

Female Matchmaker stops trying to help women match with men because women (according to her) have "unrealistic standards for men, dating marriage". Lets discuss.

June 20, 2020 | 405 upvotes | by [Sigma1979](#)

So i came across this absolutely fascinating video - and i'm going to post 2 versions of it, one with commentary from a 3rd party as i think he pauses the matchmaker's video and provides some additional commentary and also the matchmaker's video all by herself.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r_HKg5UBoig (video with commentary)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H6ONHDMDD8s> (original video)

Some interesting observations:

- 1) So this rant isn't made by a TRP/Incel/Blackpill/MGTOW male "woman hater". This is by a woman. Not only that, but her JOB is to help OTHER women find a match. It's very telling that she had to VOLUNTARILY quit helping other women find a match when her paycheck depends on it.
- 2) If i had to guess, the women she's helping are in their 30's, 40's, 50's, etc. Obviously younger women want to 'have their fun' and they get to have it because men are throwing themselves at the young women. The fact that women who are past their youth still have these insane standards where they expect 6+ feet, 6+ figures, and handsome as hell is pretty remarkable.
- 3) Even with that said, this woman admits to sleeping around with fuckboys in her youth before locking down mr. dependable, so there's still some visceral disgust from me when she talks about that, so i'm not TOTALLY in her corner even though i agree with almost everything else she says.
- 4) I find it fascinating that she says she can only talk about 'this truth' because she no longer depends on a paycheck. Imagine if daytime talk shows would allow this kind of content, the shows would lose advertisers. Women have created a bubble in the media and virtually every other institution where they don't have to experience discomfort, no matter the truth.
- 5) Only a woman can say what she says without being cancelled and even then she has to quit her job to do it. If the 'where have all the good men gone' women asked men why men won't commit to them, no man in their right mind would tell them the truth. Women fucked themselves good. Sidenote: This is why i don't tell women the truth when they fuck up at work.

I find the video fascinating because women usually put the onus on men as to why they can't find a partner and when men point out it's women who have these high unrealistic standards, the standard shaming of 'you're just a jealous virgin loser who nobody wants' (and i've seen this type of shaming on guys with good jobs and good character), but it's REALLY hard to deflect when the person is not only a woman but deals with trying to match other women with men to put food on the table. I'm actually shocked that she even says that these near middle aged women were rejecting an ex-football player that used to play for the Atlanta Falcons, i mean wtf.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments



B4utry • 54 points • 20 June, 2020 11:13 PM

The game was rigged from the start, boyos. Jfl, even betabuxxing has become impossible.

mederor • 17 points • 21 June, 2020 08:41 AM

You betabuxx, with your taxes.

Innovative_being • 29 points • 20 June, 2020 11:52 PM*

even betabuxxing has become impossible.

Government buxx has replaced beta buxx.

They take taxes from the beta masses and give to women, so women now have no need to physically deal with betas lmao.

All of them will just choose just get knocked up by the top 20% who can't commit for mathematical reasons... then have government buxx pay for it

Sigma1979[S] • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 08:49 PM

even betabuxxing has become impossible.

Sugar Daddying is going to explode. It'll probably get to the point where even mid-6 figure men can get a decent sugar daddy/sugar baby deal.

bionix90 • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 11:55 AM

With the pandemic, it has already exploded to unprecedented levels.

Appomattoxx • 361 points • 20 June, 2020 08:09 PM

Men can't find partners: Men are losers.

Women can't find partners: Men are losers.

BROWN-TOILET-PAPER • 55 points • 20 June, 2020 08:24 PM

Well, as opposed to the broad statement, at least in some cases, that's true. Socio-economic stats are increasingly bad for men, but increasingly good for women. That will create a certain amount of imbalance. 'Losers', if you will.

HOLYREGIME • 42 points • 21 June, 2020 12:44 AM

You're mostly wrong but not completely wrong.

Even if the average woman surpasses the average man (which they want), women will have to date down, share or continue to be single. They don't like any those. I'm betting on sharing personally but we'll see.

Now men have dated "down" forever. If she wasn't as educated or didn't make as much money, it was okay. She could cook, clean, etc. That was good enough.

Women these days don't want men who solely cook and clean. They want men who cook, clean and make at least 10% more than them. With standards like these FDS is about to explode with bitter women.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:19 AM

[removed]

immortallogic • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 03:28 PM

Women also do most of the emotional labor, childrearing if applicable, and work a double shift (disproportionately do more housework than men, despite also working the same hours outside the home). Women are now increasingly able to support themselves rather than rely on men, yet are still putting on more work at home.

Men are also increasingly using porn and other types of sexual stimuli outside of the relationship (easier access, etc), which comes with a whole host of problems.

Times have changed and men are no longer expected to be the primary breadwinners in the economy most of us live in today - it's just not viable. Women have updated their roles, but men are still lagging behind. Expecting 50/50 from someone is not unreasonable.

This is not even getting into the expectations men place on women's bodies and appearance, whole women are often much more forgiving when it comes to men's.

Sensei009 • 2 points • 23 June, 2020 02:58 AM

You've forgotten that many men are now seriously turning to transsexuals. Lmao the imbalances are going so skewed

Flintblood • 1 point • 23 June, 2020 08:14 PM

If you can't beat 'em, join 'em. Lol

kwalden2 • 1 point • 18 July, 2020 11:09 PM

women will have to date down, share or continue to be single. They don't like any those.

Well, life is tough, but I know a lot of women who cope just fine with those choices. In particular, sharing is great for me. That's what I do.

HOLYREGIME • 2 points • 18 July, 2020 11:45 PM

Women in their teens and 20's share. Women eventually want a settle down and have children with a a partner they can call their own. Insert the beta.

kwalden2 • 2 points • 18 July, 2020 11:45 PM

Wait wait, I'm sorry. I meant something different by share lol. I meant sharing responsibility. My bad...

Sigma1979[S] • 132 points • 20 June, 2020 08:58 PM*

Remember men, when men made money back in the day, they were the 'breadwinner'. When men make less now, they're 'losers' and women who date/marry them are 'dating down' (but nobody said men were 'dating down' when they made all the money back in the day).

Sir_manalot • 92 points • 20 June, 2020 10:03 PM

You forgot the part where men earning more was sexist and oppressive...now men are loser for having less.

Aka it is women being selfish once again and women should just forget about them.



renegadehoe • 26 points • 20 June, 2020 11:30 PM

Because women were VERY busy doing ALL the domestic chores (without even the modern conveniences like electricity), raising children, and preparing home cooked meals at least twice a day. THAT was “women’s work” women who could effectively do these things were innately very valuable.

There was nothing further she was expected to, or had the luxury doing. In the same regard, ALL that men were expected to do was provide for the financial needs of the family. That was his ONLY responsibility.

She NEEDED a man to provide for her as much as a man NEEDED a woman to care for his home, his children, feeding, and fucking. Women were well kept servants at best (unless she was of a higher social class and married wealthy enough to have servants of her own to delegate this labor to).

Bread-winning woman were actually considered unattractive. And even today, men DISLIKE women being smarter or wealthier than themselves.

Gawernator • 63 points • 21 June, 2020 01:39 AM

Lol what? Please marry me rich women. The whole “men not liking smart or rich women” is a feminist trope which is purely cope because they’re ugly / bad personality so guys don’t want them.

Cobra_x30 • 14 points • 21 June, 2020 02:13 PM

Most feminists are fucking broke as shit. You would be shocked at how many of them will drop those panties when you flash some cash.

Flintblood • 8 points • 22 June, 2020 04:13 AM*

A woman’s interest in a man’s education is a proxy for gleaning his earning potential. A man’s interest in a woman’s education level has nothing to do with income and all about common interests and conversation potential.

I love me some smart women and would marry up. But “educated” women aren’t looking for similarly educated men. They’re looking for men with certain advanced degrees (Law, MD) that equates to higher earning potential. I know of one maybe two women among all my FB friends that married down and earn more than their husbands.

Edited: Phone typos

Gawernator • 3 points • 22 June, 2020 06:19 AM

Well said

[deleted] 22 June, 2020 10:36 AM*

[deleted]

Flintblood • 3 points • 23 June, 2020 03:20 AM

Dude. I've listened to an ex fwb tell me about canceling with one bumble match because she found a guy with a better job. Then just tonight a female friend got out her phone and started talking about being on Bumble again and let me watch as she browsed guys. Guess who she preferred? She was looking at their professions as much as she was looking at their appearance.

Flintblood • 2 points • 23 June, 2020 11:57 AM

Maybe it's an over 30 thing. The women I mentioned below are 33 and 31. It's good your situation is different but job status comes up a lot on OLD.

[deleted] 23 June, 2020 12:04 PM*

[deleted]

Flintblood • 3 points • 23 June, 2020 12:18 PM

US here. A lot of it is probably online dating. But even if men don't have to be CEOs of a large business or well paid surgeons, women still rate us by our earning ability or job status. I've had only asked about a woman's job when she volunteered and only because I wanted to know more about the day to day background of x career/job. Or maybe for explorative conversation. I've never used it job confirmation to check an item off on a shopping list.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:17 AM

[removed]

AggravatingTartlet • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 07:49 AM

"Well kept servant" is how you categorise a woman in a loving relationship provided with the privilege of taking care of her own children full time.

That's what women most often were. Except often they weren't even 'well kept'.

ari_thot_le • 5 points • 22 June, 2020 03:55 PM

No, you see these women as prisoners because it's a life you don't want, but you don't factor their feelings into the situation at all. There are still plenty of women today who voluntarily choose to stay home

renegadehoe • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 05:10 AM

Umm actually they weren't loved per se. The need they had to depend on men left many woman vulnerable to being left on the streets with nothing when their "loving" husband found someone younger or wanted to run off with his mistress. Hence the creation of divorce laws that protect women and children. Women were supported financially but actually relationship satisfaction has improved since women joined the workforce.

This complaint shared by women about wanting to have more time with their children is because now most mothers have a full time career in addition to being a full time mom because it's financially intangible and socially unacceptable to "just be a mom" due to the brainwashing of liberal feminism. And due to the entitlement of men they aren't picking up the slack at home. Men are equally responsible for this problem as women are.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 05:13 AM

[removed]

renegadehoe • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 05:58 AM

ACTUALLY domestic abuse wasn't even illegal until the 70s. It was just something women didn't talk about. I mean why would you when your basic survival is dependent on your abuser and you have no where else to go and no one who will help. "It's not my business" was very much the attitude. Even when my dad was growing up!

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 06:08 AM

[removed]

renegadehoe • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 06:14 AM

I never said most. But men had more power over women so it's not unreasonable to assume it was more common. Women were at the whim of men which makes you more vulnerable to exploitation. Being a housewife wife was an obligation and not a choice like it is today.

reluctantly_red • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 09:27 AM

domestic abuse wasn't even illegal until the 70s.

That's totally **not** true!

renegadehoe • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:14 PM

Source.

Flintblood • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 04:16 AM

Your idea of equal home and work match is a man doing more than 60% of the home chores and 80% of the emotional labor and at least 75% of the fluid income.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:06 PM*

[deleted]

bravebeautyx • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 08:37 AM

I don't know who you're talking about. The men I know love their women earning more. It's extremely attractive to them.

reluctantly_red • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 09:26 AM

men DISLIKE women being smarter or wealthier

I must not have gotten the memo.

renegadehoe • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:14 PM

the science is clear, read here

The-Wizard-of-Oz- • 1 point • 13 July, 2020 02:14 AM

Lol what are you talking about?

I'd totally marry some rich chick & divorce to get a ginormous check every month... Where's the downside?

AdmiralSP • 12 points • 20 June, 2020 11:21 PM

said men were 'dating down'

Mostly because women were forced to not get an education or a job. And when they did, they were secretary and receptionists, like was it. Not comparable situations.



analt223 • 75 points • 20 June, 2020 11:49 PM

throughout most of human history the economy was working outside in a field, getting black lung in a coal mine, or getting stabbed at/shot at in the army, etc.

There was one era in human history where only men were doing these "drive in your semi comfortable car to your office where you chat by the water cooler" jobs: the 50s. AKA the decade where people were kinda more celebrating the end of the bloodiest event in human history, and also the catalyst to the 60s, the beginning of social changes still going on today.

This notion that only women were "not allowed good jobs" is such a small timeframe in human history. Throughout history NOBODY had a "good job"

pangolin001 • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 01:14 AM

Well done!

Gawernator • 11 points • 21 June, 2020 01:38 AM

So well stated. Wow

IfThenPill • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 03:25 AM

I wish I could gild you

AggravatingTartlet • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 07:41 AM

This notion that only women were "not allowed good jobs" is such a small timeframe in human history. Throughout history NOBODY had a "good job"

This is untrue.

Guilds provided fairly good working conditions and the ability to learn a skill to an expert level and earn a good income. Women were largely excluded from guilds. They were also largely excluded from learning science, philosophy and medicine and working in those fields.

There have always been better 'jobs for the boys'. Women have always been relegated to the menial tasks and/or prevented from gaining an education.

Yes, most people in history had shit lives and shit jobs. But the men fared far better than women in general. Yes, men fought in wars, but the women were often dealing with disease and pestilence and starvation at home, and childbirth with no anaesthetic - during which death was common - and with most of their children dying before age 5.

analt223 • 16 points • 21 June, 2020 01:22 PM

No they didnt. If you didnt try to move up in the world as a man, you died much earlier in life with nobody to take care of you. Shut up with this "men had it easier" crap. Way more men died unloved and alone with no support.

Cobra_x30 • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 02:22 PM

Women were largely excluded from guilds. They were also largely excluded from learning science, philosophy and medicine and working in those fields.

This isn't entirely true. For example the coopers guild in Yorkshire may not allow women, but the tanners guild in Mercia does. This is because each guild is entirely local and entirely operated by it's members. Regardless, most of these skilled crafts required vast amounts of strength and backbreaking labor. Most women are entirely unsuited to standing over a hot forge and hammering out horseshoes all day.

Now, the softer, easier, more social jobs like selling what craftsmen produce was not an uncommon job for women to have.

Last point... in an era of no birth control and large family sizes... most women were entirely consumed with running a household until the children were older. Even then, they often had to help other women with their kids as well.

Oh... and only rich people got into philosophy and science. Poor people didn't have time for that crap. Rich women engaged in it from day 1.

selective-autism • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 05:06 PM

Exactly. Women will talk out of both sides of their mouth on this issue. When we discuss how men were mainly the pioneers in fields like science and philosophy, they will say what about Ada Lovelace and Marie Curie.

But when we talk about how almost *no one* lived a quality life in the old days, suddenly its "yeah but women had it worse and were excluded from science and academia"

Apex fallacy is the basic state of the female mind. They only see the lifestyles of the rich, and believe they deserve it inherently just for being women.

TheJim66 • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 05:40 PM

Ah yes. Disease and pestilence was surely worse in a capital than in a corpse ridden war zone. Im also sure they hit specifically the women in the capital.

