wrong, any thing they tell you is just a excuse to get sympathy for their actions. Most people know that they are being assholes my friend.

Imsomniland • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:46 AM

Even though in this case its individuals misusing tools, **the tools do act as a catalyst to encourage more of certain kinds of unhealthy behaviour.**

Precisely. Unfortunately I feel that it is more worth our while to help people understand the affects of technology and promote wise choices, than it is to try and get rid of the tools. These tools I feel like are unfortunately here to stay until something bigger comes along and gobbles it up/internalizes it.

Controversiality • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 07:19 AM

You are right, that is indeed the pragmatic way to move forward. When used right these tools can have a net benefit as well, so we should help people make the most of them. I know of only one technology that has the power to out do traditional social media, though it will be a while till that comes around.

sanrio-sugarplum • 10 points • 24 June, 2020 08:26 PM

I think the "women hate games and porn" thing is exaggerated. I don't mind either at all, and I probably spend even more time gaming than my boyfriend does.

Also, what do you mean by "she's the issue?" If getting off of social media was the solution, wouldn't that make social media the issue?

Aaren_Augustine • 12 points • 24 June, 2020 08:39 PM

If getting off of social media was the solution, wouldn't that make social media the issue?

If I played World of Warcraft, didn't shower, shit in a pan rather than be bothered to get off my chair and go to the bathroom, how is this WoW's issue?

I don't say Blizzard has a gaming addiction, I say the gamer that can't balance his life with games has a gaming addiction. Its basically having healthy boundaries.

"Everything in moderation".

sanrio-sugarplum • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 08:40 PM

Oh, I see. I guess where I'm coming from is that social media just seems pretty toxic in general.

Aaren_Augustine • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 08:44 PM

In general it might be. Some just use it to keep in touch with family members or have some silly facebook page that's from the perspective of their pet corgi.

I can say that about online games too. You haven't lived unless you've had a 14 year old boy talk about banging your mother. But i'd still blame that on the user, not the platform.

sanrio-sugarplum • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 08:48 PM

Tbf, facebook seems to be the least toxic unless you join groups. Twitter and Instagram are probably the worst because they both sort of feed addictions to attention. I'm trying and struggling to take a break from Twitter right now lol

AxeLond • 8 points • 24 June, 2020 09:17 PM

You understand society influences people to become MGTOW/incel or attention whores/Instagram models right?

You aren't born an attention whore. If you can get paid \$50/month from being a Instagram model, who cares. Anyone being to start making \$1000/month from putting a few pictures online? I mean, that's not bad. Get paid \$10k/month for no effort? Everyone would be a Instagram model.

stalinisaredditmod0 • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 10:53 PM

If you met your wife on Myspace you're not dealing with the current reality. You're of a past generation, the same one as me. Before the smart phone, before women became primary consumers of the internet, before Tinder and Instagram, before people meeting their partners online doubled. The internet and social media was cool 15 years ago. It wasn't ubiquitous and all-consuming.

bionix90 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 12:18 PM

The internet and social media are cool now too. You just need to be smart about using them and apply critical thinking skills.

bssftw • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 11:51 PM

No one's gonna stop banging women because of porn and video games, in fact, the reason why said usage occurs is because of how difficult it is to bang said women, largely due to social media and how sharply the modern woman's standards have exploded as a result.

kwalden2 • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 04:18 PM

Wow!!!!!! Not OP, but this is a super hot take. Loved reading it.

SkyNightZ • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 06:30 PM

I get you're trying to be down the middle but this response just isn't.

If your wife deleted social media, while it means she is part of the problem, social media is obviously the other half.

If someone is addicted to smoking, obviously the addiction is bad, and the person should get help. Doesn't mean you pretend smoking is healthy for people in general just not your wife.

The problem being expressed isn't that women are not allowed choice. But you likening interpersonal relationships to gaming is quite contrived.

There isn't an equivalent to compare the issue of tinder for women against men. Because Men don't occupy the same space in actual reality as women. No, women are not sluts. No, women are allowed a choice. But yes, the feeling of endless choice does disillusion some women.

Aaren_Augustine • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 06:59 PM*

If your wife deleted social media, while it means she is part of the problem, social media is obviously the other half

Do you believe in the Prohibition?

If someone is addicted to smoking, obviously the addiction is bad, and the person should get help.

Do you believe its other people's moral responsibility to control these addicts like the Prohibition?

There isn't an equivalent to compare the issue of tinder for women against men.

Do you think it's society's moral obligation to control women so that they don't use tinder?

the feeling of endless choice does disillusion some women.

Is it? Make a post and ask women when they started understanding just how thirsty men are. They didn't need social media to understand that. They've just used it to their advantage. And ugly men lose out in this.

SkyNightZ • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 10:41 PM

I don't believe in prohibition.

I don't believe it's other people's responsibility.

I don't believe it's societies job to control women.

Why don't you address my points...

Or rather answer this.

Are there things in reality which can be bad for someone. Whilst simultaneously saying that very thing makes people feel like their freedom is being taken away?

I say this because I made sure to non stop repeat in my first comment that women of course have a choice. But you skipped over that to make the strawman. My argument is simply that tinder as a whole has negative effects on women.

I didn't say ban tinder. It's funny that your brought up prohibition. Is that you telling me that alchohol is safe... Because clearly it's a harmful substance. Prohibition going away wasn't because people decided it had no health risks. Yet you use it as some argument against my very simple point. Tinder is negative for women.

I know mem are thirsty. Do you think I don't know this? Maybe you should ask yourself why the female sex is immune from tech companies abusing basic biology to generate revenue. If you can't handle the simple notion that tinder ISNT good for women but rather bad.

But let's go with prohibition. The below is essentially our exchange.

You: Well I stopped drinking because it made me lazy and depressed.

Me: you was part of the problem, but of course alchohol is also a problem too.

You: are you saying alchohol should be banned. Are you saying it's societies moral obligation to stop me drinking. You should make a post asking alcoholics how refreshing beer is. They didn't need spirits to understand the effects of alcohol. They are just using the higher percentage to their advantage. Beers lose out on this.

That's essentially our exchange. You just dodging the question.

I'm not saying women don't have choice. But if you won't even address the issue seriously then why even engage in this?

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:20 PM

It is a problem. Porn and video games are as well, but humans tend to be short-sighted to realize this.

What do you think the long term effects of this are going to be?

Side note: your wife sounds awesome. Nice catch

antonio_aurelio • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 06:12 AM

Is it really better for women that so many guys are checking out?

It's not like most women are picking massive winners (hence the insane rise in single mothers).

gaylordfag8 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:06 PM

Lots of MGTOW or incel guys will say women offer nothing so porn is easier. Which is good for women because they were never going to be considered to begin with.

Women make the porn. Unless you mean hentai or 3d in which case as an artist I can tell you sometimes women make the porn there too.

AlissonHarlan • 15 points • 24 June, 2020 06:45 PM

I think too much competition ruin everybody.

It's not really a surprise if on these dating app you find a special category of people (who want sex/attention, who are lonely and/or don't want to settle, for most, i guess). So maybe these kind of app attract this special category of people. (i think it doesn't help, that's right, but apps doesn't create these people, it fullfill a need they already have)

it's not representative of all people. it's like you complain because 'you're going to the church and you almost only meet biggots here'.

BleachedJam • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 08:41 PM

I see a lot of posts like this on reddit and I don't get it. Either myself and all my friends and family are crazy outliers for this stuff, or this doesn't happen as much as the sweeping generalizations would have you believe.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 08:58 PM

[deleted]

BleachedJam • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 09:02 PM

Seems like a lot of people to be outliers. And I see other women in this thread saying the same thing.

Maybe women aren't largely like that and just the ones you've met are.

rubbooyuri • 9 points • 24 June, 2020 10:19 PM

*just the ones he wants to sleep with are

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 09:40 PM

[deleted]

BleachedJam • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 10:09 PM

I don't think it's a big trend, I think it's the type of people we surround ourselves with. You probably just know more women like what you've described in your OP. I know more that aren't like that. I don't think women as a whole are largely either way, but rather incredibly varied. It seems like a big trend to you because of bias and it seems nonexistent to me because of bias as well.

HappilyMrs • 45 points • 24 June, 2020 04:11 PM

You're viewing it that the "reward" is easy sex. That isn't what women are wanting. Women want competent, attractive men to be in a relationship with. They don't want the pump and dump that's available to them. Tinder is killing relationships for women. Social media is problematic, but not because it makes women feel admired, but because it makes women feel worse about themselves.

ginasaurus-rex • 27 points • 24 June, 2020 05:51 PM

Exactly. The whole "you might not get what you want, but you'll get something" is a disingenuous argument. If what I'm looking for is a knife, it doesn't matter that I can have as many chopsticks as I want.

HappilyMrs • 16 points • 24 June, 2020 05:52 PM

"It's like ten thousand spoons when all you need is a knife" ~ Alanis Morissette

slicebypass • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 10:00 PM

Isn't it ironic?

eveleaf • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 07:43 PM

"You may not get what you want, but you can give some guy what *he* wants!" ftfy

98herbsandspices • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:49 PM

settle

Sultmaker_9000 • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 09:30 PM

So why are women on Tinder, why do so many women put out on the first night on Tinder?

HappilyMrs • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 09:32 PM

Well I can't speak for all of them, but from what I have seen many think it gives them the widest net to find men and start a relationship

Sultmaker_9000 • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 09:35 PM

Disagree, the women I meet are Grace Millane types, look at the court case, 3 different men arranged in 3 days, and she was only just getting started, who knows how many she would have got through.

jackandjill22 • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 10:32 PM

Them not liking the culture when they're contributing to it is kind've hypocritical. Tbh

AnActualPerson • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 09:07 AM

Contributing \neq controlling

FastMushroom8 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 06:49 PM

Yep.

not_AtWorkRightNow • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 08:54 PM

I could be missing something but it sounds like what you're saying is totally consistent with the OP. They're saying social media presents the illusion of endless options but there aren't actually.

