

If feminists believe men are toxic by nature, then men and women aren't equal and never can be treated equally thus making feminism completely impossible socially.

April 21, 2020 | 147 upvotes | by [GamerAnon01](#)

Context: I was thinking if men are all toxic by nature which some radical feminists do believe, then men will never be able to be treated the same as women and therefore making feminism impossible, however i understand many of these radical feminist have lost the true meaning of feminism just like most radical groups do.

Saying all men are toxic is like saying all women are stupid, they are just recreating the problem that they originally wanted to solve.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

VestigialHead • 29 points • 21 April, 2020 03:52 AM

Feminism was never meant to unite. It was always about gaining power for women.

Notice it is not called equalism or egalitarianism. It is all about females.

GamerAnon01[S] • 7 points • 21 April, 2020 04:21 AM

I agree but I believe it was originally made to unite people, before people where even allowed to work, however now its very different.

VestigialHead • 16 points • 21 April, 2020 04:25 AM

It was originally made to give women rights that they did not have. it was not about unity. It was about rights that in all fairness women should have had. So it may have had innocent albeit confused intentions.

Nothing about it suggested unity though - more like the opposite.

zeerust2000 • 9 points • 21 April, 2020 10:24 AM

Does anyone know when the word 'feminism' was coined? I don't think the suffragettes used it, hence they're only 'feminists' retrospectively.

COOLMOMSTERTRUCK • 1 point • 22 April, 2020 11:30 PM

google says some guy in france used it in the 1800s

but I've never seen a feminist say men are toxic by nature... this whole post seems like a misrepresentation? Like you've seen someone on a fringe subreddit go on a femcel rant.. there are no mainstream feminists that believe men are toxic? unless this post is talking about toxic masculinity.. which is unfortunately named because that conversation has helped a lot of men deal with their emotional issues

I would seriously hate for any men to see "toxic masculinity" and think that it's meant to say men are toxic- it's supposed to show ways that men keep themselves down/in a box in emotional ways. The male suicide rate is so high, and since society is constantly telling men to stfu and 'deal with it' when it comes to their sadness/depression, it makes sense to talk about how that's toxic masculinity, no?

zeerust2000 • 2 points • 23 April, 2020 03:05 AM

I know feminists say that "not all men are toxic", but the very concept of toxic masculinity is misleading and harmful, and one that I reject. There is nothing 'toxic' about masculinity itself, just as there is nothing 'toxic' about femininity. It's just feminist wordplay designed to manipulate ideas. Men have problems typical to themselves, and so do women. Do you really think that the high rate of male suicide is due to men not talking about their problems? Seriously? Have you ever thought that the fact the no-one listens to their problems might be a factor too? Where do male victims of domestic abuse go for help? The very suggestion that we should recognise male victims of domestic abuse is routinely ridiculed. Try bringing it up on a feminist forum and see how far you get. The White Ribbon Foundation's slogan literally specified only "men's violence against women", thus excluding male victims. The concept of toxic masculinity should have no credence at all. It's just another term, along with mansplaining and manspreading etc, that feminists use to shame men. Also.....it's quite easy to find feminists who really do think that all men are toxic.

pandolfio • 6 points • 21 April, 2020 11:27 AM

One of the contradictions of feminism is that they say that the inequality between men and women is entirely due to the 'patriarchal society', i.e. men set a number of arbitrarily rules to benefit themselves and oppress women. Some of these consisted in saying that women are less good at managing things, regulating their emotions, even just 'thinking' (part of which is just 100% biological).

But at the same time they're often saying that men are inherently (i.e. biologically, which means, outside of the influence of society).

That's one aspect of it, but another is how feminist will say that marriage is patriarchal (and made to benefit men). While it's blindingly obvious that the sexual revolution has only caused misery for tons of women. Who are desperate to get married, but men have no incentives any more (why buy the cow when the milk is free).

DevilComeKnockin • 3 points • 21 April, 2020 02:43 PM

Given the current legal circumstances, men are actively dis-incentivized from marriage to a woman, especially ones with children or health issues of any sort. The government is desperate to offload the financial liabilities of these women onto innocent men.

If she even *claims* that you took on a fatherly role to her child, regardless if you did or not; the courts will gladly sentence you to feeding that kid for decades.

When the milk itself has become poisonous, only a fool is going to invest in a cow.

COOLMOMSTERTRUCK • 2 points • 22 April, 2020 11:42 PM

even just 'thinking' (part of which is just 100% biological)

There have been quite a few studies that have shown how someone's perception of their own ability affects their actual ability- self-efficacy definitely factors in the thoughts/beliefs of those around you, even if only subconsciously.