AggravatingTartlet • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:26 PM

Disease and pestilence was surely worse in a capital than in a corpse ridden war zone

Most soldiers didn't see active battle. if we're going to compare a corpse-ridden war zone, then you'd have to compare the worst rat-infested sector of a city.

Half of children in history didn't live until puberty. Half.

Think about that.

TheJim66 • 5 points • 22 June, 2020 05:54 AM

In war periods? They did see active battle. My comparison was pretty fine.

compare the worst rat-infested sector of a city.

Which I'm sure was filled with women.

Half of children in history didn't live until puberty. Half.

How is that a gendered issue

sublimemongrel • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 12:08 AM

But *of the legitimate, good jobs* back in the day, were women permitted or were there significantly more hurdles for them as opposed to men? Everyone knows class was important back then, but how relevant was gender?

analt223 • 13 points • 21 June, 2020 02:02 AM

for a brief fucking decade maybe women had slightly more difficulties. Now a days if you are a woman who doesnt major in stupid shit, you will be better off than men who have the same degree.

And the kicker is, if you are a woman who DOES major in stupid shit, you dont exactly have it made, but there is a much much better chance that a company's HR department will maybe have a position to apply for that gives you some salary, PTO, 401k company match, and health insurance. Men who major in stupid shit work at gas stations with NO chance of getting a salaried job, because HR is all women.

We (all of society) just like women more than men. Patriarchy exists because men are LESS desirable than women, not more. The only way to get rid of the patriarchy is women have to deem a lot (a fuckton more) men dateable, marriage material, AND casually fuckable.

AdmiralSP • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:45 AM

Women have always had the lower jobs.

Women were rarely allowed to be solders. Women when working in the fields, were only allowed to do the simpler and less paying works. The same was true in mined, construction, factories, and almost where ever you think about.

Women by society have been constantly forced to not get lower jobs; and men have been forced to be the "bread winner" and the "hero".

So the idea that men would have to "date down". There wasn't really an option..

But the status of a woman was derived from her father's status.

analt223 • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 07:00 AM

Most women didnt want to be a soldier, because you frequently would die. Hell originally women didnt even want the right to vote in the USA, not because of "internalized misogyny", but because they thought it would mean them having to sign up for the draft.

AggravatingTartlet • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 07:47 AM

Most women didnt want to be a soldier, because you frequently would die.

Women are ALREADY soldiers. Throughout history, they battled their own biology - countless millions died in childbirth.

Women were almost always pregnant or raising babies. Why would they take a pregnant body or baby into battle? And why would they want to get involved in wars started by men?

If women can have a say in which battles are fought, then, and only then, should they join the battle. Because the vast majority of wars were fucking stupid and were started by fucking stupid idiots. Women shouldn't have to die for the sake of stupid stuff started by men.

Ecocavalry • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 11:44 AM

Women shouldn't have to die for the sake of stupid stuff started by men.

If you are a stakeholder, you get a packaged deal. Women get to enjoy benefits of the civilization and surplus labor built by men and also get the drawbacks. The person only present to enjoy the good times is a mercenary, be a mercenary, but declare it to all the men in your lives that you are so.

CentralAdmin • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 12:23 PM

Women are ALREADY soldiers. Throughout history, they battled their own biology - countless millions died in childbirth.

I don't think you understand the definition of soldier.

Viruses, wild animals, natural disasters and other forces of nature have killed millions as well. Those were not soldiers. Women died less during childbirth thanks to advances in science and medicine thanks to men.

Women were almost always pregnant or raising babies. Why would they take a pregnant body or baby into battle? And why would they want to get involved in wars started by men?

I agree here. Why should weaker, more vulnerable people in society face the harsh reality of an invading horde?

If women can have a say in which battles are fought, then, and only then, should they join the battle.

Female rulers were far more likely than male rulers to go to war:

<https://qz.com/967895/throughout-history-women-rulers-were-more-likely-to-wage-war-than-men/>

When women had a choice, they sent men in to die. They didn't join men in battle. You think only men decided when it was time to fight?

You're also forgetting that you need people (men) to defend against invaders. Even if your men were not going to go to war, they could be dragged into one so that they could defend their women and children.

For an example, consider the Anglo-Boer war. The British invaded South Africa because there was gold to be exploited. It was a Dutch colony at the time but the Boers were white farmers/Afrikaners who were protecting their land from the

invading forces. The locals used guerilla tactics, not commonly used at the time, to pick off and scare the British troops.

What did the British do? They rounded up the Afrikaner women and children and created something Hitler would later use to good effect against the Jews: concentration camps. The women and children starting dying of disease and starvation. The Boer men lost their will to fight and would rather the British take the country than let their families suffer any further.

The war was unnecessary, but someone has to fight off the invaders. It's not about whether they're men or not. Women seem to think men are unaffected by differences in culture, ethnicity, education, wealth/poverty and nationality. That somehow all that is overridden because they're men, therefore men are the problem and should take collective responsibility.

In which case, I believe all men deserve commemorative blowjobs for the invention of penicillin (thank you Alexander Fleming!).

Women shouldn't have to die for the sake of stupid stuff started by men.

Do women then deserve to die for wars started by women? I mean, I am anti-war. I believe no one should die for wars started by anyone. But if you're going to make it gendered, women owe a debt for not volunteering to fight when Queen Isabella invaded Granada.

And for all the hatred Americans have for Christopher Columbus, it was Queen Isabella who bankrolled his explorations which led to the Atlantic Slave Trade and American Indian Genocide.

I wonder if we should hold all women accountable for her behaviour.

analt223 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 01:18 PM

Be careful with that comment. While women's role in reproduction is obviously a billion times more vast than men's, that's biology. Not social constructs that feminists say is the only thing that matters

Again, my point is basically women getting pregnant and men don't is what causes patriarchy to form. Men don't want to die alone, and women don't want men who aren't high in some various power structure. Every major culture evolved this way.

masterdarthrevan • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 10:27 AM

Oh okay women got to be secretaries but men got sent off to war to die. You're right it definitely was lopsided

nemma88 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:49 PM

This applies if they didn't have children sure. For couples with children it's not quite comparable

immortallogic • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 03:31 PM

This is changing and also personal preference. As a woman, I wouldn't care if a guy was making less than me. The important point is that it's 50/50 when it comes to the relationship.

The issue increasingly is there women and men are both working but women are still putting in a

double shift at home. Back in the day men were the primary bread winners and women the primary home keepers. The same roles don't exist to the extent they did in the past. So women are expecting men to put more work it at home, but men still disproportionately put in less work at home.

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 15 points • 20 June, 2020 10:45 PM

Yeah, this is true.

From first hand experience, white, Gen Z men are just not going into that many professional career paths. Many "drop out" and do drugs. Others do trades, which make decent money but a career woman doesn't want to marry a plumber. Immigrants are increasingly taking over STEM and financial jobs.

It's hard to know what to do, I can tell them they need to sacrifice a bit to focus on a career but young guys just aren't interested.

On the plus side, it's easier for people like me once we want to settle down. The number of single, wealthy, educated, career white females in their 30s is off the charts.

basedmillennial95 • 7 points • 21 June, 2020 05:19 AM

I can tell them they need to sacrifice a bit to focus on a career

Why should they?

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 12:09 PM*

[deleted]

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 01:20 PM

This.

Or try being 50 working minimum wage, dead end jobs. Renting month to month.

Not only will you get no pussy your life will just be shit. Even if I fail romantically I'll still have a nice apartment and money to travel/buy cars and shit.

basedmillennial95 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 11:35 PM

What if they're happy? What if they earn the money they want and live the lifestyle that they're happy with?

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 01:22 PM

Because their life will be shit if they don't.

basedmillennial95 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 11:35 PM

Their life will be shit if they don't slave away at a job to make more money than they need?

flyinghorse1 • 21 points • 21 June, 2020 12:55 AM

My experience is that women dont care that much. For example, I'm teacher on less than 50k a year. Not once have I met a woman or dated someone where its been the slightest of issues. This portrayal of women as money obsessed creatures needs to stop. As long as you can pay your way and have some self respect its fine.

CentralAdmin • 22 points • 21 June, 2020 03:46 AM

This portrayal of women as money obsessed creatures needs to stop. As long as you can pay your way and have some self respect its fine.

Unfortunately it's true. They're blaming men for the decline in marriage because they're not earning enough.

<https://nypost.com/2019/09/25/women-are-struggling-to-find-men-who-make-as-much-money-as-they-do/>

You also mentioned being a teacher. Teachers have status in society (though respect for them has declined), far more than men who do physical but well-paid labour, such as a plumber or garbage man - both of whom will earn more than you. It's not just money (which is important) but status as well. This is why doctors/surgeons will find it far easier to meet women because they're in demand. They tick both boxes.

Also, while men who earn a decent salary (management, tech, medicine) aren't really going to have a problem with her being a teacher, are you meeting, dating, sleeping with and LTRing women who earn double or triple your salary? Do you believe a carbon copy of yourself earning 100k+ is still equally as attractive to women as you are?

flyinghorse1 • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 04:18 AM

I've only dated one wealthy woman in finance. The rest were working normalish jobs in the 30 to 60k range; teachers, PR, HR, and admin. As far as I could tell, it wasn't a huge issue for them, and they I never felt they were overly focused on wealth.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 12:07 PM*

[deleted]

flyinghorse1 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:01 PM

Haha i'm trying to explain i earn a normal income. I'm neither rich nor on the breadline. Most women don't care if you earn 150k a year - some people in the media just portray women as goldiggers and gullible men buy into this idea.

whatisupsatansass • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 02:46 PM

I think these men think women will be nice to them if they have \$.

I'm sure my grandmother nitpicked my grandfather. I saw the end of it. He was a soldier and capable of quite a bit around the home. At the very least he was able to make snide remarks like, "women, can't live with em, can't live without em."

I am so much more useless than he was. I have had several relationships because I'm pretty for a man. It does not stop my SO's from being rude to me when I'm nothing but fun and nice. In social situations everyone loves having me around. Including the girls. When we get home, they pick on how I cook, pick on how I choose to spend my free time, and pick on how I talk. And I've broken up with all of them, only to have them ask "omg why?!" I watch all my friends catch unnecessary crap from their gfs in public. Just for cheap laughs. It's just an ugly look after awhile.

I agree women aren't money obsessed. I just think men think women will stop nagging them and treat them like friends if they had money and were successful. I don't think I want to tie myself to someone who can't make themselves be nice to me. She puts on a charming face all day at work for strangers and acquaintances, but I get a shitty attitude when she comes home. I agree that it's simplistic to blame it on income. But they're just trying to find an answer.

SlashCo80 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 08:17 AM

I think there's a certain category of upper middle class, high maintenance, career women who will indeed refuse to consider men who aren't at least equal or superior to them in terms of education, money and career. But they are hardly the norm.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 12:05 PM*

[deleted]

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 01:17 PM

Seems clear to me.

Given that I'm building a professional career, if I do end up wanting to marry I don't think I'll have a problem getting those women. I might prefer something younger but it's comforting to know that there are a huge oversupply of 30+ professional women looking for a similar SES partner

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 01:35 PM*

[deleted]

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 01:37 PM

I'm only 22 so I'm not even thinking about marriage rn lol. It's just comforting to know they're out there.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 01:43 PM*

[deleted]

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 01:55 PM

That's the plan. Make money and lift.

Yeah I guess the 30 year old women are the backup plan.

immortallogic • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 03:22 PM*

As a single woman in my late twenties I can tell you that this is increasingly not the case. Women rooted in reality are realizing more and more than staying single if often the best option, because the number of lazy, insecure, porn addicted guys out there is rapidly increasing.

Women earning their own income is increasing obviously, and the want for kids is decreasing. Women who settle down 90% of the time have to work a double shift, while also having to do most of the childrearing if there are kids involved.

Unless someone comes around who will greatly add benefits to my life instead of draining of my energy and emotional labor, I will happily remain single. Also, please note that single women are happier and live longer than married men. The opposite it true for men. None of this has anything to do with SMV.

[deleted] 22 June, 2020 03:46 PM*

[deleted]

CanisLupusMigrans • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 03:27 PM

"The number of single, wealthy, educated, career white females in their 30s is off the charts."

I keep hearing this, but it must be a big-city thing. I have lived in medium cities, small cities, and small towns and not really ever seen this. Most everyone I see over 30 is married with kids.

ThisIsMyAccount135 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:09 PM

Yeah it is a big city thing. When I lived in a smaller city I also found that pretty much everyone was married by 30.

Gawernator • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:19 PM

Weird, a plumber I know makes about \$150k a year and is married

mydikishomofobik • 16 points • 20 June, 2020 09:50 PM

Men still out-earn women on average, just about everywhere. And men in rich countries are, on average, the richest men in the world. It always baffles me how some women can live in the richest countries in the world, with relatively rich men all around them, and still complain that all the men are losers.

analt223 • 29 points • 20 June, 2020 11:54 PM

childless women in their 20s and 30s are outearning childless men in the same range. Been that way since the 00s, and trending even more in women's favor.

Last time i checked when people here are bitching about the dating game and men being losers and what not, were talking about 18 to 35ish. At least on this site.

I doubt this generation of men though just tend to get better in their 40s or what not, the damage has already been done. This ending of gender roles bullshit isnt working. Feminism is kinda wrong here.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:47 AM

[removed]

learnedsuccess • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 03:46 AM

No only women in their 20s out earn men, not the 30s. Overall though, men do out earn women. So yeah, I don't understand why people are saying men are "behind".

analt223 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 04:10 AM

nope, its 30s now too. The articles written about this were dated back in the late 00s. You think things arent changing even more in women's favor?

mydikishomofobik • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:02 PM

Well our society has been pushing a lot of female equality and empowerment. But at the same time, women are hypergamous and want men who are higher status than them. So I guess these women will be celebrating and saying "yeah, we're better than men!". But they'll simultaneously be crying and saying "why aren't there any men good enough (i.e. higher status than me) to date?"

BROWN-TOILET-PAPER • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 10:04 PM

Where is anyone complaining that 'all men are losers?'

The reality is we are talking about a portioned demographic. That is the demographic of women that

are moving 'up' while their equivalent men are moving 'down.' We aren't talking about society at large, we are talking about a group. We seem to do that a lot here, and people end up inflating certain issues to be much grander than they are.

Securable • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 10:03 PM

I'm still sincerely baffled that men listen to women have feelings out loud

flyinghorse1 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 12:52 AM

I thought people were still complaining that men earn more than women? Now we dont earn enough?

reluctantly_red • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 09:45 AM

Now we dont earn enough?

Wanting both equality and superiority simultaneously is a human impulse. Logical introspective people understand the absurdity of this contradiction and deal accordingly. Irrational entitled people don't.

learnedsuccess • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 03:52 AM

It's all nonsense. Pure garbage.