So if social media presents the illusion of unlimited male partners but it's really just endless opportunities for casual sex isn't your point consistent with the original one?

For the record, I agree with both points and my social life is an absolute joke, so I'm in no place to criticize others ideas on the subject. Just trying to get clarification.

akaeen • 51 points • 24 June, 2020 04:14 PM

I really really don't like posts like this that do not cite a significant source when making sweeping statements about the impact of something on mental health or similar. There are a lot of resources talking about mental health and the mental health impacts of online spaces.

Here is a google scholar search

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=mental+health+social+media&hl=en&as_sdt=0&as_vis=1&oi=scholar

Here is one in that google scholar search published in psychiatric quarterly called "Social Media Use and Mental Health among Young Adults (<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11126-017-9535-6>)

My point is. What is actually dangerous is red pill armchair psychiatrists diagnosing sweeping problems with society and women caused by social media without any research or data supporting their positions.

Results indicated that social media use was not predictive of impaired mental health functioning. However, vaguebooking was predictive of suicidal ideation, suggesting this particular behavior could be a warning sign for serious issues. Overall, results from this study suggest that, with the exception of vaguebooking, concerns regarding social media use may be misplaced.

i_cri_evry_tim • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 06:51 PM*

My point is. What is actually dangerous is red pill armchair psychiatrists diagnosing sweeping problems with society and women caused by social media without any research or data supporting their positions

I agree with this part. Dunno if dangerous, but definitely detrimental or, at the very least, counterproductive.

Results indicated that social media use was not predictive of impaired mental health functioning

But I also think this is indicative of exactly nothing related to what those RedPill armchair psychologists proclaim to be a problem.

Does anyone really think that people becoming overly demanding or having overinflated expectations or low tolerance for the burdens that come with a more old-school commitment dynamic would register as “impaired mental health functioning”?

ifosfacto • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 12:16 AM

spot on. Things are getting stretched a bit too far when 'mentally damaging' becomes 'impaired mental health'. A lot of the effects from social media obsession and changes in attitude are not really going to be easy to quantify + often the individual is not going to be self aware + the individual often wont consider it a problem from their personal perspective, and if it creates a collective issue for society or men, well that's not their problem.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 05:02 PM*

[deleted]

akaeon • 8 points • 24 June, 2020 05:06 PM

I just pointed out that there are a lot of studies on this matter. Some reference to them would be helpful in forming an opinion. Just because someone has an opinion doesn't automatically make it valid, and just because someone is too lazy to do any research before making their poor hot take doesn't mean that doing research is not important.

Your post is just supporting TRP anti intellectualism. I thought the RP was supposed to be *about* science and rationality? Why are RP posters so hostile to actual studies and evidence in general?

panzerbier • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 03:58 PM

TRP is about seeing male-female sexual dynamics clearly, as opposed to believing society's stories.

In most areas, this clear sight would be granted by science and rationality, exactly as you describe.

Not with sex and relationships, though. This area is so thoroughly politicized that I just don't believe any research that comes out. The ratio of false rape accusations is basically zero? Marriage is beneficial for men's health and wealth? Yeah sure, and the Moon's made of cheese.

As the Swiss say, if the map and the terrain disagree, believe the terrain. So redpillers are going to ignore this crap and rely on each other's experience. That's not anti-intellectualism. It's common sense in a hostile environment.

Aaren_Augustine • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 05:08 PM

My point is. What is actually dangerous is red pill armchair psychiatrists diagnosing sweeping problems with society and women caused by social media without any research or data supporting their positions.

With all do sarcasm, do you have a link for that? Otherwise you're an armchair calling out an armchair.

akaeon • 20 points • 24 June, 2020 05:19 PM

Here is an article from better help explaining *why* armchair psychology is dangerous

Here is an article from psychcentral talking about the ethics of armchair diagnosis

Here is one from WebMD explaining why its a bad idea.

Aaren_Augustine • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 05:45 PM

My question still stands, how are you doing anything different by saying red armchair psychiatrists is "actually dangerous" by doing the exact same arm chair psychology pointed at another "target

audience"?

ASaltPanda • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 05:54 PM

Yeah seems very hypocritical

Remote-Fee5220 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:46 PM

"Choice overload: A conceptual review and meta-analysis"

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.08.002>

Finally, we document that when moderating variables are taken into account the overall effect of assortment size on choice overload is significant—a finding counter to the data reported by prior meta-analytic research.

akaeen • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 12:00 AM

This article discusses whether choice overload has an effect on choice, not whether there is any psychological harm that would justify a statement that social media is "mentally ruining women".

the question of whether and when large assortments impede choice remains open

Second, we need to look at what they mean when they say "moderating variables"

we identify four key factors—choice set complexity, decision task difficulty, preference uncertainty, and decision goal—that moderate the impact of assortment size on choice overload

complexity, decision task difficulty, preference uncertainty, and decision goal. These are the "moderating factors that are taken into account which show when assortment size on choice overload is significant.

Moreover, this article is talking about difficulty in making a choice. I posted a search dealing with mental health, because the thrust of the OP is that "social media is *mentally ruining women*".

I don't think having more difficulty making a choice has any bearing on whether or not someone is "mentally ruined".

Remote-Fee5220 • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 02:03 AM

whether choice overload has an effect on choice, not whether there is any psychological harm

I'm not going to choose defending the phrase "mentally ruining women" as a hill to die on, but the gist of OP's argument is that social media, on average, distorts the way women think about how they relate to the world (specifically, inflating their perception of their desirability), and that the delusions are harmful to both men and women. Delusions are the the mechanism through which harm to mental health occurs. Like, social media --> "seems like I have a lot of options for men" --> "I am desirable" --> --> "I can afford to wait for someone better" --> difficulty making a choice --> choice delayed --> later discover that opportunities are not longer available, and the delusion has caused harm (complex grief).

Second, we need to look at what they mean when they say "moderating variables"

You asked for science and I gave you science. I agree that the moderating variables matter, but all I needed to do was show the theory is plausible, not that it is the one true answer.

You seem weirdly fixated on the phrase "mentally ruined".

akaeen • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 03:04 AM

I mean it is the title of the post

Remote-Fee5220 • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 03:10 AM

did you read the text under the title?

akaeen • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 03:34 AM

Yes he pontificates about how there is "no way this isnt mentally damaging"

Remote-Fee5220 • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 03:43 AM

is that an argument?

G77R23D • -1 points • 24 June, 2020 09:29 PM

It's his view based on his experiences with women, what else do you need?

AT0-M1K • 22 points • 24 June, 2020 07:29 PM*

Too much social media IS an issue, but if you think this doesn't affect the male as much as it does to women, you're wrong, forget the gender and realize the individual and societal effects of social media as a whole.

This is a societal issue not a gender issue, next.

If I could summarise this, you're complaining that there's too much choice for women because of technology and that they should settle for what they find.

This is extremely hypocritical because after all, there's a need for 2 people in any sort of social interaction. Social media didn't just change women, it changed men too. I doubt we would have a RP/FDS if social media and OTHER technologies didn't damage men just as much as you proclaim it does to women.

jackandjill22 • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 10:29 PM

That's wrong. Social media disproportionately affects women especially under 40 psychological studies have shown it. Alot of men hardly use social media.

AT0-M1K • 4 points • 25 June, 2020 03:03 AM

<https://www.statista.com/statistics/471345/us-adults-who-use-social-networks-gender/>

False, a lot of men use social media. The difference between male and female is 13%. 65% to 78% redpectively. Based on 2019 finding on statista. You're lying to yourself if you're saying you don't get affected by social media as a male OR female.

Thats also funny considering Reddit is predominantly male. Twitter started off predominantly male too iirc.

jackandjill22 • -1 points • 25 June, 2020 03:37 AM

No. Psychological studies have shown that women's behaviors are or profoundly more affected by social media than men & "*no sample bias*" is going to change that.

AT0-M1K • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 05:27 AM

Lmao, saying that's wrong doesn't make your argument correct. But carry on being a hypocrite. It must be fun

jackandjill22 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 05:36 AM

It's not hypocritical one cherry picked study doesn't prove or disprove anything stated.

AT0-M1K • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 07:49 AM

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-intersect/wp/2014/05/20/a-surprising-map-of-where-men-outnumber-women-online-and-where-they-dont/>

Right and your psychological studies are nowhere to be found, meanwhile data presented by statista and washingtonpost is just cherry picked.

Posting on a subreddit where it's mostly male, on a website that's mostly male.

Nice going bud.

Hokiie • -1 points • 24 June, 2020 11:54 PM

It disproportionately affects them because more of them use it. That's their fault

AT0-M1K • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 03:04 AM

Then it's not "disproportionate"

redditthrowaway1478 • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 10:24 PM

Great! So you agree with him. Social media is bad for women.

You're just adding that it's also bad for men.

Case closed

AT0-M1K • 4 points • 25 June, 2020 03:07 AM*

A hammer isn't bad because it smashed your face in. Social media's a tool, it's effects on both individual and society could be bad but no it's not "bad"

Why is this post on social media on PPD? OH RIGHT, cause someone thought it was a gendered issue. Social media is bad for people who can't differentiate between reality and social media.

How can you agree with something that's fundamentally wrong. I don't believe the hammer is bad and he's saying the hammer's effects on a woman's face is bad, but not so much on a man's.

redditthrowaway1478 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 03:57 AM*

Anything in excess, can be harmful

Social media's a tool

Social media is also a *drug*

Why is this post on social media on PPD? OH RIGHT, cause someone thought it was a gendered issue. Social media is bad for people who can't differentiate between reality and social media.

Social media is going to affect each gender in a myriad of ways, and that definitely includes gender dynamics. Why *shouldn't* we include it in our discourse?

How can you agree with something that's fundamentally wrong. I don't believe the hammer is bad and he's saying the hammer's effects on a woman's face is bad, but not so much on a man's.

I'm not agreeing with this strawman. I'm agreeing with u/ShinobeKrow's big picture take on the state

of social media and OLD as it relates specifically to women's behavior. Now, do I think there could have been less triggering way of getting his message across? Perhaps.

