

This woman (below comment) could not have debunked this feminists femisplainer (above) any better. So succinct yet so powerful. A whole movement debunked in such few words. A femisplainer put in her place. WOW

109 upvotes | 5 May, 2020 | by mellainadiba

Elaine Courtney

February 8, 2017, 6:19 pm




Feminism: (Merriam-Webster) the belief that women and men should have equal rights and opportunities

By definition, the name of this website could easily be called "Women Against Equal Rights".

REPLY

Chani Randazzo

November 20, 2018, 9:44 pm



Feminism defines Feminism. Women against feminism define Women Against Feminism.

We advocate for *inclusive* gender equality and justice *regardless* of gender – not *because* of it.

You mention a man having to cosign for a credit card. You understand why that was, don't you? He was legally responsible for the debt she incurred.

It's very telling that feminists only ever speak from the perspective of the woman. They only ever consider the decision making aspect of agency – never the responsibility aspect. That has to change. We don't WANT feminism. We want inclusive gender equality.

REPLY

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Egalitarianwhistle • 9 points • 5 May, 2020 04:49 PM

Anyone have the link to this page? This is bad ass. I am an MRA because I want equal rights before the law.

mellainadiba[S] • 7 points • 5 May, 2020 05:14 PM

<https://womenagainstfeminism.com>

The page is the, in the press page

Youtube:

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqwHXC3qpRxBjAkPfVWHMMQ/videos>

Check out Sydney Watson too, not related to these guys, or Karen Straughan. On twitter Lisa Britton

Egalitarianwhistle • 5 points • 5 May, 2020 05:33 PM

All of those women are great! Thanks.

SuboptimalRubbish • 1 point • 6 May, 2020 06:50 AM

Sydney Watson seems transphobic...

<https://twitter.com/SydneyLWatson/status/1186041520731951106>

Broadside_Beers • 2 points • 6 May, 2020 09:39 AM

That isn't necessarily transphobic. Nothing there indicates an irrational hatred or fear of trans people. I would say the most accurate conclusion one could draw from that tweet is that she believes that males are males and females are females, and the general stance that sex and gender aren't interchangeable terms and are non-malleable.

My beliefs or hers, or yours, or anyone's in regards to this are a whole separate discussion to the subject of men's rights.

If a child rapist stated $2+2=4$ we wouldn't then be attacking the moral character of the rapist to disprove her statement. To do so verges on ad hominem.

Edited due to auto correct fail

SuboptimalRubbish • -3 points • 6 May, 2020 10:56 AM

Are you indirectly stating that what she said is as true as $2+2=4$?

Trans men, certain intersex people and men with persistent Müllerian duct syndrome CAN have periods.

Trans men, certain intersex people and men with persistent Müllerian duct syndrome CAN become pregnant.

Trans men, certain intersex people and men with galactorrhea CAN lactate.

Also:

Not all women have periods.

Not all women can become pregnant.

Not all women can lactate.

That's the truth.

Broadside_Beers • 4 points • 6 May, 2020 11:00 AM

Dude... it's a whole different discussion. As I clearly explained. The example was just, an example.

Just because you disagree with someone on one subject doesn't mean they are wrong in all of them.

That's it. Nothing more nothing less. My example was demonstrative of that. Please don't Strawman me.

SuboptimalRubbish • -3 points • 6 May, 2020 11:17 AM

Erm... How is that a strawman?

Besides, I disagreed with what they said. Not on other matters they didn't speak about. Did I?

spoderman616 • 3 points • 6 May, 2020 02:17 AM

WTF is a femisplainer?? Call her what she is: a CUNT.