LotBuilder • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 02:41 AM

Those stats are cooked, men still make more by a significant margin.

“women’s average earnings increased to just under \$30,000 in the period from 2001 to 2015 but men still made over \$50,000 on average”

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.forbes.com/sites/niallmccarthy/2018/11/29/study-men-are-still-mas-sively-outearning-women-in-the-u-s-infographic/amp/>

reluctantly_red • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 09:39 AM

Those stats are cooked, men still make more by a significant margin.

All three of the new attorney's at my office are women. Therefore, the average female attorney at my office makes less. While technically true this statistic is meaningless since we're all on the same union negotiated pay scale. I'm at the top of the pay scale only because I've been around longer.

LotBuilder • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 06:05 PM

40% of female attorneys and doctors are not practicing 4 years after being licensed. They simply don't want to put in the hours over a 30 year career and therefore they earn less. Marrying a female doc or attorney is very risky, 40% leave the job force full time but their student loans stay on your balance sheet. Women are still a financial net liability in relationships.

CentralAdmin • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 12:28 PM

That's because women choose to work part time or stay at home when they become moms. Married men with families also tend to work more than women, up to 8 hours more per week.

Single, childless women outearn single, childless men. Once women get married, they rely on men's financial stability so they are free to choose to be stay at home parents or work part time.

Women don't want to offer men the same deal, though. Men who don't adhere to their gender roles

aren't going to find dating easier. Not many women will support a stay at home father.

Sigma1979[S] • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 04:36 PM

That's a really stupid way of looking at it, you shouldn't be looking at annual earnings, you need to look at hourly earnings. If a woman chooses to work part time when she gives birth, of course her earnings plummet. Also, you are comparing all sorts of jobs against one another, like duh, obviously a male CEO is going to make a shitload more than a female social worker or teacher (nobody asked women to take those jobs).

Young childless women make more than young childless men btw

LotBuilder • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:34 PM

Out earn them by \$1100... hardly enough to move the needle.

Money is money. \$50k is a lot more than \$30k. Hourly means nothing to me, total earnings mean everything. If a lawyer makes \$175/hr and a cam girl makes \$200/hr... the lawyer will still earn more by a wide margin over their career because they will work so many more hours. They may look equal from age 24-28 but career long it's not even close.

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:38 PM

If you're a married woman who just gave birth and decide you want to only become a part-time worker, why the flying fuck do you deserve to make as much in a year as someone who works 40, 50, 60 hours a week?

I sometimes work 70+ hours in a week as a finance professional during busy times and i'd be fucking PISSED if some mother worked 25 hours a week and earned as much as i do. The entitlement of women is STAGGERING.

LotBuilder • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:07 PM

Yes it is. And most of these part timer moms are still wanting their husband to carry half the load at home.

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 09:00 PM

[removed]

BROWN-TOILET-PAPER • 4 points • 20 June, 2020 09:49 PM*

I didn't realize I said any such thing. Oh wait, I didn't.

Appomattoxx • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:24 PM

So what are you saying?

analt223 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:52 PM

we are less desirable. To a degree ya we are genetically inferior. Even if we cultivate skills way more than women do, we are still less desirable. It isnt even close.

Appomattoxx • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 12:01 AM

Men are expendable, but not inferior.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:50 AM

[removed]

analt223 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:16 AM*

even women in their 40s/50s are more desirable. Sorry man i mean we are inferior where it matters the most, reproduction and sexual pleasure. All this society is because we are less desirable.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 04:32 AM

[removed]

analt223 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:38 AM

i wish u were right, but it is what it is.

SlashCo80 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 08:12 AM

Only if you still believe that men should outearn women and be the primary breadwinners. If women with careers still want that, I dunno what to tell them.

Eastuss • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 09:28 AM

If you don't reproduce you're a loser, invent all the socio-economic stats you want, life is made that way and society is built around it. So these women's apparently socio-economic success is just make-up for their failures.

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:35 PM

Tell that to six figure software engineers that are still virgins

BROWN-TOILET-PAPER • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:38 PM

They obviously have other issues. Like spending their lives behind a screen, not being well socialized growing up.

HumanSockPuppet • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 02:42 PM

Of course. Because men are the ones who get everything done.

mydikishomofobik • 54 points • 20 June, 2020 10:09 PM

The kind of women who are constantly complaining that men aren't good enough are unattractive themselves, IMO. It's typically middle-aged women with high senses of their own supposed value, but who aren't all that desirable, at least from my perspective. Really attractive women, in my observation, are rarely sitting around complaining that there's no good men and making long laundry lists of demands. Show me the groups of 22 year old good looking women who complain that there's no good men and saying they need a man who meets their long lists of requirements. There's not too many of them.

[deleted] • 19 points • 20 June, 2020 11:58 PM

True. Very attractive women have top tier men offering commitment left and right.

pooppeebuttmcnuggets • 21 points • 20 June, 2020 11:44 PM

This to a fucking T. Its honestly very peculiar when I use to work retail as a teen, it was always the overweight frumpy woman who complained about men, and announced their exceptionally unrealistic

standards about men while the average, slightly about average, and even pretty woman were much more satisfied and had little to no problems with men and were always much more pleasant to be around again not all fat woman acted this way, it was mainly the young white millennial woman.

redditthrowaway1478 • 22 points • 20 June, 2020 11:30 PM

r/femaledatingstrategy

throwaway400500100 • 29 points • 21 June, 2020 02:51 AM

He said good looking

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 08:57 AM

[deleted]

sparklingscott • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 02:58 PM

It all makes sense. I kept going to that sub wondering why everyone seemed like they had brain damage.

Rockstyler • 1 point • 19 July, 2020 07:51 PM

Because they probably do.

sparklingscott • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 02:56 PM

New age millennial karens that only live to work. Doesn't seem so bad to miss out on that.

OfSpock • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 02:03 AM

This is biased too. I used to lament 'to myself' that I couldn't find someone who I was really attracted to as a complete package. I didn't complain out loud.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:32 AM

[removed]

OfSpock • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 02:41 AM

No. I've been married since I was 23. I did spend a year 'giving guy a chance' where I dated anyone who asked me out, which was dreadful and unproductive.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:44 AM

[removed]

OfSpock • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 02:59 AM

I was having trouble finding a guy I wanted. I could find plenty of guys who wanted to take me out but they were boring to me (I hate sports, for example). When I met my husband, we clicked instantly and used to talk for hours.

Thatshygurl • 35 points • 20 June, 2020 09:30 PM

I've noticed this a lot with my older friend who's approaching her 30s and has an unreasonably long list of "demands" for her future husband. It's seen as "not settling" but what's confusing is her top priorities are looks... Don't get me wrong physical attraction is important in a relationship, but if you're thinking long term, like

marriage, wouldn't personality be more important?

taapy234 • 31 points • 21 June, 2020 01:00 AM

A lot of times from my experience, a woman who has outrageously high standards are basically coping by proclaiming how high of a standard they have.

A deeper part of their self knows that they are fucked in the commitment department, and they can't get themselves to admit this fact, so instead they have these high standards and have a plausible deniability when the unicorn man didn't come to save her. This way her unrealistic worldview remains intact.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:35 AM

[removed]

Thatshygurl • 12 points • 21 June, 2020 03:44 AM

I couldn't agree more, I think it's because of this age of social media

Innovative_being • 147 points • 20 June, 2020 07:52 PM

When you have women calling out other women on their shit, you know that there is a **serious problem**

LeJacquelo • 38 points • 20 June, 2020 08:15 PM

/thread

Sir_manalot • 28 points • 20 June, 2020 10:11 PM

It is just women being smart.

Women are parasitic and live life based on how they personally can extract as much as possible with as little work as possible.

So on the surface, women look like they are nice to each other...but they are actually try to undermine each other and are quick to betray one another when it benefits them.

relish5k • 17 points • 21 June, 2020 03:32 AM

Yes, and some of us are literally parasites. I tap into my husbands red blood cells to steel his essence. You know, after I'm done bring in more money than him...

AggravatingTartlet • 12 points • 21 June, 2020 07:54 AM

Yes, and some of us are literally parasites. I tap into my husbands red blood cells to steel his essence.

I have the husband-ater 6000. It taps his blood and his sperm and his wallet every day. I keep the sperm in cold storage in case I ever need it to blackmail him with. The blood I use to keep my skin fresh and wrinkle-free. The contents of his wallet get extracted as soon as he walks in the door.

It's working well. Best investment I ever made.

reddithrowaway1478 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:19 PM

□

how exactly does it extract the sperm?

Asking for a friend...

AggravatingTartlet • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 10:56 PM

All I can say is, the sperminator function of the machine has him screaming each day. I never watch, so I can't say I know exactly what happens. But it's worth it - for me anyway. I have a whole freezer full of his splodge. I recommend the SpermJacker freezer for this function. It fits all your frozen vegies as well.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:06 PM

All I can say is, the sperminator function of the machine has him screaming each day. I never watch, so I can't say I know exactly what happens. But it's worth it - for me anyway.

Welp, one friend is still interested...even moreso now...□

PhulosophIgel • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 06:45 AM

You must feel miserable in your relationship then. Unless you have a lover who isn't a loser like your husband.

relish5k • 7 points • 21 June, 2020 02:02 PM

Hey not my husband's fault I have, erm, 'independent wealth.' He rakes in 6 figures with his MBA, he is doing just fine/

CentralAdmin • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 12:29 PM

A ~~succu~~bus suckubus!

webernicke • 21 points • 20 June, 2020 11:56 PM

Tbf, women don't see women going against the grain like the matchmaker as real women. They see women like her as pickmeshas, and thier voices are easily ignored.

Innovative_being • 26 points • 21 June, 2020 12:02 AM

Tbf, women don't see women going against the grain like the matchmaker as real women. They see women like her as pickmeshas, and thier voices are easily ignored.

Which accelerates the decline instead of putting a stop to it

IfThenPill • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 03:27 AM

accelerates the decline

Good. This should go even faster. Right now it's at the pace of boiling a frog, so there's no social change.

IfThenPill • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 03:27 AM

accelerates the decline

Good. This should go even faster. Right now it's at the pace of boiling a frog, so there's no social change.

redditthrowaway1478 • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 06:15 PM

Lol when I first read your comment I was skeptical, then I read what few comments from the women in this sub are saying in this thread and you're absolutely right. Most of them are saying that the women who go to a match maker are not a good representation of all women - which, admittedly, *could* very well be true...but after reading your comment...it's interesting.

rathyAro • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 01:30 AM

It's the internet, you can find anyone validating any crazy ass opinion.

wtknight • 17 points • 20 June, 2020 08:19 PM

There are some men who call out men on their shit too. I don't see how this is relevant.

Sigma1979[S] • 83 points • 20 June, 2020 08:22 PM

It's relevant because women have a VERY strong in-group bias compared to men.

Also you can go to my recent post history about 'male feminists' and how dishonest (and sometimes predatory) they are (source: used to be one)

krmaml • 40 points • 20 June, 2020 09:58 PM

Male feminists are the worst cretins on the planet.

Sigma1979[S] • 40 points • 20 June, 2020 10:14 PM*

Yep. It's kinda funny how a lot of them turn out to be rapey. My motivation for being a former 'male femininst' was jealousy and hatred of men who were successful with lots of women. I thought i could 'shame' those guys by calling them creeps and abusers. It's like trying to shame a billionaire for being so rich, like they give a fuck.

Sir_manalot • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 10:11 PM

Male feminists are awful men who feel guilty about things they do, but blame there gender to escape responsibility.

rhyth7 • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 11:37 PM

Like those weird yoga guys who somehow end up with a harem and turns out to be a huge piece of shit but it's okay cuz he smokes pot and chants namaste.

Matt_Door • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 10:18 AM

In my country we made that guy Prime Minister

Ecocavalry • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 07:25 AM

Feminists of this generation are adamant that you cannot be a good person without agreeing to their misandry. Men that agree with that misandry either have not seen good male role models or are pretending to agree with them for selfish reasons. I feel most male feminists are the latter.

RatMan29 • 11 points • 21 June, 2020 12:18 AM

Male feminists are just like whites who have adopted the BLM belief system. Both these groups are pretty much all stupid cowards, kissing up to people who will go on treating them as disposable anyway, because that's what they do.

[deleted] • 46 points • 20 June, 2020 08:22 PM

I don't see how this is relevant.

It's relevant because women *never* call women out on their shit. Women never take responsibility, it's always someone else's fault.

Thus, when women are calling out women, you know shit is serious

There are some men who call out men on their shit too.

Yeah but that's the default.. men never coddle other men like women coddle each other.

reluctantly_red • 9 points • 21 June, 2020 09:48 AM

men never coddle other men like women coddle each other.

True. However; women also often sabotage and tear each other down far more than men do to each other.

redditthrowaway1478 • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 06:25 PM

And men don't support one another when they could. Likely due to subtle competitiveness. They won't sabotage you, but they often won't go out of their way to make your life any easier. Or we're just oblivious - little column A little column B.

And when they're drunk and there's a girl on the line, men are definitely NOT friends lol

sublimemongrel • 4 points • 20 June, 2020 10:58 PM

Using words like "never" and "always" just shows your bias.

AttachableSheep • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 08:43 PM

I don't know about that. There are groups of men that collectively shit on women because of their own mistakes, and circlejerk each other at every opportunity.

ProgmusicHans • 14 points • 21 June, 2020 04:26 AM

...and guess what? Those groups are getting called out by other men! Can you guess what is not happening to the female equivalent of those groups? Yes, those rarely get called out by other women.

HighTesticles • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 07:22 AM

It's all so tiresome.

orcscorper • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:41 PM

It seems you are talking about incels, not men. It takes more than being male and reaching the age of majority to be a man. Responsibility and accountability are key.

hippiefromolema • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:05 AM

Women constantly call out other women and have for millennia.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 10:29 AM

Not when it comes to things that benefit them as a whole.

A woman calling out other women on their standards for men being too high is a recipe for disaster. Fortunately for this woman, she had the experience and the job to back up what she was saying and so there was no arguing with her.

whichbladeN • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 03:48 PM

Why would you call out other women for having too high of standards?

That just means they end up alone and *you* get to choose from all the men they thought they were too good for.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 05:15 PM

This is implying that your own standards aren't high either. You would indeed have to be the type of woman who is settling for the men that the other women aren't entertaining to be taking advantage of the situation.

hippiefromolema • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 03:56 PM

The “women who is single because she’s too picky” is a cultural trope and something single women are criticized for on the regular from both genders.

Pastelitomaracucho • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 09:03 PM

Their problem. Not ours.

msredsreturn • 14 points • 21 June, 2020 03:34 AM

You talk about an entitled, demanding demographic with impossible standards.....the dating market is flooded with single moms.

[deleted] • 91 points • 20 June, 2020 07:36 PM

Very interesting.