But if you think I'm going to dismiss his viewpoint simply because he isn't playing fair by painting men in a bad light too, bark up another tree. Here's your top level comment...

Too much social media IS an issue, but if you think this doesn't affect the male as much as it does to women, you're wrong, forget the gender and realize the individual and societal effects of social media as a whole.

His point was never to say how it affects men and women differently. Literally never said "and in conclusion social media has ruined women and men have remain unchanged." His focus is on the effects and changes he's observed social media and OLD have had ON WOMEN.

If you want to make a post on how social media and OLD have affected men, feel free to do so and be ready for discussion. I genuinely do agree that you're right in that social media and OLD have affected men (and how they behave towards women as a result) negatively as well.

AT0-M1K • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 05:23 AM

Social media is also a
drug

A drug is a tool.

I'm not agreeing with this strawman. I'm agreeing with u/ShinobeKrow's big picture take on the state of social media and OLD as it relates specifically to women's behavior. Now, do I think there could have been less triggering way of getting his message across? Perhaps.

It's more so about being a hypocrite and pretending as if men aren't human beings. The suggestion that men doesn't suffer the same things.

His point was never to say how it affects men and women differently. Literally never said "and in conclusion social media has ruined women and men have remain unchanged." His focus is on the effects and changes he's observed social media and OLD have had ON WOMEN.

Yeah just like when Lehava's leader say he doesn't advocate violence *wink*.

If you want to make a post on how social media and OLD have affected men, feel free to do so and be ready for discussion. I genuinely do agree that you're right in that social media and OLD have affected men (and how they behave towards women as a result) negatively as well.

This is the post on that and this is the discussion. It's a PPD topic, so as far as I'm concerned, both sides should be argued for any post. Can't say something and not look at the opposing side. That's not a debate, that's an echo chamber.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 05:51 AM

A drug is a tool.

1) this is a very, VERY big stretch...

2) you are not prescribed dosages of Instagram or Snapchat by a social media doctor. There is no regulation aside from self-regulation. You are consuming a drug that gives you that dopamine hit and has had innumerable studies that correlate social media use with having

negative mental health. You take you're social media just like you take your caffeine, around the clock.

It's more so about being a hypocrite and pretending as if men aren't human beings. The suggestion that men doesn't suffer the same things.

So what are you arguing? He didn't need to say that to make his argument. Are you saying he's wrong because you're upset? His observations are amoral...

Yeah just like when Lehava's leader say he doesn't advocate violence *wink*

I have no idea what what this means.

This is the post on that and this is the discussion. It's a PPD topic, so as far as I'm concerned, both sides should be argued for any post.

The whole point of this back and forth between me and you is because you completely missed his point and chose to be righteously indignant instead, attacking arguments that were never there. I suggested that so that you might have a more focused and well targeted forum, as opposed to a single thread chain here.

Can't say something and not look at the opposing side. That's not a debate, that's an echo chamber.

Good! sounds like you agree with what I suggested.

AT0-M1K • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 07:58 AM

So penicillin wasn't a tool to help patients? Advil isn't a tool to remove headaches? Is data not a tool? Define tool. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/tool>

A tool is a means to an end. Social media is by definition a tool to connect to the rest of the world by means of social interaction through text, voice, video. The abuse of a tool does not make it a "DRUG" cause as we know it's how you use the drug which matters in how it affects you. AKA. a tool.

It's funny that you project upset for debate. The whole point of this subreddit is to debate. I can't present the other side? Hypocritical.

Does it really matter? You chose to comment so as far as your argument goes, you're upset, and righteously indignant, attacking arguments you don't agree with.

Don't turn this into something it's not. Thanks for the laughs though!

"Focused and targeted" lmao right like this "debate" went anywhere. Half the comments here are just hurt people angry at the world for the way that it is.

It was relevant but apparently any opposition to the view is not. Carry on.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:51 PM



AnActualPerson • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 10:56 PM

Those are very different conclusions and it's disingenuous to imply they're the same.

redditthrowaway1478 • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 12:10 AM*

Fair enough

SaBahRub • 32 points • 24 June, 2020 04:13 PM

This is awesome. "Women have options, and won't put up with my shit anymore! I need them desperate enough to scrape the bottom!"

I could easily say "porn has ruined men! Sex with them sucks and is lazy and one sided!"

softhandsam • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 05:07 PM

Infinite options causes problems. It's not that they have choices that's the issue lmfao nice strawman though

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:31 PM

[deleted]

WayOutWest19 • 8 points • 24 June, 2020 05:06 PM

How many women have you dated to come up with your thesis?

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 05:21 PM

[deleted]

jackquickfingers • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 08:53 PM

20 thousand online women?? I have so many questions

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 08:58 PM

[deleted]

jackquickfingers • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 09:01 PM

I dont know man I've been on this bitch for a decent amount of time as well and online dates dont come to you unless you're looking for them.

Yall go on about womens inability to pair bond upon too much sex but I see 20000 online chats as a more concerning symptom of that, and of the whole "looking for better options." And this also makes you way more active on social media (tinder is a form of social media) than probably all the women you're complaining about. It also makes me think that if 20,000 online chats ended in nothing, the common denominator is you

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 09:42 PM

[deleted]

jackquickfingers • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:14 PM

why? statistics

regardless, you're not a woman. I think. Implying you've been actively looking for these dates and conversations over these 20 internet years.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 10:18 PM

[deleted]

Wander1900 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 01:41 AM

Porn ruins men I agree

kwaldden2 • 34 points • 24 June, 2020 04:16 PM

They can't focus, they're too demanding, they ghost too much and it didn't use to be like this.

I can say that ghosting and having standards isn't the same as *being demanding*. I really, really, want to hear what you think women are demanding of you. What are women demanding of you??

I can also state that no internet stranger has any obligation to nor ghost you. I'm not sure what you mean by "women can't focus," but no one wants to focus on conversation if it is dull. Multi-dating is also the expectation. If you want to be exclusive, you must specify that. The statistics certainly seem to say that women have more options for casual encounters, but from your post I don't think that's what you want anyway.

You will never be alone, no matter how ugly you are.

... What's the problem, man? Let other men date who they want, and let women feel good about themselves. If YOU are being turned down by women who YOU think are ugly, the problem is YOU on several levels.

You're consistently being told how beautiful you are. Consistently being approached. Consistently being offered sex. You know that whoever you date can be quickly replaced if things don't go your way.

You will never be alone, no matter how ugly you are. As a woman, you will always have options. Or so it seems. You might not get exactly what you want, but you'll get something.

Are women deluded into thinking they have options, or do they actually have options? Pick one! I'm assuming you mean that women are deluded into thinking there are always better things out there because of the sheer numbers. I'm not sure if this is true or not, but that type of social climbing mentality would have been there 10 years ago, too. It's just worse now. It's not "mentally ruining" anyone. The internet, like any tool, is a device used for what people choose.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:30 PM

[deleted]

kwaldden2 • 16 points • 24 June, 2020 04:57 PM

I still need to know: what are women demanding??

You brought up women ghosting in your spiel as though it means women are ruined or women are being problematic. It's their choice. They have no obligation. That's where I got it from.

Come on now. I literally quoted you saying that even the ugliest woman can get attention, as though it is some type of problem!

analt223 • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 05:13 PM

It seems like the general trend is women are demanding a man who also has a massive presence on social media. Which is near impossible, because women get way more engagement on social media because everyone finds pretty girls nice to look at.

kwaldden2 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 05:23 PM

Huh. Yeah, I'm older, so I'm sure I'm behind in today's trends. I have ways preferred men who don't use social media at all. Of course, if men are looking at IG models regarding this trend, of course someone in that line of work will feel differently about social media use. That's a very

small percentage of women from what I understand, but I could be wrong.

analt223 • 11 points • 24 June, 2020 05:30 PM

Nowadays most women have a social media "brand" of some sort. Not in the more traditional business sense, but even just things like follower counts. I know plenty of women who if they were coming of age in the 90s or even the 00s would not have the same sense of self validation that just having 4000 followers on instagram (mostly thirsty dudes probably tbh) can give them. Ya, she isn't getting revenue from some modeling agency or something, but it seems women (and men too tbh, but were not doing nearly as well) are somewhat measuring our net worths via follower counts/comments/retweets/etc.

jackquickfingers • 12 points • 24 June, 2020 08:27 PM

I dont know about all this man. I'm Gen Z so I'm deep in the culture and only the hot chicks/ wannabes/social butterflies are big on instagram (thousands). Normal women have around 300-500 followers, and nerdy women have 0-200.

Instagram for most people is just a way to stay connected with others, not a modern business for individual social success. I know obsessed women, and but they do tend to be the chad-chasers, but that's certainly not all women. I dont know why some guys on the sub love to think all women are like the top chicks they see on Insta, news, or tinder.

keepturning1 • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 11:16 PM

Your assessment is far more realistic. Plenty of beautiful intelligent women want privacy and don't want thousands of followers. But of course the losers on this sub judge all women based off the highly visible ones on Insta. Dating apps have definitely affected dating positively and negatively. It's made it easier for us all to meet people, correspondingly it's made it harder to settle for people we're only so-so on, the question is whether that's good or bad. I'd say that comes down to perspective in individual situations i.e. when we pass over people it doesn't bother us but when we're passed over ourselves it sucks.

analt223 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 11:49 PM

the trends seem to be what im saying though. Obviously there are women who want privacy, but the fame allure of instagram or what not (even if the fame is kinda artificial) is definitely affecting younger people, especially women.

I'm not sure if it really has made people easier to meet each other tbh as well.

kwalden2 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 08:14 PM

Huh. Wow. Thats crazy really.

prophesizedpower • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 05:48 PM

For younger generations, your Instagram account is quite literally your online identity. To not have one, or to have a substandard one, is seen as minimalist at best and like weird person/loser behavior at worst.

jackquickfingers • 10 points • 24 June, 2020 08:38 PM

I'm sorry this isnt true in my experience. Normal ppl have insta to keep up with friends

and mates. They post every few months. You check posts when you're bored. Its really not this huge thing like yall making it out.

