

**Oh my ducking god I am done... I am done with humanity  
goodbye I'm gonna go to the moon**

January 21, 2020 | 299 upvotes | by [FirePlayer15](#)



**CNBC**   
@CNBC



21% of men say they're afraid to hire women after [#MeToo](#) . Megan Rapinoe isn't having it. (via [@CNBCMakeIt](#))



**Sandra Newman**  
@sanne Newman

Weird how no one asks women if they're afraid to hire men after centuries of sexual assault



Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

username2136 • 62 points • 21 January, 2020 04:27 PM

That's what background checks are for dumbass. Background checks reduce the likelihood of such a thing happening. They don't check to see if a woman will falsely accuse a coworker of assault or by some extremely broad definition of it.

Charmingaxelotl • 20 points • 21 January, 2020 07:04 PM

What the fuck does Megan rapino have to do with this?

CommitSudokuPerverts • 5 points • 21 January, 2020 08:36 PM

SHE DESERVES THIS!!!!

ulgulanoth • 29 points • 21 January, 2020 05:56 PM

The real question is what the other 79% of men are thinking given the current legal environment

qemist • 13 points • 21 January, 2020 08:23 PM

I'm not sure what we're supposed to conclude from Newman's retort

Women don't let fear affect their hiring decisions

The risk of sexual assault is small

Women don't mind sexual assault

Women are stupid

If the feminist claims that sexual assault is common at workplaces and it's impact is severe are true, it's hard to understand why women invest so much in obtaining employment.

FirePlayer15[S] • 7 points • 21 January, 2020 08:26 PM

Ouch right in the feminist guts

Whystare • 2 points • 21 January, 2020 09:27 PM

Risk of assault is less than benefit from hiring competent people. FTFY

That or the law might prohibit sex discrimination while hiring. Depends on your country tho.

qemist • 3 points • 21 January, 2020 09:47 PM

Risk of assault is less than benefit from hiring competent people.

That's reasonable but the benefit from hiring a competent person isn't enormous. If you thought it was likely the competent applicant would sexually assault you and you believed the impact of sexual assaults to be very high, you wouldn't do it.

Whystare • 3 points • 21 January, 2020 10:14 PM

Not saying it's more reasonable than the other 3 choices. Just saying it's better expressed like that.

It's just semantics. Ignore me.

KyokoG • 2 points • 21 January, 2020 11:49 PM

And, very likely, the actual risk of sexual assault is significantly smaller. One person committing a real assault would outweigh the benefits of hiring many competent people.

Unless, of course, by “assault” they mean “he glanced at my boobs for three seconds.”

Whystare • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 10:31 PM

Not if it doesn't happen, or doesn't happen to the HR woman.

I mean, is it a predator in a thousand employees? What are the odds it's one of the 10 ppl the boss's managing?

Lordshipped • 2 points • 22 January, 2020 04:06 AM

Probably because capitalism but idk you do you

Shrek-has-a-gun • 4 points • 21 January, 2020 06:58 PM

Can you take me to the moon with you cause I'd rather not be on Earth

FirePlayer15[S] • 4 points • 21 January, 2020 08:07 PM

Sure but it's a one way ride

Philletto • 4 points • 21 January, 2020 09:59 PM

I see no problem with that,.

FirePlayer15[S] • 3 points • 22 January, 2020 04:26 AM

Well boys let's fly to the moon now shall we

Freeze\_Wolf • 3 points • 22 January, 2020 12:25 PM

I wouldn't hire a feminist after #metoo. That's just a lawsuit waiting to happen

CommitSudokuPerverts • 4 points • 21 January, 2020 08:35 PM

So, a misadnrist dyke demonizes men for not wanting to hire toxic people who make false accusations and cause not only drama but legal issues.

Philletto • 1 point • 21 January, 2020 10:02 PM

The worst is that accusers of male staff are kept secret and the current employer is so keen to get rid of her they won't hint at it anyway. It is too dangerous to employ women. Better to never employ any women than to strike that accuser who destroys the company.

mrahub • 2 points • 21 January, 2020 11:53 PM

This chick misses the point entirely. In that scenario, the female boss would be more likely to sexually harass or assault a male subordinate than the other way around. It happens all the time.

In fact, now that women are taking a dominant role in business, statistics have suggested that they are more sexually aggressive than men in the same environment.

In short, in 2020, a male subordinate is less safe under a female boss than a female subordinate is under a male boss.

I\_Fucking\_Love\_Traps • 2 points • 22 January, 2020 03:05 PM

yeah but men cant be raped remember

/s bc some people get whooshed

aayushVinayak • 2 points • 21 January, 2020 07:57 PM

what's your point

FirePlayer15[S] • 5 points • 21 January, 2020 08:06 PM

What's your point

aayushVinayak • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 03:07 AM

Dont get me wrong, I am asking friendly-ly, the tweets are hard to understand

FirePlayer15[S] • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 04:25 AM

Feminists who think woman should live in constant fear that they are getting raped

TraditionalCoffee • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 07:58 AM

As a man working in the investment banking sector, I can say that she has a point. lol

FirePlayer15[S] • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 03:30 PM

Why could you explain

TraditionalCoffee • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 08:17 PM

I can see how men and women interact in the office. Ongoing sexual harassment still happens in first world countries, I've seen it myself. I have been in work parties where some asshole thinks it's normal to slap a girl's ass. It happens, and there are no major consequences.

FirePlayer15[S] • 1 point • 22 January, 2020 08:18 PM

That are some cases but they say it like all men do that that's my problem with that