I, as well as other men here have said that it will be **women** who backtrack on the degeneracy/debauchery and advocate for a return to “traditionalism”. Just wait, it will be older Gen Z/Gen A women doing this.

cuckspace • 37 points • 20 June, 2020 08:03 PM

Agreed. No one else can do it.

Sir_manalot • 32 points • 20 June, 2020 10:06 PM

And no one else benefits from it lol.

Men only benefit from traditionalism when they are young and a bottom 80% man. As they get older, they actually benefit more from sexual liberation. L as they have less responsibility.

Traditionalism was all about benefiting women by taking advantage of men’s lust. Young ignorant boy wants sex? You have to get married and sacrifice your whole life for her to get it.

usa_foot_print • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 11:16 AM

lol you think an older man doesn't benefit? WTF? Having kids and raising them with a wonderful woman is probably the most gratifying thing on the planet

Sir_manalot • 9 points • 21 June, 2020 11:52 AM

Being a utility (an atm) to others isn’t what I would call “The most gratifying thing.”

usa_foot_print • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 11:56 AM

Talking to people like you are so difficult because your mind is more closed than your mothers asshole.

I get it, you don't want to believe raising a family is awesome for a man because all you see is that man being an ATM.

And if that's the type of father you want to be, then so be it. Be another loser.

Sir_manalot • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 01:05 PM

Talking to people like you are so difficult because your mind is more closed than your mothers asshole.

You are projecting.

It is true that I am not budging, but neither are you. I will not submit just because I am "being closed minded."

I will only submit when I am actually proven wrong

usa_foot_print • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 01:56 PM

Proven wrong about what?

Your statement was literally that if you have kids as a man, you are just an ATM.

What position is that? Besides retarded

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 08:03 PM

[deleted]

AbyssinianLion • 10 points • 20 June, 2020 10:10 PM

Exactly. I don't understand why the meninist here think a blowback would necessarily mean going back to the good old days, when we could just be entering another version of traditionalism thatll resemble more like an FDS paradise, and where men will still have the short end stick. Traditionalism like the old days is pretty much dead because the conditions that gave rise to those gender norms dont exist anymore. I think it could be even worse for average men in the future. Average men and below are redundant.

Sigma1979[S] • 35 points • 20 June, 2020 08:12 PM

but it's going to look more like FDS than RPW

So more men are going to drop out of the dating/marriage game then lmao

TheBookOfSeil • 21 points • 20 June, 2020 08:59 PM

That has already been happening.

Sigma1979[S] • 17 points • 20 June, 2020 09:26 PM

It's just accelerationism at this point.

TheBookOfSeil • 0 points • 20 June, 2020 09:38 PM

https://www.reddit.com/r/ThePoopAccelerates/comments/fm185y/the_poop_accelerates_full_story_credit_who_ever/?utm_source=share&utm_medium=mweb

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 10:41 PM

[deleted]

Sigma1979[S] • 10 points • 20 June, 2020 10:45 PM

FDS women thinking this helps them lmao

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 10:58 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 11:02 PM

[removed]

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 11:12 PM

[removed]

[deleted] • 13 points • 21 June, 2020 12:25 AM*

Good. Sayonara!!!!

You didn't think that through.

You're forgetting that there are roughly an equal number of men and women in the world.

If xx% of men drop out completely, that means xx% of women go without a husband when they're ready to settle down.

Every man that drops out is one less husband for a given woman, LITERALLY.

Why do you think women hate on TRP and MGTOW so much?

All women subconsciously know what I typed above, and it manifests itself with them hating on TRP and MGTOW through the use of shaming tactics in an attempt to prevent men from adopting those ideologies.

forgetforlas • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 09:37 AM

If men drop out, lacking husbands is the least of women's worries. If men don't work and pay taxes the social welfare state collapses and then men riot on the streets.

CentralAdmin • 9 points • 21 June, 2020 12:41 PM

This is it. Women are giddy at the revenge porn of a lonely, overweight 30-40 year old virgin raging against his lot in life in the manosphere.

Actually, there are bigger problems. Who picks up the slack when men stop working the dangerous, dirty jobs that need to be done? What about the absent fathers and the impact on those kids? What about the increasing poverty women and children would be exposed to?

Anyone who looks at this and thinks 'good riddance' is being incredibly short sighted. If no one's around to protect and provide for the women and children, they die. Women are unwilling to do what men do to keep society afloat. So the culture begins to decline. The birthrate decline in the US is a symptom, as is growing poverty and inequality. Many people are one pay cheque away from being homeless and they are reliant on the state and their shitty jobs to get by.

A partner would bring much greater relief and improve the quality of their lives. They could become a family and be part of a community, like our ancestors were. Even women's mental health and happiness is on the decline and they're still stubbornly refusing to move the barrel squarely pointed at their own feet because they're scared and insecure. Now they're scared, insecure and alone because they cannot have it all. They won't let go of their ideology saying they can have it all, and they'll be damned if they're going to lower their standards.

But so be it. If they want to be miserable and alone, the least they can do is stop blaming men. Because they're taking no responsibility for their poor choices and their neuroticism has them convinced they have no choice but to keep pulling the trigger until they're barely able to walk.

I wonder how long it will take for women to successfully commit suicide at the same rate as men.

Sigma1979[S] • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 01:10 PM

If men don't work and pay taxes the social welfare state collapses and then men riot on the streets.

lol, there are men content to live in their parent's basement or rooming with 4 or 5 other dudes working a shit low paying job. As long as he has his video games and porn he's content. Men aren't the ones begging for welfare checks. Society collapses when:

- 1) Men stop doing the important dangerous jobs that women refuse to do
- 2) Fewer marriages thus fewer babies or born and you start to have an inverted population pyramid where all the old people vote to raise taxes on the younger workers to fund their social security/medicare benefits. Then young people start to be fed up with working for peanuts because of the wealth transfer via taxes and stop working and the whole system implodes. Feminists really didn't think this through.

ifosfacto • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 08:36 AM

Actually nature has it so there are more boys born than girls, roughly 5%, to allow possibly for their higher mortality rate, but it evens out with old age women as there are more of them than old men. From the horny young single male perspective its the imbalance at the youth end that's going to count, so a fair few can drop out without it putting too much of a dint in the prospects for women, until middle age anyway.

TheBookOfSeil • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 08:14 PM

The homely men and women will unite to bring traditionalism back so they can live the lives that they want. It's kind of sad that this would need a movement to happen anyway but it is what it is, I guess.

AbyssinianLion • 10 points • 20 June, 2020 10:15 PM*

Lmao. No one will unite. What will happen is that a consensus will be reached, with the side with the most powerful position(women) will have more of a say when it comes to formulating the new gender contract. Itll pretty much be gender based Realpolitik.

Sultmaker_9000 • 8 points • 20 June, 2020 11:56 PM

The feminazi are getting angry that men are opting out of marriage, so they will do it on a 1 year

basis of being together that she can claim the same rights of marriage and entitlements from the man I suspect.

ex_red_black_piller • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 03:14 AM

> The feminazi are getting angry that men are opting out of marriage

Very few I would say. From what I see, most women seem to be perfectly happy without relationships or marriage.

I think the combination of Chads for sexual pleasure and beta orbiters for validation and emotional connection keeps them fulfilled.

TheJim66 • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 06:17 AM

I don't really see that. I see women going crazy if they go too long without a relationship, never mind not being able to get married.

TheBookOfSeil • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:19 PM

Hmmmm so you jump to the conclusions you want because you can't read and you're colorblind. Interesting.

party_dragon • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 12:34 AM

You make a good point but it's not entirely obvious that women are in a better negotiating position.

It basically comes down to, do incels need sex more than the cat ladies need children.

nemma88 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:50 PM

Those people are still pairing off.

TheBookOfSeil • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 10:01 PM

Right, but not at the same rate.

Andesjack12 • 21 points • 20 June, 2020 08:12 PM

from what i've seen of gen Z, probably, but it's going to look more like FDS than RPW

I've noticed that too.

FDS has that same entitlement problem that the OP described.

I guess it's Gen A then

Sir_manalot • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 10:08 PM

FDS is just going to push more men away and then when women start having no options, they will go wgtow and actually be the "I am not fired, I quit" group that people want mgtow to be.

natensk • 7 points • 20 June, 2020 10:16 PM

I agree, but I don't know many women who can "go there own way" without crying themselves to sleep at night with their cats.

Sigma1979[S] • 7 points • 20 June, 2020 11:44 PM

There's a reason why anti-depressants are on the rise with women. They can self medicate

themselves into a zombie like state.

learnedsuccess • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 03:57 AM*

Studies are showing that women are becoming less happy by the decade. They are far less happy than men with their life outcomes after age 40 sadly.

That might explain the anti-depressants. This is proof that feminism is actually anti-woman. It really only benefits the high value men.

rhyth7 • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 11:42 PM

Well they will have to after they stop earning money from onlyfans and private snaps and they all have blown out assholes from being pornstars. When everybody has their hand at sex work and suddenly realizes that not everybody can be successful doing it, then they'll see how not empowering it was.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 06:25 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:46 PM

I was talking about the culture in general, not sluts becoming reformed. No way I'd wife a reformed slut.

hippiefromolema • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:08 AM

There has been a solid and outspoken subset of women against feminism since its inception. Phyllis Schlafly for example.

[deleted] 23 June, 2020 04:06 PM

[deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 23 June, 2020 09:17 PM

Bet

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 09:15 PM

[deleted]

Sigma1979[S] • 23 points • 20 June, 2020 09:20 PM

I'm 29 now, living off my savings, and I drive a camper van. I haven't had a single chick look at me in over a year haha.

Now that's what i call living the life. I'm not single, but i'd be living in a van off savings if i were.

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 09:26 PM

[deleted]

Tannhausergate2017 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:12 PM

Travel partners?

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 11:15 PM

[deleted]

Tannhausergate2017 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:15 PM

I thought you were solo.

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 11:16 PM

[deleted]

Tannhausergate2017 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 11:35 PM

So you're traveling with a GF? I'm thinking of doing the campervan thing in the next 2-3 years. What have learned since you began living the #vanlife lifestyle?

jarvis125 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 05:38 AM

So let me get this straight, you left your high paying job and house because you were getting attention from gold diggers?

Why let your lifestyle be dictated by such women? They basically bullied you out of your job which you worked hard for, and you let it happen.

nameynname3 • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 01:08 AM

So. In another comment 1 day ago you said you had \$30 to your name and you ride your bike around because you can't afford gas.

So which is it?

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 01:24 AM*

[deleted]

Sigma1979[S] • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 03:43 AM

in order to work off my laptop from time to time

Interesting, what do you do for money?

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 03:49 AM

[deleted]

wekacuck • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 09:36 PM

Ok so women were interested in you because you were thriving and successful? What exactly is the problem with that?

Sure, live in a van or live whatever lifestyle you desire but you make it sound like you're just trying to hide from women. It just seems silly to sacrifice like that because some women noticed you. lol

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 09:39 PM

[deleted]

NockerJoe • 18 points • 20 June, 2020 09:58 PM

They want his money, they don't want him. That's a big distinction. A lot of women want a man for what he can do or some specific quality like looks but they don't care who he is as a person. Not saying guys don't too but it's very dehumanizing to experience.

natensk • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 10:33 PM*

I think this is a common misunderstanding. Women aren't inherently attracted to money, they are attracted to the man with the money and the lifestyle/success. If you lead with straight money the woman will most likely turn you down because they do not want to be seen as a gold digger. All women are attracted to men with money and success.

NockerJoe • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 11:11 PM

...and this is inherently unattractive to a large portion of men, if not most men.

natensk • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:13 PM

Completely agree, but you can't fight hypergamy. Use it to your advantage.

TheJim66 • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 06:28 AM

If you lead with money they turn you down to save face and to not appear low class, it's not that they aren't attracted to the money. You are just making the covert, overt. Which is bad

natensk • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:57 PM

I guess

gigababejfl • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:57 PM

They want his money, they don't want him.

And men want women for their beauty, not the woman inside.

So?

Unless we are about to pretend that being sexually attractive makes someone inherently good and moral, these are attractive traits like a mans ability to provide are attractive traits. Most men will say that looks are not the only important part but they are important yet "based and red pillled" men want to keep forcing some ridiculous ideal of being wanted for who they are when they are neither attractive or productive.

A woman does that and she gets called every name in the book.

Should people be reduced to such utility? No. But here were are. If men are going to keep acting like their sexual urges for hot chicks is some kind of romantic boon, then we need not hear one word of complaint about women being attracted to men who can provide.

Barely-moral • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 03:11 AM

And men want women for their beauty, not the woman inside.

Humans are their bodies. Beautiful is something you are. Because you are nothing more than a body and the proceses within it (mind, personality etc).

these are attractive traits like a mans ability to provide are attractive traits

Except women are not attracted to the ability to provide, because unless men do something with that ability and actually provide they are not attractive.

I have the ability to provide whether I work or not. Only after I got the job I become attractive.

Women are not attracted to what a man is, but to what a man does or has. (Unless the man is actually attractive, because beautiful is something you are... because humans are just a body)

Unless we are about to pretend that being sexually attractive makes someone inherently good and moral

There is no reason to pretend such thing.

Should people be reduced to such utility? No.

Or maybe they should and the problem is when they are not reduced to utilities.

If men are going to keep acting like their sexual urges for hot chicks is some kind of romantic boon, then we need not hear one word of complaint about women being attracted to men who can provide

The difference is that beautiful is something you are, providing is something you do. As stated above.

rhyth7 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:35 PM

And men want sex but not her as a person. I think that's more dehumanizing.

NephilimXXXX • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 04:14 AM

And you're pretending that's true so that you'll have a counterpoint against men.

gigababejfl • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:58 PM

It is absolutely more dehumanizing and they keep with this dishonest rhetoric as if their drive for good looking women is somehow less shallow and more moral than women desiring productive men.

ProgmusicHans • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 05:09 AM

"And men want sex but not her as a person"

Yes, consenting adults freewillingly engaging in sexual relations without wanting to commit to a exclusive relationship is a thing. No one is entitled to anything, it's amoral.

A human is body and mind. Appreciation for a beautiful body can't be dehumanizing, since the human body in itself is part of what constitutes being a human. Dehumanization by showing affection for what constitutes being a human in the first place? Nope.

A women desiring productive men is also amoral. They can desire all they want, but they have to understand that relationships are transactional. They do not have anything to offer the desired guy would want to have exclusive access to? No relationship contract is going to be established.

"Men only wanting sexual relations with me, but no exclusive relationship is dehumanizing...just because I don't have anything to offer gives them no right to deny it."

You have it backwards. Women are being called out for THEIR double standards. You try to frame it as: "Men only want sex, thus they are not allowed to mention how women see men only as wallets."

Nope. Not how this works. Both is amoral. The difference is that men admit to wanting sex and only engage in exclusive relationships should the women offer some worth, while women love to downplay they behaviour...the same behaviour they are calling shallow when it's about men.