There are people who really care, and they put a lot of thought into it, but they are easily a minority. Of my followers, probably only a handful post regularly and they are always the typical "popular people" in social environments. Most people dont care. No one is gonna think you're weird for having a lame account unless you're posting absurdist memes or something.

prophesizedpower • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 06:13 AM*

I meannnn.... I just graduated from a large state university in California with a... disproportionate amount of attractive girls. I know the perception most people have on here of random male posters saying shit that they don't know for certain, but I genuinely do hookup and date attractive girls who are 18-22 (I'm 22 myself). I'd say a solid 75% of them care about what your Instagram looks like. It's not that they'll think you're weird for not having a good one — but it is a black mark on the list of things that young attractive girls look at

Also wanna add that I read your other comments — idk where you live my guy but it's a pretty played out joke between my guy friends that if a girl doesn't have 1000 followers on insta she's either cool or weird as fuck. And none of us even have good instas — it's just one of those semi truths thats funny and resonates. I live in a very liberal city so the people here could just value a social media presence more than other places but even then — the joke applies to really most girls who go to a 5000+ person college

jackquickfingers • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 12:49 PM*

tbh I dont think your observations are directly opposed to mine. You are talking about hot chicks who like to hook up - that's the demographic of girls who do tend to have serious instagrams. Most girls (maybe not in California lol) are average in appearance and go to college to study, not hook up. These types I would say average 500

Also age matters. People rack up more followers with each passing year. I'm 3 years younger than you so that could account for some disparity

edit- well I went to instagram to conduct some research lol and while I still stand by my claim, people actually do have more followers than I thought. Popular girls easily 1000+, girls I deem normal are anywhere from 300 to 900, their average is probably closer to 500-700 rather than the 300-500 I originally claimed

also keep in mind that on instagram, visibility is directly related to follower count. The more posts and the more likes and stories, the more followers. Thus, we literally are only seeing the top cats. Most of the 500-700 girls rarely post and most likely would not be following you (assuming you are the cool hook-up guy you made yourself you to be)

cantthinkofowtgood • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 08:03 PM

Fuck me that sounds exhausting. I'm glad I'm old and not expected to bother with that shite!

kwalden2 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 08:14 PM

Yes I second this!!

Ecocavalry • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:17 PM

I am the only person I physically know that doesn't have an Instagram account

toma_la_morangos • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 05:42 PM

I think he means that all this is spoiling women with unreasonable expectations when they later try to settle with someone, and expect a boyfriend in the same league as their casual hookups.

Or that this abundance of options is unsustainable and is kind of akin to an addiction that eventually leaves you unsatisfied and unable to get your fix.

Or that it inflates women's ego to problematic levels.

kwalden2 • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 06:54 PM*

Maybe sometimes. And maybe sometimes it's more like the girl who doesn't have 1000s of followers feels like she'll never be good enough. I'm not a man so I can't speak too much on the issue if what is more common.

AramisNight • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 07:36 PM

I can also state that no internet stranger has any obligation to nor ghost you.

Why is it an acceptable standard that we take no responsibility for wasting another persons time? What benefit do we gain by allowing or encouraging this. I don't think this is a good road to encourage among us socially. To me it looks like a big step towards a general breakdown in civility. What benefit justifies this?

kwalden2 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 08:13 PM

If and only if this is someone you just met on the internet and barely know, obviously you both spent time getting to know each other and it didnt work out. Sure, a lot of the time it can be best to just say "I dont think we are on the same page actually. Best of luck to you though. Nice meeting you." I'll agree with that. Yet also, when men on OLD sned unsolicited private pictures or proposition early hookups, I wouldn't even bother because those people aren't acting civilized in the first place.

AramisNight • -1 points • 24 June, 2020 09:30 PM

I'm not sure what men sending unsolicited pics or propositions has to do with ghosting someone. It's a separate issue entirely.

kwalden2 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 09:38 PM

That depends on if the ghosting happened directly after such an event, isn't it?

Alright let's get back on topic. Are you say youve been ghosted after oerfectly nirmal conversations with women from OLD?

AramisNight • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 11:23 PM

I can insert any uncalled for and unrelated event to change the circumstances. Why would we just assume that happened?

As for personally, yes i have had had people ghost me. And no i have never solicited someone

for sex or sent them unwelcome pictures. That kind of behavior is beneath me and I've never understood why men engage in it. Or at least i didn't until it was proven to me that this approach seems to work for quite a few men. Which is why other men do it. i still cant bring myself to do it myself however. I would just feel gross and pathetic in either scenario. But most men are utilitarian and otherwise have no clue what they are doing. They simply copy what they see work for other men.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 09:46 PM*

He's talking about the big picture observations - you're looking at it from a case by case basis

He's right but you need to switch perspective if you want to understand

kwalden2 • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 09:52 PM

That very well might be true, I mean I'm not looking for women in the dating market and I never have. I could be missing some trends and important impacts.

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:30 PM

Shouldn't have even responded to this comment this is a standard *"it's all your fault!"* Comment.

poopeebuttmcnuggets • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 04:21 PM

I think one of the problems is young people are the majoeity of people that post the most on social media, and their opinions by and large are going to be present to great change over the next few decades. Most college kids have a very poor understanding of how the works actually works and post the craziest most unethical shit online, and that's what clogs the database. Like elon musk said social media has the propensity to be the great destabilizer of society since it amplifies our limbic system.

wtknight • 27 points • 24 June, 2020 03:55 PM

I don't think that social media is "ruining" women as much as it is delaying them from settling down into a long-term relationship. Most women do settle down into one once they get older, I think, but until that time they are less likely to put up with the crap that they put up with from men in the past because of the ease of finding better options out there when it comes to men.

decoy88 • 11 points • 24 June, 2020 05:39 PM

I almost agree except I don't think it's *better options* but instead social ineptitude.

It may not look like it for women but many young women are bad at socialising.

HOLYREGIME • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 07:19 PM

I'm hung up on "better options" too. I don't think there are better options but definitely more.

She'll put up with less because 100 thirsty guys in her DMs are begging for a chance.

I think most women know the grass isn't always greener but some women do.

decoy88 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 07:22 PM

IME, those 100 thirsty men lower the bar considerably and make it much easier to impress a woman

stalinisaredditmod0 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 10:56 PM

That's not how supply and demand works.

decoy88 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 05:16 AM

That's because you think people are logical

etherealmare • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 09:21 PM

Man. What a great system for the women. /s

Nyanu • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 12:17 AM

Or it just puts an otherwise decent guy in the same category as said thirsty men because you're just one of many in her list of options. And since women often don't let men get but one message in before deciding if he's worth it or not you don't really get the opportunity to differentiate yourself using your personality.

decoy88 • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 12:26 AM

Could also be a factor. Right place right time n all that.

wtknight • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 03:06 AM

I don't disagree with you that a minority of women are like this, but the statistics show that the majority of young women are having sex, which would mean that they aren't particularly bad at socializing. Even a majority of young men are having sex, with only 30% of men not having it.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 10:48 PM

Fucking amen to that. Just like the women are wonderful effect, people think that women are also natural socializers with fine tuned networking proficiency.

Maybe in the past, but it's been going down hill for years now.

Legal disclaimer: duh not all women but enough to notice a pattern.

decoy88 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 07:19 AM

I think if you haven't been exposed to girls and women from young. It's easy to forget that *they get nervous too*. And people often react to nervousness by overcompensating, of shutting down, and seeming disinterested.

A girl that doesn't know how to do conversation is "a bitch". A man that doesn't is "weird".

Right now, the preferred method of communication for younger folks is text and digital shit online, even with their loved ones!

jackandjill22 • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 10:31 PM

I don't think so. Careers do that. Social media dumps them around 29. I've literally seen women who're swimming in options turn invisible in a couple years.

gigababejfl • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 11:14 PM

and so if they were not on social media, they would be desirable past 29? Or are you telling yourself a story?

if anything, social media has made it that being past 29 online is irrelevant. There are more options with a bigger pool, not less. Even for "post wall".

jackandjill22 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 11:19 PM*

FDS denizen you better return to your echochamber nobody's going to validate your delusions here.

Absolutely, not the heat on younger women is *more intense & even stronger* with the dispersion medium of social media. They're so hot & so hyped that girls who're unattractive/wilted fall by the wayside, & suddenly get the experience of being male *very quickly* insofar that they get **zero messages** & are completely, & quickly ignored.

Your dumb memes & sweet lies are ineffective here. Welcome to the RedPill.

boomcheese44 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 12:41 AM

talk about delusional...

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 12:42 AM

Hot women getting more attention on social media?

Absolutely delusional.

boomcheese44 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 12:43 AM

No, that "wilted women" fall to the wayside and feel what its like to be men lol. And that were "completely ignored" lol

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 12:45 AM

I know it's hard to confront reality when you're as far gone as you are boomcheese.

boomcheese44 • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 12:45 AM

lol

gigababejfl • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 03:09 AM

FDS denizen you better return to your echochamber nobody's going to validate your delusions here.

Lmao I see. You're threatened by FDS. OK I will handle with care.

Absolutely, not the heat on younger women is more intense & even stronger with the dispersion medium of social media.

Male disease is gamifying the mating dance and being so obsessed with having the best of the best, they think this means anything. Newsflash.

Options still exist past then. And if we are keeping count, they are still more numerous than what the average man will experience. Most people mature and so they don't need to be the hottest ticket in a room bc the truth is with quantity comes hazard. That's why so many hot chicks are based. Men want to achieve them and out of that number is the darkside of men. Maybe narcissistic women will be depressed about this but by then, most women have meaningful connections or know to value them while guys like you are still trying to relive high school. People have lives and shit to do. At some point of adulthood, it behooves you to be a little less impressed with shiny things.

• Your dumb memes & sweet lies are ineffective here. Welcome to the RedPill.

Guarantee if people set our posting histories side by side, it will be clear I'm far more based than you. I know this without digging into your post history. I'd clarify but I choose to respect the rules

of this subreddit.

