


# What kind of bullshit is this?

January 5, 2020 | 33 upvotes | by [DontTreadOnMe96](#)

What kind of bullshit is this?

172 points • [51 comments](#) • submitted 6 months ago by [Killerbee499](#) to [r/MGTOW](#)



Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

VestigialHead • 14 points • 5 January, 2020 05:10 AM

Maybe if we also include full female genital mutilation for any false reports or fake rape accusations then this could be workable?

Egalitarianwhistle • 10 points • 5 January, 2020 02:49 AM

There are so many examples of rape convictions being overturned years later.

Combine this with #believewomen and women can castrate you on a whim.

hollyscrew • 3 points • 5 January, 2020 09:54 AM

What the conviction rate for rapes in your country. In mine it's nowhere near 100%. It's actually much closer to zero.

istira\_balegina • 3 points • 5 January, 2020 10:42 AM

And yet a substantial percentage of them still turn out to be fake.

hollyscrew • 2 points • 5 January, 2020 04:12 PM

Again, not over here mate. With conviction rate less than 10% there's no way someone could count on an allegation even being taken to court, let alone result in a conviction. Sorry but I had to do Criminology last semester so found the stats just don't stack up.

istira\_balegina • 2 points • 5 January, 2020 04:25 PM

In the USA, RAINN claims less than three percent of rape allegations result in convictions with jail time. Yet there are tons of false rape convictions in the US because they convict on the word of the accuser alone. So unless your court system somehow uniquely exists in the perfected asshole of Jesus Christ I'm not sure how you're getting your numbers. Please cite what you learned in your criminology class.

hollyscrew • 1 point • 5 January, 2020 04:52 PM

Thankfully it's still holidays for us but here's an easy entry,  
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-50812810>

istira\_balegina • 1 point • 5 January, 2020 05:01 PM

So let me get this straight. You're saying that the same country in which "All current rape and serious sexual assault cases in England and Wales are to be reviewed "as a matter of urgency" to ensure evidence has been disclosed" (2017) because "the police were systematically withholding evidence from the defense" in multiple districts, doesn't have a false conviction problem?

You sir/madam, have swallowed the feminist coolade hook line and sinker.

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/www.bbc.com/news/amp/uk-42841346>

hollyscrew • 1 point • 5 January, 2020 05:20 PM

To confirm the above statistics you'd need to combine the number of rapes reported to the console police

(<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/bulletins/crimeinenglandandwales/yearendingjune2019#no-change-in-the-most-common-types-of-violent-crime-but-a-fall-in-homicides>) with the number of convictions for rape for the same period

(<https://www.cps.gov.uk/cps/news/annual-violence-against-women-and-girls-report-published-0>).

I've just found the high level data pages to roughly confirm the less than 10% conviction rate (it's actually less than 5%). Either way it clearly shows the assertion that all a woman would need to do is make an allegation for a man to be convicted is false.

istira\_balegina • 1 point • 5 January, 2020 09:20 PM\*

No one is saying all a woman needs to do is make an allegation for a man to be convicted. The question is whether a substantial amount of convictions are false. Yes, obviously many allegations don't result in convictions. I already cited the RAINN statistic. You're arguing a strawman fallacy by using an irrelevant conclusion.

You're also conflating sufficiency with possibility. No one is saying an allegation alone is sufficient for conviction. The question is whether it can possibly lead to conviction.

You're also assuming that because something has few results it must be rigorous. That is simply a false equivalence.

You're also assuming that because something is rigorous it must lead to true results. That is also a false equivalence.

You also entirely ignored all data to the contrary, which I provided in the BBC report on evidence withholding by police throughout England. Which is some sort of deliberate confirmation bias.

So I'm counting five or possibly six logical fallacies you made in one argument.

hollyscrew • 1 point • 6 January, 2020 01:44 AM\*

There are so many examples of rape convictions being overturned years later.

Combine this with #believewomen and women can castrate you on a whim.

This was the first post I replied to. Someone did say that all a woman needs to do is make an allegation. Then you decided to insert yourself as their defender. I'm not sure why.

My argument was a simple one. An allegation does not lead to conviction/castration. I provided evidence to support that.

Your issue appears to be with false allegations which at no point I mentioned, not with my argument.

XxfagxX • 2 points • 5 January, 2020 06:35 AM

I'm not defending pedos or rapists but everything isn't true mutilation should be illegal for everyone and everything

Leudbur • 1 point • 5 January, 2020 06:15 AM

I'm either really bad at looking for the original post, or it was deleted from /askreedit. I wanted to see what people were saying

[deleted] • 0 points • 5 January, 2020 10:32 AM

Korea already does this I don't see a problem with it. I mean it has to be proven proven.