NephilimXXXX • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 04:16 AM

somehow less shallow and more moral than women desiring productive men.

You mean wealthy men. The average productive man who earns a median wage isn't desired.

Andress1 • 7 points • 20 June, 2020 11:20 PM

The problem is that they started chasing only after knowing his salary, everything else was just the same. To me that just means that they didn't give two shits at all about him or his personality.

gigababejfl • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:59 PM

And do any of these men chase women who are at their most unattractive because they care about her and her personality or do they reserve that for physically attractive women right then?

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:37 AM

[removed]

AdaTennyson • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 12:30 PM

Not having money is reflective of your personality. Most poor men are depressed and it shows.

Tannhausergate2017 • 36 points • 20 June, 2020 11:05 PM*

When Superman has problems with modern women, you've got problems. A Superman who was chosen as the ultimate male in a global search by the best talent agents in the world.

Oh, and he's really, really rich, too. With a British accent to boot.

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.insider.com/henry-cavill-backlash-comments-flirting-dating-2018-7%3fa>
mp

"It's very difficult to do that if there are certain rules in place. Because then it's like: 'Well, I don't want to go up and talk to her, because I'm going to be called a rapist or something,' he said. 'So you're like, 'Forget it, I'm going to call an ex-girlfriend instead, and then just go back to a relationship, which never really worked'. But it's way safer than casting myself into the fires of hell, because I'm someone in the public eye, and if I go and flirt with someone, then who knows what's going to happen?'"

Sigma1979[S] • 19 points • 20 June, 2020 11:14 PM

Smart man, when even really attractive movie stars start spouting some MGTOW-lite knowledge, you know the world is fucked

relish5k • 10 points • 21 June, 2020 03:36 AM

My friend looked into these matchmaking services. It was \$3k. For that your goddamn right her better be absolutely perfect.

NephilimXXXX • 11 points • 21 June, 2020 04:28 AM

That's dumb. If anyone could pay \$3k and get a perfect spouse out of it, everyone would be lining up. That's absurdly cheap. Further, it's stupid to pay \$3k and then price yourself out of the market so you end up with nothing at all. That's \$3k and zero return on your money.

Also, in many cases, people who use these services are wealthy and busy. It's tailored towards wealthy

people with plenty of money to spare and not much time. \$3k isn't much for that clientele. I've heard of absurdly expensive services (like \$50k or more) which is tailored towards multimillionaires.

And most importantly: they often charge higher prices to men than women. So, it's likely those women aren't paying \$3k. One of my female friends was in one of those matchmaking services. The men paid. My female friend was added **for free** because they wanted more women.

Sigma1979[S] • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 03:38 AM

To find a life partner? That's really cheap actually. The point is, if your partner checks off all the 'good husband material' checklist, you should be fucking happy. If you are looking for Chads and Tyrones to match with you when you're a post wall cum dumpster, then LMAO

[deleted] • 90 points • 20 June, 2020 07:47 PM

I'm actually shocked that she even says that these near middle aged women were rejecting an ex-football player that used to play for the Atlanta Falcons, i mean wtf.

Give her cats then.

This is the problem of sleeping around and “sampling the goods” in your 20s.

Once you experience the very top echelons of men, It's impossible to settle for your looksmatch at the end.

If someone gave you lamborghinis and Ferrari's to drive in your 20s — then as soon as you hit age 30, they take them away and you're forced to drive a Honda Civic forever, you're going to be unhappy as fuck. That's a literal no brainer.

This leads to a deadbedroom at best, and cheating and divorce at worst. Aside from sociosexual orientation, this is why the studies reach those common sense conclusions.

forgetforlas • 16 points • 21 June, 2020 09:31 AM

Your wisdom is wasted on these people.

mydikishomofobik • 19 points • 20 June, 2020 09:52 PM*

Well ex-NFL players do tend to be broke with CTE. You're right about the other stuff, though. A lot of women have it really good when it comes to getting men in their 20s. But that starts to diminish as they get older. If they do marry, they're often settling for someone not as good as the men they sampled in their early or mid-20s. So they'll resent those men and may deadbedroom and divorce-rape him.

RatMan29 • 17 points • 21 June, 2020 12:16 AM

Ultimately, the only cure for that unfair situation is to legalize sex work. Let these stuck-up women see competitors underpricing them, and they'll become more reasonable (and the few who won't can stay cat-ladies).

TakeTheMikki • 15 points • 21 June, 2020 01:08 AM

I feel like men hire a match maker when they are short on time. Women hire a matchmaker when there not finding what they want.

Her views are biased by her clientele. If women wanted average joe husband why would they hire her? She's basically saying it's not profitable to work for the kind of women who want to pay to get results they can't get themselves. Also these idealised men would have no need for a matchmaker women just fall in their lap.

Her jobs is actually sales if she can't convince clients of the appeal of the matches she has available then

she's not very good at her job.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:24 AM*

[removed]

TakeTheMikki • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 02:47 AM

Exactly

TheBookOfSeil • 23 points • 20 June, 2020 08:06 PM

Quite hard to speak about this without generalizing. There are women with high standards, low standards, and standards everywhere in between.

Some women are happy with themselves and their lives in general so they end up not needing much more or seeking out satisfaction through other people. Others are not happy with themselves or their lives, and in my experience, this is what leads to people having high standards or expectations. They are seeking happiness, joy, excitement, etc., through someone else. They think that everything about their situation will change if they just find someone. Unironically, they have to be the pinnacle of the human species.

It would be fair to say that the women that go see a matchmaker are all dissatisfied with their lives and should be viewed as case studies. However, this type of problem isn't limited to them, and can also apply to the general population. If people are unhappy in their own lives or with themselves, or just don't really know what they want and assume that the best is automatically going to be what they're looking for, then high standards will follow.

Tannhausergate2017 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:19 PM

Good point.

Reisiluu • 42 points • 20 June, 2020 08:07 PM

If i had to guess, the women she's helping are in their 30's, 40's, 50's, etc.

Half of women are already married before 30 so her clients were not average. She was dealing with leftovers. Women with absurd standards will always be in the single women subgroup which diminishes in size when other women get married over time. It's an example of sampling bias to only look at middle aged clients of this dating coach.

totalmoxie • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 10:11 PM

I'm surprised I had to scroll this far down to see someone calling out the obvious sampling bias. Of course the women who are hiring someone to find a partner in their later years are more likely to be entitled and picky. But of course, if you point this out, someone will just accuse you of the "women are wonderful" effect, even though we clearly recognize that some women are trash and have trash standards.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 07:58 AM*

I don't think it discounts much. So who are the other groups of women here?

- Women with realistic standards genuinely more into that type.
- Women at the top who could get what they want. (Aka, those standards were actually realistic to her).
- Women who compromised. (I'd say most people of either gender compromise in some way or another, whether they want to admit it or not)
- Women who were so insecure they just got whoever made them feel validated they thought they could get.

I'd say the point of discussing the video isn't that women in general have unrealistic standards in practice, but that many women have felt them, and that they still influence women's behavior and what they say in the other groups. It just shows a lot of "red pill" things in how a lot of straight women operate. That "f-boys" (aka alphas she'd complain about to the other guy groups) are a thing, "guy friends" (aka feminine dudes+"you're like a brother to me"+beta nice guys/omegas), are a thing, "broke men" are a group considered irrelevant if just that, and "husband material" (aka beta bux) is a thing.

I mean she even said that she used to go for the "f-boys", and I assume she's not part of the "too high standard single women" anymore right. That's alpha fux beta bux shit, she called one "lust" and the other "love". It still applied to her even though she isn't part of the group you're describing. What people do with things like that is another thing, because people aren't only their instincts and base feelings. Just because 1 group doesn't go for the "f-boys" it doesn't mean that group isn't a thing to them, just like how I don't go for hot party girls even if I find them hot because I know it will not go anywhere.

Ofcourse instincts differ in people, and who applies to these groups of men is relative, but the groups still seem to exist for most people. I guess my point is, even if this group of women is a minority, the standards they feel deep down inside (Masculine/Assertive, tall, rich, whatever) can still exist in the other groups of women.

How much they do, I don't know I can't look inside people's heads, but all I know is that most women aren't honest about it, which only causes guys to either generalize to unfair degrees, or believe them and do shit that isn't practical for anyone aside from a minority of people.

totalmoxie • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 10:24 PM

As much as you have a nuanced analysis of variation in women's preferences, read the other comments on any PPD thread about women and dating and let me know if they're anywhere near as complex as yours. The fact is that far too many people on PPD will see a headline like this and go off on an AWALT tangent.

natensk • 4 points • 20 June, 2020 10:25 PM

What's your source on that statistic? Just curious.

Reisiluu • 4 points • 20 June, 2020 11:20 PM

<https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/families/marital.html>

void_magic • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:14 PM

what if they were married and divorced already?

misunderstood_9gager • 18 points • 20 June, 2020 08:24 PM

Yeah I saw this one, she also was on the "Roomie Podcast" (Its called something like this, can't really remember lol) and she went more into depth in that one.

Listen, its sad, but every time I hear about women (And men too ofc) like this... Like come on. If you haven't figured this out by thirty, chances are you never will lol.

So I feel bad for this lady, because she was genuinely trying to help men and women find love, but they just would not see reason.

Two points I will add that she does mention in the "Roomie Podcast":

1 - These top tier men, they are gone off the dating market by thirty (Unless they are still into casual sex, but then you in turn would be a fool for dating them, and they would not be a 'top tier man'). Because if a woman

finds a good man, she will do everything to keep that man around.

2 - Men also have these unrealistic standards, however, she notes that for men its more tied to physical attributes only (She mentions a dude who would only date "Big booty Judy's" and noone else), and they had easier to lower those physical standards than women could.

[deleted] • 21 points • 20 June, 2020 08:29 PM*

1 - These top tier men, they are gone off the dating market by thirty (Unless they are still into casual sex, but then you in turn would be a fool for dating them,

Top tier men (top 20%) also only commit to top tier women (top 20%) — not the usual lower tier women he used for casual sex.

This further compounds the problem because women don't usually realize that the top tier men that they had casual sex with are *very rare* and that if you remove most women's makeup (80%) they would be way below these men's leagues

Helmet_Icicle • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 11:51 AM

It would be more accurate to say roughly half of top tier men commit, and only to top tier women.

To most men on the ride side of the bell curve, marriage or other long term commitment presents no benefits.

Sigma1979[S] • 8 points • 20 June, 2020 08:25 PM

So I feel bad for this lady, because she was genuinely trying to help men and women find love, but they just would not see reason.

Well, she still helps male clients. So ... it seems like men are reasonable?

misunderstood_9gager • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:46 PM

In that town, in that particular environment she operates in, sure.

But as I mentioned, my interpretation from what she said (Again, as this is my interpretation I might be completely wrong in the end), is that when her male clients "understood" that just because a woman has a nice ass, it does not mean she is automatically a good partner, they were much easier to help. Whereas the women she helped did not understand this (Replace abs with ass)

noone12356 • 14 points • 21 June, 2020 04:45 PM

I (27F), as a female who lived with a roommate (26F) who would actively hate on men for "not being real men" and ask "where have all the good men gone", I agree with this lady who is disgusted by women who idealize men. To me it shows the women have had no true personal relationships with men outside of romantic relations and believe men to be more of possessions rather than people. The women have this fantasy person in their head that they want a man to live up to that is never obtainable then blame men for being human.

decoy88 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 05:19 PM

To me it shows the women have had no true personal relationships with men outside of romantic relations and believe men to be more of possessions rather than people.

You see similar sentiments from incels. They just have no real relationships of any kind to women. That's why it's good to have mixed social groups.

SaBahRub • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 09:12 PM*

Well, good. I personally think women should place less focus on who a man is and more on how he treats them. And we should recycle, practice mindfulness, do yoga, not be fat, not smoke/drink, treat each other kindly and not litter. We all know humans are logical and always do what's best for themselves and others, right ?

On the other hand, men can always change their treatment of you, but not so much their material wealth and accomplishments

rosephase • 44 points • 20 June, 2020 07:40 PM

I like how she calls the women who use her services "the average woman". She is not working with with average women. She is working with desperate women. You do not go to a match maker until you've failed a LOT at dating. And having standards that are to high is one of the reasons people fail a lot at dating. It's not the only reason, but it sure is a common one.

It's like making a service that is only for active strippers and then saying "the average woman wants to be paid to take her clothes off".

Sigma1979[S] • 17 points • 20 June, 2020 07:53 PM*

I would agree with what you say, except she is still willing to help men with matchmaking, probably because men tend to be more reasonable.

pongaminbloom • 8 points • 20 June, 2020 09:23 PM

It's less a matter of men being more likely to be reasonable than of reasonable men being more likely to want a matchmaker. Men have the burden of making the first move, so men-- not women-- have an incentive to hire someone to do that for them.

A woman's potential options are already asking her out. She's only going to look for a matchmaker if nobody interested in her measures up to her standards.

FirsToStrike • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 08:40 PM

I'm gonna go on a limb here and guess that the men who require her service aren't failing because of their own high requirements but because they often don't meet the requirements of members of the other gender...

Sigma1979[S] • 16 points • 20 June, 2020 09:00 PM

And yet, she's willing to help men ostensibly because she can ACTUALLY find women for them, strange how that works!

pongaminbloom • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 09:06 PM

Most women can ACTUALLY find a man for themselves.

[deleted] • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 09:09 PM

Most women can ACTUALLY find a man for themselves.

So what's the problem with the women in the video?

nemma88 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:23 PM

I thought that was obvious, they are too picky.

Women and men resort to matchmaking for different reasons. Its not like many of these women can't find a date for themselves, this is the Victoria Beckham wannabes or w/e, its a self selecting pool.

Sigma1979[S] • 12 points • 20 June, 2020 09:14 PM*

The number of never married women is increasing

pongaminbloom • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 09:45 PM

No faster than the number of never married men.

[deleted] • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 10:21 PM

Never married women are unhappier though

hippiefromolema • 7 points • 21 June, 2020 12:18 AM

Statistically, unmarried women are happiest and also live longer.

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/amp.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/2019/may/25/women-happier-without-children-or-a-spouse-happiness-expert>

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 01:54 AM

Correlation doesn't mean causation.

There are other variables involved

natensk • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:27 PM

Accept for the fact that men and women are not the same and a man can cope with not being married way easier than a woman.

reddithrowaway1478 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 11:10 PM

probably because men tend to be more reasonable

Lol Shots fired!!!

Troy016 • 16 points • 20 June, 2020 08:09 PM

I mean yes, this is how it is now and days for women.

Now, I'm jus waiting for people to say men do it too or say this doesn't prove anything lmao

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:49 PM

Men do it too. But women do it all the time

Sultmaker_9000 • 43 points • 20 June, 2020 08:05 PM*

I'd be guessing its women in their mid/llate 30's plus, they dated HVM who got them into bed easy, put them on FWB terms, but thinks she can have that as a relationship now.