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 03:34 AM*

[deleted]

gigababejfl • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:19 AM

Really? Because I remain unimpressed. Partially bc I've been here and never noticed you and partially bc you had to tell me to pay attention.

"Red pill" indeed. OK sis.

analt223 • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 05:08 PM

Eh, marriage rates are declining even faster. Also women seem to be dating less too in their 18 to 30 age range.

wtknight • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 05:17 PM

I think that's just because people are becoming less religious, not because eventually women want monogamy less than they did in the past.

thetruthishere_ • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 09:25 PM

There is info out that about it and it has to do more with money. People are not ready so young and want to have their money in order.

Also back in the day, women needed a provider and they dont now.

Heck women could not even get a credit card till the 70's.

wtknight • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:54 AM

There is info out that about it and it has to do more with money. People are not ready so young and want to have their money in order.

This wouldn't explain a total decrease in the marriage rate, though. Just the rising average age of marriage (which is also happening, of course).

Also back in the day, women needed a provider and they dont now.

Yeah sure. I'm sure there are some women out there who got married back then because they had to who just weren't the marrying type and nowadays that type of women doesn't have to marry.

analt223 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 05:24 PM

I think its because of the societal wide stagnation caused by things like the internet in our pockets tbh, but to each their own.

kittiphile • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 06:57 PM

No, its the religion thing. The amount of people wanting monogamy is roughly the same, it has perhaps declined since us women had the audacity to get careers and have actual options and independence, but thats a different kettle of fish. Civil ceremonies, common law marriage etc is on the rise. Religion is dying. And its about time.

analt223 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 11:51 PM

ya im not really seeing that. Religion has been dying for a while before social media, but social media has definitely been a massive change to the dating game moreso than rejection of religion.

kittiphile • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 12:09 AM

Marriage was the thing mentioned. That is a religion thing. Dating changes with every generation. I think those of us in our 30s were the first online daters- i remember it being something to be ashamed of. Online dating has changed rapidly over the last 15 odd years. But the 15 years before that dating also changed rapidly. And so on and so forth back over generations.

Id accept that thirst and entitlement have become more of an *obvious* issue, as so many needs can now be instantly gratified so people are acting more entitled and thirstier than before. I know im 1000% more likely to get dick pics and creepy pick up lines on line now than i was 10/15 plus years ago. And thats as someone who is in a relationship and pregnant. Its much worse when single.

When dealing with the sewage that floats into your dm's takes up more time than talking to the handful of decent people, it tends to lead to the problems listed. Instead of exclusively blaming women, the blame needs to go on the thirsty muppets who make everyone uncomfortable. Both men and women deal with thirsty muppets. Misogyny or misandry are not the way to deal with this. Nor is strawmanning the vehicle this largely happens in. Its the entitled rape culture type society we live in. Not the tools we use. The tools will change, but until the root cause of the issues is addressed, the problems wont change.

analt223 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:40 AM

marriage is not really a religious thing tbh, it was around well before abrahamic religions made them into ceremonies.

Men are just losers now. Again, im saying we live in a patriarchy because me (a male) is less desirable than you (i think a woman?). Social media has definitely tipped the power so far into women's hands that i see bisexuality and lesbianism rising very quickly.

Sorry about sewage in your dms for real. I mean it. But do you think youve also skipped over a guy who was a decent catch but you never gave a chance to? We talk about type A errors a lot (a bad relationship forms), but society doesnt talk much about type B errors (a relationship that would of been good doesn't form due to some really specific "in that particular moment" silliness)

Also due to how social media works (the centralized internet in general), id argue this is the point where the human brain can not even keep up with all the attention people can receive on these platforms.

kittiphile • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 03:16 AM

True enough, that marriage predates religion. In the older context of marriage (for legal reasons, cementing familial bonds, create allies etc), it was not usually romanticized. It just was. It wasnt good exactly, given the lack of free will by either party involved. Its a whole messy, interesting history. The next wave of marriage, with the overt religious connotations, were not really any better. These

days there have been improvements, and its great to see. People having free choice - for the most part, and in westernized societies - with whom they marry (or dont marry), and how that marriage looks etc. The drive for monogamy and commitment hasnt gone away, its just driven by want now and not by need.

Yup, im a woman. However the way either of us or our genders is viewed by society is not a determining factor in sexuality. Sexuality is not a choice. Being publically able to be non-straight is relatively new though. Its still met with some fairly toxic responses - ranging from "you'll burn in hell" through to "thats so hot, tell me what its like to fuck another girl. Can i watch?? Hur hur", with a decent amount of "oh. So you're a slut then". I dont believe that power has been given/gone to us, there are far more productive things we would do if the power balance lay with women than try get objectively better dates. If course there are exceptions to this on both sides, but those exceptions are almost entirely sexually based. That just gets super boring. Like playing a computer game where you either die all the time or have it set so you can never die. (You seem like a decent chap, so please don't think I'm levelling accusations or anything at you. Im aware it could read that way, and i dont want to offend you or seem like I'm being nasty)

It is entirely possible - heck its even probable - that i missed out on some good guys when i did online dating. Im glad i did though, as i may not have wound up with my partner if things played out differently. We progressed over the course of years from acquaintances to friends to dating, and here we are now expecting a baby in just over 7 weeks. We saw eachother at our worsts, and hes the person im most comfortable with on the planet.

If we had just met through online dating, i dont know that it would have gone anywhere. By the time we got together, we were ready for eachother. If we had dated before then, i dont think it would have worked out. We were both in some messed up dark places, its like we got our mistakes out of the way before becoming a couple. It wasnt deliberate, it just kinda worked out that way for us. Now he has the dubious honour of being the man who got an infertile lady pregnant. It sounds really dorky, but its like my body just didnt work properly, and it just started working inexplicably when we became a secure couple, like we were made for eachother or something.

analt223 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:00 AM*

I'm not so sure about sexuality. I think sexuality is semi fluid, especially for women.

Glad to hear things went ok for you though.

And I dont think the only thing women are doing with their power is just getting better dates. Most women i know aged 18 to like 35 are doing WAY better than their male counterparts in like...everything. Maybe not a an 18 year old girl to a 30 year old guy, but the men and women in that range within a few years of each other.

I just think men are obsolete, which sucks for me because I'm a man who wants a girlfriend and eventually wife and family. I also think that if i by some happenstance become successful (the few men left who do), i then am a person

who is keeping the patriarchy alive through uneven gender ratios and income inequalities. Its a lose/lose

WayOutWest19 • 23 points • 24 June, 2020 05:23 PM

I think what you're describing is more of a male fantasy than what online dating and social media are actually like for *most* women.

First of all, who's really getting all this attention on social media? Most of us aren't Instagram models. I only let a few people follow me on Instagram and with only one or two exceptions they're all women. My female friends don't get tons of thirsty comments on their Insta pictures or DMs either because they also only let people they know follow them.

And online dating? Sure it's easier for women, but that doesn't mean it's great. My friends who've used Tinder all fall into basically three camps. 1- Didn't like it, deleted their profile within a month. 2- They're meh about it. They use it off and on because online dating is easier for busy people. 3- They're 'need a boyfriend now' types and used it religiously until they found one. Even though it worked for them, they'll tell you online dating sucks and they're so glad they aren't doing it anymore. None of them actually enjoyed online dating, and even though women get matches, they still get stood up resulting dates or get harassed for not setting a meeting up fast enough. It's been enough to keep me from ever setting up an account myself.

thetruthishere_ • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 09:20 PM

Only 20% of Tinder users are women(US/UK) so where to they get this all women thing when we dont really use it.

5-10% are probably female bot and escort accounts.

I used it for a few weeks a years back and it was a crap show of flaky weird sex, sex, sex guys. Never looked back and never will.

Yonderlander • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 06:24 AM

Wow, you're do spot on! I deleted tinder a day or two after getting it, it was so pointless how could you possibly choose off looks alone? I was firmly in camp three.... I'm 30 with PCOS and want a boyfriend which will lead to kids ASAP. It was a necessary hell, and yes it worked. Couldn't wait to be done with it, hope I never have to do it again!

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 05:24 PM

[deleted]

WayOutWest19 • 14 points • 24 June, 2020 06:55 PM*

That doesn't mean they're the average women though. And I have also had access to the different women's accounts over the years, going back to high school.

And again, what kind of attention are non-models getting on social media? Other than likes from their own friends.

DubsPackage • 13 points • 24 June, 2020 05:58 PM

Social media is a tool that simply accelerates whatever it touches.

If there is hypergamy, cheating, infidelity, racism, sexism, social problems, hatorade, now you can do all of that at FIOS speed and have it transmitted to your 8000 followers.

Most platforms forbid racism, but don't forbid hypergamy or gynocentrism, so you get women saying horrible shit all day long and disseminating hateful ideas like sprinkles.

And of course there's 8 million players, each one slicker and more silver-tongued than the last, all jockeying for that next piece of ass.

And there you are like a goldfish in a shark tank just trying to say hello or get a date, it can be discouraging.

Bottom line, we do live in a very superficial society and if you don't fit into the box of being conventionally attractive, good luck ever hitting it off with anyone.

[deleted] • 10 points • 24 June, 2020 04:04 PM

I don't think this is fair. Social media platforms are just tools. It's men that ruin women. Men who constantly give them validation and tolerate their shit. Honestly there's no one to point the finger to except us. We don't see our attention as a precious commodity when it is. Attention in the information age = likes, comments and views. Starve a woman of this and they'll once again feel human.

analt223 • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 05:14 PM

Social media is the point where the human brain can not keep up with the attention it can generate

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:05 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 04:13 PM

Yes and unfortunately most men won't ever be properly educated on female nature so this type of nonsense will continue. It's also a bit harsh to blame men completely since most just don't know how women work, but at this stage there's enough content out there to where I think most should have their shit together and stop worshiping women as evidenced by the recent "Simp" craze.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:33 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 04:36 PM

Agreed. But even knowing what makes you undesirable to women and that you'll never be what they want is a step in the right direction. Allows you to stop wasting your attention and efforts on them and pursue your own hobbies which would provide some correction to the sexual market since you wouldn't be wasting a precious resource pursuing women.

kwalden2 • 12 points • 24 June, 2020 04:22 PM*

"They'll one again feel human" wow really?? Men actually think the female experience is THIS different?? Because men occasionally approach us and buy us dinner? Because of social media likes?? Nah. Humanity runs so much deeper than that.