What does "Inequality" mean to you? I don't think that a man and a woman are biologically the same, but this isn't an inequality..

While it's blindingly obvious that the sexual revolution has only caused misery for tons of women.

maybe you think its blindingly obvious because social media and the mental health progression allows people to speak more openly (and across far greater distances) than pre-sexual revolution technology allowed them to?

also what stats are you referring to when you say women are more desperate to get married nowadays? I've seen the opposite, most educated people (not just women) are wanting to wait to get married until 30+.

pandolfio • 2 points • 23 April, 2020 11:46 AM

Oh sure, women wait until 30. Except that then, it really becomes hard to find a man who's not 15 years older than them, who's not divorced.

I dont have stats, but in my circles, theres a disproportionate number of women in their late 30s who talk about settling, all the time, while the men the same age or older seem to be completely fine dating or living with their girlfriends without any intention of committing.

I think one of the main flaws of feminism is that it thinks that the only way to achieve equality is to deny the obvious differences between men and women. Or to silence them, to the very least. Women should be equal to men even if they are different though.

But so this causes misunderstandings, and a lot of frustrations. Because (in)equality is measured with the assumption that men and women are identical, and therefore they most likely desire the same. Which couldn't be further from the truth.

pandolfio • 2 points • 23 April, 2020 11:49 AM

With regards to the happiness of women, studies have shown that it has gone down decade after decade (while mens happiness has remained steady) since the advent of feminism, which is not exactly intuitive.

shtivathedestroyer • 2 points • 21 April, 2020 01:22 PM

Are you only referring to radical feminists? I don't think that the notion that all men are toxic by nature is held by most feminists. I think there is a belief in the conditioning that has brought about socially normative toxic behaviour. This is at least the case in the conversations that I've had with self identified feminists.

Verbalicedtea • 2 points • 21 April, 2020 03:17 PM

Yeah you get those chilled feminists and then you get the wild ones. I tend to make a lot of sexist and racist jokes just to piss them off, God their faces just turn pink with rage ☐ ☐

Diogenes-- • 2 points • 21 April, 2020 01:30 PM

You're talking logic. Women don't speak that language.

Verbalicedtea • 3 points • 21 April, 2020 03:18 PM

I have met a few who do, but I guess I'm just lucky ☐ ☐

Diogenes-- • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 03:19 PM

Transwomen lol

Verbalicedtea • 4 points • 21 April, 2020 03:30 PM

I don't believe in trans. I don't think it is scientifically possible for a man to actually become a woman and I also don't find it fair that I have to call a person what they so clearly not. I also don't like the fact that people get offended at me assuming their gender. I will not call you them or they fuck that ☐ ☐ ☐. You either a dude or a dudet

Diogenes-- • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 11:30 PM

Agreed. What I meant is that it's funny how biological males are 90% of the successful "women" in tech lol

Verbalicedtea • 1 point • 23 April, 2020 07:16 PM

☐ Omg that's fucking hilarious

QS26 • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 02:38 PM

I thought they didn't hate men?

Verbalicedtea • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 03:12 PM

In the beginning of feminism it was all about empowering women. Now it's just about demonizing men and forcing their bullshit agendas, more than 2 genders ha! Lol

Lickiecat • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 03:14 PM

Toxic Masculinity isn't a term only rad fems use, it is a generally accepted term in society. Which is stupid, as both genders have their toxic counterparts. I'd say a woman judging another woman on her clothes is toxic femininity, but they attribute all forms of bodyshaming to men when in actual fact the people slut shaming and being cunts to each other are usually women bickering among themselves.

They are literally eating their own. Which is funny to watch, and enraging to deal with.

nacho-chonky • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 03:28 PM

Like Christina Hoff Sommers said she originally started out fighting male chauvinism in the 1960s and 70s to get women into the workplace but now she fights the female chauvinism that is rampant in modern feminism

princess_stepford • 1 point • 21 April, 2020 05:05 PM

I gave up on trying to understand their arguments. I feel ashamed to have ever associated with those people.

Feminists change the definition of feminism practically every day. They just get off on attacking men and victimising themselves. No logic, all bullshit.

I'm happy I broke out of that vicious cycle.

pontiflexrex • -3 points • 21 April, 2020 11:30 AM

“Equal” is not “identical”. Your misunderstanding of the meaning of words makes your argument invalid...

Verbalicedtea • 2 points • 21 April, 2020 03:20 PM

I think maybe he meant that these radicals view equity as identical. Like they want everything to be the same, I speak to women in a different way than I would usually do to a man and that's because a gay I can be a dick to and have a laugh but a female I must tread carefully, well unless they feminazis then I start being a dick□□□