The only women I tend to have slight sympathy for are mid 30's plus with no kids career women, and are looking for HVM and cant find them. They haven't been on the sausage carousel, but unfortunately they've been sold a lie if they think they can find a likewise 6 figure man now, when he only dates a woman with a minimum 10 years off his age, which is easily achievable.

A man should always try to age like wine, a woman will always age like bread.

[deleted] • 36 points • 20 June, 2020 08:20 PM

they dated HVM who got them into bed easy, put them on FWB terms, but thinks she can have that as a relationship now.

Women often confuse sex with commitment, and believe that *just because* a man has sex with them, that it means she can get a relationship with that man.

natensk • 13 points • 20 June, 2020 10:22 PM

This is the majority of women's problems. They start to think they are that caliber.

paint-the-world-red • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 03:52 AM

In your opinion do you think that's more of an indoctrinated mindset or just naturally occurring?

natensk • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 03:57 AM

I believe it to be naturally occurring. It would only make sense right? A woman finds the good looking bad boy and has immediate sexual attraction. She goes through her prime years sleeping with these guys and once she decides to settle down, everything seems worse in comparison.

Meritamen9 • 13 points • 20 June, 2020 08:33 PM

A man should always try to age like wine,

Wine is good because of it's age, a man can only be attractive inspite of his age not because of it. What you're doing is basically encouraging men to betabux. Men should work on being attractive in their 20s if their goal is be sexually attractive to women in their 20s. I'm asexual so I don't know exactly how straight men think but they seem to really want to inspire sexual lust.

[deleted] • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 08:42 PM

What you're doing is basically encouraging men to betabux.

Nope. Many older men plate women who are in their 20s

Meritamen9 • 16 points • 20 June, 2020 08:52 PM

Women consistently rate men around their age the most attractive. Exceptions prove the rule. Plus I'm sure if the guy can look 20 again, he would inspire even more lust.

The average man is only 3 years older than his wife, he cannot even betabux a young woman.

[deleted] • 17 points • 20 June, 2020 09:17 PM

Women consistently rate men around their age the most attractive.

Women's actions consistently contradict their words

Meritamen9 • 11 points • 20 June, 2020 09:28 PM

Why is the average man only 3 years older than his wife? Men clearly prefer younger women so why isn't this a reality for them?

PPD men say women find most men ugly and then try to claim that women prefer wrinkly old men lmfao.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 01:50 AM*

[deleted]

Meritamen9 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 01:52 AM

Women have even higher standards for casual sex. If men can't buy a young woman's time, he certainly can't get it with his body.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 01:56 AM*

[deleted]

Meritamen9 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 02:29 AM

It is exceedingly difficult for an older man to be a chad to a younger woman. From dataclasm, the founder of OKcupid <https://imgur.com/a/Q1BKdHd>

Men should know that they need to take care of their appearance to keep their attractiveness. Telling men age doesn't matter if they want to attract young attractive women is the same as saying height or face doesn't matter.

Add on: The idea that a man's body matters more than his face is male projection. Why does the term "butterface" exist? It indicates that men will look past the face for short term fling. For women, you need the whole package to get that.

rhyth7 • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 11:49 PM

They want your stability and money, not your old dick. Every woman would want a young hot man if he was rich and stable too.

Sigma1979[S] • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 03:49 AM

This could actually work out for older men. It's probably FAR less expensive to be a sugar daddy for young women than it is to wife some used up hag who might divorce rape you, especially as fewer and fewer opportunities present themselves for Millennials and Gen Z girls so the 'fee' won't be very high.

sublimemongrel • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 01:10 AM

Their actions completely back this up, do you see the majority of women dating men much older than them? No, it's like 3 years on average, not near 10 or further.

rhyth7 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 11:48 PM

Cuz those women want resources. They don't think that guy is a hot stud. They just have to pretend to.

Sultmaker_9000 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 08:41 PM

asexual

From lust can come love if necessary.

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 10:34 PM

a man can only be attractive inspite of his age not because of it

Not true, i was a dorky looking high schooler, i'm actually fairly attractive now.

George Clooney looked like a fucking dork as a youngster, he's pretty hot as a salt and pepper haired dude.

<https://www.pinterest.com/pin/575757133584989304/>

The only reason why a man would be less attractive older is because he lost his hair and let himself go. It is extremely rare that women can exceed their looks in old age (nevermind keeping it the same)

Meritamen9 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 10:53 PM

Men peak 18-26, not in high school. For women it's more 16-25 That's a cherry picked pic of George Clooney. He was never a super attractive. but still looked better when was young.

<https://twitter.com/chelsyalthea/status/732060027129892865>

The only reason why a man would be less attractive older is because he lost his hair and let himself go. It is extremely rare that women can exceed their looks in old age (nevermind keeping it the same)

Look at male models then and now. They simply lost their youthful virility. If men don't lose attractiveness with age, why are they unable to get a younger woman? They clearly want them. The average man is only 3 years older than his wife.

Add on: If you want to stay attractive as long as possible, wear sunscreen and watch that hairline. Men do have the advantage of more collagen but this is lost a lot because they don't look after themselves.

pongaminbloom • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 09:30 PM

they dated HVM who got them into bed easy, put them on FWB terms, but thinks she can have that as a relationship now

People can get a relationship at any time. An older women will have to be less shallow in terms of looks, and forget about using marriage for upward mobility, but they can still find love if that is what they want.

Sultmaker_9000 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:39 PM

I doubt she can find love, more like the best she can hope for, and have a mediocre poor relationship under those terms, since its totally against her biological nature. Its like taking all the attractive women away for successful man tomorrow, sending them to the moon, and only leaving him with fat ugly women as the only option.

rhyth7 • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 11:52 PM

From the way men talk online, nobody finds love. So even if you land a guy, he will never truly love, because he wants someone younger and dumber and prettier to lord over.

Sultmaker_9000 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:14 AM

Only TRP, live by the 3 date rule on casual relationships with a rotation of women.

rhyth7 • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 12:22 AM

From my years of working in male dominated factories, most of those guys are somehow married and yet many say they wish they had not married and that their wife is ugly and they

only married to have kids but those same men get their meals made by their wife, their children raised by their wife, their bills paid by their wife (many actually have wives that make more or the ones who don't their wives still manage finances for them), and their houses cleaned by their wife. They are absolute pigs too, the breakroom looks completely destroyed, there's tales of piss puddles around each toilet and people pooping on top of already plugged up toilets, in the summer you can smell the men's bathroom all through the halls. Most of these guys are between the ages of 25-60 and again most are married. It's just baffling.

Edit: We get paid very well for the area too, these are not poor men.

SunflowerBurst • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:26 PM

What happened with me, I met my husband when I was 30, he's a high level military officer, 6'+, we're in love and have awesome chemistry, he's hot, and we share values. I do not exist?

pooppeebuttmcnuggets • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 12:00 AM

This woman is not physically attractive by any standards of measurement, even taking into account her age range. How is she able to request CEOs as "her type"? If she's able to request CEOs then why isn't marry, susie, and jill from across the street requesting the same?

GennyX • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 05:00 AM

Not everyone wants a CEO.

pooppeebuttmcnuggets • 3 points • 22 June, 2020 05:02 AM

An average woman claiming CEOs are her type if she's not a CEO herself is like some middle aged dude claiming that he only dates Megan Fox or other 10/10 beauties.

Lars93 • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 12:07 AM

I am afraid it's only going to get worse from here my friend. I blame social media like Instagram and all the dating apps. As a man I got pretty happy when I got 10 or 20 likes on a pic or a post I posted or got 2 or 3 matches on Tinder or any dating app before I quit those, because I felt I got some attention.

Now imagine getting hundreds if not thousands of likes, followers, and comments after every post. And we're talking average women here. And the pic/post doesn't even have to be good.

Two days ago I was talking to this girl and she goes "yeah those dating apps are really bad because everyone has so many options, but yet here I am" I proceed to correct that average women tend to have a lot more matches than the average man. She agrees, and proceeds to ghost me a few hours later. I'm guessing she selected another one of her options.

Another example is a few weeks ago. Started talking to this girl. Spent two weeks talking. She suddenly stops responding. I text and text and no response. 2 weeks later I reach out to her on Instagram and she goes "why are you texting me on here when you have my number?"

It turns out she was upset over something, but she didn't communicate it and chose to ghost right away. AND expected me to still text her and beg her to allow me another chance when she didn't even reply. Instantly blocked her

Meihuajiancai • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 05:49 AM

I'm not sure if anyone else has mentioned this, but the fact that the women she's talking about were paying clients is a big factor. The type of person who is willing to pay for a matchmaker is going to be a pretty small

subset of women. I'm not surprised that someone who would pay for a matchmaker has very high standards.

Eastuss • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 09:36 AM

It's funny that while she gets how women are picky, she still has to reframe everything as "women are the fairer sex, women are the beautiful sex, men are garbage".

Not a single second of this video was supposed to talk of men in a positive light. And then she goes pikachu face when women behave entitled. Start having them appreciate masculinity for what it is.

I love women and I believe in AWALT, therefore I accept the full package of AWALT with its good and bad parts, it's as simple as that.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:20 AM

[removed]

AbyssinianLion • 11 points • 20 June, 2020 10:00 PM*

My family elders have been dealing with this problem ever since my sister's passed the age of 30. This is coming from a fairly non feminist traditional immigrant family. My older spinster sister recently passed the age of 38 and has rejected every high status dude from the community they could find. Shes pretty much hit the wall this year and I think shes starting to regret being so picky. I guess she thought she would be young and pretty for the rest of her life. Its annoying because my mother is stressed about the fact that the daughters she raised arent going to have the family life that occupies ones time and gives you a purpose when you reach a certain age. She blames me for not being the kind of macho brother who would steer his sister to the correct path and for letting her daughter live their lives and be laissez faire about it. But whatevs, its their life. Not my problem. I got my own issues to deal with.

And I see this dynamic playing out in most of the immigrant families who had a liberal laissez faire approach to raising their daughters and letting them partake in the same millennial/gen y female youth culture. So, yeah, I kinda agree

redditthrowaway1478 • 10 points • 20 June, 2020 11:19 PM

Your mom made her daughters lack of a family YOUR problem?

AbyssinianLion • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 11:39 PM*

Yeah, lol. Because Im the oldest boy in my family despite being the middle child. I have two other sisters who are older than me. I think my mother is just frustrated. The women in my family hated traditionalism of their old country and the roles boys played in being the leaders of the family and "guiding the women". Heck Ive gotten complaints when I was younger by my distant relatives who thought our family were too western and I was supposed to be the upholder of old world virtues and norms.

When my dad succumbed to mental illness, I was told to be the leader by families religious adviser, but we all laughed it off and agreed no one will control anyone or be the leader of the house like my dad and that we will be laissez faire and respect everyones choice to lead tge life they want to lead.

So now that kind of backfired with a few of my sisters, my mother is blaming me for not guiding my sisters to the right path and find a good man for them, since men in our culture are burdened with the responsibility of finding their sisters a good man and protecting them from them selves. Despite being a bit liberal, my mother is still stuck in that old country mindset. Or shes simply trying to put the blame on me for her own failure as a mother. I guess when things go wrong, its easier to blame the man. I have nothing to do with that strange culture and find the whole affair wierd so I laugh it off or tell my mother that we dont live in the 18th century anymore. But it gets annoying, especially when a lot of women in

my family, including my mother see themselves as empowered independant woman when it convenient but then they can return to traditional paternalism when its time to deflect blame for their choices.

redditthrowaway1478 • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 11:51 PM

Devils advocate - is it possible your mom is being semi-sarcastic? Like a, "you should find your sister a husband! Nah I'm jk that's not your job...unless...?"

AbyssinianLion • 4 points • 20 June, 2020 11:52 PM

No, I dont think its sarcasm. More like desperation clouding her thinking.

redditthrowaway1478 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 12:01 AM

Sounds like the pendulum swung too far the other way. I have beef with the far right just as I do the far left. Sounds like they thought anything would be better than the world they came from.

Sounds like a shitty situation to be in; sorry bro.

Have you ever told them what you told me? No punches held?

AbyssinianLion • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 01:36 AM

No, they behaved like any other young western woman when they were in their 20s. There was nothing extreme about their behaviour . My mother just doesnt want to contend with the fact that freedom has downsides too.

redditthrowaway1478 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 01:51 AM

Thanks for sharing. Cheers ☐

Sigma1979[S] • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 05:26 PM

. But it gets annoying, especially when a lot of women in my family, including my mother see themselves as empowered independant woman when it convenient but then they can return to traditional paternalism

She would make a great American Feminist.

TakeTheMikki • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 01:22 AM

Perhaps being somewhat liberal is not compatible with expecting to her find a match within the community. Perhaps the traits she wants and the family expectations rarely if ever exist in the same man. Talk about making the situation doubly hard.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 03:02 AM

[removed]

TakeTheMikki • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 03:16 AM

So you are happily married and settled down with one of the first few acceptable women your parents presented to you?

pooppeebutmcnuggets • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 11:46 PM

I noticed looking back at my family photos, that the men and woman got married young and were pretty

much always looks matched. Young woman today act like they have an indefinite amount of time before their physical attractiveness expires and don't invest accordingly.

Tannhausergate2017 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:31 PM

Where did you emigrate from? Where did you immigrate to?

AbyssinianLion • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:41 PM

Middle eastern Christian whose merchantile family used to live in Ethiopia(its a bit complicated).

Tannhausergate2017 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:41 PM

Where do you live now? Trying to figure out if she got a dose of American feminism.

AbyssinianLion • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 11:43 PM

Australia.

reddithrowaway1478 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:54 PM

May have caught it during a layover. I've heard feminism is airborne now

GennyX • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 05:05 AM

She might be gay.

Silly_Field • 12 points • 20 June, 2020 10:57 PM

I have a feeling the kind of women who need to hire a matchmaker are not representative of women.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:30 AM

[removed]

Silly_Field • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 02:39 AM

No. But it is a reflection on women who use matchmaking services.

Same as guys who go to PUA workshops are not representative of 'men'. Most men don't need to do that.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:43 AM

[removed]

Silly_Field • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 02:58 AM

No it's reflective of single women who want to get married and are unable to find a partner on their own. So people who need to use a matchmaker.

Most women don't use matchmakers. Including single women who want to get married.

taapy234 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 01:10 AM

What's wrong with matchmaking? matchmaking services are no worse than the dating apps that women use.

Its just that in this instance, a matchmaker who happens to be a woman get to deal with the unrealistic female choices, and had to give up doing that job because she got sick and tired of women being unrealistic.

Silly_Field • 9 points • 21 June, 2020 01:13 AM

It means you suck so much at finding love or have such rigid requirements that you need to pay someone lots of money to find you a partner.