Women are still as insecure as ever!!! This is coming from a woman!!! We do not feel like we are all that great!!! If we want something in dating or a relationship, it's not a personal attack on men being less than us.

[deleted] • 8 points • 24 June, 2020 04:31 PM

I don't think I've met a single woman who didn't say she was insecure. The same woman will feel entitled to a 10/10 with a six figure income despite her "insecurity."

I honestly don't think most women even know what insecurity even means anymore. Of course a

comment that criticizes men would be turned into some dig at women. No one is a victim here. Please stop victimizing yourself. It's time we all took a little more responsibility. Especially men.

kwalden2 • 6 points • 24 June, 2020 04:53 PM

I'm not victimizing myself. I just think it's really strange to claim that many women don't even feel human. It's untrue. And I'm constantly baffled by men on this sub thinking women feel entitled to the best, too. I have no idea where that's coming from. I see women with 4/10's all the time. I digress, though. You're onto something about men taking responsibility. If a woman isn't that attractive to a man, he shouldn't go after her. Not as a Chad because he wants a casual encounter, not as a "beta" who thinks he can buy her, and not as a normal guy who's getting sick of being lonely. Men need standards.

12112019 • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 11:20 PM

I believe you are correct, I once made a post about this, I believe that there are 2 modes of dating for women these days, the first one is the shared mode, in which women share a smaller percentage of men among themselves unknowingly.

The second one is the monogamous one, which women tend to believe they are having most of their lives, which is technically correct for most of women at any given time but incorrect if you factor in the % of men sleeping with women ages 18-30, you will see a curve in which a small percentage of men sleep with a very large amount of women and it decreases steadily until you hit bottom 30% who are incels.

The problem is of course that for monogamy to function you need a 1:1 ratio between men and women, this means that either some of these women need to drastically lower their standards that they got accustomed to in the shared mode, or they are forced to remain single.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:50 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 05:14 PM

AutoMod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

Mimoxs • 5 points • 24 June, 2020 04:22 PM

See the thing is that this ~illusion~ has only transitioned to online format. Women of the past could always literally take a walk in public and get the exact same illusion bc if you are attractive, put on revealing clothes and walk in any public space with a lot of men, you will inevitably get approached, likely multiple times. This is no different than what is created by online dating. As someone who also had the internet since childhood I had no problem getting attention from men in chatrooms when I didn't even want it.

It's not even a delusion. Men have always been ready to give women whatever they want.

Christian_Kong • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 07:54 PM

You would have to admit that online dating "casts a wider net" than dressing nice and going out locally, while taking less effort.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 04:50 PM

Men have always been ready to give women whatever they want.

As a MGTOW don't do that any more. I stopped that pretty early on and life is so much better. I think more

men are doing the same, albeit slowly.

Men should just prioritize themselves and forgo doing things for other people, except for family.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 04:57 PM

I stopped that pretty early on and life is so much better. I think more men are doing the same, albeit slowly.

Which is something that women also want (inbe4, making it about women again).

But seriously, we don't enjoy the current status either. Nobody is happy.

Men should just prioritize themselves and forgo doing things for other people, except for family

Ehhhh

[deleted] • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 05:19 PM

I'm actually very happy. But I'm not impacted by social media, really, because I just don't participate much. Not on Insta, MySpace, or anything really, except FB, which I look at maybe once a month. It's all so boring and meaningless to me, but that's just me.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 06:35 PM

I like social media.

Easy to keep up to date with social obligations, easy to come across products I am interested in without having to constantly see whether there is a new announcement and easy to spread information.

Mimoxs • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 05:07 PM

And so what? You know as well as I do it will never end. There will always, always, always be desperate lonely men ready to fork over half their salary to a girl on a camera for porn, for affection, for conversation, for her bathwater. Y'all can do whatever you want - in the end, y'all also come back. The thing about ~mgtow~ men is it's never permanent. I've seen this over and over and over. The men who rant on and on about how much they don't need women will receive the slightest of attention from a girl and they already got their dick (and their wallet) out. It's your immutable nature. No amount of "men are changing! Men will replace you with porn and sexbots! Men are going their own way and they're gonna stop "simping" for pussy then you'll wish you appreciated us men more!" Is gonna scare women bc that just doesn't line up with observable reality.

I'm not the kind of women who manipulates men like that bc I avoid men like a plague but I can still see how y'all literally do it to yourselves.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 05:15 PM

We all need contact. Your understanding of MGTOW is limited. I am a serial monogamist and have had plenty of relationships with women, and am in a relationship now.

MGTOW are not incels. MGTOW usually become MGTOW because they DON'T have a problem getting with women, but suffer other, mostly legal drawbacks from the relationship.

I date constantly. I just don't marry or live with anyone. And I never prioritize them over my own goals - personal, professional, physical, financial, etc...

It's better for everyone involved. Most women don't want to be the center of a guy's world.

toma_la_morangos • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 05:47 PM

You don't have to turn into a MGTOW to not be a simp...

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 07:13 PM

Well, that's not why I'm a MGTOW, but true. Luckily I was never really a simp. Maybe over one woman I dated, but I got over it quick.

thetruthishere_ • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 09:15 PM

Its society as a whole not just women. You people and everything is wrong with women...

I'll add, Ive met men that think Im weird because I dont have a facebook/IG/Snap, etc.

staywithme26 • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 12:06 AM

I'm sure there are some women where this case applies to them, but this has been occurring before social media and OLD. If you're hot, you get SO much male attention in person (and online).

I've done a lot of modeling and bartending for over 5 years now and it's true, we learn how to drown it out. Compliments? In one ear and out the other. I try not to be rude, but compliments to my appearance are not flattering. Compliments that I appreciate say things about my personality.

I don't think learning how to drown out compliments on looks is a bad thing. You're right, they're a dime a dozen for a lot of us, but the idea is that once we hear the RIGHT compliment from the RIGHT guy, THEN it's special. Only then does it stick out from all the rest.

I wouldn't say this is delusional. I've known exactly what I wanted since I was 15 and when the right person finally noticed me, that was all that mattered. Maybe I just got lucky.

StalinTheHedgehog • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 06:43 PM

No just no.. women have self aware brains and they realise a lot of these things themselves and I think you're putting way too much value on random cunts complimenting women on tinder from the women's perspective. Overall I'm not gonna bother replying to most of what you said because it's like you believe that women need to be saved my men from their impending doom of too many compliments and a fun life

AutoModerator[M] • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 03:36 PM

Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

goatismycopilot • 7 points • 24 June, 2020 06:22 PM

Burn the devil witches in the lake with brick and nuclear bombs. Wear the Scarlett letter heathen woman.

usa_foot_print • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 04:49 PM

Its ruining men too.

nemma88 • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 06:08 PM

Internet sux.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 09:15 PM

I've noticed the same with women in online dating - girls act so harshly and arrogantly there while they really have nothing else going for them. Some of the ones that act harshly are ultimate nerds in reality. Fat girls, ugly girls, fat ugly girls, even they can respond as if you are literally inferior to them.

BlackPorcelainDoll • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 07:34 AM

We've all been fucked without consent by social media. ;-)

Turn off the lights and go to bed kids...

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 03:48 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 03:56 PM

This post has been flaired as a CMV since it makes an affirmative claim. Thus, your reply should be resubmitted as a reply to the AutoModerator as it does not challenge the OP's view.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 04:19 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 05:15 PM

AutoMod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 05:10 PM

[removed]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 05:14 PM

AutoMod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

PersonalJudge • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 12:52 AM

I think this is projection and it is men who are being ruined.

So many of you seem to spending your days looking at instagram models and then projecting that out into reality. There are plenty of other women out there, but you are whinging that insta-Stacey's standards are too high.

I mean, ok. Maybe insta-Stacey will be miserable one day. Meanwhile plenty of Becky's working in finance, STEM and other non-glam industries are single and the guys out there smart enough to look for them have their pick. You just have to come to terms with the fact that Becky doesn't wear make-up, isn't going to send you nudes and your friends aren't going to be terribly impressed when you bring home Becky. You can still have a good life with her.

Nacruno96 • 1 point • 29 June, 2020 08:50 PM

You are kind of just talking about men that women would know. The men who run behind insta models don't have a hard time getting into relationships. I for example don't have instagram. Your point is that you should settle with normal girls, which isn't a bad point. But women aren't accessible if your social circle is small and I think this is the problem with most men that are single all the time.

Wander1900 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 01:30 AM

I totally agree with this post and I'm a male. If fucked me up mentally too because I thought the options were endless. We need to settle. Online dating is destroying men and women...

onemoredaydream • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 02:42 AM

I think online dating creates a problem for women who participate, where they are opened to far more potential suitors than they have the energy or effort to sort through. This creates burnout.

It would be interesting if people could create some House Party-like app that would put people in smaller groups to begin with, so that they don't feel like they are overloaded with male attention at the start.

MartMillz • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:01 AM

Its just ladder theory to scale. The women keep a reserve of their perceived equals while paying most of their actual attention to their perceived high value options.

Attractive men have a surplus of options, while average to below average men occasionally get a random bone tossed their way. It's sort of an everybody wins without fundamentally changing anything.

Neither women nor men are changing any of their natural instincts, it's just more easily facilitated now. Women were getting bombarded in Myspace days, they still mostly ignored me back then too.

ImbeddedElite • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:23 AM*

That's a super deep opinion on specifically how something is effecting women's mental state considering you're not one yourself. I was reading it, praying that you weren't a dude.

This is borderline redpill bro.