It's not hard to find men who want to date you as a woman. Some of those men will even be options you genuinely like. I really doubt these women are representative.

taapy234 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 01:26 AM

I think you are missing the point here.

One of the big reasons why women use dating apps or matchmaking services for that matter is because they are desperate for a committed man.

Yes. "finding love" is extremely easy for women if "finding love" meant wanting fuck buddies.

Silly_Field • 6 points • 21 June, 2020 01:27 AM

I disagree. I don't think the majority of women struggle with finding commitment. They may just not like the men who are offering it/prefer to be alone.

There's a difference between dating apps and matchmakers. One is normalised and usually free. One is hundreds of dollars a month for introductions...when you could just use dating apps.

People who use matchmakers seem like a self selecting group that would be very likely to have high standards. "I am looking for all these qualities, so hard to find, need someone to vet for me".

That's the whole point of the matchmaker.

taapy234 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 01:52 AM

I don't think the majority of women struggle with finding commitment.

Yes, majority if you take into account ALL women from all age groups. Don't get mislead by statistics. Rising trends are far better indicators than only looking at a whole picture.

But a lot of discussions here are only relevant to young women (women born after 1989) and why a leading portion of them still remain single even after repeatedly trying to find "the one."

Silly_Field • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 02:42 AM

It's because lots of people don't know how to vet well in their early twenties.

It's not some mystery. Same reason why lots of men keep dating crazy girls in their twenties lol.

I'm a young woman - it's never been a struggle.

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:43 PM

Like i said in another response, it's a spectrum. The women who hired her had 10 pages of requirements for men. Other women who don't hire matchmakers might have half that. Even having a height requirement BY ITSELF is fucking stupid. Women are so fixated by the 6 foot or higher thing, but only 13% of men are part of that group. That ONE requirement shuts you out of the overwhelming majority of men and MOST women have some sort of height requirement (not necessarily 6 feet)

Silly_Field • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 07:39 PM*

I don't think height requirements are stupid. People are attracted to what they're attracted to. It's not really negotiable.

I'm not super attracted to short men, men with bad jawlines etc. I'm not going to date them regardless - sounds like a recipe for unhappiness.

And yes, my height requirement is usually 6' - will make exceptions if he has a great face and is 5'10, which is shorter than average where I live...

redditthrowaway1478 • 8 points • 21 June, 2020 12:40 AM*

One thing I'm skeptical about is this person's reasoning for switching to only male clients.

Being the cynic I am, I'd speculate that a chief contributing factor is that because social media and OLD has made it easier for women to build a pipeline of fresh prospects to weed through to their hearts content, they no longer need a match maker as much as they may have in the past.

Many men, on the other hand, are so desperate for any attention at all, that they'll go so far as hiring a match maker, *despite* the stigma.

It's possible that it's not the entitlement of a certain kind of clientele, it's that there's a HUGE untapped market of new clientele - men.

Sigma1979[S] • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 02:22 AM

Being the cynic I am, I'd speculate that a chief contributing factor is that because social media and OLD has made it easier for women to build a pipeline of fresh prospects to weed through to their hearts content, they no longer need a match maker as much as they may have in the past.

Social media is not good for LTR's. Women invariably go for the top tier guys and don't pay too much attention to profiles. When you are getting THOUSANDS of requests, 'compatibility' takes a back seat to 'bangability'. Match makers are a completely different service, they are looking for long term compatibility.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:09 PM

I'm not sure I follow - does this disprove my idea?

Sigma1979[S] • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:12 PM

OLD - Lets fuck

Matchmaking - Lets get married

2 completely different things.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:29 PM

What do you think *im* trying to say here and what are *you* trying to say/counter? Maybe I'm just slow, but I'm not following.

Sigma1979[S] • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:50 PM

I really don't know how i can simplify things more for you. I dont' want to draw a picture now.

theoracleofosiris • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 04:35 AM

She mentioned she is now married to a pastor and had fornicated in the past. What kind of pastor wifes up a fornicator?

mederor • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 08:35 AM

New age bullshit christians.

Roary93 • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 12:48 PM

This is literally why divorce rates are the highest they've been, and are almost always initiated by the woman. Also why movements like MGTOW have started.

nemma88 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 10:00 PM

Women that need help, need it for different reasons than men. I would suggest everyone go watch some matchmaker programs though, it's pretty enlightening.

ManOfHope567 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 11:37 PM

I remember watching her videos. She's 100% correct. I am so glad I don't have to feel really bad about myself for not having a lot of dating success.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 02:26 AM

[removed]

ManOfHope567 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 10:09 AM

I am 5 feet 11 inches. And I work out. There are people shorter than me who have success. I think it is more to do with my social awkwardness. It's okay. At least I have come to terms with my situation.

ivy176 • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 05:22 AM*

Match making is so weird.

Just because some people are attracted to a whole bunch of people doesn't mean that's the human standard.

Some people just don't connect with lots of people, it doesn't mean you're weird or your standards are too high. It's like saying if I don't fall in love with a man then there's something wrong with me, or if I wouldn't like an office job, or if I don't enjoy watching 90 day fiance then I am too picky or have some kind of issue lol.

Everyone's different and finding the right partner isn't like choosing a TV in a store. It's complicated and can't be forced.

I couldn't be matched with more than 99% of men. Doesn't matter how good looking, wealthy or amazing they are to society... deep connection and a flame aren't created by fulfilling a set of requirements, that's not how it works. But I'd never go to a match maker.. I wouldn't even use a dating app.

It's fine to be selective, it's not like you're CHOOSING to not be into people... it just is what it is. It's only an issue if you blame everyone else for it. Love involves a lot of luck/chance/fate. Though it's totally understandable to be tired of men trying to use you or having undeniably very bad traits... because there are a lot of bad men and people out there too.

For me, not being into hardly any men in my lifetime is a mix of my personality and the fact that I don't idolize or sexualize people very much, don't fill in the blanks of unknowns with my fantasies and don't have high expectations of men... and I only want sex if I've fallen for a person. I DON'T have a low sex drive. I just am only activated in certain situations - romantically, sexually, etc. If it's the right man then I can fall head over heels and want sex 4x a day. But that doesn't come along often for me, so I don't want to recreate that (and can't even if I wanted to) with random people that aren't it for me. Some people are just like that. There's also the fact that most men are not on my wavelength because I look for a man who shares that type of personality trait. I'm not into men who fall for hoards of women - sexually or emotionally. So it's me and it's them, but no one is to blame. Especially since I'm not even single anyway haha. It would get more frustrating if I couldn't find the right

person because I do need that in my life. I just got lucky.

ontherailstoday • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 07:07 PM

Female Matchmaker stops trying to help women match with men because women (according to her) have "unrealistic standards for men, dating marriage". Lets discuss.

Electrician quits his job because not enough of his customers are people wanting to pay him his \$120 callout fee to change a lightglobe. Berates populace of his town for obviously preferring to sit in the dark.

I've got a poem for you.

Now that your phallus isn't in the way
can you see which fallacy is at play?

GennyX • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 02:19 AM

Nailed it.

Joey_Lopez • 3 points • 22 June, 2020 12:16 AM

These are things we all already knew. It's just new to her.

GYN-k4H-Q3z-75B • 6 points • 20 June, 2020 10:35 PM

Ask the average man what he would consider relationship material and the answer will be: A woman who is physically attractive and has agreeable character. That's enough. Ask him a thousand times and the answer will remain the same.

With women, you can't do that. Women don't really know what they want, and if you ask them, you get a complicated answer. Ask them again, and you'll get a different, more complicated answer. Repeat ad nauseam and you'll end up with this realization.

The only way for most women to enter a meaningful relationship seems to be to turn the head off and just follow some indescribable gut feeling. But that doesn't work these days. Women get all the options to pick from, all the information to question, and they're never happy.

decoy88 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 09:54 AM

Ask the average man what he would consider relationship material and the answer will be: A woman who is physically attractive and has agreeable character. That's enough. Ask him a thousand times and the answer will remain the same.

This must be some young man's thinking or some shit. Unless "agreeable character" is a broadly vague for dudes who don't know wtf they actually like about people.

Sense of humour/intelligence/sex drive/kindness/similar values, do stuff like these not come into it? Or is that all encompassed within "agreeable"?

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 11:27 PM*

For a relationship in my youth, yes. As you get older, I would add - indicators of *good* mothering capability. (Behavior indicators not physicality)

BlackPorcelainDoll • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 02:33 AM*

A female matchmaker is to a woman what a sex robot is to a man, imagine **NEEDING** this to the point of

paying for it... in order to get "the human experience". Yeah of course, "**the human experience**" ...

Both sexes and their Ken dolls and Barbies playing make believe in the dream house are hardly the 'representatives' of anyone but the mentally disordered and broken. Let them serve as examples and alternatives, not 'representatives'. They are exceptions to the norm, and examples/alternatives to lost souls with bizarre intimacy disorders and pair-bonding and social dysfunctions.

It's very rare a woman "speaks for all women," in terms of RELATIONSHIP ADVICE (or on relationships in general without having lived it) and especially **without** projecting her ho-days, low self-esteem and disorders all over the rest of us.

cateml • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 06:16 AM

I think that your perception of both the video and 'the situation' in general is clearly very biased. You have been very open about that, in fact.

Even with that said, this woman admits to sleeping around with fuckboys in her youth before locking down mr. dependable, so there's still some visceral disgust from me when she talks about that

If you feel 'visceral disgust' at the idea of a woman making different dating choices when she is younger, you are not approaching this rationally. Its ok to feel things, but you should examine this in yourself when then continuing to interpret information.

Sidenote: This is why i don't tell women the truth when they fuck up at work.

Men say shit about women all the time. MASSIVELY successful speakers (blogs, youtube, etc.) have made megabux and fame for talking shit about women. Some men LOVE to talk about how women are 'delusional' and how their decisions that the man doesn't agree with are going to bite them in the ass.

Because again, like yourself - emotions.

Taking it away from the "I am disgusted by women and I can't criticise them because they're irrational" interpretation:

Yeah - actually, some women do have very weird notions of what they should want and expect from a partner. I agree.

You know how you guys are always going on about how Disney movies and your mothers and all that lied to you? Well... yeah. That. But us as well. I know multiple women who have really struggled in dating because they are more looking for a checklist to "an idea" of what they've been told they're supposed to have, rather than following their heart to real people that they actually want.

Its only the 'woman version' of exactly the same thing with men.

This is making me think about the movie Don Jon .

A point is made of showing *why* the Scarlet Johansson character is the way she is - the point is that its been drilled into her what she is supposed to expect from men, what romance is supposed to be like, and in the end it just destroys her chance at happiness. *And similar is happening with the male character.*

Basically - gender expectations bad, ok?

decoy88 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:21 PM

But but “men and women are different”

Pastelitomaracucho • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:04 PM

I love her videos, but I can't do more than shrug and move on with my day.

FuckJanitors • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 01:26 PM

Good let them rot, why should we care?

reluctantly_red • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:39 PM

That trope is BS!

redditthrowaway1478 • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 10:37 PM*

Women make ~80% of the consumer purchases. What did we expect?

...

Hey u/decoy88, remember a few hours ago when you told me that education and culture are driven by technology? And that humans are slaves to convenience and aforementioned technology brings convenience? Isn't creating new technologies and conveniences the prime directive of consumer corporations? Ya know, corporations that have a vested interest in fostering an environment that ensures continued business of their largest consumer base?

Baader-meinhof phenomenon at work...

decoy88 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 09:01 AM

Yeah I guess so. How is it related to this post?

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:31 PM

How's it *not*?

decoy88 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:44 PM

I'm slow please connect the dots for me.

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:55 PM*

Here's your original comment from the other thread...

While I do agree that boys need a good male role model in their lives. I disagree with the premise that it's the cause

I think the growing technology and time heavy fun alternatives is stunting men more than anything

We then talked about education and culture having something to do with it, to which you said it was tech that drove education and culture. So when I saw this from the OP...

4) I find it fascinating that she says she can only talk about 'this truth' because she no longer depends on a paycheck. Imagine if daytime talk shows would allow this kind of content, the shows would lose advertisers. Women have created a bubble in the media and virtually every other institution where they don't have to experience discomfort, no matter the truth.

Then I made this point...

Women make ~80% of the consumer purchases. What did we expect?

It made me think of your comment. So I responded...

...

Hey u/decoy88, remember a few hours ago when you told me that education and culture are driven by technology? And that humans are slaves to convenience and aforementioned technology brings convenience?

Isn't creating new technologies and conveniences the prime directive of consumer corporations? Ya know, corporations that have a vested interest in fostering an environment that ensures continued business of their largest consumer base?

Baader-meinhof phenomenon at work...

My point is that this is exactly what you and I were both talking about. We both were talking about two sides of the same coin - corporations are affecting our culture and education, which in turn, affects how the boys are developing.

What was also interesting was how fast this happened, hence the Baader-meinhof reference.

Would you agree?

decoy88 • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:10 PM

Oh... I see. Yes I agree

redditthrowaway1478 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:26 PM

Consensus!

The only area I'm not sure on is the degree to which corporations are affecting change.

decoy88 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 06:33 PM

Well I feel that technology breakthroughs will always happen, humans are always looking for ways to be more lazy. Corporations mostly want to ensure they take advantage of it before anyone else does, but, funding for these breakthroughs are needed, which means corporations.

But human nature is to lean towards convenience always, that's one of the only predictable factors, so how much can corporations change the course of that direction if they wanted?

rubbooyuri • 3 points • 21 June, 2020 01:16 AM

As long as being single/alone is preferable to available companionship, this will happen. Personally, if something happened to my very long term relationship, I'm pretty sure it would take years, if ever, for me to seek men out for companionship

softhandsam • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 02:48 PM

Thats dodging the point

rubbooyuri • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 04:20 PM

Why's that? As far as I know, relationships and sex are voluntary activities in polite society

softhandsam • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 04:39 PM

And ?

That's not the point either.

rubbooyuri • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 07:22 PM

How do you get people to voluntarily lower their standards?

softhandsam • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 07:34 PM

Lmfao I dunno how has it been done historically

rubbooyuri • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 08:36 PM

Well, then it is a valid point to observe that women would rather be alone than drop their standards

bluehorserunning • 5 points • 21 June, 2020 04:45 AM

I find it kind of funny that 'NFL player' is held up as some kind of masculine paragon that no woman in her right mind would refuse. Not everyone wants an abusive gorilla with brain damage .

I'm married, and NFL players were never in the running; that doesn't mean that I had impossible standards, it means that not everyone has the same criteria.

SherbertBacon • 4 points • 21 June, 2020 04:58 AM

She has all valid points.... but they're directed at a very specific subset of women. Her experiences with the "unhappily single" were, specifically, women who *paid* money to find a man because they couldn't find one on their own. The selection bias for overly picky women is strong here. Normal women who have realistic standards don't go to this woman for advice. Hell, they may not even go to dating coaches, online blogs, or anything to that effect.