Also, I'm not seeing a problem in it for them, and I'm a guy. You're stating a bunch of things that aren't effecting them necessarily negatively, but you're writing it in this ominous way like something's going to happen to them because of it. I mean, usually people on here *at least* suggest they're not going to have these many options in the future or something. But you're just like "Yeah women just have the ability to be me more choosey...which sucks for...them somehow"

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 05:06 AM

[deleted]

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 11:26 AM

[deleted]

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 04:20 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 04:25 PM

[deleted]

Silverpixelmate • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 11:32 AM

Think this is the identical problem men have with porn.

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 12:45 PM

[deleted]

Silverpixelmate • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 01:26 PM

Just reread your post and substitute men for women and porn for social media. Might not be exactly what men want, but it will do. And does.

wonderwildskieslimit • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 11:48 AM

As a guy who dates women, I think this post has a bit too much guys perspective and maaaybe a little bit not enough going out into the real world. Women are genuine humans, which both means they're real when you talk to them, and sure they like an easy attention feed from online/ whatever. I think we both know that tinder isn't any more fulfilling for girls than it is for guys. They don't want to be swiped, they want to be swept off their feet. They want to be validated and heard just like you do. The internet is a tool for people, it doesn't change our biology.

maxtorrz • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 12:55 PM

I believe i agree. And its not just women. Social media is cancer for everyone. Turns people into sniveling passive aggressive little bitches who do things to look cool not cuz they give a shit about the thing. I despise facebook and everything connected to it

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 04:56 PM

How are opportunities not endless? I'm 35 and the male attention never stops.

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 05:30 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2020 05:32 PM

OK. You say "When you become convinced that the opportunities are endless" as if that's not the reality.

Hoopy223 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 05:03 PM

Social Media should be treated as a national health crisis. Facebook/Instagram have screwed up an entire generation.

Nyanu • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 11:39 PM

I agree with the part where you said women are more fickle than they were 10 years ago on the internet. Back in the myspace and early facebook days I was able to add and talk to hundreds of girls all the time. It didn't always work out but many showed interest and treated me kindly. It can't be that I got so much uglier from 16 years old to 26 but maybe.

ontherailstoday • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 01:53 AM

>There is a big difference, in my opinion, between talking to women online 10 years ago and right now.

How old were you at the start of those 10 years and how old were the women?

How old are the women you are talking to now?

[deleted] 26 June, 2020 02:06 AM

[deleted]

ontherailstoday • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 02:16 AM

Okay so you're talking to 17 to 25 year olds, both then and now.

How old are you, yourself, right now, today, this very moment?

It makes a a bit of a fucking difference. If you're over 30, a healthy normal 17 year old don't want your crusty old man balls. And a lot of them are exquisitely sensitive nowadays to verbal cues that they are talking to an old scrote.

I think you've hit the wall. SLAAAAAM! DA WALL! Right in your wrinkly old-man face.

Getting your hands on young tiddies gonna involve handing over money or a hearing a whole lot of noes to get to a very very occasional yes from a girl with serious issues, and things are just going to get worse for you every year.

[deleted] 26 June, 2020 02:31 AM

[deleted]

ontherailstoday • 2 points • 26 June, 2020 02:38 AM

I live in the moment. We will deal with it when the time comes.

The time has come. You're getting a very different set of responses because you have got older. Young women aren't that much different than young women were ten years ago... they've got a lot more skilled at online perception and communication (so have young men) but their age preferences in men remain the same. You've aged out of the preferred range of all but the 24 and 25 year olds... and to the 17 year olds you're a creepy old dude. The 24 and 25 year olds are increasingly sizing you up for marriage more than fun.

You are getting treated differently because you are different.

[deleted] 26 June, 2020 02:53 AM*

[deleted]

ontherailstoday • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 03:10 AM

No.

Noooooh nonononononononono noooooooooo! Lol.

Let me remind you

https://i1.wp.com/metro.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/sei_53525312-c25b.jpg?quality=90&strip=all&zoom=1&resize=540%2C522&ssl=1

If you like 17 to 25 best... this is the start of your personal wall experience. You are aging out of your preferred partner age range. You don't look the best to most of them any more and why should they take anything other than the best for casual sex?

[deleted] 26 June, 2020 03:16 AM

[deleted]

ontherailstoday • 2 points • 26 June, 2020 03:27 AM

... tik tok, tickety tok, the clock is ticking on your access to young tiddies.

[deleted] 26 June, 2020 03:29 AM

[deleted]

Nikolasps91 • 1 point • 26 June, 2020 07:03 AM

This is one big truth. I'm living in Bosnia, Eastern Europe, I have a good job, car, I'm living alone, looking decent I guess (going to gym regularly), but for me it seems basically impossible to find a gf. I mean, maybe I have chance with 1-2 girls but they are not physically attractive for me in any way. I'm not demanding, I don't seek for models etc, but not every single girl is attractive for me right? I'm not an animal. Probably I will found 70% of them nice. But it is so hard, they are very cold and unpolite when you try to talk with them, and on instagram sometimes I can start conversation and it is going nice, but they don't wanna go out, so I'm just wasting time. I'm 29 and I don't want to lose hope, but I don't see how that can change and I don't think it will. I need someone, I'm ready to sacrifice for relationship and everything but nothing is changing...

VivoVeritas • 1 point • 29 June, 2020 07:05 AM

I think social media and online dating is mentally ruining ~~women~~ people

FTFY

brackenz • 1 point • 30 June, 2020 10:37 PM

There is a big difference, in my opinion, between talking to women online 10 years ago and right now.

Eh, I think it was worse then because women were far more rare online so the ones that were online got insane amounts of attention, orbiters everywhere. It was easier going into the meatspace instead

The real problem is that as studies show all forms of dating besides online are going down, soon the only way to meet somebody will be through an app

Slyfer_Seven • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 04:02 PM

That swings both ways. When you set yourself apart from the masses and rise above the normal flood of BS she faces, she thinks you're the greatest thing to ever walk into her life. I see the fickleness you speak of, but make it past that and they almost laser focus on you, that has been my experience so far. I don't think it's ruining anyone mentally, you're just seeing dudes failing to adapt to a new reality and capture the attention of women on this format. It's funny you mention 90's chatrooms, from what I've heard and read, dudes today still use the same lame ass generic conversation routines from 20 years ago... unfortunately, the game has changed.

goneaway2thewind • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 04:23 PM

I think women's nature has always been parasitical, its social media that accelerates that and exposes their true motives

goblitovfiyah • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 07:09 PM

22 y/o woman here.

I did have a year or two dabbling on tinder and yeah, I actually didn't find a guy I genuinely liked even though I must have matched with at least 40. Never went on dates, just chatted because I was really lonely at the time (and I didn't want to meet up with anyone because I'm ugly as fuck and didn't want to get called a catfish)

I met my current boyfriend through work last year. He ticks all my boxes.

And honestly the kind of woman who pulls the "I have a whole smorgasbord of cock waiting for me on tinder" on you, drop her ass don't even waste your time or energy on that. The same way I would immediately drop a dude that talked that rudely to me.

I have plenty of female friends that have tried tinder, and I have plenty of friends that have never touched tinder. The ones who didn't go on tinder were more likely to be suitable for a relationship in my opinion.

So if they want to go pull that card, let them. No loss to you.

darksoldierk • 1 point • 28 June, 2020 02:30 AM

Let me ask you something, you know how lonely you felt? That need just to talk to someone, just to be close to someone? Imagine feeling like that all the time, except imagine that you couldn't go on tinder to make yourself feel better, that you couldn't go anywhere to talk to someone. Imagine that you didn't have the option to register for an account in 5 minutes and fix that soul crushing loneliness that you felt, and I'm assuming it's soul crushing, cause, truth be told, it's kinda desperate and sad that you went on tinder just because you wanted to talk to someone.

So imagine that for just one minute. You don't have an app that lets you talk to people right away, that you have to go to random places, and go up to random people and offer to pay for their dinners just for you to get rid of that sense of loneliness. Now imagine that you can't just go up to a person and invite them to dinner, because they may not want to have dinner with you. Imagine that the probability that a person agrees to have dinner with you is 1 in 10.

Now IF you can imagine what that's like, you know what it's like to be the average man. When you are in that pit of despair that is loneliness, when you need human intimacy, "no loss to you" isn't a thing. It IS a loss to you, because letting her go means you go back to the shitshow of a 1 in 10 rejection rate, it means all the rejection you experienced before her was for nothing.

Men's desire for intimacy and sex is compared to hunger for a reason. If you were trapped in a cage and given 1 drop of water and a grape every day, then all you'd be thinking about is food and water. That's what the average man goes through.

SaBahRub • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 07:42 PM

You're asking them stop acting like starving animals/victims. Men here don't like that idea

stalinisaredditmod0 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 11:15 PM

Your anecdotes do nothing to refute hard data. Twice as many people meet their partner online. Male sexlessness has doubled. This has occurred in the last 10 years due to the smart phone, Tinder, Instagram, Twitter-- the globalisation of the sexual market and the increased shallowness of online dating/interaction. It isn't just Tinder. Instagram is a softcore porn site where 90% of girls don't even accept DMs from guys (unless they're attractive or have status). You can't really blame them when guys have porn brains whenever they're online, flooding them with dick pics and so on.

And honestly the kind of woman who pulls the "I have a whole smorgasbord of cock waiting for me on tinder" on you, drop her ass don't even waste your time or energy on that. The same way I would immediately drop a dude that talked that rudely to me.

You have that luxury because you have infinite options. Men don't have that luxury because they're desperate as fuck. I don't know what you don't get about this. The more choice you have, the pickier you can afford to be.

(and I didn't want to meet up with anyone because I'm ugly as fuck and didn't want to get called a catfish)

https://np.reddit.com/r/TheGlowUp/comments/gc3rs2/please_be_brutally_honest_what_can_i_do_to/

Yeah ok.

https://np.reddit.com/r/relationship_advice/comments/ftgh47/how_do_i_stop_being_jealous_and_insecure_about_my/

And your dream BF is a slayer, so I'm guessing he's not hideous. Probably white and tall, at the very least.