I find the video fascinating because women usually put the onus on men as to why they can't find a partner and when men point out it's women who have these high unrealistic standards

It depends a lot on the reasons she's complaining though, doesn't it? A basement dwelling neckbeard who whines about failing to find a supermodel video game nerd waifu doesn't have a real problem in the same way some rando wants the triple 6 male model, perfect husband.

That's an entirely different group of people than, say, the women who are disgusted by a lack of basic human skills/grooming/decency/life-determination/self-awareness.

I've met maybe one or two of the *extremely* overly picky (I say "overly" because, as the video rightly points out, these people are asking for traits **they** don't even manage to hold), and they are a far cry from the everyday types who have dating difficulties.

GennyX • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 06:02 AM

Of course she's going to be upset. She wasn't making money. But lots of women are more than happy to stay single if their standards aren't met. I see no problem with this.

Mimoxs • 9 points • 20 June, 2020 08:08 PM

Issues:

1) someone else already mentioned this but she's not dealing with the average woman. The average woman does not need a matchmaker. She's dealing with the lowest quality of women.

2) we are socially conditioned to see women's standards of anything as unreasonable and that applies just as much if not more to women and their internalized feelings, most women will be with someone way under their league who mistreats them and they'll feel like they deserve no better

3) hard to compare bc men's standards are on the ground they will fuck a raw chicken

4) "the truth" lmao no, the message of "women's standards are too unrealistic!!!" Is everywhere. It's ingrained in our media, our social media, our culture. Why do you see so many 8/10 high quality, well-earning women with 3/10 unemployed slob?

LeJacquelo • 37 points • 20 June, 2020 08:16 PM

Why do you see so many 8/10 high quality, well-earning women with 3/10 unemployed slob?

You do? On what planet?

TheKarmicWoosh2 • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 09:10 PM

Why do you see so many 8/10 high quality, well-earning women with 3/10 unemployed slob?

You don't. The vast majority of Marriages still have Men as the primary breadwinner unless you live in a trailer park or low income community.

decoy88 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 10:04 AM

"Poor communities don't count towards the stats"

This entire sub lol

Mimoxs • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:13 PM

I grew up in an extremely "white trash" redneck low income place where there are in fact a lot of trailer communities. You have a lot of families where both parents are living on welfare, but usually when one does have a job, it's the wife. The problem is it's never a good job bc most girls drop out of high school when they first get pregnant at 14 with the first of eventually 7 kids. That kind of thing.

TheKarmicWoosh2 • 8 points • 20 June, 2020 09:18 PM

Yeah, exactly. In poor communities Women tend to out earn their financially impotent husbands. So idk why you said "well-earning women", if they were well earning they wouldn't be poor.

So...no, it is not the case that there is any statistical significant phenomena of a Woman earning like \$100k-\$300k a year Marrying some couch layabout lol.

Mimoxs • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:31 PM

Well earning as in, more than them, supporting the family. They are the breadwinners, but they also do all the housework, kid care, etc. Most men just sit back and drink beer and watch TV

It may not be significant to you, but it's an issue affecting the people I know and my home community, so it's significant to me and is relevant to situations like this discussion. The fact is that in these communities there are women pulling the full weight of their families and despite their circumstances they do pretty ok. In a way, they are high value women in their character and ethic and they deserve to be married to men who would help them, but bc of the social structure they all have little choice other than marrying these slob. They deserve to have higher standards

Sigma1979[S] • 8 points • 20 June, 2020 08:15 PM

1) someone else already mentioned this but she's not dealing with the average woman. The average woman does not need a matchmaker. She's dealing with the lowest quality of women.

And like i said, she's still willing to work with men

most women will be with someone way under their league who mistreats them and they'll feel like they deserve no better

Most divorces are initiated by women, so i find that hard to believe.

hard to compare bc men's standards are on the ground they will fuck a raw chicken

Again, she's still willing to help male clients, that probably says something about men vs. women.

"the truth" lmao no, the message of "women's standards are too unrealistic!!!" Is everywhere. It's ingrained in our media, our social media, our culture. Why do you see so many 8/10 high quality, well-earning women with 3/10 unemployed slobs?

Uh, no, society ingrains women that 'you're worth it' and 'you can have it all, that's completely backwards. And hahahaha, 8/10's with six figure jobs don't go for 3/10 unemployed, i mean, jesus christ, do you think the manosphere would exist if that were the case?

Mimoxs • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 08:19 PM

Ya because they're easy to help bc again they would fuck a raw chicken. They have. I have literally seen an account of this happening. As well as, doorknob holes, park benches, jello, wet paper towels, drywall holes, animals

The average woman doesn't need a matchmaker, only extremely low quality women will, whereas the average man very well might. So she's seeing the absolute worst quality women, and all sorts of quality ranges of men.

Extremely attractive women are common and easy to find. Extremely attractive men are not. She has a wider pool to work with, making it easier to work with men.

And yeah, I see this in the real world quite a bit. I don't just rely on the internet for a worldview

Sigma1979[S] • 14 points • 20 June, 2020 08:26 PM

Ya because they're easy to help bc again they would fuck a raw chicken.

She's a matchmaker, not a madam for a whorehouse. The men she helps are looking for actual long term relationships.

Mimoxs • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 08:33 PM

Ya because they're easy to help bc men also have extremely low standards for LTRs. Men's standards of marrying women is usually, "can this human give me a continual supply of pussy?" If the answer is yes, it's a yes. If the answer is no, "can this human give me pussy maybe like sometimes?" And if the answer is yes that will probably also be a yes.

There's a man married to Hatsune Miku, a man married to his car, a man who married a doll... I've seen plenty of men get married to anything that will let them fuck them

AramisNight • 7 points • 20 June, 2020 08:59 PM

Didn't a woman marry a train station?

Mimoxs • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 09:15 PM

There was a woman who married a carnival ride. She had her own my strange addiction episode

CidCrisis • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:01 PM

Lol Hatsune Miku. I too love anime vocaloid chicks.

Mimoxs • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:10 PM

There really is a dude who is legally married to her. His name is Akihiko Kondo

NephilimXXXX • 2 points • 21 June, 2020 12:18 AM

There's a man married to Hatsune Miku, a man married to his car... anything that will let them fuck them

Odd that you mention them since neither of those things have a pussy or will fuck them.

Mimoxs • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:35 AM

Apparently the guy had one of those anime girl pillows with her on it

And unfortunately the car guy... Found ways.

[deleted] 20 June, 2020 08:40 PM

[removed]

Mimoxs • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 08:46 PM

No? I said indirectly lol

But that's impressive memory damn

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:29 PM

You can tag people with notes. Not that i do it unless they post something insanely funny (like that one dude in another subreddit making a really long post about how he made some bratty kid cry at a store by farting in his face)

Mimoxs • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:32 PM

Lmao can you link me to that?

Sigma1979[S] • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:40 PM

https://www.reddit.com/r/AskReddit/comments/fn5gr/reddit_what_is_your_silent_unseen_act_of_personal/c1hdgwv/

I tagged the guy as 'guy who farts on little kids heads' lmao

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 09:22 PM

Don't make things personal

nemma88 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:30 PM

will fuck a raw chicken

Q4PPD, How many of you have fucked a raw chicken?

DangZagnut • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 08:20 PM

Why do you see so many 8/10 high quality, well-earning women with 3/10 unemployed slobs?

True love and his great personality?

toasterchild • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:04 AM

The only woman I know who used a professional matchmaker was a woman who fits this description perfectly. Women who aren't insanely picky don't have trouble finding their own matches.

Cobra_x30 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 02:40 PM

I didn't watch the video, but I saw a picture of the woman. 90% chance she is working primarily with African American women and the rant is tailored specifically at them.

There is a certain subset of women from this group that are ridiculous about dating. They tend to be well paid professionals, physicians, lawyers, government officials... ect. They tend to be good looking, and want to date exclusively high paid black men who are also male model level handsome and aged within a few years of themselves. That's a super small pool of men to pick from, and often these guys are not good looking enough, or successful enough for their tastes.

I've worked with a physician like this for years. She is actually a very awesome lady, and extremely good looking, but so, so picky. About 5 years ago she kind of gave up her race criteria and found a Korean surgeon. They seem to be super happy together. She has scaled back her hours a lot and is frequently on vacation with him.

Oh... and your joke about men fucking a raw chicken.... I recently heard of a guy in Turkey who lost his virginity to a chicken. Evidently this is not uncommon there. I don't know if that makes your joke funnier or just sad, but it definitely made me laugh.

Mimoxs • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:12 PM

Oh that's fair too. I have several black female friends and the only ones married, are two of them both married to white guys, it might be a similar thing there

That is... Wild. I was originally thinking of this, although thankfully it's not a live chicken lol
<https://yuki.la/fit/51230182>

Cobra_x30 • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 05:53 PM

That is... Wild. I was originally thinking of this, although thankfully it's not a live chicken lol

The first time I ever heard of this was from watching a Turkish movie in subtitles with some friends and I was convinced it was a translation error. However, when I looked it up... I found it was something commonly spoken of in their culture. Perhaps it's just a way for Wealthy Urbanites to mock Rural folks like we do in the US.

From an engineering standpoint I felt like it wasn't a possibility, I mean such things would require an orifice correct? Also... chickens tend to have sharp talons.

I can't even open that link... my assumption is that I don't want to... LOL

pongaminbloom • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 08:41 PM*

The average woman who needs help from a matchmaker is not the same thing as the average women in the general population. Women in the general population do not have too high a standards. If anything, it's the opposite.

InfamousLob • 23 points • 20 June, 2020 08:48 PM

Women in the general population do not have too high a standards

□ □

pongaminbloom • 0 points • 20 June, 2020 09:03 PM

Seriously, if they can get someone that meets their standards, their standards aren't too high. Most women who want a man find one.

Sigma1979[S] • 11 points • 20 June, 2020 09:15 PM

I will believe you when women stop writing those dumbass 'WHERE HAVE ALL THE GOOD MEN GONE?!?!?' articles and marriage rates stop decreasing.

pongaminbloom • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 09:43 PM

You'll believe me when everyone pays off their college debt and the economy recovers. People aren't getting married because they are broke.

NephilimXXXX • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 12:23 AM

When the economy recovers? Dropping marriage rates isn't something that happened just in the past three months.

Sigma1979[S] • 1 point • 20 June, 2020 10:39 PM

People got married in WAYYYYYYYYYY harsher conditions before. Women are just just greedy whiners.

Sigma1979[S] • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 10:39 PM

Seriously, if they can get someone that meets their standards, their standards aren't too high. Most women who want a man find one.

Women complain about settling. The women who think anyone under 6 feet is undesirable is insane and there are a LOT of them. I'm 5'10" and i'm sure there are women who discount me even though i'm slightly above average in height. I'm not even short, statistically speaking.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 09:37 PM

Women settle. That's what they do when they find a guy finally. It doesn't mean they found mr.perfect. just that he's good enough. For now.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 03:08 AM

[removed]

pongaminbloom • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 11:00 PM

Probably because getting drunk and going home with random guys isn't something you do when you want a serious relationship.

You changed the subject.

I'm saying that a standard is only too high if it is unrealistic and prevents you from finding a partner. If someone's best selling point is that you don't deserve better, he's not a good match.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 03:06 AM

[removed]

pongaminbloom • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 10:02 PM

Yes, I have. Tinder is an ap where each women has legions of men competing to hook up with her. Since most women want a relationship, the few that want to mess around can afford to have astronomically high attractiveness standards.

The marriage market works differently because women on that market outnumber men, and because a lot of things that were not deal-breakers for hookups (being a cheater; not having a job; having a temper; not wanting kids; etc.) are major deal-breakers for potential husbands. Since the pool of options is smaller, women can't afford to be as picky about shallow things. Most women adjust their standards accordingly.

This former matchmaker had to deal with a small subset of dysfunctional women that failed to adjust.

Klux1 • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 08:49 PM

Makes total sense. Although, would you want to date a woman with low standards? What would that be saying about you? I can understand how women have standards, I have standards for them too. I wouldn't want to date an elephant. However, if you are indeed an elephant, don't expect to date a lion. You get what you give.

Sigma1979[S] • 7 points • 20 June, 2020 09:16 PM

Although, would you want to date a woman with low standards? What would that be saying about you?

You should actually watch the video, women were rejecting an EX-ATLANTA FALCONS FOOTBALL PLAYER

Slyfer_Seven • 5 points • 20 June, 2020 10:22 PM

could of been a practice squad lineman.. some 300lb tub of lard, that doesn't really mean anything. Footballs players age like race horses dude... it's a rough life, you'll see 30yro dudes moving around like they're 50 and shit.

Klux1 • 3 points • 20 June, 2020 09:24 PM

I see your point. Still, I would say the football player won that one because these women don't seem very high in the SMV or brains department.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 03:06 AM

[removed]

Klux1 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:10 AM

I was implying more supermodel that has herpes. Would you date a supermodel that sleeps with hobos? Also, a neckbeard's standards are irrelevant since he wouldn't get anything anyway.

[deleted] 21 June, 2020 05:15 AM

[removed]

Klux1 • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:25 AM

If you are insinuating that women have low standards, then that goes against everything said in this post.

Grand_Duty • 2 points • 20 June, 2020 10:34 PM

Women are all spoiled brats. How spoiled do you have to be to turn down NFL athletes.

GennyX • 2 points • 22 June, 2020 02:28 AM

Some of us find footballers gross. I'm one of them.

Grand_Duty • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 04:32 PM

One of ten, doesn't make a huge difference. Many women want them, very few do. Those guys are the top Alpha Money doods

GennyX • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 04:35 PM

My point is, it's not 'spoiled' to dislike them. Plenty of other women would go there.

Grand_Duty • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 04:46 PM

Most women are spoiled, not because only the football players, but the myriad of high caliber choices that they reject because they believe (arrogantly so) they can do better.

GennyX • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 04:52 PM

'High caliber.' □□□

Usually, they can do better.

Dustin_Bromain • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:01 AM

Hahahahaha

renegadehoe • 1 point • 21 June, 2020 05:53 PM

That's doesn't totally cover domestic abuse

crafeminist • 1 point • 22 June, 2020 02:06 PM

Fuckboy: a guy who acts like he is looking for a relationship but then says or shows he isn't after sex. So you're saying it's a woman's fault she can't lock a guy down and is choosing to sleep around with guys? A woman doesn't have high standards when she's young so she raises them and they are impossible. I know mine are probably impossible but I don't even care after all the games I'm kind of over it. That being said I have enough self awareness to not torture a match maker

gaylordfag6 • 1 point • 24 June, 2020 08:25 AM

If he's an ex football player that means he's old and ugly and used up looking. I'm 31 but 19 year olds on tinder still fuck me.

svensven1991 • 1 point • 25 June, 2020 10:47 PM

Here might be some good tips: <https://datinglocalgirls.com>

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 12 September, 2020 08:50 PM

Wow