GunBulley • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 09:26 PM

There's probably some truth to this, but ultimately women are "losing" and men are "winning" when it comes to online dating.

The work guys had to put in to woo girls into the sack used to be immense, online dating is essentially a free brothel now. You talk about women being spoiled but getting fucked isn't even what they want, the men are spoiled. The women are getting the illusion of attention and affection but it's fleeting and brief, meanwhile men are getting exactly what men have yearned to have since time immemorial but it was an unrealistic fantasy. Dropping wang in countless random women, choosing them from a menu, different ones every week, all manner of the most depraved and perverse sex acts. Sodomising women they just met, having women they just met eat out THEIR ass. Men never even dared to dream these things were possible before the 2000s. I don't know if guys realise what men used to go through to hold a woman's hand and get a smooch on the cheek. Back then the women were in charge and winning big time.

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 09:39 PM

[deleted]

GunBulley • 2 points • 24 June, 2020 09:43 PM

I know lots and lots and lots of guys who couldn't pick up before online dating barely at all and now it's like shooting fish in a barrel. Showing up to the home of a girl above their league for extremely disrespectful sex, wiping their dick on the curtains and leaving. No dinner, nothing. Next night another one.

How old are you? Were you even old enough to be out in the clubs and bars before online dating? If you're struggling now you would have struggled far more back then.

innominate • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 05:37 PM

They're getting buried in messages and compliments and kind of getting addicted to it

It happens. Girls like that, well.. online compliments, and suitors are kinda insubstantial. That all they want? ☐

Why do you care? More to do with you. ☐

I think your viewpoint is either unrealistic, camwhore centric, or covering only tan men. Any girl who goes for one of those; aggressively stalking approaching propositioning anything with a set of gams...

Well, that's only a subset of society.

Yeah, they may be the loudest online, but so what. ☐

Show me more boobies! ☐

Been looking for love on the internet for the past ten years, bub? Things aren't working out? Getting kinda ^{hot} ☐

Ah

burn

☐

notetaking83 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 06:39 PM

I've never dated online via social media or through apps, so I'm not really aware of this situation. Seems better to just go out and meet people, and appreciate the friends you have.

LotBuilder • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 09:06 PM

It's ruining everyone. Comparison is the thief of joy and people are comparing themselves to the sanitized, filtered and highly curated version of other people. Women are more susceptible to the comparisons but everyone does it.

nacho-chonky • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 11:10 PM

I agree but I think it damages men even worse, a lot of men come to realize how bleak and impossible online dating is for some of them, they could easily get a woman if they talked to them in person but get discouraged because online dating made it look impossible, that's how you get incels and low value males, my advice to those men is to go outside and socialize in person for fuck sakes

HOLYREGIME • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 07:36 PM

There's an issue arguing with women on here. They are the well below average, bottom of the barrel women. A lot of women have nearly unlimited options but they don't. They can barely get sex, let alone a relationship. They don't have the benefit that most others do so they'll reject it and pretend it's false.

Marketing_Baboon • -2 points • 24 June, 2020 03:57 PM

I agree. Narcissism used to be a vastly predominantly male phenomenon but I believe just recently it's now the case that there are more female narcissists than male, clinically speaking. I'll see if I can find a source.

Skratt • 4 points • 24 June, 2020 04:02 PM

Yeah I'm gonna need a source on that.

Marketing_Baboon • 3 points • 24 June, 2020 04:09 PM

I'm having trouble finding any recent data. Last clinical survey from 2008 puts the rates of narcissistic personality disorder at 7.7% for men and 4.8% for women. I would imagine that 12 years may have changed things but I don't have a source for that, again clinically speaking.

This is a solid essay though:

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/ca/blog/digital-world-real-world/201909/narcissism-and-social-media-should-we-be-afraid>

Relevant excerpt:

Men and women differ in terms of their propensity to show narcissism, at least currently in many societies, with greater rates for men (8% of the male population) than for women (5% of the female population)⁵. Men also score slightly higher than women in terms of "exploitative/entitlement" feelings, and "authority/leadership" needs, although there is no difference in "exhibitionism."⁶ However, it turns out that this sex difference does not translate into the world of social media in any straightforward manner. There is evidence from a variety of sources that social media is having a disproportionately negative impact on women, including on their levels of narcissism and related behaviors.

A recent study noted that girls use social media more than boys (43% of girls versus 31% of boys use it for at least an hour a day at age 15)⁷. These girls also reported lower levels of happiness, and more

social and emotional difficulties as they grow up. To explain these findings, it was suggested that girls make comparisons between themselves and others more often than boys, and such social comparison is enhanced (indeed promoted) on social media, causing social media's differentially negative effects between the sexes⁷.

But we shouldn't just accept that this is a passive effect of girls being influenced by others through their need for social-comparison making. Research is increasingly showing that such social-comparison for women is an active, and partly aggressive, process that may be deeply associated with female narcissism. In our laboratory, we found that women are much more likely to use intimidatory self-presentation tactics on social media than men. Echoing the work mentioned earlier, it has been shown that the narcissistic trait of "exploitativeness" is associated with increased selfie taking by girls⁸. This can lead to increased female **aggression**, often inter-female⁹, as well as creating extremely disturbing problems for the "exploitative" (or, indeed, "vulnerable") narcissist—such as increasingly sexualized portrayals of the self⁸.

The disturbing trend towards female-posted sexualized selfies could be explained as resulting from "intimidatory" self-presentation strategies used by girls with narcissism⁹. This behavior may get reinforced by the user receiving "likes," which are especially important for the "vulnerable" narcissist, and this reinforcement drives more of this completely inappropriate and self-harming behavior. But, not receiving "likes" can be just as bad for this group and their behavior!

Receiving no reinforcement from such behavior can lead to a temporary increased level of activity and aggression—a well-known phenomenon of non-reward in the animal laboratory¹⁰—as well as to a host of negative impacts on physiology and immunity, through the action of **stress hormones**¹¹—a known effect of internet **addiction**¹². Coupled with a resulting "narcissistic rage" from not getting social approval, in this social-media context, this results in increased posting activity, distress and damage to the person's **self-esteem**, as well as prompting aggressive cyber-behaviors emitted in order to aggrandize, and protect, the self by humiliating others.

Pastelitomaracucho • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 08:14 PM

....and men

sanrio-sugarplum • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 08:21 PM

I'm a woman and I agree. I'm pretty average tbh, and every time I've gone on a dating site, my inbox was immediately flooded with tons of guys. I have friends who post their cleavage etc often and they get even more. But I also met my boyfriend on sort of a dating site, so.

swh2021 • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 10:14 PM

I agree with you on many points. In my traditional culture, we used to say to the bride and groom, "Never let anyone form a wedge between you." Nowadays, the entire world is devoted to forming a wedge. Women, unlike men, are easily persuaded by other females. Now, you have women telling "Opening doors is offensive." You have women telling "Not opening doors is rude." Men saying "You're beautiful" is objectifying you. Men not saying it is "Ignoring you" and not worthy of your time. Damned if you do and damned if you don't.

So, pretty much everything is either patriarchy or not caring enough. In addition, a lot of women begin dates with "preaching." How sexist, how cruel the world is. They'll try to make men women. They'll waste their best years on those and become frustrated as they grow old. Then, she'll either settle for anyone or become an enemy of patriarchy. She'll devote all her life to making women become her. Obviously, most men call it quit.

cuckspace • 0 points • 24 June, 2020 10:50 PM

Agreed and upvoted. But I'll challenge you with a whatabout.

What about us men? I've noticed with myself that after what feels like the 1000th Tinder opener I'm having a harder time investing in this conversation, mentally pair bonding if you will. Women on OLD are so entitled and boring, put so little effort into it and expect so much enthusiasm and initiative in return. A few old photos of her partying, travelling or camping, some generic text and we're supposed to get all riled up and drive the conversation. After a certain amount of this I just can't feel the enthusiasm, I don't want to ask questions or get to know her. It feels like I already know too much and the less I know the better. I don't know what this is. The thousand "relationship" stare?

[deleted] 24 June, 2020 10:55 PM

[deleted]

cuckspace • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 11:32 PM

Oh I have got plenty of pussy, whenever I muster the will to take the initiative and go through the same old motions. I just can't be bothered most of the time. It's literally like every woman is the same woman once you get to know her.

Oh you like travel? Great! And food also? Me too! It took you so long to gather the courage to tell me you're submissive in bed? What a surprise! Who would have thought?

Yonderlander • 0 points • 25 June, 2020 06:16 AM

Meh, yes it's easy to get dates for women in OLD but it's still a living hell we want to get over with as quickly as possible for most. I hate being single so I went on lots of dates when I was doing it, but for all the investment, about 90% of the time you walk in and realise within 10 seconds that you don't fancy them. Then out of the ones you do fancy you don't click, or you have sex and it isn't great... It's a drag we are just looking for someone we love so we can get the hell off online dating. I know it's harder for men but it's grim in different ways for women, and just a massive drag trying to find that one person.

I understand this is hard to understand if you are a horny 18 year old guy who can think of nothing nicer than finding regular sex with different women even if they don't fancy them, but the vast majority of women don't want that.

I'd also like to point out I think OLD is harder for red pillers than for most men because by definition they are sexist and the kind of guy women are trying to avoid.

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 11:19 AM

[deleted]

Yonderlander • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 11:43 AM

So you would just date and marry someone you don't have any feelings for or attraction to? I disagree, all the men I know only date women they have some sort of connection with. And they all have relationships from time to time. Similarly I've got gorgeous, intelligent female friends who were looking for a boyfriend for years.

[deleted] 25 June, 2020 12:44 PM

[deleted]

Yonderlander • 3 points • 25 June, 2020 03:47 PM

I agree with what you say, people date on their level. It really doesn't contradict what I said at all. You're kind of contradicting yourself when you said guys don't have options- clearly they do, on their own level.

Physiologist21 • -1 points • 24 June, 2020 06:35 PM

Pretty much.

asdf333aza • -1 points • 24 June, 2020 06:50 PM

What social media is to women is what porn is to men.